Yahoo Group Archive: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)

Yahoo Group summary: Scanner Radio Discussion for DFW, TX

These Yahoo Groups messages were archived in WARC format by ArchiveTeam in 2015. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the WARC files in 2026.

Date span: 2008-01-01 through 2009-12-31

Source WARC: yahoo-groups-2015-10-15T20:49:40Z-xlmc34.warc.gz

Source item: https://archive.org/details/yahoo-groups-2015-10-15T20-49-40Z-xlmc34

Messages included in this PDF: 3726

Messages omitted as spam from this PDF: 3

Yahoo replaced the domain portions of email addresses with @... in the archived messages. Characters lost in Yahoo's archived text appear as ?.

Messages are presented in ascending Yahoo message-ID order. Gaps indicate records absent from the source WARC or messages omitted from this PDF as spam.

27534. Happy New Year to the Group

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-01 03:01:13 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 27534 Message: 27534

Happy New Year to the Group, and good
scanning for the year to come.

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

27535. RE: [dfwscan] Happy New Year to the Group

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-01 03:44:54 UTC
From: Jram
Topic: 27534 Message: 27535

YES!!!

Happy New Year to all and happy scanning and good health for 2008!!!

Jim
Saginaw
KE5MBW

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Stuart
Sent: Monday, December 31, 2007 9:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Happy New Year to the Group

Happy New Year to the Group, and good
scanning for the year to come.

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27536. Hello Group

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-01 14:38:33 UTC
From: combinecapt804
Topic: 27536 Message: 27536

Just wanted to say hi to everyone. I have been a member of this group in the past but havent been around much recently but I am back now.
Happy New Year

Cody Sheppard
Combine Fire Department
Coppell Emergency Communications

27537. Happy New Year!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-01 15:29:55 UTC
From: Bobbie Beers
Topic: 27537 Message: 27537

Wishing all of you a happy, healthy and prosperous New Year!

Bobbie

27538. Air Evac--New Freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-02 03:20:13 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27538 Message: 27538

Air Evac now has an aircraft stationed in Ellis Co. There appears to be a new license probably to dispatch that helicopter. Anyone catch a PL/DPL please post it.

AIR EVAC LIFETEAM

3 151.85000000 A 11K2F3E

3 FB 151.85000000 45.000

9 MO 151.85000000 45.000

3 321922N 0963340W ENNIS ELLIS TX HWY 85 4 MI E

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27539. Air Evac Lifeteam (Ellis Co)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-02 03:43:54 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27539 Message: 27539

On the Missouri Air Medical Page on RadioReference Database Air Evac has 32 Freqs. listed for all their bases and 7 of those Freqs. are in Texas and the newest is 151.8500,but NO PL/DPL is listed yet.

Mike in Garland

27540. Fort Worth Fire photos

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-03 00:45:34 UTC
From: Lee B Shell
Topic: 27540 Message: 27540

This suggestion came from my railroad list because of the recent news involving the rescue of a train employee from a trestle in Fort Worth:

www.fortworthfire.com

At the main page, select "Current".

As a mere snapshot photographer, I was impressed by the quality of the images.

If this has been discussed on the list before, I have forgotten.

Lee Shell
Dallas

27541. RE: [dfwscan] Fort Worth Fire photos

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-03 00:52:41 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27540 Message: 27541

Glen Ellman is a photographer for the Fort Worth Star-Telegram. He's closely associated, although, I'm not sure if there is an official relationship with FWFD. I've enjoyed his work for a couple of decades at least.

Ben

>This suggestion came from my railroad list because of the recent news involving the rescue of a train employee from a trestle in Fort Worth:

www.fortworthfire.com

At the main page, select "Current".

As a mere snapshot photographer, I was impressed by the quality of the images.

If this has been discussed on the list before, I have forgotten.

Lee Shell
Dallas

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27542. Wanna see some great mugs?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-03 12:39:01 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27542 Message: 27542

Check out Lewisville PD's Jail In Custody Web Page...

http://www.cityoflewisville.com/city/JailCustody.nsf/InCustodyFormwithbond?OpenForm

Some doozies....

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27543. Dallas Childrens Hospital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-03 18:51:36 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27543 Message: 27543

I've been scanning/searching Megahertz Technology's (Dallas) 460 mhz LTR System for a week and haven't heard any radio traffic for Dallas Children's Helicopter,are they still on this system?I do hear Ft.Worth's Teddy Bear One Air/Land on there LTR System,any Info will be very helpful,THANKS..

Mike in Garland

27544. Glen Rose frequencies -

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-03 22:23:21 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 27544 Message: 27544

I cant seem to find frequencies for Glen Rose PD and FD

any help is appreciated.

Tim

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27545. Re: Glen Rose frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-03 22:55:58 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27544 Message: 27545

Code 3 Productions wrote:

> I cant seem to find frequencies for Glen Rose PD and FD

Only thing I can find is a public works repeater on
453.600. Perhaps, since Somervell is a rather small
county in size, Glenn Rose units are dispatched off
the S.O. frequencies, as well as rural FD?

Maybe Tom out west can confirm?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27546. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Glen Rose frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-03 23:26:20 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 27544 Message: 27546

I can't help much. I don't know if Glen Rose has a police or fire department and I don't know where to look to verify wether they do or not.

The SO and fire info on radioreference.com appears to be correct. I can barely hear the SO and fire repeaters and they don't appear to have very much traffic so I don't listen too often and I have never heard anything on 453.600 or 453.650. I actually drove through there last week and didn't hear anything then either.

Tom ND5Y

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Code 3 Productions wrote:
>
>> I cant seem to find frequencies for Glen Rose PD and FD
>
> Only thing I can find is a public works repeater on
> 453.600. Perhaps, since Somervell is a rather small
> county in size, Glenn Rose units are dispatched off
> the S.O. frequencies, as well as rural FD?
>
> Maybe Tom out west can confirm?
>

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

27547. Re: Glen Rose frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-03 23:31:59 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 27544 Message: 27547

Tim,

Here's what I have:

Glen Rose FD & Somervell County Fire - 453.875 PL 71.9
Glen Rose PD & Somervell County SO - 458.725 PL 71.9

Blaine is correct with his presumption; GR FD and county Fire are
dispatched on the same frequency. And the same is true for GR PD and
county SO. At least that's been my observation from past listening.

You might also be interested in 451.125 (don't have PL)
This is supposed to be Commanche Peak EMS.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Code 3 Productions wrote:
>
> > I cant seem to find frequencies for Glen Rose PD and FD
>
> Only thing I can find is a public works repeater on
> 453.600. Perhaps, since Somervell is a rather small
> county in size, Glenn Rose units are dispatched off
> the S.O. frequencies, as well as rural FD?
>
> Maybe Tom out west can confirm?
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>

27548. Business Band Radio Providers in Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-03 23:46:43 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 27548 Message: 27548

I am looking to put a few business band (possibly LTR) radios on a repeater system in the DFW area for my company, however am not familiar with the companies that have towers and networks that I could contract space and air time from.

if anyone is familiar with that information, please let me know

Thanks

Timothy M Carroll

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27549. Re: Glen Rose frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-04 02:30:26 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 27544 Message: 27549

I think the SO primary freq was listed as the input. Here is what I
have which has been confirmed:

Somervell SO Ch 1 disptach 453.725 (71.9) I believe dispatches for all
PD's in the county which there are only 2 main
cities in Somervell, Glen Rose (county seat) and Rainbow.
Somervell SO Ch 2 453.650 (71.9)
Somervell Fire 453.875 (71.9) handles all fire incidents in the county

453.600 belongs to the city of Glen Rose but I have never heard PD
activity on it.

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> Tim,
>
> Here's what I have:
>
> Glen Rose FD & Somervell County Fire - 453.875 PL 71.9
> Glen Rose PD & Somervell County SO - 458.725 PL 71.9
>
> Blaine is correct with his presumption; GR FD and county Fire are
> dispatched on the same frequency. And the same is true for GR PD and
> county SO. At least that's been my observation from past listening.
>
> You might also be interested in 451.125 (don't have PL)
> This is supposed to be Commanche Peak EMS.
>
> Chris Easley
> Cleburne, TX
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@> wrote:
> >
> > Code 3 Productions wrote:
> >
> > > I cant seem to find frequencies for Glen Rose PD and FD
> >
> > Only thing I can find is a public works repeater on
> > 453.600. Perhaps, since Somervell is a rather small
> > county in size, Glenn Rose units are dispatched off
> > the S.O. frequencies, as well as rural FD?
> >
> > Maybe Tom out west can confirm?

> >
> > --
> > Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> > The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> > http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> > IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
> >
>

27550. Re: Fort Worth Fire photos

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-04 04:27:59 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 27540 Message: 27550

Glenn Ellman has full access to all fire scenes. He has a radio for FWFD and I believe he is their offocial photographer.
I did the EMS stand by on the TCU 3 alarm fire a couple of years ago. The three people who I noticed the most on the fireground were Dean Sullivan, Kent Worley, and Ellman, who was all over the place. That was the night learned if you saw Worley or Sullivan get in front of them.....cameras are coming.

Bill Anderson

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your homepage.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27551. Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-04 12:21:01 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27538 Message: 27551

Terry wrote:

> Air Evac now has an aircraft stationed in Ellis Co. Anyone catch a PL/DPL
> please post it.

> 151.85000000

Yeah, definitely post that DCS or PL if anyone finds it. I
don't know if it'll help out or not, but possibly. All I know is
I get interference and an open carrier on that frequency.
Probably got a paging tower somewhere around bleeding
over.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27552. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-04 12:25:44 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27538 Message: 27552

Since it's a narrow channel did you try that mode?

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2008 6:21 AM
To: Terry
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

Terry wrote:

> Air Evac now has an aircraft stationed in Ellis Co. Anyone catch a PL/DPL
> please post it.

> 151.85000000

Yeah, definitely post that DCS or PL if anyone finds it. I
don't know if it'll help out or not, but possibly. All I know is
I get interference and an open carrier on that frequency.
Probably got a paging tower somewhere around bleeding
over.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27553. Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-04 12:29:47 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27538 Message: 27553

Terry wrote:

> Since it's a narrow channel did you try that mode?

Yeah, I tried that. That's usually my default on the
780 because, IMO, it gives a better signal on
everything (even frequencies that are not NFM).
Thing is..it also attenuates things, so if you have
any interference, it tends to make it stronger.

If it doesn't work out, that's cool. I'm really not all that
concerned with Ellis County (sorry folks down south)...:)

It'd just be something extra to listen to every now
and then.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27554. Hosting a live feed

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-05 04:47:01 UTC
From: combinecapt804
Topic: 27554 Message: 27554

Hey folks I am currently hosting a live feed with Kaufman Co Fire agencies and Southern Dallas Co fire agencies through teamspeak. Does anyone know how I would go about streaming this feed somewhere thats a litte more public any help would be appreciated

Thanks
Cody Sheppard

27555. Lifestar

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-05 05:51:26 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 16360 Message: 27555

Lifestar 1 is talking to AMR(Dallas) 453.1750 saying they don't have 800 radio to contact Westminster FD.(Collin Co.)AMR(CenCom)sounds surprised to hear Lifestar calling them,AMR (CenCom) has told Lifestar 1 to switch to ARM(Collin Co)453.0750 to talk to AMR ambulance for ground contact.
Mike in Garland

27556. Dallas County Fire & Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-05 06:11:21 UTC
From: RobbinsPowell
Topic: 27556 Message: 27556

Does anyone have the ability to read or determine the tone frequencies for the 2-tone alerts that open the DCFR paging system? I am looking into getting a Minitor Pager for that department but have struck out as of yet trying to get the A-B tones for the alerts.

The system is tested each night (or at least is supposed to be tested) at 21:00 hrs.

Thanks!
Rob

27557. FWPD beat changes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-05 12:43:44 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27557 Message: 27557

Here's an article about the FWPD beat changes going into affect today.
http://www.star-telegram.com/407/story/391748.html

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

27558. Re: FWPD beat changes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-05 13:43:29 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 27557 Message: 27558

Thanks for the heads up Ben. I heard the North Division "patch" with
the Central Division last night for something. Figured the change has
taken affect.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Here's an article about the FWPD beat changes going into affect today.
> http://www.star-telegram.com/407/story/391748.html
>
> Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ
>

27559. Re: [dfwscan] Re: FWPD beat changes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-05 19:51:11 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27557 Message: 27559

Things are all messed up now. Our COP group was in West division, now we are in South division. The substation that was 1.5 miles from the house is still in West division, while our substation is 4 miles further and in the 'hood.

I feel the worst for the officers working the southern areas of South division down towards Crowley though. Their substation is over off 287 and Village Creek -- like 15 miles from their beat.

> Thanks for the heads up Ben. I heard the North Division "patch" with
> the Central Division last night for something. Figured the change has
> taken affect.
>
>
>> Here's an article about the FWPD beat changes going into affect today.
>> http://www.star-telegram.com/407/story/391748.html
>>
>>

27560. PHI Air Medical Walk Around

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 00:40:18 UTC
From: ldlorance
Topic: 27560 Message: 27560

I know this is off topic. Here is a walk around of the PHI Medical Air EC-135 stationed at McKinney Airport.

http://www.modelfiretrucks.net/phiwalkaround/

27561. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 00:43:52 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 27538 Message: 27561

Do we have a callsign for 151.85? Which Lifestar is it? I'm adding it to MedEvac at the state level.

Thanks,

Russell

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2008 06:30
To: Terry
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

Terry wrote:

> Since it's a narrow channel did you try that mode?

Yeah, I tried that. That's usually my default on the

780 because, IMO, it gives a better signal on

everything (even frequencies that are not NFM).

Thing is..it also attenuates things, so if you have

any interference, it tends to make it stronger.

If it doesn't work out, that's cool. I'm really not all that

concerned with Ellis County (sorry folks down south)...:)

It'd just be something extra to listen to every now

and then.

--

Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA

The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/

Individual Email | Traditional

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/join

(Yahoo! ID required)

mailto:dfwscan-digest@yahoogroups.com

mailto:dfwscan-fullfeatured@yahoogroups.com

dfwscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27562. Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 01:46:34 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 27538 Message: 27562

It's Air Evac 74. Don't know about the call sign though.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Russell Adams" <rwadams@...> wrote:
>
> Do we have a callsign for 151.85? Which Lifestar is it? I'm adding
it to
> MedEvac at the state level.
>
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Russell
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Blaine Brooks
> Sent: Friday, January 04, 2008 06:30
> To: Terry
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Air Evac--New Freq?
>
>
>
> Terry wrote:
>
>
>
> > Since it's a narrow channel did you try that mode?
>
>
>
> Yeah, I tried that. That's usually my default on the
>
> 780 because, IMO, it gives a better signal on
>
> everything (even frequencies that are not NFM).
>
> Thing is..it also attenuates things, so if you have

> any interference, it tends to make it stronger.
>
>
>
> If it doesn't work out, that's cool. I'm really not all that
>
> concerned with Ellis County (sorry folks down south)...:)
>
>
>
> It'd just be something extra to listen to every now
>
> and then.
>
>
>
> --
>
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
>
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
>
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>
>
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/
>
>
>
> Individual Email | Traditional
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/join
>
> (Yahoo! ID required)
>

>
>
> mailto:dfwscan-digest@yahoogroups.com
>
> mailto:dfwscan-fullfeatured@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> dfwscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

27563. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 02:15:23 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 27538 Message: 27563

No callsign yet, only an application.
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4262125
They may be using 151.505 or 158.400 in Ennis like they have in other
places before they got licenses.

Tom ND5Y

Chris Easley wrote:
> It's Air Evac 74. Don't know about the call sign though.
>
> Chris Easley
> Cleburne, TX
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Russell Adams" <rwadams@...> wrote:
>> Do we have a callsign for 151.85? Which Lifestar is it? I'm adding
> it to
>> MedEvac at the state level.
>>
>>
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Russell

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

27564. RE: [dfwscan] PHI Air Medical Walk Around

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 02:50:42 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27560 Message: 27564

Larry, different tail number but this looks like the same type and paint scheme. I assume you're working on a model.

http://www.fortworthfire.com/cgi-bin/gallery.pl?gallery=Misc.%20Incidents

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of ldlorance
Sent: Saturday, January 05, 2008 6:40 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] PHI Air Medical Walk Around

I know this is off topic. Here is a walk around of the PHI Medical Air EC-135 stationed at McKinney Airport.

http://www.modelfiretrucks.net/phiwalkaround/

27565. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 03:11:17 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27538 Message: 27565

Tom, thanks for checking, guess I should have. I have a buddy that sucks down all FCC data daily then filters it for anything new and in the general area. I didn't realize it was an application only. Guess we'll be ready when it pops up!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Saturday, January 05, 2008 8:15 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Air Evac--New Freq?

No callsign yet, only an application.
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4262125
They may be using 151.505 or 158.400 in Ennis like they have in other places before they got licenses.

Tom ND5Y

Chris Easley wrote:
> It's Air Evac 74. Don't know about the call sign though.
>
> Chris Easley
> Cleburne, TX
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Russell Adams" <rwadams@...> wrote:
>> Do we have a callsign for 151.85? Which Lifestar is it? I'm adding
> it to
>> MedEvac at the state level.
>>
>>
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Russell

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27566. Re: FWPD beat changes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 06:19:30 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 27557 Message: 27566

Sounds like PD is having a hard time with it. Heard one unit say,
"Baker XXX....ugghhhh, Charlie XXX."

Still trying to get used to the change from being a Baker unit.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
> Things are all messed up now. Our COP group was in West division,
now we
> are in South division. The substation that was 1.5 miles from the house
> is still in West division, while our substation is 4 miles further and
> in the 'hood.
>
> I feel the worst for the officers working the southern areas of South
> division down towards Crowley though. Their substation is over off 287
> and Village Creek -- like 15 miles from their beat.
>
> > Thanks for the heads up Ben. I heard the North Division "patch" with
> > the Central Division last night for something. Figured the change has
> > taken affect.
> >
> >
> >> Here's an article about the FWPD beat changes going into affect
today.
> >> http://www.star-telegram.com/407/story/391748.html
> >>
> >>
>

27567. 911 Calls Article

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 13:29:45 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27567 Message: 27567

Here's an interesting article.
http://www.star-telegram.com/metro_news/story/392693.html

I'll have to read it again when I'm more awake, but an idea came to me while reading it.

For situations when there are dozens of calls incoming about what is probably a single incident, maybe there could be an automated on hold messages connected to CAD that says something like the following. "We are currently taking calls on a traffic accident in the area of westbound 30 at University. If you are calling to report more details about this accident press 1 to continue to hold. If you want to hang up without providing further information, and prevent us from having to research your hang up, press 2. Maybe that could be some system generated number that is unique to that incident, to make it a less likely for someone guy beating his wife from hanging up the phone after she dialed 911. If the person chose to hold, route that call to the same call taker who is already taking information on that particular call. That might help multiple call takers consolidate all of the different details that you might get from so many different people reporting essentially the same thing. Anyway maybe that makes sense to someone out there who could pass it along to 911 system people to consider. Maybe there could be a, "if you've dialed 911 by mistake, press 8", and all of those calls get routed to a separate call taking group, and maybe there's an option to provide more information about how the mistake happened.

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

27568. Richardson Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 03:44:34 UTC
From: dvd_rsl
Topic: 27568 Message: 27568

Richardson Police currently have a man barricaded in his home. He is armed, drunk, and threatening to "do harm" to his neighbor.
I tuned in late and so do not have an address. They are operating on RPD Tac 2 talk group.
They have been in phone contact with him but he refuses to come out.
They have asked for extra radio batteries and drinking water, so they must be thinking this will last awhile.

27569. Re: [dfwscan] 911 Calls Article

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 18:34:24 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 27567 Message: 27569

Interesting article, Ben. 'On-hold' calls are a perennial problem of 911 agencies. It will never go away. 'Hold' time is probably the most watched statistic in any 911 call center.

You're right that the omni-present cell phone has added greatly to the call load. An accident on a tollway or state highway will probably generate at least 20 calls. However, I doubt that one more layer of recorded message is a feasible solution. It just adds waiting time to any emergency that is being reported for the first time. The guy whose home has just been invaded does not want to know that "We are currently taking calls on a traffic accident in the area of westbound 30 at University."

Consider also, "If you are calling to report more details about this accident ..." The caller doesn't know what details are already provided so he's almost certain to hang up before he hears "(to) prevent us from having to research your hang up, press 2." Further, it may not be until the tenth caller phones in that a driver standing beside his car goes into cardiac arrest.

I'm afraid there is no magic solution besides the standbys -- hire more staff, pay as much overtime as is needed.

Toby

At 07:29 AM 1/6/2008, Ben Saladino wrote:

>Here's an interesting article.
><http://www.star-telegram.com/metro_news/story/392693.html>http://www.star-telegram.com/metro_news/story/392693.html
>
>I'll have to read it again when I'm more awake, but an idea came to me while
>reading it.
>
>For situations when there are dozens of calls incoming about what is
>probably a single incident, maybe there could be an automated on hold
>messages connected to CAD that says something like the following. "We are
>currently taking calls on a traffic accident in the area of westbound 30 at
>University. If you are calling to report more details about this accident
>press 1 to continue to hold. If you want to hang up without providing
>further information, and prevent us from having to research your hang up,
>press 2. Maybe that could be some system generated number that is unique to
>that incident, to make it a less likely for someone guy beating his wife
>from hanging up the phone after she dialed 911. If the person chose to hold,
>route that call to the same call taker who is already taking information on
>that particular call. That might help multiple call takers consolidate all

>of the different details that you might get from so many different people
>reporting essentially the same thing. Anyway maybe that makes sense to
>someone out there who could pass it along to 911 system people to consider.
>Maybe there could be a, "if you've dialed 911 by mistake, press 8", and all
>of those calls get routed to a separate call taking group, and maybe there's
>an option to provide more information about how the mistake happened.
>
>Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27570. Lifestar 3

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 19:23:41 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27570 Message: 27570

Careflite 3 was put on standby for MVA in Hunt County,as the first Hunt Co AMR unit arrived on scene Lifestar 3 comes across on the Hunt Co. Fire/AMR EMS channel and tells dispatch they will take the MVA call,and dispatch ask there location which Lifestar 3 says is leaving Dallas.Sounds like in the old days when taxi cabs listened to other Taxi Cab companies and jumped there calls.I guess Lifestar is scanning fire ops.Channels to get more calls.Just kidding,but it did seem strange.As of now Careflite3 is still on airborn-standby and Lifestar 3 is about 2 mins. from scene.

Mike in Garland

27571. Air Medical

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 21:01:41 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27571 Message: 27571

Here is a good Air Medical site for the DFW area.
www.northtexasairmedical.org

Mike in Garland

27572. Air Evac Lifeteam (Ellis Co) ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 21:30:25 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27572 Message: 27572

I just heard Air Med(Dallas) put on standby for Ennis on a MVA.I wonder why Ennis Fire didn't call Air Evac.Does anyone know if the Air Evac Lifeteam already have a helicopter on line in Ennis,or are they in the planning stages?

Mike in Garland

27573. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 23:24:06 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27568 Message: 27573

After a few hours of saluting and playing the game the neighbor refused to press charges and the "suspect" refused to come out. Cops left since no complainant there's no crime. 1200 block of Briarcove was the location. I suspect the neighbor may have embellished the story a bit but when it came down to signing that sworn affidavit he was smart enough to say no. Just my size-up...

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dvd_rsl
Sent: Saturday, January 05, 2008 9:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson Standoff

Richardson Police currently have a man barricaded in his home. He is armed, drunk, and threatening to "do harm" to his neighbor.
I tuned in late and so do not have an address. They are operating on RPD Tac 2 talk group.
They have been in phone contact with him but he refuses to come out.
They have asked for extra radio batteries and drinking water, so they must be thinking this will last awhile.

27574. RE: [dfwscan] Air Evac Lifeteam (Ellis Co) ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-06 23:33:16 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27572 Message: 27574

A friend tells me there is an aircraft on the pad complete with the portable A/C stuffed in the window. With all the political stuff going on between the helicopter companies and the fire departments there could be a number of reasons they were not called. Of course one could be they were already on a run.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Sunday, January 06, 2008 3:30 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Air Evac Lifeteam (Ellis Co) ?

I just heard Air Med(Dallas) put on standby for Ennis on a MVA.I wonder why Ennis Fire didn't call Air Evac.Does anyone know if the Air Evac Lifeteam already have a helicopter on line in Ennis,or are they in the planning stages?

Mike in Garland

27575. Re: Lifestar 3

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-07 00:08:10 UTC
From: cashfd13tx
Topic: 27570 Message: 27575

I know that a lot of the paramedics on Lifestar 3 are employees of AMR Hunt Co. I hear them quite a bit acknowledge on Hunt Co. Fire that they heard the request for standby or a go flight. I guess since the employees work with both groups it just makes since they have such a good working relationship. It sure cuts down on the response time, since they do not relay thru 2 dispatchers just to launch.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rescue4nfd" <rescue4nfd@...> wrote:
>
> Careflite 3 was put on standby for MVA in Hunt County,as the first
> Hunt Co AMR unit arrived on scene Lifestar 3 comes across on the Hunt
> Co. Fire/AMR EMS channel and tells dispatch they will take the MVA
> call,and dispatch ask there location which Lifestar 3 says is leaving
> Dallas.Sounds like in the old days when taxi cabs listened to other
> Taxi Cab companies and jumped there calls.I guess Lifestar is
scanning
> fire ops.Channels to get more calls.Just kidding,but it did seem
> strange.As of now Careflite3 is still on airborn-standby and Lifestar
> 3 is about 2 mins. from scene.
>
> Mike in Garland
>

27576. RE: [dfwscan] Air Evac Lifeteam (Ellis Co) ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-07 00:08:44 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 27572 Message: 27576

Air Evac 74, based in Ennis, is operational. We've had them out this way on a few scene responses already.

Most likely, EMSystem showed them out of service. busy with another call, down for maintenance, etc.

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Sunday, January 06, 2008 03:30 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Air Evac Lifeteam (Ellis Co) ?

I just heard Air Med(Dallas) put on standby for Ennis on a MVA.I wonder why Ennis Fire didn't call Air Evac.Does anyone know if the Air Evac Lifeteam already have a helicopter on line in Ennis,or are they in the planning stages?

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27577. A/C at Meacham

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-07 04:44:59 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 27577 Message: 27577

Thanks to whoever was paging it out.

Who were the 2 in the area? 1 car with hazards on E/B 820 over the Main St exit. Another car S/B with hazards on traveling S/B Main?

There was some good comms with the FD. It is good to know when something bad like that arises there is plenty of resources. It was an amazing site to see, I am glad the pilot was able to land it safely.

Usually do not go see these things or get out of the house but I have never seen a plane have to make an emergency landing.

27578. Re: Lifestar 3

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-07 05:13:38 UTC
From: RobbinsPowell
Topic: 27570 Message: 27578

Mike, when I was Comm Manager for AMR, I helped develop a communications and dispatch protocol for AMR, LifeSTAR and other Air Medical providers. Basically, a rather complex series of questions and answers helped determine which service would be assigned the call based on status and response time (an in flight helicopter fully fueled usually takes preference over a cold-start helicopter in quarters, depending on location). The quickest response was ALWAYS the determining factor, never the relationship between AMR and LifeSTAR (AMR Dispatches and Flight Follows LifeSTAR).

One factor that I had ZERO tollerance for was a flight service "overflying" anther service to get a call it the other service could have launched and arrived first. I considered that "dash for cash" and it was understood that such was a breech or protocol.

I think (but since I am no longer involved with LifeSTAR and AMR not positive) that what you heard was a decision by the crew on LifeSTAR-3 and AMR that based on location and status (in flight). LifeSTAR was instructed (as were the Flight Following Dispatchers) to monitor radio frequencies enroute, location and status to determine what would produce the best for the patient.

Hope this helps.
Rob

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rescue4nfd" <rescue4nfd@...> wrote:
>
> Careflite 3 was put on standby for MVA in Hunt County,as the first
> Hunt Co AMR unit arrived on scene Lifestar 3 comes across on the Hunt
> Co. Fire/AMR EMS channel and tells dispatch they will take the MVA
> call,and dispatch ask there location which Lifestar 3 says is leaving
> Dallas.Sounds like in the old days when taxi cabs listened to other
> Taxi Cab companies and jumped there calls.I guess Lifestar is
scanning
> fire ops.Channels to get more calls.Just kidding,but it did seem
> strange.As of now Careflite3 is still on airborn-standby and Lifestar
> 3 is about 2 mins. from scene.
>
> Mike in Garland
>

27579. Fort Worth PD Shift Question?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-07 08:18:05 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 27579 Message: 27579

Before the new beat change this is what I had for the following shifts for the units:

M115 = 1st shift (days)
M215 = 2nd shift (evenings)
M315 = 3rd shift (midnights)
M415 = NPO
M515 = special detail unit

NOW, I'm hearing the midnights units as "4" units instead of "3". So obviously there has been a change in the unit shift assignments. And as I'm writing this I'm hearing Baker 3rd shift units going 62, so I guess its the A B C (early, late) shift thing. Also I'm hearing Specialized Units using a "6". But if anyone has any info on it feel free to let it go.

RHB

27580. Re: [dfwscan] Fort Worth PD Shift Question?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-07 13:05:34 UTC
From: Paul Baumgardner
Topic: 27579 Message: 27580

It appears....that...

M1XX midnights
M2XX days
M3XX Evenings
M4XX Special Detail or Extra Units or ????

M7XX NPO

I haven't listened a lot...but that is what I have picked up so far...

Paul Baumgardner

----- Original Message ----
From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 7, 2008 2:18:05 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Fort Worth PD Shift Question?

Before the new beat change this is what I had for the following shifts
for the units:

M115 = 1st shift (days)
M215 = 2nd shift (evenings)
M315 = 3rd shift (midnights)
M415 = NPO
M515 = special detail unit

NOW, I'm hearing the midnights units as "4" units instead of "3". So
obviously there has been a change in the unit shift assignments. And
as I'm writing this I'm hearing Baker 3rd shift units going 62, so I
guess its the A B C (early, late) shift thing. Also I'm hearing
Specialized Units using a "6". But if anyone has any info on it feel
free to let it go.

RHB

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27581. Re: [dfwscan] Fort Worth PD Shift Question?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-07 13:35:40 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27579 Message: 27581

The officers on duty during the week are now working 4 days on, 3 off.
So M115 is mornings, M215 is evenings and M315 is late night. M415 is a
weekend unit and M515 is the NPO.

> Before the new beat change this is what I had for the following shifts
> for the units:
>
> M115 = 1st shift (days)
> M215 = 2nd shift (evenings)
> M315 = 3rd shift (midnights)
> M415 = NPO
> M515 = special detail unit
>
> NOW, I'm hearing the midnights units as "4" units instead of "3". So
> obviously there has been a change in the unit shift assignments. And
> as I'm writing this I'm hearing Baker 3rd shift units going 62, so I
> guess its the A B C (early, late) shift thing. Also I'm hearing
> Specialized Units using a "6". But if anyone has any info on it feel
> free to let it go.
>
>

27582. Re: Fort Worth PD Shift Question?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-07 15:26:22 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 27579 Message: 27582

Well maybe that will explain the longer shifts I guess. I was hearing units go 62 at midnight and 2am. And I was listening on a weekend night so I guess that would explain the "4"units. I guess the easiest way to find out is ask a NPO I know. Gotta love changes. Ok, thanks for everyone replying back. At least its not as confusing as Dallas's communications, but from what Im hearing its heading in that direction if things dont get worked out soon.

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
> The officers on duty during the week are now working 4 days on, 3 off.
> So M115 is mornings, M215 is evenings and M315 is late night. M415 is a
> weekend unit and M515 is the NPO.
>
> > Before the new beat change this is what I had for the following shifts
> > for the units:
> >
> > M115 = 1st shift (days)
> > M215 = 2nd shift (evenings)
> > M315 = 3rd shift (midnights)
> > M415 = NPO
> > M515 = special detail unit
> >
> > NOW, I'm hearing the midnights units as "4" units instead of "3". So
> > obviously there has been a change in the unit shift assignments. And
> > as I'm writing this I'm hearing Baker 3rd shift units going 62, so I
> > guess its the A B C (early, late) shift thing. Also I'm hearing
> > Specialized Units using a "6". But if anyone has any info on it feel
> > free to let it go.
> >
> >
>

27583. RE: [dfwscan] Air Evac Lifeteam (Ellis Co) ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-07 18:38:38 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 27572 Message: 27583

Airevac 74 is in Ennis

-----Original Message-----
From: "rescue4nfd" <rescue4nfd@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: 1/6/2008 3:34 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Air Evac Lifeteam (Ellis Co) ?

I just heard Air Med(Dallas) put on standby for Ennis on a MVA.I
wonder why Ennis Fire didn't call Air Evac.Does anyone know if the Air
Evac Lifeteam already have a helicopter on line in Ennis,or are they
in the planning stages?

Mike in Garland

27584. Re: Dallas County Fire & Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-09 04:58:42 UTC
From: RobbinsPowell
Topic: 27556 Message: 27584

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "RobbinsPowell" <robbinspowell@...>
wrote:
>
> Does anyone have the ability to read or determine the tone
frequencies
> for the 2-tone alerts that open the DCFR paging system? I am looking
> into getting a Minitor Pager for that department but have struck out
as
> of yet trying to get the A-B tones for the alerts.
>
> The system is tested each night (or at least is supposed to be
tested)
> at 21:00 hrs.
>
> Thanks!
> Rob
>

Does anyone have the ability to record the tone test, convert it to a
WAV file and e-mail it to me?

27585. Assistance with my BC246T scanner please

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-09 21:50:15 UTC
From: jamesersf
Topic: 27585 Message: 27585

Hello there group,

I live in the McKinney area. I am in need of some assistance with my BC246T scanner that I 've had for a while now. I'm wondering if there is anyone who can show me how to properly program channels into the scanner so I can use it properly. I've been to several sites like RR and have downloaded a easier manual to read but I'm still in need of assistance. I think it can be better used rather than now as the paper weight it is being. I'd appreciate any help.

Thank you,

James

27586. Re: Assistance with my BC246T scanner please

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-09 23:09:50 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 27585 Message: 27586

Hi James,

One thing you might consider, is going to www.butel.nl and download
the ARC 246 programming software ($39.95) for your BC246T.

With the newest version of this software you can import trunked and
conventional systems from radioreference.com directly into the
software. Makes programming the scanner a whole lot easier.

You would also have to be a paid subscriber to RR to be able
to import from their database. It's money well spent in my opinion.

I don't own a 246T, but thought I'd throw my 2 cents out there.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jamesersf" <jamesersf@...> wrote:
>
> Hello there group,
>
> I live in the McKinney area. I am in need of some assistance with my
> BC246T scanner that I 've had for a while now. I'm wondering if there
> is anyone who can show me how to properly program channels into the
> scanner so I can use it properly. I've been to several sites like RR
> and have downloaded a easier manual to read but I'm still in need of
> assistance. I think it can be better used rather than now as the paper
> weight it is being. I'd appreciate any help.
>
> Thank you,
>
> James
>

27587. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Assistance with my BC246T scanner please

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-10 00:17:39 UTC
From: Jason Day
Topic: 27585 Message: 27587

James, I second that. I use the ARC246 software for my BC246T. It is a great buy!!!! It is very user friendly. If you can use a spreadsheet format with the values already added, you can use the software. I dont subscribe to RR so i got everything from Ben's web site. There are printable worksheets out there that you can put everything down and work out which systems you want and where. I dont remember where i got mine, but i will look later when i get a chance. It didnt take me long to manually put the systems in. If you want specifics on how i set mine up, email me off list and ill give you the details on how i set them up using the software.

Jason Day
Arlington Texas

To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.comFrom: ceasley76@...: Wed, 9 Jan 2008 23:09:50 +0000Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Assistance with my BC246T scanner please

Hi James,One thing you might consider, is going to www.butel.nl and downloadthe ARC 246 programming software ($39.95) for your BC246T. With the newest version of this software you can import trunked andconventional systems from radioreference.com directly into thesoftware. Makes programming the scanner a whole lot easier.You would also have to be a paid subscriber to RR to be ableto import from their database. It's money well spent in my opinion. I don't own a 246T, but thought I'd throw my 2 cents out there.Chris EasleyCleburne, TX--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jamesersf" <jamesersf@...> wrote:>> Hello there group, > > I live in the McKinney area. I am in need of some assistance with my > BC246T scanner that I 've had for a while now. I'm wondering if there > is anyone who can show me how to properly program channels into the > scanner so I can use it properly. I've been to several sites like RR > and have downloaded a easier manual to read but I'm still in need of > assistance. I think it can be better used rather than now as the paper > weight it is being. I'd appreciate any help. > > Thank you, > > James>

Get the power of Windows + Web with the new Windows Live.
http://www.windowslive.com?ocid=TXT_TAGHM_Wave2_powerofwindows_012008

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27588. Air Evac 47

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-12 01:41:21 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27588 Message: 27588

Has anyone heard any radio traffic from Air Evac 74 on 151.8500 this week?Thanks for any Info.

Mike in Garland

27589. Air Evac 74

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-12 03:24:50 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27589 Message: 27589

I just heard Air Evac 74 being put on standby for a MVA in Ellis County.The Freq. is 158.4000 (DPL 155)
Mike in Garland

27590. Ellis County Radio System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-13 02:59:57 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 27590 Message: 27590

Please read the article and tell me what you think

http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/city/ellis/stories/DN-ellissafety_12met.ART0.East.Edition1.3769e6a.html

27591. Re: [dfwscan] Ellis County Radio System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-13 14:38:45 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 27590 Message: 27591

Are they on the ETMC trunked system or still on high band?
Either way here is what I think.

"Many of the outages occur in creek bottoms or other low-lying areas."
"The outages are likened to a typical cellphone call in a rural area.
One minute the reception is good, the next minute, it vanishes."
"If we can communicate with folks in space... we can surely communicate
with folks that are a few miles away."
Duh! Ever hear of line of sight?

"faulty handsets or the lack of training"
I say lack of training. They probably keep their hand helds on their
belts, use speaker-mics and wonder why they can't talk out of a creek
bottom.

"The county's communication guru and Sheriff's Department captain,"
You can't be both.

The laws of physics apply to everybody. First responders are not exempt.

Tom

Justin Lentz wrote:
> Please read the article and tell me what you think
>
> http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/city/ellis/stories/DN-ellissafety_12met
.ART0.East.Edition1.3769e6a.html

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

27592. Re: [dfwscan] Ellis County Radio System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-13 15:46:41 UTC
From: Joseph Evans
Topic: 27590 Message: 27592

I believe only the City of Waxahachie police/fire is on EMTC trunked. Everyone else is business as usual. The City of Waxahachie police & fire are also simulcasting their signals on VHF.

Good thoughts about not transmitting with the handheld on your belt. Not a very good way to get out. The Ellis County Amateur Radio club is planning to install multiple repeaters throughout the county with different PL tones 145.410 - (110.9) to solve the problems with deadspots during public safety and Skywarn events. I am sure there will still be issues with handhelds and not being within line of sight of the repeater regardless.

Joseph Evans KD5RFC

Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
Are they on the ETMC trunked system or still on high band?
Either way here is what I think.

"Many of the outages occur in creek bottoms or other low-lying areas."
"The outages are likened to a typical cellphone call in a rural area.
One minute the reception is good, the next minute, it vanishes."
"If we can communicate with folks in space... we can surely communicate
with folks that are a few miles away."
Duh! Ever hear of line of sight?

"faulty handsets or the lack of training"
I say lack of training. They probably keep their hand helds on their
belts, use speaker-mics and wonder why they can't talk out of a creek
bottom.

"The county's communication guru and Sheriff's Department captain,"
You can't be both.

The laws of physics apply to everybody. First responders are not exempt.

Tom

Justin Lentz wrote:
> Please read the article and tell me what you think
>
> http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/city/ellis/stories/DN-ellissafety_12met
.ART0.East.Edition1.3769e6a.html

_____
Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your homepage.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27593. Re: Ellis County Radio System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-13 15:58:54 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 27590 Message: 27593

The only agency in Ellis County that is on the ETMC system is
Waxahachie. None of the others have switched over, & remain on
high-band. (ETMC EMS, which does provide service for much of the
county is, of course, on the TRS system.)

As Tom pointed out, there are terrain problems, especially in the
southwest area of the county, where there are significant drop-offs as
you go west or southwest from Midlothian. That leaves the area radio
'blind' to Midlothian's tower, and undoubtedly to the ETMC system as
well, as that tower is on the north side of Waxahachie, an even worse
angle.

The key wording in the article is this:
"We improved the equipment that we had at the most minimal cost we
could afford because we knew this [narrow bandwidth] issue was going
to arise," Capt. Knight said.
In other words, a cheap non-fix, because they'll have to do something
more expensive by 2013.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
>
> Are they on the ETMC trunked system or still on high band?
> Either way here is what I think.
>
> "Many of the outages occur in creek bottoms or other low-lying areas."
> "The outages are likened to a typical cellphone call in a rural area.
> One minute the reception is good, the next minute, it vanishes."
> "If we can communicate with folks in space... we can surely communicate
> with folks that are a few miles away."
> Duh! Ever hear of line of sight?
>
>
> "faulty handsets or the lack of training"
> I say lack of training. They probably keep their hand helds on their
> belts, use speaker-mics and wonder why they can't talk out of a creek
> bottom.
>
>
> "The county's communication guru and Sheriff's Department captain,"
> You can't be both.
>
> The laws of physics apply to everybody. First responders are not exempt.
>
> Tom
>
> Justin Lentz wrote:

> > Please read the article and tell me what you think
> >
> >
http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/city/ellis/stories/DN-ellissafety_12met.ART0.East.Edition1.3769e6a.html
>
>
>

> Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
> http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs
>

27594. Re: Ellis County Radio System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-13 21:23:25 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27590 Message: 27594

Tom ND5Y wrote:

> "The county's communication guru and Sheriff's Department captain,"
> You can't be both.

Well put Tom. Couldn't have said it better.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27595. Re: Ellis County Radio System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-13 21:42:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27590 Message: 27595

hiegtx wrote:

> The key wording in the article is this:
> "We improved the equipment that we had at the most minimal cost we
> could afford because we knew this [narrow bandwidth] issue was going
> to arise," Capt. Knight said.

What's the old saying? You can put lipstick
on a pig, but it's still a pig....Maybe they can use
a CB radio for dispatch. Heck, it's low band, it'd
probably go further....LOL

This is an interesting case as the county actually
does have dead spots and issues that could actually
benefit from a new comm system, rather than a
Motorola dog and pony show as we hear the sound
of 30 million or so flushing down the drain. Whatever
the end result, they'll have to decide soon. Hopefully,
(and in a perfect world scenario), they will think of the
public safety units out in the field, and the imperative
nature of having the clearest most reliable communications
possible. I say P25 digital narrowband, maximum wattage
output, and 4 tall transmitter sites in the quadrants of the
county with voting receivers. Each site would carry the
same frequencies for VFD's, S.O., local agencies, and
narrowband mutual aid for interoperability. Just my
thoughts, but I'm certainly NOT an engineer.....:)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27596. Dallas Fire Battalion Districts

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-14 00:58:32 UTC
From: cashfd13tx
Topic: 27596 Message: 27596

Does anyone have a map that shows the different areas that BC's cover for Dallas. I have not figured out how they send them to fires in a certain area. I know they assign 2 of them on fires, but how is this decided. I am also wondering if anyone has a map that shows which stations they are assigned to? Please feel free to email off list.

Thanks,

Doug

27597. LTR Analyzer Scan Project

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-14 05:34:21 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27597 Message: 27597

I have started going through all of the LTR trunks listed on RadioReference and using LTR Analyzer to see which ones are still up and trying to identify any new frequencies I have encountered. I have posted my prelimatary results so far from the scans of the past couple weeks and will continue to update this list and eventually add it to my web page as the list get confirmed with full systems. Any input or help especially with the unknown ones would be appreciated.

The list for the time being while I am still tying to identify what frequency belongs where you can find the list on my web page blog at http://dfwscanning.blogspot.com/

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27598. Army Reserve article

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-14 14:19:49 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27598 Message: 27598

Wonder if there will be anything new to hear.
http://www.star-telegram.com/metro_news/story/408211.html

27599. USSS Codenames

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-14 20:45:08 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27599 Message: 27599

This was in a FEDCOM list post....

Barack Obama's code name is "Renegade"

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27600. Re: Army Reserve article

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-14 21:04:00 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27598 Message: 27600

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:

> Wonder if there will be anything new to hear.

Only time will tell, but I'm sure they'll have SOME
type of communications.

Best bet is to keep a search bank for 138-144,
148-150.8, 162-174, and 406-420 mhz, especially
the new realignments in the 400 band. I recently
found some new digitals there. Plenty more to come
I would think....

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27601. TV analog frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-15 00:23:58 UTC
From: Bryan Richhart
Topic: 27601 Message: 27601

Hi all,

Has anyone heard or seen how they are going to reallocate the analog spectrum when they quit broadcasting on the analog side?

Thanks,

Bryan

27602. Re: Fort Worth PD Shift Question?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-15 17:35:50 UTC
From: James Pultz
Topic: 27579 Message: 27602

I have a friend that works special duty on North Patrol. His shift is usually 6p - 2a. His shift varies as the need for his unit. They sometimes work 8p - 4a. This might account for some of the 62's you're hearing. I also know the gang unit work varied hours as well. I've seen them on the streets nightside as well as deep nights. Some of them might be ones your hearin as well.

-- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Well maybe that will explain the longer shifts I guess. I was hearing
> units go 62 at midnight and 2am. And I was listening on a weekend
> night so I guess that would explain the "4"units. I guess the easiest
> way to find out is ask a NPO I know. Gotta love changes. Ok, thanks
> for everyone replying back. At least its not as confusing as Dallas's
> communications, but from what Im hearing its heading in that direction
> if things dont get worked out soon.
>
> RHB
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Boyer <daweasel@> wrote:
> >
> > The officers on duty during the week are now working 4 days on, 3 off.
> > So M115 is mornings, M215 is evenings and M315 is late night. M415 is a
> > weekend unit and M515 is the NPO.
> >
> > > Before the new beat change this is what I had for the following shifts
> > > for the units:
> > >
> > > M115 = 1st shift (days)
> > > M215 = 2nd shift (evenings)
> > > M315 = 3rd shift (midnights)
> > > M415 = NPO
> > > M515 = special detail unit
> > >
> > > NOW, I'm hearing the midnights units as "4" units instead of "3". So
> > > obviously there has been a change in the unit shift

assignments. And
> > > as I'm writing this I'm hearing Baker 3rd shift units going 62,
so I
> > > guess its the A B C (early, late) shift thing. Also I'm hearing
> > > Specialized Units using a "6". But if anyone has any info on it
feel
> > > free to let it go.
> > >
> > >
> >
>

27603. Re: Dallas Fire Battalion Districts

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-16 01:34:43 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 27596 Message: 27603

The PIO used to have a pamphlet that relected the battalion areas but they recently realligned the battalion areas down south to accommodate the opening of Station 40 so it is out of date.

Assignment to fires is a function of where is the fire and who is available. The CAD checks the AVL to see who is closest and also available. We recently had a multi alarm fire in Oak Cliff and a box came in during that time, Bn 7 from North Dallas was filling in at 15's and was first in BC.

It is all about the miracle of computers making our lives better.

Wally

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "cashfd13tx" <dgray13@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a map that shows the different areas that BC's cover
> for Dallas. I have not figured out how they send them to fires in a
> certain area. I know they assign 2 of them on fires, but how is this
> decided. I am also wondering if anyone has a map that shows which
> stations they are assigned to? Please feel free to email off list.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Doug
>

27604. Re: Dallas County Fire & Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-16 21:17:33 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 27556 Message: 27604

Check out Ben's page for area tones:

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/UnidenFireToneOuts.htm

He has Dallas County All Call as follows
Tone A: 349.0 Tone B: 389.0

Also check out the Uniden BR330T and the BCD396T which can receive
these tone outs and unmute the radio.

Hope that helps.

Chance KC5EOK

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "RobbinsPowell" <robbinspowell@...>
wrote:
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "RobbinsPowell" <robbinspowell@>
> wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone have the ability to read or determine the tone
> frequencies
> > for the 2-tone alerts that open the DCFR paging system? I am
looking
> > into getting a Minitor Pager for that department but have struck
out
> as
> > of yet trying to get the A-B tones for the alerts.
> >
> > The system is tested each night (or at least is supposed to be
> tested)
> > at 21:00 hrs.
> >
> > Thanks!
> > Rob
> >
>
> Does anyone have the ability to record the tone test, convert it to
a
> WAV file and e-mail it to me?
>

27605. Re: TV analog frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-16 21:18:08 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 27601 Message: 27605

Channels 52 thru 69 are being freed up the analog to digital conversion. That means all of the 700 mhz band will be up for auction except a special segment set aside for public safety. Public safety is getting 764-776 MHz and 794-806 MHz. Part of the money collected from auction is going to pay for the $40 coupons that people will get to upgrade to a digital receiver.

Check out

http://www.w5yi.org/ama_news_article.php?id=244

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bryan Richhart" <bryanrichhart@...> wrote:
>
> Hi all,
>
> Has anyone heard or seen how they are going to reallocate the analog
> spectrum when they quit broadcasting on the analog side?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Bryan
>

27606. Lewsville system outage?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 01:21:01 UTC
From: Doug
Topic: 27606 Message: 27606

Was the Lewisville system down again this afternoon (1-16-08)? Seems to be a regular happening. Does this have anything to do with the adding of the new tower?

Doug

27607. Re: Lewsville system outage?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 01:39:58 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27606 Message: 27607

Doug wrote:

> Does this have anything to do with the adding
> of the new tower?

When does that tower go into operation?
Is the new one at the water treatment plant
off Mill St.?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27608. Re: Lewsville system outage?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 02:06:55 UTC
From: Doug
Topic: 27606 Message: 27608

There will be an additional site added to the system to serve the west end of Flower Mound. Have heard that the location is co-located with Denton County at Grapevine Lake. Have not heard if there will be a third location to the East.

Doug

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Doug wrote:
>
> > Does this have anything to do with the adding
> > of the new tower?
>
> When does that tower go into operation?
> Is the new one at the water treatment plant
> off Mill St.?
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>

27609. Re: Lewsville system outage?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 03:14:53 UTC
From: Dustin
Topic: 27606 Message: 27609

I see Lewisville has backup groups on the Carrollton system. Have they
been using those during these outages?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Doug" <kc5eib@...> wrote:
>
> Was the Lewisville system down again this afternoon (1-16-08)? Seems to
> be a regular happening. Does this have anything to do with the adding
> of the new tower?
>
> Doug
>

27610. Lake Dallas, Hickory Creek, Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 03:45:47 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 27610 Message: 27610

Just a quick note on NBC5 News 5 &6 said
that Corinth Fire is taking over Fire duties
for Lake Dallas, Hickory Creek and some
other FD. The cities voted not to keep
their FD's and let Corinth FD serve their
cites.

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle Texas - Parker County

27611. Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 04:05:06 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27611 Message: 27611

Ok. What am I missing here?

Trying to monitor and capture talkgroups for
the new Frisco P25 800 system on Unitrunker
but getting nothing. Unitrunker says no signal,
and doesn't decode whatsoever, even though I
have it set for P25. Am I doing something wrong?

Control channel data rate sounds very high...

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27612. Bait Car traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 13:13:08 UTC
From: Bill Hammon
Topic: 27612 Message: 27612

It appears Arlington has a bait car program. I've been listening to Arlington East and they have a car getting some looks. It sounds like they are waiting for it to move so they can pounce on the a-holes stealing it.

I just found out they've been doing this since July 2006. This is the first time I've heard the radio traffic associated with it.

27613. Re: [dfwscan] Bait Car traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 13:49:50 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27612 Message: 27613

If I recall correctly, the DFW cities et al share a couple of bait cars -- when one area gets wise, they move it elsewhere. I wish they would publish the videos like they do on Cops -- much fin to see the idiots locked in a car that won't go, surrounded by police.

> It appears Arlington has a bait car program. I've been listening to
> Arlington East and they have a car getting some looks. It sounds like
> they are waiting for it to move so they can pounce on the a-holes
> stealing it.
>
> I just found out they've been doing this since July 2006. This is the
> first time I've heard the radio traffic associated with it.
>
>
>

27614. Re: [dfwscan] Bait Car traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 14:12:32 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27612 Message: 27614

Richardson had a bait car back in September. Not saying where it was parked but my friend called the police to report it cause it had been in the parking lot for a while. Nothing happened for 2 days and he called back to complain. About 20 min later a detective came up and told him it was a bait car. I got a good look at it. So, if you say they share the bait cars that would make sense. I was wondering where they got it.

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

----- Original Message ----
From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2008 7:49:50 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Bait Car traffic

If I recall correctly, the DFW cities et al share a couple of bait cars
-- when one area gets wise, they move it elsewhere. I wish they would
publish the videos like they do on Cops -- much fin to see the idiots
locked in a car that won't go, surrounded by police.
> It appears Arlington has a bait car program. I've been listening to
> Arlington East and they have a car getting some looks. It sounds like
> they are waiting for it to move so they can pounce on the a-holes
> stealing it.
>
> I just found out they've been doing this since July 2006. This is the
> first time I've heard the radio traffic associated with it.
>
>
>

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27615. Frisco went digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 14:35:19 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27615 Message: 27615

I just saw anothers post on the new p25 in Frisco. I have been holding out on getting a portable digital scanner cause no one that I listen to is digital. Is there any other cities that are planning on going digital? Like Rowlett, Richardson, Garland, Rockwall, Plano? Those are the cities I listen to.

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27616. Re: [dfwscan] Frisco went digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 16:58:54 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 27615 Message: 27616

Jason Simpkins wrote:

> I just saw anothers post on the new p25 in Frisco. I have been holding
> out on getting a portable digital scanner cause no one that I listen to
> is digital. Is there any other cities that are planning on going
> digital? Like Rowlett, Richardson, Garland, Rockwall, Plano? Those are
> the cities I listen to.

Hi Jason and the group. Here is what I know as of this date (it seems to change weekly) hehehe.

Back in November of 2007, Garland City Council started the wheels moving to R&D and purchase a digital system. This would include Rowlett and Sachse. I did not think too much about it at the time. Weellll, after the last revision (#6) of the "Texas Statewide Interoperability Channel Plan" I can see where this is all heading. As of 12-31-2012, all analog NPSPAC channels are to be shut down. As of 01-01-2013 the NPSPAC package goes P25. Putting the two known facts together, Garland "might" be looking to have a P25 trunking system (?) up and running by that date. The (?) means that they "might" also establish a non-trunking P25 system (as the DPS has done). Theirs is SSSWWWEEEETTTT.

I have an inventory of all of the Rowlett radios (we own our radios) and we are talking about getting our non-P25 radios replaced.

We must get through rebanding first and move forward from there. I have lost sight of what stage of rebanding we are in right now. I fully expect Josh (Garland Radio Shop) and Motorola to drop by just any day. We have already sent them our man-hour charges, as we will have to have somebody escort them to the different radio sites.

At present, I am "spearheading" a project to install three antennas on the new Kirby Road water tower (two for city radios and one amateur dualband). Progress will speed up after the next council meet, as that is when the tower will be accepted by the city. Then my folks and a communications contractor (to be announced later) can start "drilling and pounding" on the tower. hehehe

Be safe. y'all.

whr
--
W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

27617. Re: [dfwscan] Bait Car traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 20:03:24 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 27612 Message: 27617

Maybe that was why Fort Worth didn't act on a car we found. There was a right-new car with buyer tags and a torn up front bumper that sat at one location for almost two weeks -- we called on it several times and it finally disappeared. I started to call the dealership that sold it to see if the owner dumped it when they couldn't make the payments.

---------- Original message ----------

> Richardson had a bait car back in September. Not saying where it was parked but
> my friend called the police to report it cause it had been in the parking lot
> for a while. Nothing happened for 2 days and he called back to complain. About
> 20 min later a detective came up and told him it was a bait car. I got a good
> look at it. So, if you say they share the bait cars that would make sense. I was
> wondering where they got it.

> If I recall correctly, the DFW cities et al share a couple of bait cars
> -- when one area gets wise, they move it elsewhere. I wish they would
> publish the videos like they do on Cops -- much fin to see the idiots
> locked in a car that won't go, surrounded by police.
> > It appears Arlington has a bait car program. I've been listening to
> > Arlington East and they have a car getting some looks. It sounds like
> > they are waiting for it to move so they can pounce on the a-holes
> > stealing it.
> >
> > I just found out they've been doing this since July 2006. This is the
> > first time I've heard the radio traffic associated with it.
> >

27618. Re: [dfwscan] Bait Car traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-17 20:21:44 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27612 Message: 27618

I probably shouldn't say this but one way to check on the car is to run it. I ran it on Public Data the one that was in Richardson and it came back to the City of Dallas. So, what the officer was telling me was true.

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

----- Original Message ----
From: "daweasel@..." <daweasel@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2008 2:03:24 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Bait Car traffic

Maybe that was why Fort Worth didn't act on a car we found. There was a right-new car with buyer tags and a torn up front bumper that sat at one location for almost two weeks -- we called on it several times and it finally disappeared. I started to call the dealership that sold it to see if the owner dumped it when they couldn't make the payments.

------------ -- Original message ------------ --------- -

> Richardson had a bait car back in September. Not saying where it was parked but
> my friend called the police to report it cause it had been in the parking lot
> for a while. Nothing happened for 2 days and he called back to complain. About
> 20 min later a detective came up and told him it was a bait car. I got a good
> look at it. So, if you say they share the bait cars that would make sense. I was
> wondering where they got it.

> If I recall correctly, the DFW cities et al share a couple of bait cars
> -- when one area gets wise, they move it elsewhere. I wish they would
> publish the videos like they do on Cops -- much fin to see the idiots
> locked in a car that won't go, surrounded by police.
> > It appears Arlington has a bait car program. I've been listening to
> > Arlington East and they have a car getting some looks. It sounds like
> > they are waiting for it to move so they can pounce on the a-holes
> > stealing it.
> >
> > I just found out they've been doing this since July 2006. This is the
> > first time I've heard the radio traffic associated with it.
> >

_____
Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27619. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-18 00:47:59 UTC
From: Dustin
Topic: 27611 Message: 27619

Blaine, I'm having the same problems trying to decode Frisco from here in McKinney. My 780 is receiving the signal fine, but UniTrunker doesn't recognize it even with P25 decoding turned on. Perhaps the P25 control channels just require us to be a little closer to the source in order to decode properly???

Dustin
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Ok. What am I missing here?
>
> Trying to monitor and capture talkgroups for
> the new Frisco P25 800 system on Unitrunker
> but getting nothing. Unitrunker says no signal,
> and doesn't decode whatsoever, even though I
> have it set for P25. Am I doing something wrong?
>
> Control channel data rate sounds very high...
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>

27620. Question about Adaptor or Cable

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-18 01:52:38 UTC
From: jamesersf
Topic: 27620 Message: 27620

I have a BC246T Scanner. I have recently downloaded a program to be able to program my scanner. I went to plug in the cable to my laptop and found that the cable port on my computer is a 15 pin male side port and the cable itself is a 9 pin male sideed cable. Does anyone know if an adaptor is made and can be used to make this work? Am I better off getting a USB cable that will fit the smaller side that goes into the scanner itself and would this work to transfer data?

I don't realy know very much about scanners at this point. I'm having a problem getting to use it and would like some feedback.

Thank you,

James
jamesersf@...

27621. RE: [dfwscan] Question about Adaptor or Cable

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-18 03:39:59 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 27620 Message: 27621

A 15-pin port isn't serial. Probably video or something else.

What sort of laptop? You may not have any serial connections at all.

If a USB cable is available and supported by the programming software,
that's what I'd recommend. Serial is dying anyway.

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
jamesersf
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2008 07:53 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Question about Adaptor or Cable

I have a BC246T Scanner. I have recently downloaded a program to be
able to program my scanner. I went to plug in the cable to my laptop
and found that the cable port on my computer is a 15 pin male side port
and the cable itself is a 9 pin male sideed cable. Does anyone know if
an adaptor is made and can be used to make this work? Am I better off
getting a USB cable that will fit the smaller side that goes into the
scanner itself and would this work to transfer data?

I don't realy know very much about scanners at this point. I'm having
a problem getting to use it and would like some feedback.

Thank you,

James
jamesersf@... <mailto:jamesersf%40yahoo.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27622. Re: Question about Adaptor or Cable

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-18 04:28:25 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 27620 Message: 27622

James,

My suggestion would be to get the IOGEAR USB to serial cable.
Model GUC232A. I'm presuming your using the cable that came
with your scanner? If it's like the cable that came with
my BC396T then on one end you have serial and the other end
plugs into the scanner.

Anyway, with the GUC232A you simply connect the GUC232A serial end
to the serial end of your programming cable. Then connect the USB
end to your laptop. You'll need to have the driver file for the
GUC232A of course. Easily obtained through IOGEAR's website BTW.

Once you have the GUC232A installed to your laptop, connect your
scanner, open your software and configure the port settings.
That way your software will know which port the cable is
connected to. After that you should be in business.

If you have any questions feel free to reply to me here or off-list.

Good Luck,

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> jamesersf
> Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2008 07:53 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Question about Adaptor or Cable
>
>
>
> I have a BC246T Scanner. I have recently downloaded a program to be
> able to program my scanner. I went to plug in the cable to my laptop
> and found that the cable port on my computer is a 15 pin male side port
> and the cable itself is a 9 pin male sideed cable. Does anyone know if
> an adaptor is made and can be used to make this work? Am I better off
> getting a USB cable that will fit the smaller side that goes into the
> scanner itself and would this work to transfer data?
>
> I don't realy know very much about scanners at this point. I'm having
> a problem getting to use it and would like some feedback.
>
> Thank you,
>

> James
> jamesersf@... <mailto:jamesersf%40yahoo.com>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

27623. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-18 11:42:24 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27611 Message: 27623

Dustin wrote:

> Perhaps the
> P25 control channels just require us to be a little closer to the
> source in order to decode properly???

Perhaps....

I don't know. I'm not an engineer. But I can tell you I
get the signal from the control channel pretty strong
here, so it SHOULD decode on Unitrunker, as Unitrunker
has decoded MUCH fainter trunked systems before from
my location.

The PRO-96 does detect the system ID and such so I
know it's indeed operational. Perhaps Unitrunker
doesn't generate talk group logging if a system is in
"test" mode or something...I don't know.

So far, no activity on the PRO-96.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27624. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-18 12:38:55 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 27611 Message: 27624

Have you tried runiing Pro96com? It logs P25 system activity.

Ed

Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
Dustin wrote:

> Perhaps the
> P25 control channels just require us to be a little closer to the
> source in order to decode properly???

Perhaps....

I don't know. I'm not an engineer. But I can tell you I
get the signal from the control channel pretty strong
here, so it SHOULD decode on Unitrunker, as Unitrunker
has decoded MUCH fainter trunked systems before from
my location.

The PRO-96 does detect the system ID and such so I
know it's indeed operational. Perhaps Unitrunker
doesn't generate talk group logging if a system is in
"test" mode or something...I don't know.

So far, no activity on the PRO-96.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

Looking for last minute shopping deals? Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27625. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-18 13:30:47 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27611 Message: 27625

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Ok. What am I missing here?
>
> Trying to monitor and capture talkgroups for
> the new Frisco P25 800 system on Unitrunker
> but getting nothing. Unitrunker says no signal,
> and doesn't decode whatsoever, even though I
> have it set for P25. Am I doing something wrong?
>
> Control channel data rate sounds very high...
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>

Hey Blaine,
There is something going on with that trunk as I can decode the signal
here in west Plano but it's really low especially during the daytime.
I am only getting a 10% decode during the day and 30% decode during
the night. You think that I would be getting a higher decode rate
considering that I am not really that far from the southern border of
Frisco.

Anyways this is what I have decoded so far with frequencies, TG and RID's

Frequencies
856.6125 CC (Channel 129)
857.6125 Voice (Channel 209)
858.1875 Voice (Channel 255)
858.6125 CC (Channel 289)

Talkgroups
3 Unknown
501 Unknown
502 Unknown

Radio ID's
201 (Used TG 501)
202 (Used TG 501)

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning

http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27626. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-19 01:44:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27611 Message: 27626

Matthew wrote:

> I am only getting a 10% decode during the day and 30% decode during
> the night.

Finally got Unitrunker to decode it (barely). Only getting a
13% MAXIMUM on signal level with the outside antenna. In
comparison, even at it's distance, I get over a 90% decode
on the Arlington system with Unitrunker, and I don't even
monitor that system with the scanner on a regular basis.

So something is definitely funky with this new Frisco system.
Perhaps power is low, or the antenna is not at a permanent
location or good height while they are in the "testing" phase.

> Anyways this is what I have decoded so far with frequencies, TG and RID's

> Talkgroups
> 3 Unknown
> 501 Unknown
> 502 Unknown

Thanks for the confirms.

I normally don't monitor Frisco. Those that do....Has there
been ANY mention of the new digital system....references
to new talkgroups, etc. on the PLANO system OR has
everything still remained the same?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27627. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-19 17:49:23 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27611 Message: 27627

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Matthew wrote:
>
> > I am only getting a 10% decode during the day and 30% decode during
> > the night.
>
> Finally got Unitrunker to decode it (barely). Only getting a
> 13% MAXIMUM on signal level with the outside antenna. In
> comparison, even at it's distance, I get over a 90% decode
> on the Arlington system with Unitrunker, and I don't even
> monitor that system with the scanner on a regular basis.
>
> So something is definitely funky with this new Frisco system.
> Perhaps power is low, or the antenna is not at a permanent
> location or good height while they are in the "testing" phase.
>
> > Anyways this is what I have decoded so far with frequencies, TG
and RID's
>
> > Talkgroups
> > 3 Unknown
> > 501 Unknown
> > 502 Unknown
>
> Thanks for the confirms.
>
> I normally don't monitor Frisco. Those that do....Has there
> been ANY mention of the new digital system....references
> to new talkgroups, etc. on the PLANO system OR has
> everything still remained the same?

I haven't monitored Plano in a while. I really should and see if
there is any mention of the new system. There is another TG I got
yesterday morning which is TG 505. So far there is only the 2 Radio
ID's that have shown up and no new frequencies.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27628. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-19 20:35:05 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 27611 Message: 27628

On the true P25 systems like Austin's, Frisco's, and soon to be Parker County's types, do the TG IDs not need to be divisible by 16 or 32 (I always forget which it is)?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Matthew
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 11:49 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Matthew wrote:
>
> > I am only getting a 10% decode during the day and 30% decode during
> > the night.
>
> Finally got Unitrunker to decode it (barely). Only getting a
> 13% MAXIMUM on signal level with the outside antenna. In
> comparison, even at it's distance, I get over a 90% decode
> on the Arlington system with Unitrunker, and I don't even
> monitor that system with the scanner on a regular basis.
>
> So something is definitely funky with this new Frisco system.
> Perhaps power is low, or the antenna is not at a permanent
> location or good height while they are in the "testing" phase.
>
> > Anyways this is what I have decoded so far with frequencies, TG and RID's
>
> > Talkgroups
> > 3 Unknown
> > 501 Unknown
> > 502 Unknown
>
> Thanks for the confirms.
>
> I normally don't monitor Frisco. Those that do....Has there
> been ANY mention of the new digital system....references
> to new talkgroups, etc. on the PLANO system OR has
> everything still remained the same?

I haven't monitored Plano in a while. I really should and see if there is any mention of the new system. There is another TG I got yesterday morning which is TG 505. So far there is only the 2 Radio ID's that have shown up and no new frequencies.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27629. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-19 21:20:45 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 27611 Message: 27629

Correct. P25 does not use status bits as part of the talkgroup.
They can be numbered 1,2,3 etc...
Does P25 still do patching, multiselect and emergencies thought coding of
the signal another way?

Myles
----- Original Message -----
From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 2:35 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

>
> On the true P25 systems like Austin's, Frisco's, and soon to be Parker
> County's types, do the TG IDs not need to be divisible by 16 or 32 (I
> always
> forget which it is)?
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of
> Matthew
> Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 11:49 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>>
>> Matthew wrote:
>>
>> > I am only getting a 10% decode during the day and 30% decode during
>> > the night.
>>
>> Finally got Unitrunker to decode it (barely). Only getting a
>> 13% MAXIMUM on signal level with the outside antenna. In
>> comparison, even at it's distance, I get over a 90% decode
>> on the Arlington system with Unitrunker, and I don't even
>> monitor that system with the scanner on a regular basis.
>>
>> So something is definitely funky with this new Frisco system.
>> Perhaps power is low, or the antenna is not at a permanent
>> location or good height while they are in the "testing" phase.
>>

>> > Anyways this is what I have decoded so far with frequencies, TG
> and RID's
>>
>> > Talkgroups
>> > 3 Unknown
>> > 501 Unknown
>> > 502 Unknown
>>
>> Thanks for the confirms.
>>
>> I normally don't monitor Frisco. Those that do....Has there
>> been ANY mention of the new digital system....references
>> to new talkgroups, etc. on the PLANO system OR has
>> everything still remained the same?
>
> I haven't monitored Plano in a while. I really should and see if
> there is any mention of the new system. There is another TG I got
> yesterday morning which is TG 505. So far there is only the 2 Radio
> ID's that have shown up and no new frequencies.
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

27630. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-19 22:32:07 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27611 Message: 27630

Yes. There are seperate status words in the P25 data stream that indicates this.

I believe the following training guide covers it (but I have only skimmed it):

http://www.p25.com/resources/P25TrainingGuide.pdf

----- Original Message ----
From: Myles Barkman <kg5ai@...>
To: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
Cc: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 3:20:45 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Correct. P25 does not use status bits as part of the talkgroup.
They can be numbered 1,2,3 etc...
Does P25 still do patching, multiselect and emergencies thought coding of
the signal another way?

Myles
----- Original Message -----
From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@ sbcglobal. net>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups .com>
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 2:35 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

>
> On the true P25 systems like Austin's, Frisco's, and soon to be Parker
> County's types, do the TG IDs not need to be divisible by 16 or 32 (I
> always
> forget which it is)?
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf
> Of
> Matthew
> Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 11:49 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@ ...> wrote:
>>
>> Matthew wrote:
>>
>> > I am only getting a 10% decode during the day and 30% decode during

>> > the night.
>>
>> Finally got Unitrunker to decode it (barely). Only getting a
>> 13% MAXIMUM on signal level with the outside antenna. In
>> comparison, even at it's distance, I get over a 90% decode
>> on the Arlington system with Unitrunker, and I don't even
>> monitor that system with the scanner on a regular basis.
>>
>> So something is definitely funky with this new Frisco system.
>> Perhaps power is low, or the antenna is not at a permanent
>> location or good height while they are in the "testing" phase.
>>
>> > Anyways this is what I have decoded so far with frequencies, TG
> and RID's
>>
>> > Talkgroups
>> > 3 Unknown
>> > 501 Unknown
>> > 502 Unknown
>>
>> Thanks for the confirms.
>>
>> I normally don't monitor Frisco. Those that do....Has there
>> been ANY mention of the new digital system....reference s
>> to new talkgroups, etc. on the PLANO system OR has
>> everything still remained the same?
>
> I haven't monitored Plano in a while. I really should and see if
> there is any mention of the new system. There is another TG I got
> yesterday morning which is TG 505. So far there is only the 2 Radio
> ID's that have shown up and no new frequencies.
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscann ing.net/
>
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27631. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-19 23:42:40 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27611 Message: 27631

Ed C. wrote:

> Have you tried runiing Pro96com? It logs P25 system activity.

Installed it and I'm getting a 100% receive rate
on the control channels with the Pro96com
program latency set to 25ms.

So far, nothing logged though.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27632. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-20 00:26:29 UTC
From: Dustin
Topic: 27611 Message: 27632

I went mobile with Unitrunker today up to the top of the parking garage at 121/Tollway next to the Roughrider's Ballpark. I was able to decode, but only at a maximum of 33% so there very well may be something up with that system. I did not see any activity while I was there though. Glad to hear Pro96com is working well. Maybe it's about time I invest in a digital receiver!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Ok. What am I missing here?
>
> Trying to monitor and capture talkgroups for
> the new Frisco P25 800 system on Unitrunker
> but getting nothing. Unitrunker says no signal,
> and doesn't decode whatsoever, even though I
> have it set for P25. Am I doing something wrong?
>
> Control channel data rate sounds very high...
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>

27633. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-20 03:00:51 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27611 Message: 27633

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Dustin" <musicman476@...> wrote:
>
> I went mobile with Unitrunker today up to the top of the parking
> garage at 121/Tollway next to the Roughrider's Ballpark. I was able
> to decode, but only at a maximum of 33% so there very well may be
> something up with that system. I did not see any activity while I was
> there though. Glad to hear Pro96com is working well. Maybe it's about
> time I invest in a digital receiver!

The only time I really have seen any action is in the early mornings
during the weekday. I haven't seen any type of action today.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27634. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-20 09:03:17 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 27611 Message: 27634

Thank you Myles and Paul for the clarification and additional information.

I can't wait until Parker County goes true digital so I can play around with a real P25 system. I have Trunker 3.8.3 set up where I can monitor and reaction tune my BC296D to the NASFW 3600 baud mixed analog/digital system. Will I no longer be able to use this version of Trunker on a true P25 system, and if so, can anyone explain why? I believe I heard in the past that the data channel is digital on true P25 systems so I'm guessing I'd need a discriminator tap on a digital scanner or I'd have to use the soundcard/speaker output method which I personally don't like as much due to the loss of some data.

I really haven't been keeping up with the whole P25 9600 baud system information due to the fact Austin (out of my monitoring range) was the only true P25 system in Texas until recently.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Paul Opitz
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 4:32 PM
To: commscan
Cc: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yes. There are seperate status words in the P25 data stream that indicates this.

I believe the following training guide covers it (but I have only skimmed it):

http://www.p25.com/resources/P25TrainingGuide.pdf

----- Original Message ----
From: Myles Barkman <kg5ai@...>
To: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
Cc: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 3:20:45 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Correct. P25 does not use status bits as part of the talkgroup.
They can be numbered 1,2,3 etc...
Does P25 still do patching, multiselect and emergencies thought coding of the signal another way?

Myles
----- Original Message -----
From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@ sbcglobal. net>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups .com>
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 2:35 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

27635. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-20 14:57:27 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 27611 Message: 27635

The crontrol channels are up on 152.06 and 152.09, two more sites yet to come on line.
I have been monitoring some chit chat on the new system, sounds like the new radios are being installed/hand helds distributed to some folks already.
I found another document from Aeroflex that explains P25 trunking OSW's. There are 2 modes: implicit and explicit. From what I have read it looks like Parker Counties system is using implicit. (All of the necessary instructions written into each radio, the CC doesnt broadcast full frequency info for the sites).
(The PSR500 has been able to receive voice grants).
Ed

commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

Thank you Myles and Paul for the clarification and additional information.

I can't wait until Parker County goes true digital so I can play around with a real P25 system. I have Trunker 3.8.3 set up where I can monitor and reaction tune my BC296D to the NASFW 3600 baud mixed analog/digital system. Will I no longer be able to use this version of Trunker on a true P25 system, and if so, can anyone explain why? I believe I heard in the past that the data channel is digital on true P25 systems so I'm guessing I'd need a discriminator tap on a digital scanner or I'd have to use the soundcard/speaker output method which I personally don't like as much due to the loss of some data.

I really haven't been keeping up with the whole P25 9600 baud system information due to the fact Austin (out of my monitoring range) was the only true P25 system in Texas until recently.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Paul Opitz
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 4:32 PM
To: commscan
Cc: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yes. There are seperate status words in the P25 data stream that indicates this.

I believe the following training guide covers it (but I have only skimmed it):

http://www.p25.com/resources/P25TrainingGuide.pdf

----- Original Message ----
From: Myles Barkman
To: commscan
Cc: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 3:20:45 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Correct. P25 does not use status bits as part of the talkgroup.
They can be numbered 1,2,3 etc...
Does P25 still do patching, multiselect and emergencies thought coding of
the signal another way?

Myles
----- Original Message -----
From: "commscan"
To:
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 2:35 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27636. Commercial news radio stations?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-20 18:56:20 UTC
From: w_r_adams1
Topic: 27636 Message: 27636

This is a little off topic, but somewhat related.

Which AM/FM radio stations do you recommend listening to for
local "breaking" news in our area? Is there a timeframe for getting
local news?

When I scan the news/talk stations all I seem to hear is syndicated
shows delivering various rants that could be scripted weeks in advance.
When there is a break for news it comes from a national feed. Where
would you tune to for information on a local emergency?

Of course this situation is good for generating interest in scanning
the public service bands. . .

Bill

27637. Re: Commercial news radio stations?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-20 19:56:08 UTC
From: Theodore Quiroz
Topic: 27636 Message: 27637

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "w_r_adams1" <w_r_adams1@...> wrote:
>
> This is a little off topic, but somewhat related.
>
> Which AM/FM radio stations do you recommend listening to for
> local "breaking" news in our area? Is there a timeframe for getting
> local news?
>
> When I scan the news/talk stations all I seem to hear is syndicated
> shows delivering various rants that could be scripted weeks in
advance.
> When there is a break for news it comes from a national feed. Where
> would you tune to for information on a local emergency?
>
> Of course this situation is good for generating interest in
scanning
> the public service bands. . .
>
>
> Bill

I usually listen to ....WBAP,KRLD or KLIF.
>

27638. Careflite Frequencies (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 01:31:30 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 27638 Message: 27638

Ive been to RR and through previous posts, but was wanting to know if anyone had a complete list of frequencies that Careflite Air and Ground uses in the DFW area whether its conventional or LTR. I'm reprogramming radios and I all I have for Careflite is the Cern and air frequencies.

Thanks

27639. RE: [dfwscan] Careflite Frequencies (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 02:02:54 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27638 Message: 27639

Hey David,

It's not real readable, but I have an EMS section in my 996/396 files on my website. There are several LTR frequencies that I monitor in conventional mode. 463.3 works well for me in Bedford. Otherwise, 464.1, 131.45, 131.675. The 155.28 and 155.34 aren't used anymore, but you never know when they might pop up again.

I'm sure there are some things that have changed, but that's what I've got for now.

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/BC996TUSDFiles/04Conventional.usd

Ben

> Ive been to RR and through previous posts, but was wanting to know if anyone had a complete list of frequencies that Careflite Air and Ground uses in the DFW area whether its conventional or LTR. I'm reprogramming radios and I all I have for Careflite is the Cern and air frequencies.

Thanks<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27640. CareFlite Air/Ground Systems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 03:04:48 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27640 Message: 27640

If you go to RadioReference.com go to Texas Discussion and click on Air Medical Info,I posted a answer to your question last week.It has all systems and Freqs. that CareFlite Air and Ground use.

Mike in Garland

27641. CareFlite Air/Ground Systems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 03:04:48 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27640 Message: 27641

If you go to RadioReference.com go to Texas Discussion and click on Air Medical Info,I posted a answer to your question last week.It has all systems and Freqs. that CareFlite Air and Ground use.

Mike in Garland

27642. Lifestar 1 Walk-Around

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 03:18:04 UTC
From: ldlorance
Topic: 27642 Message: 27642

For those who take an interest in EMS aircraft. I took this walk-around of North Texas Lifestar 1 today in Sherman. It is a Bell 206.

http://modelfiretrucks.net/lifestar/

27643. Ref Careflite Answers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 03:18:52 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 27643 Message: 27643

Thanks Ben and thanks Mike for you replies and help.

27644. Re: Careflite Frequencies (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 04:10:47 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27638 Message: 27644

KC5RHB wrote:

> list of frequencies that Careflite Air and
> Ground uses in the DFW area

Personally I listen to 454.075 from my location
(one of the Passport LTR home channels), as
well as CERN, 131.45, and 131.675. With those
programmed in, I rarely miss much. 463.300
is also a good one for the Passport system, as
well as 483.0625 (Dallas Metro North and East).

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27645. Re: Commercial news radio stations?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 04:26:20 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27636 Message: 27645

w_r_adams1 wrote:

> Which AM/FM radio stations do you recommend listening to for
> local "breaking" news in our area?

Order of preference for "breaking" news for me:

1. The scanner (or scan-ners) ...;)
2. KRLD
3. WBAP

KLIF gets the chopping block for me. I don't like their programming so I don't listen to their news. Can't stand Rush, Hannity, or the Mad Jew in the evening on WBAP, so I tend to stray from their news element as well, although when done correctly, they do a very good job. Jim Ryan is an excellent reporter. I find traffic much more timely on KRLD (especially afternoons). Some of WBAP's reports are pre-taped during this time, blowing the accuracy element out the window. Sorry 'BAP , but I know your secrets....;)

KRLD also gets my vote for timely weather alerts. Love him or hate him (because he just LOVES to hear himself talk), Brad Barton does do a great job covering the weather.

Honestly, in keeping on topic, I prefer the SCANNER over any "news" station any day. So many things I've heard never makes the news. And you have a front seat to the action. Back up scanning the public safety with monitoring the traffic services, local ENG, and medivac services, and I'd say you won't miss much!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27646. Frisco Back?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 18:39:02 UTC
From: ldlorance
Topic: 27646 Message: 27646

I am not monitoring Frisco on their regular 800 frequencies.

27647. GP Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-21 19:03:35 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 27647 Message: 27647

Sounds like Grand Prairie is having digital problems.

27648. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-22 12:09:59 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27611 Message: 27648

Ed C. wrote:
> The crontrol channels are up on 152.06 and 152.09

Getting full signal, VERY STRONG here in Flower Mound.
Gotta' love good 'ole VHF for distance coverage!

Nice to finally hear Parker Co. again. Except for their
couple or so VHF (that never really carried the GOOD
stuff), their old LTR sucked for those monitors outside
of the county.

I've heard a few transmissions already, and it's sounds
just like DPS (as in RIGHT NEXT DOOR!).

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27649. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-22 21:57:31 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 27611 Message: 27649

> I don't know. I'm not an engineer. But I can tell you I
> get the signal from the control channel pretty strong
> here, so it SHOULD decode on Unitrunker, as Unitrunker
> has decoded MUCH fainter trunked systems before from
> my location.

I don't think it is an issue of RF signal strength, but instead the difference in decoding requirements. You can have pretty bad audio from the discriminator output and still decode the Motorola 3600 baud data channel. For 9600, you need cleaner audio. And it doesn't matter how well you can decode 3600, it is a whole new ballgame.

The Trunk Software forum on RR has several posts dealing with the issue. It looks like you need to put in a buffer preamp on your discriminator out line.

Here is one post
http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=50289

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...>
wrote:
>
> Dustin wrote:
>
> > Perhaps the
> > P25 control channels just require us to be a little closer to the
> > source in order to decode properly???
>
> Perhaps....
>
> I don't know. I'm not an engineer. But I can tell you I
> get the signal from the control channel pretty strong
> here, so it SHOULD decode on Unitrunker, as Unitrunker
> has decoded MUCH fainter trunked systems before from
> my location.
>
> The PRO-96 does detect the system ID and such so I
> know it's indeed operational. Perhaps Unitrunker
> doesn't generate talk group logging if a system is in
> "test" mode or something...I don't know.
>
> So far, no activity on the PRO-96.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page

```
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>
```

27650. Dallas AM Radio History

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-23 01:07:22 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 27650 Message: 27650

<!-- DIV {margin:0px;}-->I stumbled onto the site below. I enjoy radio history and thought others might also appreciate it.

http://www.knus99.com/amlist.html

Lee Shell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27651. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas AM Radio History

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-23 01:21:48 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 27650 Message: 27651

Excuse me for the slightly off-topic post, but along those same lines:

The guy who put that site together has a weekly radio show he's recently started up that's along the same lines. He airs all sorts of old jingles, airchecks, you name it - from former jocks and stations here in DFW. The stuff is pretty cool.
http://www.thehificlub.net/ That's it's website.

Enjoy! :)

-Melissa

Lee Shell wrote:
>
>
> <!-- DIV {margin:0px;}-->I stumbled onto the site below. I enjoy radio
> history and thought others might also appreciate it.
>
> http://www.knus99.com/amlist.html <http://www.knus99.com/amlist.html>
>
> Lee Shell
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> _

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27652. Frisco

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-23 02:01:18 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27652 Message: 27652

Decided to monitor the Plano TRS this evening.
FWIW, Frisco is still very much active on this
system with their talkgroups. FD/EMS & PD
were all active when I was monitoring. No
references to new radios or system
whatsoever.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27653. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas AM Radio History

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-23 05:53:14 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27650 Message: 27653

This isn't like when they tried to revive the ZEW as an internet radio
station and then wanted to charge admission, is it?
>
> The guy who put that site together has a weekly radio show he's recently
> started up that's along the same lines. He airs all sorts of old
> jingles, airchecks, you name it - from former jocks and stations here in
> DFW. The stuff is pretty cool.
> http://www.thehificlub.net/ That's it's website.
>
>
>
>> I stumbled onto the site below. I enjoy radio
>> history and thought others might also appreciate it.
>>
>> http://www.knus99.com/amlist.html <http://www.knus99.com/amlist.html>
>>
>>

27654. Law Enforcement Patches

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-23 15:37:43 UTC
From: combinecapt804
Topic: 27654 Message: 27654

Sorry for the off topic post guys but I have about 200 different law enforcement patches from across the state of texas if anyone would be intersted in some of them let me know off list....thanks

Cody Sheppard

27655. Re: [dfwscan] Commercial news radio stations?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-20 19:14:49 UTC
From: Peabodytex
Topic: 27636 Message: 27655

Krld 1080
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "w_r_adams1" <w_r_adams1@yahoo.com>

Date: Sun, 20 Jan 2008 18:56:20
To:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Commercial news radio stations?

This is a little off topic, but somewhat related.

Which AM/FM radio stations do you recommend listening to for
local "breaking" news in our area? Is there a timeframe for getting
local news?

When I scan the news/talk stations all I seem to hear is syndicated
shows delivering various rants that could be scripted weeks in advance.
When there is a break for news it comes from a national feed. Where
would you tune to for information on a local emergency?

Of course this situation is good for generating interest in scanning
the public service bands. . .

Bill

27656. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-20 17:06:21 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 27611 Message: 27656

You will need to go to Unitrunker. Trunker 3.8.3 only decodes Moto Type I/II systems (StartSite/Privacy Plus/SmartNet/SmartZone/SmartZone Omnilink). It does not know what to do with the 9.6Kbps P25 control channel.

The data (control) channel is digital on all systems (even Moto Type I/II).

You will need a discriminator-tapped radio to adequately decode the control channel. Even the Moto 3.6Kbps control channel really needs a discriminator tap for optimum decode. This does not have to be a digital radio - you can just as easily use an old 2006. You will need a digital-capable radio if you want to monitor the voice channels, however.

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Sunday, January 20, 2008 03:03 AM
To: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Thank you Myles and Paul for the clarification and additional information.

I can't wait until Parker County goes true digital so I can play around with a real P25 system. I have Trunker 3.8.3 set up where I can monitor and reaction tune my BC296D to the NASFW 3600 baud mixed analog/digital system. Will I no longer be able to use this version of Trunker on a true P25 system, and if so, can anyone explain why? I believe I heard in the past that the data channel is digital on true P25 systems so I'm guessing I'd need a discriminator tap on a digital scanner or I'd have to use the soundcard/speaker output method which I personally don't like as much due to the loss of some data.

I really haven't been keeping up with the whole P25 9600 baud system information due to the fact Austin (out of my monitoring range) was the only true P25 system in Texas until recently.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
Paul Opitz
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 4:32 PM
To: commscan
Cc: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:DFWscan%40yahoogroups.com>

Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Yes. There are seperate status words in the P25 data stream that indicates this.

I believe the following training guide covers it (but I have only skimmed it):

http://www.p25.com/resources/P25TrainingGuide.pdf

----- Original Message ----
From: Myles Barkman <kg5ai@... <mailto:kg5ai%40comcast.net> >
To: commscan <commscan-ftw@...
<mailto:commscan-ftw%40sbcglobal.net> >
Cc: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:DFWscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 3:20:45 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

Correct. P25 does not use status bits as part of the talkgroup.
They can be numbered 1,2,3 etc...
Does P25 still do patching, multiselect and emergencies thought coding of the signal another way?

Myles
----- Original Message -----
From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@ sbcglobal. net>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups .com>
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2008 2:35 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco 800

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27657. Re: GP Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-24 00:06:17 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 27647 Message: 27657

Where have you been for the last year or two. I gave up listening to
them some time ago because the great new digital inovation sounds tinny
and underpowered to me. Wally

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Hutchins" <m-hutchins@...> wrote:
>
> Sounds like Grand Prairie is having digital problems.
>

27658. USB1 Cable for Bearcat 246 Trunk Tracker

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-24 14:44:08 UTC
From: Randy Rogers
Topic: 27658 Message: 27658

I just bought the USB1 cable and downloaded the BC-246T_V2_10.scn and BC_VUP_v1.5.2.1.exe drivers but it is not finding my scanner.

I get an error message that says "No communication, connect or switch on BC346T. Select BC246_Rs232 setup to select serial communications parameters."

I have tried the RS232 setup with no success.

Can anyone advise me of the solution to get the USB1 cable to work as well as the recommended baud rate?

Thanks and stay safe all...
Randy

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27659. Re: [dfwscan] USB1 Cable for Bearcat 246 Trunk Tracker

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-24 16:36:08 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 27658 Message: 27659

Randy Rogers wrote:
>
>
> I just bought the USB1 cable and downloaded the BC-246T_V2_10.scn and
> BC_VUP_v1.5.2.1.exe drivers but it is not finding my scanner.
>
> I get an error message that says "No communication, connect or switch on
> BC346T. Select BC246_Rs232 setup to select serial communications
> parameters."
>
> I have tried the RS232 setup with no success.
>
> Can anyone advise me of the solution to get the USB1 cable to work as well
> as the recommended baud rate?

Did you go into the 246's menu and open the serial port on the radio.
That port is shipped "closed".

whr
--
W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

27660. Listening to 417.6000

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-24 17:58:53 UTC
From: Bobbie Beers
Topic: 27660 Message: 27660

I've been listening to 417.6000 C 114.8 which I have listed as Postal Inspections today. About 15 minutes ago they were doing radio checks because of system problems. Haven't heard anything since. I have heard brief transmissions before....license checks but not too much else.

Bobbie, KB5RPI

27661. Re: Listening to 417.6000

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-24 18:30:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27660 Message: 27661

Bobbie Beers wrote:

> have heard brief transmissions before....license checks but not too much else.

And you won't.

USPS Inspectors (at least for me) have become extremely
boring and a non-listening issue over the years. I no
longer scan them. It used to not be that way (just like many
federal agencies). USPS Inspectors (and their resulting busts
and surveillances) used to be quite fun to listen to. I know
I had many a good listening experience in past years while
living in GA, FL, and MO.

<soapbox on>

Many of the PC crowd, paranoia nuts, and "always do-gooders"
in the scanning world will say we're the cause of it by publishing
fed frequencies and discussing their communications, to which
I say "bull$%#!". The publishing of fed frequencies and
communications has been going on for years, with many thanks
to PopCom, MT and other less mainstream publications. It's the
advancement of newer technologies that have pushed those
agencies that we used to listen to into that "where the hell did they
go? syndrome", as well as current "terrorist paranoia". It's better
to blanket the systems as a "whole" with encryption than to say
"Oh, we're just encrypting to keep the possible terrorists from
listening". PDA's, Nextels, pagers, even IPhone's are thrown into
the mix. It's a HUGE technology world now that doesn't just have to
rely on a "repeater network" to get the job done. There are some
feds that are wary of the "scanning community", but I'd be willing to
bet most couldn't give a rats if we're listening to them. They are
way too concerned with other matters at hand to worry (or deal) with
the scanner geek. It's the head honcho idiots in DC that think we're
all terrorists that piss me off, that don't realize that we are much more
interested in the "workings and operations" of our government, than
trying to destroy it. They just don't get it.

<soap box off>

Contrary to the naysayers, there is still PLENTY to listen to out
there fed (and military wise). A little searching might uncover
some "gems". And, oh yeah, make sure to post them to piss
some people off.....If I recall I heard some encryption on
173.9875 a few months back while searching ....heh, heh.....:)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27662. Garland Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-25 01:59:11 UTC
From: dvd_rsl
Topic: 6124 Message: 27662

Garland FD currently working a large apartment fire near Lake Ray Hubbard. They have had to evacuate the firefighters from the building as the fire has progressed, and are now fighting in a defensive mode to keep it from spreading to nearby apartment buildings. I do not an address or apartment name yet.

27663. KDFW New Frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-25 12:35:05 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27663 Message: 27663

Searching the media bands before heading
into work this morning and discovered a new
(at least to me) frequency for KDFW.

It's 450.1375 PL 85.4, and I believe identified
as CH 3 on the radio.

If memory serves me correctly, I actually think
this is assigned to Metro Networks and was even
assigned with I was there, but was never used.

Guess, they finally found that "future usage" for it!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27664. New device on Plano police cars

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-25 19:09:12 UTC
From: scottbomb
Topic: 27664 Message: 27664

I saw something big and round on the top of a car last week that looked like a camera. It was made by Raytheon. Anyone know the specifics on this new toy?

27665. Re: New device on Plano police cars

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-25 19:22:10 UTC
From: afm108
Topic: 27664 Message: 27665

More than likely a FLIR (Foward Looking Infrared) device for night vision. I'm not too up on them so can't give you any good details on their use.

Randy

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "scottbomb" <scottbomb@...> wrote:
>
> I saw something big and round on the top of a car last week that looked
> like a camera. It was made by Raytheon. Anyone know the specifics on
> this new toy?
>

27666. CareFlite 1 Model

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-25 19:31:05 UTC
From: ldlorance
Topic: 27666 Message: 27666

For those of you who emailed me wanting to see photos of the CareFlite 1 Bell 222 model when it was complete, here are the factory photos:

http://www.modelfiretrucks.net/careflitemodel/

There are some corrections detailed at the bottom of the link. The are going to also correct some color and design problems with the rotor.

27667. Off Topic: Las Vegas - Monte Carlo 3 Alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-25 19:35:21 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 27667 Message: 27667

CNN has live video of a 3 alarm burning in Las Vegas at the Monte Carlo.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27668. RE: [dfwscan] New device on Plano police cars

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-25 23:27:10 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27664 Message: 27668

Sounds like a FLIR. The older ones are bigger and white. Here's a place to look:

http://www.thermal-eye.com/9/PRODUCTS.htm

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of scottbomb
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2008 1:09 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] New device on Plano police cars

I saw something big and round on the top of a car last week that looked like a camera. It was made by Raytheon. Anyone know the specifics on this new toy?

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27669. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New device on Plano police cars

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-26 04:27:54 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 27664 Message: 27669

If what you saw was a FLIR camera, they have been on selected Plano PD cars for years.

They sense infra-red heat and are most often used in foot pursuit situations to locate subjects hiding in thick brush, etc. They're also used at MVA scenes to locate someone who may have been ejected and is lying unconscious in some obscure place. Those cameras can be life savers.

Toby

At 01:22 PM 1/25/2008, afm108 wrote:

>More than likely a FLIR (Foward Looking Infrared) device for night
>vision. I'm not too up on them so can't give you any good details on
>their use.
>
>Randy
>
>--- In <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com,
>"scottbomb" <scottbomb@...> wrote:
> >
> > I saw something big and round on the top of a car last week that looked
> > like a camera. It was made by Raytheon. Anyone know the specifics on
> > this new toy?
> >

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27671. Re: Dallas AM Radio History

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-26 15:49:09 UTC
From: Theodore Quiroz
Topic: 27650 Message: 27671

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mel's mail lists <lists@...> wrote:
>
> Excuse me for the slightly off-topic post, but along those same
lines:
>
> The guy who put that site together has a weekly radio show he's
recently
> started up that's along the same lines. He airs all sorts of old
> jingles, airchecks, you name it - from former jocks and stations
here in
> DFW. The stuff is pretty cool.
> http://www.thehificlub.net/ That's it's website.
>
> Enjoy! :)
>
> -Melissa
>
>
>
>
> Lee Shell wrote:
> >
> >
> > <!-- DIV {margin:0px;}-->I stumbled onto the site below. I enjoy
radio
> > history and thought others might also appreciate it.
> >
> > http://www.knus99.com/amlist.html
<http://www.knus99.com/amlist.html>
> >
> > Lee Shell
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> > _
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Bud Buschardt...there's a name i have'nt heard in awhile.Thanks for
the link.i will listen this Sunday.
>

27672. Re: Frisco 800

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-26 19:37:29 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27611 Message: 27672

Chance Lindsey wrote:

> The Trunk Software forum on RR has several posts dealing with the
> issue. It looks like you need to put in a buffer preamp on your
> discriminator out line.

Thanks. I may try that. Howver, I'm getting good decodes (100%)
using Pro96com, although it hasn't logged any activity yet.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27673. What is with all the impersonators....

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-27 00:54:35 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 27673 Message: 27673

http://www.nbc5i.com/news/15145157/detail.html?dl=headlineclick

http://cbs11tv.com/local/Hurst.Police.Imposter.2.638275.html

27674. Re: [dfwscan] What is with all the impersonators....

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-27 01:13:12 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27673 Message: 27674

At least its not female impersonators.

Seriously though, I'm surprised one of these clowns hasn't been shot already, either by picking on some gangstas or by an armed citizen who thinks he's about to be carjacked.

There have been some unpublished incidents locally where the bad guys were driving retired police cars or similar models.

> http://www.nbc5i.com/news/15145157/detail.html?dl=headlineclick
>
>
>
> http://cbs11tv.com/local/Hurst.Police.Imposter.2.638275.html
>
>

27675. PSR-500 or 600

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-28 00:51:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27675 Message: 27675

How is reception in the milair band 225-400 for
these scanners?

How about 138-144 , 148-150.8 AM?

Low band VHF? 30-50?

Compared to a PRO-2006, PRO-43, BC780,
BC396/996 does it hold it's weight in these
bands?

How are the stock antennas for both of the radios
(the PSR-500 & 600)?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27676. Scanners Unlimited

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-28 02:29:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27676 Message: 27676

I've done some business with Glenn in the past. Very
nice gentleman and businessman. Sorry to see him go.

Check out the sales stuff. Some really good deals:

http://www.scannersunlimited.com/

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27677. suggestions for 136-171 MHz scanning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-29 16:44:57 UTC
From: Chris Webster
Topic: 27677 Message: 27677

My 2-meter mobile rig receives from 136-171. Excluding the 144-148 ham band, what interesting frequencies you recommend for scanning?

The radio has 60 memories. I will use:
- 25 or so in the ham 2m band
- 5 for MURS
- a few for NOAA weather radio

So that leaves me about 25 for other frequencies of DFW (more Tarrant) interest.

thanks, chris

27678. Gettin crazy out here...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-29 17:43:03 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 27678 Message: 27678

Grayson Co SO is losing comminications. Several downed power lines. Fire at Tom Bean middle school. Tioga is working a large grass fire. Whitewright is looking for a elec pole on fire. Sherman PD is taking 911 for GCSO and all SO and county stations are using analog only.

Sarge in Lickskillet

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27679. Re: [dfwscan] Gettin crazy out here...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-29 17:51:49 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27678 Message: 27679

Ham radio to the rescue??? :>

Scott wrote:
>
>
> Grayson Co SO is losing comminications. Several downed power lines. Fire at
> Tom Bean middle school. Tioga is working a large grass fire. Whitewright is
> looking for a elec pole on fire. Sherman PD is taking 911 for GCSO and all
> SO and county stations are using analog only.
>
> Sarge in Lickskillet
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer

Home)972-691-0125
Cell)972-965-6229

27680. FWFD Battalion/station map updated

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-30 03:28:10 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 27680 Message: 27680

Here is the link (Updated 11/07)
http://www.fortworthgov.org/uploadedFiles/Fire/Battalions%20&%20Stations%20with%20Roads%202007.pdf

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

Looking for last minute shopping deals? Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27681. Re: FWFD Battalion/station map updated

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-30 20:56:47 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 27680 Message: 27681

Is......

Bn1 still at 10's?

Bn2 still at 2's?

Bn3 still at 15's?

Bn4 still at 24's.

Bn 5 still at 30's?

Bn 6 still at 9's?

Wally

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...> wrote:
>
> Here is the link (Updated 11/07)
> http://www.fortworthgov.org/uploadedFiles/Fire/Battalions%20&%
20Stations%20with%20Roads%202007.pdf
>
>
> Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.
>
> ---------------------------------
> Looking for last minute shopping deals? Find them fast with Yahoo!
Search.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

27682. Re: suggestions for 136-171 MHz scanning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-30 21:24:56 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27677 Message: 27682

Chris Webster wrote:

> My 2-meter mobile rig receives from 136-171. Excluding the 144-148 ham
> band, what interesting frequencies you recommend for scanning?

Well, it depends on really what interests YOU. That's a big swath
of frequencies. Are you more interested in public safety, state
stuff (like forestry), media, federal?

At the very least, I would suggest listening to DPS.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27683. FW: [dfwscan] Re: suggestions for 136-171 MHz scanning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-30 21:29:29 UTC
From: Mark Pitcock
Topic: 27683 Message: 27683

Isn't DPS in the DFW area all P-25 now? Chris won't be able to listen if this is true.

Chris Webster wrote:

> My 2-meter mobile rig receives from 136-171. Excluding the 144-148 ham
> band, what interesting frequencies you recommend for scanning?

Well, it depends on really what interests YOU. That's a big swath of frequencies. Are you more interested in public safety, state stuff (like forestry), media, federal?

At the very least, I would suggest listening to DPS.

--

27684. FW: [dfwscan] Re: suggestions for 136-171 MHz scanning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-30 22:36:31 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 27683 Message: 27684

Only analog DPS is Waco from what I understand. That is the last area
to be phased over.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Pitcock" <mpitcock@...> wrote:
>
> Isn't DPS in the DFW area all P-25 now? Chris won't be able to
listen if
> this is true.
>
>
> Chris Webster wrote:
>
> > My 2-meter mobile rig receives from 136-171. Excluding the
144-148 ham
> > band, what interesting frequencies you recommend for scanning?
>
> Well, it depends on really what interests YOU. That's a big swath
> of frequencies. Are you more interested in public safety, state
> stuff (like forestry), media, federal?
>
> At the very least, I would suggest listening to DPS.
>
> --
>

27685. Re: suggestions for 136-171 MHz scanning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-30 23:37:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27683 Message: 27685

Mark Pitcock wrote:

> Isn't DPS in the DFW area all P-25 now? Chris won't be able to listen if
> this is true.

Oops, Sorry. I sent out the reply email before
I realized he would be using his 2 meter rig
for monitoring.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27686. Pro 97

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-31 01:48:21 UTC
From: BECKY
Topic: 22836 Message: 27686

My scanner is telling me all channels are locked How can I get a copy
of the channels that was on it I think they are all gone now

27687. Burleson,Tx

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-31 06:21:17 UTC
From: M
Topic: 27687 Message: 27687

What did Burleson change to? They used to be on the 453.xxx band but they no longer are there. What did they go too? I got a PRO528 model to catch em with. And that would be the PD,FD, and city services channels/freqs. Thanks.

27688. help

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-31 17:03:21 UTC
From: alanshane
Topic: 4906 Message: 27688

I need help w/ my scanner. I justgot a PRO-528 and want to listen to the Hurst police dept.

27689. Re: Burleson,Tx

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-31 17:42:45 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 27687 Message: 27689

Burleson is on their new LTR Trunked System go here for
the frequencies and info.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5245

Michael Stuart- AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "M" <cooteroftexas@...> wrote:
>
> What did Burleson change to? They used to be on the 453.xxx band but
> they no longer are there. What did they go too? I got a PRO528 model
> to catch em with. And that would be the PD,FD, and city services
> channels/freqs. Thanks.
>

27690. Re: help

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-31 21:38:04 UTC
From: Theodore Quiroz
Topic: 4906 Message: 27690

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "alanshane" <alanshane@...> wrote:
>
> I need help w/ my scanner. I justgot a PRO-528 and want to listen to
> the Hurst police dept.
>

Look in here for Hurst.......

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?ctid=2742

27691. Where can I get a Copy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-31 23:29:40 UTC
From: BECKY
Topic: 27691 Message: 27691

I am needing a copy of the channels that was on my pro 97 because somehow I lost them all can someone help Me

27692. Icom T2H Ham Radio HT W/ BP194 Battery Pack - 2 Meter Ham - $100

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-31 23:42:39 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 27692 Message: 27692

I have an Icom 2 Meter HT for Sale, as well as a (beat up, but fully functional) Radio Shack Pro 93 Handheld Scanner (fully programmed)

You can find the 2 Meter HT Here - http://dallas.craigslist.org/ele/558805791.html

Asking $100 for the HT

Asking $60 or best offer on the Pro 93 (Dogs got ahold of it, got some bite marks on the battom, belt clip missing, and battery cover missing) However it functions flawlessley still.

If interested, email me, or call me at 214-736-6242

Thanks

Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Code 3 Productions
Plano, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27693. Re: Where can I get a Copy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-01 00:20:53 UTC
From: Theodore Quiroz
Topic: 27691 Message: 27693

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "BECKY" <boo29_23@...> wrote:
>
> I am needing a copy of the channels that was on my pro 97 because
> somehow I lost them all can someone help Me
>

Take it ti Radio Shack...maybe they can reprogram it.

27694. Re: help

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-01 13:22:18 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 4906 Message: 27694

Sell your scanner and buy a Uniden BCD396T scanner and you will enjoy scanning. Wally

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "alanshane" <alanshane@...> wrote:
>
> I need help w/ my scanner. I justgot a PRO-528 and want to listen to
> the Hurst police dept.
>

27695. Wilmer FD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-03 07:11:53 UTC
From: RobbinsPowell
Topic: 9666 Message: 27695

Does anyone have an updated TX, RX & Tone for Wilmer Fire Department.
Since they changed to get away from the Hill County bleed over problem,
RR and our list does not show the new frequencies and/or codes.

Thanks!
Rob Powell
DCFR-75

27696. Tarrant County Skywarn 02-02-08

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-04 00:26:38 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 27696 Message: 27696

Jeff - NI5Q did a great job yesterday as the "Host" of the program. As usual, Gary Woodall from the FTWNWS WFO put on a very educational Skywarn presentation.
Al Moller gave a retrospective review of years past (This is his swan song folks, retirement is beckoning...)
Kudo's to the Fort Worth/Tarrant County Office of Emergency Management for a job well done.

Ed - N5PQR

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27698. Dallas Firefighters Shot

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-05 01:12:06 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 27698 Message: 27698

Here is a story about the 2 firefighters shot.

http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/020508dnmetarizona.8c044a32.html

27699. bedford swat

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-05 03:11:14 UTC
From: cleburnewireman
Topic: 27699 Message: 27699

I just saw bedford swat and about 8 marked and unmarked units going down the road anyone know whats up?

27700. New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-05 18:09:24 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 27700 Message: 27700

Sounds like the Lewisville TRS is now multiple site.

The audio quality is lower than it used to be for me and I am hearing
the "click" of the voting system after nearly every transmission on the
system now, and don't remember hearing that yesterday.

Wonder if P25 will be far behind now?

--

Brent Willcox (KD5WYU)
mail:bwillcox@...
www:http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/

27701. Re: [dfwscan] New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-05 18:20:23 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27700 Message: 27701

I can confirm this.. LTRS sounds like crap..

My CHL instructor saw them hanging another antenna on the tower at lake grapevine.. There is also a new shelter at the base of that tower that wasn't there the last time I was climbing.

Brent Willcox wrote:
>
>
> Sounds like the Lewisville TRS is now multiple site.
>
> The audio quality is lower than it used to be for me and I am hearing
> the "click" of the voting system after nearly every transmission on the
> system now, and don't remember hearing that yesterday.
>
> Wonder if P25 will be far behind now?
>
> --
>
> Brent Willcox (KD5WYU)
> mail:bwillcox@... <mailto:bwillcox%40ares.homeip.net>
> www:http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/ <http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer

Home)972-691-0125
Cell)972-965-6229

27702. parker county

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-05 18:39:53 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 27702 Message: 27702

Anything on radio about parker county and the highway being shut down?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27703. Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-05 18:44:01 UTC
From: Doug
Topic: 27700 Message: 27703

Not sure it is up and running on the three towers. There were two control channels active again this morning but they are back to one at this time.

Doug

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:
>
> I can confirm this.. LTRS sounds like crap..
>
> My CHL instructor saw them hanging another antenna on the tower at lake
> grapevine.. There is also a new shelter at the base of that tower that
> wasn't there the last time I was climbing.
>
>
> Brent Willcox wrote:
> >
> >
> > Sounds like the Lewisville TRS is now multiple site.
> >
> > The audio quality is lower than it used to be for me and I am hearing
> > the "click" of the voting system after nearly every transmission on the
> > system now, and don't remember hearing that yesterday.
> >
> > Wonder if P25 will be far behind now?
> >
> > --
> >
> > Brent Willcox (KD5WYU)
> > mail:bwillcox@... <mailto:bwillcox%40ares.homeip.net>
> > www:http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/ <http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/>
> >
> >
>
> --
> Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
>
> Home)972-691-0125
> Cell)972-965-6229
>

27704. Motorola Spectra VHF

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-05 22:36:07 UTC
From: combinecapt804
Topic: 27704 Message: 27704

Does anyone have the ability to program these radios?? I just bought one and am anxious to get it programmed, I can pay if necessary

Cody Sheppard

27705. Sonic boom?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-06 05:57:00 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 27705 Message: 27705

Okay, I was woken up about 10 minutes ago by a noise. Nothing on the house has fallen off, and my teenage sn says he felt the house shake. Anyone hear something like this?

Don Teague
www.donteague.com

27706. RE: [dfwscan] Sonic boom?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-06 12:49:51 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 27705 Message: 27706

I heard something about that time. A boom and a second or so later the house rattled a little. Though it was a truck going by or something.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Don Teague
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2008 11:57 PM
To: Dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Sonic boom?

Okay, I was woken up about 10 minutes ago by a noise. Nothing on the house has fallen off, and my teenage sn says he felt the house shake. Anyone hear something like this?

Don Teague
www.donteague.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27707. Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-06 22:36:02 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27707 Message: 27707

What Freq is DPS on out here in Royse City?

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

_____
Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27708. RE: [dfwscan] Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-06 23:19:54 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 27707 Message: 27708

They have gone digital so unless you've got a scanner that handles it.....

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2008 4:36 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Scanning DPS

What Freq is DPS on out here in Royse City?

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

_____
Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27709. Grand Prairie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 00:12:50 UTC
From: BECKY
Topic: 1287 Message: 27709

How can you listen o Gp
And is the pro 97 handle digtal?

27710. Re: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 00:53:34 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 1287 Message: 27710

The GP system is documented here: http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=37

To listen to PD and FD you'll need a different scanner. The PRO-97 does not do digital. Current models that do are:

Uniden: BCD396T, BCD996T
RadioShack: PRO-96, PRO-2096
GRE: PSR-500, PSR-600

----- Original Message ----
From: BECKY <boo29_23@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 6, 2008 6:12:50 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie

How can you listen o Gp
And is the pro 97 handle digtal?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27711. Re: [dfwscan] Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 01:34:22 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27707 Message: 27711

Yeah I know they went digital but I just need the Frequencies. Whatever I have I don't hear anything from hear. I have a 796d.

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

----- Original Message ----
From: Bob <RJSumien@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 6, 2008 5:19:54 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Scanning DPS

They have gone digital so unless you?ve got a scanner that handles it??????..

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2008 4:36 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Scanning DPS

What Freq is DPS on out here in Royse City?

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27712. Re: Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 01:49:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27707 Message: 27712

Jason Simpkins wrote:

> Yeah I know they went digital but I just need the Frequencies.

155.4525

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27713. Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 01:58:48 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27700 Message: 27713

Brent Willcox wrote:

> Sounds like the Lewisville TRS is now multiple site.
>
> The audio quality is lower than it used to be for me

I completely agree with Jay's and your comments.
The audio quality has SIGNIFICANTLY degraded for
me. Audio used to be wall to wall 5 by 5, now it's
scratchy and completely cuts out at times even with
an outside antenna 30 or so feet up! Ridiculous!
I'm extremely disappointed with this new site junk.
I certainly hope the signal improves, or the techs
starting tweaking it pronto!. Have any units
complained?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27714. RE: [dfwscan] Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 02:03:42 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 27700 Message: 27714

I have not noticed any drop in audio quality or signal on the Lewisville TRS on my BCD396T, however I do see a drop in signal strength on my meter. We also have not had any problems with it at work that I know of. I ran 13 calls yesterday on shift and didn't have any radio difficulties.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2008 7:59 PM
To: Brent Willcox
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Brent Willcox wrote:

> Sounds like the Lewisville TRS is now multiple site.
>
> The audio quality is lower than it used to be for me

I completely agree with Jay's and your comments.
The audio quality has SIGNIFICANTLY degraded for
me. Audio used to be wall to wall 5 by 5, now it's
scratchy and completely cuts out at times even with
an outside antenna 30 or so feet up! Ridiculous!
I'm extremely disappointed with this new site junk.
I certainly hope the signal improves, or the techs
starting tweaking it pronto!. Have any units
complained?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27715. I got it

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 02:25:27 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27715 Message: 27715

Some how I had Apco 25 800mhz in there. I pick it up now but with a bad signal it's not that great and chops out.

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27716. Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 02:26:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27700 Message: 27716

Brandon Thetford wrote:

> however I do see a drop in signal strength on my meter.

Perhaps I should have said SIGNAL STRENGTH instead
of AUDIO quality. My fault. Guess it's just the old radio
guy in me, but I see audio as the whole enchilada. If it
sucks, it sucks.. across the board. I like loud and clear
for this system (since it's only 3 miles away) and right
now I think the signal strength is horrid for the Lewisville
TRS. I'm getting that "picket fencing" kind of signal
(scratchiness and cutouts). It actually sounds EXACTLY
like the NE Tarrant system when I try to monitor
Grapevine FD. Sometimes clear, but most times scratchy. Have
NEVER had that before with the Lewisville system. Ever. It's
almost like the transmissions are now primary defaulting
constantly to the tower farthest from my location, instead of
Rockbrook Tower.

I find it interesting that you guys on FD haven't experienced
any problems with cutouts and such. Guess that's the difference
between a 3500 dollar XTS radio and a 600 dollar scanner.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27717. Richardson PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 02:33:26 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27717 Message: 27717

Anyone see the new toy that Richardson PD got. They got a automatic vehicle recognition system. This is exactly what I saw on one of their units.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PXjZcFesCdE&eurl=http://hackedgadgets.com/2006/12/24/automated-license-plate-recognition-alpr/

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27718. Nasty one in Keene

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 02:38:32 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27718 Message: 27718

Fort Worth Careflite crew dispatched
to an MVA at 317 and US 67 with entrapment.
It's those rural one's that are usually the baddies.

Too far away to really get a good copy, but I'm
hearing some of the on-scene ops on 158.940.
6CF landing in the westbound lanes of US 67.
Sounds like might be multiple patients.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27719. ETMC Corsicana

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 03:17:02 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 27719 Message: 27719

Getting ready for a trip up to your village of DFW.
Noticed there is no mention of an ETMC site in Corsicana anymore.
All they have now is the 868.9875 MHz MDT.
Is Waxahachie the southmost site near I-45 now?
I don't count Crocket since that's a bit out of range from I-45 through the
woods.

Myles Barkman | KG5AI | mailto:kg5ai*at*comcast.net | Houston TX
Freq Zone http://home.comcast.net/~freqzone
HoustonScan@yahoogroups.com list owner
IPN-HOU064 | Texas Gulf Coast Search & Rescue

27720. Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 03:25:59 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 27700 Message: 27720

I don't have the external antenna, just the 800 mhz RS duckie. I am disappointed to know that your external antenna isn't doing any better :(

Both my 95 and my 2051 are having a real hard time, its almost like the Rockbrook tower is either way lower power than it used to be, or its not online at all at this point. I would lean to "not online" as I used to be able to take the antenna completely off either scanner and still get the system and can no longer do that.

May fire up the DF gear and see if I can determine what site it IS transmitting from.

The units don't seem to be complaining that I can tell. I only seem to be hearing Coppell and occasionally FM with any consistency.

This sucks, especially compared to what it used to be. I'm hoping that either Rockbrook returns or they get the simulcast alignment fixed so we get our rock-crushing signal back.

27721. Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 04:06:14 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 27700 Message: 27721

When I lived in Hurst I heard the snap crackle pop and picket fencing type noises on the Fort Worth, NE Tarrant, Irving and Arlington systems because they are simulcast on multiple sites. I'm not familiar with Lewisville. Did they only have one site before?

Tom

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

27722. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 04:55:11 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27717 Message: 27722

Ft Worth has/have some of these as well. An officer I talked to there says they are trying several vendors. It's a cool idea and one of the neatest thing is the ability to log tags as an officer approaches a scene. Often in a city (not Dallas!) where cops have good response times the offender passes them while they're enroute. The issue I see is the amount of data and how the cities are going to handle it. It's going to really bog down the MDC channels and if many cities start using them I would think the state would have a difficult time as well.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2008 8:33 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson PD

Anyone see the new toy that Richardson PD got. They got a automatic vehicle recognition system. This is exactly what I saw on one of their units.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PXjZcFesCdE&eurl=http://hackedgadgets.com/2006/12/24/automated-license-plate-recognition-alpr/

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

27723. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 16:02:47 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 27700 Message: 27723

all of our units were complaingin heavily yesterday....the radio people were out in force yesterday also trying to fix it...its weird we used to be able to hear everything inside the building with a handheld now theres only about 4 places you can stand inside the building and get audio if you move one foot to the left or right its all scratchy and cuts out

Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote: Brent Willcox wrote:

> Sounds like the Lewisville TRS is now multiple site.
>
> The audio quality is lower than it used to be for me

I completely agree with Jay's and your comments.
The audio quality has SIGNIFICANTLY degraded for
me. Audio used to be wall to wall 5 by 5, now it's
scratchy and completely cuts out at times even with
an outside antenna 30 or so feet up! Ridiculous!
I'm extremely disappointed with this new site junk.
I certainly hope the signal improves, or the techs
starting tweaking it pronto!. Have any units
complained?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

Looking for last minute shopping deals? Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27724. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 16:29:21 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27700 Message: 27724

Hey Cody,

Which agency are you with?

Cody Sheppard wrote:
>
>
> all of our units were complaingin heavily yesterday....the radio people
> were out in force yesterday also trying to fix it...its weird we used to
> be able to hear everything inside the building with a handheld now
> theres only about 4 places you can stand inside the building and get
> audio if you move one foot to the left or right its all scratchy and
> cuts out
>
> Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...
> <mailto:blaine.brooks%40verizon.net>> wrote: Brent Willcox wrote:
>
> > Sounds like the Lewisville TRS is now multiple site.
> >
> > The audio quality is lower than it used to be for me
>
> I completely agree with Jay's and your comments.
> The audio quality has SIGNIFICANTLY degraded for
> me. Audio used to be wall to wall 5 by 5, now it's
> scratchy and completely cuts out at times even with
> an outside antenna 30 or so feet up! Ridiculous!
> I'm extremely disappointed with this new site junk.
> I certainly hope the signal improves, or the techs
> starting tweaking it pronto!. Have any units
> complained?
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/ <http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/>
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> ---------------------------------
> Looking for last minute shopping deals? Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer

Home)972-691-0125
Cell)972-965-6229

27725. Re: Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 20:40:51 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 27707 Message: 27725

Jason, DPS Greenville is dispatched out of Sulphur Springs now, I'm pretty sure the Royse City guys are the same bunch. You might try 159.2175 (P25).

Also, for any of you that would like to monitor Greenville PD and don't have a P25 capable radio, I was listening to some of the national mutual aid channels last week and found Greenville simulcasting on VTAC3. That freq is 158.7375. I don't know if it is permanent or not, but they are there now for your listening enjoyment. ;)

God speed to the shuttle crew today...another flawless launch. Which brings up a question, does anyone know where the NASA audio rebroadcast on 145.19 originates from? Do I have a chance in hades of picking it up in Greenville? I'm hearing nothing so far today.

Tracey W. Singleton, KC5WOI

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Jason Simpkins wrote:
>
> > Yeah I know they went digital but I just need the Frequencies.
>
> 155.4525
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>

27726. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 20:50:52 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27707 Message: 27726

Oh how odd that you just posted this. Last night I was just searching and came across 158.7375 with a decent signal. I couldn't figure out where it was coming from but it was digital. You just answered my question. Also I am not sure where DPS is dispatched out of but I had DPS unit over here one day and he said that he is out of the office in Garland on 30. So, would that mean he is dispatched from there as well or could it be out of Greenvile?

Another question. If the frequency is 158.7375 my radio changed is to 158.7400? I am assuming this is a step thing but no matter what I did it changed it. I can still pick it up but wonder if that makes a big difference.

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

----- Original Message ----
From: Tracey W. Singleton <tracey.singleton@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 7, 2008 2:40:51 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS

Jason, DPS Greenville is dispatched out of Sulphur Springs now, I'm pretty sure the Royse City guys are the same bunch. You might try 159.2175 (P25).

Also, for any of you that would like to monitor Greenville PD and don't have a P25 capable radio, I was listening to some of the national mutual aid channels last week and found Greenville simulcasting on VTAC3. That freq is 158.7375. I don't know if it is permanent or not, but they are there now for your listening enjoyment. ;)

God speed to the shuttle crew today...another flawless launch. Which brings up a question, does anyone know where the NASA audio rebroadcast on 145.19 originates from? Do I have a chance in hades of picking it up in Greenville? I'm hearing nothing so far today.

Tracey W. Singleton, KC5WOI

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@ ...> wrote:
>
> Jason Simpkins wrote:
>
> > Yeah I know they went digital but I just need the Frequencies.
>
> 155.4525
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA

> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite. verizon.net/ resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27727. Re: Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 21:02:47 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 27707 Message: 27727

The step is 7.5 MHz. I have a BC780 that if you try to program it with software, it will not program correctly because the band plan was changed. If you manually program the step size on your scanner it should program correctly. If your scanner doesn't support 7.5MHz steps, you'll still pick up the audio on 158.74, but it won't be as clear. Not sure on the DPS office, could be either Garland or Greenville. I just figured since Royse City was so close to the Hunt County line, it was Greenville. I could very well be wrong. A family member got a traffic ticket recently and the DPS unit was parked at I30 and FM 2642 (the county line) and the paperwork came out of Greenville.

I have heard the signal on 158.7375 clear as a bell and recognized the street names they mentioned, so I know it is Greenville PD. But some days it is digital and other times in the clear. Encryption maybe?? Anyway, it's worth a shot in trying to monitor.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> Oh how odd that you just posted this. Last night I was just searching and came across 158.7375 with a decent signal. I couldn't figure out where it was coming from but it was digital. You just answered my question. Also I am not sure where DPS is dispatched out of but I had DPS unit over here one day and he said that he is out of the office in Garland on 30. So, would that mean he is dispatched from there as well or could it be out of Greenvile?
>
> Another question. If the frequency is 158.7375 my radio changed is to 158.7400? I am assuming this is a step thing but no matter what I did it changed it. I can still pick it up but wonder if that makes a big difference.
>
> Jason
> www.stealthoutmyride.com
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: Tracey W. Singleton <tracey.singleton@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, February 7, 2008 2:40:51 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS
>
> Jason, DPS Greenville is dispatched out of Sulphur Springs now, I'm
> pretty sure the Royse City guys are the same bunch. You might try

> 159.2175 (P25).
>
> Also, for any of you that would like to monitor Greenville PD and
don't
> have a P25 capable radio, I was listening to some of the national
> mutual aid channels last week and found Greenville simulcasting on
> VTAC3. That freq is 158.7375. I don't know if it is permanent or
not,
> but they are there now for your listening enjoyment. ;)
>
> God speed to the shuttle crew today...another flawless launch.
Which
> brings up a question, does anyone know where the NASA audio
rebroadcast
> on 145.19 originates from? Do I have a chance in hades of picking
it up
> in Greenville? I'm hearing nothing so far today.
>
> Tracey W. Singleton, KC5WOI
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@ ...>
wrote:
> >
> > Jason Simpkins wrote:
> >
> > > Yeah I know they went digital but I just need the Frequencies.
> >
> > 155.4525
> >
> > --
> > Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> > The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> > http://mysite. verizon.net/ resrjsf6/
> > IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
> http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

27728. Re: Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 21:05:57 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 27707 Message: 27728

Oops, that should read KHz not MHz. My brain quit working...

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton"
<tracey.singleton@...> wrote:
>
> The step is 7.5 MHz. I have a BC780 that if you try to program it
> with software, it will not program correctly because the band plan
> was changed.

27729. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-07 22:54:58 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27707 Message: 27729

The last I paid attention to Greenville they were running a mix-mode system (both analog and P-25). It's narrow deviation with NAC 293 on P-25 and DPL 346 on analog. Greenville PD has had encryption for many years. From the early days of voice inversion, then to DES/DVP. I would suspect they have it today as well. I've always wondered if that's tied to the fact E-Systems, Raytheon, L3 have a huge operation at Majors Field or if they just don't like Josephine sitting at home doing her knitting and knowing when they are bringing the dispatcher food!

There is a tower on the south side of I-30 in Caddo Mills if I remember correctly. I know the FBI repeater is there and I thought DPS Sulpher Springs could transmit from there as well. As far as quality of communication goes digital sucks compared to analog. If you listen you'll hear DPS trooper say things like "you sounded like R2D2 and I didn't get any of it" and "never heard a thing on this end". My Astro gear sounds better than the scanners but it still doesn't sound good unless the signal is perfect. Of course the other morning I was hearing DPS San Antonio loud and clear!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tracey W. Singleton
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2008 3:03 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS

The step is 7.5 MHz. I have a BC780 that if you try to program it with software, it will not program correctly because the band plan was changed. If you manually program the step size on your scanner it should program correctly. If your scanner doesn't support 7.5MHz steps, you'll still pick up the audio on 158.74, but it won't be as clear. Not sure on the DPS office, could be either Garland or Greenville. I just figured since Royse City was so close to the Hunt County line, it was Greenville. I could very well be wrong. A family member got a traffic ticket recently and the DPS unit was parked at I30 and FM 2642 (the county line) and the paperwork came out of Greenville.

I have heard the signal on 158.7375 clear as a bell and recognized the street names they mentioned, so I know it is Greenville PD. But some days it is digital and other times in the clear. Encryption maybe?? Anyway, it's worth a shot in trying to monitor.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> Oh how odd that you just posted this. Last night I was just
searching and came across 158.7375 with a decent signal. I couldn't
figure out where it was coming from but it was digital. You just
answered my question. Also I am not sure where DPS is dispatched out
of but I had DPS unit over here one day and he said that he is out of
the office in Garland on 30. So, would that mean he is dispatched
from there as well or could it be out of Greenvile?
>
> Another question. If the frequency is 158.7375 my radio changed is
to 158.7400? I am assuming this is a step thing but no matter what I
did it changed it. I can still pick it up but wonder if that makes a
big difference.
>
> Jason
> www.stealthoutmyride.com
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: Tracey W. Singleton <tracey.singleton@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, February 7, 2008 2:40:51 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS
>
> Jason, DPS Greenville is dispatched out of Sulphur Springs now, I'm
> pretty sure the Royse City guys are the same bunch. You might try
> 159.2175 (P25).
>
> Also, for any of you that would like to monitor Greenville PD and
don't
> have a P25 capable radio, I was listening to some of the national
> mutual aid channels last week and found Greenville simulcasting on
> VTAC3. That freq is 158.7375. I don't know if it is permanent or
not,
> but they are there now for your listening enjoyment. ;)
>
> God speed to the shuttle crew today...another flawless launch.
Which
> brings up a question, does anyone know where the NASA audio
rebroadcast
> on 145.19 originates from? Do I have a chance in hades of picking
it up
> in Greenville? I'm hearing nothing so far today.
>
> Tracey W. Singleton, KC5WOI
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@ ...>
wrote:
> >

> > Jason Simpkins wrote:
> >
> > > Yeah I know they went digital but I just need the Frequencies.
> >
> > 155.4525
> >
> > --
> > Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> > The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> > http://mysite. verizon.net/ resrjsf6/
> > IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
> http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27730. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-08 00:41:32 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 27707 Message: 27730

My bet is on Josephine and her good old boy Bubba. (there are lots of Bubbas in rural city government)

L-3 I don't think cares one iota. Their security channel is 152.48 and sounds more like McDonalds. But it does get pretty quiet when a SAM aircraft is in town.

Hmm, FBI has a tower in Caddo?? I know the tower you are speaking of. Right next to the boat junk yard. Really close to home, but didn't know who was using it. Is that the 163.4375 Dallas channel? I'll have to check that out.

I've been gathering all of Hunt Co Fire and AMR's QCII tones this week for my BC396T. It's been kind of fun actually, using a computer-based oscilloscope to read the tones. I'm cheap and not paying the $40 bucks for the ComTekk decoder. Works like a charm!

Tracey

>??-------Original Message-------
>??From: Terry <terry@...>
>??Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS
>??Sent: Feb 07 '08 16:54
>??
>??The last I paid attention to Greenville they were running a mix-mode system
>??(both analog and P-25).??It's narrow deviation with NAC 293 on P-25 and DPL
>??346 on analog.??Greenville PD has had encryption for many years.??From the
>??early days of voice inversion, then to DES/DVP.??I would suspect they have
>??it today as well.??I've always wondered if that's tied to the fact
>??E-Systems, Raytheon, L3 have a huge operation at Majors Field or if they
>??just don't like Josephine sitting at home doing her knitting and knowing
>??when they are bringing the dispatcher food!??
>??
>??There is a tower on the south side of I-30 in Caddo Mills if I remember
>??correctly.??I know the FBI repeater is there and I thought DPS Sulpher
>??Springs could transmit from there as well. As far as quality of
>??communication goes digital sucks compared to analog.??If you listen you'll
>??hear DPS trooper say things like "you sounded like R2D2 and I didn't get any
>??of it" and "never heard a thing on this end".??My Astro gear sounds better
>??than the scanners but it still doesn't sound good unless the signal is
>??perfect.??Of course the other morning I was hearing DPS San Antonio loud and
>??clear!
>??
>??Terry
>??
>??-----Original Message-----
>??From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>??Tracey W. Singleton
>??Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2008 3:03 PM

>??To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>??Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS
>??
>??The step is 7.5 MHz. I have a BC780 that if you try to program it
>??with software, it will not program correctly because the band plan
>??was changed. If you manually program the step size on your scanner it
>??should program correctly. If your scanner doesn't support 7.5MHz
>??steps, you'll still pick up the audio on 158.74, but it won't be as
>??clear. Not sure on the DPS office, could be either Garland or
>??Greenville. I just figured since Royse City was so close to the Hunt
>??County line, it was Greenville. I could very well be wrong. A family
>??member got a traffic ticket recently and the DPS unit was parked at
>??I30 and FM 2642 (the county line) and the paperwork came out of
>??Greenville.
>??
>??I have heard the signal on 158.7375 clear as a bell and recognized
>??the street names they mentioned, so I know it is Greenville PD. But
>??some days it is digital and other times in the clear. Encryption
>??maybe?? Anyway, it's worth a shot in trying to monitor.
>??
>??--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>??>
>??> Oh how odd that you just posted this. Last night I was just
>??searching and came across 158.7375 with a decent signal. I couldn't
>??figure out where it was coming from but it was digital. You just
>??answered my question. Also I am not sure where DPS is dispatched out
>??of but I had DPS unit over here one day and he said that he is out of
>??the office in Garland on 30. So, would that mean he is dispatched
>??from there as well or could it be out of Greenvile?
>??>
>??> Another question. If the frequency is 158.7375 my radio changed is
>??to 158.7400? I am assuming this is a step thing but no matter what I
>??did it changed it. I can still pick it up but wonder if that makes a
>??big difference.
>??>??
>??> Jason
>??> www.stealthoutmyride.com
>??>
>??>
>??>
>??> ----- Original Message ----
>??> From: Tracey W. Singleton <tracey.singleton@...>
>??> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>??> Sent: Thursday, February 7, 2008 2:40:51 PM
>??> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS
>??>
>??> Jason, DPS Greenville is dispatched out of Sulphur Springs now, I'm
>??> pretty sure the Royse City guys are the same bunch. You might try
>??> 159.2175 (P25).
>??>

>??> Also, for any of you that would like to monitor Greenville PD and
>??don't
>??> have a P25 capable radio, I was listening to some of the national
>??> mutual aid channels last week and found Greenville simulcasting on
>??> VTAC3. That freq is 158.7375. I don't know if it is permanent or
>??not,
>??> but they are there now for your listening enjoyment. ;)
>??>
>??> God speed to the shuttle crew today...another flawless launch.
>??Which
>??> brings up a question, does anyone know where the NASA audio
>??rebroadcast
>??> on 145.19 originates from? Do I have a chance in hades of picking
>??it up
>??> in Greenville? I'm hearing nothing so far today.
>??>
>??> Tracey W. Singleton, KC5WOI
>??>
>??> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@ ...>
>??wrote:
>??> >
>??> > Jason Simpkins wrote:
>??> >
>??> > > Yeah I know they went digital but I just need the Frequencies.
>??> >
>??> > 155.4525
>??> >
>??> > --
>??> > Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
>??> > The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
>??> > http://mysite. verizon.net/ resrjsf6/
>??> > IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
>??> >
>??>
>??>
>??>
>??>
>??>
>??>??????
>??_____
>??_____
>??> Never miss a thing.??Make Yahoo your home page.
>??> http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs
>??>
>??> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>??>
>??
>??
>??
>??

>??For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>??http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>??Yahoo! Groups Links
>??
>??
>??
>??
>??

27731. Re: New Lewisville tower up?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-08 00:58:55 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27700 Message: 27731

Tom ND5Y wrote:

>Did they only have one site before?

As long as I've lived in this area, I've only known
that trunking system to be on one site (the Rockbrook
at 3040 tower) until now.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27732. RSOE EDIS - Emergency and Disaster Information Service

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-08 03:05:03 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 27732 Message: 27732

http://hisz.rsoe.hu/alertmap/index.php?lang=eng

Thot Yall might find this interesting.

Sarge

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27733. Re: Scanning DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-08 03:28:11 UTC
From: cashfd13tx
Topic: 27707 Message: 27733

DPS repeater for Hunt Co is located on the tower @ I30 and Moulton behind the putt putt golf. I was working on a phoneline for a paging company and they actually have a speaker in the building playing the frequency. I also know that some of the constables and Sheriff officers still use the old frequency of 155.4900 to contact Greenville because they don't have digital radios. I will try to find out if they have DES on the new digital as I know the officer over dispatch.

-- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton" <tracey.singleton@...> wrote:
>
> My bet is on Josephine and her good old boy Bubba. (there are lots of Bubbas in rural city government)
>
> L-3 I don't think cares one iota. Their security channel is 152.48 and sounds more like McDonalds. But it does get pretty quiet when a SAM aircraft is in town.
>
> Hmm, FBI has a tower in Caddo?? I know the tower you are speaking of. Right next to the boat junk yard. Really close to home, but didn't know who was using it. Is that the 163.4375 Dallas channel? I'll have to check that out.
>
> I've been gathering all of Hunt Co Fire and AMR's QCII tones this week for my BC396T. It's been kind of fun actually, using a computer-based oscilloscope to read the tones. I'm cheap and not paying the $40 bucks for the ComTekk decoder. Works like a charm!
>
> Tracey
>
>
> > -------Original Message-------
> > From: Terry <terry@...>
> > Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS
> > Sent: Feb 07 '08 16:54
> >
> > The last I paid attention to Greenville they were running a mix-mode system
> > (both analog and P-25). It's narrow deviation with NAC 293 on P-25 and DPL
> > 346 on analog. Greenville PD has had encryption for many years. From the
> > early days of voice inversion, then to DES/DVP. I would suspect they have

> > it today as well. I've always wondered if that's tied to the
fact
> > E-Systems, Raytheon, L3 have a huge operation at Majors Field or
if they
> > just don't like Josephine sitting at home doing her knitting and
knowing
> > when they are bringing the dispatcher food!
> >
> > There is a tower on the south side of I-30 in Caddo Mills if I
remember
> > correctly. I know the FBI repeater is there and I thought DPS
Sulpher
> > Springs could transmit from there as well. As far as quality of
> > communication goes digital sucks compared to analog. If you
listen you'll
> > hear DPS trooper say things like "you sounded like R2D2 and I
didn't get any
> > of it" and "never heard a thing on this end". My Astro gear
sounds better
> > than the scanners but it still doesn't sound good unless the
signal is
> > perfect. Of course the other morning I was hearing DPS San
Antonio loud and
> > clear!
> >
> > Terry
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]
On Behalf Of
> > Tracey W. Singleton
> > Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2008 3:03 PM
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS
> >
> > The step is 7.5 MHz. I have a BC780 that if you try to program it
> > with software, it will not program correctly because the band
plan
> > was changed. If you manually program the step size on your
scanner it
> > should program correctly. If your scanner doesn't support 7.5MHz
> > steps, you'll still pick up the audio on 158.74, but it won't be
as
> > clear. Not sure on the DPS office, could be either Garland or
> > Greenville. I just figured since Royse City was so close to the
Hunt
> > County line, it was Greenville. I could very well be wrong. A
family
> > member got a traffic ticket recently and the DPS unit was parked
at

> > I30 and FM 2642 (the county line) and the paperwork came out of
> > Greenville.
> >
> > I have heard the signal on 158.7375 clear as a bell and
recognized
> > the street names they mentioned, so I know it is Greenville PD.
But
> > some days it is digital and other times in the clear. Encryption
> > maybe?? Anyway, it's worth a shot in trying to monitor.
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@>
wrote:
> > >
> > > Oh how odd that you just posted this. Last night I was just
> > searching and came across 158.7375 with a decent signal. I
couldn't
> > figure out where it was coming from but it was digital. You just
> > answered my question. Also I am not sure where DPS is dispatched
out
> > of but I had DPS unit over here one day and he said that he is
out of
> > the office in Garland on 30. So, would that mean he is dispatched
> > from there as well or could it be out of Greenvile?
> > >
> > > Another question. If the frequency is 158.7375 my radio
changed is
> > to 158.7400? I am assuming this is a step thing but no matter
what I
> > did it changed it. I can still pick it up but wonder if that
makes a
> > big difference.
> > >
> > > Jason
> > > www.stealthoutmyride.com
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message ----
> > > From: Tracey W. Singleton <tracey.singleton@>
> > > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > Sent: Thursday, February 7, 2008 2:40:51 PM
> > > Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning DPS
> > >
> > > Jason, DPS Greenville is dispatched out of Sulphur Springs
now, I'm
> > > pretty sure the Royse City guys are the same bunch. You might
try
> > > 159.2175 (P25).
> > >
> > > Also, for any of you that would like to monitor Greenville PD

and
> > don't
> > > have a P25 capable radio, I was listening to some of the
national
> > > mutual aid channels last week and found Greenville
simulcasting on
> > > VTAC3. That freq is 158.7375. I don't know if it is permanent
or
> > not,
> > > but they are there now for your listening enjoyment. ;)
> > >
> > > God speed to the shuttle crew today...another flawless launch.
> > Which
> > > brings up a question, does anyone know where the NASA audio
> > rebroadcast
> > > on 145.19 originates from? Do I have a chance in hades of
picking
> > it up
> > > in Greenville? I'm hearing nothing so far today.
> > >
> > > Tracey W. Singleton, KC5WOI
> > >
> > > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Blaine Brooks
<blaine.brooks@ ...>
> > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Jason Simpkins wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Yeah I know they went digital but I just need the
Frequencies.
> > > >
> > > > 155.4525
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
> > > > The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> > > > http://mysite. verizon.net/ resrjsf6/
> > > > IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
> _____
___
> > _____
> > > Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.

> > > http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

27734. Re: RSOE EDIS - Emergency and Disaster Information Service

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-08 18:11:43 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 27732 Message: 27734

That is awesome!! World wide consolidated disaster and emergency data
in graphic form. Are there any other sites like this?

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scott" <smd_1@...> wrote:
>
> http://hisz.rsoe.hu/alertmap/index.php?lang=eng
>
> Thot Yall might find this interesting.
>
> Sarge
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

27735. Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-08 20:51:28 UTC
From: John Crowe
Topic: 27735 Message: 27735

Anyone in the DFW area have experience with either the PSR-500 or 600?
I understand the 500 is on Mft Backorder, but it appears the 600's are out in the world now...

Any compelling reasons to go digital in DFW yet?

Thanks!

John Crowe -

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27736. Re: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-08 21:27:17 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27735 Message: 27736

Well, TSA at DFW, Grand Prairie PD/FD, and Mansfield PD/FD are digital as is DPS. There might be a couple of other federal/military using P25 unscrambled. Of course, I'd have to recommend the BCD396T or BCD996T (or I'd be fired).

:)

Paul

----- Original Message ----
From: John Crowe <crowemag55@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 8, 2008 2:51:28 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Anyone in the DFW area have experience with either the PSR-500 or 600?
I understand the 500 is on Mft Backorder, but it appears the 600's are out in the world now...

Any compelling reasons to go digital in DFW yet?

Thanks!

John Crowe -

__________ _________ _________ _________ _________ _________ _
Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search. http://tools. search.yahoo. com/newsearch/ category. php?category= shopping

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27737. Re: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-08 22:02:05 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27735 Message: 27737

True. I trend toward the western half of the metroplex.

----- Original Message ----
From: Ron Cole <rdcole@...>
To: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...>
Sent: Friday, February 8, 2008 3:48:02 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

What about Frisco? I thought they were about to switch on their digital system.

Ron

Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:
Well, TSA at DFW, Grand Prairie PD/FD, and Mansfield PD/FD are digital as is DPS. There might be a couple of other federal/military using P25 unscrambled. Of course, I'd have to recommend the BCD396T or BCD996T (or I'd be fired).

:)

Paul

----- Original Message ----
From: John Crowe
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 8, 2008 2:51:28 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Anyone in the DFW area have experience with either the PSR-500 or 600?
I understand the 500 is on Mft Backorder, but it appears the 600's are out in the world now...

Any compelling reasons to go digital in DFW yet?

Thanks!

John Crowe -

---------- --------- --------- --------- --------- --------- –
Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search. http://tools. search.yahoo. com/newsearch/ category. php?category= shopping

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

Ron

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your homepage.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27738. RE: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 00:43:39 UTC
From: barrybarge@tx.rr.com
Topic: 27735 Message: 27738

I have had my PSR-600 for several weeks now (base use only), and am favorably impressed. I also have a BCD396T, a BC780XLT and others. In general, the PSR-600 is more sensitive then my Uniden models, however, this is not always an advantage. I had trouble with DPS P25 mode, and had to turn on the attenuator to receive Dallas (Garland) DPS. I still had problems receiving the Sherman DPS repeater on 159.2175 (as well as Sulpher Springs), until I got an email response from their tech support (on a Saturday night!) that suggested using an FM trap to improve the VHF P25 reception. And like magic it did!! All is well now. (Obviously they know of this issue, based on the response. I was also impressed that the tech support person who responded was also a scanner enthusiast himself, as he discussed his home antenna system and also had to use the trap.)

I have not used it for Mil Air or much UHF, so can't comment on its sensitivity on those bands vs. Uniden. It does seem more sensitive on 800 MHz than my Unidens, although not as much as I would like. The P25 audio is an improvement over my BCD396T. (I do wish, though, they provided some manual P25 decoding adjustment like the BCD396T. But I guess they believe their algorithms are so good they don't need it.)

Hope this helps.

Barry Barge
Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of John Crowe
Sent: Friday, February 08, 2008 2:51 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Anyone in the DFW area have experience with either the PSR-500 or 600?
I understand the 500 is on Mft Backorder, but it appears the 600's are out in the world now...

Any compelling reasons to go digital in DFW yet?

Thanks!

John Crowe -

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27739. Re: Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 02:37:52 UTC
From: Jay
Topic: 27735 Message: 27739

Hi John,

I had a PSR-500 on pre-order as soon as it was announced, and was one of the first to receive it. After owning it for about a week, I ended up exchanging the PSR-500 for another BCD396T.
I did not like the way that the unit is programmed (although I did see their version of "dynamic memory" to be a step in the right direction), and am just use to the ease of the menu-driven Uniden.
The Uniden seems to be much more "solidly" built as well, both with the unit itself as well as the belt-clip assembly.
I did however appreciate the overall "more sensitive" nature of the PSR and the NAC ability.
This of course is just my greasy little opinion, as there are plenty of folks out there that love their PSRs. As always, your mileage may vary.

Jay
W5JSS
Lewisville

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, John Crowe <crowemag55@...> wrote:
>
> Anyone in the DFW area have experience with either the PSR-500 or 600?
> I understand the 500 is on Mft Backorder, but it appears the 600's are out in the world now...
>
> Any compelling reasons to go digital in DFW yet?
>
> Thanks!
>
>
> John Crowe -
>
>
>
_____
> Looking for last minute shopping deals?
> Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

27740. Richardson Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 05:48:24 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27740 Message: 27740

Richardson Police have something going on at Campbell and 75.They have asked for Garland K-9 units to meet at that location.They are working on PD/TAC.1.

Mike in Garland

27741. Richardson Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 05:59:19 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27740 Message: 27741

There is a correction for the incident in Richardson,the location is Spring Valley and 75 on St.Paul.Garland K-9's are starting to track.They have asked for CID to bring video camera.

Mike in Garland

27742. Richardson Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 06:10:30 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27740 Message: 27742

Actor that Richardson PD. was searching for at Sring Valley & 75 has
been taken into custody. 12:10AM

Mike in Garland

27743. Scanner at Radio Shack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 14:48:47 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27743 Message: 27743

I don't know what scanner it is but it is the updated scanner to the pro 97. It was on sale. I turned it on and if I remember it even had a signal strength meter on it. Wish my 97 had that. Anyone have this new scanner?

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

_____
Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27744. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 14:50:45 UTC
From: Steve Liese
Topic: 27740 Message: 27744

FYI, they had two major incidents at once. The Spring Valley and 75 was a foot chase when a female officer pulled over a stolen vehicle. While they were in the middle of that, a car flipped on the 75 service road near Campbell. PD was there first and said they thought it was fatal. FD ended up requesting a full rescue response which included Rescue 4. That was probably where they needed the camera. I went to sleep before either incident concluded.

Steve in Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2008 12:11 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson Police

Actor that Richardson PD. was searching for at Sring Valley & 75 has been taken into custody. 12:10AM

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27745. CareFlite on Standby

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 15:53:33 UTC
From: ldlorance
Topic: 27745 Message: 27745

I hear all the time, to place "CareFlite on Standby". What does that mean? Do the pilot and Flight PM go the aircraft and warm up the aircraft or do they simply wait in their quarters until the receive the call?

27746. Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 17:24:55 UTC
From: John Crowe
Topic: 27735 Message: 27746

Thanks to all that replied, both individually and the group!

A couple of things that I thought were intriguing about the PSR's are the Virtual Scanners, and Skywarn alerts.
I don't think the Uniden's do that do they? VS would allow me to set up multi scanners before hitting the road on the Wing each summer, and since the radio's have both Analog and Digital, I wouldn't have to be concerned about missing stuff...(Keeping up with weather's pretty important on 2 Wheels!)

John Crowe -

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27747. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New device on Plano police cars

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-25 20:57:36 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 27664 Message: 27747

theres a joystick in the police car that the officer uses to move the camera around and look down dark alleys and behind building in inudstrial areas it can also be useful if they were looking for lost children in a field or a suspect that was bedded down in a field although i dont know how many large open fields there are in plano anymore....there was some talk at one time about the misuse of the cameras, people were under the impression that the officers could see inside there house but this is not true

Cody Sheppard

afm108 <afm108@...> wrote:
More than likely a FLIR (Foward Looking Infrared) device for night
vision. I'm not too up on them so can't give you any good details on
their use.

Randy

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "scottbomb" <scottbomb@...> wrote:
>
> I saw something big and round on the top of a car last week that looked
> like a camera. It was made by Raytheon. Anyone know the specifics on
> this new toy?
>

Looking for last minute shopping deals? Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27748. Re: [dfwscan] PSR-500 or 600

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-28 01:42:02 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 27675 Message: 27748

Stok antenna is fair to hald decent on VHF hi. I use the RS 800Mhz ducky for the most part while out and about. In the Apt. I use a 1/4 wave cut for 150Mhz (NMO on a mag mount). Results are not to shabby! Beware of the intermod monstoer though since the front end is wide open. The attenuator feature does help a bit when faced with overload. This morning was interesting.... During the VHF band opening, I logged a bunch of P25 comms from DPS repeaters I have not heard before. (Cant wait for another good one to go out park on a high spot and go "fishing").

Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
How is reception in the milair band 225-400 for
these scanners?

How about 138-144 , 148-150.8 AM?

Low band VHF? 30-50?

Compared to a PRO-2006, PRO-43, BC780,
BC396/996 does it hold it's weight in these
bands?

How are the stock antennas for both of the radios
(the PSR-500 & 600)?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your homepage.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27749. Re: [dfwscan] New device on Plano police cars

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-25 19:11:25 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27664 Message: 27749

My dad said he saw something like that on one of the cars. I told him it was proabably a FLIR. I thought he had just never seen it before but guess this is something new.

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

----- Original Message ----
From: scottbomb <scottbomb@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2008 1:09:12 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] New device on Plano police cars

I saw something big and round on the top of a car last week that looked
like a camera. It was made by Raytheon. Anyone know the specifics on
this new toy?

_____
Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27750. Re: [dfwscan] Burleson,Tx

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-31 06:36:56 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 27687 Message: 27750

They are now on a high split UHF LTR Trunked system. And you are in luck, the PRO-528 will track it if you actually live close to Burleson! Go to the following web site for the particulars: http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5245

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: M
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2008 12:21 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Burleson,Tx

What did Burleson change to? They used to be on the 453.xxx band but
they no longer are there. What did they go too? I got a PRO528 model
to catch em with. And that would be the PD,FD, and city services
channels/freqs. Thanks.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27751. Re: [dfwscan] help

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-01-31 17:50:46 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 4906 Message: 27751

Hurst PD can be found on this page
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?ctid=2742

Tom

alanshane wrote:
> I need help w/ my scanner. I justgot a PRO-528 and want to listen to
> the Hurst police dept.
>

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

27752. Re: [dfwscan] Where can I get a Copy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-01 14:57:15 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27691 Message: 27752

Every scanner can be programmed differently. Unless you backed up the channels (using WIN97), you'll need to reprogram the scanner (or have it reprogrammed) from scratch.

If reprogramming from scratch (even if you are going to have someone do it for you), you should start by identifying what you want to hear from the database at:

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?mid=2

----- Original Message ----
From: BECKY <boo29_23@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2008 5:29:40 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Where can I get a Copy

I am needing a copy of the channels that was on my pro 97 because
somehow I lost them all can someone help Me

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27753. Fw: Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 18:16:45 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27753 Message: 27753

Forwarding to the group...

----- Forwarded Message ----
From: "Rev. Fr. George Hoxie, S.T.L., D.D." <frgeorgeh@...>
To: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...>
Sent: Saturday, February 9, 2008 8:48:53 AM
Subject: Re: Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

> Subject: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?
>
> Anyone in the DFW area have experience with either the PSR-500 or 600?

> Thanks!
>
> John Crowe -
>

John,

Besides DFW folks, check online forums. I have had great luck getting
questions answered on these for my BCD396T.

Here are a couple of forum links:

http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=95641

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/forums/viewtopic.php?t=2644&sid=53c377f3a534caf5da46bd
1543495103

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PSR-500/

73's

George
> ____________ _________ _________ _________ _________ _________ _
> Looking for last minute shopping deals?
> Find them fast with Yahoo! Search. http://tools. search.yahoo.
com/newsearch/ category. php?category= shopping
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27754. Re: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 18:22:42 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27735 Message: 27754

Instead of Virtual Scanners the Uniden models make all channels available all the time. Additionally, on the BCD996T and BCT15, you can use startup keys to quickly lock and unlock systems (think of it as lockout macros). You can create 10 different startup key setups. I use this feature to switch between my GPS-enabled systems for mobile use and my "static location" systems for fixed-base use, but it could also be used to quickly select alternate sets of systems based on region.

Unless I missed something, the Skywarn feature does not provide alerts. It just lets you quickly select your local Skywarn frequencies (which you do still have to program in yourself). You can do the same thing on the Unidens by creating a system named "Skywarn" and assigning it to its own quick key.

Not knocking GRE's implementation, just pointing out analogous features in the Unidens.

----- Original Message ----
From: John Crowe <crowemag55@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 9, 2008 11:24:55 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Thanks to all that replied, both individually and the group!

A couple of things that I thought were intriguing about the PSR's are the Virtual Scanners, and Skywarn alerts.
I don't think the Uniden's do that do they? VS would allow me to set up multi scanners before hitting the road on the Wing each summer, and since the radio's have both Analog and Digital, I wouldn't have to be concerned about missing stuff...(Keeping up with weather's pretty important on 2 Wheels!)

John Crowe -

---------- --------- --------- --------- --------- --------- -
Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now. http://mobile. yahoo.com/ ;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR
8HDtDypao8Wcj9tA cJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27755. Re: [dfwscan] CareFlite on Standby

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 18:50:04 UTC
From: Ryan Chalk
Topic: 27745 Message: 27755

When CareFlite is put on standby most of the time the crew stays in quarters. The pilot does a weather check and they look on a map to get an idea of where they are going. Being put on standby means the closest helicopter is being dedicated to a scene. The only way the crew gets into the helicopter is if they are more than a certain mileage away which i think is 30 miles, im not for certain, then they will fire up the helicopter and get airborne and head in the direction of the scene. From time of dispatch to time of being in the air is only a few minutes.

ldlorance <ldlorance@...> wrote: I hear all the time, to place "CareFlite on Standby". What does that
mean? Do the pilot and Flight PM go the aircraft and warm up the
aircraft or do they simply wait in their quarters until the receive the
call?

Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27756. FWFD requesting ME

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 20:30:02 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 27756 Message: 27756

For you media folks, you might give FWFD's PIO a call. A request for the medical examiner was just made for a "body recovery". I don't know any other details than that.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27757. Re: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 21:04:10 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 27735 Message: 27757

John,

Have owned a PSR-500 since the first day it was released. Very pleased with it. I like the crisp clear sound quality of the unit and the LED light at the top. You can assign a color to an object and it helps identify who's talking. The sensitivity is excellent, but if you get near a Nextel tower, forget about hearing anything but rushing water noise. Its also much more susceptable to the clicking noises produced by AT&T 800MHz cell phones than any of the other models I have. I like the Wildcard feature for individual systems. Kind of like Search and Scan on Uniden models, or Open and Closed mode on PRO-96 and PRO-97 models.

It did not perform well on the Colorado DTRS while in Colorado on X-mas vacation. Even though I had all the nearby control channels programmed into a system, it would consistently miss the first and second transmissions of a conversation (sitting next to a PRO-96). Compared to the PRO-96 and Uniden BCD996T, it literally performed terribly. I initially had all 1458 talkgroups for the state programmed into one system. The problem is that only 32 control channels entries are allowed for each system. With wide area systems like the DTRS, 32 control channels are not enough. I pared down the list of talkgroups to the area I was in and pared down the number of control channels and it seemed to work better, but still missed a fair amount of traffic. I tried the multi-site STAT, ROAM and OFF settings, and it didn't seem to have an effect any way it was set. It is my belief that GRE still has some work to do to tweek the performance on pure P25 ASTRO systems.

In the DFW area on the systems we have around here, it performs splendidly. It scans much faster than the Uniden dynamic memory system scanners and it has enough memory to input all the systems and conventional channels in our area and most if not all known LTR systems. The main limitation is only 20 scan lists. You have to assign all talkgroups, conventional and wildcard objects to a scan list. Depending on what you want to listen to, or not listen to, this can be a limiting factor. This is where the Uniden dynamic memory models outshine the GRE by allowing locking or unlocking individual groups inside systems. But the tradeoff in my opinion is with the slow scan rate with the Uniden models. You simply miss more when your scanning.

Overall, the PSR-500 is my new, take everywhere scanner, replacing my PRO-96.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: John Crowe
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2008 11:24 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Thanks to all that replied, both individually and the group!

A couple of things that I thought were intriguing about the PSR's are the Virtual Scanners, and Skywarn alerts.
I don't think the Uniden's do that do they? VS would allow me to set up multi scanners

before hitting the road on the Wing each summer, and since the radio's have both Analog and Digital, I wouldn't have to be concerned about missing stuff...(Keeping up with weather's pretty important on 2 Wheels!)

John Crowe -

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27758. does anyone have???

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 01:32:20 UTC
From: hondagrl696
Topic: 27758 Message: 27758

i know i have asked this question before but does anyone know the frequencies for nrh and keller or does anyone know of a site that lists them all i have tried the radio reference site and it doesnt have police or fire just public works or animal control.

thank you

amanda

27759. RE: [dfwscan] does anyone have???

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 01:38:26 UTC
From: Billy Mason (Mailing List)
Topic: 27758 Message: 27759

NRH is on the Fort Worth trunked system. Keller is on the NE Tarrant (Bedford) trunked radio system. See the appropriate links in RR for more information.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hondagrl696
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2008 19:32
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] does anyone have???

i know i have asked this question before but does anyone know the frequencies for nrh and keller or does anyone know of a site that lists them all i have tried the radio reference site and it doesnt have police or fire just public works or animal control.

thank you

amanda

27760. RE: [dfwscan] FWFD requesting ME

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 03:22:02 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27756 Message: 27760

Guy was SB on loop 820 when he left the roadway and landed on the bank of the river near trinity blvd. Everyone figured he was ejected and probably dead it just took a while to find him. I was standing on the bridge over the car and I have no idea what it was. It was destroyed!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino (YGW)
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2008 2:30 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] FWFD requesting ME

For you media folks, you might give FWFD's PIO a call. A request for the medical examiner was just made for a "body recovery". I don't know any other details than that.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27761. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 03:29:01 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27740 Message: 27761

The wreck was real fatal! Pickup northbound in the number 1 lane, loses control, crosses all NB lanes, hits guardrail and vaults onto the service road. Driver was partially ejected and truck landed upside-down on top of him. He dies on scene.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Steve Liese
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2008 8:51 AM
To: 'rescue4nfd'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Richardson Police

FYI, they had two major incidents at once. The Spring Valley and 75 was a foot chase when a female officer pulled over a stolen vehicle. While they were in the middle of that, a car flipped on the 75 service road near Campbell. PD was there first and said they thought it was fatal. FD ended up requesting a full rescue response which included Rescue 4. That was probably where they needed the camera. I went to sleep before either incident concluded.

Steve in Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2008 12:11 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson Police

Actor that Richardson PD. was searching for at Sring Valley & 75 has been taken into custody. 12:10AM

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27762. Richardsons ALPR (pic)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 03:32:15 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27762 Message: 27762

http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/richardsonpdaplr.jpg

Jason
www.stealthoutmyride.com

_____
Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page.
http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27763. Use in public

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 04:58:36 UTC
From: prycemarshall
Topic: 27763 Message: 27763

I'm sure the majority of members in this group are also member on multiple other scanner related groups; I hope you agree with me, this one seems to be best source of information about the hobby I've found.

As an update to a previous question of mine: I love my PSR-500, it's amazing how much I was missing here in Bryan/College Station that was P-25 only.

I have another opinion/experience question for the group. As always, I appreciate any input offered.

I am curious to know how law enforcement authorities feel about citizens with scanners in public. I would like to monitor at larger events; I would prefer to keep my scanner concealed, and listen through headphones. I `d like to think that I am an otherwise unsuspicious looking person, and if I am not interfering with anything I would think this shouldn't be an issue with law enforcement.

I've mentioned to the group in the past that I have experience as a volunteer fire fighter, so I understand the annoyance/danger of "siren chasers". I also understand that there is an increasing occurrence of officer impersonation in D/FW, which I have not heard of being a problem in my area.

Please let me know of any previous experience on the matter you all may have encountered.

Thanks,

Pryce Marshall
Fightin' Texas Aggie c/o 2006

27764. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New device on Plano police cars

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 16:42:04 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 27664 Message: 27764

From what I've heard on the scanner, FLIR cameras are most often used at MVA scenes to look for people who have been ejected and may be unconscious or dead. In Plano, that would be mostly along Central, GBT, or DNT where people may have been thrown into ditches and are not really close to where their vehicles ended up. FLIR is also used to try to track people who have fled from police and run into brushy or wooded areas. (Probably K9 is more effective for that.) Also, FLIR is an indispensable helicopter tool.

Also, note what Terry said on a different topic.....

>Guy was SB on loop 820 when he left the roadway and landed on the bank of
>the river near trinity blvd. Everyone figured he was ejected and probably
>dead it just took a while to find him. I was standing on the bridge over
>the car and I have no idea what it was. It was destroyed!

"it just took a while to find him" THAT is what FLIR is for!

Toby

At 02:57 PM 1/25/2008, Cody Sheppard wrote:

>theres a joystick in the police car that the officer uses to move
>the camera around and look down dark alleys and behind building in
>inudstrial areas it can also be useful if they were looking for lost
>children in a field or a suspect that was bedded down in a field
>although i dont know how many large open fields there are in plano
>anymore....there was some talk at one time about the misuse of the
>cameras, people were under the impression that the officers could
>see inside there house but this is not true
>
>Cody Sheppard
>
>afm108 <<mailto:afm108%40gmail.com>afm108@...> wrote:
>More than likely a FLIR (Foward Looking Infrared) device for night
>vision. I'm not too up on them so can't give you any good details on
>their use.
>
>Randy
>
>--- In <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com,
>"scottbomb" <scottbomb@...> wrote:
> >
> > I saw something big and round on the top of a car last week that looked
> > like a camera. It was made by Raytheon. Anyone know the specifics on
> > this new toy?

> >

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27765. BCT-15

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 19:37:59 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 26710 Message: 27765

I was going to wait until rebanding came to the DFW area before buying a new scanner,but I'm ready to get a BCT-15,my question is will it be able to be Reprogramed,or Reflashed? Thanks for any Info..

Mike in Garland

27766. Re: [dfwscan] BCT-15

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 19:44:24 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 26710 Message: 27766

The BCT15 is capable of reflashing. Until at least one Motorola Analog system somewhere rebands and uses the new control channel format, no manufacturer can guarantee that their scanner will work on such systems after rebanding. But, it is likely that rebanding updates will be possible.

----- Original Message ----
From: rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 10, 2008 1:37:59 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] BCT-15

I was going to wait until rebanding came to the DFW area before
buying a new scanner,but I'm ready to get a BCT-15,my question is will
it be able to be Reprogramed, or Reflashed? Thanks for any Info..

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27767. Re: BCT-15

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 19:59:59 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 26710 Message: 27767

Mike,
It's on the rebanding-supported list. As soon as there are some rebanded Motorola systems out there for Uniden to test their plans for handling the new systems, then a firmware update for the BCT-15, as well as the other supported scanners (includes the 396, 246, 996, 330, 296, & 796) will be be developed & released after testing.

I've also considered replacing my 250D, or 780, with the BCT15, since neither of those are rebanding supported.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rescue4nfd" <rescue4nfd@...> wrote:
>
> I was going to wait until rebanding came to the DFW area before
> buying a new scanner,but I'm ready to get a BCT-15,my question is will
> it be able to be Reprogramed,or Reflashed? Thanks for any Info..
>
> Mike in Garland
>

27768. CareFlite 1 Bell 222 Model Photos

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-10 22:17:09 UTC
From: ldlorance
Topic: 27768 Message: 27768

Here are the final photographs of the CareFlite 1 Bell 222:

http://www.modelfiretrucks.net/careflitemodel/

27769. RE: [dfwscan] Re: New device on Plano police cars

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-11 12:44:54 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27664 Message: 27769

Yep, except despite what some people think it will not see through grass or water. In this case the guy was under water and a diver found him. They did however bring in the helo with the FLIR and if he had been on land they most likely would have found him. DPS Air-1 has been doing some training with the FLIR and K-9 out in the Forney area lately. It's usually around midnight and they use National Mutual Aid 1 (simplex) for comms.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Toby Zidle
Sent: Sunday, February 10, 2008 10:42 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: New device on Plano police cars

From what I've heard on the scanner, FLIR cameras are most often used at MVA scenes to look for people who have been ejected and may be unconscious or dead. In Plano, that would be mostly along Central, GBT, or DNT where people may have been thrown into ditches and are not really close to where their vehicles ended up. FLIR is also used to try to track people who have fled from police and run into brushy or wooded areas. (Probably K9 is more effective for that.) Also, FLIR is an indispensable helicopter tool.

Also, note what Terry said on a different topic.....

>Guy was SB on loop 820 when he left the roadway and landed on the bank of
>the river near trinity blvd. Everyone figured he was ejected and probably
>dead it just took a while to find him. I was standing on the bridge over
>the car and I have no idea what it was. It was destroyed!

"it just took a while to find him" THAT is what FLIR is for!

Toby

At 02:57 PM 1/25/2008, Cody Sheppard wrote:

>theres a joystick in the police car that the officer uses to move
>the camera around and look down dark alleys and behind building in
>inudstrial areas it can also be useful if they were looking for lost
>children in a field or a suspect that was bedded down in a field
>although i dont know how many large open fields there are in plano
>anymore....there was some talk at one time about the misuse of the
>cameras, people were under the impression that the officers could
>see inside there house but this is not true
>

>Cody Sheppard
>
>afm108 <<mailto:afm108%40gmail.com>afm108@...> wrote:
>More than likely a FLIR (Foward Looking Infrared) device for night
>vision. I'm not too up on them so can't give you any good details on
>their use.
>
>Randy
>
>--- In <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com,
>"scottbomb" <scottbomb@...> wrote:
> >
> > I saw something big and round on the top of a car last week that looked
> > like a camera. It was made by Raytheon. Anyone know the specifics on
> > this new toy?
> >

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27770. Serious Grass Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-11 16:57:51 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 27770 Message: 27770

In Grayson County near Southmaid on Preston road, structures threatened. We are now utilizing a County wide task force that sends units from all over the county to help. The main operating freq is Texas Fire 1, 154.280.

Sarge

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27771. New communicatoins system on council agenda in Cleburne

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-12 00:25:41 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 27771 Message: 27771

Thought this might be of interest to the group.

http://www.cleburnetimesreview.com/local/local_story_042165911.html
<http://www.cleburnetimesreview.com/local/local_story_042165911.html>

But I'm a little confused though. I know that a new communications system is being
built for Johnson County. And I had thought that Cleburne PD/FD was at some time
going to get on that system. I could be wrong though. It has been quite some time back
when I read the original article.

Speaking of the new system for Johnson County, are their any updates as to it's status.
I'm still hearing Johnson County Fire on 46.100 and SO on 158.745.
So apparently the system still isn't quite ready.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27772. Re: New communicatoins system on council agenda in Cleburne

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-12 00:44:25 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 27771 Message: 27772

Chris,

This article is yet another example of poor journalism, which is slowly putting the outdated paper media out of business. The title leads the reader to believe the city is getting a new communications system, however, the explination sounds more like they are getting a new computer aided dispatch and report system. Totally different systems of course, and I doubt the hack reporter who did the story even has a clue.

Mark

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> Thought this might be of interest to the group.
>
> http://www.cleburnetimesreview.com/local/local_story_042165911.html
>
<http://www.cleburnetimesreview.com/local/local_story_042165911.html>
>
> But I'm a little confused though. I know that a new communications
> system is being
> built for Johnson County. And I had thought that Cleburne PD/FD
was at
> some time
> going to get on that system. I could be wrong though. It has been
quite
> some time back
> when I read the original article.
>
> Speaking of the new system for Johnson County, are their any
updates as
> to it's status.
> I'm still hearing Johnson County Fire on 46.100 and SO on 158.745.
> So apparently the system still isn't quite ready.
>
> Chris Easley
> Cleburne, TX

27773. PRO-528 Programming

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-12 02:41:32 UTC
From: Patrick Martin
Topic: 27773 Message: 27773

Hello all,

Having just purchased a PRO-528 I'm seriously considering getting the ARC433 software.

Could someone share a "master file" with all the talk groups, trunks and frequencies that could be imported into the scanner?

27774. Bedford Tactical

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-12 05:48:47 UTC
From: Lee
Topic: 27774 Message: 27774

has something going on in North Bedford right now.

27775. Pushing the Envelope, BIG TIME!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-13 18:53:21 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 27775 Message: 27775

Hope this works and Yall enjoy it.

Sarge

PUSHING THE ENVELOPE, BIG TIME!

If the wings could be folded, he could have gone in for a cup of coffee.

Talk about a close encounter. Pilot flies Up to back door of a C-130 for Photo Op.

He radios, 'how much closer do you want me?'

BLESS ALL THOSE WHO SERVE!

Close enough?

L

<http://www.incredimail.com/index.asp?id=102287>

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic message transmission contains information from Sodexho, Inc. which may be confidential and/or proprietary. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please let us know by reply and then delete it from your system.

Helping your favorite cause is as easy as instant messaging. You IM, we give. Learn <http://im.live.com/Messenger/IM/Home/?source=text_hotmail_join> more.

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=51438/*http:/www.yahoo.com/r/hs> your homepage.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27776. Re: Pushing the Envelope, BIG TIME!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-14 00:29:20 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 27775 Message: 27776

Sarge,

Here's a link to the photos that Sarge was talking about:

http://guy-sports.com/humor/pictures/picture_c130_typhoon.htm <http://>

Awesome photos by the way.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scott" <smd_1@...> wrote:
>
> Hope this works and Yall enjoy it.
>
> Sarge
>
>
>
> _____
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> PUSHING THE ENVELOPE, BIG TIME!
>
> If the wings could be folded, he could have gone in for a cup of
coffee.
>
> Talk about a close encounter. Pilot flies Up to back door of a C-130
for
> Photo Op.
>
> He radios, 'how much closer do you want me?'
>
> BLESS ALL THOSE WHO SERVE!

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Close enough?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> L
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
> <http://www.incredimail.com/index.asp?id=102287>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
>
> Confidentiality Notice: This electronic message transmission contains
> information from Sodexho, Inc. which may be confidential and/or
proprietary.
> If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure,
> copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is
> prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in
error,
> please let us know by reply and then delete it from your system.
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
>
> Helping your favorite cause is as easy as instant messaging. You IM,
we
> give. Learn
<http://im.live.com/Messenger/IM/Home/?source=text_hotmail_join>
> more.
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo
> <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=51438/*http:/www.yahoo.com/r/hs> your
homepage.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27777. 5.8973 Lockheed Flight Test

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-14 01:13:05 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 27777 Message: 27777

For Those with HF (USB/LSB) capabilities, Lockheed is doing a flight test with one of their aircraft on 5.8973 once again. Unfortunately, due to a downed HF antenna, I can't listen in at this time.

This is just one of the Lockheed Fort Worth common HF frequencies they use when testing on HF.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27778. Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 02:12:32 UTC
From: skeeter_1
Topic: 27778 Message: 27778

Are there any systems in the N.E. Dallas area that uses I-Calls? I've recently picked up a PSR-500 and have it set up to receive I-Calls using the instructions found in the manual. From what I can tell, I've not noticed that I have received any activity. The systems that I consistently scan are Plano, Richardson, Garland, Mesquite, and Carrollton (on a good reception day).

Thanks.

27779. RE: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 02:24:44 UTC
From: combinecapt804@yahoo.com
Topic: 27778 Message: 27779

what is I-Call

skeeter_1 wrote:
> Are there any systems in the N.E. Dallas area that uses I-Calls? I've
> recently picked up a PSR-500 and have it set up to receive I-Calls
> using the instructions found in the manual. From what I can tell, I've
> not noticed that I have received any activity. The systems that I
> consistently scan are Plano, Richardson, Garland, Mesquite, and
> Carrollton (on a good reception day).
> Thanks.
>

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

27780. Re: Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 02:50:45 UTC
From: Jay Sanford
Topic: 27778 Message: 27780

From the RadioReference.com wiki:

Uniden's name for an individual call between two radios or between a
radio and a console operator on a Motorola or EDACS trunked radio system.

Also called "Private Call".

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "combinecapt804@..."
<combinecapt804@...> wrote:
>
> what is I-Call
>
> skeeter_1 wrote:
> > Are there any systems in the N.E. Dallas area that
uses I-Calls? I've
> > recently picked up a PSR-500 and have it set up to receive I-Calls
> > using the instructions found in the manual. From what I can tell,
I've
> > not noticed that I have received any activity. The systems that I
> > consistently scan are Plano, Richardson, Garland, Mesquite, and
> > Carrollton (on a good reception day).
> > Thanks.
> >
>
>
>
>

> Looking for last minute shopping deals?
> Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping
>

27781. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 03:10:02 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27778 Message: 27781

Probably won't hear anything police-wise -- any sensitive information is
usually passed via cell phone, as they know the media monitors the
normal radio activity. If you hear anything it would probably be
supervisor-to-supervisor conversations for lesser departments such as
public works, animal control, etc...

>
>
> Uniden's name for an individual call between two radios or between a
> radio and a console operator on a Motorola or EDACS trunked radio system.
>
> Also called "Private Call".

>> what is I-Call
>>
>>

>>> Are there any systems in the N.E. Dallas area that
> uses I-Calls? I've
>>> recently picked up a PSR-500 and have it set up to receive I-Calls
>>> using the instructions found in the manual. From what I can tell,
> I've
>>> not noticed that I have received any activity. The systems that I
>>> consistently scan are Plano, Richardson, Garland, Mesquite, and
>>> Carrollton (on a good reception day).
>>> Thanks.

27782. Re: Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 04:24:41 UTC
From: crowemag55
Topic: 27735 Message: 27782

Well as it turns out, I went off in the Uniden direction.. The GPS features of the 996T grabbed my attention as well as the Remote Head. I think that will work better on the Wing..

Anyone care to share their DFW ARC996 files with me to save a bit of time?

I can trade DFW files for the BC246T,(ARC), or Pro92/2067, (Scanpro).

Thanks!
John

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> John,
>
> Have owned a PSR-500 since the first day it was released. Very pleased with it. I like the crisp clear sound quality of the unit and the LED light at the top. You can assign a color to an object and it helps identify who's talking. The sensitivity is excellent, but if you get near a Nextel tower, forget about hearing anything but rushing water noise. Its also much more susceptable to the clicking noises produced by AT&T 800MHz cell phones than any of the other models I have. I like the Wildcard feature for individual systems. Kind of like Search and Scan on Uniden models, or Open and Closed mode on PRO-96 and PRO-97 models.
>
> It did not perform well on the Colorado DTRS while in Colorado on X-mas vacation. Even though I had all the nearby control channels programmed into a system, it would consistently miss the first and second transmissions of a conversation (sitting next to a PRO-96). Compared to the PRO-96 and Uniden BCD996T, it literally performed terribly. I initially had all 1458 talkgroups for the state programmed into one system. The problem is that only 32 control channels entries are allowed for each system. With wide area systems like the DTRS, 32 control channels are not enough. I pared down the list of talkgroups to the area I was in and pared down the number of control channels and it seemed to work better, but still missed a fair amount of traffic. I tried the multi-site STAT, ROAM and OFF settings, and it didn't seem to have an effect any way it was set. It is my belief that GRE still has some work to do to tweek the performance on pure P25 ASTRO systems.
>
> In the DFW area on the systems we have around here, it performs splendidly. It scans much faster than the Uniden dynamic memory system scanners and it has enough memory to input all the systems and

conventional channels in our area and most if not all known LTR systems. The main limitation is only 20 scan lists. You have to assign all talkgroups, conventional and wildcard objects to a scan list. Depending on what you want to listen to, or not listen to, this can be a limiting factor. This is where the Uniden dynamic memory models outshine the GRE by allowing locking or unlocking individual groups inside systems. But the tradeoff in my opinion is with the slow scan rate with the Uniden models. You simply miss more when your scanning.
>
> Overall, the PSR-500 is my new, take everywhere scanner, replacing my PRO-96.
>
> Mark
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: John Crowe
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2008 11:24 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?
>
>
> Thanks to all that replied, both individually and the group!
>
> A couple of things that I thought were intriguing about the PSR's are the Virtual Scanners, and Skywarn alerts.
> I don't think the Uniden's do that do they? VS would allow me to set up multi scanners before hitting the road on the Wing each summer, and since the radio's have both Analog and Digital, I wouldn't have to be concerned about missing stuff...(Keeping up with weather's pretty important on 2 Wheels!)
>
>
> John Crowe -
>
>
> _____
> Be a better friend, newshound, and
> know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

27783. psr 300

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 04:40:31 UTC
From: badrbd@netscape.net
Topic: 27783 Message: 27783

I usually dont have much to say but does anyone have any input on the psr300 ? good bad or indifferent. thinkin about buying 1 Thanks in advance Richard

_____
More new features than ever. Check out the new AIM(R) Mail ! - http://webmail.aim.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27784. Re: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 04:45:09 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 27778 Message: 27784

There was an issue with the I-Call feature when it first came out. I can't get mine to recognize them. I haven't kept up with the message boards and don't know if the problem has been fixed yet.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: skeeter_1
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 8:12 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

Are there any systems in the N.E. Dallas area that uses I-Calls? I've recently picked up a PSR-500 and have it set up to receive I-Calls using the instructions found in the manual. From what I can tell, I've not noticed that I have received any activity. The systems that I consistently scan are Plano, Richardson, Garland, Mesquite, and Carrollton (on a good reception day).

Thanks.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27785. Re: [dfwscan] psr 300

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 05:02:58 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 27783 Message: 27785

Its a PRO-97 on steroids. They added the 700MHz band which is a gimic because without a digital board it will be useless. Radio Shack is now selling the exact same model with the Rat Shack name (PRO-164) and it is currently on sale (at least on their web site for two more days) for $179.99. $40 off the introductory retail price. It doesn't have the snazzy blue buttons on the front like the PSR-300, but its the same radio. Keep in mind that the PSR should come with a programming cable (but make sure first) and if you get the PRO-164 you will definatley have to buy it. If you are looking for a handheld conventional scanner (without digital capability), that can be reflashed when/if re-banding occurs, don't belive you could go wrong.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: badrbd@...
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 10:40 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] psr 300

I usually dont have much to say but does anyone have any input on the psr300 ? good bad or indifferent. thinkin about buying 1 Thanks in advance Richard

_____
More new features than ever. Check out the new AIM(R) Mail ! - http://webmail.aim.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27786. RE: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 12:44:45 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27778 Message: 27786

Not exactly NE Dallas but some of the Denton Co folks use it regularly. I haven't really noticed much on other systems.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mark Colborn
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 10:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

There was an issue with the I-Call feature when it first came out. I can't get mine to recognize them. I haven't kept up with the message boards and don't know if the problem has been fixed yet.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: skeeter_1
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 8:12 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

Are there any systems in the N.E. Dallas area that uses I-Calls? I've recently picked up a PSR-500 and have it set up to receive I-Calls using the instructions found in the manual. From what I can tell, I've not noticed that I have received any activity. The systems that I consistently scan are Plano, Richardson, Garland, Mesquite, and Carrollton (on a good reception day).

Thanks.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27787. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 14:38:28 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27735 Message: 27787

I've put an extensive DFW setup in UASD format (you could load it using the free UASD, then read it back out using ARC if you prefer that software) in the files section of this group (under "BCD996T UASD"). It includes GPS setup for most of the systems in the area. See the readme file in that folder for a breakdown of what is there. Probably some things need to be updated a little, but it is a heck of a lot better than starting from scratch (especially with the GPS stuff).

There is also an ARC folder in that directory, but it is for the 396 so likely does not include the GPS stuff.

Paul

----- Original Message ----
From: crowemag55 <crowemag55@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 10:24:41 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?

Well as it turns out, I went off in the Uniden direction.. The GPS features of the 996T grabbed my attention as well as the Remote Head. I think that will work better on the Wing..

Anyone care to share their DFW ARC996 files with me to save a bit of time?

I can trade DFW files for the BC246T,(ARC) , or Pro92/2067, (Scanpro).

Thanks!
John

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> John,
>
> Have owned a PSR-500 since the first day it was released. Very pleased with it. I like the crisp clear sound quality of the unit and the LED light at the top. You can assign a color to an object and it helps identify who's talking. The sensitivity is excellent, but if you get near a Nextel tower, forget about hearing anything but rushing water noise. Its also much more susceptable to the clicking noises produced by AT&T 800MHz cell phones than any of the other models I have. I like the Wildcard feature for individual systems. Kind of like Search and Scan on Uniden models, or Open and Closed mode on PRO-96 and PRO-97 models.
>
> It did not perform well on the Colorado DTRS while in Colorado on X-mas vacation. Even though I had all the nearby control channels

programmed into a system, it would consistently miss the first and second transmissions of a conversation (sitting next to a PRO-96). Compared to the PRO-96 and Uniden BCD996T, it literally performed terribly. I initially had all 1458 talkgroups for the state programmed into one system. The problem is that only 32 control channels entries are allowed for each system. With wide area systems like the DTRS, 32 control channels are not enough. I pared down the list of talkgroups to the area I was in and pared down the number of control channels and it seemed to work better, but still missed a fair amount of traffic. I tried the multi-site STAT, ROAM and OFF settings, and it didn't seem to have an effect any way it was set. It is my belief that GRE still has some work to do to tweek the performance on pure P25 ASTRO systems.
>
> In the DFW area on the systems we have around here, it performs splendidly. It scans much faster than the Uniden dynamic memory system scanners and it has enough memory to input all the systems and conventional channels in our area and most if not all known LTR systems. The main limitation is only 20 scan lists. You have to assign all talkgroups, conventional and wildcard objects to a scan list. Depending on what you want to listen to, or not listen to, this can be a limiting factor. This is where the Uniden dynamic memory models outshine the GRE by allowing locking or unlocking individual groups inside systems. But the tradeoff in my opinion is with the slow scan rate with the Uniden models. You simply miss more when your scanning.
>
> Overall, the PSR-500 is my new, take everywhere scanner, replacing my PRO-96.
>
> Mark
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: John Crowe
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2008 11:24 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Any hands on experience with GRE PSR-500?
>
>
> Thanks to all that replied, both individually and the group!
>
> A couple of things that I thought were intriguing about the PSR's are the Virtual Scanners, and Skywarn alerts.
> I don't think the Uniden's do that do they? VS would allow me to set up multi scanners before hitting the road on the Wing each summer, and since the radio's have both Analog and Digital, I wouldn't have to be concerned about missing stuff...(Keeping up with weather's pretty important on 2 Wheels!)
>
>

> John Crowe -
>
>
> ____________ _________ _________ _________ _________ _________ _
> Be a better friend, newshound, and
> know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile. yahoo.com/ ;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR 8HDtDypao8Wcj9tA cJ
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27788. Re: Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-15 23:29:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27778 Message: 27788

Terry wrote:

> Not exactly NE Dallas but some of the Denton Co folks use it regularly. I
> haven't really noticed much on other systems.

To add to Terry's comments, Denco uses it. But as
Bill stated, not really important material. The times I've
listened (which is rare - since my PS monitoring is
done with the PRO-96 and not the BC780) most calls
have been highway dept or public works.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27789. RE: [dfwscan] 5.8973 Lockheed Flight Test

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-16 07:06:25 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 27777 Message: 27789

Brian,

What antenna do you for HF (when it is not down)?

Thanks,

Russell

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2008 19:13
To: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] 5.8973 Lockheed Flight Test

For Those with HF (USB/LSB) capabilities, Lockheed is doing a flight test with one of their aircraft on 5.8973 once again. Unfortunately, due to a downed HF antenna, I can't listen in at this time.

This is just one of the Lockheed Fort Worth common HF frequencies they use when testing on HF.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27790. bc246t files

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-16 05:41:31 UTC
From: Gregg Alemy
Topic: 27790 Message: 27790

Does anyone have any bc246t files for tarrant and johnson county they would like to share?

Thanks,
Gregg

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27791. RE: [dfwscan] bc246t files

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-16 21:33:09 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27790 Message: 27791

Gregg,

My 396 and 996 files are on my website at

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/BC996TUSDFiles/

You can open them with the Uniden 246 software. The thing you may have to do is reassign quickkeys that are 0..9 instead of the 0.99 that the 396 and 996 allow. Otherwise, it might save you quite a bit of typing.

Happy Scanning,

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

>Does anyone have any bc246t files for tarrant and johnson county they would like to share?

Thanks,
Gregg<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27792. CareFlite Model Helicopter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-17 07:41:37 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27792 Message: 27792

E-BAY Item # 200200097069 CareFlite Model helicopter Buy It now $144.50 I know this is off topic,but thought someone might want to check it out...Mike in Garland

27793. Northwest students qualify for ham radio licenses

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-17 17:07:21 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 27793 Message: 27793

Direct link following article (from Star-Telegram)

FORT WORTH -- Wayne Day sparked the interest of his Northwest High School engineering students at the beginning of the school year: He gave them a way out of taking a semester exam.

They would be exempt from the test if they became licensed amateur radio operators, which would qualify them to operate a private radio, Day said.

His hidden agenda: Getting that license would require the students to put their knowledge to practical use.

"It opens that one more door for them," he said. "You've shown you have some technical experience."

Day, who is teaching the class in its first year at Northwest, has tried to show the students what their future might hold if they stick with engineering.

"Anything we can do to expose the kids to another engineering aspect is a good thing," he said.

'People from all over'

A qualified volunteer must administer the Federal Communications Commission test, so Day contacted Alliance Amateur Radio Club President Barney Boone. Boone was thrilled to be proctor for Day's students.

"It's what we're trying to do, get younger kids involved," Boone said.

Being a licensed radio operator is "a big social thing," Day said, which was enough to convince Northwest senior Freddie Main III -- and 31 of his classmates -- to take the test in January.

"I thought it would be interesting to talk to people from all over," Main said.

The engineering students studied for the test for two weeks. During the exam, they spent about 45 minutes answering questions about FCC guidelines, frequencies and wavelengths.

Twenty-seven of Day's students are now licensed amateur radio operators -- or hams -- joining the likes of former Sen. Barry Goldwater and musician Joe Walsh.

Future opportunities

Opportunities like the amateur radio test are the reason Main signed up for the fledgling class last summer. Main has wanted to be an engineer for years.

"As soon as I saw it, I hopped on the boat," he said. "I just wanted to get a taste of it before college."

Day is satisfied to think that his class has helped at least one student with an engineering future.

"It definitely solidified that decision," Main said.

http://www.star-telegram.com/407/story/478682.html

27794. Re: [dfwscan] Burleson,Tx

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-09 20:26:47 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 27687 Message: 27794

Wow, I'm sure glad this message reply finally made it after 10-days. Talk about getting lost in Yahoo cyber land!

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Mark Colborn
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2008 12:36 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Burleson,Tx

They are now on a high split UHF LTR Trunked system. And you are in luck, the PRO-528 will track it if you actually live close to Burleson! Go to the following web site for the particulars: http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5245

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: M
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2008 12:21 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Burleson,Tx

What did Burleson change to? They used to be on the 453.xxx band but
they no longer are there. What did they go too? I got a PRO528 model
to catch em with. And that would be the PD,FD, and city services
channels/freqs. Thanks.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27795. Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 05:49:31 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27795 Message: 27795

I have been hearing out here in West Plano this automated message on 466.7500 DCS627 being transmitted all evening every minute or so.

"Tamper Alarm. May I have your attention please. Emergency Call Box 212 Activated, Central Stairwell, Level 6."

I am not sure who this is but doing a FCC lookup comes up with no results either. I am wondering if anyone else in the area is hearing this? I might have to go for a drive tonight and see if I can scope out the area it's coming from.

Matt

27796. Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 06:41:08 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27795 Message: 27796

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@...> wrote:
>
> I have been hearing out here in West Plano this automated message on
> 466.7500 DCS627 being transmitted all evening every minute or so.
>
> "Tamper Alarm. May I have your attention please. Emergency Call Box
> 212 Activated, Central Stairwell, Level 6."
>
> I am not sure who this is but doing a FCC lookup comes up with no
> results either. I am wondering if anyone else in the area is hearing
> this? I might have to go for a drive tonight and see if I can scope
> out the area it's coming from.
>
> Matt

Ahh ha! I figured out where this signal was coming from. I just took a drive and it's coming from Presbyterian Hosp Of Plano but not from the actual building itself but the parking garage! The 6th floor is the top level of the garage and there is no roof above it so that is why the signal is getting out pretty far. There are a couple call boxes on each parking level but this one was easy to find as it had a flashing blue light on it instead of regular non flashing blue light.

Matt

27797. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 06:43:06 UTC
From: Guy Teague
Topic: 27795 Message: 27797

good detective work! we'll sign you up for the next transmitter hunt.

/guy (73 de kg5vt)

On 2/18/08, Matthew <mbstone99@...> wrote:
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@...> wrote:
> >
> > I have been hearing out here in West Plano this automated message on
> > 466.7500 DCS627 being transmitted all evening every minute or so.
> >
> > "Tamper Alarm. May I have your attention please. Emergency Call Box
> > 212 Activated, Central Stairwell, Level 6."
> >
> > I am not sure who this is but doing a FCC lookup comes up with no
> > results either. I am wondering if anyone else in the area is hearing
> > this? I might have to go for a drive tonight and see if I can scope
> > out the area it's coming from.
> >
> > Matt
>
> Ahh ha! I figured out where this signal was coming from. I just took
> a drive and it's coming from Presbyterian Hosp Of Plano but not from
> the actual building itself but the parking garage! The 6th floor is
> the top level of the garage and there is no roof above it so that is
> why the signal is getting out pretty far. There are a couple call
> boxes on each parking level but this one was easy to find as it had a
> flashing blue light on it instead of regular non flashing blue light.
>
> Matt
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

--
We were somewhere around the Withywindle on the edge of the Old Forest
when the drugs began to take hold. ~Hunter S. Tolkien

27798. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 06:44:04 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27795 Message: 27798

Blue light? I'm surprised the announcement wasn't "Attention, K-Mart shoppers..."

The fact that the announcement went on so long means something is broken or they ignore the fact that someone may have tried to use the phone and was unable. Kinda like calling 911 and getting a recording.

>> I have been hearing out here in West Plano this automated message on
>> 466.7500 DCS627 being transmitted all evening every minute or so.
>>
>> "Tamper Alarm. May I have your attention please. Emergency Call Box
>> 212 Activated, Central Stairwell, Level 6."
>>
>> I am not sure who this is but doing a FCC lookup comes up with no
>> results either. I am wondering if anyone else in the area is hearing
>> this? I might have to go for a drive tonight and see if I can scope
>> out the area it's coming from.
>>
>>

> Ahh ha! I figured out where this signal was coming from. I just took
> a drive and it's coming from Presbyterian Hosp Of Plano but not from
> the actual building itself but the parking garage! The 6th floor is
> the top level of the garage and there is no roof above it so that is
> why the signal is getting out pretty far. There are a couple call
> boxes on each parking level but this one was easy to find as it had a
> flashing blue light on it instead of regular non flashing blue light.
>

27799. WTB: Pro-96

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 12:29:01 UTC
From: Gregg Alemy
Topic: 27799 Message: 27799

I am looking to buy a pro-96 if anyone has one for sale let me know ASAP, willing to pay 300.00 cash today

Thanks,
Gregg

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27800. Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 15:08:32 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27795 Message: 27800

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Guy Teague" <accts@...> wrote:
>
> good detective work! we'll sign you up for the next transmitter hunt.

LOL sounds good. :)

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27801. Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 16:18:50 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27795 Message: 27801

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
> Blue light? I'm surprised the announcement wasn't "Attention, K-Mart
> shoppers..."
>
> The fact that the announcement went on so long means something is
broken
> or they ignore the fact that someone may have tried to use the phone
and
> was unable. Kinda like calling 911 and getting a recording.

The frequency they are using for those call box alarms is licensed to them as a trunk frequency and is used as part of their 4 frequency LTR trunk. As of 8am this morning it was still going so I bet either that they don't have a radio set up to monitor that frequency and tone or they just don't know that the tamper alarm is going off because it's on the roof of the parking garage and no one goes up there or they are just lazy and don't give a damn. LOL. :)

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27802. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 16:22:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Sennetti
Topic: 27795 Message: 27802

Before the LTR system was in place, didn't PHOP operate conventionally
on those frequencies? Maybe the callbox has an old radio installed.

Jonathan

Matthew wrote:
> The frequency they are using for those call box alarms is licensed to
> them as a trunk frequency and is used as part of their 4 frequency LTR
> trunk. As of 8am this morning it was still going so I bet either that
> they don't have a radio set up to monitor that frequency and tone or
> they just don't know that the tamper alarm is going off because it's
> on the roof of the parking garage and no one goes up there or they are
> just lazy and don't give a damn. LOL. :)
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>
>

27803. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 17:51:42 UTC
From: Ron Cole
Topic: 27795 Message: 27803

Thats probably the problem, a NON LTR radio in the callbox that cant access the system so they have no idea that its been triggered. I guess security has not been up to the top of the parling garage either since its blue light is flashing as well.

Ron

Jonathan Sennetti <jonathan@...> wrote: Before the LTR system was in place, didn't PHOP operate conventionally
on those frequencies? Maybe the callbox has an old radio installed.

Jonathan

Matthew wrote:
> The frequency they are using for those call box alarms is licensed to
> them as a trunk frequency and is used as part of their 4 frequency LTR
> trunk. As of 8am this morning it was still going so I bet either that
> they don't have a radio set up to monitor that frequency and tone or
> they just don't know that the tamper alarm is going off because it's
> on the roof of the parking garage and no one goes up there or they are
> just lazy and don't give a damn. LOL. :)
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

Ron

Looking for last minute shopping deals? Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27804. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 18:07:45 UTC
From: Mark Pitcock
Topic: 27795 Message: 27804

Or Security has reported it and their Communications Division has not been up to work on it due to the fact that they are contracted and only work m-f 8am-5pm.

I know of very few Security departments that also double as the Fix-It 2way radio folks.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ron Cole
Sent: Monday, February 18, 2008 11:52 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Thats probably the problem, a NON LTR radio in the callbox that cant access the system so they have no idea that its been triggered. I guess security has not been up to the top of the parling garage either since its blue light is flashing as well.

Ron

Jonathan Sennetti <jonathan@... <mailto:jonathan%40sennetti.com> >
wrote: Before the LTR system was in place, didn't PHOP operate
conventionally
on those frequencies? Maybe the callbox has an old radio installed.

Jonathan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27805. 800 Mhz Rebanding stuff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 22:58:53 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27805 Message: 27805

I haven't read all of this yet, but it looks like it might be interesting.
http://www.800ta.org/content/PDF/fcc_orders/FCC_Order_Florida.pdf

Ben

27806. Re: [dfwscan] 800 Mhz Rebanding stuff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-18 23:16:36 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 27805 Message: 27806

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
>
>
> I haven't read all of this yet, but it looks like it might be interesting.
> http://www.800ta.org/content/PDF/fcc_orders/FCC_Order_Florida.pdf
> <http://www.800ta.org/content/PDF/fcc_orders/FCC_Order_Florida.pdf>

Hummmm. Looks kinda like Texas DPS operations. The NFM is designed for
the 12.5Khz applications. It is too bad that we cannot get a GOOD NFM
scanner. I get intermod on all of the terse freqs. But, then again, I
have the cheap Unidens. Not complaining, just hoping that Uniden builds
a digital scanner with narrower front end filtering.

Be safe, y'all.

whr
--
W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

27807. Re: More flights at Alliance?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-19 13:18:00 UTC
From: yamiam3
Topic: 27367 Message: 27807

Here's an update to the original article.
http://www.aetc.af.mil/library/factsheets/factsheet.asp?id=11456

Air Force releases Alliance assessment

FORT WORTH -- An environmental assessment and documents related to the proposed training of foreign fighter pilots at Alliance Airport are available for inspection online at www.aetc.af.mil, the Air Force announced in a news release. Click on the library link and then go to "UAE IFF Environmental Assessment" in the right side of the navigation column. Air Force officials are trying to decide whether to train the foreign pilots at Alliance, and the recently completed environmental study is a part of the decision, Air Force spokesman Mike Briggs said. The assessment found no significant impact, and the documents contain details about the finding, the release states. Some residents of far north Fort Worth are protesting the proposal because of noise and safety concerns in their neighborhoods. A date for the training to begin, if the Air Force decides to go forward with the plan, has not been set.

-- Bill Teeter - Fort Worth Star-Telegram

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...>
wrote:
>
> This could be of scanning interest. "Foreign military pilots could
train at
> Alliance"
> http://www.star-telegram.com/metro_news/story/342284.html
>
> Ben
>

27808. Re: Tamper Alarm Automated Message

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-19 20:17:56 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27795 Message: 27808

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Pitcock" <mpitcock@...> wrote:
>
> Or Security has reported it and their Communications Division has not been
> up to work on it due to the fact that they are contracted and only work m-f
> 8am-5pm.
>
> I know of very few Security departments that also double as the Fix-It 2way
> radio folks.

The tamper alarm was fixed sometime yesterday. By the time I got home in the evening the frequency was quiet. So it must of been on for so long cause it was the weekend.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27809. Need P97 file

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-17 22:16:38 UTC
From: Gregg
Topic: 27809 Message: 27809

Does anyone have a file for Fort Worth and Johnson COunty along with Burleson?

Thanks

27810. Re: Need P97 file

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-20 05:18:14 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 27809 Message: 27810

Gregg,

I've uploaded a couple of P97 files to the 'files'
section of the group.

They are:

Tarrant_Johnson.p97 & Arlington_TRS.p97

These two files should give you a good start
for both counties.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Gregg" <txscroller@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a file for Fort Worth and Johnson COunty along with
> Burleson?
>
>
> Thanks
>

27811. RE: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-20 17:02:59 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 27778 Message: 27811

Hi Group,

I don't know about the Dallas side of town, but on the Fort Worth side, Navy Fort Worth's JRB as well as The T (on Fort Worth's LG System 4309) use I-CALL. Even Fort Worth's PS System 3632 has an I-CALL ID I've never quiet figured out yet. It's a data channel and I have no clue what it is used for.

I know prior to even having I-CALL capable scanners, somewhere around 1997-98, Denton County SO used "Private Calls" which I believe were the same thing more or less. When a unit would tell another to "go private" they would usually pass them a series of numbers (I believe four digits) and the only way to hear them on a BC235XLT (the first TrunkTracker scanner) was to get out of Trunk Mode and scan conventionally.

I always turn on the I-CALL feature as I create a System/Bank just in case there is any use...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of skeeter_1
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 8:13 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

Are there any systems in the N.E. Dallas area that uses I-Calls? I've recently picked up a PSR-500 and have it set up to receive I-Calls using the instructions found in the manual. From what I can tell, I've not noticed that I have received any activity. The systems that I consistently scan are Plano, Richardson, Garland, Mesquite, and Carrollton (on a good reception day).

Thanks.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27812. RE: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-20 17:15:17 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 27778 Message: 27812

"Private Call" is Motorola terminology. I believe I-Call is common MACom terminology, though it's been a while since I last used an EDACS/Provoice radio.

In either case, the originating user must have the radio ID preprogrammed, or, in the case of a full-keypad radio, can enter the individual ID. In Motorola land, the ID is the radio's individual ID converted to decimal, plus 700000 (IID 006C would be decimal 700108, if memory serves). In MACOM world, the ID is simply the Radio ID (RID), which is already decimal.

The BC235 wouldn't hear private calls as it did not understand the OSW (outbound signalling word) for such traffic.

Such traffic was routine in the earlier days along with call alert and telephone interconnect, when cell phones and Nextels weren't ubiquitous (recall that Motorola's SmartNet/Privacy Plus trunking has been around since the early 80s, and EDACS isn't much younger). Nowadays, everyone has a cell phone - which is usually easier to use and doesn't require memorizing everyone's radio ID (which, of course, can change for departments that use shift radios rather than issued radios).

JRB may not issue phones to everyone - thus the private calls. The T, ditto. You won't hear a ton of public safety traffic using private calls these days... either the radios aren't configured for it, or the operator isn't configured for it. PCs/CAs/phone interconnects can also be disabled at the controller level, which may be the case for some local systems.

Terry

---------- Original Message ----------
From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
Date: Wed, 20 Feb 2008 11:02:59 -0600

>
>Hi Group,
>
>I don't know about the Dallas side of town, but on the Fort Worth side, Navy
>Fort Worth's JRB as well as The T (on Fort Worth's LG System 4309) use
>I-CALL. Even Fort Worth's PS System 3632 has an I-CALL ID I've never quiet
>figured out yet. It's a data channel and I have no clue what it is used
>for.
>
>I know prior to even having I-CALL capable scanners, somewhere around
>1997-98, Denton County SO used "Private Calls" which I believe were the same
>thing more or less. When a unit would tell another to "go private" they
>would usually pass them a series of numbers (I believe four digits) and the
>only way to hear them on a BC235XLT (the first TrunkTracker scanner) was to
>get out of Trunk Mode and scan conventionally.
>
>I always turn on the I-CALL feature as I create a System/Bank just in case

>there is any use...
>
>Brian
>Ft. Worth, TX
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>skeeter_1
>Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2008 8:13 PM
>To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?
>
>Are there any systems in the N.E. Dallas area that uses I-Calls? I've
>recently picked up a PSR-500 and have it set up to receive I-Calls
>using the instructions found in the manual. From what I can tell, I've
>not noticed that I have received any activity. The systems that I
>consistently scan are Plano, Richardson, Garland, Mesquite, and
>Carrollton (on a good reception day).
>
>Thanks.
>
>
>
>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

Sent via the WebMail system at tvsjr.com

27813. Re: [dfwscan] Any I-Call usage in the area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-20 23:11:00 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 27778 Message: 27813

It isn't exactly in the area but there are lots of I-call phone patches
on the Sheppard AFB system. I don't recall hearing any radio to radio
I-calls.

Tom

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

27814. DPD Officer Killed in Motorcade

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-22 17:08:15 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 27814 Message: 27814

Hi Group,

In case anyone hasn't heard yet, a Dallas PD motorcycle officer wrecked out and was killed a little while ago near Reunion Arena. He was part of the Clinton motorcade.

The motorcade is now in Ft. Worth and ops are on Central Talk 1/3408 at this time (11 AM)

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27815. Dallas Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-22 17:43:39 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 469 Message: 27815

The accident that killed the Dallas Motorcycle Officer is being worked on Dallas Police channel 12-460.400 PL.173.8/Special Ops.Some traffic also channel 8-460.0250 PL.173.8/Traffic

Mike in Garland

27816. For the fire buffs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-23 02:44:53 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27816 Message: 27816

To my fellow fire apparatus buffs on the list, here are a few (hundred) photos I took yesterday and today with a tour group hosted by the http://www.texasfiremuseum.org/ (in south Dallas, definitely worth a visit, but probably not this weekend with the convention going on), they're hosting the 2008 SPAAMFAA National Winter Convention.

Dallas Fire Rescue Mainteance, Supply, and Training
http://bensware.com/firetrucks/DFRTour/

Fort Worth Station 2, D/FW Airport Training area, Stewart & Stevenson (service center), and the Texas Fire Museum.
http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/SPAAMFAAW08/

And to make sure I relate this to scanning, I did ask to see the watch room at FWFD Station 2, and they gave me a dispatch ticket. It's pretty much all the info you hear digi-voiced on their Locution CAD system, plus the time and incident number, units responding.
Here's a photo of the touch screen with 4 very large easy to hit buttons to switch between day and night modes for the station speakers and lights, reset, local test, and emergency lights.
http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/SPAAMFAAW08/pages/D20_7351.html

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

27817. mayday arlington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-23 02:53:36 UTC
From: Guy Teague
Topic: 27817 Message: 27817

driving to work today i heard a 'mayday, 3rd floor' on one of the fireground channels in arlington.

i realize they run frequent training exercises on these fg channels and ipn didn't page out anything, so i suspect it was in fact an exercise.

anything heard from anyone else who monitors the area?

/guy (73 de kg5vt)

27818. RE: [dfwscan] For the fire buffs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-23 03:01:06 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27816 Message: 27818

While on the subject of fire buffs Dallas will be commissioning their newest station, Station 40. This will be Feb 28th. Here's a bit of information on the station and mission. :

LOCATION: Dallas Fire-Rescue Station 40
2440 Kirnwood at Hampton Rd S (MAPSCO 63Z)

Dallas Fire and Rescue is pleased to announce the official commissioning of Dallas' newest fire station, Station 40. The building will currently house an engine crew and a peak demand rescue. The station, a huge four bay apparatus facility can accommodate an engine, ladder truck, rescue unit and a battalion chief's suburban. Station 40 will also be utilized as a satellite HAZMAT station for hazardous waste removal.

Dallas' second green fire house, similar to Fire Station 38, Station 40 has energy saving features for example: compact fluorescent bulbs, insulated windows and an efficient heating and cooling system. In addition to being "Green", the City of Dallas Public Art Program had also commissioned local artist David Hickman. He created, Got You Covered, an artwork of stylized silhouette firefighters protecting the City of Dallas working together with fire hoses. The metal artwork constructed with powdered coated steel and aluminum, is positioned on a massive 8 ft by 26 ft iron security gate to the main parking lot.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Friday, February 22, 2008 8:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] For the fire buffs

To my fellow fire apparatus buffs on the list, here are a few (hundred) photos I took yesterday and today with a tour group hosted by the http://www.texasfiremuseum.org/ (in south Dallas, definitely worth a visit, but probably not this weekend with the convention going on), they're hosting the 2008 SPAAMFAA National Winter Convention.

Dallas Fire Rescue Mainteance, Supply, and Training
http://bensware.com/firetrucks/DFRTour/

Fort Worth Station 2, D/FW Airport Training area, Stewart & Stevenson (service center), and the Texas Fire Museum.
http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/SPAAMFAAW08/

And to make sure I relate this to scanning, I did ask to see the watch room at FWFD Station 2, and they gave me a dispatch ticket. It's pretty much all the info you hear digi-voiced on their Locution CAD system, plus the time and incident number, units responding.
Here's a photo of the touch screen with 4 very large easy to hit buttons to switch between day and night modes for the station speakers and lights, reset, local test, and emergency lights.
http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/SPAAMFAAW08/pages/D20_7351.html

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27819. Calling folks in McKinney and/or Lucas, Wylie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-23 14:25:16 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 27819 Message: 27819

Tune your scanners to 95.9 FM and see if you hear anything resembling hispanic radio - as opposed to country radio. There are 2 country stations on that frequency that you can probably pick up, but there's an alleged "pirate" on that freq, and I haven't been able to get confirmation yet. If you DO hear something along the lines of "95.9 The Taco" and wouldn't mind emailing me back offlist giving me a major street intersection near you, I'd be much obliged.

Thanks!

-Melissa

27820. Re: [dfwscan] Calling folks in McKinney and/or Lucas, Wylie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-24 02:57:07 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 27819 Message: 27820

You may have already seen this but here's some discussion about it from this
past week.
http://www.radio-info.com/smf/index.php/topic,92890.0.html

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mel's mail lists" <lists@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 23, 2008 8:25 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Calling folks in McKinney and/or Lucas, Wylie

> Tune your scanners to 95.9 FM and see if you hear anything resembling
> hispanic radio - as opposed to country radio. There are 2 country
> stations on that frequency that you can probably pick up, but there's an
> alleged "pirate" on that freq, and I haven't been able to get
> confirmation yet. If you DO hear something along the lines of "95.9 The
> Taco" and wouldn't mind emailing me back offlist giving me a major
> street intersection near you, I'd be much obliged.
>
> Thanks!
>
> -Melissa
>
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

27821. A380 in to DFW?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-25 14:20:59 UTC
From: Jeff Mullowney
Topic: 27821 Message: 27821

The Airbus A380 visit was supposed to happen last November, but was canceled. Word was that it was supposed to happen early 2008 instead.

Anybody heard anything on this?

27822. Modeling Emergency Equipment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-27 14:22:45 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 27822 Message: 27822

Veering off-topic (knowing that several list members have an interest in emergency equipment), the subject of modeling emergency equipment came up on my Corel Draw list. (I use Corel Draw heavily at work. It is similar to Adobe Illustrator and other page layout graphic programs.) One of the list members offered this sample of his drawings

http://3dsplash.com/Bills%20Page/P95.png

He then turns the 2D drawings into 3D and then places them in a scene, I believe.

I
think it is just a hobby for him, but another list member designs the decals to be used on that type of equipment, so the drawings were of great interest to her.

I think I can dig up one or two more links for
anyone who contacts me off-list.

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas
--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27823. DFR Incidents Page

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-27 23:31:30 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27823 Message: 27823

I was looking at the DFR Incidents Page on what units were at the MVA at 300 Continental and among the 20 units listed were US-15,and US-18.What type of units are these?

Thanks for any Info,Mike in Garland

27824. Re: [dfwscan] DFR Incidents Page

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-27 23:41:46 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 27823 Message: 27824

US&R - heavy rescues. Dallas hosts this equipment and provides manpower available to FEMA as Texas Task Force 2. However, they are free to run the equipment in their district for rescue purposes.

---------- Original Message ----------
From: "rescue4nfd" <rescue4nfd@...>
Date: Wed, 27 Feb 2008 23:31:30 -0000

> I was looking at the DFR Incidents Page on what units were at the
>MVA at 300 Continental and among the 20 units listed were US-15,and US-
>18.What type of units are these?
>
> Thanks for any Info,Mike in Garland
>
>
>

_____
Sent via the WebMail system at tvsjr.com

27825. Dallas Officer's Funeral Friday

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 02:40:34 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27825 Message: 27825

I've heard from a NTTA friend that Parts of George Bush Freeway and Dallas Tollway will be closed Friday AM and PM for the funeral of the Dallas Traffic Officer's funeral.Dallas and Plano Police will handle the AM duties and Dallas and Ft.Worth Police will take care of the PM funeral traffic.Added to the radio traffic will be Hillary Clinton's motorcade which will be at the funeral.

Mike in Garland

27826. Presidential Candidates

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 11:19:41 UTC
From: Theodore Quiroz
Topic: 27826 Message: 27826

A couple of candidates for President will be in town tomorrow.just wondering what frequencies besides the Police ,should a check out ?

27827. Re: Presidential Candidates

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 13:31:26 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27826 Message: 27827

Theodore Quiroz wrote:

> A couple of candidates for President will be in town tomorrow.just wondering what frequencies besides the Police ,should a check out ?

I'd recommend monitoring 164.650 USSS Tango
for the Dallas local field office activity. But no
guarantees you'll hear anything. I didn't hear a
peep the other night when Obama was in
Duncanville. I've heard from some other folks
of sporadic radio traffic the last couple weeks,
but not as much as expected. Brief motorcade
updates, but that's been about it. USSS is
certainly not the same (monitoring wise) as
it was in the past (the good 'ole days).
There have been a few POTUS visits to the
Dallas area where there has been no radio
traffic heard at all on the USSS channels.

From my experience as a monitor and
former media vulture, you'll certainly hear
more details of motorcade and command
ops if you can find the tact channels used
by your local PD. Generally, MUCH more
interesting. Unless of course, they are
encrypted too....:)

Code names:

Renegade - Obama
Evergreen - Clinton
? - McCain

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

27828. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Presidential Candidates

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 13:48:35 UTC
From: Mark Pitcock
Topic: 27826 Message: 27828

I don't remember the USSS Rules....but isn't Clinton the only one that should have USSS Protection at this point?

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Friday, February 29, 2008 7:31 AM
To: Theodore Quiroz; DFWSCAN
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Presidential Candidates

Theodore Quiroz wrote:

> A couple of candidates for President will be in town tomorrow.just wondering what frequencies besides the Police ,should a check out ?

I'd recommend monitoring 164.650 USSS Tango for the Dallas local field office activity. But no guarantees you'll hear anything. I didn't hear a peep the other night when Obama was in Duncanville. I've heard from some other folks of sporadic radio traffic the last couple weeks, but not as much as expected. Brief motorcade updates, but that's been about it. USSS is certainly not the same (monitoring wise) as it was in the past (the good 'ole days). There have been a few POTUS visits to the Dallas area where there has been no radio traffic heard at all on the USSS channels.

From my experience as a monitor and former media vulture, you'll certainly hear more details of motorcade and command ops if you can find the tact channels used by your local PD. Generally, MUCH more interesting. Unless of course, they are encrypted too....:)

Code names:

Renegade - Obama
Evergreen - Clinton
? - McCain

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

IPN DFW226 / DCCERT 102 / FM CERT 82 /

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27829. Re: Presidential Candidates

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 13:58:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27826 Message: 27829

Mark Pitcock wrote:

> I don't remember the USSS Rules....but isn't Clinton the only one that
> should have USSS Protection at this point?

Obama requested USSS protection earlier
on in the campaign because of some threats.
Not sure about McCain. It used to be USSS
offered protection (for all) the second they
announced they were running, but times
(and rules) have changed over the years.
I don't quite agree with that, but I'm not the
Treasury Dept. director....:) Probably due to
a reduction in manpower in the federal agencies
offering protection, and budgetary cutbacks.

Sort of like big business downsizing....

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco MRC / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27830. NASA Space Pics...Very Cool !!!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 14:02:19 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 27830 Message: 27830

Hope this gets thru to the list.

Sarge

YOU NEED TO GO TO FULL SCREEN VIEW TO SEE THESE WELL. ENJOY!

These are just in from the current (just landed) space mission. These pixs
are so good that it makes one feel as if you are there taking the pictures.
Maximize your viewing area by hitting the F11 button and enjoy.....

<http://www.texasjim.com/NASApix/NASA%20pix.htm>
http://www.texasjim.com/NASApix/NASA%20pix.htm

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27831. Dallas Officer's Funeral

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 16:25:28 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27831 Message: 27831

Hearing funeral radio traffic on Plano Police ID 2768 (Traffic) and 3152 (Events)I have heard Dallas Police on Channel 8,9,12

Mike in Garland

27832. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer's Funeral

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 16:32:54 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 27831 Message: 27832

Fox 4 showing live overhead footage

http://media.myfoxdfw.com/live/stream2.html

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Friday, February 29, 2008 10:25
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer's Funeral

Hearing funeral radio traffic on Plano Police ID 2768 (Traffic) and 3152 (Events)I have heard Dallas Police on Channel 8,9,12

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27833. Dallas Officer's Funeral

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 17:10:14 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27831 Message: 27833

I just saw DPS 101,DPD Air 1,CareFlite,Air Med,Lifestar,and I think Ft.Worth Police Air fly low and slow over Garland heading towards PLano.

27834. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer's Funeral

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 17:11:50 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 27831 Message: 27834

They are practicing for later, they are in Richardson area now!

Dan Renois

Operations Center Supervisor

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Friday, February 29, 2008 11:10
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer's Funeral

I just saw DPS 101,DPD Air 1,CareFlite,Air Med,Lifestar,and I think Ft.Worth Police Air fly low and slow over Garland heading towards PLano.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27835. Dallas Officer's Funeral

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-02-29 20:40:54 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27831 Message: 27835

I just heard Dallas Traffic for the funeral come up on Dallas Police Channel 12 (460.400)

27836. Active AMBER Alert posting via DPD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-01 02:39:49 UTC
From: Officer LeClair
Topic: 27836 Message: 27836

Please look to this site and alert. The AMBER alert program was just activated for a 17 yo Blk female, IDed as Victoria Lurene Adams, Fine Brn hair, Brn eyes (may have contacts in that are different color 5'2'' 115 lbs. Last seen today at 1515 in Dallas. DOB is August 25, 1991. Thank you for the support.

Ofc. LeClair

27837. Re: Active AMBER Alert posting via DPD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-01 04:57:29 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 27836 Message: 27837

I didnt see a link, but heres one I usually go to
http://www.beyondmissing.com/bm?p=Alerts&do=List

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Officer LeClair" <moar_hs@...> wrote:
>
> Please look to this site and alert. The AMBER alert program was just
> activated for a 17 yo Blk female, IDed as Victoria Lurene Adams, Fine
> Brn hair, Brn eyes (may have contacts in that are different color 5'2''
> 115 lbs. Last seen today at 1515 in Dallas. DOB is August 25, 1991.
> Thank you for the support.
>
> Ofc. LeClair
>

27838. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Active AMBER Alert posting via DPD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-01 12:22:28 UTC
From: Patrick LeClair
Topic: 27836 Message: 27838

Update, the young girl has been found, no further information has been listed.

KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...> wrote: I didnt see a link, but heres one I usually go to
http://www.beyondmissing.com/bm?p=Alerts&do=List

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Officer LeClair" <moar_hs@...> wrote:
>
> Please look to this site and alert. The AMBER alert program was just
> activated for a 17 yo Blk female, IDed as Victoria Lurene Adams, Fine
> Brn hair, Brn eyes (may have contacts in that are different color 5'2''
> 115 lbs. Last seen today at 1515 in Dallas. DOB is August 25, 1991.
> Thank you for the support.
>
> Ofc. LeClair
>

Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27839. Arlington and Fort Worth TRS Trouble -

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-02 00:22:28 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 27839 Message: 27839

I have Arlington Fire Department, and EMS, as well as Fort Worth Fire and EMS programmed into my Rat Shack Pro 2067, Via Win92, I have confirmed all my ID's for the two systems, in the bank I have them in, all ID's match those listed in radioreference, however, when I enter Arlington or fort worth, I only pick up the ARL EMS and the FTW MedStar Main dispatch ID's. No Fireground, no Fire Dispatch, for either City, nor do I pick up any of the Fire Or EMS from the other cities in the FTW system, NRH, Haltom City, Kennedale, so on so forth.

Can anyone possibly tell my what my problem is? I've programmed it just like every other system i've ever programmed, without problem, when the EMS channels come in, they come in clear as day, and I know the system frequencies are in the banks right as well . .

Thanks for the Help...

Tim

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27840. Great Wolf Lodge

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-03 18:55:44 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27840 Message: 27840

Howdy Folks,

I did a little FCC research and found a few frequencies for Great Wolf Lodge

http://www.greatwolflodge.com/

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2944937&__newWi
ndow=false

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=414125
9&__newWindow=false

It appears at least a few of the frequencies are on an LTR system, with most of the traffic I've heard on 464.4625, 461.6125, and 463.8375. Some of the others I'm not sure about. The water park ops are pretty constant with stuff like "Alberta clear, Coyote Clear". I'm guessing those are the two big slides, and the life guards at the bottom are giving the ones at top the ok to send the next person down. There's lot of maintenance and general hotel ops type chatter as well. I can't receive the system in Bedford. I think it's a 25Watt system.

Here's a Uniden 396 file I setup to scout things out. It pretty boring stuff, but then again, if something happened out there, or you're spending time there, it might be interesting.
Ben

SystemCT,CNV,"Great Wolfe
Lodg",88,2,UNLOCKED,OFF,2,OFF,0,0,AUTO,8,None,OFF,"Great Wolfe Lodg",OFF
CGroup,"Great Wolf Lodge",.,UNLOCKED
Channel,461.6125,04616125,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Channel,463.8375,04638375,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Channel,464.4625,04644625,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Channel,466.6125,04666125,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Channel,468.8375,04688375,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Channel,469.4625,04694625,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Channel,462.2125,04622125,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Channel,462.3625,04623625,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Channel,462.4375,04624375,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG

```
Channel,467.4375,04674375,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Channel,467.3625,04673625,,AUTO,SEARCH,OFF,UNLOCKED,OFF,OFF,OFF,AUTO,OFF,ANA
LOG
Point,OFF,,00000000N,000000000E,1000

WQHN244 Active YG 16891459 GREAT WOLF LODGE OF GRAPEVINE, LLC GRAPEVINE
TX 76051 09/14/2007 09/14/2017
WQHN243 0003168120 Granted
(New) IG 16891459 GREAT WOLF LODGE OF GRAPEVINE, LLC GRAPEVINE TX 76051
09/14/2007 09/14/2017
WQHN244 0003168121 Granted
(New) YG 16891459 GREAT WOLF LODGE OF GRAPEVINE, LLC GRAPEVINE TX 76051
09/14/2007 09/14/2017
WQHN244 0003228523 Accepted
(Required Notification) YG 16891459 GREAT WOLF LODGE OF GRAPEVINE, LLC
GRAPEVINE TX 76051
```

27841. Plano unit numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-04 09:49:28 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 27841 Message: 27841

Does anyone know how Plano has set up their numbering system? I got a part time job doing security patrol at Deerfield, and tried listening to Plano the other night. What is the number before the beat assignment mean, ie; 5D3. I know D3 is the beat, but what is the 5 for?

Bill Anderson
Protector of the Deerfield rabbit farm.

27842. Re: [dfwscan] Plano unit numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-04 12:37:00 UTC
From: dfd896@yahoo.com
Topic: 27841 Message: 27842

the first number is the watch

Sent From My Wireless Device

Tim Billingham

-----Original Message-----

From: "Bill Anderson" <billemtp@...>
Subj: [dfwscan] Plano unit numbers
Date: Tue Mar 4, 2008 3:49 am
Size: 1K
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com

Does anyone know how Plano has set up their numbering system? I got a part time job doing security patrol at Deerfield, and tried listening to Plano the other night. What is the number before the beat assignment mean, ie; 5D3. I know D3 is the beat, but what is the 5 for?

Bill Anderson
Protector of the Deerfield rabbit farm.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
Yahoo! Groups Links

27843. Northwest & Lawther

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-05 03:06:46 UTC
From: cashfd13tx
Topic: 27843 Message: 27843

Anyone know what is going on with an incident @ N.W. Highway and W. Lawther? I heard Careflite 1 trying to contact Batt4 and USAR 15 check on scene. I didn't hear the intial call and was just wondering what this incident was. Looking at the DFR Website it shows Unconcious Person. 3Engines, 3trucks, 3rescues, 4BC's, 784, and 2USARS. Seems like a lot of equipment for a medical emergency call.

TIA,

Doug

27844. Re: Northwest & Lawther

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-05 04:34:32 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 27843 Message: 27844

From WFAA, Ch 8's web page:
http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa080304_wz_rescue.227a4bf8.html

I got caught up with late orders at work, & came in on the middle of it as well. I did hear traffic on DFR's channel about getting more pulleys & rope for the rescue attempt. Apparently, the victim fell down between the bridges to the creek. While I was driving home, Careflite was still circling, pending the LZ being secured, & the extrication from the ravine completed.

From past posts, & also from listening, it's apparent that the new CAD system does not always clear equipment from the online incident page, especially if there was a swap made, or the unit cleared before the event was fully closed out. If you check, you'll occasionally see the same unit "assigned" to two widely separately runs. For instance, an engine company still linked to an earlier structure fire, but also a more recently dispatched EMS or MVA call.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "cashfd13tx" <dgray13@...> wrote:
>
> Anyone know what is going on with an incident @ N.W. Highway and W.
> Lawther? I heard Careflite 1 trying to contact Batt4 and USAR 15 check
> on scene. I didn't hear the intial call and was just wondering what
> this incident was. Looking at the DFR Website it shows Unconcious
> Person. 3Engines, 3trucks, 3rescues, 4BC's, 784, and 2USARS. Seems like
> a lot of equipment for a medical emergency call.
>
>
> TIA,
>
> Doug
>

27845. Frisco P25 Trunk

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-05 04:49:20 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27845 Message: 27845

It seems the Frisco P25 trunk has changed their System ID/WACN from
AD5 BEE07 to DDC 926F3. I have been running Unitrunker the past few
days under the new System ID/WACB and haven't had any activity till today.

Frequencies: 856.6125, 857.6125, 858.1875, 858.6125
Talkgroups: 501, 502, 503, 504, 506, 701, 702, 703
Radio ID's: 11902, 11906

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27846. RE: [dfwscan] Northwest & Lawther

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-05 05:07:31 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27843 Message: 27846

I believe in the case of USAR just like HazMat the USAR vehicle is accompanied by a rescue, engine and truck. The original call would get a engine and rescue and most of that other stuff is USAR. I know if USAR is deployed at Tx Task Force 2 a Bat chief goes ahead as a scout and I believe another runs with the convoy. That might explain Bat 6 response.

I missed the original call myself but that was the most screwed-up mess I've heard in getting CareFlite on the ground. I think they orbited the scene for 20 minutes waiting for an LZ. It sounded like the guys doing the rescue were doing fine but they waited on the Bat Chief to set an LZ. It sounded like it was his first! Kicking out and engine or two for the LZ would have made more sense.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cashfd13tx
Sent: Tuesday, March 04, 2008 9:07 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Northwest & Lawther

Anyone know what is going on with an incident @ N.W. Highway and W. Lawther? I heard Careflite 1 trying to contact Batt4 and USAR 15 check on scene. I didn't hear the intial call and was just wondering what this incident was. Looking at the DFR Website it shows Unconcious Person. 3Engines, 3trucks, 3rescues, 4BC's, 784, and 2USARS. Seems like a lot of equipment for a medical emergency call.

TIA,

Doug

27847. TxDOT Frequencies During Winter Storm Warning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-07 17:51:57 UTC
From: cmhteh@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 27847 Message: 27847

During past few days while the metroplex
has had the snow, ice, etc., what frequencies
has anyone found the TxDOT sand trucks
working on?

27848. Re: [dfwscan] TxDOT Frequencies During Winter Storm Warning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-07 18:30:23 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 27847 Message: 27848

I think they use Nextel in Dallas County or maybe still on low band.
In Fort Worth they use 151.0025
Paker & Palo Pinto Co on 151.0925 and truck to truck simplex on 151.055
The rest can be found here http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=790

Tom ND5Y

cmhteh@... wrote:
> During past few days while the metroplex
> has had the snow, ice, etc., what frequencies
> has anyone found the TxDOT sand trucks
> working on?

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

27849. Re: TxDOT Frequencies During Winter Storm Warning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-07 20:15:35 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27847 Message: 27849

Tom ND5Y wrote:

> I think they use Nextel in Dallas County

I would tend to agree with Tom in that
probably the Denton and Collin units use
Nextel (or some form) as well. Since they
left low band & the TXU system many
moons ago, I don't have a clue where
they are frequency-wise.

I don't worry TOO much though, as your local
public safety frequencies should be hoppin' if
there are any icy areas. Texans freak when
they see a snowflake. You can darn sure bet
if they come across patches of ice, the first
thing they'll do is call the police after they
smash into the median wall.....;-)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27850. HEEEYYYYY

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-07 20:57:54 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 27850 Message: 27850

Texans freak when they see a snowflake. You can darn sure bet if they come across patches of ice, the first thing they'll do is call the police after they smash into the median wall.....;-)

Now u listin here Brooks, I take ah fence at that. I yam a Native Texan who has drivin for 46 yeers in all kinds of wheter. Livd on top of montanes in Coloradah and Nu Mexico and aint never 1ce had not evin 1 axident. And I hope all yer kidz r born nekkid.

Sarge in Lickskillet, Texas

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27851. Re: HEEEYYYYY

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-07 21:03:34 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27850 Message: 27851

Sarge wrote:

> Now u listin here Brooks, I take ah fence at that. I yam a Native Texan who
> has drivin for 46 yeers in all kinds of wheter. Livd on top of montanes in
> Coloradah and Nu Mexico and aint never 1ce had not evin 1 axident. And I
> hope all yer kidz r born nekkid.

Somewhere I hear the faint strains of "Dueling
Banjos"....

Better check your spell checker....I believe you purchased
your email program in Arkansas.....

LOL

(all in good fun folks)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27852. PSR 500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-08 14:09:21 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27852 Message: 27852

Hi all -

I asked this before but never received
a response from the users that have it.

I am seriously considering purchasing a
PSR-500 and am wondering how it
performs with milair (vhf & uhf) while
mobile, in house or building, and while
connected to an outside antenna. While
it would probably not be my PRIMARY
for milair, it would more than likely be a
strong secondary, especially portable at
airshows and such. So....how does it
perform?

Also, as many of you are well aware, I'm a
big federal monitor. Up 'til now, I have been
extremely pleased with the PRO-96 and it's
ability to decode local P-25 unencrypted
VHF & UHF. How does the PSR-500
compare? And how does it perform onm
the federal P25 with the proper NAC codes
programmed?

Thirdly, can someone who uses this radio
please post a list of the confirmed NAC codes
for our area. The more the merrier! (fed
included)

TIA.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27853. Re: PSR 500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-08 17:16:19 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 27852 Message: 27853

Blaine,

I'm not nearly as heavy into milair as I was in the past, so my PSR500 has not really gotten a good workout in that band yet. I'll probably load up a selection of frequencies to try out next time I visit my friends at their weekend 'retreat' out near Strawn, away from all the intermod of the Metro area (and nearer the Brownwood MOA). However, based on comments I've read in RadioReference, the 500 apparently does very well. It does have a very "hot" receiver, and can desense from signal overload, so don't overdrive it with too much antenna.

The PSR500 does very well decoding P25 conventional transmissions with the proper NAC codes, whether it's one of the Feds operating unencrypted, or Texas DPS. NAC search is also quick, for identifying P25's whose codes have not been confirmed or posted yet. I've saved a couple in my programming file, but have not started building a list. Too many other demands on my time, especially at work. More of the NAC codes are showing up in the RadioReference database, including TX DPS & some of the N. Texas counties & cities that are using conventional P25.

One thing I have noticed with at least my 500: On the Plano TRS, it hangs up on a talkgroup at times, like an open mic, when the transmission ends. At first, it primarily seemed to be one specific TGID, which I locked out, but the problem continued with a different ID. After much experimentation, tweaking the various settings, turning AGC & Supertrack on/off, etc, etc, the only common factor is that the problem only occurred when the TGID appeared on VC 866.6500. Squelch, attenuation, antenna used (R/S 800, stock, RH771), all had no effect. So, it's got to be a birdie frequency. (That was suspected anyway, since I did not see this behavior on my 396 or 996) That should take care of itself whenever the Plano system is rebanded (and apparently will eventually go P25 as well).

HTH
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Hi all -
>
> I asked this before but never received
> a response from the users that have it.
>
> I am seriously considering purchasing a
> PSR-500 and am wondering how it
> performs with milair (vhf & uhf) while
> mobile, in house or building, and while
> connected to an outside antenna. While

> it would probably not be my PRIMARY
> for milair, it would more than likely be a
> strong secondary, especially portable at
> airshows and such. So....how does it
> perform?
>
> Also, as many of you are well aware, I'm a
> big federal monitor. Up 'til now, I have been
> extremely pleased with the PRO-96 and it's
> ability to decode local P-25 unencrypted
> VHF & UHF. How does the PSR-500
> compare? And how does it perform onm
> the federal P25 with the proper NAC codes
> programmed?
>
> Thirdly, can someone who uses this radio
> please post a list of the confirmed NAC codes
> for our area. The more the merrier! (fed
> included)
>
> TIA.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>

27854. Re: [dfwscan] PSR 500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-08 17:51:50 UTC
From: wayne gresser
Topic: 27852 Message: 27854

I cannot comment on milair (I do not monitor that band) but the PSR-500 does a great job on VHF and UHF. I purchased the radio primarily for the NAC functionality and have not been disappointed. As others have reported (see threads on PSR-500 in RR) the radio is subject to overload in high rf conditions. For instance, if I use mine at my monitoring post next to the computer, printer and other radios, the PSR-500 becomes rather deaf. Same thing on an outside antenna (I live in Houston). But, get it a few feet away from other electrical devices and it works very well. I took mine out to the Big Bend area and with a mag antenna was picking up signals loud and clear. It definitely shines in remote areas where it's high sensitivity can work at full potential. The NAC decoding has worked flawlessly for me. I have several search objects set up (federal VHF and UHF) as well as sweeper objects (close call mode) and again no complaints. If you like to scan Texas DPS with all their new splinter frequencies, this radio decodes them as per NAC programmed with no adjacent frequency interference.

I was in Frisco this past week for a conference and was able to monitor a little. I was searching the federal VHF and UHF bands and here is what I found (I made notes of P-25 use only);
415.875, NAC 293. 165.950, NAC 001. 162.850, NAC 033. 170.000, NAC 293. 163.700, NAC 031, 165.375, NAC 001. 164.650, NAC 001. 169.1625, NAC 001, 172.900, NAC 001. 163.2125, NAC 100. 163.300, NAC 001. 163.6375, NAC 100. Most of these were encrypted so not sure of the agency.

I don't think you would be disappointed with the purchase of this radio.

Wayne

From: "Blaine Brooks" <blaine.brooks@...>
To: "DFWSCAN" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 08, 2008 8:09 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] PSR 500

> Hi all -
>
> I asked this before but never received
> a response from the users that have it.
>
> I am seriously considering purchasing a
> PSR-500 and am wondering how it
> performs with milair (vhf & uhf) while
> mobile, in house or building, and while
> connected to an outside antenna. While
> it would probably not be my PRIMARY
> for milair, it would more than likely be a
> strong secondary, especially portable at

> airshows and such. So....how does it
> perform?
>
> Also, as many of you are well aware, I'm a
> big federal monitor. Up 'til now, I have been
> extremely pleased with the PRO-96 and it's
> ability to decode local P-25 unencrypted
> VHF & UHF. How does the PSR-500
> compare? And how does it perform onm
> the federal P25 with the proper NAC codes
> programmed?
>
> Thirdly, can someone who uses this radio
> please post a list of the confirmed NAC codes
> for our area. The more the merrier! (fed
> included)
>
> TIA.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

27855. Re: (dfwscan): PSR 500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-08 23:34:47 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 27855 Message: 27855

Blaine,

I've had one since the day they were released and shipped. I've been very happy with the unit, and it is now my go everywhere scanner. I haven't listened to hardly any MilAir so can't give you a definitive word on that part, but it seems to do well on airband AM, and VHF and UHF conventional. I do enjoy the NAC decoding capability. If you set the channel to code search, it will tell you immediately what type of tone is being used and what the number is. If you enter a NAC tone on a channel, it works just like the CTCSS and DCS tones do. I took it to Colorado over Christmas and it performed very poorly (missed lots of initial transmissions on a talkgroup) on the statewide digital trunked radio system, a pure ASTRO P25 system. Couldn't hold a candle to the PRO-96. However, GRE has released another firmware upgrade, which according to initial reports, has improved its performance on these types of systems. Around our area, it performs very well on trunked systems. And I have every one for our area entered into mine. I'm up to about 1750 objects and its not full yet. But, if you are used to Uniden scanners and to breaking down your systems into subgroups, forget that concept. You enter in each conventional channel or talkgroup as an object affiliated with a system & assign it to a scan group. If you want to listen to all talkgroups in a system you have to create a Wildcard object for that system. You can't turn it on and off other than locking it out, then turning the unit off then back on. You are limited to only 20 scan lists. So what I did was combine several systems who are close into one scan list, like Grand Prairie and Mansfield for instance. Arlington has its own scan list, but since I don't get up to the northeast corridor often, I have Richardson, Garland, Plano, McKinney and Collin County all in one system to save scan lists for other items. The audio is crisp and clear like the PRO-96, and I really like the colored LED light at the top of the scanner. It helps in identifying who is talking on a scan list without having to glance at the screen; like red for fire, blue for police, yellow for EMS, green for Media and pink for wreckers! It has been mentioned that the unit is very sensitive. Forget about hearing much of anything around a NEXTEL tower. The audio on mine washes out. And you can't set it near computers. And if you have a 800MHz cell phone, you will hear the hamster claw sound coming out of the scanner's speaker each time the phone pings, or gets pinged, by a cell site. Guess that's handy if you want to know if someone is calling before the phone rings or if Jack Bower is looking for your GPS coordinates! But, the receive capability with the stock antenna is awesome. I can hear systems here at the crib that are deaf to all my other scanners including the PRO-96. The scan rate is remarkably fast and comparable to older GRE scanners "back in the day." With four 2500maH Energizer nickel metal hydride batteries it will run for about 8 to 10 hours.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: "Blaine Brooks" <blaine.brooks@...>
To: "DFWSCAN" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 08, 2008 8:09 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] PSR 500

> Hi all -
>
> I asked this before but never received
> a response from the users that have it.
>
> I am seriously considering purchasing a
> PSR-500 and am wondering how it
> performs with milair (vhf & uhf) while
> mobile, in house or building, and while
> connected to an outside antenna. While
> it would probably not be my PRIMARY
> for milair, it would more than likely be a
> strong secondary, especially portable at
> airshows and such. So....how does it
> perform?
>
> Also, as many of you are well aware, I'm a
> big federal monitor. Up 'til now, I have been
> extremely pleased with the PRO-96 and it's
> ability to decode local P-25 unencrypted
> VHF & UHF. How does the PSR-500
> compare? And how does it perform onm
> the federal P25 with the proper NAC codes
> programmed?
>
> Thirdly, can someone who uses this radio
> please post a list of the confirmed NAC codes
> for our area. The more the merrier! (fed
> included)
>
> TIA.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>

27856. cell site power backup article

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-08 23:37:50 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 27856 Message: 27856

Here's an article I thought was interesting.
http://apnews.excite.com/article/20080307/D8V8PN3G0.html

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

27857. Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated dispatch system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-10 02:21:42 UTC
From: Robert
Topic: 27857 Message: 27857

Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated dispatch system

08:08 AM CDT on Sunday, March 9, 2008
By TANYA EISERER / The Dallas Morning News
teiserer@...

Dallas emergency workers say they're facing a new menace: their computers.

Many believe public safety is at risk because of numerous problems related to the installation of a sophisticated new emergency dispatch system. According to interviews and documents obtained by The Dallas Morning News:

☐ Firefighters have been sent to wrong addresses or dispatched to emergency scenes when other firefighters are closer.

☐ Emergency workers have been inadvertently notified that they don't have to go to an emergency call when help is needed.

☐ Police officers on patrol have received incorrect information on people's criminal records or outstanding arrest warrants.

☐ Correct information for police about whether a suspect is a wanted criminal sometimes arrives long after a person who was being questioned has been allowed to leave a scene.

"If someone hasn't died yet, then they will," said Jim Crump, a 24-year Dallas Fire-Rescue veteran. "It's a roulette wheel spinning. ... The people of Dallas have a right to know that their system is broke."

There are no known incidents of deaths or serious injuries related to the dispatch problems. But the nearly $6 million system that went online in August appears to be at the center of numerous difficulties.

Many of the new dispatch problems are related to the system's Achilles' heel: a patchwork software link between the city's older hardware, such as the computers in police cars, firetrucks and ambulances, to the newer dispatch system under City Hall.

Human error also may have increased as dispatchers, police and firefighters struggle with what they say is a cumbersome and often slow system.

City officials say they're working on fixes for many of the problems.

"We don't deny that there are issues, because there are," First Assistant City Manager Ryan Evans said. "But we are absolutely committed to addressing all of them."

Public safety concerns

Mr. Crump, the son of a retired Dallas firefighter and brother of a Dallas police officer, said he chose to talk publicly about the problems because of serious public safety concerns.

Documents show that firefighters have reported that the speakers at their stations ☐ which are supposed to let them know that they need to respond to an emergency ☐ sometimes do not work correctly with the new automated dispatch system.

Sometimes, the speakers at the wrong station go off, causing delayed responses to as many as 20 to 30 emergencies per day, according to fire department documents.

That's a major concern for firefighters such as Mr. Crump, who said what happened Feb. 25 at his station has become common: He says his station received two calls on the emergency-only red phone from someone at fire dispatch letting them know that they had been sent on emergency calls.

The phone calls were needed because the dispatch system had not notified firefighters of the emergencies through the fire station's loudspeakers. Precious minutes had been lost.

Neither call ended up being a serious problem, but Mr. Crump said it's the "what if" that scares him.

But Worris Levine, the city's director of communication and information services, said the dispatch system is not the source of that problem. He said the dispatch system is sending the information to the speaker system, but the speakers aren't activating. "We don't know what that is yet," he said.

He said he did not see it as a public safety concern because dispatchers can simply notify firefighters if they don't respond. "We're talking about seconds," he said.

Lingering problems

Although the city says it has teams of workers focused on the dispatch system, many of the problems have continued unabated since the city flipped the switch on the new computer-aided dispatch system at 4:15 a.m. Aug. 22.

The transition got off to a rough start, despite the statements in a City Hall news release soon after the rollout that there had been only a "few minor problems" that didn't affect public safety.

Some are seemingly mundane, with once-simple tasks on in-car computers now taking four or five steps to complete. Officers on patrol struggled to file reports, a problem that, early on, necessitated a massive effort to reprogram nearly every computer.

But writing reports on in-car computers remains "a very slow process," Officer David Baures wrote to police officials. "Is this going to be improved or are we stuck with this slow, inefficient 'new' computer?"

At various times, police have been reduced to writing reports by hand.

Other problems eat up untold man-hours, such as the frequent lag time between when a dispatcher assigns a police officer a call and when the information reaches in-car computers.

Finding out if someone is wanted by police, or driving a stolen car, has became tedious and time-consuming, whereas accessing such information had previously been nearly instantaneous.

Senior Cpl. Keith Huber, a member of the police special investigations unit, wrote to police officials in November that he had requested a records check through his in-car computer to see if a man had any outstanding arrest warrants. The computer initially said the man was not wanted. Minutes later, it notified him that the man actually had multiple outstanding warrants.

It was too late: Officers had let him go.

On Feb. 25, Senior Cpl. Christopher Wagner arrested a man after catching him with crack cocaine and a crack pipe. When he tried to check to see if the man had outstanding warrants, nothing came back telling him one way or another.

Once at the jail, he determined that the man was wanted for a parole violation for burglary.

"He's on parole until 2025," said Cpl. Wagner, who works on a crime response team in some of Dallas' toughest neighborhoods, including those in West Dallas.

"We were out there for 20 to 25 minutes talking to this guy, not knowing who this guy was," he said. "It's real dangerous. Parole violators are some of the most dangerous people we deal with because they don't want to go back to the penitentiary."

The current overall situation confronting officers is "unacceptable at all levels," Deputy Chief Nancy Kirkpatrick, commander of the communications division, said in an interview with The News. "On the major issues that officers have, there has not been a significant improvement."

City officials did not create a team to address the records-check issues until November. Mr. Levine said that many of the records-check problems would be fixed soon.

Mixing old and new

In addition to human error related to the sheer complexity of the new dispatch system, city documents blame some of the problems ☐ such as

emergency vehicles being improperly dispatched ☐ on the way the new system links to older equipment.

When the city decided to replace its 35-year-old homegrown emergency dispatch system with the new automated one made by San Diego-based TriTech, a money-saving decision was made not to buy the mobile software system that allows the computers in emergency vehicles to easily communicate with the dispatch system at City Hall.

Instead of spending millions on new software and hardware for emergency workers, the city opted to build a bridge between old and new for about $640,000.

"It's always difficult; you're bringing in brand-new sophisticated software on top of something that wasn't built to be integrated with this kind of software," said Chris Maloney, president of TriTech. "You're trying to shoehorn it with this great new CAD [computer-aided dispatch] system that wasn't built to work with it."

Experts say building an interface between two software products as Dallas did is a bit like asking a person who speaks only Chinese to speak to a person who speaks only Italian. Someone has to translate, and often something gets lost in translation ☐ especially if the translator isn't very good at his job, as seems to be the case with the Dallas computer interface.

"Those pieces, your mobile piece and your [dispatch] software, are pretty much connected at the hip," said Joseph Hindman, a police technology expert who works for the Scottsdale, Ariz., Police Department. "They have to talk to each other."

Mr. Levine, Dallas' top information technology official, said that the interface is working as it should and that the city made the decision to keep the existing in-car software as an "interim decision" to minimize the amount of change for police officers and firefighters.

Dallas officials now are considering buying TriTech's mobile software. Records show that project could cost almost $10 million, including $3.7 million for the software and related expenses and about $5.8 million to replace 510 mobile computers.

Meanwhile, frustrated emergency workers are coping as best as they can. One police officer expressed his frustrations in a Feb. 28 police report: "The CAD system is twice as slow as the old system that it replaced. ... If the people who decided to buy the CAD system had to use it, we might not be having these problems."

GLITCHES IN THE DISPATCH

A sampling of problems related to the installation of Dallas' new emergency dispatch system:

☐ Emergency vehicles are assigned to calls, but then the call is rescinded by a "ghost clear" glitch as it is en route to the location, leaving the emergency call unanswered until the error is caught and someone is reassigned to the call.

☐ When officers try to check license plates or look for outstanding arrest warrants, the information is often slow to get to in-car computers.

☐ Location information for emergency vehicles is sometimes slow to update, causing the system to show emergency vehicles at one location when they're really elsewhere.

☐ The dispatch system shows that emergency vehicles have arrived at a scene when they have not. Or emergency vehicles arrive, but the system does not show it. Emergency workers say this distorts the reporting of accurate response times.

☐ Officers can no longer send out divisionwide or citywide messages ☐ such as be-on-the-lookout "BOLO" alerts. Such messages now go to police dispatchers who find them only by checking their e-mail, and then they are relayed.

☐ The in-car interface with the dispatch system is generally cumbersome. One top-ranking city technology official wrote in a memo how she saw an officer get 37 separate messages about a single emergency as he raced with lights on and sirens blaring to help another officer. "To read the comments, he would have had to use keystrokes and fine motor skills," wrote Lynn Chaffin. "Not Good. Not Safe."

27858. Old DFD Suburban

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-10 14:46:56 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 27858 Message: 27858

This morning when i was leaving for work i noticed a older DFD suburban with 2 occupants in it. It was sitting in front of some apartments. I noticed the driver doing some paperwork. What caught my attetion is they drove up, sat for about 15 minutes, then did a U turn and left. To me that seems a little odd.

I noticed this because it was right by where i live. I never heard anything dispatched (keeping it scanner related) but then with so many computer problems who can rely on that. LOL

Any thoughts on this?

27859. PSR-500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-12 01:06:55 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27859 Message: 27859

Just a quick "thanks" to those that responded
with their thoughts on the PSR-500.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27860. Lewisville Lowes or Home Depot

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-12 01:31:24 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27860 Message: 27860

Sounds as though one of these stores
at Valley Ridge and I-35E is using

467.7625 CH 1
467.850 CH 2

Didn't catch the CTCSS or DCS if they
were using one. Transmissions were too
brief. Just got lucky scanning through
some itinerants while close by.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27861. CareFlite orders new helo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-12 03:52:20 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27861 Message: 27861

From a press release for those interested in air ambulances in the area.

Terry

CareFlite Dallas/Fort Worth Orders An AgustaWestland AW109 Power Helicopter

AgustaWestland is pleased to announce that CareFlite Dallas/Fort Worth has ordered another AW109 Power light twin turbine helicopter. This purchase adds to the five AW109 Powers ordered in 2001 and further expands CareFlite's fleet dedicated to emergency medical service purposes. Speaking on behalf of CareFlite, President and CEO James Swartz stated "This latest contract further confirms the reliance CareFlite, as a leading US air medical operator servicing a major metropolitan area, places upon the exceptional performance, operational economies, support and EMS capabilities of the AW109 Power. AgustaWestland's AW109 meets and exceeds our load and range requirements while adding superior single engine performance. Its unequalled maximum and cruise speed facilitates an expeditious response to CareFlite's medical emergencies when seconds are critical."

(Above L to R) Eric Crawford - Agusta Westland Account Executive, James C. Swartz - CareFlite President & CEO, Louis Bartolotta - Agusta Westland's Executive VP of Sales, Bob Cleland - Agusta Westland's Director of Sales, photo taken at HAI Convention in Houston, Texas

Louis Bartolotta, AgustaWestland's Executive VP of Sales, stated "This AW109 Power is testimony to both the strong relationship we have had with CareFlite Dallas over the past 7 years and will continue to enjoy into the future, and the high level of critical care services that they will be able to provide the Dallas-Ft. Worth metropolitan area. We remain honoured to assist in the provision of that care." CareFlite's AW109 Power is certified for single pilot IFR operations and powered by twin Pratt & Whitney 206C engines. AgustaWestland provided CareFlite's aeromedical configuration to accommodate two litter patients and three attendants. For maximum flexibility the primary litter is a Life Port pivoting system. Both single litter and dual litter configurations allow full body access to patients.

A Dallas-Ft. Worth based rapid response air and group ambulance/911 EMS operator, CareFlite is one of the premier helicopter air medical operations in the United States. CareFlite is a 501(c)3 non-profit company sponsored by the Baylor Health Care System, JPS Health Network, Methodist Health System, Parkland Health and Hospital System, and Texas Health Resources (Arlington Memorial, Harris Methodist and Presbyterian Hospitals). The oldest joint use helicopter medical transport program in the United States, over 75,000 patients have been airlifted by CareFlite since the company's founding in 1979. Plus CareFlite is the only service in North Texas that operates under instrument flight rules during times of bad weather. The company has 17 GPS

approaches to North Texas hospitals. This permits the rapid transport of patients to critical care facilities despite the weather. CareFlite's EMS helicopters serve more than 100 counties within a 150-mile radius of the Dallas/Fort Worth Metroplex. The company also provides fixed wing air ambulance service for transports beyond the range of its helicopter fleet and an extensive ground ambulance/911 system. CareFlite is accredited by CAMTS, the Commission on Accreditation of Medical Transport Systems.

About CareFlite

C Non-profit 501(c)3 company based in Grand Prairie

C Offers helicopter EMS, fixed wing air ambulance & 911/ground ambulance service

C Oldest joint use air medical program in the United States, founded 1979

C Over 350,000 patients transported since 1979

C Six air bases and eight ground ambulance bases across North Texas

C Only IFR helicopter EMS program in the region with 17 proprietary instrument approaches to trauma centers & area hospitals

C Offers membership program to families to protect against the cost of medical transport from just $49 per year

C Provides standby medical services for Dallas Cowboys, Texas Rangers, SMU, TMS, others

C More information available at www.careflite.org <http://www.careflite.org/>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27862. FWFD Ch. 16?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-12 04:38:11 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 27862 Message: 27862

I was listening to some FWFD ops. and they asked the Batt. Chief to go to channel 16. I have all of the FWFD TG's programmed and did not hear a peep, there is no channel 16 listed either.

Any ideas?

27863. Re: FWFD Ch. 16?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-12 04:44:12 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 27862 Message: 27863

Its DIRECT 16, simplex channel for close communication ground ops:

FD DIRECT 14 (866.550)
FD DIRECT 15 (868.050)
FD DIRECT 16 (868.350)

Conventional frequencies, no PL tones

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "cltx11" <cltx11@...> wrote:
>
> I was listening to some FWFD ops. and they asked the Batt. Chief to go
> to channel 16. I have all of the FWFD TG's programmed and did not hear
> a peep, there is no channel 16 listed either.
>
> Any ideas?
>

27864. New USSS freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-12 19:49:40 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27864 Message: 27864

Saw this in a post on the FEDCOM list, but a few,
including a monitor in Houston has reported activity
related to the presidential candidates on 409.525,
digital. McCain's code name apparently is
"Gilligan", which I find pretty funny.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27865. Re: [dfwscan] New USSS freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-13 14:44:48 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27864 Message: 27865

Mike McKenna wrote:

> 409.525 is State Dept -- not USSS. And Gilligan is not McCain.

409.625 is State Dept, but I've never heard of .525
being used. Actually I have confirmed here in DFW,
409.625 as CH 1 & 2 (PL 151.4), but more than likely
they have gone P25 (possibly to .525 - I don't know)
And OK if it's NOT McCain, then who the hell is it, if
you seem to know?. Don't just leave me (and other
monitors) just hanging....

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27866. Teenager in Standoff Plano

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-13 23:20:19 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27866 Message: 27866

Plano Police have been in a standoff in Plano since 11:00 am.The area of city is Preston Meadows/Legacy Dr. Plano Police are on Ops.2 (624)

Mike in Garland

27867. Re: [dfwscan] Teenager in Standoff Plano

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-14 00:27:11 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 27866 Message: 27867

Anyone have a live feed up. I was in Plano all day
right around the
corner from it monitoring as we had some work over
there. Well work is
over and I can't hear it from here. Someone has to
have a feed up
somewhere!!!

Thanks, Jason

rescue4nfd wrote:
>
> Plano Police have been in a standoff in Plano since
11:00 am.The
> area of city is Preston Meadows/Legacy Dr. Plano
Police are on Ops.2
> (624)
>
> Mike in Garland
>
>

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

27868. RE: [dfwscan] Teenager in Standoff Plano

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-14 00:46:21 UTC
From: Barry Barge
Topic: 27866 Message: 27868

Use Windows media player and open URL:

http://txscanner.hobbysite.com:21831

Barry
Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Jason Simpkins
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2008 7:27 PM
To: rescue4nfd
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Teenager in Standoff Plano

Anyone have a live feed up. I was in Plano all day
right around the
corner from it monitoring as we had some work over
there. Well work is
over and I can't hear it from here. Someone has to
have a feed up
somewhere!!!

Thanks, Jason

rescue4nfd wrote:
>
> Plano Police have been in a standoff in Plano since
11:00 am.The
> area of city is Preston Meadows/Legacy Dr. Plano
Police are on Ops.2
> (624)
>
> Mike in Garland
>
>

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

---

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27869. Re: Lewisville Lowes or Home Depot

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-14 03:41:47 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27860 Message: 27869

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Sounds as though one of these stores
> at Valley Ridge and I-35E is using
>
> 467.7625 CH 1
> 467.850 CH 2
>
> Didn't catch the CTCSS or DCS if they
> were using one. Transmissions were too
> brief. Just got lucky scanning through
> some itinerants while close by.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

Those belong to the Home Depot, 467/7625 DCS065 for Ch 1 and 467.8500
DCS023 for Ch 2. I confirmed the frequencies for the one out here in
Plano by me at the Tollway and Park.

Oh Blaine drop me a email again. I deleted your last email along with
your phone number.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27870. Plano Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-14 03:53:16 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27870 Message: 27870

Hearing radio traffic that some of Garland's SWAT Team is going to relief some of Plano's SRT Team and DPS 101 is now on scene.

Mike in Garland

27871. Plano Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-14 04:33:56 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27870 Message: 27871

ACTOR in Plano Police standoff in CUSTODY...11:32pm

Mike in Garland

27872. IPN

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-15 02:37:47 UTC
From: tgray04
Topic: 300 Message: 27872

Holy crap! When the hell did IPN increase their prices to $100 a year? Do you not want normal scanner enthusiasts to use your services any longer?

Your IPN service is worth $30 for a year. Let me know if that works for you.

Ray

27873. RE: [dfwscan] IPN

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-15 02:53:55 UTC
From: Peter C Kirk
Topic: 300 Message: 27873

Sorry, but there is no change in price, these are the same prices that have been in place for over 4+ years.

1 MONTH - $8.95 USD (Billed Monthly)

3 MONTHS - $24.95 USD (Billed Quarterly)

6 MONTHS - $49.95 USD (Billed Semi-Annually)

12 MONTHS - $99.95 (Billed Annually)

There comparable to all of the other services available out there.

But unlike the other crappy services, IPN is well worth it, as the service is still the best available in the USA.

and if they decide to increase the cost, it would be understandable, as the bandwidth, server costs, paging services and other expenses are well on the rise. 4 years of not raising the cost is pretty darn good in my book.

Peter

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of tgray04
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2008 10:38 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] IPN

Holy crap! When the hell did IPN increase their prices to $100 a year? Do you not want normal scanner enthusiasts to use your services any longer?

Your IPN service is worth $30 for a year. Let me know if that works for you.

Ray

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see: http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27874. Re: [dfwscan] IPN

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-15 04:17:23 UTC
From: Ray Hutchins
Topic: 300 Message: 27874

I guess it's been about 4 years since I've used the service! Geez though. It does seem high. The last time I looked to renew my subscription, I thought the service was around $60 which made me choose to let my account expire.

I'll give it a month and see if it wows me.

On Fri, Mar 14, 2008 at 9:53 PM, Peter C Kirk <pckirk@...> wrote:

> Sorry, but there is no change in price, these are the same prices that
> have
> been in place for over 4+ years.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27875. Mock Disaster Drill in McKinney Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-15 13:33:07 UTC
From: Dustin
Topic: 27875 Message: 27875

From a McKinney ISD Press Release:
Mock Disaster Exercise Aimed at Preparing

McKinney ISD and City for Emergency

The McKinney Independent School District, in partnership with the McKinney Fire Department, Police Department and Medical Center of McKinney is coordinating an emergency exercise on Saturday, March 15 at McKinney North High School. The drill serves as practice for real-life emergency scenarios. Resources of people (MISD, MPD, MFD, Medical Center of McKinney, Red Cross, and others), fire engines, police cars, care-flight, and ambulances will be used.

"The exercise helps us prepare our emergency responders for a real-life emergency situation," said McKinney Emergency Management Coordinator Karen Adkins. "It is important for the community, particularly the residents who live close to the exercise scene and the media, to know that this is only a drill."

What: Mass casualty incident exercise

When: Saturday, March 15 from 8 ☐ 11 a.m.

Where: McKinney North High School, 2550 Wilmeth Road

Student and parent actors will also be involved in the mock mass casualty incident, complete with make-up applied as injuries. A full-scale parent information center will be set up at Vega Elementary School in order to practice the District's crisis communication and grief response plans. Observers will not have access inside the exercise area during the drill. Streets in and around the exercise area could be closed, so drivers should be prepared for detours.

The City of McKinney and the McKinney Independent School District have been recognized across the United States for their comprehensive crisis response plan.

For more information about the McKinney Independent School District, contact Cody Cunningham, Assistant to the Superintendent for Communications at 469-742-4133 or by e-mail at cocunningham@....

27876. DFW p97 file.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-15 15:27:14 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 27876 Message: 27876

Does anyone have a p97 file that has DFW airport? I'm looking for
American Airlines in particular. The wife is flying back in on Monday
and I thought it might be neat if I could listen to her flight come in.

Thanks,
Bob

27877. Re: DFW p97 file.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-15 21:55:55 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27876 Message: 27877

Bob wrote:

> Does anyone have a p97 file that has DFW airport? I'm looking for
> American Airlines in particular.

I don't have a p97 file, but these frequencies
should be a good start.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=1134

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27878. Funny heard on MilAir

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-16 05:09:45 UTC
From: Jim Theisen
Topic: 27878 Message: 27878

COWBOY was up around noon today. Heard two pilots chatting back and forth during a training mission in around NFW on 318.65, something like this:

COWBOY 1: Hey, where'd you say that golf course was?

COWBOY 2: It's just left off the departure end, over by L-M. It's that close.

(a minute later)

COWBOY 1: FOX 3, the guy with the 9-iron!

COWBOY 2: Good hit.

:)

27879. tower question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-16 14:13:40 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 27879 Message: 27879

So does anyone know who owns the tower thats at Glenview and Booth Calloway in NRH? It's approx 400' give or take, but has absolutely no ASR number listed (illegal) and no contact info on the fence or anywhere else. Anyone know anything about it?

Thanks,

Melissa

27880. Re: [dfwscan] tower question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-16 14:50:53 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 27879 Message: 27880

Thanks Louis and Tom for the quick replies. Apparently its in Richland Hills not NORTH Richland Hills. Whoda thunk it :)

-Melissa

Louis Guion wrote:
> Melissa, you can easily find out who owns the PROPERTY if you know a
> street address. Go to:
> <http://www.tad.org/Datasearch/re_location_search.cfm?matches>
> For the Tarrant County Appraisal District's search page.
>
> A view of the tower may be found here:
> http://snipurl.com/21vph [mapper_acme_com]
>
> I hope this helps you.
>
> Regards,
> Louis Guion
> Dallas
> ---
>
> Mel's mail lists wrote:
>> So does anyone know who owns the tower thats at Glenview and Booth
>> Calloway in NRH? It's approx 400' give or take, but has absolutely no
>> ASR number listed (illegal) and no contact info on the fence or anywhere
>> else. Anyone know anything about it?
>>
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Melissa
>>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27881. Re: [dfwscan] tower question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-16 14:54:17 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 27879 Message: 27881

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/AsrSearch/asrRegistration.jsp?regKey=622113

Tom

Mel's mail lists wrote:
> So does anyone know who owns the tower thats at Glenview and Booth
> Calloway in NRH? It's approx 400' give or take, but has absolutely no
> ASR number listed (illegal) and no contact info on the fence or anywhere
> else. Anyone know anything about it?
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Melissa

Looking for last minute shopping deals?
Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.
http://tools.search.yahoo.com/newsearch/category.php?category=shopping

27882. Re: [dfwscan] tower question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-16 15:14:44 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27879 Message: 27882

Soooooo,
Ya gonna blow the whistle on Trott Communications? :)

Tom ND5Y wrote:
>
>
> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/AsrSearch/asrRegistration.jsp?regKey=622113
> <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/AsrSearch/asrRegistration.jsp?regKey=622113>
>
> Tom
>
> Mel's mail lists wrote:
> > So does anyone know who owns the tower thats at Glenview and Booth
> > Calloway in NRH? It's approx 400' give or take, but has absolutely no
> > ASR number listed (illegal) and no contact info on the fence or anywhere
> > else. Anyone know anything about it?
> >
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Melissa
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

27883. Re: [dfwscan] tower question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-16 15:16:10 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 27879 Message: 27883

hahaha, no, just know someone who was possibly wanting to put something
up there, and we were trying to find the contact info. Kinda hard to
lease space if you don't tell folks how to get ahold of ya!

Jay Urish wrote:
>
> Soooooo,
> Ya gonna blow the whistle on Trott Communications? :)
>
> Tom ND5Y wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/AsrSearch/asrRegistration.jsp?regKey=622113
> <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/AsrSearch/asrRegistration.jsp?regKey=622113>
>
> >
> <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/AsrSearch/asrRegistration.jsp?regKey=622113
> <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/AsrSearch/asrRegistration.jsp?regKey=622113>>
> >
> > Tom
> >
> > Mel's mail lists wrote:
> > > So does anyone know who owns the tower thats at Glenview and Booth
> > > Calloway in NRH? It's approx 400' give or take, but has absolutely no
> > > ASR number listed (illegal) and no contact info on the fence or
> anywhere
> > > else. Anyone know anything about it?
> > >
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Melissa
> >
>
> --
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27884. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated dispatch system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-11 16:48:03 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 27857 Message: 27884

> Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated dispatch system

LOL! Seriously, who didn't see this coming a long time ago?

I'm home til the end of this month again. Anybody up for a get-together?

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq
Texas Cell: 817.905.3179

27885. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together (was: Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated dispatch system)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-16 22:38:08 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 27857 Message: 27885

I was just thinking about having another one earlier today. I'm game!
But then everyone probably knew that :)

What's everyone hungry for?

-Melissa

>
>
> I'm home til the end of this month again. Anybody up for a get-together?
>
> Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
> Medical Clinic B9
> Camp Habbaniyah
> Al Anbar Province, Iraq
> Texas Cell: 817.905.3179
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27886. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together (was: Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated dispatch system)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-16 22:40:23 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 27857 Message: 27886

Hamburger....

(Then again, I haven't had squat to eat all day.)

At 17.38 03/16/2008, you wrote:
>I was just thinking about having another one earlier today. I'm game!
>But then everyone probably knew that :)
>
>What's everyone hungry for?
>
>-Melissa
>
> >
> >
> > I'm home til the end of this month again. Anybody up for a get-together?
> >
> > Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
> > Medical Clinic B9
> > Camp Habbaniyah
> > Al Anbar Province, Iraq
> > Texas Cell: 817.905.3179
> >
> >
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>==
>Groups related to dfwscan
>==
>
>UnidenBC780XLT (94 common members)
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UnidenBC780XLT?v=1&t=ipt&ch=email&pub=groups&slk=aftr0&sec=recg
>
>Hobbies/Amateur and Ham Radio: We are here to discuss the Uniden
>BC780XLT scannin...
>
>LiveScannerAudio (89 common members)
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio?v=1&t=ipt&ch=email&pub=groups&slk=aftr1&sec=recg
>

>Hobbies/Amateur and Ham Radio: Why are we broadcasting the Police,
>Fire, EMS, HF ...
>
>RadioModifications (71 common members)
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RadioModifications?v=1&t=ipt&ch=email&pub=groups&slk=aftr2&sec=recg
>
>Hobbies/Amateur and Ham Radio: Welcome to Radio Modifications Radio
>Modifications...
>
>Pro-97 (70 common members)
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Pro-97?v=1&t=ipt&ch=email&pub=groups&slk=aftr3&sec=recg
>
>Hobbies/Amateur and Ham Radio: The first and largest discussion
>group dedicated t...
>
>HoustonScan (69 common members)
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HoustonScan?v=1&t=ipt&ch=email&pub=groups&slk=aftr4&sec=recg
>
>Hobbies/Amateur and Ham Radio: For radio scanner enthusiasts in and
>around the Ho...
>
>
>-----------------------------------
>
>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

27887. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-16 22:48:49 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 27857 Message: 27887

I just finished making cheesecake, but I don't think I made enough for the whole list :)

Fuddruckers in Irving (183 and Belt Line) a "central" enough location? I know everyone comes from all over.

How about last day in March? Anyone have better suggestions?

-Melissa

Don Teague wrote:
>
> Hamburger....
>
> (Then again, I haven't had squat to eat all day.
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27888. RE: [dfwscan] Get Together (was: Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated dispatch system)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 00:37:37 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 27857 Message: 27888

Hi Group,

Anything besides Spring Creek BBQ please :) I know I can honestly say I speak for at least three other people who attend most meetings (as well as myself) when I say Spring Creek BBQ upsets stomachs. I'm not sure what causes the problem. The food's neither great nor bad, but every time I've ever eaten at those restaurants (any city they have them in) my stomach has really given me troubles. A few of us have talked about not ever going there again if we don't have to.

Since my vision prohibits me from driving, I'm at the mercy of friends who have brought me to the meetings through the years. So, I don't guess I have a whole lot of say in where anything is set up :).

Just please don't pick Spring Creek BBQ unless there's an overwhelming majority that wants to go there. Thanks!

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mel's mail lists
Sent: Sunday, March 16, 2008 5:38 PM
To: rob.davis@...
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Get Together (was: Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated dispatch system)

I was just thinking about having another one earlier today. I'm game! But then everyone probably knew that :)

What's everyone hungry for?

-Melissa

>
>
> I'm home til the end of this month again. Anybody up for a get-together?
>
> Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
> Medical Clinic B9
> Camp Habbaniyah
> Al Anbar Province, Iraq
> Texas Cell: 817.905.3179
>

>

27889. Re: Get Together (was: Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated di

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 01:14:30 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 27857 Message: 27889

I don't think I have ever met anyone in the group.

I would love to have a "get together." Don't let this stop the majority but I am not available Mon-Fri before 4:00 p.m. and night Tuesday and Thursday. Other than that keep it closer to Ft. Worth :) and I would love to put some faces with names.

Cody

27890. Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 01:36:09 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 27857 Message: 27890

Welcome home Rob, damned good to hear from you. I believe Fudnockers at Irving Mall would be a splendid idea! I'm with Brian, Spring Crick does not agree with my constitution either. Tuesday is out for me, but a Wedensday evening would be much better with my schedule. But of course, I remain rigidly flexible!

Mark
in Arlington

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "cltx11" <cltx11@...> wrote:
>
> I don't think I have ever met anyone in the group.
>
> I would love to have a "get together." Don't let this stop the
> majority but I am not available Mon-Fri before 4:00 p.m. and night
> Tuesday and Thursday. Other than that keep it closer to Ft. Worth :)
> and I would love to put some faces with names.
>
> Cody
>

27891. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 02:08:26 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27857 Message: 27891

Sounds like a winner to me.

Mel's mail lists wrote:
>
>
> I just finished making cheesecake, but I don't think I made enough for
> the whole list :)
>
> Fuddruckers in Irving (183 and Belt Line) a "central" enough location? I
> know everyone comes from all over.
>
> How about last day in March? Anyone have better suggestions?
>
> -Melissa
>
> Don Teague wrote:
> >
> > Hamburger....
> >
> > (Then again, I haven't had squat to eat all day.
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

27892. [dfwscan] Get Together - roll call

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 02:44:02 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 27857 Message: 27892

I'm ok with Wedensday if everyone else is,

And since I re-read Rob's email, that he's here till the end of THIS month, I'll move the projected date up a bit to March 26th (Wednesday) at 6:00pm at Fuddruckers in Irving.... reply offlist if you can make it (or even pretty sure you can). I'll call tomorrow and see if we can reserve their "back room"

-Melissa

> _,_._,__

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27893. Re: Get Together - roll call

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 02:53:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27857 Message: 27893

Mel's mail lists wrote:

> I'm ok with Wedensday if everyone else is,

Could we possibly move it to a Friday evening or
Saturday afternoon?. Events during the week are
extremely inconvenient for us early risers. My
alarm goes off at 3:30 AM!.

If it's too much of a hassle don't worry about it. I
won't be able to attend, but I'll be there in spirit!...:)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27894. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together (was: Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated di

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 03:19:58 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 27857 Message: 27894

Arlington is kinda midway

-----Original Message-----
From: "cltx11" <cltx11@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: 3/16/2008 8:14 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together (was: Dallas police, firefighters frustrated with automated di

I don't think I have ever met anyone in the group.

I would love to have a "get together." Don't let this stop the
majority but I am not available Mon-Fri before 4:00 p.m. and night
Tuesday and Thursday. Other than that keep it closer to Ft. Worth :)
and I would love to put some faces with names.

Cody

27895. RE: [dfwscan] Get Together - roll call

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 05:10:37 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 27857 Message: 27895

Sorry i am behind, this is from my phone, irving is cool

-----Original Message-----
From: "Mel's mail lists" <lists@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: 3/16/2008 9:44 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Get Together - roll call

I'm ok with Wedensday if everyone else is,

And since I re-read Rob's email, that he's here till the end of THIS
month, I'll move the projected date up a bit to March 26th (Wednesday)
at 6:00pm at Fuddruckers in Irving.... reply offlist if you can make it
(or even pretty sure you can). I'll call tomorrow and see if we can
reserve their "back room"

-Melissa

> _,_.__

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27896. Re: Get Together - roll call

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 12:55:12 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27857 Message: 27896

Irving is cool for me as well but could we move it up to sometime on
Saturday as Monday-Friday is next to impossible for me.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27897. Re: Get Together - roll call

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 17:26:31 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 27857 Message: 27897

I am all for a Fudruckers.
But is it inside the mall or a satelite of the mall?
Me and malls dont get along, unless its got a Big and Tall store. LOL

Maybe someone should put up a poll of members who want to attend, put what day is best for them, and maybe have several locations, Irving, GP, Arlington, Dallas, and lets see where most people are.

I am game with BBQ or a good Burger..

Thanks.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@...> wrote:
>
> Irving is cool for me as well but could we move it up to sometime on
> Saturday as Monday-Friday is next to impossible for me.
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>

27898. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together - roll call

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 18:33:41 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27857 Message: 27898

Its a side entrance.. You walk right into the resturant..

Saturday is good for me..

Maybe its time for a yayhoo poll..

Patrick Wrigg wrote:
>
>
> I am all for a Fudruckers.
> But is it inside the mall or a satelite of the mall?
> Me and malls dont get along, unless its got a Big and Tall store. LOL
>
> Maybe someone should put up a poll of members who want to attend, put
> what day is best for them, and maybe have several locations, Irving,
> GP, Arlington, Dallas, and lets see where most people are.
>
> I am game with BBQ or a good Burger..
>
> Thanks.
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> "Matthew" <mbstone99@...> wrote:
> >
> > Irving is cool for me as well but could we move it up to sometime on
> > Saturday as Monday-Friday is next to impossible for me.
> >
> > Matt
> > Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> > http://www.dfwscanning.net/ <http://www.dfwscanning.net/>
> >
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer

Home)972-691-0125
Cell)972-965-6229

27899. New poll for dfwscan

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 18:47:10 UTC
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 4402 Message: 27899

Enter your vote today! A new poll has been created for the
dfwscan group:

Wow, the last poll we did was 5 years ago! Time for a new one.
What day/time is the best for you to have a get together?
Please select all that apply. The last one was added
especially for those of you who work overnights :)
The poll remains open for 3 days, so vote early and often!

o Sunday midday
o Sunday evening
o Monday evening
o Tuesday evening
o Wednesday evening
o Thursday evening
o Friday evening
o Saturday midday
o Saturday evening
o 3am any day

To vote, please visit the following web page:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/surveys?id=2675672

Note: Please do not reply to this message. Poll votes are
not collected via email. To vote, you must go to the Yahoo! Groups
web site listed above.

Thanks!

27900. RE: [dfwscan] Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 19:01:51 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 1986 Message: 27900

Thanks for the welcome home, Mark!

Wednesday the 26th is particularly good for me. Friday the 28th, I fly out of town for an educational conference, so the weekend is no good for me, Blaine.

Irving or Arlington are both good for me. Hell, I'll even drive to Flower Mound if it'll help Blaine make it!

Rob

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq
Texas Cell: 817.905.3179

27901. Re: Funny heard on MilAir

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 20:09:56 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27878 Message: 27901

Jim Theisen wrote:

> COWBOY 1: FOX 3, the guy with the 9-iron!
>
> COWBOY 2: Good hit.

Aaah, the power of air to ground missiles and
HUD's aimed at innocent little humans puttering
around on a winter ryegrass fairway with NO idea
what's above gets the blood flowing.....:)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27902. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Funny heard on MilAir

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-17 23:36:15 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27878 Message: 27902

Friend of mine was one the back roads of Louisiana tooling along and an A10 lined up on them and made a quick pass coming straight at him. Being an ex USAF guy he thought it was kinda funny -- wife didn't think so.

>
>
>
>> COWBOY 1: FOX 3, the guy with the 9-iron!
>>
>> COWBOY 2: Good hit.
>>
>
> Aaah, the power of air to ground missiles and
> HUD's aimed at innocent little humans puttering
> around on a winter ryegrass fairway with NO idea
> what's above gets the blood flowing.....:)
>
>

27903. Re: Funny heard on MilAir

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-18 14:19:50 UTC
From: Jim Theisen
Topic: 27878 Message: 27903

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
> Friend of mine was one the back roads of Louisiana tooling along
and an
> A10 lined up on them and made a quick pass coming straight at him.
Being
> an ex USAF guy he thought it was kinda funny -- wife didn't think
so.
>
> >
> >
> >
> >> COWBOY 1: FOX 3, the guy with the 9-iron!
> >>
> >> COWBOY 2: Good hit.
> >>
> >
> > Aaah, the power of air to ground missiles and
> > HUD's aimed at innocent little humans puttering
> > around on a winter ryegrass fairway with NO idea
> > what's above gets the blood flowing.....:)
> >
> >
>
Funny thing is, FOX 3 designates an A-A missile, not an A-G weapon.
I think FOX 3 is usually an AMRAAM. So why they were locking onto
ground targets is beyond me. (Of course, I guess maybe they weren't
really acquiring at all, he might've just been joking around.
Sounded all business to me, though.)

27904. GTG THIS Saturday - noon

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-18 18:15:35 UTC
From: radi0chik
Topic: 27904 Message: 27904

So after discussing this with Rob, and seeing that Saturday noon is leading in the poll so far, we made the executive decision to go to Fuddruckers in Irving at noon THIS Saturday. I know it's short notice, but it works best with Rob's schedule, and hopefully we can have the biggest turnout.

I've made reservations, but I NEED to know if you're coming, so I can call her back with the number of folks (or at least a better estimate than "a bunch of geeks"). The Fuddruckers is not IN the Irving mall, but it's a storefront right there at the mall. It faces the west bound service road of 183 just west of Belt Line road.

If you've never attended one of these before, feel free to come on out - and bring your scanners. These are always a lot of fun, and everyone gets to meet each other in person.

Send an email to lists@... (so you don't have to reply to the entire reflector) letting me know if you're going to be there. If you wish to bring significant others/kids/mother-in-laws/whoever that's fine too :)

Hope to see everyone there!

27905. Re: [dfwscan] GTG THIS Saturday - noon

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-18 18:22:26 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27904 Message: 27905

Count me in!!
Lunch at FUDDS!

radi0chik wrote:
>
>
> So after discussing this with Rob, and seeing that Saturday noon is
> leading in the poll so far, we made the executive decision to go to
> Fuddruckers in Irving at noon THIS Saturday. I know it's short notice,
> but it works best with Rob's schedule, and hopefully we can have the
> biggest turnout.
>
> I've made reservations, but I NEED to know if you're coming, so I can
> call her back with the number of folks (or at least a better estimate
> than "a bunch of geeks"). The Fuddruckers is not IN the Irving mall,
> but it's a storefront right there at the mall. It faces the west bound
> service road of 183 just west of Belt Line road.
>
> If you've never attended one of these before, feel free to come on out
> - and bring your scanners. These are always a lot of fun, and everyone
> gets to meet each other in person.
>
> Send an email to lists@... <mailto:lists%40rasmussen.cx> (so
> you don't have to reply to the
> entire reflector) letting me know if you're going to be there. If you
> wish to bring significant others/kids/mother-in-laws/whoever that's
> fine too :)
>
> Hope to see everyone there!
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer

Home)972-691-0125
Cell)972-965-6229

27906. Re: GTG THIS Saturday - noon

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-18 20:38:46 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 27904 Message: 27906

Count me in for the get together.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "radi0chik" <lists@...> wrote:
>
> So after discussing this with Rob, and seeing that Saturday noon is
> leading in the poll so far, we made the executive decision to go to
> Fuddruckers in Irving at noon THIS Saturday. I know it's short notice,
> but it works best with Rob's schedule, and hopefully we can have the
> biggest turnout.
>
> I've made reservations, but I NEED to know if you're coming, so I can
> call her back with the number of folks (or at least a better estimate
> than "a bunch of geeks"). The Fuddruckers is not IN the Irving mall,
> but it's a storefront right there at the mall. It faces the west bound
> service road of 183 just west of Belt Line road.
>
> If you've never attended one of these before, feel free to come on out
> - and bring your scanners. These are always a lot of fun, and everyone
> gets to meet each other in person.
>
> Send an email to lists@... (so you don't have to reply to the
> entire reflector) letting me know if you're going to be there. If you
> wish to bring significant others/kids/mother-in-laws/whoever that's
> fine too :)
>
> Hope to see everyone there!
>

27907. directions to GTG

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-18 20:40:37 UTC
From: Mel's lists
Topic: 27907 Message: 27907

For those of you not familiar with the Irving mall, here's the google map:

http://tinyurl.com/2kwklg

Thanks to everyone who has RSVP'd already *hint hint*

-Melissa

27908. Re: GTG THIS Saturday - noon

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-18 23:42:49 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27904 Message: 27908

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "radi0chik" <lists@...> wrote:
>
> So after discussing this with Rob, and seeing that Saturday noon is
> leading in the poll so far, we made the executive decision to go to
> Fuddruckers in Irving at noon THIS Saturday. I know it's short notice,
> but it works best with Rob's schedule, and hopefully we can have the
> biggest turnout.
>
> I've made reservations, but I NEED to know if you're coming, so I can
> call her back with the number of folks (or at least a better estimate
> than "a bunch of geeks"). The Fuddruckers is not IN the Irving mall,
> but it's a storefront right there at the mall. It faces the west bound
> service road of 183 just west of Belt Line road.
>
> If you've never attended one of these before, feel free to come on out
> - and bring your scanners. These are always a lot of fun, and everyone
> gets to meet each other in person.
>
> Send an email to lists@... (so you don't have to reply to the
> entire reflector) letting me know if you're going to be there. If you
> wish to bring significant others/kids/mother-in-laws/whoever that's
> fine too :)
>
> Hope to see everyone there!

Hey count me in as well!

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

27909. Texas DPS Config

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-19 21:50:48 UTC
From: tgray04
Topic: 27909 Message: 27909

Hello all.

Just wondering how ya'll have your DPS configured in your BCD396T.

There is a statewide APCO-25 Channel Plan, but there area also regional groups that consist of FM, NFM and APCO-25 frequencies within the same region. I'm trying to configure these systems but I'm really having difficulty getting my mind around what would be most affective. I live in Fort Worth and travel east often enough to figure this type of config out. I'm not quite sure what would be the most organized way to organize these in systems and groups. I am using the ARC396 software to program the radio.

Any help would be appreciated. Heading back to the RR forums to see if I can read more about the system there. I look forward to your responses.

Ray

27910. RE: [dfwscan] Texas DPS Config

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-20 05:00:35 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27909 Message: 27910

Ray, analog in this area is just about gone with the exception of the tollway. The list below is what I scan and it gives me just about everything from Mineral Wells to Sulpher Springs to Tyler. The fact they use the same frequencies with different NAC's may lead you to see it as more complicated than it is. A 396 doesn't care about NAC. My labels may be a bit confusing since the radio I use only allows 8 characters but B2 and B2i are paired duplex and are the most used in the Kaufman/Rockwall area. AR is a repeater and is used by Tyler and I think BR is used by Waco as well as Sherman. Bottom line is stick these 9 frequencies in there and give them a listen and I suspect you'll hear what you want to hear.

Terry

155.46 blank csq *DPS A1 Narrow P25
155.4675 blank csq *DPS A2 Narrow P25

155.445 blank csq *DPS B1 Narrow P25
155.4525 blank csq *DPS B2 Narrow P25

154.7025 blank csq *DPS B2i Narrow P25

159.21 blank csq *DPS AR Narrow P25
159.2175 blank csq *DPS BR Narrow P25

155.5125 blank csq *DPS CLA Narrow P25

159.0975 blank csq *DPS CLB Narrow P25

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of tgray04
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 4:51 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Texas DPS Config

Hello all.

Just wondering how ya'll have your DPS configured in your BCD396T.

There is a statewide APCO-25 Channel Plan, but there area also regional groups that consist of FM, NFM and APCO-25 frequencies within the same region. I'm trying to configure these systems but I'm really having difficulty getting my mind around what would be most affective. I live in Fort Worth and travel east often enough to figure this type of config out. I'm not quite sure what would be the most organized way to organize these in systems and groups. I am using the ARC396 software to program the radio.

Any help would be appreciated. Heading back to the RR forums to see if
I can read more about the system there. I look forward to your responses.

Ray

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27911. Old Timer fun

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-20 13:37:30 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 27911 Message: 27911

I recently was given an Old scanner that had been given up for dead several years ago. This thing is older than many of you are. It is a RS Patrolman Pro-78. I used to work on these things back in the 70s. When you bought one you got 8 crystal certificates to mail off your freqs to a crystal manufacturer who then could take up to a month to mail your xtals back to you. First thing I did was replace the junky power cord. It has 8 channels with push buttons. It would not receive well and seemed off frequency. Since I do not have a freq gen anymore I decided to try an old trick remembered from my RS repair center days. I removed each crystal and sprayed contact cleaner in the sockets, then installed and removed each crystal several times to remove any corrosion that might be present. Yee Haaww, it worked. That old rascal now receives like a new one and just as good as any of my 5 other Uniden and RS scanners. I have received DeSoto fire and I am 45 miles North of Dallas as the crow flys. Ha, I am shickled titless!

Sarge in Lickskillet, Texas

E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
Database version: 5.09460e
http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27912. Poll results for dfwscan

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-20 15:39:45 UTC
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 4453 Message: 27912

The following dfwscan poll is now closed. Here are the
final results:

POLL QUESTION: Wow, the last poll we did was 5 years ago! Time for a new one.
What day/time is the best for you to have a get together?
Please select all that apply. The last one was added
especially for those of you who work overnights :)
The poll remains open for 3 days, so vote early and often!

CHOICES AND RESULTS
- Sunday evening, 5 votes, 7.04%
- Monday evening, 4 votes, 5.63%
- Tuesday evening, 5 votes, 7.04%
- Sunday midday, 5 votes, 7.04%
- Wednesday evening, 6 votes, 8.45%
- Thursday evening, 6 votes, 8.45%
- Friday evening, 7 votes, 9.86%
- Saturday midday, 18 votes, 25.35%
- Saturday evening, 11 votes, 15.49%
- 3am any day, 4 votes, 5.63%

For more information about this group, please visit
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan

For help with Yahoo! Groups, please visit
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/web/index.html

27913. DFW Satellite receiving?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-20 18:22:35 UTC
From: firetxmi
Topic: 27913 Message: 27913

So, I have been thinking that I need to explore some different aspects of the radio (scanning) hobby. I have been looking around RR and listening to satellites has really peaked my interest.

Does anyone around here have any experience with listening to satellites in the area? What kind of equipment are you using? What tracking webpages/software are you using? Anyone listening to milsats? Any luck with AMSATS?

Any input/tips ya'll have are greatly appreciated!

Thanks,
Firetxmi

27914. Lunch GTG at Fuddruckers, 3/22/2008, 12:00 pm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-21 16:57:22 UTC
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 27914 Message: 27914

Reminder from: dfwscan Yahoo! Group
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/cal

Lunch GTG at Fuddruckers
Saturday March 22, 2008
12:00 pm - 1:00 pm
(The next reminder for this event will be sent in 23 hours, 2 minutes.)
Location: Fuddruckers at Irving Mall

Notes:
South side of the mall.

All Rights Reserved
Copyright ? 2008
Yahoo! Inc.
http://www.yahoo.com

Privacy Policy:
http://privacy.yahoo.com/privacy/us

Terms of Service:
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

27915. Re: DFW Satellite receiving?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-22 00:14:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27913 Message: 27915

firetxmi wrote:

> Anyone listening to milsats?

FWIW - Tried desperately to listen
to these before, but I guess I just
don't have the right setup. But from
everything I've read, most are encrypted
anyway, so really not that much to listen to.

And the stuff that is in the clear, not really
captivating. Unless you speak Spanish and
like listening to fishermen.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27916. DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-22 03:49:35 UTC
From: BOB PERKINS
Topic: 27916 Message: 27916

Does anyone know how far Texas DPS has gone with the move to digital yet? Specifically, I was wondering if it has reached Lubbock or Amarillo?

Thanks,

Bob Perkins

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27917. Re: DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-22 13:38:34 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27916 Message: 27917

BOB PERKINS wrote:

> move to digital yet? I was wondering if it has reached Lubbock or Amarillo?

Looks like it's still analog out there, according to RR.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=71

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27918. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-22 14:15:46 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 27916 Message: 27918

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> BOB PERKINS wrote:
>>move to digital yet? I was wondering if it has reached Lubbock or Amarillo?
>
> Looks like it's still analog out there, according to RR.
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=71
>
Hi y'all.

The updates might have not reached RR yet. One of my RACES Ass't Radio Officers works in the DPS radio shop at Region #1 (Garland) and he just returned from the panhandle two weeks ago after switching that area to digital. There are a few posts along the southern border which have not switched also. The last spot to convert will be the Austin area.

I guess the "Capitol" wanted to beta the rest of the state before they switched. hehehee

--
W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

27919. Re: DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-22 15:13:01 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 27916 Message: 27919

The only DPS station I am aware of that was still analog is the Mineral Wells station (WXR376) on 159.210.
At least they were about a month or so ago.

I don't drive where I could usually hear them much anymore and took 159.210 out of the frequency list of my
ID800 some time ago since most of what I was getting there was P25 which it doesn't decode.

West Texas was one of the first areas to go P25, so Lubbock and Amarillo should both be P25.

One of the other listmembers will probably speak up if there are any areas still using analog.

-b-

27920. Re: DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-22 15:31:53 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27916 Message: 27920

Brent Willcox wrote:

> West Texas was one of the first areas to go P25, so Lubbock and Amarillo
> should both be P25.

That's what I thought too, but it hasn't been reflected for
the individual region (V) district and associated NAC
codes in the RR database...(ahem..) I've never been
out there so I was just taking a WAG.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27921. Lunch GTG at Fuddruckers, 3/22/2008, 12:00 pm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-22 15:57:48 UTC
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 27914 Message: 27921

Reminder from: dfwscan Yahoo! Group
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/cal

Lunch GTG at Fuddruckers
Saturday March 22, 2008
12:00 pm - 1:00 pm
Location: Fuddruckers at Irving Mall

Notes:
South side of the mall.

All Rights Reserved
Copyright ? 2008
Yahoo! Inc.
http://www.yahoo.com

Privacy Policy:
http://privacy.yahoo.com/privacy/us

Terms of Service:
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

27922. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-22 16:41:45 UTC
From: BOB PERKINS
Topic: 27916 Message: 27922

It wasn't digital in Lubbock or Amarillo in December when I was there, but I thought they may have made it by now. Midland and west of there has been digital for a good while. I'll be in Lubbock Wednesday, so will do an update then.

Thanks to all who replied.

Bob
----- Original Message -----
From: Blaine Brooks<mailto:blaine.brooks@...>
To: Brent Willcox<mailto:bwillcox@...>
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 22, 2008 9:31 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: DPS in the Panhandle

Brent Willcox wrote:

> West Texas was one of the first areas to go P25, so Lubbock and Amarillo
> should both be P25.

That's what I thought too, but it hasn't been reflected for
the individual region (V) district and associated NAC
codes in the RR database...(ahem..) I've never been
out there so I was just taking a WAG.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/<http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/>
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27923. Re: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-23 23:44:56 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 27916 Message: 27923

in region 5
Lubbock is going Digital,
Amarillo is still analog.
Childress is digital,
Wichita Falls is digital
Mineral Wells is analog.

in region 4
all stations are digital

I believe all stations in region 1 are digital, but I'm not sure

Region 6,
Waco is still analog,
and Lampassas is analog.
I believe the rest are now digital.

What the radio shop to us last week (Mineral Wells) that we (MW) and Waco will be the last two to convert to digital.

Russ
DPS Communications
PCO1
Mineral Wells

----- Original Message -----
From: BOB PERKINS
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 10:49 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Does anyone know how far Texas DPS has gone with the move to digital yet? Specifically, I was wondering if it has reached Lubbock or Amarillo?

Thanks,

Bob Perkins

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27924. PSR-500 & 600

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-24 21:50:15 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27924 Message: 27924

I just purchased a PSR-500. Mark, you
sold me on one at the meet up.....:)

BTW folks, awesome little scanner....
Sitting in Fudd's at Irving Mall surrounded
by all kinds of metal and various other junk,
this little baby and its stock antenna
picked up Mansfield FD like it was next
door. I was very impressed!

So what software is the best to program?
Win500?

Also, Mark, where did you get you carrying
case. I have a feeling this will become my new
all around base and mobile scanner.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27925. Greg Allemy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-24 21:56:30 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27925 Message: 27925

Please private email me if you're still interested
in a PRO-96. I tried your personal email addy
and it says it doesn't exist
(txscroller@...)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27926. RE: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-25 11:28:03 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27916 Message: 27926

Russ, thanks for the info. I wonder if you could clear up something for me? DPS Waco is communicating with Region 6 units on P25. I hear them on 159.2175, NAC 119, Radio ID 1. I suspect this repeater is somewhere in Ellis county and covers the very north end of Region 6. When you say Waco is analog do you mean the area right about the city because it appears they do have some P25 stuff going.

Thanks

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russ
Sent: Sunday, March 23, 2008 6:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; BOB PERKINS
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

in region 5
Lubbock is going Digital,
Amarillo is still analog.
Childress is digital,
Wichita Falls is digital
Mineral Wells is analog.

in region 4
all stations are digital

I believe all stations in region 1 are digital, but I'm not sure

Region 6,
Waco is still analog,
and Lampassas is analog.
I believe the rest are now digital.

What the radio shop to us last week (Mineral Wells) that we (MW) and Waco will be the last two to convert to digital.

Russ
DPS Communications
PCO1
Mineral Wells

----- Original Message -----
From: BOB PERKINS
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 10:49 PM

Subject: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Does anyone know how far Texas DPS has gone with the move to digital yet?
Specifically, I was wondering if it has reached Lubbock or Amarillo?

Thanks,

Bob Perkins

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27927. Re: PSR-500 & 600

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-25 17:40:25 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 27924 Message: 27927

Blaine,

There are three software packages for programming your PSR500: WIN500, PSREdit500, & ARC500. All of them have a trial period, so that you can see which one you like best before you pull out the plastic. Links to all 3 can be found on the 500's Wiki page <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/PSR-500> on RadioReference.com.

All three, of course, will program and control your scanner. At present, unless I missed an update, only ARC500 will import *.csv files, though that is a 'coming attraction' for both WIN500 & PSREdit; just not in production as yet. If you have WIN96, you could import a *.csv into it, creating a *.P96 file. PSREdit & WIN500 will both import & convert your *.p96 files. You can, of course, cut and paste from the the*.csv into WIN500 or PSREdit500.

WIN500 has a remote monitoring application available, if you wanted to stream the scanner. Haven't tried that, but there was some discussion of that on RadioReference when Don Starr first released it. Originally this was a part of WIN500, but has since been broken out as a separate program. See the ever growing WIN500 thread <http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=85037> in RadioRef's software section if you're interested. (There's also specific threads for PSREdit500 & ARC500, and all three software authors have been responsive to posts in the threads). Also specific to WIN500, for now, is a logging & recording option when controlling the scanner with your pc. You can select which specific talkgroups/conv. frequencies you wish to record out of the activity logged, and specify a max record time per hit so that a stuck mic (or interference) during unattended recording does not fill your hard drive up with useless drivel or noise.

WIN500 & PSREdit will both allow you to access & manipulate the v-scanner files. ARC500 also shows that in the feature listing, but I have not tried that program.

When I purchased my 500 last fall, I downloaded both PSREdit & WIN500 when both were still beta programs. Both do a good job. There were a couple of fine points where I liked one better than the other; I ended up registering both programs, & haven't regretted it. ARC500 was not released until well after I made my decision, and I don't see any reason to consider adding it now.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> I just purchased a PSR-500. Mark, you

> sold me on one at the meet up........:)
>
> BTW folks, awesome little scanner....
> Sitting in Fudd's at Irving Mall surrounded
> by all kinds of metal and various other junk,
> this little baby and its stock antenna
> picked up Mansfield FD like it was next
> door. I was very impressed!
>
> So what software is the best to program?
> Win500?
>
> Also, Mark, where did you get you carrying
> case. I have a feeling this will become my new
> all around base and mobile scanner.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27928. Fire Dispatch Simulcast Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-25 21:37:03 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 27928 Message: 27928

Please pardon my ignorance, but I've been seriously out of the loop here for a couple of years now. I checked RR without any luck.

Are there any DFW area fire agencies still dispatching runs on a conventional channel separate from their trunk system? I know that Arlington, Grand Prairie, and Mansfield all used to dispatch their tones on UHF-T band channels, even after going trunked. Kennedale and others still toned out on low band, even long after moving their operations to higher bands. Is anybody still doing this? Is anybody still on 46.06 in Tarrant County?

By the way, it was great to meet up with everybody last Saturday! What a great turnout! Thanks to everybody who came! I just ordered a couple of new scanners (Uniden, of course), and I'll be back in the game very soon!

Rob

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq

27929. Anyone want to help a newbie??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-25 21:10:42 UTC
From: onelightmind
Topic: 27929 Message: 27929

I purchased an optoelectronics digital scout frequency counter with the reaction tune. I wanted a good scaner so I got an icom IC R20. I will build the reaction tune cable later this week. I am having problems making sense on how to use the frequency counter. Is there anyone here familliar with the setup? What is a good frequency list to program for the area? What do I have to do to get this think trunk tracking? Who can tap the discriminator? New to the hobby and trying to make sense of it all. I probably should have done a bit more research before I jumped in.

Thanks

27930. Re: Fire Dispatch Simulcast Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-25 22:29:35 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27928 Message: 27930

rob.davis@... wrote:

> Are there any DFW area fire agencies still dispatching runs on a conventional channel separate from their trunk system?

Here in my area Rob, Denco still tones out some units on 155.835 (PL 118.8), but personally I'm hearing decreased activity on this frequency in favor of the main dispatch talkgroup on the 800 system.

Flower Mound still simulcasts tone outs on 154.145 (PL 118.8), known as the VHF "bump out" channel, although all activity is either on FMFD 1 or 2 on the 800 radio. Looks as though Lewisville has dismantled the old 154.385 channel. Highland Village was simulcasting fire ops on 156.000 for quite some time. Not sure about their situation now, as I only monitor the 800 system.

Of course, there's always DFR. Lancaster, Desoto, and Cedar Hill are still VHF. So is Seagoville, and Wilmer/Hutchins. Ellis Co. is VHF, so is Rockwall and Hunt. Collin runs rural EMS on 453.075. Johnson Co runs simulcast on 46.10 & 155.295. Parker and Wise still use VHF. There are several Tarrant agencies as well (such as Sansom Park) and others. Most of the stuff you see on RR is good as far as the ops freqs and PL/DCS.

> By the way, it was great to meet up with everybody last Saturday!

Agreed. Nice to see you again. Take care.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27931. RFwiz.com

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-26 00:43:13 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 27931 Message: 27931

I'm on a roll today, with two posts!

I'm wondering if anybody here has any recent experience or knowledge of www.rfwiz.com. They are one of the first to come up in Google searches for scanners and antennas. I see them mentioned quite a bit in Radio Reference forums, but nothing very recent. They have some good prices on their site, but the site seems extremely out of date. All of the radios they have displayed on the site are models discontinued long ago, and many of them are even listed there as no longer available. So I'm wondering WTF their deal is. Are they still in business? I want to order an Austin Spectra antenna, and they have the best price on the net. But I'm not quite ready to risk ninety-bucks in an attempt to save twenty.

Thanks for any and all input!

Rob

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq

27932. RE: [dfwscan] Anyone want to help a newbie??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-26 03:51:03 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27929 Message: 27932

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of onelightmind
Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2008 4:11 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Anyone want to help a newbie??

I purchased an optoelectronics digital scout frequency counter with the reaction tune. I wanted a good scaner so I got an icom IC R20. I will build the reaction tune cable later this week. I am having problems making sense on how to use the frequency counter.

.>>> The frequency counter looks for strong signals in the area. It's less sensitive than scanners like the Uniden 396 since it uses a different method. They are very handy if you want to hear a signal being transmitted in a close proximity. By close I mean typically within sight. This varies greatly depending on the floor noise. The more transmitters in the area the greater the floor noise and since the counter is looking for a signal much higher you have to be closer. That said it's really interesting sometime just to see what pops up around you.

Is there
anyone here familliar with the setup? What is a good frequency list to program for the area?

>>>> www.radioreference.com has a very good database. I would suggest you check there for data.

What do I have to do to get this think trunk
tracking?

>>> sorry to say it will not trunk track. You can enter all the frequencies of the system in the radio and hear everything on the system but unless it's a very small and quiet system you'll get such a mix of stuff you'll not be able to follow a conversion. The good news is many cities like Dallas are still conventional and can be monitored by the radio you have. Considering the price if you can return it I would. A Uniden 396 or PSR 500 will probably be much more useful to you.

Who can tap the discriminator? New to the hobby and trying
to make sense of it all. I probably should have done a bit more research before I jumped in.

Thanks

>>> There's a lot of folks on this list with experience with a variety of radios. Throw out questions as you work your way through and I'm sure you

get some answers.

Terry

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27933. RE: [dfwscan] Re: PSR-500 & 600

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-26 03:57:42 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27924 Message: 27933

Since you seem to be up on this maybe you can answer a question for me! In looking over these packages it appears that it will log systems and freqs entered in. After glancing over the spec I didn't see the ability to search and log. I would like to computer control a search and log function. Any thoughts?

Thanks
Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hiegtx
Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2008 12:40 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PSR-500 & 600

Blaine,

There are three software packages for programming your PSR500: WIN500, PSREdit500, & ARC500. All of them have a trial period, so that you can see which one you like best before you pull out the plastic. Links to all 3 can be found on the 500's Wiki page <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/PSR-500> on RadioReference.com.

All three, of course, will program and control your scanner. At present, unless I missed an update, only ARC500 will import *.csv files, though that is a 'coming attraction' for both WIN500 & PSREdit; just not in production as yet. If you have WIN96, you could import a *.csv into it, creating a *.P96 file. PSREdit & WIN500 will both import & convert your *.p96 files. You can, of course, cut and paste from the the*.csv into WIN500 or PSREdit500.

WIN500 has a remote monitoring application available, if you wanted to stream the scanner. Haven't tried that, but there was some discussion of that on RadioReference when Don Starr first released it. Originally this was a part of WIN500, but has since been broken out as a separate program. See the ever growing WIN500 thread <http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=85037> in RadioRef's software section if you're interested. (There's also specific threads for PSREdit500 & ARC500, and all three software authors have been responsive to posts in the threads). Also specific to WIN500, for now, is a logging & recording option when controlling the scanner with your pc. You can select which specific talkgroups/conv. frequencies you wish to record out of the activity logged, and specify a max record time per hit so that a stuck mic (or interference) during unattended

recording does not fill your hard drive up with useless drivel or noise.

WIN500 & PSREdit will both allow you to access & manipulate the
v-scanner files. ARC500 also shows that in the feature listing, but I
have not tried that program.

When I purchased my 500 last fall, I downloaded both PSREdit & WIN500
when both were still beta programs. Both do a good job. There were a
couple of fine points where I liked one better than the other; I ended
up registering both programs, & haven't regretted it. ARC500 was not
released until well after I made my decision, and I don't see any reason
to consider adding it now.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> I just purchased a PSR-500. Mark, you
> sold me on one at the meet up.....:)
>
> BTW folks, awesome little scanner....
> Sitting in Fudd's at Irving Mall surrounded
> by all kinds of metal and various other junk,
> this little baby and its stock antenna
> picked up Mansfield FD like it was next
> door. I was very impressed!
>
> So what software is the best to program?
> Win500?
>
> Also, Mark, where did you get you carrying
> case. I have a feeling this will become my new
> all around base and mobile scanner.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27934. Re: PSR-500 & 600

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-26 04:40:15 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 27924 Message: 27934

Terry,

In the 'log' mode, you can enter enter a wildcard talkgroup & have the WIN500 both log & add new talkgroup IDs for a trunked system on the fly (new ID's being those that are not entered; those that are entered, but locked out, are ignored by the wildcard).

For conventionals, you might take a peek at this <http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showpost.php?p=749686&postcount=57\2> post from the WIN500 thread on RadioReference. Don S (Don Starr, the software author) indicated that this type feature was added to version 1.44. Add a Signal Sweeper (search) object to a scanlist, with nothing else enabled (I assume he meant in that list). Items found would be logged with frequency and the PL, DCS or NAC code found. Not stored, but at least logged for review. I have not tried this as yet, too many other projects, but sounds good. Haven't seen comments on anything similar in either PSREdit500 or ARC500 (could have overlooked them, but not real likely).

OT: Noticed several new DPD deployment talkgroups while running my 246 in ID search mode the last couple of days. Submitted those to RadioRef for updates.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Since you seem to be up on this maybe you can answer a question for me! In
> looking over these packages it appears that it will log systems and freqs
> entered in. After glancing over the spec I didn't see the ability to search
> and log. I would like to computer control a search and log function. Any
> thoughts?
>
> Thanks
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> hiegtx
> Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2008 12:40 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PSR-500 & 600
>

> Blaine,
>
> There are three software packages for programming your PSR500: WIN500,
> PSREdit500, & ARC500. All of them have a trial period, so that you can
> see which one you like best before you pull out the plastic. Links to
> all 3 can be found on the 500's Wiki page
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/PSR-500> on
> RadioReference.com.
>
> All three, of course, will program and control your scanner. At
present,
> unless I missed an update, only ARC500 will import *.csv files, though
> that is a 'coming attraction' for both WIN500 & PSREdit; just not in
> production as yet. If you have WIN96, you could import a *.csv into
it,
> creating a *.P96 file. PSREdit & WIN500 will both import & convert
your
> *.p96 files. You can, of course, cut and paste from the the*.csv into
> WIN500 or PSREdit500.
>
> WIN500 has a remote monitoring application available, if you wanted to
> stream the scanner. Haven't tried that, but there was some discussion
of
> that on RadioReference when Don Starr first released it. Originally
this
> was a part of WIN500, but has since been broken out as a separate
> program. See the ever growing WIN500 thread
> <http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=85037> in
> RadioRef's software section if you're interested. (There's also
specific
> threads for PSREdit500 & ARC500, and all three software authors have
> been responsive to posts in the threads). Also specific to WIN500, for
> now, is a logging & recording option when controlling the scanner with
> your pc. You can select which specific talkgroups/conv. frequencies
you
> wish to record out of the activity logged, and specify a max record
time
> per hit so that a stuck mic (or interference) during unattended
> recording does not fill your hard drive up with useless drivel or
noise.
>
> WIN500 & PSREdit will both allow you to access & manipulate the
> v-scanner files. ARC500 also shows that in the feature listing, but I
> have not tried that program.
>
> When I purchased my 500 last fall, I downloaded both PSREdit & WIN500
> when both were still beta programs. Both do a good job. There were a
> couple of fine points where I liked one better than the other; I ended
> up registering both programs, & haven't regretted it. ARC500 was not
> released until well after I made my decision, and I don't see any

reason
> to consider adding it now.
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks blaine.brooks@ wrote:
> >
> > I just purchased a PSR-500. Mark, you
> > sold me on one at the meet up.....:)
> >
> > BTW folks, awesome little scanner....
> > Sitting in Fudd's at Irving Mall surrounded
> > by all kinds of metal and various other junk,
> > this little baby and its stock antenna
> > picked up Mansfield FD like it was next
> > door. I was very impressed!
> >
> > So what software is the best to program?
> > Win500?
> >
> > Also, Mark, where did you get you carrying
> > case. I have a feeling this will become my new
> > all around base and mobile scanner.
> >
> > --
> > Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> > The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> > http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> > IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> > Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups
Links
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27935. RE: [dfwscan] Re: PSR-500 & 600

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-26 11:35:08 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27924 Message: 27935

Thanks for the update. I would love to have a PSR 600 just sitting there 24/7 searching.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hiegtx
Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2008 11:40 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PSR-500 & 600

Terry,

In the 'log' mode, you can enter enter a wildcard talkgroup & have the WIN500 both log & add new talkgroup IDs for a trunked system on the fly (new ID's being those that are not entered; those that are entered, but locked out, are ignored by the wildcard).

For conventionals, you might take a peek at this <http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showpost.php?p=749686&postcount=57\2> post from the WIN500 thread on RadioReference. Don S (Don Starr, the software author) indicated that this type feature was added to version 1.44. Add a Signal Sweeper (search) object to a scanlist, with nothing else enabled (I assume he meant in that list). Items found would be logged with frequency and the PL, DCS or NAC code found. Not stored, but at least logged for review. I have not tried this as yet, too many other projects, but sounds good. Haven't seen comments on anything similar in either PSREdit500 or ARC500 (could have overlooked them, but not real likely).

OT: Noticed several new DPD deployment talkgroups while running my 246 in ID search mode the last couple of days. Submitted those to RadioRef for updates.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Since you seem to be up on this maybe you can answer a question for me! In
> looking over these packages it appears that it will log systems and freqs
> entered in. After glancing over the spec I didn't see the ability to search
> and log. I would like to computer control a search and log function. Any
> thoughts?
>
> Thanks

> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> hiegtx
> Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2008 12:40 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PSR-500 & 600
>
> Blaine,
>
> There are three software packages for programming your PSR500: WIN500,
> PSREdit500, & ARC500. All of them have a trial period, so that you can
> see which one you like best before you pull out the plastic. Links to
> all 3 can be found on the 500's Wiki page
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/PSR-500> on
> RadioReference.com.
>
> All three, of course, will program and control your scanner. At
present,
> unless I missed an update, only ARC500 will import *.csv files, though
> that is a 'coming attraction' for both WIN500 & PSREdit; just not in
> production as yet. If you have WIN96, you could import a *.csv into
it,
> creating a *.P96 file. PSREdit & WIN500 will both import & convert
your
> *.p96 files. You can, of course, cut and paste from the the*.csv into
> WIN500 or PSREdit500.
>
> WIN500 has a remote monitoring application available, if you wanted to
> stream the scanner. Haven't tried that, but there was some discussion
of
> that on RadioReference when Don Starr first released it. Originally
this
> was a part of WIN500, but has since been broken out as a separate
> program. See the ever growing WIN500 thread
> <http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=85037> in
> RadioRef's software section if you're interested. (There's also
specific
> threads for PSREdit500 & ARC500, and all three software authors have
> been responsive to posts in the threads). Also specific to WIN500, for
> now, is a logging & recording option when controlling the scanner with
> your pc. You can select which specific talkgroups/conv. frequencies
you
> wish to record out of the activity logged, and specify a max record
time
> per hit so that a stuck mic (or interference) during unattended
> recording does not fill your hard drive up with useless drivel or
noise.

>
> WIN500 & PSREdit will both allow you to access & manipulate the
> v-scanner files. ARC500 also shows that in the feature listing, but I
> have not tried that program.
>
> When I purchased my 500 last fall, I downloaded both PSREdit & WIN500
> when both were still beta programs. Both do a good job. There were a
> couple of fine points where I liked one better than the other; I ended
> up registering both programs, & haven't regretted it. ARC500 was not
> released until well after I made my decision, and I don't see any
reason
> to consider adding it now.
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks blaine.brooks@ wrote:
> >
> > I just purchased a PSR-500. Mark, you
> > sold me on one at the meet up........:)
> >
> > BTW folks, awesome little scanner....
> > Sitting in Fudd's at Irving Mall surrounded
> > by all kinds of metal and various other junk,
> > this little baby and its stock antenna
> > picked up Mansfield FD like it was next
> > door. I was very impressed!
> >
> > So what software is the best to program?
> > Win500?
> >
> > Also, Mark, where did you get you carrying
> > case. I have a feeling this will become my new
> > all around base and mobile scanner.
> >
> > --
> > Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> > The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> > http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> > IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> > Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:

> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27936. Re: PSR 500 & 600

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-26 20:20:02 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27936 Message: 27936

Many thanks to those that responded on
and off-list regarding software, etc.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27937. PRO-96

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-28 18:21:52 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 10276 Message: 27937

For sale:

Radio Shack PRO-96 Scanner
(has DSP upgrade, but version 1.1
firmware - still performs beautifully)

Great sound quality, decodes P25
very well. Excellent for area 800
trunking. Works great with duck
antenna or hooked up to outside
antenna. If you've been holding
back going digital, now's your
chance!

This has been my primary scanner
for the last couple of years. If any of
you remember me streaming audio
from my scanner a while back, this
was the one I was using (so you know
the sound quality). My reason for selling
is I'm upgrading to a GRE radio.

Asking 300 dollars (preferably cash).

If interested, please respond privately
to: blaine.brooks@....

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27938. Dallas Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-29 05:18:10 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 469 Message: 27938

Can someone explain what the Dallas Police "Patrol Deployment" units do? Are they CID units for each Division,or are they street crime units for the Division they are assigned to? Thanks...

Mike in Garland

27939. RE: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-29 16:01:36 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 27916 Message: 27939

DPS Waco and Lampasas are now digital and been for several weeks. I just drove through Waco yesterday and heard them on B1 with repeat on.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russ
Sent: Sunday, March 23, 2008 18:45
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; BOB PERKINS
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

in region 5
Lubbock is going Digital,
Amarillo is still analog.
Childress is digital,
Wichita Falls is digital
Mineral Wells is analog.

in region 4
all stations are digital

I believe all stations in region 1 are digital, but I'm not sure

Region 6,
Waco is still analog,
and Lampassas is analog.
I believe the rest are now digital.

What the radio shop to us last week (Mineral Wells) that we (MW) and Waco will be the last two to convert to digital.

Russ
DPS Communications
PCO1
Mineral Wells

----- Original Message -----
From: BOB PERKINS
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 10:49 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Does anyone know how far Texas DPS has gone with the move to digital yet? Specifically, I was wondering if it has reached Lubbock or Amarillo?

Thanks,

Bob Perkins

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27940. RE: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-29 21:31:07 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27916 Message: 27940

I hear them on 159.2175, NAC 119, Radio ID 1 talking to Ellis Co units. They identified this as Boyce the other day. I know Boyce is a tower location for many thing in Ellis Co. Looks like 13A for the north and B1 for Waco area.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russell Adams
Sent: Saturday, March 29, 2008 11:02 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

DPS Waco and Lampasas are now digital and been for several weeks. I just drove through Waco yesterday and heard them on B1 with repeat on.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russ
Sent: Sunday, March 23, 2008 18:45
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; BOB PERKINS
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

in region 5
Lubbock is going Digital,
Amarillo is still analog.
Childress is digital,
Wichita Falls is digital
Mineral Wells is analog.

in region 4
all stations are digital

I believe all stations in region 1 are digital, but I'm not sure

Region 6,
Waco is still analog,
and Lampassas is analog.
I believe the rest are now digital.

What the radio shop to us last week (Mineral Wells) that we (MW) and Waco will be the last two to convert to digital.

Russ
DPS Communications
PCO1

Mineral Wells

----- Original Message -----
From: BOB PERKINS
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 10:49 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Does anyone know how far Texas DPS has gone with the move to digital yet?
Specifically, I was wondering if it has reached Lubbock or Amarillo?

Thanks,

Bob Perkins

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27941. Re: DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-29 22:00:51 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27916 Message: 27941

Terry wrote:

> I hear them on 159.2175, NAC 119, Radio ID 1 talking to Ellis Co units.
> They identified this as Boyce the other day.

According to the map, Boyce is halfway between
Waxahachie and Ennis. Looks like they might be
piggybacking on the county tower at 879 near
Ruth Rd.

Looks like 13A for the north

I think the splinter is 13B. can't wait to get my
PSR and start putting in some of these NAC's.
Arrives Monday!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27942. Re: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-29 22:57:05 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 27916 Message: 27942

Also Waco's repeater on Chalk Mtn west of Glen Rose went digital several weeks ago. Probably the same time the rest of them did. It is now on 159.2175. According to RR it is 18B NAC 119.

Tom

No Cost - Get a month of Blockbuster Total Access now. Sweet deal for Yahoo! users and friends.
http://tc.deals.yahoo.com/tc/blockbuster/text1.com

27943. Lubbock DPS update

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-30 00:44:33 UTC
From: BOB PERKINS
Topic: 27943 Message: 27943

Just returned from the Lubbock to Amarillo area. DPS in that area is still analog at this time. I scanned all freqs listed in RR, but I only heard activity on 155.46 and 159.21 all of which were analog.

In Lubbock, the only digital activity of interest that I could locate was Texas Tech.

Bob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27944. RE: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-30 12:00:31 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27916 Message: 27944

To correct myself this is the B-13 rpt.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Saturday, March 29, 2008 4:31 PM
To: 'Russell Adams'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

I hear them on 159.2175, NAC 119, Radio ID 1 talking to Ellis Co units. They identified this as Boyce the other day. I know Boyce is a tower location for many thing in Ellis Co. Looks like 13A for the north and B1 for Waco area.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russell Adams
Sent: Saturday, March 29, 2008 11:02 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

DPS Waco and Lampasas are now digital and been for several weeks. I just drove through Waco yesterday and heard them on B1 with repeat on.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russ
Sent: Sunday, March 23, 2008 18:45
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; BOB PERKINS
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

in region 5
Lubbock is going Digital,
Amarillo is still analog.
Childress is digital,
Wichita Falls is digital
Mineral Wells is analog.

in region 4
all stations are digital

I believe all stations in region 1 are digital, but I'm not sure

Region 6,
Waco is still analog,
and Lampassas is analog.
I believe the rest are now digital.

What the radio shop to us last week (Mineral Wells) that we (MW) and Waco
will be the last two to convert to digital.

Russ
DPS Communications
PCO1
Mineral Wells

----- Original Message -----
From: BOB PERKINS
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 10:49 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] DPS in the Panhandle

Does anyone know how far Texas DPS has gone with the move to digital yet?
Specifically, I was wondering if it has reached Lubbock or Amarillo?

Thanks,

Bob Perkins

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

---

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27945. Terrell Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-31 03:41:42 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 27945 Message: 27945

Terrell currently working GSW with two victims. 933 launched one helo. LZ at Terrell airport, 2nd MICU enroute(931).154.755 TPS, 154.355 TFD, ETMC trunked.

27946. Texas Motor Speedway Helicopter Frequencies?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-31 05:16:07 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 27946 Message: 27946

I live right by the texas motor speedway and would love to know the helicopter frequencies that are used for in and out operations. Is there a single air boss frequency or do they use alliance? Anything would be greatly appreciated!!

Thank you!

27947. Re: Texas Motor Speedway Helicopter Frequencies?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-03-31 09:30:33 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27946 Message: 27947

Ben wrote:

> I live right by the texas motor speedway and would love to know the
> helicopter frequencies that are used for in and out operations. Is
> there a single air boss frequency or do they use alliance?

122.85 Speedway Control....this frequency
has been used for the last couple of
years as sort of a "airboss",if you will,
kind of frequency. Not sure if there is
a discrete UHF paired up with it. Probably
not, since the military flyover jets usually
talk with Reg Approach.

122.775 Helicopter/Plane/Media Unicom common -
West Metro

Alliance Tower (135.15/120.825/288.15) will also
be used, as well as NW Regional unicom on 122.9.

The military flyover jets and such will coordinate
on 306.95/118.1, and their own discrete a/a's.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27948. Richardson Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 04:15:21 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27740 Message: 27948

I've been scanning DPD Deployment Divisions tonight and heard radio traffic on ID 9075(Northeast Div.) saying that Richardson PD. is starting a 6 officer Covert Deployment Team,and asked for some training from DPD's Deployment Div.

Mike in Garland

27949. Richardson Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 04:29:46 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27740 Message: 27949

SORRY...In my last post the ID for DPD Northeast Div. on the Dallas Trunk System is (9072),I hit the WRONG key...

Mike in Garland

27950. POI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 04:34:47 UTC
From: Michael DeLong
Topic: 27950 Message: 27950

I've heard the term POI used during accident investigation. What does that mean?

-----Original Message-----
From: rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...>
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2008 11:29 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson Police

SORRY...In my last post the ID for DPD Northeast Div. on the Dallas
Trunk System is (9072),I hit the WRONG key...
Mike in Garland

27951. RE: [dfwscan] POI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 04:42:32 UTC
From: Mark Pitcock
Topic: 27950 Message: 27951

Point of Impact

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael DeLong
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2008 11:35 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] POI

I've heard the term POI used during accident investigation. What does that mean?

-----Original Message-----
From: rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...>
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2008 11:29 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson Police

SORRY...In my last post the ID for DPD Northeast Div. on the Dallas Trunk System is (9072),I hit the WRONG key...
Mike in Garland

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27952. Re: [dfwscan] POI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 04:49:21 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27950 Message: 27952

Point of Impact or Police Officer Involved ??

Michael DeLong wrote:
> I've heard the term POI used during accident investigation. What does that mean?
>
>

27953. Re: [dfwscan] POI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 04:50:05 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27950 Message: 27953

Or Person of Interest ?

Michael DeLong wrote:
> I've heard the term POI used during accident investigation. What does that mean?
>
>

27954. Re: [dfwscan] POI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 05:35:00 UTC
From: Dan Brownfield
Topic: 27950 Message: 27954

As a retired Police officer, albeit from Oklahoma, this used in conjunction with a TA would be Point of Impact.

Dan
----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Boyer
To: DFWSCAN
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2008 11:50 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] POI

Or Person of Interest ?

Michael DeLong wrote:
> I've heard the term POI used during accident investigation. What does that mean?
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27955. Re: [dfwscan] POI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 04:44:56 UTC
From: Dan Brownfield
Topic: 27950 Message: 27955

Point of Impact.

Dan
N0DRB

----- Original Message -----
From: "Michael DeLong" <sharryd@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2008 11:34 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] POI

> I've heard the term POI used during accident investigation. What does that
> mean?
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...>
> Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2008 11:29 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson Police
>
> SORRY...In my last post the ID for DPD Northeast Div. on the Dallas
> Trunk System is (9072),I hit the WRONG key...
> Mike in Garland
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

27956. Rescue Boat on Lake Ray Hubbard

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 15:20:24 UTC
From: Bobbie Beers
Topic: 27956 Message: 27956

866.850 Just heard the Rescue boat on the LRH state that they were heading across the lake to the marina. Winds 35 to 40mph.

Bobbie Beers

27957. Back In The Saddle Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 20:49:06 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 27957 Message: 27957

I finally got a couple of new scanners yesterday. My very first trunking scanners. So now I am in the process of re-learning the hobby that I was once a master of. Jesus H. Christ, this has gotten friggin' complicated! Damn, do I feel old!

I got both a BCD396T and a BCD996T. I'll add more later, but seeing as I am probably not going to be in the U.S. much longer this time, no sense in getting crazy with it yet.

I have to say that I am not happy or impressed with how these devices have "progressed" in the last ten years. It seems that too much attention is being given to little techno-geek tricks, like GPS scanning, and not enough attention to the basic function of the scanner. A few early observations:

* Scan and search speeds: WTF? You can scan hundreds of conventional channels in the time it takes to scan one trunk system. This cannot be that hard to fix!

* Priority? What do you mean you can't have priority channels in trunk systems? Motorola, GE, and Johnson can figure it out, but Uniden can't?

* Are they hiring middle school drop-outs to write these manuals? A good number of the terms in there cannot even be found in the index to be easily looked up. There is no logical progression or intuitiveness to the layout of the manuals.

* Why not hire the same engineer to design both the handheld and mobile scanners, so we don't have to learn two completely different systems to use them? The controls should be the same, like they were before. And why are there different display colours for the mobile than on the handheld? Maybe let the left hand know what the right hand is doing.

* I don't get the whole "smaller is better" school of thought with handheld scanners. The damn things don't have to be small enough to slip in my shirt pocket. Make them big enough that operating them is easier and then maybe you can put better sound in them.

* And definitely give me a function/selector knob that is separate from the volume knob! There is plenty of room for a second knob there. It really pisses me off to end up losing my conversation every time I try to turn my volume up or down.

Of course, I haven't even gotten into trying to program these things yet. Just running off the pre-programmed systems is hard enough. I'm sure I'll have plenty more to complain about soon. But at this point, I am about convinced that the headaches prevented by simply buying Motorola would be worth the money spent.

Don't get me wrong. I'm a serious Uniden man. And there are plenty of things I love about these scanners too. But for crying out loud, let's not keep adding complications simply because you can. If you complicate these things beyond the easy comprehension of the common man, you are alienating a large percentage of your potential customer base. Keep it simple, and make sure it at least does the basic things a scanner should do.

Rob

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq
Texas Cell: 817.905.3179

27958. Re: [dfwscan] Back In The Saddle Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 21:14:06 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27957 Message: 27958

Scan Speed: a common mistake is to leave the default system hold time at 2 seconds. This forces the scanner to continuously rescan a system for 2 seconds before moving to the next system. Set this to 0 and it will scan conventional channels once then move on to the next system. Trunked systems will still hold for about 2 seconds, but this will be dramatically reduced after the upcoming firmware update.

Trunking priority only works a little on some systems even on those scanners that support it. It requires that the TG's you assign as priority are also assigned as priority by the trunking system administrator (and many, many systems don't implement priority at all). You should read the threads of confusion around this feature on the PSR500/PSR600 lists. I'll probably still push for this on the next gen, but it isn't going to work well for most people since the data to make it work isn't included in the infrastructure itself.

Manual: Common complaint...but if you use the d/l version from our web site, you can search by key word in the PDF. You should also read the article reprint included with the scanner and http://www.uniden.com/products/ButIjustwanttolistentothePolice.pdf.

I did design the features and human interface for both scanners...but the 996 came out almost a year after the 396 did, so I was able to incorporate more of what I had learned about the 396's shortcomings into the 996 (like multi-site, Close Call DND, etc).

----- Original Message ----
From: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 3, 2008 3:49:06 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Back In The Saddle Again

I finally got a couple of new scanners yesterday. My very first trunking scanners. So now I am in the process of re-learning the hobby that I was once a master of. Jesus H. Christ, this has gotten friggin' complicated! Damn, do I feel old!

I got both a BCD396T and a BCD996T. I'll add more later, but seeing as I am probably not going to be in the U.S. much longer this time, no sense in getting crazy with it yet.

I have to say that I am not happy or impressed with how these devices have "progressed" in the last ten years. It seems that too much attention is being given to little techno-geek tricks, like GPS scanning, and not enough attention to the basic function of the scanner. A few early observations:

* Scan and search speeds: WTF? You can scan hundreds of conventional channels in the time it takes to scan one trunk system. This cannot be that hard to fix!

* Priority? What do you mean you can't have priority channels in trunk systems? Motorola, GE, and Johnson can figure it out, but Uniden can't?

* Are they hiring middle school drop-outs to write these manuals? A good number of the terms in there cannot even be found in the index to be easily looked up. There is no

logical progression or intuitiveness to the layout of the manuals.

* Why not hire the same engineer to design both the handheld and mobile scanners, so we don't have to learn two completely different systems to use them? The controls should be the same, like they were before. And why are there different display colours for the mobile than on the handheld? Maybe let the left hand know what the right hand is doing.

* I don't get the whole "smaller is better" school of thought with handheld scanners. The damn things don't have to be small enough to slip in my shirt pocket. Make them big enough that operating them is easier and then maybe you can put better sound in them.

* And definitely give me a function/selector knob that is separate from the volume knob! There is plenty of room for a second knob there. It really pisses me off to end up losing my conversation every time I try to turn my volume up or down.

Of course, I haven't even gotten into trying to program these things yet. Just running off the pre-programmed systems is hard enough. I'm sure I'll have plenty more to complain about soon. But at this point, I am about convinced that the headaches prevented by simply buying Motorola would be worth the money spent.

Don't get me wrong. I'm a serious Uniden man. And there are plenty of things I love about these scanners too. But for crying out loud, let's not keep adding complications simply because you can. If you complicate these things beyond the easy comprehension of the common man, you are alienating a large percentage of your potential customer base. Keep it simple, and make sure it at least does the basic things a scanner should do.

Rob

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq
Texas Cell: 817.905.3179

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27959. Re: [dfwscan] Back In The Saddle Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-03 21:15:55 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27957 Message: 27959

I should also have mentioned that there are 7 stages of Dynamic Memory world view conversion (for those familiar with banked scanners) that you should prepare yourself for:

Confusion
Frustration
Anger
The "Aha!" Moment
Understanding
Devotion
Willing Slave

----- Original Message ----
From: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 3, 2008 3:49:06 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Back In The Saddle Again

I finally got a couple of new scanners yesterday. My very first trunking scanners. So now I am in the process of re-learning the hobby that I was once a master of. Jesus H. Christ, this has gotten friggin' complicated! Damn, do I feel old!

I got both a BCD396T and a BCD996T. I'll add more later, but seeing as I am probably not going to be in the U.S. much longer this time, no sense in getting crazy with it yet.

I have to say that I am not happy or impressed with how these devices have "progressed" in the last ten years. It seems that too much attention is being given to little techno-geek tricks, like GPS scanning, and not enough attention to the basic function of the scanner. A few early observations:

* Scan and search speeds: WTF? You can scan hundreds of conventional channels in the time it takes to scan one trunk system. This cannot be that hard to fix!

* Priority? What do you mean you can't have priority channels in trunk systems? Motorola, GE, and Johnson can figure it out, but Uniden can't?

* Are they hiring middle school drop-outs to write these manuals? A good number of the terms in there cannot even be found in the index to be easily looked up. There is no logical progression or intuitiveness to the layout of the manuals.

* Why not hire the same engineer to design both the handheld and mobile scanners, so we don't have to learn two completely different systems to use them? The controls should be the same, like they were before. And why are there different display colours for the mobile than on the handheld? Maybe let the left hand know what the right hand is doing.

* I don't get the whole "smaller is better" school of thought with handheld scanners. The damn things don't have to be small enough to slip in my shirt pocket. Make them big enough that operating them is easier and then maybe you can put better sound in them.

* And definitely give me a function/selector knob that is separate from the volume knob! There is plenty of room for a second knob there. It really pisses me off to end up losing my conversation every time I try to turn my volume up or down.

Of course, I haven't even gotten into trying to program these things yet. Just running off the pre-programmed systems is hard enough. I'm sure I'll have plenty more to complain about soon. But at this point, I am about convinced that the headaches prevented by simply buying Motorola would be worth the money spent.

Don't get me wrong. I'm a serious Uniden man. And there are plenty of things I love about these scanners too. But for crying out loud, let's not keep adding complications simply because you can. If you complicate these things beyond the easy comprehension of the common man, you are alienating a large percentage of your potential customer base. Keep it simple, and make sure it at least does the basic things a scanner should do.

Rob

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq
Texas Cell: 817.905.3179

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27960. New PSR-600 Owner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-04 13:02:37 UTC
From: tangier1401
Topic: 27960 Message: 27960

I am the new owner of a GRE PSR-600 and am very impressed thus far - given the "learning curve" - so to speak. That being said, I am really interested in seeing it's performance on P-25. Can anyone pass on which P-25 frequencies (usually non-encrypted) that I should be able to receive from Flower Mound? Thanks in advance...

Tangier

27961. Re: New PSR-600 Owner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-04 13:30:22 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27960 Message: 27961

tangier1401 wrote:

> I am the new owner of a GRE PSR-600 and am very impressed thus far -
> given the "learning curve" - so to speak. That being said, I am
> really interested in seeing it's performance on P-25. Can anyone pass
> on which P-25 frequencies (usually non-encrypted) that I should be
> able to receive from Flower Mound?

I'm still playing around with my new PSR-500
attempting the "learning curve", so to speak.
This is one heck of a complex radio, but the
features are immense. One of the days soon
I will consider myself an "expert" with my new
toy, but that'll take awhile. Right now, join
as many Yahoo and RR groups on the
subject as you can, and soak up the
information like a sponge. And I HIGHLY
recommend reading the owners manual,
possibly several times. There are also
some "extended" or "extra features" of this
radio to tweak around. A good software
program like PSREdit or Win500
(my preference) are a MUST. Otherwise,
you better have a lot of time on your hands
with those little buttons!

Anyway, try 159.2175 NAC111 Sherman DPS
for starters. That'll give you an idea of how crisp
and clean this radio decodes digital. It's a beauty.
Actually, you don't even have to put in the NAC
code initially. The radio automatically decodes it.
Sweet! For fed try USSS on 164.650 NAC001.

BTW - Nice to have another monitor in Flo Mo!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27962. Re: Back In The Saddle Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-04 13:45:02 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27957 Message: 27962

Paul Opitz wrote:

> I should also have mentioned that there are 7 stages of Dynamic Memory world view conversion (for those familiar with banked scanners) that you should prepare yourself for:
>
> Confusion
> Frustration
> Anger
> The "Aha!" Moment
> Understanding
> Devotion
> Willing Slave

Very well put O-Man!, even though I am a new GRE owner.I quickly progressed through stages 1 through 3 after ahem, "reading the damn manual", which as a normal human male I am inclined NOT TO DO (LOL). However, once reaching the "Aha Moment" stage, things can be quite refreshing!

Thank the gods of computer operated scanning for the ability to transfer files between scanners. I transfered my P96 file to the GRE and viola! While I will certainly tweak along the way, at least that function allows most monitors to start listening right off the bat. Same with the pre-loaded or V-Folder data.While not always up to date data, and least there is a very good possibility you'll hear SOMETHING, which is a hell of a lot better than hearing NOTHING.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27963. Re: Back In The Saddle Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-04 13:56:51 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27957 Message: 27963

rob.davis@... wrote:

> this has gotten friggin' complicated! Damn, do I feel old!

Don't feel bad Rob. I've been doing this
since I was about 15, and believe me
I've seen, owned, and sold my fair share
of scanners along the way.

I agree in many respects it's way too much
of a technology dependent world. But we
might as well hop on board, and enjoy the
ride, even if we're missing a wheel or two. Heck,
I don't even know how to text message!. I
feel it is a ridiculous thing. Just CALL the
person!. People spend more time punching
little keys than they do talking to the person.
Young kids use it all the time as an alternative
to not being able to do phone calls at work.
Pisses me off. They are ALWAYS on their
damn phones. Makes me want to yank them
out of their hands and stomp on them. The kicker
are the people that talk on their cell phones at the
urinal or on the throne. That's absurd.

Anyway, I'm getting WAAY off track. In regards
to scanners ONLY, the way I look at it is that I
may only use half of the total capability of today's
digital scanners for my own individual monitoring
needs, BUT the extra features are there should
I ever need them. Which is nice.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27964. Great link

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-04 15:26:37 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27964 Message: 27964

While doing some frequency database
research for my PSR-500, I came across
this info & link from the state med registration
site. Some of you may already have this link,
but I thought I'd post it anyway as it has a list
of all EMS providers in the DFW metro area.
It's a great list to cross reference to make sure
you're listening to everybody if you enjoy
monitoring FD/EMS agencies.

http://dshsregn.dshs.state.tx.us/SB102/etrsb102.asp?area=E

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27965. Dallas Fire Arson Units/DPD Deployment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-06 06:29:37 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27965 Message: 27965

Dallas Fire Arson Units on DFR Channel 4(453.6750) and DPD Deployment on Dallas Trunk ID(9088) and DPD AIR 1 following arson suspect in and around Oak Cliff.Time 1:29am.

Mike in Garland

27966. Dallas Fire Arson Units/DPD Deployment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-06 06:41:05 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27965 Message: 27966

Dallas Fire Arson(453.6750) and DPD Deployment units(ID-9088) CITY WIDE and DPD Channel 4(460.2750) and AIR 1 in chase of Arson suspects setting fires to cars in Oak Cliff....Actors stopped and in custody at Fordam and Maryland at 1:40am.

Mike in Garland

27967. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire Arson Units/DPD Deployment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-06 06:50:18 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 27965 Message: 27967

Hopefully the moron thats been burning all the cars over there. They should let him burn one more car, and then shove him in it and jam the doors shut.

rescue4nfd wrote:
> Dallas Fire Arson(453.6750) and DPD Deployment units(ID-9088) CITY
> WIDE and DPD Channel 4(460.2750) and AIR 1 in chase of Arson suspects
> setting fires to cars in Oak Cliff....Actors stopped and in custody
> at Fordam and Maryland at 1:40am.
>
>

27968. Dallas Arson Fires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-06 06:55:22 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27968 Message: 27968

DPD Covert/Deployment units have been in area for past few weeks that
I've been scanning the Dallas Trunk System .Tonight the arson suspect
lit the car up while DFR arson units and DPD were watching the area....

Mike in Garland

27969. Dallas Fire Arson Units/DPD Deployment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-06 07:23:35 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27965 Message: 27969

I see the arson (car Fire) was set at 108 Los Cabos.The DFR Arson Units and DPD units followed the actors to this location and watched the actors pour gas on car and set it on fire.I wonder if it was video taped by DPD AIR 1 ?

Mike in Garland

27970. Ranger Opener

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-07 03:38:30 UTC
From: dvd_rsl
Topic: 27970 Message: 27970

The Texas Ranger opener is on Tuesday, 4/8, at 1 PM. I heard today that there will be a flyover by two B1-B's from Dyess AFB. Does anyone have a guess about the frequencies that might be used ? I have a scanner that will receive milair frequencies, but I don't know if there are normally channels that the pilots use to communicate with non-military folks at the ballpark to coordinate the flyover.
Thanks,
David in Richardson

27971. device to mix audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-07 04:53:40 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27971 Message: 27971

Hey yall,
I am looking for a device to mix speaker audio from 4 scanners into 1 speaker.

I found references to a BD enterprises BX1 scan-a-mix but I can't find anything current on it..

Ideas?
--
Jay Urish W5GM
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.6

27972. Re: device to mix audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-07 09:33:29 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27971 Message: 27972

Jay Urish wrote:

> I am looking for a device to mix speaker audio from 4 scanners into 1
> speaker.

http://www.ncsradio.com/m_rx.html

Costly for a mixer, but it has a lot of features, and
reviews have been good.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27973. RE: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-07 05:04:58 UTC
From: Saad Mahaini
Topic: 27971 Message: 27973

Hello Jay,

How much do you want to spend? Check out this unit, it's real nice but expensive.

http://www.ncsradio.com/m_rx.html

They make other units that are also good for mobile

Not sure what other options are there but there are probably others that are not as fancy or as expensive.

73 Saad N5FF

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jay Urish
Sent: Sunday, April 06, 2008 11:54 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Hey yall,
I am looking for a device to mix speaker audio from 4 scanners into 1 speaker.

I found references to a BD enterprises BX1 scan-a-mix but I can't find anything current on it..

Ideas?
--
Jay Urish W5GM
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.6

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27974. RE: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-07 11:36:53 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27971 Message: 27974

Jay, I've heard some good things about this place
http://www.fleetradioproducts.com/

Having the master volume/mute looks pretty cool as well. Whatever you end up with please post your comments. I keep wanting to combine some of the radios in my truck but never get around to it!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jay Urish
Sent: Sunday, April 06, 2008 11:54 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Hey yall,
I am looking for a device to mix speaker audio from 4 scanners into 1 speaker.

I found references to a BD enterprises BX1 scan-a-mix but I can't find anything current on it..

Ideas?
--
Jay Urish W5GM
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.6

27975. Re: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-07 14:24:36 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 27971 Message: 27975

You might also look at audio accessories like:

http://www.harvardbooksellers.com/spproduct/c001/B0009X9H9I.htm

----- Original Message ----
From: Terry <terry@...>
To: Jay Urish <jay@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2008 6:36:53 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Jay, I've heard some good things about this place
http://www.fleetrad ioproducts. com/

Having the master volume/mute looks pretty cool as well. Whatever you end up with please post your comments. I keep wanting to combine some of the radios in my truck but never get around to it!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Jay Urish
Sent: Sunday, April 06, 2008 11:54 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Hey yall,
I am looking for a device to mix speaker audio from 4 scanners into 1 speaker.

I found references to a BD enterprises BX1 scan-a-mix but I can't find anything current on it..

Ideas?
--
Jay Urish W5GM
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.6

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27976. Re: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-07 14:49:42 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27971 Message: 27976

This will be for a mobile install..

Plus it has to be speaker audio.. Thats different from mic or line audio.

Paul Opitz wrote:
>
>
> You might also look at audio accessories like:
>
> http://www.harvardbooksellers.com/spproduct/c001/B0009X9H9I.htm
> <http://www.harvardbooksellers.com/spproduct/c001/B0009X9H9I.htm>
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: Terry <terry@... <mailto:terry%40tvssr.com>>
> To: Jay Urish <jay@... <mailto:jay%40unixwolf.net>>;
> dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, April 7, 2008 6:36:53 AM
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] device to mix audio
>
> Jay, I've heard some good things about this place
> http://www.fleetrad ioproducts. com/
>
> Having the master volume/mute looks pretty cool as well. Whatever you end up
> with please post your comments. I keep wanting to combine some of the
> radios in my truck but never get around to it!
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On
> Behalf Of
> Jay Urish
> Sent: Sunday, April 06, 2008 11:54 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> Subject: [dfwscan] device to mix audio
>
> Hey yall,
> I am looking for a device to mix speaker audio from 4 scanners into 1
> speaker.
>
> I found references to a BD enterprises BX1 scan-a-mix but I can't find
> anything current on it..
>
> Ideas?
> --

> Jay Urish W5GM
> ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
> N5ERS VP/Trustee
>
> Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.6
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer

Home)972-691-0125
Cell)972-965-6229

27977. Re: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-07 18:55:43 UTC
From: William Ellis
Topic: 27971 Message: 27977

http://www.fleetradioproducts.com/

The unit from Fleet Radio Products works great. I have one and have had it for thee years. I bought mine at HamCom for $99.00 three years ago. I think normally they are $129.00. Well worth the money at either price.

BILL/N5TXN

On Mon, Apr 7, 2008 at 9:49 AM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

> This will be for a mobile install..
>
> Plus it has to be speaker audio.. Thats different from mic or line audio.
>
> Paul Opitz wrote:
> >
> >
> > You might also look at audio accessories like:
> >
> > http://www.harvardbooksellers.com/spproduct/c001/B0009X9H9I.htm
> > <http://www.harvardbooksellers.com/spproduct/c001/B0009X9H9I.htm>
> >
> > ----- Original Message ----
> > From: Terry <terry@... <terry%40tvssr.com> <mailto:
> terry%40tvssr.com <terry%2540tvssr.com>>>
> > To: Jay Urish <jay@... <jay%40unixwolf.net> <mailto:
> jay%40unixwolf.net <jay%2540unixwolf.net>>>;
> > dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> <mailto:
> dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%2540yahoogroups.com>>
> > Sent: Monday, April 7, 2008 6:36:53 AM
> > Subject: RE: [dfwscan] device to mix audio
> >
> > Jay, I've heard some good things about this place
> > http://www.fleetrad ioproducts. com/
> >
> > Having the master volume/mute looks pretty cool as well. Whatever you
> end up
> > with please post your comments. I keep wanting to combine some of the
> > radios in my truck but never get around to it!
> >
> > Terry
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On
> > Behalf Of
> > Jay Urish

> > Sent: Sunday, April 06, 2008 11:54 PM
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> > Subject: [dfwscan] device to mix audio
> >
> > Hey yall,
> > I am looking for a device to mix speaker audio from 4 scanners into 1
> > speaker.
> >
> > I found references to a BD enterprises BX1 scan-a-mix but I can't find
> > anything current on it..
> >
> > Ideas?
> > --
> > Jay Urish W5GM
> > ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
> > N5ERS VP/Trustee
> >
> > Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.6
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
>
> --
> Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
>
> Home)972-691-0125
> Cell)972-965-6229
>
>
>

--
William Ellis
weejr56@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27978. Re: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-07 19:12:49 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 27971 Message: 27978

So far they are looking like the best bet..

I am going to call them and see if I can just get the bare board.. Save
$$$..

William Ellis wrote:
>
>
> http://www.fleetradioproducts.com/ <http://www.fleetradioproducts.com/>
>
> The unit from Fleet Radio Products works great. I have one and have had it
> for thee years. I bought mine at HamCom for $99.00 three years ago. I
> think normally they are $129.00. Well worth the money at either price.
>
> BILL/N5TXN
>
> On Mon, Apr 7, 2008 at 9:49 AM, Jay Urish <jay@...
> <mailto:jay%40unixwolf.net>> wrote:
>
> > This will be for a mobile install..
> >
> > Plus it has to be speaker audio.. Thats different from mic or line audio.
> >
> > Paul Opitz wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > You might also look at audio accessories like:
> > >
> > > http://www.harvardbooksellers.com/spproduct/c001/B0009X9H9I.htm
> <http://www.harvardbooksellers.com/spproduct/c001/B0009X9H9I.htm>
> > > <http://www.harvardbooksellers.com/spproduct/c001/B0009X9H9I.htm
> <http://www.harvardbooksellers.com/spproduct/c001/B0009X9H9I.htm>>
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message ----
> > > From: Terry <terry@... <mailto:terry%40tvssr.com>
> <terry%40tvssr.com> <mailto:
> > terry%40tvssr.com <terry%2540tvssr.com>>>
> > > To: Jay Urish <jay@... <mailto:jay%40unixwolf.net>
> <jay%40unixwolf.net> <mailto:
> > jay%40unixwolf.net <jay%2540unixwolf.net>>>;
> > > dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> <mailto:
> > dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%2540yahoogroups.com>>
> > > Sent: Monday, April 7, 2008 6:36:53 AM
> > > Subject: RE: [dfwscan] device to mix audio

> > >
> > > Jay, I've heard some good things about this place
> > > http://www.fleetrad ioproducts. com/
> > >
> > > Having the master volume/mute looks pretty cool as well. Whatever you
> > end up
> > > with please post your comments. I keep wanting to combine some of the
> > > radios in my truck but never get around to it!
> > >
> > > Terry
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On
> > > Behalf Of
> > > Jay Urish
> > > Sent: Sunday, April 06, 2008 11:54 PM
> > > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> > > Subject: [dfwscan] device to mix audio
> > >
> > > Hey yall,
> > > I am looking for a device to mix speaker audio from 4 scanners into 1
> > > speaker.
> > >
> > > I found references to a BD enterprises BX1 scan-a-mix but I can't find
> > > anything current on it..
> > >
> > > Ideas?
> > > --
> > > Jay Urish W5GM
> > > ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
> > > N5ERS VP/Trustee
> > >
> > > Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.6
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> >
> > --
> > Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
> >
> > Home)972-691-0125
> > Cell)972-965-6229
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> William Ellis
> weejr56@... <mailto:weejr56%40gmail.com>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer

Home)972-691-0125
Cell)972-965-6229

27979. B1-Bomber Ranger Home Opener Flyover.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-08 18:43:53 UTC
From: scoop1982us
Topic: 27979 Message: 27979

Would love to see some pictures from this event that went on around 10 till One this Afternoon...

Thanks,Scoop1982us@...

27980. Where is the Ticket Compound?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-08 21:54:40 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 27980 Message: 27980

Sports Radio 1310 the ticket is on secret remote this week. If you are a listener to the ticket, you know what I'm talking about. I'm sure they are hooking up to the "Mother Ship" via a land line but turn on your scanner and see if you can hear them. Maybe they are hooking up via RF. I have their remote frequency at 450.7750. I have heard them on this frequency in the past.

I can't here anything here at Greenville and Forest lane in north Dallas.

I would not advise going and knocking on the door if you happen to find it. Please don't harass them. It would just be fun to find them. Lets just keep it to ourselves.

As you Hams know this could be a fun Fox Hunt.

Jay
KE5FMS

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27981. Re: [dfwscan] Where is the Ticket Compound?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-08 22:51:17 UTC
From: Mel's lists
Topic: 27980 Message: 27981

How about just call up their engineer and ask?

Jay Pinkerton wrote:
>
> Sports Radio 1310 the ticket is on secret remote this week. If you are
> a listener to the ticket, you know what I'm talking about. I'm sure
> they are hooking up to the "Mother Ship" via a land line but turn on
> your scanner and see if you can hear them. Maybe they are hooking up
> via RF. I have their remote frequency at 450.7750. I have heard them
> on this frequency in the past.
>
> I can't here anything here at Greenville and Forest lane in north Dallas.
>
> I would not advise going and knocking on the door if you happen to find
> it. Please don't harass them. It would just be fun to find them. Lets
> just keep it to ourselves.
>
> As you Hams know this could be a fun Fox Hunt.
>
> Jay
> KE5FMS
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27982. GP Standoff?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-08 23:30:50 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 27982 Message: 27982

Anyone have details on a standoff in Grand Prairie? I cought the end of it on my way home and heard them take the house but no details or address. Nothing on the news or web yet.

27983. Re: GP Standoff?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-08 23:42:00 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27982 Message: 27983

Mike Hutchins wrote:

> Anyone have details on a standoff in Grand Prairie?

Heard Fox 4 talking about it on their ENG channel.
Mental patient in a residence. Apparently, no hostages,
weapons, or threats to LE, so Fox was treating it as a
non-issue event as far as coverage, unless it escalated
to something more major, like shots fired.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27984. RE: [dfwscan] Re: GP Standoff?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-08 23:50:21 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 27982 Message: 27984

Didn't hear anything about shots fired but they did gas the house when the
took it.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf
Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2008 6:42 PM
To: m-hutchins@...
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups. com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: GP Standoff?

Mike Hutchins wrote:

> Anyone have details on a standoff in Grand Prairie?

Heard Fox 4 talking about it on their ENG channel.
Mental patient in a residence. Apparently, no hostages,
weapons, or threats to LE, so Fox was treating it as a
non-issue event as far as coverage, unless it escalated
to something more major, like shots fired.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27985. Combine Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-09 00:29:31 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27985 Message: 27985

I heard CareFlite dispatched to a scene in Combine,I heard radio traffic on Dallas County Fire(153.7550) and traffic on DSO.What Freq. is Combine Fire on?I scanned Seagoville Fire and East Texas EMS,but heard no ground contact from CareFlite to Combine Fire.Thanks for any Info..
Mike in Garland

27986. Re: [dfwscan] Where is the Ticket Compound?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-09 02:13:08 UTC
From: William Ellis
Topic: 27980 Message: 27986

I did ask the engineer ... since he is a friend of mine.

The remote is on Verizon FIOS first, ISDN second and as a last resort RF.

http://theticket.com/webcast.asp

BILL/N5TXN

On Tue, Apr 8, 2008 at 5:51 PM, Mel's lists <lists@...> wrote:

> How about just call up their engineer and ask?
>
> Jay Pinkerton wrote:
> >
> > Sports Radio 1310 the ticket is on secret remote this week. If you are
> > a listener to the ticket, you know what I'm talking about. I'm sure
> > they are hooking up to the "Mother Ship" via a land line but turn on
> > your scanner and see if you can hear them. Maybe they are hooking up
> > via RF. I have their remote frequency at 450.7750. I have heard them
> > on this frequency in the past.
> >
> > I can't here anything here at Greenville and Forest lane in north
> Dallas.
> >
> > I would not advise going and knocking on the door if you happen to find
> > it. Please don't harass them. It would just be fun to find them. Lets
> > just keep it to ourselves.
> >
> > As you Hams know this could be a fun Fox Hunt.
> >
> > Jay
> > KE5FMS
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

--
William Ellis
weejr56@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27987. Arlington Police/Fire Dept Radio Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-09 01:24:24 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 27987 Message: 27987

I'd appreciate it if someone would provide me with the frequency range for the Arlington police and fire departments? I worked 13 years for APD in their Information Management Division, but never paid attention to the radio traffic. Any assistance would be appreciated. TIA.

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

27988. RE: [dfwscan] Arlington Police/Fire Dept Radio Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-09 03:58:47 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 27987 Message: 27988

Richard, they are on an 800 Mhz trunking system. Check here for all their info:

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=1

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Richard Whitenight
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2008 8:24 PM
To: DFWScan@...
Subject: [dfwscan] Arlington Police/Fire Dept Radio Frequencies

I'd appreciate it if someone would provide me with the frequency range for the Arlington police and fire departments? I worked 13 years for APD in their Information Management Division, but never paid attention to the radio traffic. Any assistance would be appreciated. TIA.

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

27989. Re: [dfwscan] Combine Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-09 14:57:17 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 27985 Message: 27989

Hey folks, Combine fire is dispatched by Kaufman County SO and is on 155.640. That call was a male patient having an active heart attack. As a side note Combine VFD now has a new website that I am in charge of that is updated on a daily basis at http://combinefire.org

Cody Sheppard
Captain 804
Combine Fire Department

rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...> wrote:
I heard CareFlite dispatched to a scene in Combine,I heard radio traffic on Dallas County Fire(153.7550) and traffic on DSO.What Freq. is Combine Fire on?I scanned Seagoville Fire and East Texas EMS,but heard no ground contact from CareFlite to Combine Fire.Thanks for any Info..
Mike in Garland

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27990. DCARA WX net

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 00:39:07 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27990 Message: 27990

Kudos to the great storm spotters on 146.920.
An amazing network of folks always at the ready.
Quick question though.....why do the hams
identify like this? "This is"......pause, pause, pause,
kerchunk , and then their call sign.....Sort of
like they have Shaun Rabb syndrome....:)

Why do they pause? Why don't they just go ahead
and say their call sign?

I know there is probably some reason for it, but it just
sounds very odd.....

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27991. Re: [dfwscan] DCARA WX net

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 00:57:16 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 27990 Message: 27991

Supposedly it gives people with a bigger emergency that you time to break in. To me it doesn't make any sense and I think it sounds dumb, but that is also how they taught us to ID when I was in AF MARS and that is probably where Denton picked it up.

Tom

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Kudos to the great storm spotters on 146.920.
> An amazing network of folks always at the ready.
> Quick question though.....why do the hams
> identify like this? "This is"......pause, pause, pause,
> kerchunk , and then their call sign.....Sort of
> like they have Shaun Rabb syndrome....:)
>
> Why do they pause? Why don't they just go ahead
> and say their call sign?
>
> I know there is probably some reason for it, but it just
> sounds very odd.....
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

27992. Re: [dfwscan] DCARA WX net

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 01:02:17 UTC
From: Guy Teague
Topic: 27990 Message: 27992

i haven't been on the local repeaters and don't know what technology they're using, but my first thought was they might have an 'auto-id' system set up and the operator was un-keying and generating the auto sequence which keyed the radio or repeater back up.

but i'm probably far off base with that speculation ...

/guy (73 de kg5vt)

On Wed, Apr 9, 2008 at 7:57 PM, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:

> Supposedly it gives people with a bigger emergency that you time to
> break in. To me it doesn't make any sense and I think it sounds dumb,
> but that is also how they taught us to ID when I was in AF MARS and that
> is probably where Denton picked it up.
>
> Tom
>
> Blaine Brooks wrote:
> > Kudos to the great storm spotters on 146.920.
> > An amazing network of folks always at the ready.
> > Quick question though.....why do the hams
> > identify like this? "This is"......pause, pause, pause,
> > kerchunk , and then their call sign.....Sort of
> > like they have Shaun Rabb syndrome....:)
> >
> > Why do they pause? Why don't they just go ahead
> > and say their call sign?
> >
> > I know there is probably some reason for it, but it just
> > sounds very odd.....
> >
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
> http://mail.yahoo.com
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27993. Re: [dfwscan] DCARA WX net

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 01:03:45 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 27990 Message: 27993

Tom ND5Y wrote:

> Supposedly it gives people with a bigger emergency that you time to
> break in.

Thanks to those who responded publicly
and private regarding procedures and such
for the net. I knew there was some reason for it,
but just didn't know what it was.

BTW - Units in Ponder asking to set off warning
sirens, probably Sanger too. Heard on DCFD
Co Net CH 1.

Also, tennis ball sized hail went through
Decatur. Ouch!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

27994. LTR Madness

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 02:04:57 UTC
From: Brian Rodgers
Topic: 27994 Message: 27994

G uys, I've lurked for quite awhile, but rarely ever post. I've just moved to Burleson, and know that they recently changed to the LTR system. With the storms going on today, I thought it might be a good time to get my scanner updated. I went to RR.com, got the frequencies and IDs, pulled up Win97 to plug them in, but I'm obviously missing something. RR.com has four frequencies listed, and I've put those in the bank, and set the Rx Mode to LTR. I've also gone down to the lower right where I have entered the IDs, as well. But, when I upload it to the scanner, it doesn't pick up anything.

Is there something obvious anyone could think of that I'm missing here?

Thanks!

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

27995. Re: [dfwscan] LTR Madness

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 02:32:00 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 27994 Message: 27995

Brian,

Make sure you enter the frequencies into a bank in the proper LCN position. LCN 3 for instance should be put in channel 303, if its bank 3. Several channels in between frequencies will be left blank. LTR systems generally use the rule of 3 or 4, spacing out the frequencies in a 20 channel system so the home channel controllers work better. Then be sure to set each channel to "LT" mode by pressing the MODE button until LT displays. Then be sure to hit PGM and the "T" or trunking button to set the proper bank mode for the bank you have put the frequencies in. If you do all these steps, you should be able to hear the system if you are close enough. The Burleson system is not that strong from what I've been told, if you are not close you may not pick it up.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Rodgers
To: DFWSCAN
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2008 9:04 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] LTR Madness

G uys, I've lurked for quite awhile, but rarely ever post. I've just moved to Burleson, and know that they recently changed to the LTR system. With the storms going on today, I thought it might be a good time to get my scanner updated. I went to RR.com, got the frequencies and IDs, pulled up Win97 to plug them in, but I'm obviously missing something. RR.com has four frequencies listed, and I've put those in the bank, and set the Rx Mode to LTR. I've also gone down to the lower right where I have entered the IDs, as well. But, when I upload it to the scanner, it doesn't pick up anything.

Is there something obvious anyone could think of that I'm missing here?

Thanks!

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27996. LTR SYSTEM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 02:41:26 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 27996 Message: 27996

I count 5 LCN's on the Burleson LTR System:
LCN1-482.9875
LCN2-N/A
LCN3-N/A
LCN4-N/A
LCN5-482.5250
LCN6-N/A
LCN7-N/A
LCN8-N/A
LCN9-482.6750
LCN10-N/A
LCN11-N/A
LCN12-N/A
LCN13-482.6500
LCN14-N/A
LCN15-N/A
LCN16-N/A
LCN17-482.5500

Hope this helps...Mike in Garland

27997. Re: [dfwscan] LTR Madness

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 02:59:58 UTC
From: Brian Rodgers
Topic: 27994 Message: 27997

They all help immensely. Apparently (and who would have guessed this?), these things work MUCH better when you put the correct frequencies in the correct slots.

Thanks to everyone for your help!

----- Original Message ----
From: Mark Colborn <kd5elf@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Brian Rodgers <sebum97@...>
Sent: Wednesday, April 9, 2008 9:32:00 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] LTR Madness

Brian,

Make sure you enter the frequencies into a bank in the proper LCN position. LCN 3 for instance should be put in channel 303, if its bank 3. Several channels in between frequencies will be left blank. LTR systems generally use the rule of 3 or 4, spacing out the frequencies in a 20 channel system so the home channel controllers work better. Then be sure to set each channel to "LT" mode by pressing the MODE button until LT displays. Then be sure to hit PGM and the "T" or trunking button to set the proper bank mode for the bank you have put the frequencies in. If you do all these steps, you should be able to hear the system if you are close enough. The Burleson system is not that strong from what I've been told, if you are not close you may not pick it up.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Rodgers
To: DFWSCAN
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2008 9:04 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] LTR Madness

G uys, I've lurked for quite awhile, but rarely ever post. I've just moved to Burleson, and know that they recently changed to the LTR system. With the storms going on today, I thought it might be a good time to get my scanner updated. I went to RR.com, got the frequencies and IDs, pulled up Win97 to plug them in, but I'm obviously missing something. RR.com has four frequencies listed, and I've put those in the bank, and set the Rx Mode to LTR. I've also gone down to the lower right where I have entered the IDs, as well. But, when I upload it to the scanner, it doesn't pick up anything.

Is there something obvious anyone could think of that I'm missing here?

Thanks!

---------- --------- --------- --------- --------- --
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail. yahoo.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

27998. Re: [dfwscan] DCARA WX net

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 03:08:48 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 27990 Message: 27998

It serves a two-fold purpose.
One, it tries to prevent doubling, that is, when two people key up at the same time.
Some folks pause more and some less, so hopefully, one guy will hear the other and not key up again.

Two, it allows folks with priority traffic an opportunity to jump in.

Saw the radar from that supercell storm that tracked from around Abilene.
Looks like it went right over Decatur and Valley View.
Hope it didn't tear too much stuff up.

Myles near Houston

----- Original Message -----
From: "Blaine Brooks" <blaine.brooks@...>
To: "DFWSCAN" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2008 7:39 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] DCARA WX net

> Kudos to the great storm spotters on 146.920.
> An amazing network of folks always at the ready.
> Quick question though.....why do the hams
> identify like this? "This is"......pause, pause, pause,
> kerchunk , and then their call sign.....Sort of
> like they have Shaun Rabb syndrome....:)
>
> Why do they pause? Why don't they just go ahead
> and say their call sign?
>
> I know there is probably some reason for it, but it just
> sounds very odd.....
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>

27999. Weather Chaser Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 03:49:29 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 27999 Message: 27999

With the next round of storms scheduled to hit the DFW Metroplex around 3-4 AM, I'd like to listen to HAM or Amateur bands that are used by RACE. Would someone provide me with the frequencies used by the storm chaser's?

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

28000. Re: Weather Chaser Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-10 13:19:07 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 27999 Message: 28000

Richard,

I know it's a little late now, as the storms have already moved through,
but try
this link:

http://www.k5kj.net/freqs.htm <http://www.k5kj.net/freqs.htm>

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Richard Whitenight"
<rwhitenight2004@...> wrote:
>
> With the next round of storms scheduled to hit the DFW Metroplex
> around 3-4 AM, I'd like to listen to HAM or Amateur bands that are
> used by RACE. Would someone provide me with the frequencies used by
> the storm chaser's?
>
> --
> Regards,
> Richard Whitenight
> Arlington, Texas
> "God Bless America"
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28001. RE: [dfwscan] Weather Chaser Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 01:53:29 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 27999 Message: 28001

Richard,

Here is the list from the NWS direct.

http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/repeat.html

At least you'll be ready for the next round.

Bob

KE5NFF

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Richard Whitenight
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2008 10:49 PM
To: DFWScan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Weather Chaser Frequencies

With the next round of storms scheduled to hit the DFW Metroplex
around 3-4 AM, I'd like to listen to HAM or Amateur bands that are
used by RACE. Would someone provide me with the frequencies used by
the storm chaser's?

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28002. Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 05:20:59 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28002 Message: 28002

Last night was definitely interesting and made for some good listening. Hope everyone on the list fared well and didn't suffer any significant damage, which after the sun came up this morning, became very apparent. The news was reporting that the NWS only recognized several tornadoes in the area. I would have to question that observation. I'm not a weather expert, but I have surveyed a lot of storm damage from the air over the years. I've seen everything from the cat 5 Jarrell tornado where the asphalt was literally sucked off of the road surfaces and slabs with pipes sticking out were the only parts left of houses, the mile wide Lancaster tornado, the Wylie narrow snaking tornado that destroyed a house on one side of the road and left the neighbor on the other side of the street with no damage at all, straight line wind damage and microburst damage. The damage I saw this morning while flying first over I-35W down near Alvarado where several houses were destroyed, a lumber company destroyed and 18-wheelers overturned on the freeway, Saginaw and north Fort Worth where multiple warehouses and residential homes north of 820 were significantly damaged, then to Valley Ranch area and up into Carrollton where trees were uprooted, three antennas from an AM radio station toppled, and roofs ripped off apartment homes, a church and several warehouses. I would have to say those were all small embedded twisters. I just don't see how that kind of concentrated narrow corridor damage approximately one mile long could be the result of straight line winds. People in Plano were upset that the sirens were not blown. My response to that is get a weather radio and a scanner, stop waiting for the government (or some dude with perfect hair and bad tie in a TV studio) to look out for your safety!!!

Mark

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28003. Denco Talkgroup

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 13:09:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28003 Message: 28003

Unidentified talkgroup on the Denco 800 --16720.
Some possibilities as to what it might be used for,
and asking for any assistance from the list as to
what units may use. The radio traffic I heard this
morning was car to car from "300" units - 302,
304... (NOT Corinth PD). It sounded "constable like",
as the units were discussing a person they had to
serve papers on before 6 this evening. They are
NOT Warrant units, as these units discussed
what the Warrant guys were doing for the day
(separate ops), and said something to the effect
that "I'm glad we don't do that stuff", and "I'm just
8 to 7 Monday through Friday". Any guesses?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28004. Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 13:40:02 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28002 Message: 28004

Mark Colborn wrote:

> I'm not a weather expert, but I have surveyed a lot of storm damage from the air over the years.

I'll jump in here for the sake of discussion, and basically for the heck of it, and say, I sit on the fence with this, Mark. I (like you) have seen my share of wind and storm damage and dodged thunderstorms (from the air) and came dangerously close to a waterspout while driving across one of the bay bridges in Tampa, but I have to say, even though Florida is the lightning capital of the US, good 'ole Texas has some of the damnedest weather I've seen.

It still freaks me how it can be a beautiful blue clear sky day and the friggin' wind be blowing continuously at a 30 MPH gust! Throughout my life I have only been used to that around approaching storm fronts, NOT clear days!

The enormous variety of weather conditions, and topography here in Texas leads me to believe many tornadoes are detected, but many may NOT be. BUT, on the other hand, we have the straight line winds you discussed of, which are dangerous enough. Gale force or hurricane force winds of 80 MPH WILL cause damage, no doubt about it. And Texas is right in the bullseye of cool air off the Rockies meeting Gulf moisture from the south.

I get sort of angry at the folks that say "oh, it was definitely a tornado" when interviewed on TV or radio. Well, from my storm spotter training in order for it to be considered a tornado, a funnel or vortex has to be visually spotted between the cloud base and the earth surface, and said funnel has to actually come into contact with the ground. Unfortunately, many of these can be rain wrapped, as we have a large number of "high precipitation" storms that frequent our area, so "visual" spotting can be iffy sometimes. To me, it's not a tornado unless it's VISUALLY confirmed, because radar can make mistakes. Radar is just a nice tool. It can show hook echoes, but that still doesn't mean it is a CONFIRMED tornado.

>My response to that is get a weather radio and a scanner

Amen brotha'!. On this I completely agree. We as scanner hobbyists have the upper edge of what is going on in our communities. I know personally the storm spotters in Denton County are an excellent group, always at the ready. 146.920

is ALWAYS going in my shack or mobile during bad weather.
Skywarn frequencies in one scanner, public safety in another,
and a programmable SAME weather radio is the way to go.

LOL on the TV weatherman comment....:) I had a co-worker
tell me he was watching one of the TV channels the other night,
and the weatherman said "if you are in XXX you don't need to
be watching or listening to me, you need to be in the lowest
level of your house or a closet." Imagine that - a weatherperson
not wanting anyone to see their goofy mug, plastic hair, and
ridiculous tie!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28005. New Rescue 4 for Richardson FD?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 15:06:41 UTC
From: Jeff Mullowney
Topic: 28005 Message: 28005

This morning (Friday, 11 APR), the Richardson FD dispatcher was having trouble with a stuck mike on Rescue 4, which was on a call. They kept saying it wasn't them. Turns out it was communications maintenance in the city garage working on the radio in the "new" Rescue 4.

Anybody know anything about the details on this? And what about programming two radios in a system with the same ID? I would've thought this couldn't be done (like trying to give a computer file a name that already exists in the folder - you get warned).

Jeff Mullowney

28006. Re: [dfwscan] New Rescue 4 for Richardson FD?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 15:10:32 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28005 Message: 28006

Hi Jeff and the List,

Scroll down to March 20 on my site below, and there's some info on it.
http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/dfwfireapnews.htm

Ben Saladino

>This morning (Friday, 11 APR), the Richardson FD dispatcher was having trouble with a stuck mike on Rescue 4, which was on a call. They kept saying it wasn't them. Turns out it was communications maintenance in the city garage working on the radio in the "new" Rescue 4.

Anybody know anything about the details on this? And what about programming two radios in a system with the same ID? I would've thought this couldn't be done (like trying to give a computer file a name that already exists in the folder - you get warned).

Jeff Mullowney
<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28007. Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 15:42:08 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28002 Message: 28007

Morning all,

This just shows that you can't rely on preliminary information released by the news media. The NWS storm teams didn't complete their observations until late yesterday afternoon, and some are still ongoing. As of last night the number of tornados had increased to five. How can anyone (let alone the media) make a final determination of storm damage when the sun has just come up on a night of devastation. We can all sit around and berate the experts, but I highly doubt most of us have the engineering and damage assessment skills to make those determinations. Lets all wait and let these guys do their work and complete their final report before second-guessing them.

I will definitely agree with Mark on the weather radio comment. While most of us radio nuts are tuned in and stay on top of the action, there are thousands of folks that probably slept right through the event, when they should have been huddled in their bath tubs. We all know these people that don't take the weather seriously, and they are usually the ones you read about in the obit section of the newspaper.

People need to be responsible and use their God-given brains to protect themselves and not rely on a city emergency warning siren system to tell them to "get out of bed, turn on the radio/tv and save your own @ss".

As a former 911 dispatcher, I can almost guarantee you that they are not weather trained, and only a handful have ever been to a Skywarn training session. Dispatchers are the ones that normally physically control the sirens and unless somebody in the field observes a tornado, or the cities are in direct contact with NWS, the sirens will sit happily on their towers and not make a sound.

That's one of the reasons I don't dispatch anymore. Dispatcher brains are being replaced with flawed electronics such as poorly designed CAD systems and Bitchin' Bettys (locution.com), and are overseen by management types that don't want to turn on the sirens because "It might scare the public and cause panic".

I won't mention where, but I have personally been in a dispatch center when a tornado warning was issued by NWS, with rotating supercells, and not a single manager had the cahunas to take responsibility and authorize activation of the sirens. And this was a dispatch center that was responsible for county-wide dispatch of 12 fire agencies. They didn't even get on the radio and announce it to the field units! It was if it never occurred. Or other times it took

10-15 minutes to get activation approval, and the storm was long gone by then. Absolutely absurd.

I sit at home sometimes and just grit my teeth when listening to their comms. It makes me sick because I know some good people that do their best to be good dispatchers, but their hands are so tied they can't even #$*% without somebody elses approval.

The bad part is the general public thinks they have someone looking out for their safety in the middle of the night that will protect them from all dangers. It is sad to say, but they are a misguided bunch.

Wow, I kind of jumped on my soapbox. Sorry for the tirade...back to scanning.

Everybody have a great week and enjoy the sunshine.

Tracey W. Singleton
KC5WOI

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> Last night was definitely interesting and made for some good listening. Hope everyone on the list fared well and didn't suffer any significant damage, which after the sun came up this morning, became very apparent. The news was reporting that the NWS only recognized several tornadoes in the area. I would have to question that observation. I'm not a weather expert, but I have surveyed a lot of storm damage from the air over the years.

28008. Re: [dfwscan] Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 19:32:22 UTC
From: Mel's lists
Topic: 28002 Message: 28008

As Mark alluded to, 1310AM lost 3 of its 4 tower AM array. Their site is
near Belt Line and Luna roads. I took pics yesterday.
www.km5r.org/funstuff/ticket

They're transmitting from their remaining tower - 2.5kw

Mark Colborn wrote:
>
> Last night was definitely interesting and made for some good
> listening. Hope everyone on the list fared well and didn't suffer any
> significant damage, which after the sun came up this morning, became
> very apparent. The news was reporting that the NWS only recognized
> several tornadoes in the area. I would have to question that
> observation. I'm not a weather expert, but I have surveyed a lot of
> storm damage from the air over the years. I've seen everything from
> the cat 5 Jarrell tornado where the asphalt was literally sucked off
> of the road surfaces and slabs with pipes sticking out were the only
> parts left of houses, the mile wide Lancaster tornado, the Wylie
> narrow snaking tornado that destroyed a house on one side of the road
> and left the neighbor on the other side of the street with no damage
> at all, straight line wind damage and microburst damage. The damage I
> saw this morning while flying first over I-35W down near Alvarado
> where several houses were destroyed, a lumber company destroyed and
> 18-wheelers overturned on the freeway, Saginaw and north Fort Worth
> where multiple warehouses and residential homes north of 820 were
> significantly damaged, then to Valley Ranch area and up into
> Carrollton where trees were uprooted, three antennas from an AM radio
> station toppled, and roofs ripped off apartment homes, a church and
> several warehouses. I would have to say those were all small embedded
> twisters. I just don't see how that kind of concentrated narrow
> corridor damage approximately one mile long could be the result of
> straight line winds. People in Plano were upset that the sirens were
> not blown. My response to that is get a weather radio and a scanner,
> stop waiting for the government (or some dude with perfect hair and
> bad tie in a TV studio) to look out for your safety!!!
>
> Mark
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Messages in this topic
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/message/28002;_ylc=X3oDMTM0aGZsbjRoBF9TAzk3MzU5Nz
E0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMyODAwMgRzZWMDZnRyBHNsawN2dHBjBHN0aW1l
AzEyMDc4OTEwODAEdHBjSWQDMjgwMDI->

> (1)
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJvYmJ1NW45BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMyODAwMgRzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNycGx5BHN0aW1lAzEyMDc4OTEwODA-?act=reply&messageNum=28002>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28009. Dallas Major Accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 20:43:57 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28009 Message: 28009

Dallas Fire Rescue is working a major accident with a cement truck on a car and possibly a motorcycle. They Have Battalion 3, Battalion 6, Battalion 9, EMS Supervisor 782, Engine 15, Engine 19, Engine 47, Truck 11, Truck 15, Truck 19, Truck 36, Rescue 15, Rescue 17, Rescue 19, Rescue 43, Rescue 70, Rescue 73, USAR 15, USAR 19 on scene working on extrication

28010. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Major Accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 20:55:02 UTC
From: Mel's lists
Topic: 28009 Message: 28010

The car (Explorer best I can tell) is full of cement from the back side of the truck. Truck appears to have jumped the center median taking out the bike and landing on top of the Explorer. They were using the JAWS of life earlier, so it makes me think whoever is IN the explorer is still alive.

I'm watching it via our chopper's video.

-Melissa

Justin Lentz wrote:
>
> Dallas Fire Rescue is working a major accident with a cement truck on a
> car and possibly a motorcycle. They Have Battalion 3, Battalion 6,
> Battalion 9, EMS Supervisor 782, Engine 15, Engine 19, Engine 47, Truck
> 11, Truck 15, Truck 19, Truck 36, Rescue 15, Rescue 17, Rescue 19,
> Rescue 43, Rescue 70, Rescue 73, USAR 15, USAR 19 on scene working on
> extrication
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28011. Re: Dallas Major Accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 21:13:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28009 Message: 28011

Mel's lists wrote:

> it makes me think whoever is IN the explorer is still
> alive.

Not sure which one (there was the one on
Stemmons at Carpenter, and another nasty at
Skillman and Northwest) but they had at least
one confirmed fatal. And also (not sure which one)
they had two kids in the vehicle, and working to
extricate both.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28012. Re: Dallas Major Accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 21:17:38 UTC
From: Mel's lists
Topic: 28009 Message: 28012

This is the Stemmons and Carpenter one. They DID just get someone alive out of the back seat. Just cut the top off the explorer and covered up the driver seat with black plastic. I don't know the status of the cement truck driver or the biker.

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Mel's lists wrote:
>
>> it makes me think whoever is IN the explorer is still alive.
>
> Not sure which one (there was the one on
> Stemmons at Carpenter, and another nasty at
> Skillman and Northwest) but they had at least
> one confirmed fatal. And also (not sure which one)
> they had two kids in the vehicle, and working to
> extricate both.
>

28013. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Major Accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 21:16:17 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28009 Message: 28013

Driver is dead in the SUV. Probably the reason for the black plastic.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mel's lists
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2008 4:18 PM
To: Blaine Brooks
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Major Accident

This is the Stemmons and Carpenter one. They DID just get someone alive out of the back seat. Just cut the top off the explorer and covered up the driver seat with black plastic. I don't know the status of the cement truck driver or the biker.

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Mel's lists wrote:
>
>> it makes me think whoever is IN the explorer is still alive.
>
> Not sure which one (there was the one on
> Stemmons at Carpenter, and another nasty at
> Skillman and Northwest) but they had at least
> one confirmed fatal. And also (not sure which one)
> they had two kids in the vehicle, and working to
> extricate both.
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28014. Re: Dallas Major Accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 21:19:10 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28009 Message: 28014

Blaine, from what i heard on the radio.
EN37 called for 3 rescues and a batt chief due to a possible fatality.

As far as the kids part i didnt hear anything about that.

I also heard EN55 say they had 2 vehicles on their tops, and this was
the same incident as EN37 was on.

> Not sure which one (there was the one on
> Stemmons at Carpenter, and another nasty at
> Skillman and Northwest) but they had at least
> one confirmed fatal. And also (not sure which one)
> they had two kids in the vehicle, and working to
> extricate both.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>

28015. Wrecks

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 21:29:13 UTC
From: Cecilia Aymond
Topic: 28015 Message: 28015

I heard (2nd hand) on the Northwest Highway wreck...two deaths.
On the 183/I35 wreck the guy on the motorcycle only has a broken leg.

Dale

Patrick Wrigg <pwrigg@...> wrote:
Blaine, from what i heard on the radio.
EN37 called for 3 rescues and a batt chief due to a possible fatality.

As far as the kids part i didnt hear anything about that.

I also heard EN55 say they had 2 vehicles on their tops, and this was
the same incident as EN37 was on.

>
> Not sure which one (there was the one on
> Stemmons at Carpenter, and another nasty at
> Skillman and Northwest) but they had at least
> one confirmed fatal. And also (not sure which one)
> they had two kids in the vehicle, and working to
> extricate both.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28016. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Major Accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 21:28:37 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28009 Message: 28016

Here's the latest from the Stemmons accident:

Fatality - Northbound John Carpenter at Mockingbird

At about 3 PM a cement truck driven by a L/M/? was traveling southbound on John Carpenter, when he swerved to miss another vehicle. This caused the cement truck to strike the center wall and flip into the northbound lanes. The cement truck struck a motorcyclist ridden by a L/M/34. The motorcyclist was transported to an unknown hospital in serious condition. The cement truck then landed on a SUV driven by a L/F/41, killing the driver. The SUV was also occupied by a L/F/6 who was recovered from the vehicle by DFR. The 6 year is in critical condition and was also transported to an unknown hospital. The driver of the cement truck was transported to an unknown hospital in serious condition.

Four injured:

L/M/34- Motorcycle - Serious condition
L/M/? - Cement truck - Serious condition
L/F/6 - SUV - Critical condition
L/F/41 - SUV - Deceased

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2008 4:16 PM
To: 'Mel's lists'; 'Blaine Brooks'
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Major Accident

Driver is dead in the SUV. Probably the reason for the black plastic.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mel's lists
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2008 4:18 PM
To: Blaine Brooks
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Major Accident

This is the Stemmons and Carpenter one. They DID just get someone alive out of the back seat. Just cut the top off the explorer and covered up the driver seat with black plastic. I don't know the status of the cement truck driver or the biker.

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Mel's lists wrote:
>
>> it makes me think whoever is IN the explorer is still alive.
>
> Not sure which one (there was the one on
> Stemmons at Carpenter, and another nasty at
> Skillman and Northwest) but they had at least
> one confirmed fatal. And also (not sure which one)
> they had two kids in the vehicle, and working to
> extricate both.
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

---

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28017. Lewisville Lake bridge construction barges?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 21:42:29 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28017 Message: 28017

Heard this afternoon:

151.625 PL 103.5

Possible construction barges on the lake
working on the new toll bridge.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28018. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 21:47:44 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28002 Message: 28018

Hey, don't be putting the media down! Let's stand you up in front of a camera and force you to blather on and on and I bet you start making up crap too!!! While I try not to watch I couldn't help myself the other day. Here we have a highly trained weather guesser telling everyone the world is coming to an end and to take cover and they the pitch to a reporter in the exact same place that describes standing there looking at the black swirling clouds above him! If it's that dangerous shouldn't he be taking cover? Don't get too discouraged about the 911 stuff, it's just a sign of what's happening everywhere...

Oh and let's see, you want people to be able to take care of themselves. Good luck with that! These are folks that have OnStar so they can figure out how to get into their car that they have just locked their keys in. Or have someone bring them some gas now that they have coasted to a stop in the middle of the freeway. The definition of people taking care of themselves is they have a cell phone. That way they can call 911, get an overworked dispatcher that's handling way to many things and try a describe "their" major problem without having a clue as to where they are. And these folks are going to figure out a WX radio and scanner!!!

I really can't complain too much, it's really good for my business!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tracey W. Singleton
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2008 10:42 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Morning all,

This just shows that you can't rely on preliminary information released by the news media. The NWS storm teams didn't complete their observations until late yesterday afternoon, and some are still ongoing. As of last night the number of tornados had increased to five. How can anyone (let alone the media) make a final determination of storm damage when the sun has just come up on a night of devastation. We can all sit around and berate the experts, but I highly doubt most of us have the engineering and damage assessment skills to make those determinations. Lets all wait and let these guys do their work and complete their final report before second-guessing them.

I will definitely agree with Mark on the weather radio comment. While most of us radio nuts are tuned in and stay on top of the action,

there are thousands of folks that probably slept right through the event, when they should have been huddled in their bath tubs. We all know these people that don't take the weather seriously, and they are usually the ones you read about in the obit section of the newspaper.

People need to be responsible and use their God-given brains to protect themselves and not rely on a city emergency warning siren system to tell them to "get out of bed, turn on the radio/tv and save your own @ss".

As a former 911 dispatcher, I can almost guarantee you that they are not weather trained, and only a handful have ever been to a Skywarn training session. Dispatchers are the ones that normally physically control the sirens and unless somebody in the field observes a tornado, or the cities are in direct contact with NWS, the sirens will sit happily on their towers and not make a sound.

That's one of the reasons I don't dispatch anymore. Dispatcher brains are being replaced with flawed electronics such as poorly designed CAD systems and Bitchin' Bettys (locution.com), and are overseen by management types that don't want to turn on the sirens because "It might scare the public and cause panic".

I won't mention where, but I have personally been in a dispatch center when a tornado warning was issued by NWS, with rotating supercells, and not a single manager had the cahunas to take responsibility and authorize activation of the sirens. And this was a dispatch center that was responsible for county-wide dispatch of 12 fire agencies. They didn't even get on the radio and announce it to the field units! It was if it never occurred. Or other times it took 10-15 minutes to get activation approval, and the storm was long gone by then. Absolutely absurd.

I sit at home sometimes and just grit my teeth when listening to their comms. It makes me sick because I know some good people that do their best to be good dispatchers, but their hands are so tied they can't even #$*% without somebody elses approval.

The bad part is the general public thinks they have someone looking out for their safety in the middle of the night that will protect them from all dangers. It is sad to say, but they are a misguided bunch.

Wow, I kind of jumped on my soapbox. Sorry for the tirade...back to scanning.

Everybody have a great week and enjoy the sunshine.

Tracey W. Singleton
KC5WOI

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>

> Last night was definitely interesting and made for some good listening. Hope everyone on the list fared well and didn't suffer any significant damage, which after the sun came up this morning, became very apparent. The news was reporting that the NWS only recognized several tornadoes in the area. I would have to question that observation. I'm not a weather expert, but I have surveyed a lot of storm damage from the air over the years.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28019. RE: [dfwscan] New Rescue 4 for Richardson FD?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 21:54:33 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28005 Message: 28019

Jeff, no issue at all cloning ID's. You can disallow an ID but if you program two radios with the same ID it all looks the same to the dispatcher, at least on EDACS and older Motorola systems.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino (YGW)
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2008 10:11 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Jeff Mullowney
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] New Rescue 4 for Richardson FD?

Hi Jeff and the List,

Scroll down to March 20 on my site below, and there's some info on it.
http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/dfwfireapnews.htm

Ben Saladino

>This morning (Friday, 11 APR), the Richardson FD dispatcher was having trouble with a stuck mike on Rescue 4, which was on a call. They kept saying it wasn't them. Turns out it was communications maintenance in the city garage working on the radio in the "new" Rescue 4.

Anybody know anything about the details on this? And what about programming two radios in a system with the same ID? I would've thought this couldn't be done (like trying to give a computer file a name that already exists in the folder - you get warned).

Jeff Mullowney
<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28020. Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 22:17:03 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28002 Message: 28020

Ok I couldn't help it, I had to jump on this one, since I did sit all night on the west side of Fort Worth watching it come in and seeing the multiple power flashes west of me (Chapin Rd and 820) like camera flashes.

Right when the winds hit, which was instant, no gradual wind increase, it was BOOM, I was recording about 60-63 mph winds on the anemometer before I had to jump in the truck due to horizontal stinging rain. All I know is when I was in the truck, the winds got stronger shaking my truck back and fourth. But the strongest winds lasted for about less than 5 minutes.

But here is my say on the topic. Wind damage is wind damage. Whether it's a Tornado or straight-line winds, the stronger the winds, the more the damage. And I'm with Mel, I don't know why everyone wants to say "Tornado". From a citizen, to so call not fully trained skywarn spotters, it seems certain people cant wait to say the word "Tornado". I would rather not say that word at all, makes my job easier.

Anyways, there's my 1/2 of cent to give. Looks like we get a break for a while.

Later

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> Last night was definitely interesting and made for some good listening. Hope everyone on the list fared well and didn't suffer any significant damage, which after the sun came up this morning, became very apparent. The news was reporting that the NWS only recognized several tornadoes in the area. I would have to question that observation. I'm not a weather expert, but I have surveyed a lot of storm damage from the air over the years. I've seen everything from the cat 5 Jarrell tornado where the asphalt was literally sucked off of the road surfaces and slabs with pipes sticking out were the only parts left of houses, the mile wide Lancaster tornado, the Wylie narrow snaking tornado that destroyed a house on one side of the road and left the neighbor on the other side of the street with no damage at all, straight line wind damage and microburst damage. The damage I saw this morning while flying first over I-35W down near Alvarado where several houses were destroyed, a lumber company destroyed and 18-wheelers overturned on the freeway, Saginaw and north Fort Worth where multiple warehouses and residential homes north of 820 were significantly damaged, then to Valley Ranch area and up into

Carrollton where trees were uprooted, three antennas from an AM radio station toppled, and roofs ripped off apartment homes, a church and several warehouses. I would have to say those were all small embedded twisters. I just don't see how that kind of concentrated narrow corridor damage approximately one mile long could be the result of straight line winds. People in Plano were upset that the sirens were not blown. My response to that is get a weather radio and a scanner, stop waiting for the government (or some dude with perfect hair and bad tie in a TV studio) to look out for your safety!!!
>
> Mark
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28021. Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 22:31:37 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28002 Message: 28021

KC5RHB wrote:

> spotters, it seems certain people cant wait to say the word "Tornado".

Easy one there. Sounds "cooler" on the TV and radio,
because people associate more with "tornado" than
"straight line winds" or "microbursts". Remember all
the folks that thought when they saw the movie
"Twister" that was what it was really like? Problem is,
by saying "tornado" without CONFIRMATION OF A
FUNNEL IN CONTACT WITH THE GROUND makes
you look (and sound) like an absolute moron to viewers,
listeners, and storm spotters alike, losing your credibility
VERY QUICKLY. What they oughta' do is just throw up
the radar screen on TV, tell the weather person to shut
their piehole, and just rebroadcast SKYWARN audio....;),
the best "pros" in the business....I doubt an ENG truck
is out on an overpass getting pelted with baseball sized
hail, but you can bet somewhere a spotter or chaser is!!
(as the ENG truck is racing to the scene to film the
aftermath, after hearing it on their scanner!)....:)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28022. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 22:33:17 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28002 Message: 28022

Touche' Terry. That was funny. Everybody knows that we don't consider you "the" media. You actually have common sense and use your brain to teach us all the finer points of radio communications. We consider you one of the good guys.

I always laugh when I see some reporter standing in waist high flood waters, just to show us all how deep it is. Duh.....

-----Original Message-----
From: Terry [mailto:terry@...]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2008 16:48
To: 'Tracey W. Singleton'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Hey, don't be putting the media down! Let's stand you up in front of a camera and force you to blather on and on and I bet you start making up crap too!!! While I try not to watch I couldn't help myself the other day. Here we have a highly trained weather guesser telling everyone the world is coming to an end and to take cover and they the pitch to a reporter in the exact same place that describes standing there looking at the black swirling clouds above him! If it's that dangerous shouldn't he be taking cover? Don't get too discouraged about the 911 stuff, it's just a sign of what's happening everywhere...

28023. Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 22:39:22 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28002 Message: 28023

Well, my opinion, the way this system was (very strong squall line) even if a violent rotating column of air, also know as "Tornado", haha, was present on the leading edge of the line, which does and can happen, there was no way to see it or confirm it. It was dark, the line was moving to fast. We had good electrical in the storm, and what I saw out west looked like your typical gust front, shelf cloud appearance. Unless the storm is a classic super cell, and your in that clear slot with a perfect view to see a Tornado drop, other than that is VERY hard to depict whether or not when your spotting to know if certain winds are straight line or rotation, especially at night.

Later

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> KC5RHB wrote:
>
> > spotters, it seems certain people cant wait to say the word "Tornado".
>
> Easy one there. Sounds "cooler" on the TV and radio,
> because people associate more with "tornado" than
> "straight line winds" or "microbursts". Remember all
> the folks that thought when they saw the movie
> "Twister" that was what it was really like? Problem is,
> by saying "tornado" without CONFIRMATION OF A
> FUNNEL IN CONTACT WITH THE GROUND makes
> you look (and sound) like an absolute moron to viewers,
> listeners, and storm spotters alike, losing your credibility
> VERY QUICKLY. What they oughta' do is just throw up
> the radar screen on TV, tell the weather person to shut
> their piehole, and just rebroadcast SKYWARN audio....;),
> the best "pros" in the business....I doubt an ENG truck
> is out on an overpass getting pelted with baseball sized
> hail, but you can bet somewhere a spotter or chaser is!!
> (as the ENG truck is racing to the scene to film the
> aftermath, after hearing it on their scanner!)....:)
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>

28024. Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-11 22:39:22 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28002 Message: 28024

Tracey W. Singleton wrote:

> That was funny. Everybody knows that we don't consider you
> "the" media. You actually have common sense and use your brain to teach us
> all the finer points of radio communications. We consider you one of the
> good guys.

I second that. Most of the time...LOL JK...:)

> I always laugh when I see some reporter standing in waist high flood waters,
> just to show us all how deep it is. Duh.....

My favorite is that goofball Cantore (sp?) on the Weather
Channel that holds on to the pole on the friggin' beach
as 150 MPH hurricane winds are blasting him just to say
"we're here and the winds are definitely strong" ...Well,
no &^%$ Sherlock!. It's a *&^%! hurricane!! ...and you're
the only dumb*** on the beach!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28025. Air Evac 73

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-12 17:45:16 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28025 Message: 28025

I heard Lifestar 3 on (Greenville Tower)158.1750(DCS 125)say Lifestar 3,then correct himself and say Air Evac 73.Has anyone heard if Lifestar has made a name change from Lifestar to their parent company name Air Evac?

Mike in Garland

28026. Re: [dfwscan] Air Evac 73

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-12 17:53:40 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28025 Message: 28026

I heard Lifestar 4 yesterday and Lifestar 5 this morning. I don't think
they changed.

Tom

rescue4nfd wrote:
> I heard Lifestar 3 on (Greenville Tower)158.1750(DCS 125)say Lifestar
> 3,then correct himself and say Air Evac 73.Has anyone heard if
> Lifestar has made a name change from Lifestar to their parent company
> name Air Evac?

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

28027. Air Evac Lifeteam

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-12 18:20:47 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 10746 Message: 28027

I noticed Air Evac Lifeteam has a updated web page,and on this site
it has the map of all the Bases and one I haven't see or heard about
is Lifestar 6 in Fairfield.Anyone have the Freq. they use?

Thanks Mike in Garland

28028. Re: [dfwscan] Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-12 18:26:59 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28002 Message: 28028

Thanks for those who responded. First, I'm not critizing the NWS, just wondering how they make their assessments. I've taken several Skywarn classes and I don't remember them showing damage photos of straight line winds vs tornados, but its been a while since I attended one. Pictures are nice, and you can get a general idea of what happened looking at the damage from ground level, but flying over it gives the viewer the total picture. Even viewing aerial footage from a broadcast quality gyro-stabilized camera doesn't give a person the total picture, it just has to be seen with two eyes. From my understand, some tornadoes can remain relatively invisible until they have had come into ground contact and had enough time to pick up ground debris, and if they are imbedded and nobody sees them it doesn't mean they weren't there. Kind of like the tree falling in the forest, even though nobody is there to hear it fall, it still makes a sound! So my final question to the group is this; can straight line winds concentrate into a narrow corridor say a hundred yards wide and create significant damage for about a mile in one direction leaving a significant debris pattern? Because if straight line winds can't do that then some of the damage I saw Thursday morning was definitely the weather phenomenon that apparently is a dirty word and folks don't like to use and other "non-experts" are criticized for using!

Mark

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28029. Re: [dfwscan] Air Evac Lifeteam

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-12 18:58:02 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 10746 Message: 28029

Its too far away for me to confirm it but they have a base station
licensed for Fairfield
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQHC691

Tom

rescue4nfd wrote:
> I noticed Air Evac Lifeteam has a updated web page,and on this site
> it has the map of all the Bases and one I haven't see or heard about
> is Lifestar 6 in Fairfield.Anyone have the Freq. they use?
>

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

28030. Re: [dfwscan] Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-12 19:55:00 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28002 Message: 28030

To answer your question, YES, they can. Search the NOAA website for damage assessment, the new EF scale, straight line winds, derechio, etc. You will find numerous papers. Also, the damage assessment team includes engineers and other folks who have a wealth of experience which far surpasses the novice.

Greg Higgins

At 01:26 PM 04/12/2008, you wrote:

>Thanks for those who responded. First, I'm not critizing the NWS,
>just wondering how they make their assessments. I've taken several
>Skywarn classes and I don't remember them showing damage photos of
>straight line winds vs tornados, but its been a while since I
>attended one. Pictures are nice, and you can get a general idea of
>what happened looking at the damage from ground level, but flying
>over it gives the viewer the total picture. Even viewing aerial
>footage from a broadcast quality gyro-stabilized camera doesn't give
>a person the total picture, it just has to be seen with two eyes.
> From my understand, some tornadoes can remain relatively invisible
>until they have had come into ground contact and had enough time to
>pick up ground debris, and if they are imbedded and nobody sees them
>it doesn't mean they weren't there. Kind of like the tree falling in
>the forest, even though nobody is there to hear it fall, it still
>makes a sound! So my final question to the group is this; can
>straight line winds concentrate into a narrow corridor say a hundred
>yards wide and create significant damage for about a mile in one
>direction leaving a significant debris pattern? Because if straight
>line winds can't do that then some of the damage I saw Thursday
>morning was definitely the weather phenomenon that apparently is a
>dirty word and folks don't like to use and other "non-experts" are
>criticized for using!
>
>Mark
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28031. BCD39T - Version 2 Firmware - a couple of questions

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-12 23:48:38 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28031 Message: 28031

I was just wondering if anybody else has gone through the process of applying the Version 2 firmware to their BCD39T.

My question is, (to Mr. Opitz in particular) why such a lengthy process to get to the download link for the new firmware? You now have to go through at least a 6 step process, before Uniden will even give you the download link.

And what's the purpose of having to obtain a ESN and check-sum number?

I'm not complaining, mind you. But it seems as if Uniden has gone to great links to protect this latest firmware release, but for what reason??? In the past all you had to do was create an account with Uniden, then go the downloads section, and bang, their was a link for the latest firmware.

Again, this is not a complaint, as the whole process was quite easy to do. Is version 2 such a big deal, that one must jump through hoops to acquire it??? Easy hoops, yes, but still a lengthy process.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

28032. PRO-96 for sale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-13 00:35:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28032 Message: 28032

PRO-96 for sale - $300 (preferably cash).
Owners manual, stock antenna, and Radio
Shack computer data cable included.
Pre-loaded with trunked systems around
the Flower Mound area, and numerous
conventional frequencies, or I can clear
memory - your choice.

Also, PRO-2006 - $50

Volume control numbers have scratched
off with use (4, 5,6, 7, and 8 are missing)

Also needs backlight replacement, as LED
is very dim.

Other than these two needs, performs
beautifully.

Please contact off-list if interested.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28033. Re: BCD39T - Version 2 Firmware - a couple of questions

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-13 01:08:56 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28031 Message: 28033

Subject line should have read 'BCD396T', left off the '6' by mistake.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> I was just wondering if anybody else has gone through the process of
> applying the Version 2 firmware to their BCD39T.
>
> My question is, (to Mr. Opitz in particular) why such a lengthy process
> to get to the download link for the new firmware? You now have to go
> through at least a 6 step process, before Uniden will even give you
> the download link.
>
> And what's the purpose of having to obtain a ESN and check-sum number?
>
> I'm not complaining, mind you. But it seems as if Uniden has gone to
> great links to protect this latest firmware release, but for what
> reason??? In the past all you had to do was create an account with
> Uniden, then go the downloads section, and bang, their was a link
> for the latest firmware.
>
> Again, this is not a complaint, as the whole process was quite easy
> to do. Is version 2 such a big deal, that one must jump through hoops
> to acquire it??? Easy hoops, yes, but still a lengthy process.
>
> Chris Easley
> Cleburne, TX
>

28034. Re: BCD39T - Version 2 Firmware - a couple of questions

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-13 02:12:20 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 28031 Message: 28034

Chris,
I updated my 396 last night. No problems with the update process (and unlike certain nay-sayers on other forums, I did not expect any). Probably will do the 996 in the next day or two. It does seem to scan through the trunked systems at a faster clip.

As to why the many hoops to jump through to get the update, part of the answer was in the initial announcement posted <http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showpost.php?p=739820&postcount=1> on RadioReference. (Paul has also posted over there that he will be out of the country, on a trip to Hong Kong & Japan for the next 5 or 6 days.) Uniden wanted to establish the ESN validation process for 'major updates', so that, in the future, they could possibly offer updates, for a fee, that would add additional features not originally present in the scanners, particularly the BCD396T.

Despite the wild speculation that ensued in the initial announcement thread, there was never a mention that ALL updates would be fee based, only those that added features not originally designed. This V2 update is a 'bug fix', so that the scanner will operate correctly on the systems that it is supposed to monitor. By my read (and I could always be proven wrong, I suppose), that type update would not be fee based. An update for re-banding, presumably, would also be free. Re-banding support was promised from the outset, & for Uniden to renege & charge for that would be a public relations black eye in the scanner community that no amount of makeup could cover.

Seems like there was a case involving Apple a few years back. They were providing updates, at no charge, that added features or functions to certain Ipods. That put them in conflict with certain accounting practices (or was it SEC regs?), that said that this 'added value' to units already sold, & that had to be accounted for by either a charge against earnings, or the updates could not be free.

For those that haven't looked, there's yet another speculation thread <http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=102795> on RadioReference, with what sort of fee-based updates people would like, & what they'd be worth. For the 396, Close Call Do Not Disturb, Temporary Lockout, and Multi-site trunking are among the contenders. NAC decoding has also been mentioned, though I suspect that's beyond the unit's hardware capability, regardless of firmware (would be nice; but that's why I bought a PSR500).
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> Subject line should have read 'BCD396T', left off the '6' by mistake.
>

> Chris Easley
> Cleburne, TX
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" ceasley76@ wrote:
> >
> > I was just wondering if anybody else has gone through the process of
> > applying the Version 2 firmware to their BCD39T.
> >
> > My question is, (to Mr. Opitz in particular) why such a lengthy
process
> > to get to the download link for the new firmware? You now have to go
> > through at least a 6 step process, before Uniden will even give you
> > the download link.
> >
> > And what's the purpose of having to obtain a ESN and check-sum
number?
> >
> > I'm not complaining, mind you. But it seems as if Uniden has gone to
> > great links to protect this latest firmware release, but for what
> > reason??? In the past all you had to do was create an account with
> > Uniden, then go the downloads section, and bang, their was a link
> > for the latest firmware.
> >
> > Again, this is not a complaint, as the whole process was quite easy
> > to do. Is version 2 such a big deal, that one must jump through
hoops
> > to acquire it??? Easy hoops, yes, but still a lengthy process.
> >
> > Chris Easley
> > Cleburne, TX
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28035. Re: BCD39T - Version 2 Firmware - a couple of questions

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-13 02:33:19 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28031 Message: 28035

hiegtx wrote:

> (would be nice; but that's why I bought a PSR500).

Same here. And all firmware, DSP's
and other support has been free from
GRE.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28036. LifeStar 4 and PHI Models

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-13 20:54:25 UTC
From: ldlorance
Topic: 28036 Message: 28036

I know this is off the subject. Here are photos of the finished models of LifeStar 4 Bell 407 and the PHI Air Medical Eurocopter EC135 I just received:

http://www.modelfiretrucks.net/articles/lifestar4/

Older models:

CareFlite 1 out of Frisco:

http://www.modelfiretrucks.net/careflitemodel/

Dallas Police with wrong graphics which was my fault:

http://www.fireengines.net/articles/dallashelo/index.html

Travis County Starflight 1:

http://www.fireengines.net/articles/griffin/hanger.jpg

28037. Re: [dfwscan] Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-14 01:47:38 UTC
From: David McAnally
Topic: 28002 Message: 28037

Summary of April 9-10 Severe Weather Outbreak
http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/April0910/april0910.htm

Preliminary Findings from the Collin County Tornado
http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/productview.php?pil=FWDPNSFWD&version=2

The online tornado FAQ
http://www.spc.noaa.gov/faq/tornado/

About Derechos
http://www.spc.noaa.gov/misc/AbtDerechos/derechofacts.htm

http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/

--
Regards,
David McAnally, WD5M

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28038. CareFlite Scene Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-14 02:19:10 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28038 Message: 28038

Garland Fire had a 8 year old child on bike struck by a car at First Street&Kingsley Rd..Garland EMS 1 called for CareFlite at 8:40pm.CareFlite 3 toned out at 8:41pm CareFlite 3 on ground at 8:48pm,CareFlite 3 lifted for Baylor Dallas at 8:54pm,CareFlite 3 on pad at Baylor 9:00pm.I call that GREAT EMS service.

Mike in Garland

28039. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite Scene Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-14 15:58:25 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28038 Message: 28039

That's great! However, the question begs to be asked. What was an 8 y/o doing out on her bike in the street at 2040!!!???

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2008 9:19 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] CareFlite Scene Garland

Garland Fire had a 8 year old child on bike struck by a car at First Street&Kingsley Rd..Garland EMS 1 called for CareFlite at 8:40pm.CareFlite 3 toned out at 8:41pm CareFlite 3 on ground at 8:48pm,CareFlite 3 lifted for Baylor Dallas at 8:54pm,CareFlite 3 on pad at Baylor 9:00pm.I call that GREAT EMS service.

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28040. Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-15 03:54:38 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28002 Message: 28040

This is my final comment on this. When I said wind damage is wind damage, I was leaning to the fact that most of the general (un-trained) public doesn't know the different types of winds that can come from a thunderstorm. When we have thunderstorms with very strong winds that do moderate to severe damage, the general public automatically "assumes" it was a tornado even when they didn't see one. And in some cases they do turn out to be EF0's. But in some cases, like last week, straight-line winds can do just as much damage as a low end EF0 or EF1. I just hope thatâ☐☐s it for the season, even though we still have May and parts of June to get through.

Later

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Well, my opinion, the way this system was (very strong squall line)
> even if a violent rotating column of air, also know as "Tornado",
> haha, was present on the leading edge of the line, which does and can
> happen, there was no way to see it or confirm it. It was dark, the
> line was moving to fast. We had good electrical in the storm, and
> what I saw out west looked like your typical gust front, shelf cloud
> appearance. Unless the storm is a classic super cell, and your in
> that clear slot with a perfect view to see a Tornado drop, other than
> that is VERY hard to depict whether or not when your spotting to know
> if certain winds are straight line or rotation, especially at night.
>
> Later
>
> RHB
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@> wrote:
> >
> > KC5RHB wrote:
> >
> > > spotters, it seems certain people cant wait to say the word
"Tornado".
> >
> > Easy one there. Sounds "cooler" on the TV and radio,
> > because people associate more with "tornado" than
> > "straight line winds" or "microbursts". Remember all
> > the folks that thought when they saw the movie
> > "Twister" that was what it was really like? Problem is,

> > by saying "tornado" without CONFIRMATION OF A
> > FUNNEL IN CONTACT WITH THE GROUND makes
> > you look (and sound) like an absolute moron to viewers,
> > listeners, and storm spotters alike, losing your credibility
> > VERY QUICKLY. What they oughta' do is just throw up
> > the radar screen on TV, tell the weather person to shut
> > their piehole, and just rebroadcast SKYWARN audio....;),
> > the best "pros" in the business....I doubt an ENG truck
> > is out on an overpass getting pelted with baseball sized
> > hail, but you can bet somewhere a spotter or chaser is!!
> > (as the ENG truck is racing to the scene to film the
> > aftermath, after hearing it on their scanner!)....:)
> >
> > --
> > Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> > The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> > http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> > IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> > Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
> >
>

28041. Re: Weather Damage Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-15 06:34:32 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 28002 Message: 28041

After doing more research on the subject, I have concluded that the damaged areas I observed from the air were in-fact caused by straight line winds. The debris patterns were straight in line with the direction of the damage path, not strewn about in all directions. What I find interesting is that the paths were so narrow, which I suspect is caused by the winds tendency to follow the path of least resistance such as flowing water. However, during my searchs I was unable to find any articles or research on the matter specifically talking about the width of most straight line wind damage areas. If anyone can point me in that direction I would be most appreciative. No doubt we will see more storms like this before the humid summer hot box sets in on us!

Mark

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> This is my final comment on this. When I said wind damage is wind
> damage, I was leaning to the fact that most of the general
> (un-trained) public doesn't know the different types of winds that
can
> come from a thunderstorm. When we have thunderstorms with very
strong
> winds that do moderate to severe damage, the general public
> automatically "assumes" it was a tornado even when they didn't see
> one. And in some cases they do turn out to be EF0's. But in some
> cases, like last week, straight-line winds can do just as much
damage
> as a low end EF0 or EF1. I just hope thatâ□□s it for the season,
even
> though we still have May and parts of June to get through.
>
> Later
>
> RHB
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> >
> > Well, my opinion, the way this system was (very strong squall
line)
> > even if a violent rotating column of air, also know as "Tornado",
> > haha, was present on the leading edge of the line, which does
and can
> > happen, there was no way to see it or confirm it. It was dark,
the

> > line was moving to fast. We had good electrical in the storm,
and
> > what I saw out west looked like your typical gust front, shelf
cloud
> > appearance. Unless the storm is a classic super cell, and your
in
> > that clear slot with a perfect view to see a Tornado drop, other
than
> > that is VERY hard to depict whether or not when your spotting to
know
> > if certain winds are straight line or rotation, especially at
night.
> >
> > Later
> >
> > RHB
> >
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@>
wrote:
> > >
> > > KC5RHB wrote:
> > >
> > > > spotters, it seems certain people cant wait to say the word
> "Tornado".
> > >
> > > Easy one there. Sounds "cooler" on the TV and radio,
> > > because people associate more with "tornado" than
> > > "straight line winds" or "microbursts". Remember all
> > > the folks that thought when they saw the movie
> > > "Twister" that was what it was really like? Problem is,
> > > by saying "tornado" without CONFIRMATION OF A
> > > FUNNEL IN CONTACT WITH THE GROUND makes
> > > you look (and sound) like an absolute moron to viewers,
> > > listeners, and storm spotters alike, losing your credibility
> > > VERY QUICKLY. What they oughta' do is just throw up
> > > the radar screen on TV, tell the weather person to shut
> > > their piehole, and just rebroadcast SKYWARN audio....;),
> > > the best "pros" in the business....I doubt an ENG truck
> > > is out on an overpass getting pelted with baseball sized
> > > hail, but you can bet somewhere a spotter or chaser is!!
> > > (as the ENG truck is racing to the scene to film the
> > > aftermath, after hearing it on their scanner!)....:)
> > >
> > > --
> > > Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> > > The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> > > http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> > > IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /

> > > Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
> > >
> >
>

28042. Rebanding question.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-15 23:55:55 UTC
From: tajens2001
Topic: 28042 Message: 28042

I've downloaded the latest firmware updates for my bcd396 and kept up with updates for the br330 and bc296d. Is this all that is needed to reprogram the scanners for rebanding or will there be future updates to make this possible? I'd like to start putting the new frequencies in along with the old ones so the "Big Day" doesn't come as a surprise but I don't want to be wasting my time.

Thanks
TAJ

28043. RE: [dfwscan] Rebanding question.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-16 00:03:21 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28042 Message: 28043

Rebanding will be a separate update.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of tajens2001
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2008 6:56 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Rebanding question.

I've downloaded the latest firmware updates for my bcd396 and kept up with updates for the br330 and bc296d. Is this all that is needed to reprogram the scanners for rebanding or will there be future updates to make this possible? I'd like to start putting the new frequencies in along with the old ones so the "Big Day" doesn't come as a surprise but I don't want to be wasting my time.

Thanks
TAJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28044. Irving EOC1 554

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 01:45:14 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28044 Message: 28044

I'm hearing a new talkgroup on Irving, decimal 554. FD Dispatched called "EOC1".

Ben Saladino, KC5IRj

28045. Re: [dfwscan] Irving EOC1 554

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 01:52:03 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28044 Message: 28045

Emergency Operations Center ??

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> I'm hearing a new talkgroup on Irving, decimal 554. FD Dispatched called
> "EOC1".
>
>

28046. Re: [dfwscan] Irving EOC1 554

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 02:30:23 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28044 Message: 28046

Speaking of which, Tarrant County RACES freq (146.940) was active without the tone code this evening. Anyone know why? Which EOC lost their roof?

Mark
KD5ELF

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Boyer
To: Ben Saladino(YG)
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 17, 2008 8:52 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Irving EOC1 554

Emergency Operations Center ??

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> I'm hearing a new talkgroup on Irving, decimal 554. FD Dispatched called
> "EOC1".
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28047. RE: [dfwscan] Irving EOC1 554

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 02:33:46 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28044 Message: 28047

Which reminds me that I heard 555 the other day, and I believe it was a PD/FD patch.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28048. Re: Irving EOC1 554

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 14:49:48 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28044 Message: 28048

Mark Colborn wrote:

> Speaking of which, Tarrant County RACES freq (146.940) was active without the tone code this evening. Anyone know why? Which EOC lost their roof?

I must have been getting some bad interference
on .94 as I didn't hear a peep from Tarrant
RACES or Wise Co throughout the whole event.
Denton Co was blasting in though on .92.

I'm not a ham, but I have heard that "some" RACES
nets turn off the CTCSS during wx emergencies
to allow ALL spotters to access, in case they have
forgot the tone. Not sure if this is true or not.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28049. Digital in 3 1/2 years?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 15:33:29 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28049 Message: 28049

Anybody heard anything about all radio comms going digital in 2012? I heard it yesterday and was thinking about picking up another Pro-97 but if that is the case it may not be worth spending the money.

28050. RE: [dfwscan] Digital in 3 1/2 years?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 15:36:49 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28049 Message: 28050

Nope.

The FCC requires narrowband spacing (2.5KHz) by 2013. The date for ultra-narrow is currently set at 2018, but will probably move/not happen. 2.5Khz dev can easily be accomplished in analog.

TSIEC has mandated P25 for the state interop channels by 1/1/2015.

However, there will be a slow conversion to digital over the next few years. You'll probably see several of the trunking systems go once we get past the rebanding fiasco. At this stage, I wouldn't buy an analog-only scanner.

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob
Sent: Friday, April 18, 2008 10:33 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Digital in 3 1/2 years?

Anybody heard anything about all radio comms going digital in 2012? I heard it yesterday and was thinking about picking up another Pro-97 but if that is the case it may not be worth spending the money.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28051. Industrial Repeater Freq Offset and Tone Info

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 15:46:52 UTC
From: tgray04
Topic: 28051 Message: 28051

Is it possible to look up an industrial / business repeater frequency and determine the input frequency or offset and tone requirements? I checked out the FCC Callsign Information interfaced through RadioReference, and the ULS Licensing info found on the FCC website and didn't run across that particular info.

Thanks,

Ray

Hope to see ya'll at Belton!

28052. Re: Digital in 3 1/2 years?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 16:29:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28049 Message: 28052

tvsjr wrote:

> However, there will be a slow conversion to digital over the next few years.
> You'll probably see several of the trunking systems go once we get past the
> rebanding fiasco. At this stage, I wouldn't buy an analog-only scanner.

To add to T Jr's nice explanation, get prepared,
save your dough, or purchase a brand new
GRE or Uniden scanner, or if you know
how to program and operate, and money is
no object, get a real Moto Astro unit.. By
having one (or all of these), you'll be far
ahead of the rest of the gang as public
safety catches up to the required mandates
from the FCC. The way government in
general moves like a slug, I think you'll
be quite safe.

Personally, I'd recommend the GRE....this
is one hell of a scanner! I've only started to
scrape the surface of its capabilities, but what
I'm seeing and hearing (along with Win500) is
VERY impressive!

Certainly not to put down the Unidens and O-Man,
as these are fantastic radios as well. But each unit
caters to different users, wants, and needs.
Determine first what you want to listen to, and
then your needs (such as NAC, digital, alert
capabilities, sensitivity, etc) and go from there.
I went with the GRE because of the NAC decode
(since I listen to a lot of federal stuff) and the
sensitivity of the unit when I heard Mark Colborn's
at one of our scanner meetups. I just "liked" it better
than the Unidens.

Just like I've stated in previous posts, while I
personally may only use 20-30% of the total
capability of my GRE, the added 70-80% extra
is very nice to have should I want to expand my
listening options.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28053. Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 17:27:39 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28053 Message: 28053

The Feds can scream and yell digital/reband all they want. The Counties and smaller cities will then scream and yell where do we get the money for bases and mobiles. Some are still low band and many, many are hi band.

The Feds will have to be goaded for grants and how happy will they be to do that? Money can be added to the back door of other municipal grants, but even that will become less likely as the economy tightens up more and more.

Prepare yourselves for the coming hard times.

Sarge

E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
Database version: 5.09650e
http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28054. Re: [dfwscan] Industrial Repeater Freq Offset and Tone Info

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 17:40:45 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28051 Message: 28054

The FCC doesn't care about tones. They aren't part of the license and aren't included in the FCC database. It should be easy to find repeater inputs. They will have only mobile and fixed stations (MO and FX) and no repeaters (FB2).

The only standard offsets are on UHF, 800 and 900 MHz. If it is a VHF system with multiple frequencies with the same calsign a lot of times you can't tell by looking at the FCC data which frequencies are paired.

Tom ND5Y

tgray04 wrote:
> Is it possible to look up an industrial / business repeater frequency
> and determine the input frequency or offset and tone requirements? I
> checked out the FCC Callsign Information interfaced through
> RadioReference, and the ULS Licensing info found on the FCC website
> and didn't run across that particular info.
>
>

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

28055. Fort Worth Main Street Arts Festival

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 18:37:06 UTC
From: Bob Stewart
Topic: 28055 Message: 28055

Are this year's Fort Worth Main Street Arts Festival radios
again in the 460 to 465 range on low power?

In some past years they used repeaters
which I could hear from the house.

In other years they were on low power only.

I can't find them from the house.

Bob

28056. Re: [dfwscan] Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 18:43:09 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 28053 Message: 28056

Sarge wrote:
> The Feds can scream and yell digital/reband all they want. The Counties
> and smaller cities will then scream and yell where do we get the money for
> bases and mobiles. Some are still low band and many, many are hi band.

Wellllll, not all true. The unrebandable radios will be replaced by Motorola during rebanding, at NEXTEL's expense. Part of my job in the city is the logistics to this end. This is all part of the NEXTEL movement, facilitated by a Squires and Sanders Law Firm. The law firm tells me (in the case for Rowlett) what is to be done, and I verify that it is happening,,,,however slow. Right now, we are awaiting the settlement of some legal wrangling by the City of Garland. Just had a meeting on Tuesday about that subject.

In reference to another post earlier about all of the entities going digital, it would be a good idea for interested folks to go to the State web site and get a copy of "Texas Statewide Interoperability Channel Plan (TCIP) Be sure to get the latest revision (I am using "Change #6" as I write). Toward the back of the document, you will find the frequency charts which are in affect until 12/31/2012 and which ones change on 01/01/2013. At present, this deals strictly with the interoperability (NPSPAC) band plan.

If we can get these documents and read them, it will stop much of the speculative bull which we see on these different interest groups. I know some of you do not listen to my explanations, and rebut them. However, in the end, if I am not correct, the licenses for the cities that I am "license manager" for, would be in jeopardy.

whr
--
W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett Div. of Emer. Mgmt.
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

28057. Re: Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 19:09:00 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28053 Message: 28057

K8DNE wrote:

> and I verify that it is happening,,,,however slow.

As I said in a previous post, getting government
entities to move fast with things is like getting a
slug to win the Indy 500....LOL

> If we can get these documents and read them, it will stop much of the
> speculative bull which we see on these different interest groups.

Not speaking for others, but I appreciate your
input (as well as clarification) on the issues.
Whether we like it or not, digital and rebanding
is the wave of the communications future. It will all
be up to the individual agencies with their budgets
whether or not they progress like a slug or a bullet
train. Rather than complain, save your money, or
purchase a digital capable scanner that has the
ability to be upgraded for new channelization and
rebanding.

Remember when trunking first came along?
If you do, you remember well how monitors
thought the world was going to end because
the rumors were flying that trunked systems
couldn't be monitored. Well, we proved the
naysayers wrong by first programming in the
trunking channels, and lo and behold, we COULD
listen to police and fire (although at the time we
ALSO were forced to listen to the dog catcher and
sewer cleaner). But, as time and technology
progressed, and the "trunktracker" scanner
came to the world stage, we could program in
the "talkgroup" and "scan list", and by George,
hear nothing but those fire calls that we so
desperately wanted to hear, just like the firemen
heard on their units in their apparatus radios!
And from that point on, there was joy to all. LOL
My point is, don't lose faith Sarge. Until all is encrypted,
which it isn't yet (and will take YEARS, if at all) there is
a TON to listen to. All is not lost my friend. And in
reference to agencies equipment and upgrades,
there will be those that can afford the Cristal, while
others can only afford the Boone's Farm.....:)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28058. Re: [dfwscan] Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 19:41:12 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28053 Message: 28058

You don't have the latest version. It is rev. 7 dated 1-22-08 available
here:
http://tsiec.region49.org
Click on "Download Here" on the upper right side of the page

Tom ND5Y

K8DNE wrote:
> In reference to another post earlier about all of the entities going
> digital, it would be a good idea for interested folks to go to the State
> web site and get a copy of "Texas Statewide Interoperability Channel
> Plan (TCIP) Be sure to get the latest revision (I am using "Change #6"
> as I write). Toward the back of the document, you will find the
> frequency charts which are in affect until 12/31/2012 and which ones
> change on 01/01/2013. At present, this deals strictly with the
> interoperability (NPSPAC) band plan.
>
> If we can get these documents and read them, it will stop much of the
> speculative bull which we see on these different interest groups. I
> know some of you do not listen to my explanations, and rebut them.
> However, in the end, if I am not correct, the licenses for the cities
> that I am "license manager" for, would be in jeopardy.
>
> whr
>

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

28059. Re: [dfwscan] Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-18 20:48:09 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 28053 Message: 28059

Tom ND5Y wrote:
> You don't have the latest version. It is rev. 7 dated 1-22-08 available
> here:
> http://tsiec.region49.org <http://tsiec.region49.org>
> Click on "Download Here" on the upper right side of the page

Thanks, Tom. That revision does not impact my programming,,,,,at
present. Everybody who wants the latest copy, use Tom's URL as posted.
You might want to save the URL also.

whr
--
W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

28060. Re: [dfwscan] Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-19 12:27:56 UTC
From: Henry Lucas
Topic: 28053 Message: 28060

Oh it even gets better - I used to do systems engineering for DoD, FAA and other fed customers. When you 'convert' to digital data, typically you have to increase the number of repeaters, and their output power substantially. The reason is that reliable operation of a digital or trunked network requires more signal level than analog. The manufacturers dispute this - Rotomola is notorious for screaming: 'Range equivalent to clear" (for privacy), and "Range equivalent to analog" for straight digital.. but it's just not true.

WIth an analgo system, you can tolerate occasional signal dropouts. With a digital system - those are fatal in many cases. Particularly on a trunked system, when you are getting the frequency assignment from mother (net control), one bad bit will have you listening to taxicabs rather than your own talk group. Eventually you WILL return to the control channel.. but when it's 'shots fired.. officer down...'.or, 'we're taking fire.. send backup ASAP'.. taxicabs are NOT where u want to be! Nearly everyone who has used LMR has had the experience of keying squelch to send data: dispatch: "211, if that's you.. break squelch twice.." 211: "brrruuzzzp.... brruszzzzp"....

You've done it .. i've done it.. everyone has done it.. with digital you CAN'T do it.. Why? Because if the 'channel' (RF path between dispatch and portable/mobile) is so bad that you can't pass digital data with low error rate (and this is a higher quality channel that is required for marginally ok analog audio), you can't open the squelch on the target receiver! That's why people say... "Why digital sounds so CLEAR!" Yeh it does.. because you don't hear the noisy traffic at all... You hear silence... That makes the dispatch desk nice and quiet.. but it's no help to the guy or gal being shot at in the field..

To prove the truth of this - consider the following: The transmission of a data bit is NOT guaranteed. There is always some probability of error. Lets say that the Pe is .2 - therefore the Pc (probability of correct bit transmission is .8 (1.0 - .2) What is the liklihood of recieving one bit correctly? Why .8 of course. How about 2 bits? The events are

'disjoint' i.e. not dependent - so it's the product:
.8 x .8 = .64 How about 3 bits? Ok.. .8 x .8 x .8 =
.512

Now consider that the trunking frequency to switch to
(or the digital squelch word) contains quite a few
bits.. and the digital audio contains LOTS of bits..
The longer the string of bits in a digital word, the
lower the liklihood that it will be received and
decoded correctly. Hence.. you need Pe numbers in the
10^-4 or 10^-6 range.(that is .0001 to .000001 Pe),
and that takes LOTS of signal power! So just buying
digital radios is only the start of your money
spending activities...

Cheers
Rabbit
--- Sarge <smd_1@...> wrote:

> The Feds can scream and yell digital/reband all
> they want. The Counties
> and smaller cities will then scream and yell where
> do we get the money for
> bases and mobiles. Some are still low band and many,
> many are hi band.
>
> The Feds will have to be goaded for grants and how
> happy will they be to do
> that? Money can be added to the back door of other
> municipal grants, but
> even that will become less likely as the economy
> tightens up more and more.
>
> Prepare yourselves for the coming hard times.
>
> Sarge
>
>
>
>
>
> E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
> Database version: 5.09650e
> http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been
> removed]
>
>

Sent from Yahoo! Mail.
A Smarter Email http://uk.docs.yahoo.com/nowyoucan.html

28061. Re: Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-19 14:02:43 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28053 Message: 28061

Henry Lucas wrote:

> That's why people say... "Why digital
> sounds so CLEAR!" Yeh it does.. because you don't
> hear the noisy traffic at all... You hear silence...
> That makes the dispatch desk nice and quiet..

Thanks for someone finally explaining this in
laymen's terms, so us not so technically
minded can understand...LOL

So basically the digital data stream removes
the background "noise" of analog....interesting...
But to keep that nice "clean" sound, output power
at the transmitter has to be increased to maintain
a constant noise free data stream....am I following
this correctly? So not only will the transmitter have
to be converted to digital, and the old one can't just
be "upgraded", output power will have to be
increased as well. Time for Mr. Moneybags.....:)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28062. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-19 15:49:41 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28053 Message: 28062

The main reason for digital is they can fit more crap into a 5 pound bag versus analog. The feds want to free up more of the radio spectrum so they can pimp out the additional space to other things.

As far as the quality, think of it this way using TV as a comparison:

With an old analog TV, The picture with a good signal was fine. However, a weak signal meant a snowy picture and raspy sound -- you could still watch the show though.

With digital TV, the picture and sound is awesome, but a week signal means a screen full of digital noise and choppy audio, or no picture and sound at all.

>
>
>> That's why people say... "Why digital
>> sounds so CLEAR!" Yeh it does.. because you don't
>> hear the noisy traffic at all... You hear silence...
>> That makes the dispatch desk nice and quiet..
>>
>
> Thanks for someone finally explaining this in
> laymen's terms, so us not so technically
> minded can understand...LOL
>
> So basically the digital data stream removes
> the background "noise" of analog....interesting...
> But to keep that nice "clean" sound, output power
> at the transmitter has to be increased to maintain
> a constant noise free data stream....am I following
> this correctly? So not only will the transmitter have
> to be converted to digital, and the old one can't just
> be "upgraded", output power will have to be
> increased as well. Time for Mr. Moneybags.....:)
>
>

28063. FORT WORTH 145.940 REPEATER

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-19 16:12:31 UTC
From: wb5jhr
Topic: 28063 Message: 28063

Hello All

FYI The 146.940 repeater TX (output) PL tone has been turned off
and will probably stay off for some time. PL will still be required on
input (146.340)to use the repeater as normal.

This was done because a low level signal on input(146.340 mhz)
is mixing causing the TX to lock up and create that bad echo like audio.

With the TX PL encode turned off the repeater is usable but some weak
signal's trying to hit it may notice a little noise along with their
input.

Harold K5SXK is looking for the interference.
Once the problem signal is found the TX PL ENCODE will probably be
turned back on.

Thanks

Ron McClanahan WB5JHR
Control / Technical group
146.94,146.76,145.11,444.10
224.940 Fort Worth Repeaters

28064. GRE PSR-500 Signal Sweeper

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-19 17:14:18 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28064 Message: 28064

Ok, all you GRE gurus on the list.

What have you found are the OPTIMAL
settings for the Signal Sweeper function?

I have Win500 which can access the
"extended" functions and features easily.

So far, I have been disappointed with this
feature. I put my FRS radio right up to the
antenna, and it did not detect any signal
whatsoever, which I think is pretty pathetic.

I put the GRE on a bookshelf, turned up the
volume on the Signal Sweeper, walked a few
feet away transmitting on the FRS....and still
it did not pick up anything. Turned the attenuator
on and off. Didn't make a difference. Still nada.
What am I doing wrong?.

When I hear of folks having great success with the
PRO-97 Close Call (such as snagging a milair jets
a/a tac as it was flying nearby), I wonder if the
"defaults" are not set as sensitive as they should be
on the GRE, or if this function is "not as hyped, or
advertised".

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28065. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-19 17:39:55 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28053 Message: 28065

Theoretically that is right, but you can't just "upgrade" existing
equipment to P25. You would have to buy all new equipment unless you are
already using P25 capable radios strictly on FM.

There is no "white noise" on a weak signal like on analog FM but if real
P25 radios are anything like a BCD396T or a digital cell phone, they
make up for it with all the artifacts and crap from any background noise
that is present. Somebody in Wichita Falls has a crossband patch on VHF
from the Fire Dept talkgroup on the 800 MHz ProVoice system and it
sounded like crap. It was as bad as my 396 on P25.

I am still waiting for somebody to address the issue of what happens on
high band (where there are no standard repeater pairs) when you have a
P25 base station or repeater receiver that is 12.5 kHz bandwidth and the
adjacent channels are only 7.5 kHz away from your receive frequency.
Won't the adjacent channel signals wipe out your receiver since they
overlap? Does P25 modulation have capture effect like FM? What if
another base or repeater that is 50 or 100 miles away is on your input
frequency or +/- 7.5 kHz from it? Will you still be able to hear hand
helds and weak mobiles?

I don't think anybody increases power when they switch to P25. It
usually isn't possible. Especially if you already have 110 W mobile radios.

Tom ND5Y

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Thanks for someone finally explaining this in
> laymen's terms, so us not so technically
> minded can understand...LOL
>
> So basically the digital data stream removes
> the background "noise" of analog....interesting...
> But to keep that nice "clean" sound, output power
> at the transmitter has to be increased to maintain
> a constant noise free data stream....am I following
> this correctly? So not only will the transmitter have
> to be converted to digital, and the old one can't just
> be "upgraded", output power will have to be
> increased as well. Time for Mr. Moneybags.....:)
>
>

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

28066. Data on 157.74 and 158.1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-20 02:34:51 UTC
From: Jim Theisen
Topic: 28066 Message: 28066

PRO-97 Signal Stalker actually, well... found something for a change!
I'm in SW FW and hearing what sounds like water-cooler chit-chat
between R2-D2 and C-3PO on the referenced freqs. First 157.74 will
say "Dee-Doo, Buuuuuurrrrrppp" and a second or two later 158.1
replies with "Boo-burrrrrrrrrrkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkx". Or
sumpthin'. Lather, rise, repeat about every ten secs or so.

Any ideas what this is?

28067. Re: [dfwscan] Data on 157.74 and 158.1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-20 03:17:54 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28066 Message: 28067

Robot phone sex?

I think its pager stuff. If you Google

157.74 mhz it comes up with several Teletouch DFW hits.

Jim Theisen wrote:
> PRO-97 Signal Stalker actually, well... found something for a change!
> I'm in SW FW and hearing what sounds like water-cooler chit-chat
> between R2-D2 and C-3PO on the referenced freqs. First 157.74 will
> say "Dee-Doo, Buuuuuurrrrrppp" and a second or two later 158.1
> replies with "Boo-burrrrrrrrrrkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkx". Or
> sumpthin'. Lather, rise, repeat about every ten secs or so.
>
> Any ideas what this is?
>

28068. Re: Data on 157.74 and 158.1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-20 05:33:24 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 28066 Message: 28068

FCC Database shows:
157.740: Dial A Page Inc. 901 Main St., Dallas, TX
WPPC277

158.100: TPP Licences Inc. also a paging company
KNKC295

http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/General_Menu_Reports/

Mark

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Theisen" <ftwskies@...> wrote:
>
> PRO-97 Signal Stalker actually, well... found something for a
change!
> I'm in SW FW and hearing what sounds like water-cooler chit-chat
> between R2-D2 and C-3PO on the referenced freqs. First 157.74 will
> say "Dee-Doo, Buuuuuurrrrrppp" and a second or two later 158.1
> replies with "Boo-burrrrrrrrrrkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkx". Or
> sumpthin'. Lather, rise, repeat about every ten secs or so.
>
> Any ideas what this is?
>

28069. RE: [dfwscan] Data on 157.74 and 158.1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-20 05:54:52 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28066 Message: 28069

Dee-doo, burrrrrrrp is POCSAG. Boooo-burrprkxkxkxkxkx is FLEX or the downlink side of ReFLEX. Both paging protocols.

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Theisen
Sent: Saturday, April 19, 2008 09:35 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Data on 157.74 and 158.1

PRO-97 Signal Stalker actually, well... found something for a change! I'm in SW FW and hearing what sounds like water-cooler chit-chat between R2-D2 and C-3PO on the referenced freqs. First 157.74 will say "Dee-Doo, Buuuuuurrrrrppp" and a second or two later 158.1 replies with "Boo-burrrrrrrrrrkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkxkx". Or sumpthin'. Lather, rise, repeat about every ten secs or so.

Any ideas what this is?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28070. Satellite internet

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-21 01:36:43 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28070 Message: 28070

Hi Yall,

We became so disgusted with Hughesnet that we switched to a cell based internet. Is there anything I can do with the sat equipment I had to buy? No luck on Fleabay and Craigslist.

TIA, Sarge

E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
Database version: 5.09650e
http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28071. Re: Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-21 11:20:43 UTC
From: Henry Lucas
Topic: 28053 Message: 28071

That's right. we did fairly extensive testing (about 6 months worth) - both in the lab and in the field. We staked out a local shopping mall - and walked around testing various modes - we had Rotomola radios that were set up to use both bandwidths, as well as analog, straight digital, and 'privatized' digital. We had small masking tape labels hidden about - indicating the range on previous tests. This was before 9/11 - today they'd arrest you in an instant for doing this. I noticed the shopping mall security types observing us, but they never asked us what we were doing. I guess they figured we were nuts but harmless.

You CAN pass usable analog speech with a C+N/N (carrier plus noise/noise ratio that would produce about a 10% bit error rate. Recall that the C+N/N ratio is set by the transmitter gain, receiver sensitivity, antenna gains, and path losses between the Tx and Rx. The C+N/N is totally independent of the modulation being used. Now for a C+N/N channel that would produce about 10% errors - it's noisy, but usable in analog. It is POSSIBLE to get some intelligence thru at nearly 20% errors - that is an analog signal in a C&N/N channel that would produce about 20% errors if it were switched to digital. With the 20% equivalent channel - you are at the point that you really need PLSO - phonetic letters spelled out - Kilo, Hotel, India, Mike, Five.. etc. You cannot pass (at 20%) the classic 'synaptic rhyming test' of distinguishing words such as : fine, line, pine, mine, try, my, fie, etc. Again, we're talking about analog modulation here. I don't believe any digital systems on the market today would run at 20% errors in the raw channel. Correcting 20% with an error correcting code would really be a job - something like correcting the NASA pictures from deep space probes. Those have so many check bits on the data, that the data thruput is on the order of bits per minute - hardly suitable for voice - where you need 8kb minimum for reasonable quality LMR. Cheers
Rab

--- Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:

> Henry Lucas wrote:
>
> > That's why people say... "Why digital
> > sounds so CLEAR!" Yeh it does.. because you don't
> > hear the noisy traffic at all... You hear
> silence...
> > That makes the dispatch desk nice and quiet..
>
> Thanks for someone finally explaining this in
> laymen's terms, so us not so technically
> minded can understand...LOL
>
> So basically the digital data stream removes
> the background "noise" of analog....interesting...
> But to keep that nice "clean" sound, output power
> at the transmitter has to be increased to maintain
> a constant noise free data stream....am I following
> this correctly? So not only will the transmitter
> have
> to be converted to digital, and the old one can't
> just
> be "upgraded", output power will have to be
> increased as well. Time for Mr. Moneybags.....:)
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>

Sent from Yahoo! Mail.
A Smarter Email http://uk.docs.yahoo.com/nowyoucan.html

28072. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Digital and money

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-21 11:36:33 UTC
From: Henry Lucas
Topic: 28053 Message: 28072

Heh, heh.. i liked the 'pimp out'.. that's good!

I used to work commercial satcom. There were several companies peddling digital 'compression' schemes for television video. On a normal C band transponder - you can fit one channel of 'broadcast quality' video, or two different channels of 'industrial video'. Industrial is like CCTV - security cameras and the like. That is good enough for things like the 'cattle channel' - showing pictures of cattle up for auction in feed lots, but not good enough for the NBC evening news. These 'digitizer' companies were promoting squeezing 3 or 4 broadcast quality pictures onto the normal 24 MHz bandwidth transponder. They were soliciting peeps like 'TMC - the movie channel, HBO, Cinemax..etc. The potential customers were wary (because the $outlay was huge). The vendors kept demonstrating their boxes with 'talking heads'. The way these boxes work, is that they only send the information in the picture that has changed.. hence the required bandwidth is much lower if most of the picture stays the same. For talking heads it does - clearly.

The potential customers proposed their own test. A tape was made. Someone knowledgible took a wideband camera to a Maine lake in the fall.. and recorded a bunch of aspen trees on the shore of a lake. A stiff breeze was blowing.. all the leaves were frantically dancing and changing colors rapidly. The analog tape was run thru the digitizer system.. At first, the picture was ok.. After a second or two, large black blotches appeared in the picture. They got bigger and bigger over about a second.. then .. BLACKNESS.... The system had crashed. It couldn't keep up.. TOO MUCH was changing in the picturte to send it to the other end in the restricted bandwidth channel. This was instantly named an "Aspen Test". The potential vendors left.. tails between their legs.. the potential customers felt vindicated. Things have improved since then.. but you still notice black holes appearing when the channel error rate is high.. same reason.. can't get all the data to the other end.
Cheers.. Rabbit

--- Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:

> The main reason for digital is they can fit more
> crap into a 5 pound bag
> versus analog. The feds want to free up more of the
> radio spectrum so
> they can pimp out the additional space to other
> things.
>
> As far as the quality, think of it this way using TV
> as a comparison:
>
> With an old analog TV, The picture with a good
> signal was fine. However,
> a weak signal meant a snowy picture and raspy sound
> -- you could still
> watch the show though.
>
> With digital TV, the picture and sound is awesome,
> but a week signal
> means a screen full of digital noise and choppy
> audio, or no picture and
> sound at all.
>
>
> >
> >
> >> That's why people say... "Why digital
> >> sounds so CLEAR!" Yeh it does.. because you
> don't
> >> hear the noisy traffic at all... You hear
> silence...
> >> That makes the dispatch desk nice and quiet..
> >>
> >
> > Thanks for someone finally explaining this in
> > laymen's terms, so us not so technically
> > minded can understand...LOL
> >
> > So basically the digital data stream removes
> > the background "noise" of analog....interesting...
> > But to keep that nice "clean" sound, output power
> > at the transmitter has to be increased to maintain
> > a constant noise free data stream....am I
> following
> > this correctly? So not only will the transmitter
> have
> > to be converted to digital, and the old one can't
> just
> > be "upgraded", output power will have to be
> > increased as well. Time for Mr. Moneybags.....:)
> >
> >

>
>

Sent from Yahoo! Mail.
A Smarter Email http://uk.docs.yahoo.com/nowyoucan.html

28073. Hughes Sat system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-22 15:36:21 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28073 Message: 28073

Thanks Yall,

Yes the Hughes antenna and amp might work with FTA. However, the ant/amp gets its working power via the coax from the receiver. I would need the ant/amp power requirements and the FTA receivers ant/amps coax power out to match up. Does anyone have any more info on this?

I will do a web search and see what I kin found.

TIA, Sarge

E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
Database version: 5.09670e
http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28074. Wylie/Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-22 22:26:00 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28074 Message: 28074

Wylie Fire is on scene of missing boaters at the spillway in Wylie and Garland Fire has sent Rescue 8 and Bat.2.Wylie Fire and Police are talking on Garland System on Garland Fire dispatch channel.I didn't know that Wylie had Inter Ops. on Garland?or Garland radios Dallas Air 1 helo also on scene.
Mike in Garland

28075. Thales "Liberty" handheld radio for interoperabilty

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-22 22:33:04 UTC
From: Bob Tickner
Topic: 28075 Message: 28075

This may be old news, but I discovered it today in a magazine advertisement. Looks like this company is producing a radio for full interoperabilty.

The Thales "Liberty" is designed to meet stringent public safety specifications. It is a single, easy-to-use radio that provides all modes standardized for public safety use including Project 25 (P25) conventional, P25 trunked, and legacy analog. Liberty offers Data Encryption Standard (DES) and Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) encryption with Over-the-air Rekeying (OTAR) and all of the typical features that are available in LMRs today. The radio?s Mil-Spec metal housing is submersible to two meters.
?The ability for all government agencies and first responders to be able to communicate with each other any time over all public safety bands is crucial to the safety and security of our nation,? said Mitch Herbets, President and CEO of Thales Communications. ?We have leveraged our proven expertise in software-defined radio technology for the military into a no-compromise solution that addresses the interoperability challenges of the public safety community.?
Thales Liberty handheld all band all mode radios.
https://secure.thalescomminc.com/newsroom_details.asp?ID=321

Bob Tickner
Denton,TX
btickner@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28076. RE: [dfwscan] Thales "Liberty" handheld radio for interoperabilty

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-23 11:45:45 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28075 Message: 28076

Thanks, Bob. I had not seen or heard of that before. I want one!

That's a little ballsy to tout it as "all mode" when it doesn't do EDACS or LTR though. Especially with the growing number of those systems popping up. I wonder if Ma/Com will license for it.

Rob

28077. OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-23 20:51:52 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28077 Message: 28077

I am looking to do some research on getting a pilots license, and would like to know if anyone on this group has any books that directly relate to studying for and getting the license for basic fixed wing

if you have any suggestions or have books or magazines, please contact me off list.

Thank You

Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Plano, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28078. Re: FW: [dfwscan] OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-23 23:18:03 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 28078 Message: 28078

Okay ... for the love of everything that is holy.

Everyone knock this s@#! off. Let the kid ask a question without
getting bashed on.

Seriously ... it gets old.

>Boy, this is going to get the list going. He must need attention to ask
>these things on a mailing list.
>
>LOL
>
>Peter
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>Code 3 Productions
>Sent: Wednesday, April 23, 2008 4:52 PM
>To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [dfwscan] OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...
>
>I am looking to do some research on getting a pilots license, and would like
>to know if anyone on this group has any books that directly relate to
>studying for and getting the license for basic fixed wing
>
>if you have any suggestions or have books or magazines, please contact me
>off list.
>
>
>Thank You
>
>
>Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
>Plano, TX
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>

28079. Re: OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 00:40:00 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28078 Message: 28079

Don Teague wrote:

> Let the kid ask a question without
> getting bashed on.

> Seriously ... it gets old.

Thank you Don.

I'm 39, and still haven't figured out what
I want to do when I grow up.....LOL

Tim - Although I am not a pilot, I have
certainly flown a TON...:), and talked and have
been friends with numerous pilots.

My advice? Don't be a "learn at home" person
who gets a pilots license, so to speak.

Get out there and go to a local airport, hang
around the pilot shop and hangars, ...ASK
QUESTIONS!!!!!. Most pilots love to talk to other
pilots, or young adults interested in flying. I
warn you - be prepared for some "big fish"
stories, but soak it all in like a sponge and
smile. Invest the time and MONEY into a
good aviation ground/air school. It ain't cheap!
(One of the reasons I've never done it-LOL).
Expect to invest about 5K for a GOOD school
(maybe more). Take tons of weather classes
that are offered in the course of your
education. Believe me, you will need it.
Make sure your flight school gives you the
complete package. Don't do a "fly by night".
You want to be 100% sure when you strap
yourself into that craft that YOU are confident
in your abilities to take it off from terra firma,
and bring it back in one piece to terra firma.
Airplanes can, and WILL, get you killed. And there
are PLENTY of idiot pilots out there, just like
auto drivers.

Your best place to get books and supplies are
at a pilot shop. Monarch Air is at McKinney,
and Lone Star Pilot Shop is at ADS. Of course,
there is always Sporty's on-line, or drop by
your local Borders or Barnes & Noble.

Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28080. Re: OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 08:23:39 UTC
From: NSAWC1
Topic: 28078 Message: 28080

That's good advice. I would also suggest working at an FBO even if it's part time, or at least hanging around one. You will meet a lot of pilots, which may lead to information on good instructors and aircraft owners.
Also FBO's usually have several Aircraft/Pilot magazines that are free to take.

> > Let the kid ask a question without
> > getting bashed on.
>
> > Seriously ... it gets old.
>
> Thank you Don.
>
> I'm 39, and still haven't figured out what
> I want to do when I grow up.....LOL
>
> Tim - Although I am not a pilot, I have
> certainly flown a TON...:), and talked and have
> been friends with numerous pilots.
>
> My advice? Don't be a "learn at home" person
> who gets a pilots license, so to speak.
>
> Get out there and go to a local airport, hang
> around the pilot shop and hangars, ...ASK
> QUESTIONS!!!!!. Most pilots love to talk to other
> pilots, or young adults interested in flying. I
> warn you - be prepared for some "big fish"
> stories, but soak it all in like a sponge and
> smile. Invest the time and MONEY into a
> good aviation ground/air school. It ain't cheap!
> (One of the reasons I've never done it-LOL).
> Expect to invest about 5K for a GOOD school
> (maybe more). Take tons of weather classes
> that are offered in the course of your
> education. Believe me, you will need it.
> Make sure your flight school gives you the
> complete package. Don't do a "fly by night".
> You want to be 100% sure when you strap
> yourself into that craft that YOU are confident
> in your abilities to take it off from terra firma,
> and bring it back in one piece to terra firma.
> Airplanes can, and WILL, get you killed. And there
> are PLENTY of idiot pilots out there, just like
> auto drivers.
>

> Your best place to get books and supplies are
> at a pilot shop. Monarch Air is at McKinney,
> and Lone Star Pilot Shop is at ADS. Of course,
> there is always Sporty's on-line, or drop by
> your local Borders or Barnes & Noble.
>
> ---
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>
> ---
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
> Groups Links
>
>
>

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

28081. FWPS signal

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 12:16:31 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28081 Message: 28081

Anyone else noticing a big drop in signal quality from Fort Worth's trunked system? It's sounding pretty bad in Bedford. I wonder if the Burgh Tower (in Euless) took a lightening strike or something.

Ben

28082. saving lives

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 14:40:13 UTC
From: Star
Topic: 28082 Message: 28082

Listening this morning to a lifesaving (just found the people but not retrieved them yet) situation in progress.

Cissy
460.375FM

Cissy,

The winds of grace blow all the time.

All we need to do is set our sails.

Ramakrishna

Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28083. RE: [dfwscan] Re: OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 16:06:54 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28078 Message: 28083

Sorry for the ignorance.... What is an FBO?

Thanks

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of NSAWC1
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 3:24 AM
To: DFWscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

That's good advice. I would also suggest working at an FBO even if it's part time, or at least hanging around one. You will meet a lot of pilots, which may lead to information on good instructors and aircraft owners.
Also FBO's usually have several Aircraft/Pilot magazines that are free to take.

> > Let the kid ask a question without
> > getting bashed on.
>
> > Seriously ... it gets old.
>
> Thank you Don.
>
> I'm 39, and still haven't figured out what
> I want to do when I grow up.....LOL
>
> Tim - Although I am not a pilot, I have
> certainly flown a TON...:), and talked and have
> been friends with numerous pilots.
>
> My advice? Don't be a "learn at home" person
> who gets a pilots license, so to speak.
>
> Get out there and go to a local airport, hang
> around the pilot shop and hangars, ...ASK
> QUESTIONS!!!!!. Most pilots love to talk to other
> pilots, or young adults interested in flying. I
> warn you - be prepared for some "big fish"
> stories, but soak it all in like a sponge and
> smile. Invest the time and MONEY into a
> good aviation ground/air school. It ain't cheap!
> (One of the reasons I've never done it-LOL).
> Expect to invest about 5K for a GOOD school
> (maybe more). Take tons of weather classes
> that are offered in the course of your
> education. Believe me, you will need it.

> Make sure your flight school gives you the
> complete package. Don't do a "fly by night".
> You want to be 100% sure when you strap
> yourself into that craft that YOU are confident
> in your abilities to take it off from terra firma,
> and bring it back in one piece to terra firma.
> Airplanes can, and WILL, get you killed. And there
> are PLENTY of idiot pilots out there, just like
> auto drivers.
>
> Your best place to get books and supplies are
> at a pilot shop. Monarch Air is at McKinney,
> and Lone Star Pilot Shop is at ADS. Of course,
> there is always Sporty's on-line, or drop by
> your local Borders or Barnes & Noble.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo> &mid=2Yahoo!
> Groups Links
>
>
>

____
Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28084. Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 16:07:49 UTC
From: sweetness1955
Topic: 28084 Message: 28084

I just read on a RadioReference.com forum that Desoto and Cedar Hill are supposed to go to a trunked system in May 2008? Any idea what type system?

28085. Whats going on in North Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 16:26:40 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28085 Message: 28085

I am over here at Campbell and Frankford and Wfaa was hovering over for about 15 min shooting video to the east it looked like. I was scanning and heard someone say this is going to be a radio prank. Anyone here anything?

Jason

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28086. Re: [dfwscan] Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 18:04:25 UTC
From: William Ellis
Topic: 28084 Message: 28086

Motorola ... according to a DeSoto investigator.

BILL

On Thu, Apr 24, 2008 at 11:07 AM, sweetness1955 <sweetness1955@...>
wrote:

> I just read on a RadioReference.com forum that Desoto and Cedar Hill
> are supposed to go to a trunked system in May 2008? Any idea what type
> system?
>
>
>

--
William Ellis
weejr56@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28087. hurst?? whats going on at norwood/pleasantview

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 18:25:06 UTC
From: Mike
Topic: 28087 Message: 28087

LD BELL is locked down. something is going on at the office park behind the school???

28088. Re: [dfwscan] hurst?? whats going on at norwood/pleasantview

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 18:27:45 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28087 Message: 28088

This info, thanks to another source, Colonial Savings held up. Suspect fled on foot.
FYI: LD Bell is on 461.625
Ben

>LD BELL is locked down. something is going on at the office park
behind the school???
<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28089. RE: [dfwscan] OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 19:38:31 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28077 Message: 28089

On Thursday, April 24, 2008 2:35pm, Don Teague said:

> Okay ... for the love of everything that is holy.
>
> Everyone knock this s@#! off. Let the kid ask a question without
> getting bashed on.
>
> Seriously ... it gets old.

I'll tell you what gets old. He KNOWS that this is off-topic and not appropriate for this mailing list. Sticking "OT" in a teaser topic line that doesn't tell us a damn thing about his post doesn't make it okay to post off-topic crap. Off-topic is off-topic, and it is always inappropriate for this list. He knows it, yet he continues to intentionally break the policy. Why? Why is it allowed? Isn't that what we created the Tavern for, to keep this list free of his nonsense?

This "kid" is not a kid anymore. In fact, he wasn't a kid when he showed up here four years ago. He knows all about the Internet and how to use it. Unfortunately, he knows even more about how to misuse it. So, instead of using Google or Yahoogroups to find a bunch of pilots to talk to, he tosses out a bomb here with the specific intention of creating a stir. It amazes me that anybody could not see that, or would defend it.

I tired three years ago of "bashing" him for his silly quest to jack all trades and master none, and fund it all by selling the stuff that people gave to him. That is no longer the point. The point is that he is contributing NOTHING to this forum regarding the hobby of scanning. Period. I don't care if it is flying, firefighting, EMT, photography, or sirens and flashing amber lights... IT'S OFF TOPIC! TAKE IT ELSEWHERE!

For the love of all that is holy, how hard is that to understand?

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq

28090. Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 19:58:59 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28084 Message: 28090

William Ellis wrote:

> Motorola ... according to a DeSoto investigator.

Interesting. I thought it was supposed to be
MPT-1327 - like Decatur.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28091. Re: OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 20:08:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28078 Message: 28091

Bob wrote:

>What is an FBO?

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fixed_base_operator

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28092. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 20:48:01 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28084 Message: 28092

EDUCT (Ellis, Dallas Unified Cooperative Team) is currently discussing switching to a trunked system at there meetings. However no exact type of system has been decided on, The cities of DeSoto,Cedar Hill, Duncanville, Ovilla, Glenn Heights, Red Oak and Midlothian would all use the system all types of trunking systems have been discussed in the meetings but nothing has yet to be set in stone. My neighbor and best friend is a Lieutenant at Glenn Heights FD and attends the meetings regularly. I will update the group when more information is received the only things that are known for sure at this time is that it will not be any type of system that we all wont be able to pickup on our current scanners, so no ESK or anything like that.

Cody Sheppard
Coppell Emergency Communications
Combine Fire Department

Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
William Ellis wrote:

> Motorola ... according to a DeSoto investigator.

Interesting. I thought it was supposed to be
MPT-1327 - like Decatur.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

---
Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28093. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 23:26:52 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28084 Message: 28093

The following is the complete list of EDUCT cities Cedar Hill, Desoto, Ferris, Duncanville, Glenn Heights, Lancaster, Midlothian, Ovilla, Red Oak, SWRCC, Waxahachie

Cody Sheppard wrote:
>
> EDUCT (Ellis, Dallas Unified Cooperative Team) is currently discussing
> switching to a trunked system at there meetings. However no exact type
> of system has been decided on, The cities of DeSoto,Cedar Hill,
> Duncanville, Ovilla, Glenn Heights, Red Oak and Midlothian would all
> use the system all types of trunking systems have been discussed in
> the meetings but nothing has yet to be set in stone. My neighbor and
> best friend is a Lieutenant at Glenn Heights FD and attends the
> meetings regularly. I will update the group when more information is
> received the only things that are known for sure at this time is that
> it will not be any type of system that we all wont be able to pickup
> on our current scanners, so no ESK or anything like that.
>
> Cody Sheppard
> Coppell Emergency Communications
> Combine Fire Department
>
> Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...
> <mailto:blaine.brooks%40verizon.net>> wrote:
> William Ellis wrote:
>
> > Motorola ... according to a DeSoto investigator.
>
> Interesting. I thought it was supposed to be
> MPT-1327 - like Decatur.
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155>
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/ <http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/>
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo

> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>! Groups
> Links
>
> ---------------------------------
> Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try
> it now.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28094. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 22:33:22 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28084 Message: 28094

Interesting. My rumor mill reported that some of the radios were already here for the Duncanville, Cedar Hill and Desoto. They were supposed to be Kenwood's. I had heard MPT-1327 as well. If indeed they have Kenwood radios it would mean MPT, Passport, LTR or conventional.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Justin Lentz
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 6:27 PM
To: Cody Sheppard
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

The following is the complete list of EDUCT cities Cedar Hill, Desoto, Ferris, Duncanville, Glenn Heights, Lancaster, Midlothian, Ovilla, Red Oak, SWRCC, Waxahachie

Cody Sheppard wrote:
>
> EDUCT (Ellis, Dallas Unified Cooperative Team) is currently discussing
> switching to a trunked system at there meetings. However no exact type
> of system has been decided on, The cities of DeSoto,Cedar Hill,
> Duncanville, Ovilla, Glenn Heights, Red Oak and Midlothian would all
> use the system all types of trunking systems have been discussed in
> the meetings but nothing has yet to be set in stone. My neighbor and
> best friend is a Lieutenant at Glenn Heights FD and attends the
> meetings regularly. I will update the group when more information is
> received the only things that are known for sure at this time is that
> it will not be any type of system that we all wont be able to pickup
> on our current scanners, so no ESK or anything like that.
>
> Cody Sheppard
> Coppell Emergency Communications
> Combine Fire Department
>
> Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...
> <mailto:blaine.brooks%40verizon.net>> wrote:
> William Ellis wrote:
>
> > Motorola ... according to a DeSoto investigator.
>
> Interesting. I thought it was supposed to be
> MPT-1327 - like Decatur.
>

> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155>
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/ <http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/>
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>! Groups
> Links
>
> ---------------------------------
> Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try
> it now.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28095. Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-24 23:38:46 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28084 Message: 28095

Justin Lentz wrote:

> Waxahachie

And the plot thickens......

Well, that throws a monkey wrench in there
with Waxahachie recently making the transition
to the ETMC system, and ETMC planning a digital
move soon.

Wonder how this plays into the ballgame? I doubt
they'd just throw out their new radios, and money
spent to go to YET ANOTHER radio system,
especially one with a NEW PROTOCOL. If I had
to WAG it, I would say Moto would be the best bet
for this new system. P25.

Sounds like a political & communications
CF to me.......LOL

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28096. Fw: [dfwscan] Re: OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-25 00:18:25 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 28096 Message: 28096

----- Forwarded Message ----
From: Lee Shell <leebshell@...>
To: Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...>
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 6:17:28 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Roughly, an FBO is to airplanes as a gas station is to cars. As the wiki describes, other services are usually offered also.

--
Lee

----- Original Message ----
From: Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...>
To: RJSumien@...
Cc: nsawc1@...; DFWscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 2:08:09 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: OT - I am trying to acquire books on ...

Bob wrote:

>What is an FBO?

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fixed_base_operator

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28097. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-25 01:24:45 UTC
From: Brian Daniels
Topic: 28084 Message: 28097

Thank you to everyone for your replies. Guess it won't happen next month, whichever system it will be.

Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote: Justin Lentz wrote:

> Waxahachie

And the plot thickens......

Well, that throws a monkey wrench in there
with Waxahachie recently making the transition
to the ETMC system, and ETMC planning a digital
move soon.

Wonder how this plays into the ballgame? I doubt
they'd just throw out their new radios, and money
spent to go to YET ANOTHER radio system,
especially one with a NEW PROTOCOL. If I had
to WAG it, I would say Moto would be the best bet
for this new system. P25.

Sounds like a political & communications
CF to me.......LOL

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

---
Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28098. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-25 01:55:08 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28084 Message: 28098

The main issue is that rebanding is still in progress for this region and I cant forsee anything moving forward until all that is taken care of. I have not heard the rumor of ETMC going digital but with all there sites and radios they have in service now I can just imagine what kind of cluster that will be. As someone who has operated a trunked system for over two years now my first response would be dont go trunked its a pain I recently attended a rebanding meeting in Denton Co. every fire chief there wondered who ever talked them into going trunked in the first place. SWRCC proably has the combined resources to purchase radios and switch over but departments like Red Oak and Glenn Heights are gonna find it very hard to be able to have the kind of money on hand to switch all those radios over especially when your talking about a handheld VHF radio costing 200 to 300 dollars versus a Trunked handheld costing upwards of 4 to 5 thousand dollars. They
serve there purpose in some instances but sometimes it just comes down to if it aint broke dont fix it...

Cody

----- Original Message ----
From: Brian Daniels <baseball54fb@...>
To: Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...>; Justin Lentz <justinlentz@...>
Cc: Cody Sheppard <combinecapt804@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 8:24:45 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Thank you to everyone for your replies. Guess it won't happen next month, whichever system it will be.

Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@ verizon.net> wrote: Justin Lentz wrote:

> Waxahachie

And the plot thickens.... ..

Well, that throws a monkey wrench in there
with Waxahachie recently making the transition
to the ETMC system, and ETMC planning a digital
move soon.

Wonder how this plays into the ballgame? I doubt
they'd just throw out their new radios, and money
spent to go to YET ANOTHER radio system,
especially one with a NEW PROTOCOL. If I had
to WAG it, I would say Moto would be the best bet
for this new system. P25.

Sounds like a political & communications
CF to me.....LOL

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite. verizon.net/ resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

------------ --------- --------- ------

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see: http://www.radioref
erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2Yahoo! Groups Links

------------ --------- --------- ---
Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

_____
Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28099. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-25 03:18:54 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28084 Message: 28099

What I was thinking was that they would go together as the EDUCT and try
to get a grant to get a trunked system that all the cities would share.

Cody Sheppard wrote:
>
> The main issue is that rebanding is still in progress for this region
> and I cant forsee anything moving forward until all that is taken care
> of. I have not heard the rumor of ETMC going digital but with all
> there sites and radios they have in service now I can just imagine
> what kind of cluster that will be. As someone who has operated a
> trunked system for over two years now my first response would be dont
> go trunked its a pain I recently attended a rebanding meeting in
> Denton Co. every fire chief there wondered who ever talked them into
> going trunked in the first place. SWRCC proably has the combined
> resources to purchase radios and switch over but departments like Red
> Oak and Glenn Heights are gonna find it very hard to be able to have
> the kind of money on hand to switch all those radios over especially
> when your talking about a handheld VHF radio costing 200 to 300
> dollars versus a Trunked handheld costing upwards of 4 to 5 thousand
> dollars. They
> serve there purpose in some instances but sometimes it just comes down
> to if it aint broke dont fix it...
>
> Cody
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: Brian Daniels <baseball54fb@...
> <mailto:baseball54fb%40yahoo.com>>
> To: Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...
> <mailto:blaine.brooks%40verizon.net>>; Justin Lentz
> <justinlentz@... <mailto:justinlentz%40sbcglobal.net>>
> Cc: Cody Sheppard <combinecapt804@...
> <mailto:combinecapt804%40yahoo.com>>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 8:24:45 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?
>
> Thank you to everyone for your replies. Guess it won't happen next
> month, whichever system it will be.
>
> Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@ verizon.net> wrote: Justin Lentz wrote:
>
> > Waxahachie
>
> And the plot thickens.... ..

>
> Well, that throws a monkey wrench in there
> with Waxahachie recently making the transition
> to the ETMC system, and ETMC planning a digital
> move soon.
>
> Wonder how this plays into the ballgame? I doubt
> they'd just throw out their new radios, and money
> spent to go to YET ANOTHER radio system,
> especially one with a NEW PROTOCOL. If I had
> to WAG it, I would say Moto would be the best bet
> for this new system. P25.
>
> Sounds like a political & communications
> CF to me.......LOL
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite. verizon.net/ resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
> Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP
>
> ------------ --------- --------- ------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2Yahoo!
> Groups Links
>
> ------------ --------- --------- ---
> Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try
> it now.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> ____
> Be a better friend, newshound, and
> know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
> http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ
> <http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28100. Oates rd & N.W. HWY lots of activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-25 15:43:03 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28100 Message: 28100

Anybody know whats going on at Oates & N.W. HWY on the Garland / Mesquite boarder.
Got a call from a friend who said several constable cars passed him at a high rate of speed. Then he drove by an apt complex near there and saw, Garland PD, Mesquite PD, DSO and Dallas Constables there.

I have my scanner on Garland S1 and havnt heard anything.. but might be to late since it happened about 15 minutes ago..

28101. Fort Worth bomb rebot

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-25 22:59:06 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28101 Message: 28101

Yes, Fort Worth has a bomb rebot, whatever the hell that is.

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3005596
Eligibility 90.20a - Applicant is a local government. The frequencies
will be used for a bomb rebot

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

28102. something working in flower mound

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-26 00:40:34 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 28102 Message: 28102

I still can't figure it out.. I wonder if they are looking for somebody.
Almost sounds like a dug bust in the works..

I saw 3 cruisers at Morriss and Buckeye..
--
Jay Urish W5GM
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.6

28103. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-26 03:46:48 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28084 Message: 28103

If they are sticking around in the VHF band, rebanding is not an issue and thus not a hinderance to their overall implementation plans. All you'all are trying to apply waaaaayyyy too much logic to this whole situation. Doing what makes sense has never been the strong suite of any government organization. Dallas's new CAD system is a prime example. Now they will have to spend twice as much as they would have originally to get the equipment that was necessary to make it all work correctly, and nobody down in City Hall is going to be held accountable or fired. Making sense would be getting a communications system that everyone else in the area has, not something totally brand new that aside from the VTAC Inteop channels is totally incompatable. It may have all boiled down to money, and LTR and MPT1327 systems are much cheaper than Moto or Ma/Comm trunked systems and the specialized radios that are needed to work on those systems. And the group has probably been sold a bill of goods that no-body will be able to monitor them, which is essentially nearly true. We shall just have to wait and see.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Cody Sheppard
To: Brian Daniels ; Blaine Brooks ; Justin Lentz
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 8:55 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

The main issue is that rebanding is still in progress for this region and I cant forsee anything moving forward until all that is taken care of. I have not heard the rumor of ETMC going digital but with all there sites and radios they have in service now I can just imagine what kind of cluster that will be. As someone who has operated a trunked system for over two years now my first response would be dont go trunked its a pain I recently attended a rebanding meeting in Denton Co. every fire chief there wondered who ever talked them into going trunked in the first place. SWRCC proably has the combined resources to purchase radios and switch over but departments like Red Oak and Glenn Heights are gonna find it very hard to be able to have the kind of money on hand to switch all those radios over especially when your talking about a handheld VHF radio costing 200 to 300 dollars versus a Trunked handheld costing upwards of 4 to 5 thousand dollars. They
serve there purpose in some instances but sometimes it just comes down to if it aint broke dont fix it...

Cody

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28104. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-26 11:47:36 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28084 Message: 28104

For the record they have several new frequencies licensed on UHF. I received information reference the model number of the radios believed to have been purchased. Those Kenwood radios are UHF and will do conventional, LTR and MPT1327. Time will tell but every indication I'm getting is at least the cities of Desoto, Duncanville and Cedar Hill are most likely going to be on UHF and MPT1327.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mark Colborn
Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 10:47 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Cody Sheppard
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

If they are sticking around in the VHF band, rebanding is not an issue and thus not a hinderance to their overall implementation plans. All you'all are trying to apply waaaaayyyy too much logic to this whole situation. Doing what makes sense has never been the strong suite of any government organization. Dallas's new CAD system is a prime example. Now they will have to spend twice as much as they would have originally to get the equipment that was necessary to make it all work correctly, and nobody down in City Hall is going to be held accountable or fired. Making sense would be getting a communications system that everyone else in the area has, not something totally brand new that aside from the VTAC Inteop channels is totally incompatable. It may have all boiled down to money, and LTR and MPT1327 systems are much cheaper than Moto or Ma/Comm trunked systems and the specialized radios that are needed to work on those systems. And the group has probably been sold a bill of goods that no-body will be able to monitor them, which is essentially nearly true. We shall just have to wait and see.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Cody Sheppard
To: Brian Daniels ; Blaine Brooks ; Justin Lentz
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 8:55 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

The main issue is that rebanding is still in progress for this region and I cant forsee anything moving forward until all that is taken care of. I have not heard the rumor of ETMC going digital but with all there sites and radios they have in service now I can just imagine what kind of cluster that will be. As someone who has operated a trunked system for over two years now

my first response would be dont go trunked its a pain I recently attended a rebanding meeting in Denton Co. every fire chief there wondered who ever talked them into going trunked in the first place. SWRCC proably has the combined resources to purchase radios and switch over but departments like Red Oak and Glenn Heights are gonna find it very hard to be able to have the kind of money on hand to switch all those radios over especially when your talking about a handheld VHF radio costing 200 to 300 dollars versus a Trunked handheld costing upwards of 4 to 5 thousand dollars. They serve there purpose in some instances but sometimes it just comes down to if it aint broke dont fix it...

Cody

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28105. Off Topic: For Fire Apparatus Buffs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-26 12:42:07 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28105 Message: 28105

TFM Members/Friends:

Texas Fire Museum is holding their 17th Annual Muster on Saturday, May 3, 2008. The show will take place at Fish Trap Park in West Dallas which is just west of the Hampton and Singleton intersection and runs from 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

We plan to grill dogs again at this year's event due to the overwhelming positive response we received on last year's lunch. Chips and drinks will be provided as well. The donation can will be set up to pay for the rations.

Muster prep will commence on Monday, April 28. We will certainly appreciate help in getting out the equipment and loading said equipment for the muster. There will be members here during the day and into the evening getting ready for the muster.

Apparatus Owners: if you plan to take your rig to the muster, it is your responsibility to get it ready for the muster. If per chance you are unable to get your truck ready or it needs service work of some sort, please contact us no later than the close of business on Monday, April 28, to make these arrangements.

We will begin moving trucks around 8:15-8:30 am on muster day. The working vehicles will be moved first followed by the static vehicles.

We look forward to a great muster and your participation in one of the museum's signature events will be appreciated.

www.texasfiremuseum.org

28106. Re: Off Topic: For Fire Apparatus Buffs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-26 14:20:39 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28105 Message: 28106

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:

> We plan to grill dogs again at this year's event

Better lock up Fido. Here come the firemen....LOL
Oh heck, that won't do any good! They have very
cool entry tools!...:) Sorry, couldn't resist....

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28107. Huge fire at $2mil home in Arlington...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-27 04:14:48 UTC
From: Andrew
Topic: 28107 Message: 28107

I happen to live about 1 mile away and went to check it out..
Unfortunately, I don't have the correct media credentials so they kept
me back. I am a photographer and would have liked to have shot a few
journalistic shots, but was turned away. The house was on a very
large plot of land and surrounded by a private street/entrance. From
the public street I was pretty limited. I actually was driving home
and smelled the fire...turned scanner on and then almost immediately
saw the flames/smoke. After dropping the kids/wife off at home, I
went back...by then the PD/FD has it pretty much blocked off for the
most part.

The owner was out front at the gate. Sad to see anyone lose their home.

They are still working it.. Arlington South Talk Around if interested.

Andrew
Crystal Clear Media

28108. Scanner Stolen

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-27 14:50:33 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28108 Message: 28108

If you see any great deals on this scanner, please contact Bedford PD. Mine was stolen from my car overnight. Seems awfully strange they didn't take anything else. The screen boots up with my name and phone numbers, and I recently did the firmware updates with the Electronic Serial Number steps.

Uniden BCD996T Serial#:335Z64000872

Ben Saladino

28109. Pro 2067 Vs Pro 2055 ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-28 03:57:55 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28109 Message: 28109

Pro's? Cons? I have a 2067 right now, but am contemplating a pro 2055, as it has backlit keys, is computer programmable with Win97 and has signal stalker.

Those are the only main differences Im aware of, that and the different color displays.

I havent decided if im going to sale the 2067 and or trade it, towards a 2055, or keep it and get a 2055 seperately as well.

any advice on the matter is much appreciated.

If you have a 2055 you are interested in getting rid of let me know, a local transaction would be better than ebay.

Tim, KE5PPL

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28110. Incident in Coppell?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-28 22:50:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28110 Message: 28110

Not sure if some type of drill or what....

Ops on Ch 3 Coppell FD

From radio traffic sounds like some type
of technical or trench rescue. Unknown
location, but command post set up. Radio
advises units are over one hour into the
incident. Haven't seen anything on IPN
or the local news.

Anyone know?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28111. Re: [dfwscan] Incident in Coppell?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-29 00:36:09 UTC
From: Ryan Chalk
Topic: 28110 Message: 28111

Blaine,

Yes Coppell was conducting a drill today. They are doing trench trainging or have been doing trench training for the past couple of days. I think they are doing this in conjunction with Lake Cities as well.

Blaine Brooks <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
Not sure if some type of drill or what....

Ops on Ch 3 Coppell FD

From radio traffic sounds like some type
of technical or trench rescue. Unknown
location, but command post set up. Radio
advises units are over one hour into the
incident. Haven't seen anything on IPN
or the local news.

Anyone know?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

---
Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28112. Re: Incident in Coppell?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-29 03:08:02 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28110 Message: 28112

Ryan Chalk wrote:

>Coppell was conducting a drill today.

Thanks Ryan. Wasn't quite sure.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28113. Fort Worth Incident?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-29 05:17:40 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28113 Message: 28113

Didn't have the scanner with me this evening -- wondering if some one caught what was going on. Up on Beach street just north of I-30 the FWPD DOT pickup and a couple of hazardous waste trucks were dealing with a tractor / trailer that had a leak or something. Saw it about 10:15, and at 11:30 they were still dealing with it. Looked like a flatbed with a couple of rectangular tanks and some pallets of bagged chemicals.

28114. A New Kind Of Scanning!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-29 19:18:14 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28114 Message: 28114

Man, just when I was getting bored with conventional scanning, they come up with something new! Anybody tried video scanning yet?

http://www.wsbtv.com/news/16040706/detail.html

Rob

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq

28115. RE: [dfwscan] A New Kind Of Scanning!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-29 22:08:05 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28114 Message: 28115

Yes but didn't have those kind of results! It would be cool to know if those back-up cameras are 2.4 Ghz or somewhere else. My receiver is 2.4. I found quite a few security cameras and such. Guess I'll have to dig my device out and take another look.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2008 2:18 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] A New Kind Of Scanning!

Man, just when I was getting bored with conventional scanning, they come up with something new! Anybody tried video scanning yet?

http://www.wsbtv.com/news/16040706/detail.html

Rob

Rob Davis, RN, EMT-P
Medical Clinic B9
Camp Habbaniyah
Al Anbar Province, Iraq

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28116. Antennas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-29 22:41:47 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 946 Message: 28116

Does anyone know who might sell Antenex antennas in the Dallas area?
Thanks,Mike in Garland

28117. Re: Antennas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-29 22:45:42 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 946 Message: 28117

Hutton sells them. www.huttononline.com
They are located in Carrollton on Midway i think.
They have a will call area so if you call in an order and pay via CC
you can go pick it up.
That is the way they used to do it a few years back.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rescue4nfd" <rescue4nfd@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone know who might sell Antenex antennas in the Dallas area?
> Thanks,Mike in Garland
>

28118. RE: [dfwscan] Antennas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-29 22:45:13 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 946 Message: 28118

I think Hutton in Carrollton will still sell stuff at retail to walk-in customers. If so they will likely have what you need. For the record I'd look at Larsen: http://www.radialllarsen.com/ or Maxrad stuff over Antenex. I've only owned a couple of Antenex products and that was enough for me!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2008 5:42 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Antennas

Does anyone know who might sell Antenex antennas in the Dallas area?
Thanks,Mike in Garland

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28119. Re: [dfwscan] Antennas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-29 22:47:53 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 946 Message: 28119

http://www.hol4g.com/ac/search.aspx?sc=0&sd=0&sv=antenex&sw=y&PerPage=20

Hutton Communications. They're in N Dallas.

rescue4nfd wrote:
>
> Does anyone know who might sell Antenex antennas in the Dallas area?
> Thanks,Mike in Garland
>
>

> __,_._,_

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28120. FWFD Attacks are Back

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-30 00:49:34 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28114 Message: 28120

Sounds like Medstar must be having issues again. FWFD is assigning Attack units on EMS calls.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28121. Re: [dfwscan] FWFD Attacks are Back

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-30 03:06:22 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28114 Message: 28121

Wrong assumption Ben!! We have our latest rookie class set to graduate next month and they are in the finally weeks which includes ride-outs. There are several reserve engines (identified as Attacks) that have been placed in service with training officers and a group of recruits. They are free to respond to any call, the idea being to expose them to the day-to-day real world experience. This will last for another week or so.

Greg Higgins
Fort Worth FD

"Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...> wrote:
Sounds like Medstar must be having issues again. FWFD is assigning Attack
units on EMS calls.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28122. RE: [dfwscan] A New Kind Of Scanning!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-30 05:04:40 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28114 Message: 28122

On Wednesday, April 30, 2008 2:08am, Terry <terry@...> said:

> Yes but didn't have those kind of results! It would be cool to know if those
> back-up cameras are 2.4 Ghz or somewhere else. My receiver is 2.4. I found quite a
> few security cameras and such. Guess I'll have to dig my device out and take
> another look.

I started searching after I saw the article, and all of them that I found were 2.4gHz. I guess it's time for one more antenna hole in the roof! I've had this void in my life ever since they digitalised cellphones. This could be just the thing I need, haha!

Rob

28123. RE: [dfwscan] FWFD Attacks are Back

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-30 11:12:07 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28114 Message: 28123

Now that's interesting! Leave to FWFD to do something that makes sense.
That's a really cool idea.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Greg Higgins
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2008 10:06 PM
To: groups@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] FWFD Attacks are Back

Wrong assumption Ben!! We have our latest rookie class set to graduate next
month and they are in the finally weeks which includes ride-outs. There are
several reserve engines (identified as Attacks) that have been placed in
service with training officers and a group of recruits. They are free to
respond to any call, the idea being to expose them to the day-to-day real
world experience. This will last for another week or so.

Greg Higgins
Fort Worth FD

"Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...> wrote:
Sounds like Medstar must be having issues again. FWFD is assigning
Attack
units on EMS calls.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28124. Dallas Police "Active Incidents"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-30 11:25:38 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28124 Message: 28124

Dallas PD is catching up with Arlington. They now have an active incidents page.

http://www.dallaspolice.net/mediaaccess/

or go to dallaspolice.net and click on active calls.

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28125. Re: FWFD Attacks are Back

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-30 19:55:37 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 28114 Message: 28125

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> Wrong assumption Ben!! We have our latest rookie class set to
graduate next month and they are in the finally weeks which includes
ride-outs. There are several reserve engines (identified as Attacks)
that have been placed in service with training officers and a group of
recruits. They are free to respond to any call, the idea being to
expose them to the day-to-day real world experience. This will last
for another week or so.
>
> Greg Higgins
> Fort Worth FD
>
>
>
> "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...> wrote:
> Sounds like Medstar must be having issues again. FWFD is
assigning Attack
> units on EMS calls.
>
> Ben
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

Speaking of the new recruits, according to star-telegram, those
recruits were the ones who pulled the elderly lady out of a burning home.

Story:

http://www.star-telegram.com/804/story/613885.html

28126. FWFD Attacks

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-04-30 21:05:51 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28126 Message: 28126

As additional information regarding our Attack trucks (see previous message), the way they are numbered is by the district they are in at the time. If they are running in Engine 2s' area, then they will be identified as Attack 2. If they are in Engine 24s' area, then they become Attack 24. This has to do with the new CAD system and lets everyone know where they are operating.

Greg Higgins

28127. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Police "Active Incidents"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-01 00:33:36 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28124 Message: 28127

Active incidents where a squad has arrived at the scene, yes. Undispatched calls, no. Hopefully they will eventually include undispatched calls like Arlington so Dallas citizens can get an idea of how long it is taking their police to get the calls dispatched and an officer on the scene. A nice first step, but it has a way to go to catch up with Arlington's page: to include selectable pages for calls in each patrol division.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Terry
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2008 6:25 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Police "Active Incidents"

Dallas PD is catching up with Arlington. They now have an active incidents page.

http://www.dallaspolice.net/mediaaccess/

or go to dallaspolice.net and click on active calls.

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28128. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas Police "Active Incidents"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-01 00:46:12 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28124 Message: 28128

It has the calls broken out into the 7 divisions. It also has some "stuff" about calls holding not being public information! It is a huge step forward for Dallas but unless they invent a time machine I doubt they'll ever catch up.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mark Colborn
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2008 7:34 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Police "Active Incidents"

Active incidents where a squad has arrived at the scene, yes. Undispatched calls, no. Hopefully they will eventually include undispatched calls like Arlington so Dallas citizens can get an idea of how long it is taking their police to get the calls dispatched and an officer on the scene. A nice first step, but it has a way to go to catch up with Arlington's page: to include selectable pages for calls in each patrol division.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Terry
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2008 6:25 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Police "Active Incidents"

Dallas PD is catching up with Arlington. They now have an active incidents page.

http://www.dallaspolice.net/mediaaccess/

or go to dallaspolice.net and click on active calls.

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28129. RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3803

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-01 16:54:02 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28129 Message: 28129

On Thursday, April 30, 2008 "Terry" said:
>
> Now that's interesting! Leave to FWFD to do something that makes
> sense.

I dunno. Doubling the number of emergency vehicles screaming across the city just to give some EMS exposure to a bunch of guys who will get more than enough experience in their first month on the job doesn't really add up to a smart, cost effective, safe, or necessary idea to me. We're talking about guys who are going to spend their entire careers with at least three other firefighter-EMTs at their side anyhow. It's not like we're preparing to toss them to the wolves and they need maximum exposure before we do so.

Rob

28130. RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3803

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-01 20:31:28 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28129 Message: 28130

If you take a different approach, not saying you're wrong believe me I hate going lights and sirens to stuff that doesn't require it(selfishly for MY safety). However, would you want one of them to make a silly mistake on you or a family member in their first month because the other 3 FF-EMT's were busy with other things on scene? Again, I'm not taking a side here I'm just adding a different perspective. Besides before you know it they'll have to get on a Medstar unit to bail them out!! Anyhow there might be a better way to do it but I suppose that's up to the powers that be and not us here on the board.

Bob

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2008 11:54 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3803

On Thursday, April 30, 2008 "Terry" said:
>
> Now that's interesting! Leave to FWFD to do something that makes
> sense.

I dunno. Doubling the number of emergency vehicles screaming across the city just to give some EMS exposure to a bunch of guys who will get more than enough experience in their first month on the job doesn't really add up to a smart, cost effective, safe, or necessary idea to me. We're talking about guys who are going to spend their entire careers with at least three other firefighter-EMTs at their side anyhow. It's not like we're preparing to toss them to the wolves and they need maximum exposure before we do so.

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28131. RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3803

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-01 22:04:27 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28129 Message: 28131

No better training than OJT!

If you take a different approach, not saying you're wrong believe me I hate
going lights and sirens to stuff that doesn't require it(selfishly for MY
safety). However, would you want one of them to make a silly mistake on you
or a family member in their first month because the other 3 FF-EMT's were
busy with other things on scene? Again, I'm not taking a side here I'm just
adding a different perspective. Besides before you know it they'll have to
get on a Medstar unit to bail them out!! Anyhow there might be a better way
to do it but I suppose that's up to the powers that be and not us here on
the board.

Bob

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
Behalf Of
rob.davis@... <mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2008 11:54 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3803

On Thursday, April 30, 2008 "Terry" said:
>
> Now that's interesting! Leave to FWFD to do something that makes
> sense.

I dunno. Doubling the number of emergency vehicles screaming across the city
just to give some EMS exposure to a bunch of guys who will get more than
enough experience in their first month on the job doesn't really add up to a
smart, cost effective, safe, or necessary idea to me. We're talking about
guys who are going to spend their entire careers with at least three other
firefighter-EMTs at their side anyhow. It's not like we're preparing to
toss

them to the wolves and they need maximum exposure before we do so.

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28132. Ebtech Audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-02 17:03:44 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28132 Message: 28132

Anyone on the list ever used this product with
their scanners, electronics, radios, etc.?

Does it work?, and is it worth the (insanely high)
price of 60 bucks for a friggin' plug?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28133. Re: Ebtech Audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-02 17:20:00 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28132 Message: 28133

Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh wrote:

> Will you give us more "info" on what you are "referring" too, and/or
> possibly a "link"?

Ooops. Sorry. Forgot the link:

http://www.ebtechaudio.com/humxdes.html

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28134. Re: Digest Number 3803

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-02 18:20:52 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28129 Message: 28134

I'm always glad to see how people with no idea about a subject can suddenly become experts!

First, there are only 2 Engines / Attacks being used for the ride-outs, not 65 (the number of fire apparatus in the FWFD, not including BC and some of the support units; i.e. EMS, Arson).

Second, granted most of their responses will be EMS related, they also make all other types of incidents. Guess you didn't see the news earlier this week when they rescued a person from a burning structure. Fortunately, fires are way down from not too many years ago and they more exposure they get know will benefit them and their co-workers in years to come.

Third, these ride-outs are part of their evaluation process that must be completed before they can go graduate; all part of the State requirements.

With your ideology, I guess EMT and paramedic students (as well as police officers, doctors, etc) don't need to spend time with mentors. Interesting thought process.

Greg Higgins

> I dunno. Doubling the number of emergency vehicles screaming across the city just to give some EMS exposure to a bunch of guys who will get more than enough experience in their first month on the job doesn't really add up to a smart, cost effective, safe, or necessary idea to me. We're talking about guys who are going to spend their entire careers with at least three other firefighter-EMTs at their side anyhow. It's not like we're preparing to toss them to the wolves and they need maximum exposure before we do so.
>
> Rob
>

28135. Re: Digest Number 3803

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-02 18:28:34 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28129 Message: 28135

Greg Higgins wrote:

> With your ideology, I guess EMT and paramedic students (as well as
> police officers, doctors, etc) don't need to spend time with mentors.
> Interesting thought process.

Don't know about others, but I damn sure want a
newbie to know what the hell they are doing if they
are going to shove an IV needle in MY arm!

Make that ANY needle......

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226 / Denco ERT 102 / FMCERT 82 /
Denco HEART / FMFD Rehab / FM CPSP

28136. Mayfest

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-03 00:31:14 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 28136 Message: 28136

Sounds like FD is working on TG (cps1) 5616 for the Mayfest

28137. City Garland MESH System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-04 16:59:48 UTC
From: RESCUE4NFD
Topic: 28137 Message: 28137

Here is a story from Dallas News on the Garland MESH System that never worked right.

Note: forwarded message attached.

RESCUE4NFD
Mike in Garland,Tx.

Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28138. dallasnews.com article from Mike

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-04 17:14:05 UTC
From: rescue4nfd@yahoo.com
Topic: 28138 Message: 28138

Mike [RESCUE4NFD@...] has sent you a link to an article from dallasnews.com:
http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/city/garland/stories/DN-garmesh_04met.ART0.Central.Edition1.462b98c.html

Sorry,Here is the LINK to the Garland MESH System that never worked right.

Mike in Garland

Garland found many holes in mesh system

28139. RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3803

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-04 23:35:59 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28129 Message: 28139

On Fri May 2, 2008 11:20 am, gs.higgins@... wrote:

> I'm always glad to see how people with no idea about a subject can
> suddenly become experts!

Suddenly? I was in EMS and fire before you were even out of high school, Greg. You obviously don't recall, but we even worked together in the early 80s. Funny that you would accuse Ben and I of making hasty assumptions. Oh, the irony!

Rob

28140. Re: Digest Number 3803

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-05 00:28:57 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28129 Message: 28140

You work with some of these new KIDS and tell me FtW doesn't have a better idea.
Most of them are totally lost when they get on a box because all the exposure they get is maybe 3 ride outs in EMT school. I really enjoy the ones that tell you that the only reason they are taking the EMT class is so they can get their fire cert, tells ya where their priorities are.....

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28141. Re: Digest Number 3803

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-05 00:36:03 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28129 Message: 28141

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Anderson <billemtp@...> wrote:
>
> I really enjoy the ones that tell you that the only reason they are taking the EMT class
> is so they can get their fire cert, tells ya where their priorities are.......

Yep. And that silliness leads to this sort of thing...

http://www.naplesnews.com/news/2008/apr/28/former-marco-firefighter-charged-practicing-emt-pa/

28142. DPD TAC CALL OUT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-06 00:41:32 UTC
From: Todd P. Stone
Topic: 28142 Message: 28142

Over on DPD CH6, 620 is calling for a TAC response.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28143. Dallas Fire Muster

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-06 13:52:34 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 23840 Message: 28143

Just a few pics from Saturdays' muster.

http://s220.photobucket.com/albums/dd197/Bill5508/Muster/

28144. Lancaster Public Works

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-08 01:44:51 UTC
From: duncanville1
Topic: 28144 Message: 28144

anyone have there frequency? All radio reference has is the PD & FD..

28145. Parker Co/Weatherford Signal Codes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-09 12:23:00 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28145 Message: 28145

Hi List,

I've been listening to Parker County (primarily Weatherford) agencies again lately and noticed the signals (i.e. Signal 7 = burglar alarm) they use for most of their calls correspond to Tarrant County's signals. In Parker County there are some different codes, like Signal 54 which means drugs, but for the most part they seem to be the same as Tarrant County's signals. Does anyone know if this is a recent change?

In past years, I tried keeping a file of the signal codes used in Parker County. At that time, there were few if any that matched up with Tarrant County's agencies signals. Every agency has their own additional signal codes they add on but the majority in Tarrant County are the same. And now, at least Weatherford is using the same signals as Tarrant County agencies it appears.

I guess my two questions are (1) does Parker County SO use the same signals as Weatherford PS and (2) has anyone else kept a record or have a list of the signals used in Parker County?

Thanks for any help.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28146. Slightly OT: Cell signal propogation onto audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-09 19:46:26 UTC
From: Jim Theisen
Topic: 28146 Message: 28146

Anyone else ever noticed this with their cellphones?

My cellphone (A Samsung SGH-D307) sends out a signal periodically that couples into any amplified audio circuits nearby. This isn't RF, it's honest-to-God audio frequency that couples in through a radio or even a CD player or bedside sound machine when the phone is placed nearby. It sounds like a growly "Buuuuhp - bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup". I first noticed it on my car radio but it took me forever to figure out the source. Finally the other night I had the sound machine on my alarm clock going as I snoozed. The phone was sitting on the bedside table right next to the clock. All of a sudden out of nowhere came that hideous, loud "Buuuuhp - bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup!!" I moved the phone away from the clock a few feet and the sound faded and stopped.

Wierd.

28147. RE: [dfwscan] Slightly OT: Cell signal propogation onto audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-09 20:00:11 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28146 Message: 28147

Yep I've got a Motorola L7 Slvr that does the same thing.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jim Theisen
Sent: Friday, May 09, 2008 2:46 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Slightly OT: Cell signal propogation onto audio

Anyone else ever noticed this with their cellphones?

My cellphone (A Samsung SGH-D307) sends out a signal periodically that couples into any amplified audio circuits nearby. This isn't RF, it's honest-to-God audio frequency that couples in through a radio or even a CD player or bedside sound machine when the phone is placed nearby. It sounds like a growly "Buuuuhp - bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup". I first noticed it on my car radio but it took me forever to figure out the source. Finally the other night I had the sound machine on my alarm clock going as I snoozed. The phone was sitting on the bedside table right next to the clock. All of a sudden out of nowhere came that hideous, loud "Buuuuhp - bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup!!" I moved the phone away from the clock a few feet and the sound faded and stopped.

Wierd.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28148. Re: [dfwscan] Slightly OT: Cell signal propogation onto audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-09 20:08:30 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28146 Message: 28148

No, it's RF... typically, the RF is coupling with a poorly-shielded amplifier section, thus the noise. The TDMA nature of GSM makes things worse - a GSM phone transmits for 0.577ms every 4.615ms (1:8 TDMA), which is where the buzz/pulsing comes from.

My XTS will get the speakers in my office with no issue, but, since it's typical FM, it's not all that objectionable (no buzz). The Crackberries, on the other hand... I have certain areas that are "cell phone OK" to avoid deafening myself with the buzz.

If you pay attention to the pattern, you can tell when the phone is about to ring. Amaze your friends with your awesome ESP.

Terry

---------- Original Message ----------
From: "Jim Theisen" <ftwskies@...>
Date: Fri, 09 May 2008 19:46:26 -0000

>Anyone else ever noticed this with their cellphones?
>
>My cellphone (A Samsung SGH-D307) sends out a signal periodically that
>couples into any amplified audio circuits nearby. This isn't RF, it's
>honest-to-God audio frequency that couples in through a radio or even
>a CD player or bedside sound machine when the phone is placed nearby.
> It sounds like a growly "Buuuuhp - bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup,
>bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup". I first noticed it on my car
>radio but it took me forever to figure out the source. Finally the
>other night I had the sound machine on my alarm clock going as I
>snoozed. The phone was sitting on the bedside table right next to the
>clock. All of a sudden out of nowhere came that hideous, loud
>"Buuuuhp - bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup,
>bup-ba-dup!!" I moved the phone away from the clock a few feet and
>the sound faded and stopped.
>
>Wierd.
>
>
>

Sent via the WebMail system at tvsjr.com

28149. Re: [dfwscan] Slightly OT: Cell signal propogation onto audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-09 20:13:14 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28146 Message: 28149

Very common on 800MHz cell phones like AT&T when the devices do their handshakes with the towers. The sound will come through all kinds of electronic devices, to include high end transmitters and scanners. Someone on this list I'm sure can tell us all the technical aspects of why it happens, but suffice it to say, its no wonder they make you turn off your cell phones on passenger planes when you fly (of course I'm sure I'll get arguments about that also). Was at a conference yesterday and the speaker was using a wireless microphone. He had his cell phone in his shirt pocket and received a call. He looked up at the ceiling with a stange WTF look at the speakers and shrugged. We told him he needed to answer his phone. He reached in his pocket, raised an eyebrow, said sure enough, threw it on the desk and went on with his presentation.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Theisen
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 09, 2008 2:46 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Slightly OT: Cell signal propogation onto audio

Anyone else ever noticed this with their cellphones?

My cellphone (A Samsung SGH-D307) sends out a signal periodically that couples into any amplified audio circuits nearby. This isn't RF, it's honest-to-God audio frequency that couples in through a radio or even a CD player or bedside sound machine when the phone is placed nearby. It sounds like a growly "Buuuuhp - bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup". I first noticed it on my car radio but it took me forever to figure out the source. Finally the other night I had the sound machine on my alarm clock going as I snoozed. The phone was sitting on the bedside table right next to the clock. All of a sudden out of nowhere came that hideous, loud "Buuuuhp - bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup, bup-ba-dup!!" I moved the phone away from the clock a few feet and the sound faded and stopped.

Wierd.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28150. Slightly OT: Cell signal propogation onto audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-10 12:47:39 UTC
From: Elmer Fudd
Topic: 28146 Message: 28150

Send or receive a long text message and listen to it for a while!!!

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28151. Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Public Works

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-11 05:26:13 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 28144 Message: 28151

Only freq I have ever seen licensed for them is 39.100 MHz. I was never
able to confirm it's use but it is still licensed.

----- Original Message -----
From: "duncanville1" <duncanville1@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 07, 2008 8:44 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Lancaster Public Works

> anyone have there frequency? All radio reference has is the PD & FD..
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

28152. Another new channel for Irving

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-11 12:52:58 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28152 Message: 28152

I'm hearing decimal talkgroup 550 being used to patch Irving PD to Dallas Air One at 07:51 5/11/2008. They're looking for a lojacked bait-bike. Oh, they're also patched on 2026.

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

28153. RE: [dfwscan] Another new channel for Irving

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-11 13:04:12 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28152 Message: 28153

2026 appears to be a patch between (550, "DFW AIR" from another source) and North Patrol. Sounds like they've found the bike, and Air One is clearing.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28154. Manhunt Collin County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-12 22:53:20 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28154 Message: 28154

Collin County Sheriff,DPS,Melissa Police in search for actor who bailedout of car police where chasing 5:12pm.

Mike in Garland

28155. Collin County Manhunt

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-12 23:23:32 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28155 Message: 28155

Actor still at large in Collin Co.,US Marshals,McKinney PD now on scene,along with Tracking Dogs and Game Wardens,and officers on horseback.6:21pm

Mike in Garland

28156. Collin County Manhunt

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-13 02:14:31 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28155 Message: 28156

The search for the actor in Collin County has been called off because of darkness.9:14pm

Mike in Garland

28157. RE: [dfwscan] Manhunt Collin County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-12 23:01:22 UTC
From: Mark Pitcock
Topic: 28154 Message: 28157

How did they know he was an actor?

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2008 5:53 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Manhunt Collin County

Collin County Sheriff,DPS,Melissa Police in search for actor who bailedout of car police where chasing 5:12pm.

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28158. RE: [dfwscan] Manhunt Collin County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-13 03:41:39 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28154 Message: 28158

Because he was wearing a Groucho Marx disguise and smoking a cigar while fleeing.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mark Pitcock
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2008 6:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Manhunt Collin County

How did they know he was an actor?

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Monday, May 12, 2008 5:53 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Manhunt Collin County

Collin County Sheriff,DPS,Melissa Police in search for actor who bailedout of car police where chasing 5:12pm.

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28159. Re: [dfwscan] Manhunt Collin County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-13 03:45:06 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28154 Message: 28159

You, sir, owe me a new keyboard. This one doesn't work with Dr Pepper
all over it!

-Melissa

Terry wrote:
>
> Because he was wearing a Groucho Marx disguise and smoking a cigar while
> fleeing.
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> Mark Pitcock
> Sent: Monday, May 12, 2008 6:01 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Manhunt Collin County
>
> How did they know he was an actor?
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> rescue4nfd
> Sent: Monday, May 12, 2008 5:53 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Manhunt Collin County
>
> Collin County Sheriff,DPS,Melissa Police in search for actor who
> bailedout of car police where chasing 5:12pm.
>
> Mike in Garland
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>
> Yahoo! Groups
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/;_ylc=X3oDMTJicW0wdjZwBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwS
WQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNnZnAEc3RpbWUDMTIxMDY1MDIwOQ-->
>
> Change settings via the Web
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/join;_ylc=X3oDMTJkZmNyaW5qBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycEl

kAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNzdG5ncwRzdGltZQMxMjEwNjUwMjA5>
> (Yahoo! ID required)
> Change settings via email: Switch delivery to Daily Digest
> <mailto:dfwscan-digest@yahoogroups.com?subject=Email%20Delivery:%20Digest>
> | Switch format to Traditional
> <mailto:dfwscan-traditional@yahoogroups.com?subject=Change%20Delivery%20Format:%20Traditional>
>
> Visit Your Group
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan;_ylc=X3oDMTJiYjZtMGVnBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNocGYEc3RpbWUDMTIxMDY1MDIwOQ-->
> | Yahoo! Groups Terms of Use <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> |
> Unsubscribe <mailto:dfwscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28161. RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3813

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-14 00:01:55 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28161 Message: 28161

On Mon May 12, 2008 7:59 pm, mpitcock said:
>
> How did they know he was an actor?

Simple statistical deduction. Half of all criminals in Collin County are actors. The other half are Dallas Cowboys. It's baseball season, so do the math.

Rob

28163. Re: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3813

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-14 06:19:27 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 28161 Message: 28163

Cowboys and criminals...isn't that usually the same thing?
DT

On 5/13/08, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...>
wrote:
>
> On Mon May 12, 2008 7:59 pm, mpitcock said:
> >
> > How did they know he was an actor?
>
> Simple statistical deduction. Half of all criminals in Collin County are
> actors. The other half are Dallas Cowboys. It's baseball season, so do the
> math.
>
> Rob
>
>
>

--
David.Taylor@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28164. Re: Re: [dfwscan] Manhunt Collin County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-14 22:12:26 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28154 Message: 28164

>You, sir, owe me a new keyboard. This one doesn't work with Dr Pepper
>all over it!

If he had been Heath Ledger, the bloodhounds
wouldn't have had any problems!

thank you, thank you, I'll be here all week...:P

28165. Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-15 02:00:09 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 28084 Message: 28165

This evening, I am picking up what definitely seems to be an MPT-1327 sounding control channel on 453.3625, one of the frequencies licensed to SWRCC for their new system. It was not present Monday night nor last week through the weekend (did not check yesterday). No voice activity found, but I'll leave the scanner running on log/record to see what turns up while I'm at work tomorrow. Trunkview is showing an ID of 0D91 from the control channel.

Still nothing on the separate set of frequencies that Duncanville licensed
<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2935552>
on their own, separate from the ones for the two-site SWRCC system.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> For the record they have several new frequencies licensed on UHF. I
> received information reference the model number of the radios believed
to
> have been purchased. Those Kenwood radios are UHF and will do
conventional,
> LTR and MPT1327. Time will tell but every indication I'm getting is at
least
> the cities of Desoto, Duncanville and Cedar Hill are most likely going
to be
> on UHF and MPT1327.
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Mark Colborn
> Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 10:47 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Cody Sheppard
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk
system?
>
> If they are sticking around in the VHF band, rebanding is not an issue
and
> thus not a hinderance to their overall implementation plans. All
you'all are
> trying to apply waaaaayyyy too much logic to this whole situation.
Doing
> what makes sense has never been the strong suite of any government
> organization. Dallas's new CAD system is a prime example. Now they
will have

> to spend twice as much as they would have originally to get the
equipment
> that was necessary to make it all work correctly, and nobody down in
City
> Hall is going to be held accountable or fired. Making sense would be
getting
> a communications system that everyone else in the area has, not
something
> totally brand new that aside from the VTAC Inteop channels is totally
> incompatable. It may have all boiled down to money, and LTR and
MPT1327
> systems are much cheaper than Moto or Ma/Comm trunked systems and the
> specialized radios that are needed to work on those systems. And the
group
> has probably been sold a bill of goods that no-body will be able to
monitor
> them, which is essentially nearly true. We shall just have to wait and
see.
>
> Mark
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Cody Sheppard
> To: Brian Daniels ; Blaine Brooks ; Justin Lentz
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 8:55 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk
system?
>
> The main issue is that rebanding is still in progress for this
region and
> I cant forsee anything moving forward until all that is taken care of.
I
> have not heard the rumor of ETMC going digital but with all there
sites and
> radios they have in service now I can just imagine what kind of
cluster that
> will be. As someone who has operated a trunked system for over two
years now
> my first response would be dont go trunked its a pain I recently
attended a
> rebanding meeting in Denton Co. every fire chief there wondered who
ever
> talked them into going trunked in the first place. SWRCC proably has
the
> combined resources to purchase radios and switch over but departments
like
> Red Oak and Glenn Heights are gonna find it very hard to be able to
have the
> kind of money on hand to switch all those radios over especially when

your
> talking about a handheld VHF radio costing 200 to 300 dollars versus a
> Trunked handheld costing upwards of 4 to 5 thousand dollars. They
> serve there purpose in some instances but sometimes it just comes
down to
> if it aint broke dont fix it...
>
> Cody
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28166. New Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-15 02:26:38 UTC
From: liesest
Topic: 2838 Message: 28166

I know there have been some message threads on this in the not too distant past, but I wanted to check to see if there are any new words of wisdom. I am ready to purchase a new scanner and based on those past threads am planning on buying a PSR-500.

Has anyone had any bad experiences with this radio?

Are there any online retails outlets that people would endorse or advise avoiding?

Do I really need one of the 3rd party software packages? If so, which one?
Thanks,
Steve

28167. RE: [dfwscan] New Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-15 03:31:45 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 2838 Message: 28167

I don't own one so I can't speak to performance. However a place I've done business with and have been happy with is:

http://www.hamstation.com/shopping/index.php?main_page=product_info&cPath=52_173&products_id=1385

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of liesest
Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2008 9:27 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] New Scanner

I know there have been some message threads on this in the not too distant past, but I wanted to check to see if there are any new words of wisdom. I am ready to purchase a new scanner and based on those past threads am planning on buying a PSR-500.

Has anyone had any bad experiences with this radio?

Are there any online retails outlets that people would endorse or advise avoiding?

Do I really need one of the 3rd party software packages? If so, which one?
Thanks,
Steve

28168. Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-15 05:21:45 UTC
From: combinecapt804
Topic: 28084 Message: 28168

I just got hired over there at Southwest Regional and when I was touring the comm center they told me the system is a Kenwood 450mhz system. I will give the group more information once I get over there and get started.

Cody

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
> This evening, I am picking up what definitely seems to be an MPT-1327
> sounding control channel on 453.3625, one of the frequencies licensed to
> SWRCC for their new system. It was not present Monday night nor last
> week through the weekend (did not check yesterday). No voice activity
> found, but I'll leave the scanner running on log/record to see what
> turns up while I'm at work tomorrow. Trunkview is showing an ID of 0D91
> from the control channel.
>
> Still nothing on the separate set of frequencies that Duncanville
> licensed
> <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2935552>
> on their own, separate from the ones for the two-site SWRCC system.
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@> wrote:
> >
> > For the record they have several new frequencies licensed on UHF. I
> > received information reference the model number of the radios believed
> to
> > have been purchased. Those Kenwood radios are UHF and will do
> conventional,
> > LTR and MPT1327. Time will tell but every indication I'm getting is at
> least
> > the cities of Desoto, Duncanville and Cedar Hill are most likely going
> to be
> > on UHF and MPT1327.
> >
> > Terry
> >

> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of
> > Mark Colborn
> > Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 10:47 PM
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Cody Sheppard
> > Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk
> system?
> >
> > If they are sticking around in the VHF band, rebanding is not an
issue
> and
> > thus not a hinderance to their overall implementation plans. All
> you'all are
> > trying to apply waaaaayyyy too much logic to this whole situation.
> Doing
> > what makes sense has never been the strong suite of any government
> > organization. Dallas's new CAD system is a prime example. Now they
> will have
> > to spend twice as much as they would have originally to get the
> equipment
> > that was necessary to make it all work correctly, and nobody down
in
> City
> > Hall is going to be held accountable or fired. Making sense would
be
> getting
> > a communications system that everyone else in the area has, not
> something
> > totally brand new that aside from the VTAC Inteop channels is
totally
> > incompatable. It may have all boiled down to money, and LTR and
> MPT1327
> > systems are much cheaper than Moto or Ma/Comm trunked systems and
the
> > specialized radios that are needed to work on those systems. And
the
> group
> > has probably been sold a bill of goods that no-body will be able
to
> monitor
> > them, which is essentially nearly true. We shall just have to
wait and
> see.
> >
> > Mark
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Cody Sheppard
> > To: Brian Daniels ; Blaine Brooks ; Justin Lentz

> > Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 8:55 PM
> > Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk
> system?
> >
> > The main issue is that rebanding is still in progress for this
> region and
> > I cant forsee anything moving forward until all that is taken
care of.
> I
> > have not heard the rumor of ETMC going digital but with all there
> sites and
> > radios they have in service now I can just imagine what kind of
> cluster that
> > will be. As someone who has operated a trunked system for over two
> years now
> > my first response would be dont go trunked its a pain I recently
> attended a
> > rebanding meeting in Denton Co. every fire chief there wondered
who
> ever
> > talked them into going trunked in the first place. SWRCC proably
has
> the
> > combined resources to purchase radios and switch over but
departments
> like
> > Red Oak and Glenn Heights are gonna find it very hard to be able
to
> have the
> > kind of money on hand to switch all those radios over especially
when
> your
> > talking about a handheld VHF radio costing 200 to 300 dollars
versus a
> > Trunked handheld costing upwards of 4 to 5 thousand dollars. They
> > serve there purpose in some instances but sometimes it just
comes
> down to
> > if it aint broke dont fix it...
> >
> > Cody
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28169. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-15 07:12:23 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28084 Message: 28169

Good catch, and confirmed. Running TrunkView on it now, getting ALH (General Single Codeword) and CCSC (Control Channel System Codeword or System ID 0D91) info. streaming up the page when selecting Filter option #5.

Sure hope they remember to put all the UHF interop channels in their radios when they program them up, or a patch to them in dispatch, or nobody in the area will be able to talk to them anymore.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: hiegtx
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2008 9:00 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

This evening, I am picking up what definitely seems to be an MPT-1327 sounding control channel on 453.3625, one of the frequencies licensed to SWRCC for their new system. It was not present Monday night nor last week through the weekend (did not check yesterday). No voice activity found, but I'll leave the scanner running on log/record to see what turns up while I'm at work tomorrow. Trunkview is showing an ID of 0D91 from the control channel.

Still nothing on the separate set of frequencies that Duncanville licensed
<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2935552>
on their own, separate from the ones for the two-site SWRCC system.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> For the record they have several new frequencies licensed on UHF. I
> received information reference the model number of the radios believed to
> have been purchased. Those Kenwood radios are UHF and will do conventional,
> LTR and MPT1327. Time will tell but every indication I'm getting is at least
> the cities of Desoto, Duncanville and Cedar Hill are most likely going to be
> on UHF and MPT1327.
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of

> Mark Colborn
> Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 10:47 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Cody Sheppard
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk
system?
>
> If they are sticking around in the VHF band, rebanding is not an issue
and
> thus not a hinderance to their overall implementation plans. All
you'all are
> trying to apply waaaaayyyy too much logic to this whole situation.
Doing
> what makes sense has never been the strong suite of any government
> organization. Dallas's new CAD system is a prime example. Now they
will have
> to spend twice as much as they would have originally to get the
equipment
> that was necessary to make it all work correctly, and nobody down in
City
> Hall is going to be held accountable or fired. Making sense would be
getting
> a communications system that everyone else in the area has, not
something
> totally brand new that aside from the VTAC Inteop channels is totally
> incompatable. It may have all boiled down to money, and LTR and
MPT1327
> systems are much cheaper than Moto or Ma/Comm trunked systems and the
> specialized radios that are needed to work on those systems. And the
group
> has probably been sold a bill of goods that no-body will be able to
monitor
> them, which is essentially nearly true. We shall just have to wait and
see.
>
> Mark
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Cody Sheppard
> To: Brian Daniels ; Blaine Brooks ; Justin Lentz
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 8:55 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk
system?
>
> The main issue is that rebanding is still in progress for this
region and
> I cant forsee anything moving forward until all that is taken care of.
I
> have not heard the rumor of ETMC going digital but with all there
sites and

> radios they have in service now I can just imagine what kind of
cluster that
> will be. As someone who has operated a trunked system for over two
years now
> my first response would be dont go trunked its a pain I recently
attended a
> rebanding meeting in Denton Co. every fire chief there wondered who
ever
> talked them into going trunked in the first place. SWRCC proably has
the
> combined resources to purchase radios and switch over but departments
like
> Red Oak and Glenn Heights are gonna find it very hard to be able to
have the
> kind of money on hand to switch all those radios over especially when
your
> talking about a handheld VHF radio costing 200 to 300 dollars versus a
> Trunked handheld costing upwards of 4 to 5 thousand dollars. They
> serve there purpose in some instances but sometimes it just comes
down to
> if it aint broke dont fix it...
>
> Cody
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28170. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-15 22:41:54 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28084 Message: 28170

Mark, does DPD have the interop channels or anything besides their 12 regular channels in their radios?
I have never heard anybody mention this and the last time I saw a DPD radio was about 1978.

Tom

Mark Colborn wrote:

> Sure hope they remember to put all the UHF interop channels in their radios when they program them up,
>
>

28171. Re: New Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 01:00:21 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 2838 Message: 28171

Steve,

The PSR-500 is a good scanner. One word of caution: Don't feed it with too much antenna if you live near cell sites or a cluster of repeater sites, like Downtown Dallas or Cedar Hill. When I got mine, I immediately put one of the Radio Shack 800mhz antennas on it. I found that I was missing some transmissions (near cell sites or repeater sites) that the 396, with the same antenna, picked up. The scanner can overload & desense.

For use around town, & in my daily commute, I've been using the stock antenna. Yesterday, sitting at the traffic light at Sylvan & Ft Worth Ave. (which is sort of down in a hole), I was picking up traffic on the Parker County P25 system, even though the scanner, with stock antenna, was sitting in a cup holder in the console of my truck. Not bad. Once I get away from the city's rf jungle, I switch to something with gain, usually a Diamond RH77CA or RH771, which are tailored for Vhf-high & Uhf. That's much more common where I travel out west of Fort Worth.

As to software, no it's not mandatory, but I'd highly recommend it. Especially when you're trying to text tag several hundred channels or talkgroup id's. There are three programs available: WIN500, PSREdit500, and ARC500. All three offer a 30 day full function trial period. Links to find them, as well as more info on the scanner, can be found in the RadioReference Wiki page
<http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/PSR-500> for the 500. I ended up registering both WIN500 & PSREdit. There were a few subtle differences, especially at the time I registered, where I liked certain features enough in each to purchase both. Try them all, buy the one you like.

Terry mentioned The Ham Station. I've never dealt with them, but they have an excellent reputation for service.

I bought my PSR500 from ScannerMaster. http://www.scannermaster.com/
I've also bought a number of scanners & other accessories from Grove. http://grove-ent.com/
I've gotten good service & fast shipping from both. The very few times there were any problems were handled immediately, to my complete satisfaction.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "liesest" <steve@...> wrote:
>
> I know there have been some message threads on this in the not too
> distant past, but I wanted to check to see if there are any new words
> of wisdom. I am ready to purchase a new scanner and based on those
> past threads am planning on buying a PSR-500.

>
> Has anyone had any bad experiences with this radio?
>
> Are there any online retails outlets that people would endorse or
> advise avoiding?
>
> Do I really need one of the 3rd party software packages? If so, which
> one?
> Thanks,
> Steve
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28172. Re: [dfwscan] Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 05:54:31 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28084 Message: 28172

Yep Tom, we are finally out of the dark ages and actually are movin high speed, with relatively low drag, into the 21st century, no longer using a motorcycle radio! The transition was a little bumpy for some of our ole' timers, but they are finally coming around! Our new Technisonic TDFM-7000 quad module P-25 compliant and capable transmitters are programmed with all the latest NLEC/NPSPAC, UTAC and VTAC channels. Region 40 fire channels. DFW East and DFW West. Texas Law 1 thur 3, Texas Fire 1 thru 3 and Texas Medical 1 and 2 as well as all the normal agency (PD and FDs) within flying range. My feeling is if you're flying a two million dollar helicopter and can't talk to anybody, what good are you. I have actually been critized for putting in too much. Granted, we seldom get called far from home, but as in the case of Fannin County several years ago when we went up there to help locate a suspect who murdered four townspeople, it sure was nice to be able to talk to the Sheriff when we got there.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Tom ND5Y
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 15, 2008 5:41 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Mark, does DPD have the interop channels or anything besides their 12
regular channels in their radios?
I have never heard anybody mention this and the last time I saw a DPD
radio was about 1978.

Tom

Mark Colborn wrote:

> Sure hope they remember to put all the UHF interop channels in their
radios when they program them up,
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28173. best digital scanner made under $1000.00

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 09:59:21 UTC
From: bigfoot747@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 28173 Message: 28173

CAN U PLEASE direct me to the best digital going... i will be buying
one shortly perfer base unit but handheld will do

28174. Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 13:25:27 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 28084 Message: 28174

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> Good catch, and confirmed. Running TrunkView on it now, getting ALH (General Single Codeword) and CCSC (Control Channel System Codeword or System ID 0D91) info. streaming up the page when selecting Filter option #5.
>
> Sure hope they remember to put all the UHF interop channels in their radios when they program them up, or a patch to them in dispatch, or nobody in the area will be able to talk to them anymore.
>
> Mark

Yesterday I was hearing a MPT-1327 control channel on 460.0375 which from RR looks like the Cedar Hill Tower. I ran Trunkview on it for a couple of hours and got the ALH's as well as the CCSC's with a System ID of 0D99.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

28175. Weirdness on Arlington Fire Channel

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 18:02:19 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28175 Message: 28175

Anybody here listen a lot to Arlington Fire? If so, I have a couple of questions.

First, several times a day, I hear an Arlington Police patrol dispatch talkgroup coming through loud and clear on the Fire Alerting talkgorup (56496). It will always be for just one conversation, then it stops. It's never continuously over a long period. It's crystal clear though, not like bleedover. Has anybody heard this and/or figured out what it was?

I should note that it happens on both my 396 and my 996, and that I live so close to the southside transmitter that I can receive Arlington loud and clear with no antenna attached.

Also, there doesn't seem to be any rhyme or reason to how they initially dispatch runs. You would think that all runs would be dispatched over the Alerting channel, but I can go all night without hearing anything there, yet runs are being dispatched and units are responding. Other times, you hear everything (except ambulances, of course) get dispatched there.

And, like used to happen when they were still toning out on UHF, the stupid EMS dispatcher still seems to occasionally leave their console on simulcast, so everything they say ends up going out on the Alerting channel all day/night.

I'm just wondering if anybody has devoted enough time to AFD to figure out the rhyme and reason of how they do things.

Thanks,

Rob

28176. Re: [dfwscan] best digital scanner made under $1000.00

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 18:23:23 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28173 Message: 28176

>CAN U PLEASE direct me to the best digital going... i will be buying
>one shortly perfer base unit but handheld will do

Depends on personal needs and preferences, but I'd
recommend looking at the PSR-500 & 600, the BC396,
and the BC996. Personally I'd go with the GRE's.

28177. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 18:30:00 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 2838 Message: 28177

>The PSR-500 is a good scanner. One word of caution: Don't feed it >with too much antenna if you live near cell sites or a cluster of >repeater sites

I love my PSR500. The only complaint that I have with it is that when I take it mobile, occasionally on trunked systems it will
go into, what I call, "listening to the trunked system in a tornado mode". And that is just what the audio sounds like. No beeps or quirps, etc. but just something that sounds like intense wind noise over the trunked system audio, which while still there, gets overpowered by this pseudo "wind noise". I assume it is just overload of some type, but it happens quite often. I don't have this at home. Just while mobile. But it IS annoying. I don't have the radio attached to a rooftop antenna when mobile, just using the 800mhz antenna. Perhaps an antenna on the outside surface of the vehicle would help, I don't know.

28178. Current BCD396T and BCD996T Online Prices

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 19:09:28 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28178 Message: 28178

Since someone asked, here is a May update of the current Bearcat pricelist that I have been maintaining for the 396 and 996 groups. These prices are as of today 16 May 2007, and represent the advertised online prices for the top ten online Bearcat dealers.

Bearcat1.com (Hobby Radio in Kettering, OH)
480.00 + 14.00 = 494.00

BearcatScanner.com (Sacramento, CA)
501.91 + 10.00 = 511.91

ScannerMaster.com (Natik, MA)
499.95 + 13.23 = 513.18

Grove-Ent.com (Brasstown, NC)
499.95 + 16.95 = 516.90

USAscan.com (Communications Electronics in Ann Arbor, MI)
519.95 (s&h incl.)

BearcatWarehouse.com (Clarksville, MD)
499.90 + 21.05 = 520.95

ScannerWorld.com (Albany, NY)
509.99 + 13.75 = 523.74

HamStation.com (Evansville, IN)
530.00 + ?

TheScannerOutlet.com (Holbrook, MA)
529.95 + 11.95 = 541.90

Universal-Radio.com (Reynoldsburg, OH)
529.95 + 14.95 = 544.99 (BCD396T)
539.95 + 14.95 = 554.99 (BCD996T)

28179. Re: New Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 19:15:51 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 2838 Message: 28179

Blaine,

You're getting some of the same symptoms I was, using the 800mhz antenna. That's why I went back to the stock antenna for in-city use. The multiple cell sites just overloaded the scanner too much, causing it to de-sense or do the unexpected. (There's probably a half dozen, at least, within a half mile of my house, some of them are multiple site arrays.) Using the stock antenna, the signal level is reduced enough to eliminate that annoyance, yet the scanner is so sensitive that I still hear the distant trunked systems like Plano & Ft. Worth. (Distant from my house.)

With a gain antenna, and the overload, even using the attenuator, I was rarely picking up any Tx DPS activity. Again, the rf overload & de-sense at work. With the stock antenna, I am receiving them. As I've said previously, once I get out of the inner city, like down into Ellis County, or out west of Fort Worth, I'll switch to a better antenna with good results.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> >The PSR-500 is a good scanner. One word of caution: Don't feed it >with too much antenna if you live near cell sites or a cluster of >repeater sites
>
> I love my PSR500. The only complaint that I have with it is that when I take it mobile, occasionally on trunked systems it will
> go into, what I call, "listening to the trunked system in a tornado mode". And that is just what the audio sounds like. No beeps or quirps, etc. but just something that sounds like intense wind noise over the trunked system audio, which while still there, gets overpowered by this pseudo "wind noise". I assume it is just overload of some type, but it happens quite often. I don't have this at home. Just while mobile. But it IS annoying. I don't have the radio attached to a rooftop antenna when mobile, just using the 800mhz antenna. Perhaps an antenna on the outside surface of the vehicle would help, I don't know.
>

28180. Sky 4 Helicopter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 22:23:48 UTC
From: dvd_rsl
Topic: 28180 Message: 28180

The Fox Sky 4 Helicopter has circling low and slow over my southeast Richardson neighborhood for over thirty minutes. I don't hear anything related happening with Richardson or Garland PD's on my scanner.
Anyone know what's up ?
David
Richardson

28181. RE: [dfwscan] Sky 4 Helicopter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 22:42:20 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28180 Message: 28181

2 toddlers were pulled from a swimming pool on Morningstar about 3 PM. Both CPR and both likely dead by now. Sky 4 is shooting scene I would suspect.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dvd_rsl
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 5:24 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Sky 4 Helicopter

The Fox Sky 4 Helicopter has circling low and slow over my southeast Richardson neighborhood for over thirty minutes. I don't hear anything related happening with Richardson or Garland PD's on my scanner.
Anyone know what's up ?
David
Richardson

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28182. RE: [dfwscan] best digital scanner made under $1000.00

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-16 23:02:26 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28173 Message: 28182

Really only 2 to chose from, the Uniden 996 and GRE PSR-600. The 600 is the newest out and does NAC decode which is nice. There are some complains of front-end overload however. There is also a big difference how the system data is arranged. I don't have a PSR but did own the PRO-96 and 2096 which I've been told are similar. To me it's not nearly as easy of a learning curve as the Unidens are.

I suspect both have positives and negatives and it's usually the user's preference that matters. I would really look at the display and how the data is arranged as the deciding points. Also if NAC decode is important then that wipes out Uniden.

For the record I use mine in my work and have 3 996's and a 396 (handheld version) currently.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of bigfoot747@...
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 4:59 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] best digital scanner made under $1000.00

CAN U PLEASE direct me to the best digital going... i will be buying one shortly perfer base unit but handheld will do

28183. Etmc air 1 west

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 01:19:06 UTC
From: pwrigg@yahoo.com
Topic: 28183 Message: 28183

Just heard etms air 1 saying they were making an emergency landing number 2 engine failure.

Dispatch confirms.

Dispatch calls back twice no reply for several minutes.

Finally come back with safe emergency landing.

Got my blood pumping

Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

28184. Re: [dfwscan] Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 02:27:03 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28084 Message: 28184

Aaahhh geeze Tom, you mean I went through all that and didn't have too! Car radios only have 12 DPD channels and the new handhelds are being programmed with DPD's 12 and DFR channels.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: "Tom ND5Y" <nd5y@...>
To: "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...>
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 7:30 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

>I knew the helicopters had to be like that. What I meant was the regular
> patrol car radios and hand helds.
>
> Tom

28185. Re: Sky 4 Helicopter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 02:50:39 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28180 Message: 28185

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:

>both likely dead by now.

Considering that both girls are still alive, albeit with the help
of ventilators, I'd say for the moment they have surpassed
earlier predictions.......

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> dvd_rsl
> Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 5:24 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Sky 4 Helicopter
>
> The Fox Sky 4 Helicopter has circling low and slow over my southeast
> Richardson neighborhood for over thirty minutes. I don't hear anything
> related happening with Richardson or Garland PD's on my scanner.
> Anyone know what's up ?
> David
> Richardson
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups
Links
>

28186. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Sky 4 Helicopter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 03:49:53 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28180 Message: 28186

Trouble with what I do. I see far too many incident victims die so after a while you just automatically expect the worse. I hope they make a full recovery and maybe some parents out there keep better watch on their kids after hearing about this. Things like this really do happen in a heartbeat...

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Chris Easley
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 9:51 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Sky 4 Helicopter

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:

>both likely dead by now.

Considering that both girls are still alive, albeit with the help of ventilators, I'd say for the moment they have surpassed earlier predictions.....

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> dvd_rsl
> Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 5:24 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Sky 4 Helicopter
>
> The Fox Sky 4 Helicopter has circling low and slow over my southeast
> Richardson neighborhood for over thirty minutes. I don't hear anything
> related happening with Richardson or Garland PD's on my scanner.
> Anyone know what's up ?
> David
> Richardson
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

>

28187. RE: [dfwscan] Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 04:03:28 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28084 Message: 28187

Not only do they have the DPD and DFR channels but Dallas Water Utilities as well! Guess the folks at Communications decided to stick everything that was still on UHF is the radios!

The sad part is they're zoned and most cops don't know how to find what they need. Also several I've talked to had no idea DWU stood for Dallas Water Utilities and after I told them the next questions was "why the hell is that in there"!

As usual there's been no real training on how to work the radio or what's the difference between DFR "fire", "ems" and "dispatch". I've stood in a Quiktrip with a whole heard gathered round and explained it. When I explain the time lag between them requesting an ambulance on PD or switching to FD and doing it they pay attention. Especially when I point out the fact your partner is down and if you request an ambulance through PD they will 9 times out on 10 get it as a n injured person. No engine company assigned and the same type of call as when an officer has maced a suspect. You tell that EMS crew loud and clear it's police shot and they mash the gas a little more!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mark Colborn
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 9:27 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Aaahhh geeze Tom, you mean I went through all that and didn't have too! Car radios only have 12 DPD channels and the new handhelds are being programmed with DPD's 12 and DFR channels.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: "Tom ND5Y" <nd5y@...>
To: "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...>
Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 7:30 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

>I knew the helicopters had to be like that. What I meant was the regular
> patrol car radios and hand helds.
>
> Tom

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28188. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Sky 4 Helicopter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 04:53:37 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 28180 Message: 28188

Check out "secondary drowning" before you place your bets.
DT

On 5/16/08, Chris Easley <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, "Terry"
> <terry@...> wrote:
>
> >both likely dead by now.
>
> Considering that both girls are still alive, albeit with the help
> of ventilators, I'd say for the moment they have surpassed
> earlier predictions.......
>
> Chris Easley
> Cleburne, TX
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:
> dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> > dvd_rsl
> > Sent: Friday, May 16, 2008 5:24 PM
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Sky 4 Helicopter
> >
> > The Fox Sky 4 Helicopter has circling low and slow over my southeast
> > Richardson neighborhood for over thirty minutes. I don't hear anything
> > related happening with Richardson or Garland PD's on my scanner.
> > Anyone know what's up ?
> > David
> > Richardson
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups
> Links
> >
>
>
>

--
David.Taylor@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28189. Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 04:58:23 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 28084 Message: 28189

I am hearing another control channel other then 453.3625/453.3875 for Desoto and 460.0375 for Cedar Hill. This one is on 453.6625 and is reporting a system ID of 0D89, maybe Duncanville?

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

28190. Re:Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 16:36:47 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28084 Message: 28190

>Car radios only have 12 DPD channels and the new handhelds are >being programmed with DPD's 12 and DFR channels.

Aaah, me wonders what deployment has programmed in
their 800's BESIDES their own talkgroups...hmmmm...
Do they assist other cities on cases outside of
City Of Dallas, or are they IN CITY cases only?

28191. Re: New Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 16:57:26 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 2838 Message: 28191

>You're getting some of the same symptoms I was, using the 800mhz
>antenna. That's why I went back to the stock antenna for in-city >use.

That's interesting you say that, as if my feeble mind can
remember, I don't recall having the same problem with the stock antenna as I do with the RS 800. Since most of my monitoring while mobile has been primarily for 800, I have always just stuck with the 800 antenna. However, I believe I'll start testing out and maybe going back to the stock when mobile, to see if anything gets better. Also, I'll try the attentuator on and off thing. My feeling is the same as you, in that, this is a very sensitive scanner, that just gets overwhelmed at times in a metro setting. A very common occurence with radios trying to listen to ALL bands. And with cellular towers and overhead high voltage power lines pretty much everywhere the eye can see (unless perhaps you're in the middle of the Sahara or Death Valley), the radio is basically yelling "oh, my god!" because there is so much it can pull in. Sensitivity does have its drawbacks. BUT..I would MUCH rather have a radio that can (and will) pick up a tremendous amount, than one that is as deaf as a tree stump! Things can always be attentuated out and filtered. But if you can't pick up anything in the first place, well, all you have is a 500-600 dollar brick! :)

28192. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 19:29:22 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 2838 Message: 28192

I really like the versatility of the PSR-500 because of the memory capability and the number of systems that it can store, but I find that for overall reception qualities while mobile, I keep migrating back to my ole' trusty PRO-96 because it just doesn't de-sense as often as the PSR. Blaine's description of the "tornado mode" is pretty acurate when you get in a very high RF intense area, like around NextHell towers! My PSR-500, after a year of use, doesn't seem (maybe the newness has worn off) to perform as well as when it was new. I've noticed a marked decrease in the AM air band reception.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: blaine.brooks@...
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com ; hiegtx
Sent: Saturday, May 17, 2008 11:57 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: New Scanner

>You're getting some of the same symptoms I was, using the 800mhz
>antenna. That's why I went back to the stock antenna for in-city >use.

That's interesting you say that, as if my feeble mind can
remember, I don't recall having the same problem with the stock antenna as I do with the RS 800. Since most of my monitoring while mobile has been primarily for 800, I have always just stuck with the 800 antenna. However, I believe I'll start testing out and maybe going back to the stock when mobile, to see if anything gets better. Also, I'll try the attentuator on and off thing. My feeling is the same as you, in that, this is a very sensitive scanner, that just gets overwhelmed at times in a metro setting. A very common occurence with radios trying to listen to ALL bands. And with cellular towers and overhead high voltage power lines pretty much everywhere the eye can see (unless perhaps you're in the middle of the Sahara or Death Valley), the radio is basically yelling "oh, my god!" because there is so much it can pull in. Sensitivity does have its drawbacks. BUT..I would MUCH rather have a radio that can (and will) pick up a tremendous amount, than one that is as deaf as a tree stump! Things can always be attentuated out and filtered. But if you can't pick up anything in the first place, well, all you have is a 500-600 dollar brick! :)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28193. BCD996T on a GoldWing!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-17 23:51:21 UTC
From: crowemag55
Topic: 28193 Message: 28193

Hey guys;

For those of you that share the same hobbies of Scanning AND motorcycle touring, I've uploaded pics of my 996T installed on a 2004 Honda GL1800 Goldwing in the BCD996T discussion group. (http://ph.groups.yahoo.com/group/BCD996T/photos/browse/bf36). Included are the Remote head unit. Also included is Garmin's Serial GPS "puck" to take advantage of the 996T's cool GPS features.. It's pretty cool to have the scanner running in the background on the intercom channel and have it switch to places of interest automatically as you move through the metroplex..

Paul Opitz, if you're "listening", it sure would've been nice if you guys at Uniden had carried forward the ability to plug the laptop into the RH for firmware upgrades, and programing changes... The fact that a guy NEEDS the Remote Head tends to indicate that it's going to be installed in a less accessible location... Instead you tease us with the unused cutout on the faceplate... :->

Anyway, just thought ya'll might like to see an installation that's a bit different!

John

28194. 2 Alarm Fire Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 00:12:52 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28194 Message: 28194

2 alarm fire First/Walnut..Warehouse

Mike in Garland

28195. Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 12:59:17 UTC
From: yamiam3
Topic: 28084 Message: 28195

I'm hearing what sounds like a control or data channel on 453.5125,
which I suspect is the system that's been discussed here lately. I also
have this listed as Roanoke PD Channel 2. Granted, I am in between the
two, it seems like that might be kind of close together and that the
two might interfere with each other.
I'll have to admit my ignorance on MPT1327 systems, so it may not be
the case. I'm open to corrections and explanations.
Thanks,
Ben

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "sweetness1955" <sweetness1955@...>
wrote:
>
> I just read on a RadioReference.com forum that Desoto and Cedar Hill
> are supposed to go to a trunked system in May 2008? Any idea what
type
> system?
>

28196. Re:Etmc air 1 west

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 14:06:42 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28183 Message: 28196

I thought the idea of twin engine EMS helicopters was to keep flying when one engine failed? Something else must have been going on.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28197. Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 14:35:29 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 28084 Message: 28197

Ben,
Interference for other users of the adjacent frequencies (many of these are wedged in between the Dallas PD & Fire Rescue assignments), as well as assignments that seemed to be duplicated elsewhere in the area, was something that caught my attention as well. Apparently, objections due to potential interference were raised during the FCC licensing process, but it appears that these just squeak under the wire according to signal strength curve study. See the link Reply to Apco Objections <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applAdmin.jsp?applID=\
4076061#> under the Admin tab for the base license <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applAdmin.jsp?applID=\
4076061> .

Due to bleedover interference from Dallas PD & Fire Rescue, when I've checked for activity on the new system, I've been using the attenuator.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "yamiam3" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> I'm hearing what sounds like a control or data channel on 453.5125,
> which I suspect is the system that's been discussed here lately. I
also
> have this listed as Roanoke PD Channel 2. Granted, I am in between
the
> two, it seems like that might be kind of close together and that the
> two might interfere with each other.
> I'll have to admit my ignorance on MPT1327 systems, so it may not be
> the case. I'm open to corrections and explanations.
> Thanks,
> Ben
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28198. East Texas EMS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 14:43:49 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 14355 Message: 28198

Here is a link about them switching to the P25 system.

http://etmc.org/pdf/warn.pdf

28199. Fatality Accident Plano

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 15:49:59 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28199 Message: 28199

Last night there was a fatality accident in Plano around 3:00 am. Does anyone have any info on this.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28200. Re: [dfwscan] Re:Etmc air 1 west

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 19:47:14 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28183 Message: 28200

No, the idea is to deliver additional shaft horsepower to provide additional gross weight capacity and/or speed. Losing an engine is thus a critical failure.

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Bill Anderson <billemtp@...>
Date: Sun, 18 May 2008 07:06:42 -0700 (PDT)

>I thought the idea of twin engine EMS helicopters was to keep flying when one engine failed? Something else must have been going on.
>
>Bill Anderson
>
>
>Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

Sent via the WebMail system at tvsjr.com

28201. Re: Etmc air 1 west

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 20:34:16 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28183 Message: 28201

>From: tvsjr <tvsjr@...>

>Losing an engine is thus a critical failure.

I concur, and I'm sure Mark Colborn (DPD Air One)
will attest, losing engine power in a helicopter
is not a fun thing in the least. It's basically
set it down, and quick!. Even with an autorotation,
nothing is guaranteed (those are not fun either by
the way!). We saw that with the Fox 4 and KRLD
choppers. At least with a plane (depending on
elevation)if you lose engine power, you can
search for a field or clearing. With helos,
it's a whole different animal (and a frightening one
at that)!

28202. Re: [dfwscan] Etmc air 1 west

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 21:30:09 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28183 Message: 28202

That may be true Bill, but when you don't know why the first one quit, its better to be on the ground figuring out why it did just in case the second one decides to quit also. There may be contaminates in the fuel, or the pump jockey at the airport filled you up with the wrong fuel. Another consideration is how much power margin is available. Most light twin engined airplanes and helicopters barely have enough power to keep on flying with one engine, especially if they are loaded heavy or have a lot of fuel on board.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Anderson
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 18, 2008 9:06 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re:Etmc air 1 west

I thought the idea of twin engine EMS helicopters was to keep flying when one engine failed? Something else must have been going on.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28203. RE: [dfwscan] Etmc air 1 west

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-18 21:36:08 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28183 Message: 28203

Yeah like they say on the blue collar comedy tour, that other engine will take you right to the scene of the crash, that second motor can be the difference in being an airborne paperweight, or finding a safe place to land.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mark Colborn
Sent: Sunday, May 18, 2008 16:30
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Etmc air 1 west

That may be true Bill, but when you don't know why the first one quit, its better to be on the ground figuring out why it did just in case the second one decides to quit also. There may be contaminates in the fuel, or the pump jockey at the airport filled you up with the wrong fuel. Another consideration is how much power margin is available. Most light twin engined airplanes and helicopters barely have enough power to keep on flying with one engine, especially if they are loaded heavy or have a lot of fuel on board.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Anderson
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 18, 2008 9:06 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re:Etmc air 1 west

I thought the idea of twin engine EMS helicopters was to keep flying when one engine failed? Something else must have been going on.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28204. Programming trunked radio systems into my scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 00:37:36 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28204 Message: 28204

Hey guys, first let me say thanks for a great group here! Lots of cool info.

I have a question regarding Trunked Radio Systems and my Pro-97 scanner.

When I bought it at Radio Shack here in Arlington, the manager cloned it from a display model and gave me a lot of good stuff to monitor.

On Radio Reference, the listing from these systems show control frequencies, and "other" frequencies (Red, blue, and black fonts).

My scanner was loaded with only control frequencies apparently, and it seems to be working fine.

My question is this:

Do I need to worry about the extra frequencies?

28205. Same Weather Alerts and My BC246T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 01:33:43 UTC
From: Jason Day
Topic: 28205 Message: 28205

Hey guys, ive got a question. I programmed my BC246T with multiple SAME Weather codes before the last big storms went through using ARC246 and put my BC246T into scan mode and never got an alert. It was my first time using it. Do i have to have it scanning in the weather mode or should my scanner automatically pick it up? Ive looked through the OM with no luck.

Thanks,
Jason

_____
Make every e-mail and IM count. Join the i?m Initiative from Microsoft.
http://im.live.com/Messenger/IM/Join/Default.aspx?source=EML_WL_ MakeCount

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28206. LTR Programming

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 07:20:49 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28206 Message: 28206

Anybody here familiar with LTR system programming of the Motorola HT1550XLS utilising CPS? I've got all the goods, and am relatively adept at using CPS. I just need somebody to maybe talk me through LTR system programming once.

Thanks,

Rob

28207. RE: [dfwscan] Etmc air 1 west

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 13:40:59 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg - yahoo
Topic: 28183 Message: 28207

TO update a little more of what I heard. (would have done this sooner but typing a long paragraph on a blackberry is not fun. LOL)

I did hear some mention of a Mechanic being notified several minutes prior to them making the emergency landing. Sounded like they were headed back to the airport.

Keep in mind I had the TV going, as well as a couple of other radios.

So I do remember hearing twice traffic about notification of the mechanic.

Then the adrenalin pumping Emergency Landing radio call came.

Air 1 West delcairing an Emergency Landing Number 2 Engine Failure. That was it. 30 seconds later another voice came on in the same helo and said Air 1 West that was an emergency landing.

Dispatcher called them back several times with no replies. That was the worst part. I am not connected to them in any way and I was really hoping for the best, I can only imagine what anybody else working with them heard since all the helicopters use the same talk group, as well as dispatchers. WOW..

They then radioed back saying they had made a hard emergency landing, everybody was ok, and needed to start making notifications.

About 20-30 minutes passed and they asked for an ETA, and said they would need a tow to the hanger.

Few minutes later he said they needed to tow whats left of the helo back.

When I heard him say "whats left" I started to wonder just how hard they landed.

Also not sure where they are based out of, but they asked the dispatcher to make arrangements for them to get back "home" and sounded like another helo was going their way. But not sure.

Anybody local have any more info?
Oh this was at the Tyler airport I believe.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Renois, Daniel
Sent: Sunday, May 18, 2008 5:36 PM
To: Mark Colborn; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Etmc air 1 west

Yeah like they say on the blue collar comedy tour, that other engine will take you right to the scene of the crash, that second motor can be the difference in being an airborne paperweight, or finding a safe place to land.

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com] On Behalf
Of Mark Colborn
Sent: Sunday, May 18, 2008 16:30
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Etmc air 1 west

That may be true Bill, but when you don't know why the first one quit, its better to be on the ground figuring out why it did just in case the second one decides to quit also. There may be contaminates in the fuel, or the pump jockey at the airport filled you up with the wrong fuel. Another consideration is how much power margin is available. Most light twin engined airplanes and helicopters barely have enough power to keep on flying with one engine, especially if they are loaded heavy or have a lot of fuel on board.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Bill Anderson
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 18, 2008 9:06 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re:Etmc air 1 west

I thought the idea of twin engine EMS helicopters was to keep flying when one engine failed? Something else must have been going on.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28208. Busy morning for Arlington Public Safety

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 16:09:02 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28208 Message: 28208

FD had mutual aid for a major auto accident in Pantego, and PD had a pursuit on I-20 of a suspect armed with a .38

Didn't catch what precipitated the chase, but PD had a victim that needed EMS.

28209. BCT15 Local Retailers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 16:38:40 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28209 Message: 28209

Are there any local retailers that carry the BCT15 and BCD996T's ?

Thanks

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28210. Re: [dfwscan] BCT15 Local Retailers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 17:04:37 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28209 Message: 28210

Yes, amazingly enough there are. And google is your friend. Use it.

Code 3 Productions wrote:
>
> Are there any local retailers that carry the BCT15 and BCD996T's ?
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
> Owner, Code 3 Productions.
> Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28211. Re: [dfwscan] BCT15 Local Retailers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 18:16:56 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 28209 Message: 28211

its amazing that when Tim has a question he is given a sarcastic answer but anyone else would get a straight answer. just wondering, why is that?

-----Original Message-----
From: Mel's mail lists <lists@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Mon, 19 May 2008 12:04 pm
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] BCT15 Local Retailers

Yes, amazingly enough there are. And google is your friend. Use it.

Code 3 Productions wrote:
>
> Are there any local retailers that carry the BCT15 and BCD996T's ?
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
> Owner, Code 3 Productions.
> Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28212. Re: Desoto and Cedar Hill going to trunk system?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 20:12:06 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 28084 Message: 28212

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "yamiam3" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> I'm hearing what sounds like a control or data channel on 453.5125,
> which I suspect is the system that's been discussed here lately. I also
> have this listed as Roanoke PD Channel 2. Granted, I am in between the
> two, it seems like that might be kind of close together and that the
> two might interfere with each other.
> I'll have to admit my ignorance on MPT1327 systems, so it may not be
> the case. I'm open to corrections and explanations.
> Thanks,
> Ben
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "sweetness1955" <sweetness1955@>
> wrote:
> >
> > I just read on a RadioReference.com forum that Desoto and Cedar Hill
> > are supposed to go to a trunked system in May 2008? Any idea what
> type
> > system?

This is what I logged with TrunkView so far regarding the SWRCC
trunked radio system.

System ID 0D89
Duncanville
Control Channel 453.4875/453.6625

System ID 0D91
Desoto
Control Channel 453.3625/453.3875/453.5125

System ID 0D99
Cedar Hill
Control Channel 460.0375/460.1625

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

28213. Re: Same Weather Alerts and My BC246T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 22:56:57 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28205 Message: 28213

>I programmed my BC246T with multiple SAME Weather codes before the >last big storms went through using ARC246 and put my BC246T into >scan mode and never got an alert.

I'm pretty sure that you can not be scanning public safety, etc.
and listening for WX warnings concurrently with the 246, or any other scanner for that matter that can monitor the SAME weather warnings. Either you have to do one, or the other, but not both. Someone please correct me on this if I'm wrong. Best bet is just to get a SEPARATE wx radio, rather than using the scanner. Midland makes some great ones, IMO. You could always have the warnings sent to your pager, cell phone, Blackberry, IPhone as well..:)

28214. BCT-15 Programming questions

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-19 23:45:40 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28214 Message: 28214

Now . . . If I can get a straight answer this time, without the word "
Google " in it, as I am fully aware of its potentional . .

I just picked up a BCT-15 this afternoon, and have come to the conclusion I
do *Not* have the correct programming cable, as I thought, nor do I have the
software.

I do not have alot of time currently to program this radio myself, nor the
money to purchase software and cable either. If there is anyone on here who
owns the BCT-15, Cable, and Software, and has the knowledge to help me get
this radio programmed, I would be willing to provide compensation for such
services, as to be determined later, off-list.

If you fit the description, please contact me by email.

Thanks

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28215. wreck

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-20 01:07:42 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 28215 Message: 28215

Piper Lands On Top Of Stinson, Pilots OK

Things Could Have Been MUCH Worse...

ANN REALTIME REPORTING 05.15.08 1850 EDT: "Like a perfectly planned stunt from a James Bond movie." That's how WFAA-8 in Dallas described the scene following a runway incursion accident at Northwest Regional Airport (52F) in Roanoke, TX Thursday afternoon.

<http://www.aero-news.net/#>

According to reports from the scene -- and evident from the accompanying photos, provided by Doug Reeves of *VansAirForce.net*<http://www.vansairforce.net/>-- a Stinson 108-3 had taxied into position at the end of runway 35 when a Piper PA-28-161 Warrior II approached to land.

What could have been a disaster resulted in bent metal, but thankfully no injuries... largely because the Piper's fuselage settled to the side of the Stinson's cabin, its propeller well away from those inside.

<http://www.aero-news.net/#>

The two pilots are reportedly neighbors, and had communicated on the CTAF prior to the collision... but each reportedly thought the other had agreed to yield to the other aircraft.

It's not a stretch to suggest the Piper's low wing may have also prevented its pilot from seeing the high-wing Stinson on the ground, and vice-versa.

<http://www.aero-news.net/#>

Reeves, who is based at Roanoke, noted on the Vans Air Force website that a row of trees at the end of runway 35 "can conceal an aircraft at the run-up area" from an aircraft approaching to land. In turn, those trees can also block the view from an aircraft on the ground, preventing a clear line-of-sight to see any aircraft on final.
FMI: www.nwratx.org, www.faa.gov, www.vansairforce.net

--
David.Taylor@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28216. Re: Etmc air 1 west

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-20 03:37:47 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28183 Message: 28216

I knew about the increase in loaded weight(look at some of the flight crews), but I thought two engines gave it a redundant system too. Like Ron White says(One engine left) "gets you to the crash before the paramedics."

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Renois, Daniel" <drenois@...> wrote:
>
> Yeah like they say on the blue collar comedy tour, that other engine
> will take you right to the scene of the crash, that second motor can
> be the difference in being an airborne paperweight, or finding a safe
> place to land.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of Mark Colborn
> Sent: Sunday, May 18, 2008 16:30
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Etmc air 1 west
>
>
>
> That may be true Bill, but when you don't know why the first one quit,
> its better to be on the ground figuring out why it did just in case the
> second one decides to quit also. There may be contaminates in the fuel,
> or the pump jockey at the airport filled you up with the wrong fuel.
> Another consideration is how much power margin is available. Most light
> twin engined airplanes and helicopters barely have enough power to keep
> on flying with one engine, especially if they are loaded heavy or have a
> lot of fuel on board.
>
> Mark
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Bill Anderson
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, May 18, 2008 9:06 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re:Etmc air 1 west
>
> I thought the idea of twin engine EMS helicopters was to keep flying
> when one engine failed? Something else must have been going on.

>
> Bill Anderson
>
> Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28217. Re: BCT15 Local Retailers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-20 23:20:53 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28209 Message: 28217

And I'd like to know where in the group rules and regulations it says
that you must check Google rather than posting your question here.

This not the first time someone as gotten the 'check Google'
response. Kinda chaps me a bit when people do that.

Let's not forget what this group is about.

"SCANNER RADIO DISCUSSION"

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, cwrong@... wrote:
>
> its amazing that when Tim has a question he is given a sarcastic
answer but anyone else would get a straight answer. just wondering,
why is that?
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mel's mail lists <lists@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Mon, 19 May 2008 12:04 pm
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] BCT15 Local Retailers
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yes, amazingly enough there are. And google is your friend. Use it.
>
> Code 3 Productions wrote:
> >
> > Are there any local retailers that carry the BCT15 and BCD996T's ?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > --
> > Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
> > Owner, Code 3 Productions.
> > Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >

> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28218. How to ??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-21 14:58:04 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28218 Message: 28218

I have a WAY Kewl Plano cop shooting movie and pics. How can I send it to the list?

Sarge

E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
Database version: 5.09880e
http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28219. FT-847 For Sale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-21 17:48:56 UTC
From: Todd P. Stone
Topic: 28219 Message: 28219

I am selling my Yaesu FT-847 it does 160M to 70cm.

If you are interested contact me off list.

Thanks.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28220. Grand Prairie?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-21 23:01:09 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 17234 Message: 28220

Listening to something on TAC2 not sure if it's training or not. Sounds like possible multiple subjects barricaded in a building/warehouse, possible hostages. No location heard.

28221. RE: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-21 23:32:34 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 17234 Message: 28221

This sounds to big to be real and not on the news. They've got hostages down, chemical booby traps. Just heard that Mansfield is involved as well.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Mike Hutchins
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 6:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups. com
Subject: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

Listening to something on TAC2 not sure if it's training or not. Sounds like possible multiple subjects barricaded in a building/warehouse, possible hostages. No location heard.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28222. RE: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-22 00:23:27 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 17234 Message: 28222

Probably training. They seem to use tact 2 to train and tact 1 encrypted when it's a real deal.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mike Hutchins
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 6:33 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups. com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

This sounds to big to be real and not on the news. They've got hostages down, chemical booby traps. Just heard that Mansfield is involved as well.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Mike Hutchins
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 6:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups. com
Subject: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

Listening to something on TAC2 not sure if it's training or not. Sounds like possible multiple subjects barricaded in a building/warehouse, possible hostages. No location heard.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

---

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28223. RE: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-22 00:44:58 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 17234 Message: 28223

Great listening non the less. They're throwing pretty much everything at them. They've had lot's of gunfire, a couple of chemical/tank explosions, counter sniper, hostage retrieval dead/injured.

So whats?
Tango - Target
Dingo
Bingo

I wonder if GP and Mansfield could communicate encrypted?

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 7:23 PM
To: m-hutchins@...; 'dfwscan@yahoogroups. com'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

Probably training. They seem to use tact 2 to train and tact 1 encrypted when it's a real deal.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mike Hutchins
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 6:33 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups. com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

This sounds to big to be real and not on the news. They've got hostages down, chemical booby traps. Just heard that Mansfield is involved as well.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Mike Hutchins
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 6:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups. com
Subject: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

Listening to something on TAC2 not sure if it's training or not. Sounds like possible multiple subjects barricaded in a building/warehouse, possible hostages. No location heard.

28224. RE: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-22 01:07:59 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 17234 Message: 28224

So whats?
Tango - Target
Dingo
Bingo - Booby trap

28225. Cop movie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-22 22:55:50 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28225 Message: 28225

If anybody wants to see it, I will forward it to you.

Sarge

E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
Database version: 5.09890e
http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28226. Fw: Dallas PD unit numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 00:25:12 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 28226 Message: 28226

I have lost my link to a site that explains the initial letter of Dallas PD unit numbers (Alpha through Echo - patrol, Kilo - K-9, Tango - tactical, etc.)

Help will be appreciated.
--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28227. RE: [dfwscan] Fw: Dallas PD unit numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 01:16:57 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28226 Message: 28227

Lee, didn't come out quite as neat as I would like but here you go.

Terry

CHIEFS
1-25

OOCP
ADMIN PIO
M1-M9 M10-M19

OOACP
ADMIN ACCOUNT POLICE TECH ICPCU SAFE OP DISRUP
R1-R9 R10-R19 R20-R39 R40-R49 R60-R69 R100-R199

PATROL
1ST 2ND 3RD
4TH 5TH
CBD L200-L299 L300-L399
CENTRAL A100-A199 B100-B199 C100-C199 D100-D199
E100-E199
NORTHEAST A200-A299 B200-B299 C200-C299 D200-D299
E200-E299
SOUTHEAST A300-A399 B300-B399 C300-C399 D300-D399
E300-E399
SOUTHWEST A400-A499 B400-B499 C400-C499 D400-D499
E400-E499
NORTHWEST A500-A599 B500-B599 C500-C599 D500-D599
E500-E599
NORTHCENTRAL A600-A699 B600-B699 C600-C699 D600-D699
E600-E699
SOUTHCENTRAL A700-A799 B700-B799 C700-C799 D700-D799
E700-E799
SPCL EVENT A800-A899 B800-B899 C800-C899 D800-D899
E800-E899
SPCL EVENT A900-A999 B900-B999 C900-C999 D900-D999
E900-E999

TRAFFIC
A.I. DWI JOCKS V CRIMES GRANT
T100-T159 T200-T299 T300-T349 T150-T159 T400-T499

SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS BUREAU
NARC VICE PIU INTELL
U100-U299 U300-U349 U400-U449 U500-U549

YOUTH
YOUTH OPS INVEST GANG FV MIS-PER
Y100-Y199 Y200-Y299 Y300-Y399 Y400-Y499 Y500-Y599

SPECIAL OPS
SWAT K9 AIR EOD MOUNTED LOVE FIELD
S801-S899 K1-K20 AIR1-AIR4 S100-S110 S200-S239
S300-S399

PATROL SUPPORT SERVICES
COMM JAIL ALARM UNIT
W1-W10 W11-W19 W20-W29

CAPERS
HOMICIDE ROBBERY SEX ASLTS ASLTS CRIME SCENE
H100-H139 H140-H159 H160-H179 H180-H199 H200-H299

GEN INVEST SECTION
AUTO THEFT AUTO SAL COMP CRIME FIN CRIMES PAWN SHOP
FORGERY
G100-G149 G150-G159 G160-G169 G170-G179 G180-G189
G190-G199

PERSONNEL & TRAINING
PERSONNEL ACADEMY IAD
P100-P199 P200-P299 P300-P359

CITY MARSHALS
J1-J49

EXTRA JOB OR OFF DUTY
X(BADGE#)
X5340

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Lee Shell
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 7:25 PM
To: DFW Scanning List
Subject: [dfwscan] Fw: Dallas PD unit numbers

I have lost my link to a site that explains the initial letter of Dallas PD
unit numbers (Alpha through Echo - patrol, Kilo - K-9, Tango - tactical,
etc.)

Help will be appreciated.
--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

7

28228. Re: [dfwscan] FT-847 For Sale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 02:01:42 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 28219 Message: 28228

You know you have a ham when he describes his radio by wavelengths.
You know you have a scannerist (primarily) when he describes his radio by Mhz.

LOL

Toby

At 12:48 PM 5/21/2008, Todd Stone wrote:

>I am selling my Yaesu FT-847 it does 160M to 70cm.
>
>If you are interested contact me off list.
>
>Thanks.

28230. 159.7575 DCS 226

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 14:34:24 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28230 Message: 28230

I just confirmed this morning that this is (at least) used by LifeStar 4. I did not hear the helicopter but I definitely heard the base taking a patient report to relay. This frequency was either confirmed or listed (w/o any know DCS) as Brazos' PHI frequency.

I heard traffic last night that made me wonder if this was being used by a more local air med service. It still might be PHI up here, but then I don't think I've ever heard a LifeStar helicopter come up on a PHI frequency before.

Where is LifeStar 4? Is it based in Decatur? If anyone else can add to the confirmation of this frequency I'd be interested in knowing what you heard.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28231. Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 14:54:28 UTC
From: eritzie@swbell.net
Topic: 28231 Message: 28231

For the last few weeks I have been hearing what sounds like control channel data on 155.505. I get a weak signal in NE Tarrant Co, and heard it fairly strong in the Los Colinas area.

Eric

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28232. RE: [dfwscan] Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 15:38:22 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28231 Message: 28232

Sure it's not P25 buzz-saw? DPS is just about done with their P25 conversion, including the CLE units. I know I've decoded P25 on the CLE channels more than once already.

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of eritzie@...
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 09:54 AM
To: Scan DFW
Subject: [dfwscan] Anyone else hearing this

For the last few weeks I have been hearing what sounds like control channel data on 155.505. I get a weak signal in NE Tarrant Co, and heard it fairly strong in the Los Colinas area.

Eric

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28233. Re:Dallas PD unit numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 18:31:11 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28233 Message: 28233

>I have lost my link to a site that explains the initial letter of >Dallas PD unit numbers (Alpha through Echo - patrol, Kilo - K-9, >Tango - tactical, etc.)

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm

28234. Re: RE: [dfwscan] Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 18:38:21 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28231 Message: 28234

>Sure it's not P25 buzz-saw? DPS is just about done with their P25
>conversion, including the CLE units. I know I've decoded P25 on >the CLE channels more than once already.

My thinking too. BTW - If anyone retrieves
the NAC for the CLE units, please pass along.

28235. Re: [dfwscan] Programming trunked radio systems into my scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 18:40:02 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28204 Message: 28235

>Do I need to worry about the extra frequencies?

I'm pretty sure with the PRO-97 all you need
are those four control channels. The scanner
figures out everything else.

28236. RE: [dfwscan] 159.7575 DCS 226

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 19:24:13 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28230 Message: 28236

Thanks to all who replied off list. This is definitely being used by LifeStar 4 out of Decatur and as of this time I've been hearing the base only. I've heard numerous traffic/calls with LifeStar 4 and the base clearing them to return to Decatur a few times today.

Thanks again for the responses...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 9:34 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] 159.7575 DCS 226

I just confirmed this morning that this is (at least) used by LifeStar 4. I did not hear the helicopter but I definitely heard the base taking a patient report to relay. This frequency was either confirmed or listed (w/o any know DCS) as Brazos' PHI frequency.

I heard traffic last night that made me wonder if this was being used by a more local air med service. It still might be PHI up here, but then I don't think I've ever heard a LifeStar helicopter come up on a PHI frequency before.

Where is LifeStar 4? Is it based in Decatur? If anyone else can add to the confirmation of this frequency I'd be interested in knowing what you heard.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28237. Re: RE: [dfwscan] Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 19:41:17 UTC
From: wayne gresser
Topic: 28231 Message: 28237

In and around Houston they are using NAC 162 for 155.505. All activity has been CLE simplex so the repeater NAC for this frequency may be different.

----- Original Message -----
From: blaine.brooks@...
To: 'Scan Â DFW' ; tvsjr ; eritzie@...
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:38 PM
Subject: Re: RE: [dfwscan] Anyone else hearing this

>Sure it's not P25 buzz-saw? DPS is just about done with their P25
>conversion, including the CLE units. I know I've decoded P25 on >the CLE channels more than once already.

My thinking too. BTW - If anyone retrieves
the NAC for the CLE units, please pass along.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28238. Re: Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 19:48:16 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28231 Message: 28238

>In and around Houston they are using NAC 162 for 155.505. All >activity has been CLE simplex so the repeater NAC for this >frequency may be different.

Thanks Wayne.

Seems like they are following a NAC version of
their old CTCSS tone, which (up here) was 162.2
repeater & simplex.

28239. Possible Radio-Related Job Opportunities

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 19:58:11 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28239 Message: 28239

Uniden is wanting to discuss some upcoming opportunities with potential candidates. Email me privately if you are both interested and have related background. We are not yet pulling the trigger on either, but want to be able to move quickly if our strategy requires it.
A: Borland C++ CodeBuilder 6.0 programmer for a contract programming assignment. This will likely be less than one man-month of work, but could be some nice extra income if you have the software and experience.
B: Product Development: We are considering expanding our staff so that we can take on additional product opportunities. The ideal candidate would be familiar with our current product line, have experience in development new products in a multi-national development environment, and be willing to travel internationally (less than 5%).
I have heard several people profess that they would do (B) for free. They would, of course, be given preferential consideration. :)
Paul

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28240. Re: [dfwscan] 159.7575 DCS 226

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-23 21:00:31 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28230 Message: 28240

Brian are you sure that is the correct frequency and not a typo?
Air Evac has a license for 159.765 in Decatur
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2969149
They had been dispatching Lifestar 4 on 151.505 DCS 226 from Decatur so
they must have just switched in the last couple days.

commscan wrote:
>
>
> I just confirmed this morning that this is (at least) used by LifeStar 4. I
> did not hear the helicopter but I definitely heard the base taking a patient
> report to relay. This frequency was either confirmed or listed (w/o any
> know DCS) as Brazos' PHI frequency.
>
>
>
> I heard traffic last night that made me wonder if this was being used by a
> more local air med service. It still might be PHI up here, but then I don't
> think I've ever heard a LifeStar helicopter come up on a PHI frequency
> before.
>
>
>
> Where is LifeStar 4? Is it based in Decatur? If anyone else can add to the
> confirmation of this frequency I'd be interested in knowing what you heard.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>

28241. RE: [dfwscan] Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-24 00:26:55 UTC
From: eritzie@swbell.net
Topic: 28231 Message: 28241

Yeah, pretty sure. I have a GRE 600, plus the transmissions are continuous, not intermittent like voice traffic would be. It sounds exactly like a Motorola control channel to me. The next time I hear it, I'll see if I can get a system ID instead of just locking it out because it's annoying.

Eric
---------- Original message from "tvsjr" <tvsjr@...>: ----------

Sure it?s not P25 buzz-saw? DPS is just about done with theirP25 conversion, including the CLE units. I know I?ve decoded P25 on the CLEchannels more than once already.

Terry

From:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of eritzie@...
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 09:54 AM
To: Scan DFW
Subject: [dfwscan] Anyone else hearing this

For the last few weeks I have been hearing whatsounds like control channel data on 155.505. I get a weak signal in NE TarrantCo, and heard it fairly strong in the Los Colinas area.

Eric

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28242. RE: [dfwscan] 159.7575 DCS 226

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-24 12:03:26 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28230 Message: 28242

Hi Tom & List,

I guess 159.765 is close enough to 159.7575 to still catch the traffic. But I definitely have 159.7575 plugged into the scanner. The communications (since I'm listening from W Fort Worth) have been clear but not especially strong. Nothing sounded off frequency or out of tune, but just to see if it helps any, I'm adding the frequency from the FCC database too.

The strange thing about all of this is that yesterday I was hearing the traffic pretty frequently up until my second e-mail to the list around 5 PM. But according to my ARC396 software log I've left running since then, I haven't received another transmission since approximately 5 PM yesterday. It makes me wonder if a tiny bit of ducting could've been going on or if the use of the frequency might have been temporary.

I'm going to keep an ear on both 159.7575 and 159.765 over the next few days and see what I can catch.

If I had to make a choice, I'd say that the traffic I was hearing was on 159.7575 though. But thanks for the 159.765 frequency listing to check on too Tom.

If I hear anything more I'll be sure to post it to the group...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 4:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] 159.7575 DCS 226

Brian are you sure that is the correct frequency and not a typo?
Air Evac has a license for 159.765 in Decatur
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2969149
They had been dispatching Lifestar 4 on 151.505 DCS 226 from Decatur so they must have just switched in the last couple days.

commscan wrote:
>
>
> I just confirmed this morning that this is (at least) used by LifeStar 4. I
> did not hear the helicopter but I definitely heard the base taking a patient

> report to relay. This frequency was either confirmed or listed (w/o any
> know DCS) as Brazos' PHI frequency.
>
>
>
> I heard traffic last night that made me wonder if this was being used by a
> more local air med service. It still might be PHI up here, but then I
don't
> think I've ever heard a LifeStar helicopter come up on a PHI frequency
> before.
>
>
>
> Where is LifeStar 4? Is it based in Decatur? If anyone else can add to
the
> confirmation of this frequency I'd be interested in knowing what you
heard.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28243. Re: [dfwscan] 159.7575 DCS 226

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-24 13:27:15 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28230 Message: 28243

I heard them on the morning radio check this morning and it from here it appears to be 159.765.
Also I did not hear Lifestar 5 in Glen Rose so I wonder if they changed frequencies again.

Tom

commscan wrote:
> Hi Tom & List,
>
> I guess 159.765 is close enough to 159.7575 to still catch the traffic. But
> I definitely have 159.7575 plugged into the scanner. The communications
> (since I'm listening from W Fort Worth) have been clear but not especially
> strong. Nothing sounded off frequency or out of tune, but just to see if it
> helps any, I'm adding the frequency from the FCC database too.
>
> The strange thing about all of this is that yesterday I was hearing the
> traffic pretty frequently up until my second e-mail to the list around 5 PM.
> But according to my ARC396 software log I've left running since then, I
> haven't received another transmission since approximately 5 PM yesterday.
> It makes me wonder if a tiny bit of ducting could've been going on or if the
> use of the frequency might have been temporary.
>
> I'm going to keep an ear on both 159.7575 and 159.765 over the next few days
> and see what I can catch.
>
> If I had to make a choice, I'd say that the traffic I was hearing was on
> 159.7575 though. But thanks for the 159.765 frequency listing to check on
> too Tom.
>
> If I hear anything more I'll be sure to post it to the group...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>

28244. Re: Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-24 19:48:47 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28231 Message: 28244

> Yeah, pretty sure. I have a GRE 600, plus the transmissions are >continuous, not intermittent like voice traffic would be. It >sounds exactly like a Motorola control channel to me.

Ok. Hopefully these will not sound like stupid questions, but:

When you are listening to this frequency, do you have your GRE 600 in auto mode tuning or FM mode? If you have in auto, try turning it to FM. See what it does.

How strong is the signal? So when you program it in, and are listening, all you hear is what sounds like a trunking control channel. So, there has never been any decoding of the signal into a voice element (like the scanner is attempting to decode the P25 "buzzsaw" but the signal is just not strong enough into the scanner)? Occasionally, this will happen on digital scanners (happened on my PRO-96 and happens also on my PSR-500). Occurs when distant signals (such as - for me - Grayson County or DPS) gets into the radio, and the radio just doesn't have enough signal strength to decode. So it justs defaults into the "buzzsaw", but never clear voice. Digital signals are very fickle with these scanners. The scanners themselves are excellent at decoding, however, you have to have a good signal level going into them. Faint signals or even borderline don't cut it most of the time.

Lastly, are you hearing it on the duckie antenna or an outdoor elevated setup?

Blaine Brooks

28245. Re: Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-24 19:49:25 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28231 Message: 28245

> Yeah, pretty sure. I have a GRE 600, plus the transmissions are >continuous, not intermittent like voice traffic would be. It >sounds exactly like a Motorola control channel to me.

Ok. Hopefully these will not sound like stupid questions, but:

When you are listening to this frequency, do you have your GRE 600 in auto mode tuning or FM mode? If you have in auto, try turning it to FM. See what it does.

How strong is the signal? So when you program it in, and are listening, all you hear is what sounds like a trunking control channel. So, there has never been any decoding of the signal into a voice element (like the scanner is attempting to decode the P25 "buzzsaw" but the signal is just not strong enough into the scanner)? Occasionally, this will happen on digital scanners (happened on my PRO-96 and happens also on my PSR-500). Occurs when distant signals (such as - for me - Grayson County or DPS) gets into the radio, and the radio just doesn't have enough signal strength to decode. So it justs defaults into the "buzzsaw", but never clear voice. Digital signals are very fickle with these scanners. The scanners themselves are excellent at decoding, however, you have to have a good signal level going into them. Faint signals or even borderline don't cut it most of the time.

Lastly, are you hearing it on the duckie antenna or an outdoor elevated setup?

Blaine Brooks

28246. Mckinney Police Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-24 21:00:48 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28246 Message: 28246

Mckinney police are chasing a suspect through Lavon and now he has hit the spike strips at lavon and 205 I think it is. I am hearing this 3rd party through Rockwall County.

4:00

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28247. Re: McKinney Police Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-24 21:49:47 UTC
From: Tim Perkins
Topic: 28247 Message: 28247

The chase flew by my house on FM 552 in Rockwall. I estimate 10-12 police cars were involved.

Tim Perkins
Rockwall

View the photos by Tim Perkins on Airliners.net!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28248. Re: [dfwscan] Re: McKinney Police Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-24 22:05:58 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28247 Message: 28248

Tim I am in Royse City or Rockwall County. That is how I heard about it. Was listening to Rockwall County.

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: Tim Perkins <tim552@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 4:49:47 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: McKinney Police Chase

The chase flew by my house on FM 552 in Rockwall. I estimate 10-12 police cars were involved.

Tim Perkins
Rockwall

View the photos by Tim Perkins on Airliners.net!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28249. This whole Duncanville/DeSoto thing

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-24 23:33:20 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28249 Message: 28249

I don't listen to the Duncanville-DeSoto "Regional" system, per se, so I don't really know wtf is going on with all that. But I do scan their fire tone channel, 155.925, in a scanner full of fire tone channels. In the last couple of days I am getting a LOT of noise there. I am getting an almost constant tone that sounds like the idle tone from the old IMTS mobile phone system. Also getting a lot of rapid mic clicking type noise. When "Regional" dispatch or units transmit, they are heard perfectly over the tone. I don't know if they are simply overpowering the tone, or if their transmissions temporarily stop the tone. Either way, this is very annoying.

Anybody know what's going on there? Is this noise symptoms of the new system they are in the process of implementing, or is it outside interference unrelated to Regional?

Rob

28250. Re: This whole Duncanville/DeSoto thing

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-25 02:12:58 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 28249 Message: 28250

Rob,

That tone issue has been around for months. I started getting it last fall, on the old Duncanville FD primary channel, 154.355, which is now used as a fireground/response frequency, & referred to as "Regional Ch 3". This was well before there was any noticeable activity on the new trunk system.

For some discussion of these tones over on RadioReference, see this thread <http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=86401> . I have no idea of it's actual purpose.

And I'm with you on the annoying part. I have a number of friends in that area, so I have all the frequencies plugged in. Occasionally, it gets too overpowering, & I have to just lock the offending channel out for a while.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> I don't listen to the Duncanville-DeSoto "Regional" system, per se, so I don't really know wtf is going on with all that. But I do scan their fire tone channel, 155.925, in a scanner full of fire tone channels. In the last couple of days I am getting a LOT of noise there. I am getting an almost constant tone that sounds like the idle tone from the old IMTS mobile phone system. Also getting a lot of rapid mic clicking type noise. When "Regional" dispatch or units transmit, they are heard perfectly over the tone. I don't know if they are simply overpowering the tone, or if their transmissions temporarily stop the tone. Either way, this is very annoying.
>
> Anybody know what's going on there? Is this noise symptoms of the new system they are in the process of implementing, or is it outside interference unrelated to Regional?
>
> Rob
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28251. RE: [dfwscan] 159.7575 DCS 226

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-25 16:44:46 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28230 Message: 28251

Hi Tom & List,

Yep, you are right Tom. I'm actually receiving the signal and even the helicopter much better today on 159.765. If I hadn't had the 159.7575 frequency in my scanner under the PHI Group scanning, I wouldn't have come across the traffic at all (at least yet). Thanks for the correct frequency and the FCC link Tom and thanks to everyone else who replied to me off list too.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 8:27 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] 159.7575 DCS 226

I heard them on the morning radio check this morning and it from here it appears to be 159.765.
Also I did not hear Lifestar 5 in Glen Rose so I wonder if they changed frequencies again.

Tom

commscan wrote:
> Hi Tom & List,
>
> I guess 159.765 is close enough to 159.7575 to still catch the traffic. But
> I definitely have 159.7575 plugged into the scanner. The communications
> (since I'm listening from W Fort Worth) have been clear but not especially
> strong. Nothing sounded off frequency or out of tune, but just to see if it
> helps any, I'm adding the frequency from the FCC database too.
>
> The strange thing about all of this is that yesterday I was hearing the
> traffic pretty frequently up until my second e-mail to the list around 5 PM.
> But according to my ARC396 software log I've left running since then, I
> haven't received another transmission since approximately 5 PM yesterday.
> It makes me wonder if a tiny bit of ducting could've been going on or if the
> use of the frequency might have been temporary.
>
> I'm going to keep an ear on both 159.7575 and 159.765 over the next few

days
> and see what I can catch.
>
> If I had to make a choice, I'd say that the traffic I was hearing was on
> 159.7575 though. But thanks for the 159.765 frequency listing to check on
> too Tom.
>
> If I hear anything more I'll be sure to post it to the group...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28252. Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-25 19:35:11 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28252 Message: 28252

I have been up and down through the manual, and due to not having the cable and software, have still, yet to figure out how to get this to do what im trying to do.

When I purchased this from a gentleman off this list, it came with everything from DC to Daylight in it, however, 80% of that stuff is (locked out ?) or the scan bank or group is just not turned on? im not sure. I live in the Plano area, and I cannot recieve any plano frisco allen mckinney, and so on so forth area stuff, while in scan mode, i have to stop in other banks and scroll to the system and ID's...

If ANYONE can shed some light, i've googled, and oogled, and looked through this manual until my head is ready to explode, im sure it would be 100X easier if I just had the cable and software lol.

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28253. Help.....

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-26 00:50:32 UTC
From: Wayne Edgin
Topic: 28253 Message: 28253

I am attempting to obtain a mobile scanner. I am building a new car and to be honest, I have just spent a great deal of cash on this project. Does any one have a cheap 16 channel scanner that they might part with ? nothing fancy. I use my car for work in Dallas, so 800 and 900 would not be needed. Please contact me off line. Thanks

28254. Arlington PD has a report of "an explosion of some sort " near I-20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-26 12:44:24 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28254 Message: 28254

0741

Arlington PD just dispatched a unit to investigate a call reporting an unidentified "explosion of some sort" along I-20.

28255. Dalworthington Gardens Day with the Law

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-27 01:00:55 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28255 Message: 28255

I am told, "Day With The Law 2008 is coming up this Saturday May 31, 2008 from 10:00 am- 2:00pm. This year we already have over 30 confirmed agencies attending."
http://www.cityofdwg.net/documents/daywiththelawflyerpublic2008.pdf

Last time I went, there was an ATF truck there, and some other unique apparatus.

DWG Fire is on 154.205 PL192.8
DWG PD and FD use 155.07 PL 192.8

Ben

28256. Joe Pool Lake

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-27 01:15:04 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28256 Message: 28256

If the media people are looking for lake news stories tonight. Joe Pool is working several incidents now. I believe at least one drowning, a watersport accident, and I believe now an MVA with a man down on Lake Ridge. 20:14

28257. RE: [dfwscan] Joe Pool Lake

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-27 01:29:50 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 28256 Message: 28257

I've been listening to it. They've been pretty busy. Careflights coming in for the MVA.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Monday, May 26, 2008 8:15 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Joe Pool Lake

If the media people are looking for lake news stories tonight. Joe Pool is working several incidents now. I believe at least one drowning, a watersport accident, and I believe now an MVA with a man down on Lake Ridge. 20:14

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28258. Re: [dfwscan] Joe Pool Lake

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-27 01:42:35 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28256 Message: 28258

Rednecks, beer and boats -- makes for a killer combination.

When we had the big sailboat we avoided the holiday boaters. One year on the 4th of July at Eagle Mountain some bozo took a paddleboat out in the middle of the lake to watch the fireworks. No lights. Some of the bigger powerboats (40'-50' would never even see him in the dark.

> I've been listening to it. They've been pretty busy. Careflights coming in
> for the MVA.
>
>
>
> If the media people are looking for lake news stories tonight. Joe Pool is
> working several incidents now. I believe at least one drowning, a watersport
> accident, and I believe now an MVA with a man down on Lake Ridge. 20:14
>

28259. Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-27 14:07:37 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28252 Message: 28259

Well, the O/M isn't going to tell you how the other person programmed the scanner...so I'll make a guess that it is just set up as it came from the factory.
Instead of banks, the BCT15 uses SQK (System Quick Keys) to turn on/off sets of systems. Works almost exactly like bank keys...but better.
In the case of the preprogrammed stuff, all of the metroplex was assigned to SQK 52. So, to turn on/off all those systems, you press [.] 5 2 while scanning.
You'll probably want to do (at least) two things:
1) Delete some or all of the systems outside the DFW area.
2) Reassign the SQK for some or all of the systems you want to routinely listen to.
In both cases, the easiest way is going to be using software. However, you can do so manually by navigating the menu system. For 1) there is a menu option for each system that is "Delete System."
For 2, you'll need to navigate to "Site Options" for each site on every Motorola and EDACS system and reassign the SQK to whichever one you want to use (0-9 are most convenient, as you do not precede them with the [.] to activate/deactivate). For Conventional and LTR systems, the SQK is in the "System Options" list for the system.
If it was programmed some other way (not the factory preload), then you can determine which SQK is assigned for each system by pressing HOLD, then use F+scroll to step through each site/system. The next-to-bottom line in the display will indicate the SQK number (the current system's SQK will appear if the SQK is enabled, or an * will appear in place of the SQK if that SQK is disabled). Below are a couple of examples of the line with the corresponding SQK:

```
S0:- - - - 5 - - - - -       SQK 5
S5:- 2 - - - - - - - -       SQK 52
S3:- - - * - - - - - -        SQK 34 (and it is disabled)
```

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2008 2:35:11 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

I have been up and down through the manual, and due to not having the cable and software, have still, yet to figure out how to get this to do what im trying to do.

When I purchased this from a gentleman off this list, it came with everything from DC to Daylight in it, however, 80% of that stuff is (locked out ?) or the scan bank or group is just not turned on? im not sure. I live in the Plano area, and I cannot recieve any plano frisco allen mckinney, and so on so forth area stuff, while in scan mode, i have to stop in other banks and scroll to the system and ID's...

If ANYONE can shed some light, i've googled, and oogled, and looked through this manual until my head is ready to explode, im sure it would be 100X easier if I just had the cable and software lol.

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28260. Re: Re: [dfwscan] Joe Pool Lake

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-27 21:14:49 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28256 Message: 28260

>One year on the 4th of July at Eagle Mountain some bozo took a >paddleboat out in the middle of the lake to watch the fireworks. >No lights.

Having lived in Tampa-St.Pete for several years, my favorites
were the bozos that believed that since they were well versed
and adept at navigating the Inntracoastal Waterway that they
would have no problem whatsoever piloting their little dinghy
out into the Gulf Of Mexico, for a little "three hour cruise",
so to speak. Show these goofballs some 20 foot swells (even on
a clear beautiful day), and here come the Mayday calls. Needless to say 156.800 was rather active....:)

Blaine

28261. Arlington PD counter-drug ops

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 00:56:47 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28261 Message: 28261

Ongoing...

Arlington PD on Tactical 1

Hispanic female, 5 foot 250, vote for pedro t shirt, long curly hair,
seated at cashier desk reading a magazine...

28262. Ok, it's a full blown Arlington PD/ TABC buy op

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 01:27:56 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28262 Message: 28262

They are hitting gas stations and drive through liquor stores.

Activity is on the Alington Trunked Radio System, group ID 54352 "TACT 1"

28263. Antenna Question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 05:28:52 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 5129 Message: 28263

How can a 5/8 wave VHF antenna help reception on a LTR UHF trunked radio? The security company I work part time for put a Maxrad VHF antenna on the patrol cars and improved the reception. How does a 42" whip with a loading coil work on UHF? Is this something new or some new style antenna? I thought the mismatch would make the radio useless.I'm confused......

Bill Anderson

28264. RE: [dfwscan] Antenna Question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 11:32:30 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 5129 Message: 28264

It shouldn't work very well. I assume you know for sure it's on UHF? There are VHF LTR systems. There is also a more rugged antenna they looks a lot like the VHF with a coil but it's not 42" long.

http://wildcard.pctel.com/images_product_overview/pdf_docs/MUF406_512MHz.pdf

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Anderson
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 12:29 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Antenna Question

How can a 5/8 wave VHF antenna help reception on a LTR UHF trunked radio? The security company I work part time for put a Maxrad VHF antenna on the patrol cars and improved the reception. How does a 42" whip with a loading coil work on UHF? Is this something new or some new style antenna? I thought the mismatch would make the radio useless.I'm confused......

Bill Anderson

28265. Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 18:09:08 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28252 Message: 28265

If it is locked out, when you scroll to it press F+L/O to unlock it.
If its SQK is disabled, just press its SQK (or [.] +SQK for 2-digit SQKs) to enable it.
I have Plano in UASD format (and it is also in the files area of this group...but might need some minor updates if things have changed on the system in the last year or so).
p

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@...>
To: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...m>
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 12:32:51 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

well, all that aside, I've got the cable now, and the BCtool Program, but somehow, i've locked out plano completley now, I cant even pick them up if i scroll to the system.

Anyone who has a BCTool Set of programs, or mods, that I might try to program the radio with would be great, OR if someone has a copy of the ARC15 they can let me get ahold of, that way I can just clear the radio out, and upload everything off R/R.com and be done with it.

Tim

On 5/27/08, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:
Well, the O/M isn't going to tell you how the other person programmed the scanner...so I'll make a guess that it is just set up as it came from the factory.

Instead of banks, the BCT15 uses SQK (System Quick Keys) to turn on/off sets of systems. Works almost exactly like bank keys...but better.

In the case of the preprogrammed stuff, all of the metroplex was assigned to SQK 52. So, to turn on/off all those systems, you press [.] 5 2 while scanning.

You'll probably want to do (at least) two things:

1) Delete some or all of the systems outside the DFW area.
2) Reassign the SQK for some or all of the systems you want to routinely listen to.

In both cases, the easiest way is going to be using software. However, you can do so manually by navigating the menu system. For 1) there is a menu option for each system that is "Delete System."

For 2, you'll need to navigate to "Site Options" for each site on every Motorola and EDACS system and reassign the SQK to whichever one you want to use (0-9 are most convenient, as you do not precede them with the [.] to activate/deactivate). For Conventional and LTR systems, the SQK is in the "System Options" list for the system.

If it was programmed some other way (not the factory preload), then you can determine which SQK is assigned for each system by pressing HOLD, then use F+scroll to step through each

site/system. The next-to-bottom line in the display will indicate the SQK number (the current system's SQK will appear if the SQK is enabled, or an * will appear in place of the SQK if that SQK is disabled). Below are a couple of examples of the line with the corresponding SQK:

```
S0:- - - - 5 - - - - -       SQK 5
S5:- 2 - - - - - - - -       SQK 52
S3:- - - * - - - - - -        SQK 34 (and it is disabled)
```

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2008 2:35:11 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

I have been up and down through the manual, and due to not having the cable and software, have still, yet to figure out how to get this to do what im trying to do.

When I purchased this from a gentleman off this list, it came with everything from DC to Daylight in it, however, 80% of that stuff is (locked out ?) or the scan bank or group is just not turned on? im not sure. I live in the Plano area, and I cannot recieve any plano frisco allen mckinney, and so on so forth area stuff, while in scan mode, i have to stop in other banks and scroll to the system and ID's...

If ANYONE can shed some light, i've googled, and oogled, and looked through this manual until my head is ready to explode, im sure it would be 100X easier if I just had the cable and software lol.

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28266. Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 21:23:23 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28252 Message: 28266

Timmy,

ARC15 is available from Scanner Masters and a registered copy will cost you around $69.00:
http://www.scannermaster.com/ProductDetails.asp?ProductCode=18%2D521075&Click=20907
Stop asking for someone on this list to give you a free copy, or pirate a copy of the software for you. The developers of these software programs like to be compensated for their work, and that is only fair. You expect the media to pay you for the photos and videos you take, why do you think you have the right to ask for something for free which someone has spent lots of man hours developing? If you are hurting for money, download the free Uniden UASD programming software for the BCT15 from their web site. Then actually read the directions and learn how to use it. It may not have as many features as the aftermarket software programs, but it will do everything you need it to do now that you have a cable.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Paul Opitz
To: Code 3 Productions ; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 1:09 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

If it is locked out, when you scroll to it press F+L/O to unlock it.
If its SQK is disabled, just press its SQK (or [.] +SQK for 2-digit SQKs) to enable it.
I have Plano in UASD format (and it is also in the files area of this group...but might need some minor updates if things have changed on the system in the last year or so).
p

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@...>
To: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...>
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 12:32:51 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

well, all that aside, I've got the cable now, and the BCtool Program, but somehow, i've locked out plano completley now, I cant even pick them up if i scroll to the system.

Anyone who has a BCTool Set of programs, or mods, that I might try to program the radio with would be great, OR if someone has a copy of the ARC15 they can let me get ahold of, that way I can just clear the radio out, and upload everything off R/R.com and be done with it.

Tim

On 5/27/08, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:
Well, the O/M isn't going to tell you how the other person programmed the scanner...so I'll make a guess that it is just set up as it came from the factory.

Instead of banks, the BCT15 uses SQK (System Quick Keys) to turn on/off sets of systems. Works almost exactly like bank keys...but better.

In the case of the preprogrammed stuff, all of the metroplex was assigned to SQK 52. So, to turn on/off all those systems, you press [.] 5 2 while scanning.

You'll probably want to do (at least) two things:

1) Delete some or all of the systems outside the DFW area.
2) Reassign the SQK for some or all of the systems you want to routinely listen to.

In both cases, the easiest way is going to be using software. However, you can do so manually by navigating the menu system. For 1) there is a menu option for each system that is "Delete System."

For 2, you'll need to navigate to "Site Options" for each site on every Motorola and EDACS system and reassign the SQK to whichever one you want to use (0-9 are most convenient, as you do not precede them with the [.] to activate/deactivate). For Conventional and LTR systems, the SQK is in the "System Options" list for the system.

If it was programmed some other way (not the factory preload), then you can determine which SQK is assigned for each system by pressing HOLD, then use F+scroll to step through each site/system. The next-to-bottom line in the display will indicate the SQK number (the current system's SQK will appear if the SQK is enabled, or an * will appear in place of the SQK if that SQK is disabled). Below are a couple of examples of the line with the corresponding SQK:

S0:- - - - 5 - - - - - SQK 5
S5:- 2 - - - - - - - - SQK 52
S3:- - - * - - - - - - SQK 34 (and it is disabled)

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2008 2:35:11 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

I have been up and down through the manual, and due to not having the cable and software, have still, yet to figure out how to get this to do what im trying to do.

When I purchased this from a gentleman off this list, it came with everything from DC to Daylight in it, however, 80% of that stuff is (locked out ?) or the scan bank or group is just not turned on? im not sure. I live in the Plano area, and I cannot recieve any plano frisco allen mckinney, and so on so forth area stuff, while in scan mode, i have to stop in other banks and scroll to the system and ID's...

If ANYONE can shed some light, i've googled, and oogled, and looked through this manual until my head is ready to explode, im sure it would be 100X easier if I just had the cable and software lol.

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28267. Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 21:32:24 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28252 Message: 28267

UASD for the BCT15 isn't free, either (but it is the only software I use for programming, so is all I have for file sharing).

----- Original Message ----
From: Mark Colborn <kd5elf@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 4:23:23 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

Timmy,

ARC15 is available from Scanner Masters and a registered copy will cost you around $69.00: http://www.scannerm aster.com/ ProductDetails. asp?ProductCode= 18%2D521075& Click=20907 Stop asking for someone on this list to give you a free copy, or pirate a copy of the software for you. The developers of these software programs like to be compensated for their work, and that is only fair. You expect the media to pay you for the photos and videos you take, why do you think you have the right to ask for something for free which someone has spent lots of man hours developing? If you are hurting for money, download the free Uniden UASD programming software for the BCT15 from their web site. Then actually read the directions and learn how to use it. It may not have as many features as the aftermarket software programs, but it will do everything you need it to do now that you have a cable.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Paul Opitz
To: Code 3 Productions ; dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 1:09 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

If it is locked out, when you scroll to it press F+L/O to unlock it.
If its SQK is disabled, just press its SQK (or [.] +SQK for 2-digit SQKs) to enable it.
I have Plano in UASD format (and it is also in the files area of this group...but might need some minor updates if things have changed on the system in the last year or so).
p

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@ gmail.com>
To: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@yahoo. com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 12:32:51 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

well, all that aside, I've got the cable now, and the BCtool Program, but somehow, i've locked out plano completley now, I cant even pick them up if i scroll to the system.

Anyone who has a BCTool Set of programs, or mods, that I might try to program the radio with would be great, OR if someone has a copy of the ARC15 they can let me get ahold of,

that way I can just clear the radio out, and upload everything off R/R.com and be done with it.

Tim

On 5/27/08, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@yahoo. com> wrote:
Well, the O/M isn't going to tell you how the other person programmed the scanner...so I'll make a guess that it is just set up as it came from the factory.

Instead of banks, the BCT15 uses SQK (System Quick Keys) to turn on/off sets of systems. Works almost exactly like bank keys...but better.

In the case of the preprogrammed stuff, all of the metroplex was assigned to SQK 52. So, to turn on/off all those systems, you press [.] 5 2 while scanning.

You'll probably want to do (at least) two things:

1) Delete some or all of the systems outside the DFW area.
2) Reassign the SQK for some or all of the systems you want to routinely listen to.

In both cases, the easiest way is going to be using software. However, you can do so manually by navigating the menu system. For 1) there is a menu option for each system that is "Delete System."

For 2, you'll need to navigate to "Site Options" for each site on every Motorola and EDACS system and reassign the SQK to whichever one you want to use (0-9 are most convenient, as you do not precede them with the [.] to activate/deactivate). For Conventional and LTR systems, the SQK is in the "System Options" list for the system.

If it was programmed some other way (not the factory preload), then you can determine which SQK is assigned for each system by pressing HOLD, then use F+scroll to step through each site/system. The next-to-bottom line in the display will indicate the SQK number (the current system's SQK will appear if the SQK is enabled, or an * will appear in place of the SQK if that SQK is disabled). Below are a couple of examples of the line with the corresponding SQK:

S0:- - - - 5 - - - - - SQK 5
S5:- 2 - - - - - - - - SQK 52
S3:- - - * - - - - - - SQK 34 (and it is disabled)

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@ gmail.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com

Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2008 2:35:11 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

I have been up and down through the manual, and due to not having the cable and software, have still, yet to figure out how to get this to do what im trying to do.

When I purchased this from a gentleman off this list, it came with everything from DC to Daylight in it, however, 80% of that stuff is (locked out ?) or the scan bank or group is just not turned on? im not sure. I live in the Plano area, and I cannot recieve any plano frisco allen mckinney, and

so on so forth area stuff, while in scan mode, i have to stop in other banks and scroll to the system and ID's...

If ANYONE can shed some light, i've googled, and oogled, and looked through this manual until my head is ready to explode, im sure it would be 100X easier if I just had the cable and software lol.

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28268. Re: Ok, it's a full blown Arlington PD/ TABC buy op

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 22:05:44 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28262 Message: 28268

>Activity is on the Alington Trunked Radio System, group ID >54352 "TACT 1"

Did you also hear any activity on 156.060?

28269. Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 22:44:49 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28252 Message: 28269

Sorry Paul, was I thinking about the 396's UASD software? I seem to remember that one of them was free at one time? Nonetheless, is the BCT-15 UASD still only $30. A bargin at any price IMO.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Paul Opitz
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 4:32 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

UASD for the BCT15 isn't free, either (but it is the only software I use for programming, so is all I have for file sharing).

----- Original Message ----
From: Mark Colborn <kd5elf@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 4:23:23 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

Timmy,

ARC15 is available from Scanner Masters and a registered copy will cost you around $69.00: http://www.scannerm aster.com/ ProductDetails. asp?ProductCode= 18%2D521075& Click=20907 Stop asking for someone on this list to give you a free copy, or pirate a copy of the software for you. The developers of these software programs like to be compensated for their work, and that is only fair. You expect the media to pay you for the photos and videos you take, why do you think you have the right to ask for something for free which someone has spent lots of man hours developing? If you are hurting for money, download the free Uniden UASD programming software for the BCT15 from their web site. Then actually read the directions and learn how to use it. It may not have as many features as the aftermarket software programs, but it will do everything you need it to do now that you have a cable.

Mark

----- Original Message -----
From: Paul Opitz
To: Code 3 Productions ; dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 1:09 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

If it is locked out, when you scroll to it press F+L/O to unlock it.
If its SQK is disabled, just press its SQK (or [.] +SQK for 2-digit SQKs) to enable it.
I have Plano in UASD format (and it is also in the files area of this group...but might need some minor updates if things have changed on the system in the last year or so).
p

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@ gmail.com>
To: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@yahoo. com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 12:32:51 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

well, all that aside, I've got the cable now, and the BCtool Program, but somehow, i've locked out plano completley now, I cant even pick them up if i scroll to the system.

Anyone who has a BCTool Set of programs, or mods, that I might try to program the radio with would be great, OR if someone has a copy of the ARC15 they can let me get ahold of, that way I can just clear the radio out, and upload everything off R/R.com and be done with it.

Tim

On 5/27/08, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@yahoo. com> wrote:
Well, the O/M isn't going to tell you how the other person programmed the scanner...so I'll make a guess that it is just set up as it came from the factory.

Instead of banks, the BCT15 uses SQK (System Quick Keys) to turn on/off sets of systems. Works almost exactly like bank keys...but better.

In the case of the preprogrammed stuff, all of the metroplex was assigned to SQK 52. So, to turn on/off all those systems, you press [.] 5 2 while scanning.

You'll probably want to do (at least) two things:

1) Delete some or all of the systems outside the DFW area.
2) Reassign the SQK for some or all of the systems you want to routinely listen to.

In both cases, the easiest way is going to be using software. However, you can do so manually by navigating the menu system. For 1) there is a menu option for each system that is "Delete System."

For 2, you'll need to navigate to "Site Options" for each site on every Motorola and EDACS system and reassign the SQK to whichever one you want to use (0-9 are most convenient, as you do not precede them with the [.] to activate/deactivate). For Conventional and LTR systems, the SQK is in the "System Options" list for the system.

If it was programmed some other way (not the factory preload), then you can determine which SQK is assigned for each system by pressing HOLD, then use F+scroll to step through each site/system. The next-to-bottom line in the display will indicate the SQK number (the current system's SQK will appear if the SQK is enabled, or an * will appear in place of the SQK if that SQK is disabled). Below are a couple of examples of the line with the corresponding SQK:

S0:- - - - 5 - - - - - SQK 5
S5:- 2 - - - - - - - - SQK 52
S3:- - - * - - - - - - SQK 34 (and it is disabled)

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@ gmail.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com

Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2008 2:35:11 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Programming the BCT15 . . .Again...

I have been up and down through the manual, and due to not having the cable and software, have still, yet to figure out how to get this to do what im trying to do.

When I purchased this from a gentleman off this list, it came with everything from DC to Daylight in it, however, 80% of that stuff is (locked out ?) or the scan bank or group is just not turned on? im not sure. I live in the Plano area, and I cannot recieve any plano frisco allen mckinney, and so on so forth area stuff, while in scan mode, i have to stop in other banks and scroll to the system and ID's...

If ANYONE can shed some light, i've googled, and oogled, and looked through this manual until my head is ready to explode, im sure it would be 100X easier if I just had the cable and software lol.

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28270. Cop video

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-28 23:08:23 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 28270 Message: 28270

Sarge,

That police video (Richardson, right?) rocked. Blew my mind to see the associated photos afterwards. Crazy.

DT

--
David.Taylor@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28271. Lifestar3 Website

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-29 01:30:34 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28271 Message: 28271

http://lifeteam.k2interactive.com/about/index.asp?user_id=greenville

Not a lot of info, but may be interesting to some of you...

28272. GarlandRebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-29 16:15:49 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 28272 Message: 28272

Hi y'all.

I just got notice that I need to verify all the radio frequency/talk group matrix for all of our radios. It seems as though Garland (Rowlett and Sachse) expect to reband in the next two months.

Any bets???????? <grins>

whr
--
W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

28273. Re: [dfwscan] GarlandRebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-29 16:34:48 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28272 Message: 28273

Oh great. So, what toy do I need to buy now for hand held? Also is reception going to be better for the city radios? I know they always have dead spots?

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: K8DNE <wh.reynolds@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 9:15:49 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] GarlandRebanding

Hi y'all.

I just got notice that I need to verify all the radio frequency/talk
group matrix for all of our radios. It seems as though Garland (Rowlett
and Sachse) expect to reband in the next two months.

Any bets???????? <grins>

whr
--
W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28274. National Hurricane Center's WX4NHC Sets On-The-Air Station Test

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-30 16:36:55 UTC
From: Dan Brownfield
Topic: 28274 Message: 28274

National Hurricane Center's WX4NHC Sets On-The-Air Station Test

The annual WX4NHC <http://www.wx4nhc.org/> On-the-Air Station Test from the National Hurricane Center (NHC <http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/>) in Miami takes place Saturday, May 31, 1300-2100 UTC. "The purpose of this annual Station Test is to test all of our radio equipment, computers and antennas using as many modes and frequencies as possible. This is not a contest or simulated hurricane exercise. New equipment and software will be tested, and some operator training will also be conducted," says WX4NHC Assistant Amateur Radio Volunteer Coordinator Julio Ripoll, WD4R. He adds that WX4NHC also will be testing new computers and software as well conducting operator training.

WX4NHC will be on the air on HF, VHF and UHF, plus 2 and 30 meter APRS <http://www.aprs.net/> . Suggested SSB frequencies are 3.950, 7.268, 14.325, 21.325 and 28.525 MHz, +/-QRM; WX4NHC reports that they will mostly be on 14.325 MHz and will make announcements when they change frequencies. WX4NHC also will be on the VoIP Hurricane Net <http://www.voipwx.net/> 1700-1900 UTC (IRLP node 9219/EchoLink WX-TALK Conference) and on South Florida area VHF/UHF repeaters and simplex.

Stations working WX4NHC exchange call sign, signal report, location and name plus a brief weather report, such as "sunny," "rain" or "cloudy." Non-hams may submit their actual weather using the On-Line Hurricane Report Form. QSL to WD4R and include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. Do not send cards to the NHC. Due to security measures, no visitors will be allowed at NHC during the test.

James
KB7TBT
www.kb7tbt.com
www.myspace.com/kb7tbt

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28275. Unit/radio numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-31 05:35:25 UTC
From: Michael DeLong
Topic: 28275 Message: 28275

Can anyone tell me what numbers Argyle and Bartonville are using these days?

28276. Re: Unit/radio numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-05-31 21:51:03 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28275 Message: 28276

>Can anyone tell me what numbers Argyle and Bartonville are using >these days?

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/sitebuildercontent/sitebuilderfiles/denco.txt

Bartonville is protected by either Argyle FD or Double Oak
(can't remember). If you have their talkgroups in, you'll be
fine. Not much happens there.

28277. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Unit/radio numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-01 01:46:09 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 28275 Message: 28277

Bartonville and Argyle are both Argyle Fire Department areas along with Copper Canyon, Northlake, and Corral City. Their FD unit numbers are 510's. (511, 512, 513, etc.)

I believe the Argyle PD units are 850's but I am not 100% sure on that.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2008 4:51 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Michael DeLong
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Unit/radio numbers

>Can anyone tell me what numbers Argyle and Bartonville are using >these days?

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/sitebuildercontent/sitebuilderfiles/denco.txt

Bartonville is protected by either Argyle FD or Double Oak
(can't remember). If you have their talkgroups in, you'll be
fine. Not much happens there.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28278. Scare Crow Bandi

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-04 01:40:26 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28278 Message: 28278

Though I was working I couldn't resist hauling a** to see what was going to happen with all this. I got their about 10 man after he bailed in the apartments. I just shot some footage here and there. A little shaky.

http://www.outrunproductions.com/video/scarecrowbandits.wmv

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28279. 2 Alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-04 19:05:39 UTC
From: cfwcad
Topic: 28279 Message: 28279

2 Alarm working commercial structure fire in Fort Worth -- Purington Ave / Ben Ave

28280. DPD pursuing robbery suspect on DPD3

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-04 19:25:17 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28280 Message: 28280

Sunburst&Marvel area

AIR1 unable to assist due to high winds

White male 5'9 200lbs short crewcut brown haie red shirt shorts fleeing on foot.

Officers have him in the area can't pin his exact location down.

Dispatched called on DPD8 for a K9 unit.

28281. Anybody hear this???

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-04 19:15:31 UTC
From: Dan Brownfield
Topic: 28281 Message: 28281

DALLAS - A stolen theft decoy car being monitored by police crashed into another car as officers tried to disable it, killing the elderly driver of the second car, police said.
http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20080604/ap_on_re_us/bait_car_death

73
Dan
NØDRB

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28282. Major Accident Last Month

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-04 22:38:37 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28282 Message: 28282

Came across this accident last month. Shot some video from inside my truck. 2 helicopters. I guess PHI and Careflight not sure.

www.outrunproductions.com/video/Richardsonaccident.wmv

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28283. Re: [dfwscan] Anybody hear this???

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-04 23:35:45 UTC
From: Dan Brownfield
Topic: 28281 Message: 28283

I happen to live in Vernon, TX. It takes a while for news to reach us in the sticks. What I was asking was if anyone heard the actual calls?
I imagine it to be something like:
"OK dispatch, you can shut off the bait car now..." "Uhhh, dispatch, you wanna shut off the car now?"
"I'm trying to shut it off, dammit"
"Ummm, nevermind, dispatch, problem has taken care of itself...you wanna call rescue?"
----- Original Message -----
From: Niki
To: Dan Brownfield
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2008 2:33 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Anybody hear this???

It's been all over the news for two days. Where ya been? ;)

On 6/4/08, Dan Brownfield <deptydog@...> wrote:
DALLAS - A stolen theft decoy car being monitored by police crashed into another car as officers tried to disable it, killing the elderly driver of the second car, police said.
http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20080604/ap_on_re_us/bait_car_death

73
Dan
NØDRB

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28284. RE: [dfwscan] Major Accident Last Month

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-05 06:12:48 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28282 Message: 28284

Both those aircraft are from CareFlite!

-----Original Message-----
From: "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: 6/4/2008 5:39 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Major Accident Last Month

Came across this accident last month. Shot some video from inside my truck. 2 helicopters. I guess PHI and Careflight not sure.

www.outrunproductions.com/video/Richardsonaccident.wmv

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28285. RE: [dfwscan] Major Accident Last Month

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-05 09:09:06 UTC
From: Cross, Robert
Topic: 28282 Message: 28285

I was actually the dispatcher on the CareFlite end that launched those aircraft. The initial aircraft lifting is the Frisco Bell 222 and second one that you see ground taxiing is the Dallas Agusta E109Power. PHI didn't respond because of weather limitations at their base. Was a good job done by the crews on the ground also.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com on behalf of Renois, Daniel
Sent: Thu 6/5/2008 1:12 AM
To: Jason Simpkins; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Major Accident Last Month

Both those aircraft are from CareFlite!

-----Original Message-----
From: "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: 6/4/2008 5:39 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Major Accident Last Month

Came across this accident last month. Shot some video from inside my truck. 2 helicopters. I guess PHI and Careflight not sure.

www.outrunproductions.com/video/Richardsonaccident.wmv

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28286. Arlington standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-05 14:28:33 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28286 Message: 28286

Something working in Arlington, AFD3, CareFlite shutting down on scene, waiting for access to female GSW victim. One address I've heard is on Denalie, which is probably where the LZ is.

28287. Re: [dfwscan] Arlington standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-05 14:31:55 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28286 Message: 28287

APD showing a domestic 600 blk Cunningham, APD TAC 1 54352
http://www.arlingtonpd.org/CAD.asp?District=ALL

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28288. Re: [dfwscan] Arlington standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-05 14:58:39 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28286 Message: 28288

Shots fired when PD entered. Sounds like original victim is in full arrest., going by Careflite. Susp in custody, healthy.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28289. Motor Cop hit

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-05 23:21:22 UTC
From: Josh
Topic: 28289 Message: 28289

In Weatherford this morning a motor officer was hit when a woman was backing out of her driveway and hit the officer ejecting him off of his bike. He was takin to JPS in Ft Worth by careflight and was listed in good/fair condition. I spoke with one of my WPD officer friends and he said that the officer was good just had surface wounds.

Josh In Weatherford

28290. DPD Air 1 heading to overturned boat

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-06 00:23:59 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28290 Message: 28290

Near Bass Pro 4 men reported in the water.

DPD2

Boat is sinking.

28291. Found this on ESPN.com

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-06 02:27:38 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 28291 Message: 28291

Convicted steroids dealer who gave names to NFL found dead

ESPN.com news services

Updated: June 5, 2008, 9:08 PM ET

- Comment <http://myespn.go.com/conversation/story?id=3427526>
- Email <http://sports.espn.go.com/nfl/news/story?id=3427526#>
- Print <http://sports.espn.go.com/espn/print?id=3427526&type=story>

PLANO, Texas -- A convicted steroids dealer who recently met with NFL security officials and gave them names of players he said bought steroids from him has been found dead in his home.

[+] Enlarge <http://sports.espn.go.com/nfl/news/story?id=3427526#>
[image: David Jacobs] <http://sports.espn.go.com/nfl/news/story?id=3427526#>

AP Photo/Tony Gutierrez

David Jacobs, seen after pleading guilty to steroid charges in 2007, recently met with NFL officials -- and according to his lawyer, gave them the names of players who had received drugs he had made. He was found dead on Thursday.
Early Thursday morning, Plano police made a welfare check and found 35-year-old David Jacobs and 30-year-old Amanda Jo Earhart-Savell dead. Both had been shot.

Officer Rick McDonald, a police spokesman, said the officers were making a welfare check after relatives of Earhart-Savell expressed concern about her whereabouts.

According to the Dallas Morning News, which first reported the story, Jacobs and Earhart-Savell had been in an on-again, off-again relationship, and at one point were engaged to be married.

Heather Bowden, also a spokeswoman for the Plano police, told the New York Times in a telephone interview, "At this point, it is a homicide investigation, and we have no reason to believe otherwise."

Jacobs was sentenced to three years of probation and fined $25,000 on May 1 after pleading guilty last year in federal court in Dallas to conspiring to possess with intent to distribute anabolic steroids.

According to ESPN the Magazine senior writer Shaun Assael, who had been in contact with Jacobs throughout May, Jacobs was reticent and nervous about the information he had and the people it implicated.

When explaining why he did not want to go public, Jacobs said: "The kinds of people I know about could put a bullet in the back of my head."

Jacobs's father, also named David, of Jasper, Ga., told The Times in a telephone interview that he received a call from an anonymous person, who told Jacobs to call the Plano police. Jacobs said police were unable to tell him much about what might have transpired.

"I spoke with David through a text message about three or four days ago, and he said he was fine," Jacobs told The Times. "He has been trying to rebuild his life. He got crossed with some bad things and made some bad choices. At this point, I am just beside myself."

The Morning News reported it had spoken with Jacobs frequently and exchanged e-mails with him as recently as last weekend. Jacobs had sought to rebuild his nutritional supplement business, but he was experiencing financial problems and having trouble getting his old client base to work with him, according to the report.

On May 21, Jacobs met with NFL security officials in the Dallas area and gave them names of players he said bought steroids from him, according to Hank Hockeimer, his lawyer.

Hockeimer told the newspaper on Thursday he had not yet been briefed about the situation at Jacobs' house.

The NFL requested the meeting after Jacobs was sentenced to three years of probation on May 1 for a single count of conspiracy to distribute anabolic steroids. He had cooperated with federal authorities since his arrest last year.

"We offer our sympathy to the families of David Jacobs and Amanda Jo Earhart-Savell," the league said in a statement. "As we have previously confirmed, our security representatives interviewed David Jacobs on two occasions. We are reviewing the information to determine if there is documented evidence establishing any violations of our program and will follow up on any other information that is provided.

"It is premature to comment on any specific player at this time. Anyone found to have violated our policies will be subject to discipline, including suspension. We will continue to be responsive to any needs of law enforcement on this matter."

Neighbors who were still gathered at the scene about 12 hours after officers arrived said they became aware of Jacobs through television news reports, but didn't know him well. They said they didn't see any suspicious activity or hear any gunshots.

One neighbor who reached out to Jacobs after his guilty plea by asking him to warn children about the dangers of drugs said she didn't believe police when they told her he was dead.

"Knowing somebody died this way, it's hard to take," Yeharerwerk Gashaw said. "I was shocked."

By his own account, Jacobs was a prolific salesman, moving 1,000 bottles of anabolic steroids a month and an equal number of growth hormone kits that he obtained illicitly from China.

Hockeimer had said that league officials seemed "genuinely interested" in what Jacobs had to say, as well as in canceled checks and e-mails that he provided.

Jacobs has publicly acknowledged that he dealt primarily with two NFL players and earlier identified one of them as offensive lineman Matt Lehr<http://sports.espn.go.com/nfl/players/profile?statsId=5585>.

Last month, Jacobs told the Morning News that Lehr purchased tens of thousands of dollars of steroids and growth hormone from the spring of 2006 to the spring of 2007. He also told the paper that Lehr agreed to have boxes of raw steroid powder from China shipped directly to his Georgia home.

Lehr served a four-game suspension while a member of the Atlanta Falcons<http://sports.espn.go.com/nfl/clubhouse?team=atl>in October 2006 after he tested positive for a banned substance. He spent last season with Tampa Bay and was acquired by the Saints in the offseason.

Lehr's attorney, Paul Coggins, has said the player hasn't used banned substances since he was suspended and has since passed NFL drug tests. The attorney has also said Jacobs' allegations are retaliation because Lehr wouldn't pay Jacobs' legal fees.

After his sentencing, Jacobs told The New York Times that he hoped to tell league officials about "loopholes in their program." He also said he'd advised about 10 players to use finasteride, a drug to treat balding, because it masks steroid use.

Information from ESPN the Magazine senior writer Shaun Assael and The Associated Press was used in this report.

--
David.Taylor@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28292. Perot Systems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-06 03:07:55 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 28292 Message: 28292

There appears to be some kind of fire activity at Perot Systems. I can't tell if it's a drill or not. One engine mentioned he couldn't get in as there's nobody at the guard shack. They were calling in about five units.

I missed the early part as I didn't turn on the scanner until I heard a passing fire truck.

It's on the Plano fire channel.

Mark

28293. Re: Perot Systems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-06 17:36:41 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28292 Message: 28293

>There appears to be some kind of fire activity at Perot Systems. I
>can't tell if it's a drill or not.

Maybe it's the sound of money burning a hole
in Mr. Perot's pocket. He has plenty of that..:)

28294. DFW Airport incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-06 20:45:58 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28294 Message: 28294

Euless FD is going to fill in at DFW Station 5. "They're working a possible chlorine bomb". 6/6/2008 15:45

28295. Re: [dfwscan] DFW Airport incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-06 21:04:59 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28294 Message: 28295

NBC is reporting a story about a missing person, and DFW enlisting the help of other agencies. I must of totaly misheard something, or there was a another incident.
32880 on the NE Tarrant system is used for the Airport interop with other agencies, so tune in there. I'm at work and not able to scan as much as I'd like. It doesn't sound like anything to get too excited about at this point.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28296. Re: DFW Airport incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-07 14:01:12 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 28294 Message: 28296

There was an incident on Friday where an autisic child was lost in
the woods at a park in Plano. I didn't learn the outcome.
They wanted to get a helicopter up, but the wind was pretty bad, so
they had to wait until after dark when the wind died down.

Mark

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino \(YGW\)" <groups@...>
wrote:
>
> NBC is reporting a story about a missing person, and DFW enlisting
the help of other agencies. I must of totaly misheard something, or
there was a another incident.
> 32880 on the NE Tarrant system is used for the Airport interop with
other agencies, so tune in there. I'm at work and not able to scan as
much as I'd like. It doesn't sound like anything to get too excited
about at this point.
>
> Ben
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28297. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DFW Airport incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-07 15:17:58 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28294 Message: 28297

How about the child from Arkansas whose mother high-tailed it while he was in the bathtroom yesterday in the terminal at DFW??

Mark Saum <glock29@...> wrote: There was an incident on Friday where an autisic child was lost in
the woods at a park in Plano. I didn't learn the outcome.
They wanted to get a helicopter up, but the wind was pretty bad, so
they had to wait until after dark when the wind died down.

Mark

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino \(YGW\)" <groups@...>
wrote:
>
> NBC is reporting a story about a missing person, and DFW enlisting
the help of other agencies. I must of totaly misheard something, or
there was a another incident.
> 32880 on the NE Tarrant system is used for the Airport interop with
other agencies, so tune in there. I'm at work and not able to scan as
much as I'd like. It doesn't sound like anything to get too excited
about at this point.
>
> Ben
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28298. Grass fire in Mansfield

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-07 16:57:52 UTC
From: w_r_adams1
Topic: 28298 Message: 28298

Currently (1600Z 7 June)several jurisdictions (Grand Pairie, Arlington, Mansfield) working grass fire in Mansfield, incident command center is near Correctional Facility. Comm is on Mansfield system.

Bill

28299. Re: [dfwscan] DFW Airport incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-07 19:37:13 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28294 Message: 28299

>going to fill in at DFW Station 5. "They're working a possible
>chlorine bomb".

FWIW - and I remember a few had asked
(as well as Lindsay on RR)-

Yes, it appears DFW Int'l does transmit their
Fire Alert tone and talkgroup 256 DEC/02-000
AFS WITOUT ESK enabled. Unfortunately, the
response talkgroup (CH 15) is not. However,
SOME is better than NONE at all. And, if you
are within range of the 800 system (and listen
QUICK when there IS something suspected or
going on), at least you can get a little idea
of what FD/EMS is rolling to. I know within the
last few days I've heard several medical
emergency alerts.

Again, 256 is the only talkgroup I've confirmed.
In my PSR500, I have just the FD/EMS talkgroups
in one list, and another list with ALL of the DFW
talkgroups.

Hope this helps those in the media, as well as
monitors that have thought all along "all is lost"
listening to DFW. It's NOT...brother or sister....:)
It's certainly limited, but it's THERE.

Of course, as myself and others have strongly pointed
out over the years, if the *&%$! hits the fan at DFW
(such as a major crash), forget about airport DPS.
Everyone for miles around will be responding! Don't
worry about missing the action! You won't. I'll
guarantee that! It'll be the biggest monitoring CF
in a long time!...;-)

Blaine

28300. Re: DFW Airport incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-07 19:39:49 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28294 Message: 28300

>32880 on the NE Tarrant system is used for the Airport interop with other agencies, so tune in there.

Good talkgroup Ben. I agree. Great one to have in the scanner. I've usually only heard drills and such on there, but I feel confident if something major happened, it'd be hopping with activity as well.

Blaine

28301. Re: Grass fire in Mansfield

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-07 20:26:26 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28298 Message: 28301

>Currently (1600Z 7 June)several jurisdictions (Grand Pairie, >Arlington, Mansfield) working grass fire in Mansfield, incident >command center is >near Correctional Facility. Comm is on >Mansfield system.

Might want to keep the Forestry frequencies in there just in case it gets worse. With this wind lately, I'm really surprised we haven't had an inferno someplace. Or maybe we did, and I just missed it...:)

Blaine

28302. PHI 12 Crash

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-08 15:55:25 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28302 Message: 28302

Hi Group,

I don't know if anyone from the Houston area is still on this list? If there is, maybe you heard more on the following incident. This is all I know and it isn't good for PHI 12. Somewhere between 8:30 AM and 9 AM this morning PHI 8 crashed in Walker or Waller County (both are Houston area). From the report I was given there were no survivors.

This is confirmed and it is all I know about the incident. It's definitely not a good ending to this story. I'm not sure if there was a patient onboard or not.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28303. RE: [dfwscan] PHI 12 Crash

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-08 15:57:38 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28302 Message: 28303

Yeah they had a patient on board they were in a bell 407

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 10:55
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] PHI 12 Crash

Hi Group,

I don't know if anyone from the Houston area is still on this list? If there is, maybe you heard more on the following incident. This is all I know and it isn't good for PHI 12. Somewhere between 8:30 AM and 9 AM this
morning PHI 8 crashed in Walker or Waller County (both are Houston area).
From the report I was given there were no survivors.

This is confirmed and it is all I know about the incident. It's definitely
not a good ending to this story. I'm not sure if there was a patient onboard or not.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28304. RE: [dfwscan] PHI 12 Crash

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-08 16:10:14 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28302 Message: 28304

http://abclocal.go.com/ktrk/story?section=news/local&id=6192279
<http://abclocal.go.com/ktrk/story?section=news/local&id=6192279>

http://www.kbtx.com/home/headlines/19642684.html
<http://www.kbtx.com/home/headlines/19642684.html>

http://www.khou.com/topstories/stories/khou080608_tj_phicrash.109dbd5d.h
tml
<http://www.khou.com/topstories/stories/khou080608_tj_phicrash.109dbd5d.
html>

here are a few links from the crash

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of Renois, Daniel
Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 10:58
To: commscan; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] PHI 12 Crash

Yeah they had a patient on board they were in a bell 407

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
Behalf
Of commscan
Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 10:55
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] PHI 12 Crash

Hi Group,

I don't know if anyone from the Houston area is still on this list? If
there is, maybe you heard more on the following incident. This is all I
know and it isn't good for PHI 12. Somewhere between 8:30 AM and 9 AM
this
morning PHI 8 crashed in Walker or Waller County (both are Houston
area).
From the report I was given there were no survivors.

This is confirmed and it is all I know about the incident. It's
definitely
not a good ending to this story. I'm not sure if there was a patient
onboard or not.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28305. RE: [dfwscan] PHI 12 Crash

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-08 16:10:31 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28302 Message: 28305

Thanks for the information Daniel and Justin. Yes, I just discovered the timeframe I was given was what time they authorities found the crash site and not the time of crash. It appears it was in a hard to reach (I'm guessing wooded...Sam Houston National Forest?) area.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Renois, Daniel
Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 10:58 AM
To: commscan; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] PHI 12 Crash

Yeah they had a patient on board they were in a bell 407

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 10:55
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] PHI 12 Crash

Hi Group,

I don't know if anyone from the Houston area is still on this list? If there is, maybe you heard more on the following incident. This is all I know and it isn't good for PHI 12. Somewhere between 8:30 AM and 9 AM this
morning PHI 8 crashed in Walker or Waller County (both are Houston area).
From the report I was given there were no survivors.

This is confirmed and it is all I know about the incident. It's definitely
not a good ending to this story. I'm not sure if there was a patient onboard or not.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28306. Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-08 16:57:08 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 28306 Message: 28306

Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?

I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)

They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be phasing these in around the county?

28307. Re: [dfwscan] Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-08 18:56:53 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28306 Message: 28307

cltx11 wrote:
>
> Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
>
> I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
>
> They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be
> phasing these in around the county?
>

I wasn't aware there was a new EAS system. Where did you hear this?
Broadcast radio? Scanner? Tornado siren? Define "these"?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28308. Re: [dfwscan] Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-08 19:10:54 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28306 Message: 28308

Are you referring to what is commonly called a "tornado siren" as
Hurst has been putting some new ones in recently. If so, that is NOT
the EAS. Google EAS to learn what the EAS truly is and is not.

Greg

At 11:57 AM 06/08/2008, you wrote:

>Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
>
>I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
>
>They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be
>phasing these in around the county?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28309. DPS chasing white pickup on Bush Turnpike

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-08 20:08:58 UTC
From: scottbomb
Topic: 28309 Message: 28309

Chasing a white female since Garland... now in Carrollton. Has gun.
Speeds 90+ mph. About 4 units chasing her.

28310. Re: DPS chasing white pickup on Bush Turnpike

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-08 20:12:59 UTC
From: scottbomb
Topic: 28309 Message: 28310

In custody at Old Denton & Cedar Rd.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "scottbomb" <scottbomb@...> wrote:
>
> Chasing a white female since Garland... now in Carrollton. Has gun.
> Speeds 90+ mph. About 4 units chasing her.
>

28311. Re: [dfwscan] Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 04:17:40 UTC
From: P L
Topic: 28306 Message: 28311

The tornado sirens in NRH are not "new" per se. They had switched to the Whelen electronic sirens a while back. The only actual motor siren that I have seen in any close proximity to NRH is the old American Signal T-135 that is off of Popplewell and Hardisty in Richland Hills. However, I also know that the sirens that are in NRH are not tested on Fridays. If memory serves me correctly, NRH tests there sirens on the first Wednesday of every month at 10am. But hey, I have been known to be wrong before.

----- Original Message ----
From: Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 8, 2008 12:10:54 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Emergency Alert System

Are you referring to what is commonly called a "tornado siren" as
Hurst has been putting some new ones in recently. If so, that is NOT
the EAS. Google EAS to learn what the EAS truly is and is not.

Greg

At 11:57 AM 06/08/2008, you wrote:

>Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
>
>I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
>
>They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be
>phasing these in around the county?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28312. Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 04:43:30 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 28306 Message: 28312

Where in my post did I say "these?" I didn't

I posted exactly where I was, NRH2O!

And yes it was the EAS, the voice that broadcasted over the PA system
said... "This is a test, this is a test of the emergency broadcast system"

Obviously you guys have not heard them yet since you are short to
judge. They were loud and clear while I was inside NRH2O @ 09:00, the
manager of the waterpark was walking by as they broadcasted it. I
asked if it was something new they put up and he said "no, you can
actually hear those."
They sounded like they were coming from the direction of Hurst (from TCC.)

This is exactly why I dont post on forums or groups, everyone has a
little clique and puts down folks who does not post much.

I will be sure and not ask another question here again.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mel's mail lists <lists@...> wrote:
>
> cltx11 wrote:
> >
> > Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
> >
> > I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
> >
> > They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be
> > phasing these in around the county?
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I wasn't aware there was a new EAS system. Where did you hear this?
> Broadcast radio? Scanner? Tornado siren? Define "these"?
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>

28313. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 04:58:00 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28306 Message: 28313

>
> > cltx11 wrote:
> > >
> > > Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
> > >
> > > I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
> > >
> > > They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be
> > > phasing these in around the county?
> > >
> >

> Where in my post did I say "these?" I didn't

> I posted exactly where I was, NRH2O!

>
>
> Your last sentence of your orginal email said "are they supposed to be
> phasing THESE in around the country" so yes you DID use "THESE". And
> all I did was ask you for more details because I had no earthly idea
> what you were talking about.

> If you had said "i heard EAS messages sounding like they were
> broadcast over tornado sirens" I bet more people would have understood
> that.

> I don't think there's anything wrong with the question you asked, but
> don't get offended if people ask for more clarification regarding your
> question. We're just trying to understand what you're talking about so
> as to better answer your question.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28314. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 05:07:15 UTC
From: Dan Brownfield
Topic: 28306 Message: 28314

Well I, for one, wasn't confused about his question, though I didn't know the answer. And I agree with cltx11 that this group always seems a bit rude, and I hesitate to post as well. You guys down there in the D/FW got a some sort of "snotty" pill in yer water? :-)

Dan
NØDRB
----- Original Message -----
From: Mel's mail lists
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 11:58 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

>
> > cltx11 wrote:
> > >
> > > Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
> > >
> > > I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
> > >
> > > They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be
> > > phasing these in around the county?
> > >
>

> Where in my post did I say "these?" I didn't

> I posted exactly where I was, NRH2O!

>
>
> Your last sentence of your orginal email said "are they supposed to be
> phasing THESE in around the country" so yes you DID use "THESE". And
> all I did was ask you for more details because I had no earthly idea
> what you were talking about.

> If you had said "i heard EAS messages sounding like they were
> broadcast over tornado sirens" I bet more people would have understood
> that.

> I don't think there's anything wrong with the question you asked, but
> don't get offended if people ask for more clarification regarding your
> question. We're just trying to understand what you're talking about so
> as to better answer your question.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28315. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 11:14:51 UTC
From: Guy Teague
Topic: 28306 Message: 28315

i don't know what it is about hams and scanner listeners. i can understand the hams, they all seem to think they have something important to say once they own a microphone and all i can say is thank the godz and the fcc for repeater off-timers! unfortunately there are no such limits on 80 meters--only the finals melting seems to end the qso's there.

but the swl's (shortwave listeners) seem to be the exception--i find them mostly a polite bunch unless you mistake a beverage antenna for a rhombic.

on usenet, rec.radio.scanner or alt.radio.scanner pretty well dissolved in death threats a few years back. that should tell you all you need to know about the personality type.

73 de kg5vt -- (mostly non-snotty, but then again i don't talk much either since i retired from skywarn. and i've never been on 80 meters, only on 40.)

/guy

On Mon, Jun 9, 2008 at 12:07 AM, Dan Brownfield <deptydog@dishmail.net> wrote:

> Well I, for one, wasn't confused about his question, though I didn't know
> the answer. And I agree with cltx11 that this group always seems a bit rude,
> and I hesitate to post as well. You guys down there in the D/FW got a some
> sort of "snotty" pill in yer water? :-)
>
> Dan
> NØDRB
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Mel's mail lists
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 11:58 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System
>
>
>
> >
> > > cltx11 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
> > > >
> > > > I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
> > > >
> > > > They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to
> be
> > > > phasing these in around the county?

> > >
> >
>
> > Where in my post did I say "these?" I didn't
>
> > I posted exactly where I was, NRH2O!
>
> >
> >
> > Your last sentence of your orginal email said "are they supposed to be
> > phasing THESE in around the country" so yes you DID use "THESE". And
> > all I did was ask you for more details because I had no earthly idea
> > what you were talking about.
>
> > If you had said "i heard EAS messages sounding like they were
> > broadcast over tornado sirens" I bet more people would have understood
> > that.
>
> > I don't think there's anything wrong with the question you asked, but
> > don't get offended if people ask for more clarification regarding your
> > question. We're just trying to understand what you're talking about so
> > as to better answer your question.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28316. [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 11:18:01 UTC
From: kd5tvf@gmail.com
Topic: 28306 Message: 28316

Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

-----Original Message-----
From: kd5tvf@gmail.com

Date: Mon, 9 Jun 2008 11:14:52
To:"Dan Brownfield" <deptydog@dishmail.net>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

I can't speak for the group, but recent enviromental research has shown we have traces of many prescription meds in our Arlington water supply.

Hooray for bottled spring water!!
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

-----Original Message-----
From: "Dan Brownfield" <deptydog@dishmail.net>

Date: Mon, 9 Jun 2008 00:07:15
To:<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>,"Mel's mail lists" <lists@rasmussen.cx>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Well I, for one, wasn't confused about his question, though I didn't know the answer. And I agree with cltx11 that this group always seems a bit rude, and I hesitate to post as well. You guys down there in the D/FW got a some sort of "snotty" pill in yer water? :-)

Dan
NØDRB
----- Original Message -----
From: Mel's mail lists
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 11:58 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

>
> > cltx11 wrote:
> > >
> > > Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
> > >
> > > I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
> > >
> > > They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be
> > > phasing these in around the county?
> >
>

> Where in my post did I say "these?" I didn't

> I posted exactly where I was, NRH2O!

>
>
> Your last sentence of your orginal email said "are they supposed to be
> phasing THESE in around the country" so yes you DID use "THESE". And
> all I did was ask you for more details because I had no earthly idea
> what you were talking about.

> If you had said "i heard EAS messages sounding like they were
> broadcast over tornado sirens" I bet more people would have understood
> that.

> I don't think there's anything wrong with the question you asked, but
> don't get offended if people ask for more clarification regarding your
> question. We're just trying to understand what you're talking about so
> as to better answer your question.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28317. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 11:27:16 UTC
From: KD5KNR Email Lists
Topic: 28306 Message: 28317

☐Snooty☐ pill, nope crappy tasting water, yep!

I would expect a municipal government employee to have a little thicker skin. Cody, don☐t get your panties all in a wad, just because others asked for further information. My thoughts were that some agency, probably your own, Hurst or TCC, were doing some additional testing to their siren system. However, as Mel pointed out you did not specify it was coming from a siren system; you stated it was new EAS that was being tested.

By definition, ☐the EAS is The Emergency Alert System (EAS) is a national public warning system that requires broadcasters, cable television systems, wireless cable systems, satellite digital audio radio service (SDARS) providers and, direct broadcast satellite (DBS) service providers to provide the communications capability to the President to address the American public during a National emergency. The system also may be used by state and local authorities to deliver important emergency information such as AMBER alerts and weather information targeted to a specific area.☐

Regards,

Billy Mason, KD5KNR

Bedford, TX

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dan Brownfield
Sent: Monday, June 09, 2008 00:07
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Mel's mail lists
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Well I, for one, wasn't confused about his question, though I didn't know the answer. And I agree with cltx11 that this group always seems a bit rude, and I hesitate to post as well. You guys down there in the D/FW got a some sort of "snotty" pill in yer water? :-)

Dan
NØDRB
----- Original Message -----
From: Mel's mail lists
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 11:58 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

>
> > cltx11 wrote:
> > >
> > > Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?

> > >
> > > I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
> > >
> > > They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be
> > > phasing these in around the county?
> >
>

> Where in my post did I say "these?" I didn't

> I posted exactly where I was, NRH2O!

>
>
Your last sentence of your original email said "are they supposed to be
phasing THESE in around the country" so yes you DID use "THESE". And
all I did was ask you for more details because I had no earthly idea
what you were talking about.

If you had said "I heard EAS messages sounding like they were
broadcast over tornado sirens" I bet more people would have understood
that.

I don't think there's anything wrong with the question you asked, but
don't get offended if people ask for more clarification regarding your
question. We're just trying to understand what you're talking about so
as to better answer your question.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28318. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 11:46:38 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28306 Message: 28318

You damn snooty people got me all confused. Am I supposed to be able to hear these EAS honker things on my scanner? :)

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of KD5KNR Email Lists
Sent: Monday, June 09, 2008 6:27 AM
To: DFW Scan
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

☐Snooty☐ pill, nope crappy tasting water, yep!

I would expect a municipal government employee to have a little thicker skin. Cody, don☐t get your panties all in a wad, just because others asked for further information. My thoughts were that some agency, probably your own, Hurst or TCC, were doing some additional testing to their siren system. However, as Mel pointed out you did not specify it was coming from a siren system; you stated it was new EAS that was being tested.

By definition, ☐the EAS is The Emergency Alert System (EAS) is a national public warning system that requires broadcasters, cable television systems, wireless cable systems, satellite digital audio radio service (SDARS) providers and, direct broadcast satellite (DBS) service providers to provide the communications capability to the President to address the American public during a National emergency. The system also may be used by state and local authorities to deliver important emergency information such as AMBER alerts and weather information targeted to a specific area.☐

Regards,

Billy Mason, KD5KNR

Bedford, TX

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dan Brownfield
Sent: Monday, June 09, 2008 00:07
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Mel's mail lists
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Well I, for one, wasn't confused about his question, though I didn't know the answer. And I agree with cltx11 that this group always seems a bit rude, and I hesitate to post as well. You guys down there in the D/FW got a some sort of "snotty" pill in yer water? :-)

Dan
NØDRB
----- Original Message -----
From: Mel's mail lists
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 11:58 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

>
> > cltx11 wrote:
> > >
> > > Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
> > >
> > > I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
> > >
> > > They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they supposed to be
> > > phasing these in around the county?
> > >
>

> Where in my post did I say "these?" I didn't

> I posted exactly where I was, NRH2O!

>
>
Your last sentence of your original email said "are they supposed to be
phasing THESE in around the country" so yes you DID use "THESE". And
all I did was ask you for more details because I had no earthly idea
what you were talking about.

If you had said "I heard EAS messages sounding like they were
broadcast over tornado sirens" I bet more people would have understood
that.

I don't think there's anything wrong with the question you asked, but
don't get offended if people ask for more clarification regarding your
question. We're just trying to understand what you're talking about so
as to better answer your question.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28319. RE: [dfwscan] DFW Airport incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 11:51:03 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28294 Message: 28319

OK, so is it analog?

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Sent: Saturday, June 07, 2008 2:37 PM
To: DFWScan; Ben Saladino (YGW)
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DFW Airport incident

>going to fill in at DFW Station 5. "They're working a possible
>chlorine bomb".

FWIW - and I remember a few had asked
(as well as Lindsay on RR)-

Yes, it appears DFW Int'l does transmit their
Fire Alert tone and talkgroup 256 DEC/02-000
AFS WITOUT ESK enabled. Unfortunately, the
response talkgroup (CH 15) is not. However,
SOME is better than NONE at all. And, if you
are within range of the 800 system (and listen
QUICK when there IS something suspected or
going on), at least you can get a little idea
of what FD/EMS is rolling to. I know within the
last few days I've heard several medical
emergency alerts.

Again, 256 is the only talkgroup I've confirmed.
In my PSR500, I have just the FD/EMS talkgroups
in one list, and another list with ALL of the DFW
talkgroups.

Hope this helps those in the media, as well as
monitors that have thought all along "all is lost"
listening to DFW. It's NOT...brother or sister....:)
It's certainly limited, but it's THERE.

Of course, as myself and others have strongly pointed
out over the years, if the *&%$! hits the fan at DFW
(such as a major crash), forget about airport DPS.
Everyone for miles around will be responding! Don't
worry about missing the action! You won't. I'll
guarantee that! It'll be the biggest monitoring CF
in a long time!...;-)

Blaine

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28320. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 12:24:30 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28306 Message: 28320

...and I actually heard them test this on XM the other day. Right in the middle of a good song they make an announcement and all that nonsense like they do on TV every so often.

It made sense to me that they would have this type of alert system at an outdoor park -- I suspect every large sporting venue, etc... has one of those receivers and a way to play it over their PA system.

And it looks like some of the folks here need a Midol and a time delay on their outgoing messages.

****
> You damn snooty people got me all confused. Am I supposed to be able to
> hear these EAS honker things on my scanner? :)
>
> **********
>
> By definition, ?the EAS is The Emergency Alert System (EAS) is a national
> public warning system that requires broadcasters, cable television systems,
> wireless cable systems, satellite digital audio radio service (SDARS)
> providers and, direct broadcast satellite (DBS) service providers to provide
> the communications capability to the President to address the American
> public during a National emergency. The system also may be used by state and
> local authorities to deliver important emergency information such as AMBER
> alerts and weather information targeted to a specific area.?
>
>
>

28321. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 17:40:22 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28306 Message: 28321

You might be able to hear them if they are still using the old radio system to run the sirens.
NRH's siren control frequency is 453.300 PL 141.3 or at least it was 3 years ago when I lived in Hurst.
I don't know anything about the new system or how the voice announcement gets to the sirens.

Tom ND5Y

Terry wrote:
> You damn snooty people got me all confused. Am I supposed to be able to
> hear these EAS honker things on my scanner? :)
>
>

28322. Re: Emergency Alert System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 21:14:37 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 28306 Message: 28322

Thank you Billy and Tom for actually explaining something to me
instead of directing me to "google."

I am guessing these are the new "tornado sirens." As stated, I believe
they were coming from the Hurst side. They were easily heard from
where I was, I do not know the exact location of it. They tested, I
think, 2 different tones.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KD5KNR Email Lists" <elists@...> wrote:
>
> ï¿½Snootyï¿½ pill, nopeï¿½crappy tasting water, yep!
>
>
>
> I would expect a municipal government employee to have a little thicker
> skin. Cody, donï¿½t get your panties all in a wad, just because others
asked
> for further information. My thoughts were that some agency, probably
your
> own, Hurst or TCC, were doing some additional testing to their siren
system.
> However, as Mel pointed outï¿½you did not specify it was coming from
a siren
> system; you stated it was new EAS that was being tested.
>
>
>
> By definition, ï¿½the EAS is The Emergency Alert System (EAS) is a
national
> public warning system that requires broadcasters, cable television
systems,
> wireless cable systems, satellite digital audio radio service (SDARS)
> providers and, direct broadcast satellite (DBS) service providers to
provide
> the communications capability to the President to address the American
> public during a National emergency. The system also may be used by
state and
> local authorities to deliver important emergency information such as
AMBER
> alerts and weather information targeted to a specific area.ï¿½
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> Regards,
>
>
>
> Billy Mason, KD5KNR
>
> Bedford, TX
>
>
>
>
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Dan Brownfield
> Sent: Monday, June 09, 2008 00:07
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Mel's mail lists
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System
>
>
>
> Well I, for one, wasn't confused about his question, though I didn't
know
> the answer. And I agree with cltx11 that this group always seems a
bit rude,
> and I hesitate to post as well. You guys down there in the D/FW got
a some
> sort of "snotty" pill in yer water? :-)
>
> Dan
> Nï¿½DRB
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Mel's mail lists
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, June 08, 2008 11:58 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Emergency Alert System
>
> >
> > > cltx11 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Just wondering if anyone heard the new EAS they were testing?
> > > >
> > > > I was in North Richland Hills @ NRH20 (by the TCC NE Campus)
> > > >
> > > > They were testing it on Friday at about 09:00. Are they
supposed to be
> > > > phasing these in around the county?
> > >

> >
>
> > Where in my post did I say "these?" I didn't
>
> > I posted exactly where I was, NRH2O!
>
> >
> >
> Your last sentence of your original email said "are they supposed to be
> phasing THESE in around the country" so yes you DID use "THESE". And
> all I did was ask you for more details because I had no earthly idea
> what you were talking about.
>
> If you had said "I heard EAS messages sounding like they were
> broadcast over tornado sirens" I bet more people would have understood
> that.
>
> I don't think there's anything wrong with the question you asked, but
> don't get offended if people ask for more clarification regarding your
> question. We're just trying to understand what you're talking about so
> as to better answer your question.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28323. Re: DFW Airport incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-09 22:03:07 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28294 Message: 28323

>OK, so is it analog?

Seems to be, but I could be wrong.
I was hearing it on my PSR500. Didn't
sound digital though.

Sounds just like the old airport EDACS
system before the digital switch. Like
what Grand Prairie was doing during their
switchover.

I think Lindsay explains it better on
Radio Reference about how the San Antonio
system is all Pro Voice w/ESK enabled almost
99% of the time. But there is that rare instance
where a few talkgroups are broadcast that DON'T
have the ESK enabled, and they sound just like the
old analog system(s).

Hope that helps. Honestly, I know very little
about Pro Voice systems.

28324. Arlington estate sale with ham gear

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-10 14:08:10 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28324 Message: 28324

My wife got this thru her church email list.

Says they have lots of tubes and gear.

I don't know vintage gear so I'm passing...

http://www.estatesales.net/estate-sales/38235.aspx

28325. Re: Arlington estate sale with ham gear

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-10 22:27:25 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28324 Message: 28325

>Says they have lots of tubes and gear.
>I don't know vintage gear so I'm passing...

Don't care much for the test bench stuff
(out of my league), but I do like that
Bearcat Electra scanner in Image 40.
Sweeeeeet! Here's an animated link.

http://scannermuseum.dotnet-services.nl/museumbeeld/bearcat_electra.gif

Yes, some of us scanned when dinosaurs roamed
the earth....LOL

28326. F35 STOVL first flight

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-11 12:07:13 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28326 Message: 28326

Keep your eyes and ears open if you're interested in seeing the first flight of the F-35 STOVL (Short Take Off Vertical Landing) version today. It won't being doing the STOVL stuff until next year, but several sources say there should be a first flight in conventional mode today. I've heard roll out at 9AM, and flight at 10AM, but just saw on another forum saying 11AM.

149.235 is the Lockheed Martin Fire Department frequency. There are several other frequencies you might search in the archive for "F35" for when the first flight of the conventional model flew a year or so ago.

Ben

28327. Garland System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-11 15:44:45 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28327 Message: 28327

Does anybody know what Garland is doing with their system?
I heard again today fire dispatch tell the units that they were switching to the new radio tower.
I have heard this before, seems once or twice a month. But its been going on for at least 6 months now. And it usually lasts 5 or 10 minutes and thats it.
Does anybody out there have any real idea?

I knew they were adding a tower at Beltline and Rowlett Rd for a single site system. But my understanding is they lost coverage on the north side of town.
Now when they do the tests, I hear a clicking when they unkey like a Voting Receiver. Makes me wonder if they are going to use a Multi Site Single Zone system.

Please feel free to fill in the gaps.

28328. F-35 STOVL is on the ground

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-11 16:18:07 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28328 Message: 28328

Announced about 5 min ago on the provided fire frequency.

28329. MVA Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-11 19:18:09 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28329 Message: 28329

Shilo/Buchingham MVA on Fire,Truch 11,Ambulance 1, 3,6,Rescue 8,Bat.1,Bat.2,EMS 1,Garland Emergency Corp.,CareFlite 3

28330. RE: [dfwscan] MVA Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-11 19:55:12 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28329 Message: 28330

They cancelled us before we lifted! Said they were sending a 2nd ambulance!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 14:18
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] MVA Garland

Shilo/Buchingham MVA on Fire,Truch 11,Ambulance 1, 3,6,Rescue 8,Bat.1,Bat.2,EMS 1,Garland Emergency Corp.,CareFlite 3

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28331. Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 17:27:22 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28331 Message: 28331

1215 hrs in Burleson (South Tarrant/North Johnson county) has an 18-wheeler vs. passenger car MVA working on I-35 with 4 ambulances and CareFlite enroute. Mutual aid from Crowley (154.37), Alvarado (154.445) and MedStar (FW trunk).

Rob

28332. RE: [dfwscan] Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 17:46:25 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28331 Message: 28332

Darn, checked my email too late and didn't have my camera or scanner. That'll LEARN me. I was right around the corner, heard the sirens to but figured it was something run of the mill.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 12:27 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Big One in Burleson

1215 hrs in Burleson (South Tarrant/North Johnson county) has an 18-wheeler vs. passenger car MVA working on I-35 with 4 ambulances and CareFlite enroute. Mutual aid from Crowley (154.37), Alvarado (154.445) and MedStar (FW trunk).

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28333. RE: [dfwscan] Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 18:51:12 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28331 Message: 28333

Yeah, it sounded like good times. I think they ended up with 5 ambulances and two CareFlite birds. One pedi trauma went to Cooks, not sure where everyone else went. They no-rided the trucker. Not sure if he actually had any injuries or not.

The first BFD guy on scene was in a POV, I think. He was by himself and you could hear the serious "oh shit!" in his voice. Anyhow, the next Burleson newspaper should be interesting.

Rob

On Thursday, June 12, 2008 9:46pm, "Bob" <rjsumien@...> said:

> Darn, checked my email too late and didn't have my camera or scanner.
> That'll LEARN me. I was right around the corner, heard the sirens to but
> figured it was something run of the mill.

28334. Incident at New Cowboy's Stadium in Arlington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 19:02:27 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28334 Message: 28334

1400hrs. Something is going on at the new Cowboy's stadium construction site in North Arlington. Received as "Medical Emergency", but they're sending a full-alarm FD assignment and anticipating some sort of rescue. Arlington public safety trunk system, FD channel-3/Fireground 1.

Rob

28335. Re: Incident at New Cowboy's Stadium in Arlington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 19:12:02 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28334 Message: 28335

1410hrs. CareFlite requested for one of multiple patients at the east side of the new stadium.

Rob

28336. Active Low-Band Frequencies in DFW

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 19:44:56 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28336 Message: 28336

Does anyone here know of any low band frequencies that are particularly active? I know Johnson County fire still runs on 46.1, but most everything is on hi-band there now. I don't hear anything on Tarrant County's old 46.06 anymore.

What about businesses? What about even data? I'd like to think there is nothing on low band that I even need to worry about anymore, but I want to do some antenna performance comparisons on all bands, but can't find enough activity on low band to really do it there.

Thanks for any help!

Rob

28337. RE: [dfwscan] Active Low-Band Frequencies in DFW

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 20:04:02 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28336 Message: 28337

46.360 is Briaroaks VFD They bring it up on SOME of their calls not all.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 2:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Active Low-Band Frequencies in DFW

Does anyone here know of any low band frequencies that are particularly active? I know Johnson County fire still runs on 46.1, but most everything is on hi-band there now. I don't hear anything on Tarrant County's old 46.06 anymore.

What about businesses? What about even data? I'd like to think there is nothing on low band that I even need to worry about anymore, but I want to do some antenna performance comparisons on all bands, but can't find enough activity on low band to really do it there.

Thanks for any help!

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28338. Re: Incident at New Cowboy's Stadium in Arlington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 20:19:14 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28334 Message: 28338

One crane being used to erect another crane, and one collapsed. One worker on the collapsing crane (23 years old) jumped and took a pretty long fall, sustaining head injuries,
among others. He was treated pretty extensively on the ground and then flown by CareFlite to Baylor in Dallas, which is a bit unusual for an Arlington incident. Two other workers on the ground were injured and transported by ground ambulance.

What is with all the crane accidents lately?

Rob

28339. Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 20:40:00 UTC
From: BT
Topic: 28331 Message: 28339

Look, I understand the enthusiasm...but dude come on..."good
times"...bad form.
BT

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>
wrote:
>
> Yeah, it sounded like good times. I think they ended up with 5
ambulances and two CareFlite birds. One pedi trauma went to Cooks,
not sure where everyone else went. They no-rided the trucker. Not
sure if he actually had any injuries or not.
>
> The first BFD guy on scene was in a POV, I think. He was by
himself and you could hear the serious "oh shit!" in his voice.
Anyhow, the next Burleson newspaper should be interesting.
>
> Rob
>
>
> On Thursday, June 12, 2008 9:46pm, "Bob" <rjsumien@...> said:
>
> > Darn, checked my email too late and didn't have my camera or
scanner.
> > That'll LEARN me. I was right around the corner, heard the
sirens to but
> > figured it was something run of the mill.
>

28340. Re: [dfwscan] Active Low-Band Frequencies in DFW

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 22:03:47 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28336 Message: 28340

I don't know how far you are from Fort Worth and I don't know if these
are still there but there used to be some
handicapped transportation on 47.46 that was very active during business
hours on weekdays and Medstar's data channel on 45.64 had continuous
data bursts all the time.

There is also a ham repeater on 29.66 in Fort Worth that is very active
at times when the band is open.
For antenna comparison you also might use TV channel 2 which is 55.25
video carrier and 59.75 audio.

Tom

rob.davis@... wrote:
> Does anyone here know of any low band frequencies that are particularly active? I know
Johnson
County fire still runs on 46.1, but most everything is on hi-band there now. I don't hear
anything on Tarrant County's old 46.06 anymore.
>
> What about businesses? What about even data? I'd like to think there is nothing on low
band
that I even need to worry about anymore, but I want to do some antenna performance
comparisons on
all bands, but can't find enough activity on low band to really do it there.
>
> Thanks for any help!
>
> Rob
>

28341. RE: [dfwscan] Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 22:05:14 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28331 Message: 28341

NBC just reported that there was a child fatality on this one. 10y/o

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 1:51 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Big One in Burleson

Yeah, it sounded like good times. I think they ended up with 5 ambulances and two CareFlite birds. One pedi trauma went to Cooks, not sure where everyone else went. They no-rided the trucker. Not sure if he actually had any injuries or not.

The first BFD guy on scene was in a POV, I think. He was by himself and you could hear the serious "oh shit!" in his voice. Anyhow, the next Burleson newspaper should be interesting.

Rob

On Thursday, June 12, 2008 9:46pm, "Bob" <rjsumien@...> said:

> Darn, checked my email too late and didn't have my camera or scanner.
> That'll LEARN me. I was right around the corner, heard the sirens to but
> figured it was something run of the mill.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28342. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 20:46:38 UTC
From: Niki
Topic: 28331 Message: 28342

Thanks for saying that. Thought I might be the only one sitting here thinking,"WOW! What if that was his sister, mother, brother, cousin, or loved one in that traumatic accident?"

On 6/12/08, BT <frank2288222003@...> wrote:
>
> Look, I understand the enthusiasm...but dude come on..."good
> times"...bad form.
> BT
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, "rob.davis@..."
> <rob.davis@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > Yeah, it sounded like good times. I think they ended up with 5
> ambulances and two CareFlite birds. One pedi trauma went to Cooks,
> not sure where everyone else went. They no-rided the trucker. Not
> sure if he actually had any injuries or not.
> >
> > The first BFD guy on scene was in a POV, I think. He was by
> himself and you could hear the serious "oh shit!" in his voice.
> Anyhow, the next Burleson newspaper should be interesting.
> >
> > Rob
> >
> >
> > On Thursday, June 12, 2008 9:46pm, "Bob" <rjsumien@...> said:
> >
> > > Darn, checked my email too late and didn't have my camera or
> scanner.
> > > That'll LEARN me. I was right around the corner, heard the
> sirens to but
> > > figured it was something run of the mill.
> >
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28343. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 22:37:17 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28331 Message: 28343

Geez, here we go with the terms again. Rob is a paramedic. He helps people! I'm sure he doesn't like seeing children die, just like the rest of us. As I news photographer I'm likely to describe something like this as a "good crash". If fact it was, so good in fact someone died. That's not to say I'm glad or it was a good thing to happen. People express themselves in many ways and it's usually dictated by their life experiences. This one sounded cool, bad, sad and tragic but for some of us here those are all the same too many times.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Niki
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 3:47 PM
To: BT
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Big One in Burleson

Thanks for saying that. Thought I might be the only one sitting here thinking,"WOW! What if that was his sister, mother, brother, cousin, or loved one in that traumatic accident?"

On 6/12/08, BT <frank2288222003@...> wrote:
>
> Look, I understand the enthusiasm...but dude come on..."good
> times"...bad form.
> BT
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
"rob.davis@..."
> <rob.davis@...>
> wrote:
> >
> > Yeah, it sounded like good times. I think they ended up with 5
> ambulances and two CareFlite birds. One pedi trauma went to Cooks,
> not sure where everyone else went. They no-rided the trucker. Not
> sure if he actually had any injuries or not.
> >
> > The first BFD guy on scene was in a POV, I think. He was by
> himself and you could hear the serious "oh shit!" in his voice.
> Anyhow, the next Burleson newspaper should be interesting.
> >
> > Rob
> >
> >

> > On Thursday, June 12, 2008 9:46pm, "Bob" <rjsumien@...> said:
> >
> > > Darn, checked my email too late and didn't have my camera or
> scanner.
> > > That'll LEARN me. I was right around the corner, heard the
> sirens to but
> > > figured it was something run of the mill.
> >
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28344. Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 23:19:46 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28331 Message: 28344

>Geez, here we go with the terms again. Rob is a paramedic. He >helps people!

>news photographer I'm likely to describe something like this as a
>"good crash". it's usually dictated by their life experiences.

Thanks Terry for your comments. I agree.

Rob's comments doesn't mean the man is "non-sensitive" to
a serious situation such as this accident. It's just in his
line of business (and many law enforcement, news media, and forensics/m.e.). It's commonly
referred to as "gallows humor".
It's not used to insult. Far from it. It's used to cope with
day to day news of tragedy somewhere in the world, locally,
(or specifically) a person's line of work. True, it's an odd
and even insulting sense of sympathy for those who have lost,
but trust me, hang around a forensics or m.e.'s office for a
while, and you'd be shocked at what you hear "joke-wise". I
had a very good friend in Atlanta that was a forensics
investigator, and the guy had some truly sick jokes. But it
was how he coped with going crazy from seeeing bodies on
the slab every freakin' day and night!

Having worked in media liked Terry, I can't tell you
how many fires or accidents I saw, covered, or flew
over, and I replied "good one" when I saw them. For
a media person there's a big difference between a
fender tapper, and a 30 car pileup in the snow, with
10 cars on fire! "Good one" from a media's standpoint
simply means that the reporter was not sent to the scene
of some ridiculous incident that no one cares about, or
is not considered newsworthy by the general populous.

Blaine

28345. Re: Active Low-Band Frequencies in DFW

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 23:30:10 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28336 Message: 28345

>Does anyone here know of any low band frequencies that are >particularly active? I know Johnson County fire still runs on >46.1, but most everything is on hi-band there now.

Honestly, I gave up on low band quite a while back
for local stuff. TXDOT Ft. Worth used to be on 47.02
before moving to the VHF narrow band, but that's about
the last "pseudo recent" one I can recall.

Low band still holds alot of "skip" potential though,
as many areas of the country still rely on low band
for many communications. Just not much here in DFW, if
any at all. As you stated, Johnson Co. is the only
one left I believe.

Blaine

>What about businesses?

28346. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Active Low-Band Frequencies in DFW

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 23:55:36 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28336 Message: 28346

Back when I helped work on 2-way radios, we had alot of low-band VHF stuff in the shop. We would burn in repairs for a day or two and then ship them back out. Anyhow, one morning we were listening to one of the radios and hear some unfamiliar voices -- turns out it was two guys in Maine plowing snow. Actually talked with them briefly on a dinky 4-watt Regency with a small multi-band ground plane.

> Low band still holds alot of "skip" potential though,
> as many areas of the country still rely on low band
> for many communications. Just not much here in DFW, if
> any at all. As you stated, Johnson Co. is the only
> one left I believe.
>
>

28347. Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 00:18:07 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28331 Message: 28347

Wow, thanks for using those easy to find asterisks, exclamation points, dollar signs, etc to mask your foul mouth, Rob. Nice job. And when did this board become IPN?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> Yeah, it sounded like good times. I think they ended up with 5 ambulances and two CareFlite birds. One pedi trauma went to Cooks, not sure where everyone else went. They no-rided the trucker. Not sure if he actually had any injuries or not.
>
> The first BFD guy on scene was in a POV, I think. He was by himself and you could hear the serious (expletive removed to protect the innocent) in his voice. Anyhow, the next Burleson newspaper should be interesting.
>
> Rob

28348. Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 00:40:37 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28331 Message: 28348

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton" <tracey.singleton@...> wrote:
>
> Wow, thanks for using those easy to find asterisks, exclamation points,
> dollar signs, etc to mask your foul mouth, Rob. Nice job. And when did
> this board become IPN?

You cannot possibly be serious.

And since when did breaking news alerts become off-topic here?

Scanning is not for the meek. It's serious business. If you can't run with the big dogs, stay
on the porch.

Rob

28349. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 00:53:46 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28331 Message: 28349

No shit!!!!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dustdevil31
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 7:41 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Big One in Burleson

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton" <tracey.singleton@...> wrote:
>
> Wow, thanks for using those easy to find asterisks, exclamation points,
> dollar signs, etc to mask your foul mouth, Rob. Nice job. And when did
> this board become IPN?

You cannot possibly be serious.

And since when did breaking news alerts become off-topic here?

Scanning is not for the meek. It's serious business. If you can't run with the big dogs, stay
on the porch.

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28350. Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-12 23:34:35 UTC
From: jearmstrong3
Topic: 28350 Message: 28350

Does anyone have a list of Johnson County scanner freq.

Thanks in advance.

28351. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 02:40:54 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 28331 Message: 28351

Breaking news has not, and will not become off topic here. Course,
it'd be nice if you could tie a scanner angle to it somehow.
Announcing the death of a celebrity, or something to that effect
probably shouldn't go here.

I cuss like a sailor in real life, but try to refrain from doing so
on-line. I won't stop others, though. And if some people can't handle
it, well, fuck'em if they can't take a joke.

For those of you who don't have it out of your system yet, please
hurry up and do so. I'd like to get the list back to something
considered respectable in the morning.

Thanks
Your Friendly Moderator.

At 19.40 06/12/2008, you wrote:
>--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton"
><tracey.singleton@...> wrote:
> >
> > Wow, thanks for using those easy to find asterisks, exclamation points,
> > dollar signs, etc to mask your foul mouth, Rob. Nice job. And when did
> > this board become IPN?
>
>You cannot possibly be serious.
>
>And since when did breaking news alerts become off-topic here?
>
>Scanning is not for the meek. It's serious business. If you can't
>run with the big dogs, stay
>on the porch.
>
>Rob

28352. RE: [dfwscan] Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 02:50:54 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28350 Message: 28352

What do you want? I've got pretty much all of it.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jearmstrong3
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 6:35 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Johnson County

Does anyone have a list of Johnson County scanner freq.

Thanks in advance.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28353. Re: Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 03:05:42 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28350 Message: 28353

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jearmstrong3" <tcufan2@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a list of Johnson County scanner freq.

Right here ----> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?ctid=2648

The county-wide EMS is run by CareFlite, and is on the Azle Communications LTR trunk system noted at the top of the page.

You will hear all fire department dispatches on 155.295 except for Cleburne (154.145), Alvarado (154.445), and Burleson (their own LTR trunk system).

I think all police are dispatched by the Sheriff's Office on the Sheriff's east and west dispatch
channels, with the exception of Cleburne (155.01), Keene (155.9625), and Burleson.

It's pretty busy for a rural county. You'll hear some action.

Rob

28354. Re: Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 03:23:56 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28350 Message: 28354

> You will hear all fire department dispatches on 155.295

Sure, if you live in Venus (where that repeater is located)
or if you have a tall outdoor antenna. Otherwise that freq
does you no good at all. Best bet for fire dispatch is 46.100
You'll only hear the dispatcher's, but it's better than nothing.

> except for Cleburne (154.145),
> Alvarado (154.445), and Burleson on their own LTR trunk system).

AND Keene Fire/EMS which has their own dispatch on 158.940 PL 71.9

> I think all police are dispatched by the Sheriff's Office on the
Sheriff's east and west dispatch channels, with the exception of
Cleburne (155.01), Keene (155.9625),and Burleson.

Don't forget that Alvarado PD has their own dispatch
on 153.7850 PL 67.0

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

28355. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 03:34:20 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28350 Message: 28355

And remember that the new FD system will be up and running in ehem "2 weeks". If I had a dollar, no wait if I had a gallon of gas for every time I've heard that over the last year, I'd have quite a supply of that overpriced explosive water!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Chris Easley
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 10:24 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Johnson County

> You will hear all fire department dispatches on 155.295

Sure, if you live in Venus (where that repeater is located) or if you have a tall outdoor antenna. Otherwise that freq does you no good at all. Best bet for fire dispatch is 46.100 You'll only hear the dispatcher's, but it's better than nothing.

> except for Cleburne (154.145),
> Alvarado (154.445), and Burleson on their own LTR trunk system).

AND Keene Fire/EMS which has their own dispatch on 158.940 PL 71.9

> I think all police are dispatched by the Sheriff's Office on the Sheriff's east and west dispatch channels, with the exception of Cleburne (155.01), Keene (155.9625),and Burleson.

Don't forget that Alvarado PD has their own dispatch on 153.7850 PL 67.0

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28356. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 03:38:33 UTC
From: Guy Teague
Topic: 28331 Message: 28356

well don, i was a sailor for 10 years and you're gonna have to do much, much better than that to claim my heritage and facility in the verbal art of non-self-control! [g]

/guy (73 de kg5vt)

On Thu, Jun 12, 2008 at 9:40 PM, Don Teague <me@...> wrote:

> Breaking news has not, and will not become off topic here. Course,
> it'd be nice if you could tie a scanner angle to it somehow.
> Announcing the death of a celebrity, or something to that effect
> probably shouldn't go here.
>
> I cuss like a sailor in real life, but try to refrain from doing so
> on-line. I won't stop others, though. And if some people can't handle
> it, well, fuck'em if they can't take a joke.
>
> For those of you who don't have it out of your system yet, please
> hurry up and do so. I'd like to get the list back to something
> considered respectable in the morning.
>
> Thanks
> Your Friendly Moderator.
>
>
> At 19.40 06/12/2008, you wrote:
> >--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton"
> ><tracey.singleton@...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Wow, thanks for using those easy to find asterisks, exclamation points,
> > > dollar signs, etc to mask your foul mouth, Rob. Nice job. And when did
> > > this board become IPN?
> >
> >You cannot possibly be serious.
> >
> >And since when did breaking news alerts become off-topic here?
> >
> >Scanning is not for the meek. It's serious business. If you can't
> >run with the big dogs, stay
> >on the porch.
> >
> >Rob
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>

> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28357. Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 04:47:21 UTC
From: Todd
Topic: 28331 Message: 28357

With respect to "getting the list back to something considered
respectable"... Some of us like to be able to contribute to the list
periodically from a work computer -- especially since some of us are
the first to see & hear breaking news due to our jobs. But if you
choose to not moderate out the language then that will no longer be an
option for many of us.
No matter how funny the "joke" might be to some.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Don Teague <me@...> wrote:
> I cuss like a sailor in real life, but try to refrain from doing so
> on-line. I won't stop others, though. And if some people can't handle
> it, well, @#$%'em if they can't take a joke.
>
> For those of you who don't have it out of your system yet, please
> hurry up and do so. I'd like to get the list back to something
> considered respectable in the morning.
>
> Thanks
> Your Friendly Moderator.
>
>
> At 19.40 06/12/2008, you wrote:
> >--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton"
> ><tracey.singleton@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Wow, thanks for using those easy to find asterisks, exclamation
points,
> > > dollar signs, etc to mask your foul mouth, Rob. Nice job. And
when did
> > > this board become IPN?
> >
> >You cannot possibly be serious.
> >
> >And since when did breaking news alerts become off-topic here?
> >
> >Scanning is not for the meek. It's serious business. If you can't
> >run with the big dogs, stay
> >on the porch.
> >
> >Rob
>

28358. RE: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 13:28:30 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28331 Message: 28358

It's not the one time posts about an incident, it's the play by play I have a problem with...that's what tv's are for. A one-time notification would have sufficed. I've run with the big dogs, I worked the box probably a lot longer than you have. And while I've had my share of "good times". We kept that to our working peers as a way to relieve the day to day stress, and certainly didn't express things that way about the death of a child, especially to the public. A little callous in my book. Meekness doesn't even come into play here.

-----Original Message-----
From: notify@yahoogroups.com [mailto:notify@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dustdevil31
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 19:40
To: Tracey W. Singleton
Subject: Re: Big One in Burleson

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton" <tracey.singleton@...> wrote:
>
> Wow, thanks for using those easy to find asterisks, exclamation points,
> dollar signs, etc to mask your foul mouth, Rob. Nice job. And when did
> this board become IPN?

You cannot possibly be serious.

And since when did breaking news alerts become off-topic here?

Scanning is not for the meek. It's serious business. If you can't run with the big dogs, stay
on the porch.

Rob

28359. Re: [dfwscan] RE: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 18:20:15 UTC
From: Jeff Ellison
Topic: 28331 Message: 28359

I've known Rob for quite some time, and to be on a box longer than him, you're gonna have to nearly have been in class with Johnny and Roy. (Sorry Rob) Plus, keep in mind that Rob's 'Good Times' comment, was posted before the posting of the pedi fatality. We should try not to judge what people comment early in a call, based on how we feel about the situation after all the details come out.

BTW, noticed a lot of new names on here... 'Bout time for another get together?

Jeff Ellison

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28360. Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 19:55:53 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28331 Message: 28360

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jeff Ellison <bombmedic1051@...> wrote:
>
> BTW, noticed a lot of new names on here... 'Bout time for another get together?

Funny you should mention that! It's been over three months now, hasn't it? I have unexpectedly (and unfortunately) found myself stuck here in Texas again for awhile. We had a great turnout at Fuddrucker's last time, but there were still some notable faces missing.

Besides, it's easier to understand each other and who we are face-to-face than by e-mail.

How about it? Anybody game?

Rob

28361. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 20:15:50 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28331 Message: 28361

Hang on

...

gulp

...

Had to down my anti-snooty pill first first. :)

YES, another get together would be nice. If more folks could make it to these, I think they'd find that there aren't a bunch of "meanies" on this list. Since we had the last one Saturday at lunch, the poll shows the next highest votes (for day and time) is either a wednesday or thursday evening somewhere. Anyone interested in this?

-Melissa

dustdevil31 wrote:
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> Jeff Ellison <bombmedic1051@...> wrote:
> >
> > BTW, noticed a lot of new names on here... 'Bout time for another
> get together?
>
> Funny you should mention that! It's been over three months now, hasn't
> it? I have
> unexpectedly (and unfortunately) found myself stuck here in Texas
> again for awhile. We had
> a great turnout at Fuddrucker's last time, but there were still some
> notable faces missing.
>
> Besides, it's easier to understand each other and who we are
> face-to-face than by e-mail.
>
> How about it? Anybody game?
>
> Rob
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28362. Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 20:45:48 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28331 Message: 28362

Weekend is good for me.

Unless its in Dallas or Garland. Then anytime after 6 is ok. LOL

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mel's mail lists <lists@...> wrote:
>
> Hang on
>
> ...
>
> *gulp*
>
> ...
>
> Had to down my anti-snooty pill first first. :)
>
> YES, another get together would be nice. If more folks could make it to
> these, I think they'd find that there aren't a bunch of "meanies" on
> this list. Since we had the last one Saturday at lunch, the poll shows
> the next highest votes (for day and time) is either a wednesday or
> thursday evening somewhere. Anyone interested in this?
>
> -Melissa
>
>
>
>
>
>
> dustdevil31 wrote:
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> > Jeff Ellison <bombmedic1051@> wrote:
> > >
> > > BTW, noticed a lot of new names on here... 'Bout time for another
> > get together?
> >
> > Funny you should mention that! It's been over three months now,
hasn't
> > it? I have
> > unexpectedly (and unfortunately) found myself stuck here in Texas
> > again for awhile. We had
> > a great turnout at Fuddrucker's last time, but there were still some
> > notable faces missing.
> >

> > Besides, it's easier to understand each other and who we are
> > face-to-face than by e-mail.
> >
> > How about it? Anybody game?
> >
> > Rob
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28363. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 21:47:26 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 1986 Message: 28363

somewhere in the middle

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com on behalf of Patrick Wrigg
Sent: Fri 6/13/2008 3:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together

Weekend is good for me.

Unless its in Dallas or Garland. Then anytime after 6 is ok. LOL

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , Mel's mail lists
<lists@...> wrote:
>
> Hang on
>
> ...
>
> *gulp*
>
> ...
>
> Had to down my anti-snooty pill first first. :)
>
> YES, another get together would be nice. If more folks could make it to
> these, I think they'd find that there aren't a bunch of "meanies" on
> this list. Since we had the last one Saturday at lunch, the poll shows
> the next highest votes (for day and time) is either a wednesday or
> thursday evening somewhere. Anyone interested in this?
>
> -Melissa
>
>
>
>
>
>
> dustdevil31 wrote:
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> > Jeff Ellison <bombmedic1051@> wrote:
> > >
> > > BTW, noticed a lot of new names on here... 'Bout time for another

> > get together?
> >
> > Funny you should mention that! It's been over three months now,
hasn't
> > it? I have
> > unexpectedly (and unfortunately) found myself stuck here in Texas
> > again for awhile. We had
> > a great turnout at Fuddrucker's last time, but there were still some
> > notable faces missing.
> >
> > Besides, it's easier to understand each other and who we are
> > face-to-face than by e-mail.
> >
> > How about it? Anybody game?
> >
> > Rob
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28364. Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 22:05:35 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28331 Message: 28364

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mel's mail lists <lists@...> wrote:
>
> Since we had the last one Saturday at lunch, the poll shows
> the next highest votes (for day and time) is either a wednesday or
> thursday evening somewhere. Anyone interested in this?

Being basically unemployed at the moment, anytime is good for me. But I know the weekend prohibits some of our night news gathering friends from making it, so yes, I think a mid-week meeting would be good this time.

Rob

28365. Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 23:05:22 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28331 Message: 28365

CAN WE PLEASE GET OFF THE BURLESON POST PLEASE. ITS GETTING OLD AND IM TIRED OF SEEING IT IN MY INBOX. ACCIDENTS IN BURLESON HAPPEN EVERYDAY, ESPECIALLY ON 35 SOUTH OF WILSHIRE.

AND PLEASE DONT REPLY BACK TO ME OR START A NEW POST OFF MY COMMENTS, THATS JUST STUPID.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jeff Ellison <bombmedic1051@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> I've known Rob for quite some time, and to be on a box longer than him, you're gonna have to nearly have been in class with Johnny and Roy. (Sorry Rob) Plus, keep in mind that Rob's 'Good Times' comment, was posted before the posting of the pedi fatality. We should try not to judge what people comment early in a call, based on how we feel about the situation after all the details come out.
>
> BTW, noticed a lot of new names on here... 'Bout time for another get together?
>
> Jeff Ellison
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28366. Re: Big One in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 23:38:54 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28331 Message: 28366

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> AND PLEASE DONT REPLY BACK TO ME OR START A NEW POST OFF MY COMMENTS,
> THATS JUST STUPID.

Now that was mature. Why can't everyone here be that mature? <roll eyes>

28367. Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-13 23:51:55 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28331 Message: 28367

If it's going to be during the week, could we make it around
say 7:00-7:30 ?? I live and work in Cleburne, and I don't get off
until 5:30. And it take's all of 40 minutes just to get from
Cleburne all the way over to I-35 in Burleson.
Anyhow, just my 2 cents worth.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

> Weekend is good for me.
>
> Unless its in Dallas or Garland. Then anytime after 6 is ok. LOL
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mel's mail lists <lists@> wrote:
> >
> > Hang on
> >
> > ...
> >
> > *gulp*
> >
> > ...
> >
> > Had to down my anti-snooty pill first first. :)
> >
> > YES, another get together would be nice. If more folks could make
it to
> > these, I think they'd find that there aren't a bunch of "meanies" on
> > this list. Since we had the last one Saturday at lunch, the poll
shows
> > the next highest votes (for day and time) is either a wednesday or
> > thursday evening somewhere. Anyone interested in this?
> >
> > -Melissa
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > dustdevil31 wrote:

> > >
> > > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> > > Jeff Ellison <bombmedic1051@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > BTW, noticed a lot of new names on here... 'Bout time for another
> > > get together?
> > > >
> > > > Funny you should mention that! It's been over three months now,
> hasn't
> > > it? I have
> > > > unexpectedly (and unfortunately) found myself stuck here in Texas
> > > > again for awhile. We had
> > > > a great turnout at Fuddrucker's last time, but there were still
some
> > > notable faces missing.
> > > >
> > > > Besides, it's easier to understand each other and who we are
> > > > face-to-face than by e-mail.
> > > >
> > > > How about it? Anybody game?
> > > >
> > > > Rob
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
>

28368. Fire in Garland -

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-14 01:24:10 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28368 Message: 28368

GFD Working Structure fire off Walnut, Apartments I believe, 2 Story MFD
Heavy Fire in the Attic.

I am recording some audio,
--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28369. RE: [dfwscan] Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-14 01:44:34 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28331 Message: 28369

I've got an idea! How about we all bring our grills, hot dogs and hamburgers and meet in the parking lot of the new one billion dollar Coach Jerry Jones Memorial Football Stadium and show those knuckleheads how to erect a crane properly?!!!

Seriously, a Wed or Thursday night would be splendid. We start gathering at 6pm, won't eat till around 7 or 7:30 until everyone has a chance to get there, and then visit and talk until they shut the place down and throw us out? Fuddruckers at Irving Mall was good, perhaps Mel can check and see if the room can be reserved in the evening?

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dustdevil31
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 5:06 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com, Mel's mail lists <lists@...> wrote:
>
> Since we had the last one Saturday at lunch, the poll shows
> the next highest votes (for day and time) is either a wednesday or
> thursday evening somewhere. Anyone interested in this?

Being basically unemployed at the moment, anytime is good for me. But I know the weekend
prohibits some of our night news gathering friends from making it, so yes, I think a mid-
week meeting would be good this time.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28370. For those that monitored the F-35B a few days ago.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-14 02:42:08 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 28370 Message: 28370

F-35B STOVL Fighter Makes First Flight

Second Of Planned 19 Development Aircraft

With test pilot Graham Tomlinson at the controls, the short takeoff/vertical landing (STOVL) Lockheed Martin F-35B Lightning II streaked into blue Texas skies Wednesday, marking the first flight of an aircraft that will provide a combination of capabilities never before available: stealth, supersonic speed and STOVL basing flexibility.

<http://www.aero-news.net/#>

Tomlinson, a former Royal Air Force Harrier pilot now employed by BAE Systems, performed a conventional takeoff at 1017 CDT from Lockheed Martin's Fort Worth facility. As planned, all initial F-35B flights will be made using conventional takeoffs and landings, with transitions to short takeoffs, hovers and vertical landings beginning early next year.

Tomlinson guided the jet to 15,000 feet and performed a series of handling tests, engine-power variations and subsystems checks before landing at 1101 CDT. "A great team effort led to a relaxed first flight, with the aircraft handling and performing just as we predicted based on STOVL simulator testing and flying the F-35A," Tomlinson said.

The F-35B, known as BF-1, becomes the second Lightning II to enter flight test, preceded by the conventional takeoff and landing (CTOL) F-35A, which first flew in December 2006 and has completed 43 flights. The F-35B that flew Wednesday is the second of 19 System Development and Demonstration aircraft and the first to incorporate new weight-saving design features that will apply to all future F-35 aircraft.

Though nearly identical in appearance to the F-35A, the F-35B incorporates a counter-rotating shaft-driven lift fan positioned directly behind the cockpit. The lift fan, produced by Rolls-Royce, is turned by a drive shaft from the F-35's massively powerful single engine, which features a swiveling rear exhaust nozzle that vectors thrust downward during vertical flight.

The lift fan, engine and stabilizing roll ducts beneath the F-35B's wings combine to produce 40,000 pounds of lifting force. Converting the F-35B from STOVL to conventional flight and vice-versa requires only the push of a button by the pilot. The system otherwise operates automatically.

"We're absolutely convinced that this aircraft is going to only further enhance what is a tremendous asymmetric advantage that we hold in terms of controlling the air, taking advantage of intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance capabilities, multi-sensor capabilities, and the ability, if need be, to drop a bomb in a precision strike," said Gen. James Conway,

Commandant of the U.S. Marine Corps.

Three versions of the F-35 will be produced. The F-35A CTOL variant will operate from conventional runways; the STOVL F-35B will fly off small ships and near front-line combat zones; and the F-35C carrier variant (CV) will be designed for catapult launches and arrested recoveries aboard the US Navy's large aircraft carriers.

<http://www.aero-news.net/#>

The F-35B will be the first of the three Lightning II variants to achieve Initial Operational Capability, beginning with the Marines in 2012. The STOVL variant also will be used by the United Kingdom's Royal Air Force and Royal Navy, and Italy's Air Force and Navy. With the capability to operate from a variety of ships or austere runways, the F-35B can deploy closer to shore or near front lines, shrinking distance and time to the target, increasing sortie rates and greatly reducing the need for support assets.
FMI: www.teamjsf.com, www.lockheedmartin.com

--
David.Taylor@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28371. Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-14 02:46:38 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 1986 Message: 28371

> Fuddruckers at Irving Mall was good

What about Baker St. Pub & Grill in Fort Worth?? I've heard that
they are really good.

<http://www.sherlockspubco.com/Main.aspx> Here's a link to their
website: Baker St. Pub & Grill
<http://www.sherlockspubco.com/Main.aspx>

Or something in north Arlington would work too.
I'm just thinking about something closer for those of down south.
Not that Irving isn't that much further up the road than Arlington;
I'm just throwing another option out there for consideration.

And I know it's going to be darn near impossible to pick one
location that works for every person on the list, but I figured
I'd throw my suggestion out there anyway.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

>
>
> _____
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> dustdevil31
> Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 5:06 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com,
Mel's
> mail lists lists@ wrote:
> >
> > Since we had the last one Saturday at lunch, the poll shows
> > the next highest votes (for day and time) is either a wednesday or
> > thursday evening somewhere. Anyone interested in this?
>
> Being basically unemployed at the moment, anytime is good for me. But
I know
> the weekend
> prohibits some of our night news gathering friends from making it, so

yes, I
> think a mid-
> week meeting would be good this time.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28372. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-14 03:51:29 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 1986 Message: 28372

While we're throwing out suggestions!

South and east, weekday and late are best for me.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Chris Easley
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 9:47 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together

> Fuddruckers at Irving Mall was good

What about Baker St. Pub & Grill in Fort Worth?? I've heard that
they are really good.

<http://www.sherlockspubco.com/Main.aspx> Here's a link to their
website: Baker St. Pub & Grill
<http://www.sherlockspubco.com/Main.aspx>

Or something in north Arlington would work too.
I'm just thinking about something closer for those of down south.
Not that Irving isn't that much further up the road than Arlington;
I'm just throwing another option out there for consideration.

And I know it's going to be darn near impossible to pick one
location that works for every person on the list, but I figured
I'd throw my suggestion out there anyway.

Chris Easley
Cleburne, TX

>
>
> _____
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> dustdevil31
> Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 5:06 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together
>
>
>

> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com,
Mel's
> mail lists lists@ wrote:
> >
> > Since we had the last one Saturday at lunch, the poll shows
> > the next highest votes (for day and time) is either a wednesday or
> > thursday evening somewhere. Anyone interested in this?
>
> Being basically unemployed at the moment, anytime is good for me. But
I know
> the weekend
> prohibits some of our night news gathering friends from making it, so
yes, I
> think a mid-
> week meeting would be good this time.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28373. Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-14 10:49:36 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 1986 Message: 28373

I work days now, so I can't do the weekend day anymore, but I'd be up
for a Wednesday or Thursday evening GTG,
though I don't get off until 7 if it falls on a working day.

Closer to Dallas would be better for me go-juice wise (esp at $4/gal)

-b-

28374. Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-14 10:54:19 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 1986 Message: 28374

Wednesday night sounds best for those expressing a preference, as well as those who have little choice due to weekend jobs.

We have pretty much been alternating between Dallas and Tarrant County. If we are to keep that up, then Tarrant is due for this one. Anywhere around Six Flags (360 & the Turnpike) is pretty centrally located and offers one of the best selections of venues in the Metroplex (assuming there is no Ranger game that night).

Anything on Airport Freeway, either side of DFW Airport is good too, although a little far north for a lot of people, except for Blaine, haha. Still very central and offers a lot of choices though.

I like Mark's idea of start kicking off around 1800 and just take it to the limit. No harm in showing up fashionably late, so it's never too late to come after work! I don't think any of these things has ever lasted less than three or more hours.

Would that be a deal-breaker for anyone? Any kinds of food that anyone absolutely cannot/will not eat?

Rob

28376. News on rebanding - City of Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 01:27:06 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 28376 Message: 28376

There is an item on the upcoming city council meeting about rebanding. Sounds like things are beginning to move a a faster pace...
http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=9819&councildate=6/17/2008

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28377. Garland Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 05:13:53 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28377 Message: 28377

12:11 am Garland in chase last 15 mins. Running 100/110mph.635/75
into Dallas,Richardson...3 GPD units in chase and DPS-101 Helicopter.

Mike in Garland

28378. Garland Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 05:17:36 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28377 Message: 28378

Garland chase into Plano..North bound 75...IO5mph Garland ID-80 (South Dispatch) Plano ID 464(Dispatch 1-East)

Mike in Garland

28379. Re: [dfwscan] Garland Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 05:19:21 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28377 Message: 28379

Listening now thanks for the info. Good stuff.

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 15, 2008 12:17:36 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Garland Chase

Garland chase into Plano..North bound 75...IO5mph Garland ID-80 (South Dispatch) Plano ID 464(Dispatch 1-East)

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28380. Garland Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 05:20:12 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 6124 Message: 28380

Garland chase is in Allen..Allen PD contacted.DPS says all units go to Intercity 1,if goes to foot chase

Mike in Garland

28381. Garland Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 05:24:06 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28377 Message: 28381

Subject arrested 12:22 am. Collin/Mc Kinney Parkway,McKinney,Texas

Mike in Garland

28382. Parker county

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 09:17:17 UTC
From: Josh
Topic: 28382 Message: 28382

Anyone listening to Parker County SO/Weatherford PD. We have Air 1 up over here for and escaped inmate (spoke with PC deputy). I havent picked up a digi scanner yet so Im trying to figure out if they have found him/her yet.

Josh
Weatherford, TX

28383. wreck south of cleburne

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 18:28:11 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28383 Message: 28383

Hwy 174 and Old Foamy head on, pd on scene calling 1 poss dos and 2 unconscious

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28384. RE: [dfwscan] wreck south of cleburne

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 18:48:16 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28383 Message: 28384

What a bad father's day, 3 dos and 2 away by air!!!

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Renois, Daniel
Sent: Sunday, June 15, 2008 13:28
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] wreck south of cleburne

Hwy 174 and Old Foamy head on, pd on scene calling 1 poss dos and 2 unconscious

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@... <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28385. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 19:31:56 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28331 Message: 28385

Me three. I work in Grand Prairie and have to drive back to Fort Worth
to let the dogs out and get them fed. I'm lucky to be done by 7 PM or so.

Why not a Saturday breakfast at one of the all-you-can-choke-down places
around town? This seems to work for other groups I belong to and there
are locations all over the metro-mess.

Chris Easley wrote:
> If it's going to be during the week, could we make it around
> say 7:00-7:30 ?? I live and work in Cleburne, and I don't get off
> until 5:30. And it take's all of 40 minutes just to get from
> Cleburne all the way over to I-35 in Burleson.
> Anyhow, just my 2 cents worth.
>
>
>

28386. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 19:36:26 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 1986 Message: 28386

Baker street a good place to eat and they have free wireless, but it is a 51% place, so its all smoking indoors and the patio gets a bit warm this time of year.

Hooters or the other wing place in Arlington might be good. There is also a Baker Street / Sherelocks type pub over there somewhere in the same area.

Chris Easley wrote:
> What about Baker St. Pub & Grill in Fort Worth?? I've heard that
> they are really good.
>

28387. RE: Parker county

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-15 23:49:47 UTC
From: nx2x50
Topic: 28382 Message: 28387

Josh :
> escaped inmate
> if they have found him/her yet.

The "official" word :

A 23-year-old Parker County Jail trustee fled from a trash detail at the Parker County Justice Center this morning which prompted an area wide search for t he inmate around 1a.m.

Justin Lavon Berry of Scurry, Texas, was being escorted by a CEC Corrections officer to dispose of jail trash in a dumpster located just south of the jail sally port when he broke and ran... leading the corrections officer on a foot chase across Fort Worth Highway and behind the Weatherford City Garage.

Sheriff's deputies and Weatherrford police cordoned off an area south of the viaduct early this morning before the man was sighted again by WPD officers on the railroad track toward IH-20.

A DPS helicopter was used in the search but immediate efforts to recapture the man were unsuccessful.

A warrant for Escape has been issued for Berry... which carries a punishment of up to 10 years in TDC.

Berry was in jail on an assortment of misdemeanor charges was awaiting transport to a state jail facility to begin serving a 2-year sentence for a probation violation stemming from a Burglary Of A Building conviction.

Sheriff's investigators, the Fugitive Task Force and U.S. Marshals Service are involved.

Berry, 23, is described as 6'1" ... 170 lbs ... with light brown hair with patches of white ... brown eyes and with tattoos on his right arm and right shoulder. He was last seen wearing an orange jail issue shirt and orange and white striped jail-issued pants.

Confined 03/25/2008
Charge MTR-CRIMINAL MISC 500-1500

Confined 09/29/2005 Released 10/12/2005
Charge CRIMINAL MISCHIEF/MTR

Confined 05/18/2004 Released 05/28/2004
Charge POSS MARIJ <2OZ/ABF

Confined 03/05/2004 Released 03/05/2004
Charge POSS MARIJ <2OZ/ABF

Confined 12/05/2002 Released 12/05/2002
Charge FAILURE TO APPEAR (CLASS C)

Confined 08/18/2003 Released 08/18/2003
Charge 08/18/2003 - POSS MARIJ <2OZ

Confined 05/15/2003 Released 05/15/2003
Charge 04/16/2003 - BURGLARY OF A BUILDING

Confined 03/07/2003 Released 03/07/2003
Charge TRAFFIC/MOVING

28388. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together Please RSVP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-16 01:16:58 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 1986 Message: 28388

>
How about we do this:

A week from Wednesday (Rangers will be playing @ Houston) which is the
25th at the same wing place we went to in '06

Winghouse of Arlington
944 E. Copeland Road
Arlington, TX 76011
http://www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?address=944+E%2E+Copeland+Road&city=Arlington&state=T
X&zipcode=76011&country=US&cid=lfmaplink

Start time will be 7:30pm. I also work late, and have to go home, let
the dog out, etc. Hopefully that will be late/early enough (for you
nighttime folks) to eat. If you feel you need to eat earlier than that,
feel free to do so, but come join us anyway at 7:30 and we'll have some
fun.

I'd have agreed with the Irving Fuddruckers, but I went to a ham radio
meeting there a couple weeks ago - their back room (from the sun in the
evening) was over 95 degrees and waaay too hot to eat in - so hopefully
this idea works!

I realize we can't please everyone all the time; if you can make this
one - great - if not, hopefully we can do one that better suits you in
the future.

I'll have to call them to make sure they can accommodate us at that date
and time.

If you can make it, please rsvp to me offlist so we can get somewhat of
a headcount. If you've never been to one of these before - you should -
they're a lot of fun!

Hope to see you there.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28389. RE: [dfwscan] Get Together Please RSVP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-16 01:46:08 UTC
From: Billy Mason's Email Lists
Topic: 1986 Message: 28389

As social director.you rock! I'm there.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mel's mail lists
Sent: Sunday, June 15, 2008 20:17
To: DFWSCAN
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Get Together Please RSVP

>
How about we do this:

A week from Wednesday (Rangers will be playing @ Houston) which is the 25th at the same wing place we went to in '06

Winghouse of Arlington
944 E. Copeland Road
Arlington, TX 76011

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28390. programing Dallas City Local Government

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-16 01:03:50 UTC
From: Dominick
Topic: 28390 Message: 28390

How do I program this system in a BCD396T?
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18

28391. RE: [dfwscan] RE: Parker county

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-16 12:03:58 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28382 Message: 28391

Does anyone know how the DPS helicopter communicated with the Parker County & Weatherford units? Does DPS have a P25 radio in their chopper?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nx2x50
Sent: Sunday, June 15, 2008 6:50 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] RE: Parker county

Josh :
> escaped inmate
> if they have found him/her yet.

The "official" word :

...Sheriff's deputies and Weatherrford police cordoned off an area south of the viaduct early this morning before the man was sighted again by WPD officers on the railroad track toward IH-20.

A DPS helicopter was used in the search but immediate efforts to recapture the man were unsuccessful...

28392. RE: [dfwscan] RE: Parker county

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-16 12:05:27 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28382 Message: 28392

I assumed they used a radio! :) Yes, DPS 101 has a P25 radio. I hear them often talking to DPS Dallas.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 7:04 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] RE: Parker county

Does anyone know how the DPS helicopter communicated with the Parker County & Weatherford units? Does DPS have a P25 radio in their chopper?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nx2x50
Sent: Sunday, June 15, 2008 6:50 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] RE: Parker county

Josh :
> escaped inmate
> if they have found him/her yet.

The "official" word :

...Sheriff's deputies and Weatherrford police cordoned off an area south of the viaduct early this morning before the man was sighted again by WPD officers on the railroad track toward IH-20.

A DPS helicopter was used in the search but immediate efforts to recapture the man were unsuccessful...

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28393. RE: [dfwscan] RE: Parker county

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-16 12:16:00 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28382 Message: 28393

Thanks for the information Terry. I seldom hear DPS 101 out this far west. It's been a while since I've listened to much DPS traffic and was not even thinking about the regular DPS (except Mineral Wells) stations being digital now :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 7:05 AM
To: 'commscan'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] RE: Parker county

I assumed they used a radio! :) Yes, DPS 101 has a P25 radio. I hear them often talking to DPS Dallas.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 7:04 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] RE: Parker county

Does anyone know how the DPS helicopter communicated with the Parker County & Weatherford units? Does DPS have a P25 radio in their chopper?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

28394. Re: Get Together Please RSVP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-16 14:53:08 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 1986 Message: 28394

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mel's mail lists <lists@...> wrote:
>
> >
> How about we do this:
>
> A week from Wednesday (Rangers will be playing @ Houston) which is the
> 25th at the same wing place we went to in '06
>
> *Winghouse of Arlington*
> 944 E. Copeland Road
> Arlington, TX 76011
>
http://www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?address=944+E%2E+Copeland+Road&city=Arlington&state=T
X&zipcode=76011&country=US&cid=lfmaplink
>
>
> Start time will be 7:30pm. I also work late, and have to go home, let
> the dog out, etc. Hopefully that will be late/early enough (for you
> nighttime folks) to eat. If you feel you need to eat earlier than
that,
> feel free to do so, but come join us anyway at 7:30 and we'll have some
> fun.
>
> I'd have agreed with the Irving Fuddruckers, but I went to a ham radio
> meeting there a couple weeks ago - their back room (from the sun in the
> evening) was over 95 degrees and waaay too hot to eat in - so hopefully
> this idea works!
>
> I realize we can't please everyone all the time; if you can make this
> one - great - if not, hopefully we can do one that better suits you in
> the future.
>
> I'll have to call them to make sure they can accommodate us at that
date
> and time.
>
> If you can make it, please rsvp to me offlist so we can get somewhat of
> a headcount. If you've never been to one of these before - you should -
> they're a lot of fun!
>
> Hope to see you there.

I will be there for sure!

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

28395. Garland System Multisite Sounds Good.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 19:11:55 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28395 Message: 28395

At lunch i noticed my scanner was coming in loud and clear at my office.

Sounds like they are keeping on the 2nd site and are now running a multi site simulcast system.

I get the occasional hetrodyne sound but its not bad, and the clicking at the end of each transmission from field units sounds like Voting Receivers.

I hope this helps with their coverage problems. Anybody else who had staticy coverage notice its better. At least on the west and north sides.

28396. Re: [dfwscan] Garland System Multisite Sounds Good.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 20:12:05 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28395 Message: 28396

Aww that explains it. I was in Plano heading North on 75 at parker and I thought I was hearing Plano. Then I realized I was still on Garland as I had come out of Garland earlier. I couldn't believe how clear it was. I never pick them up that far out nor is it that clear.

So could someone explain so that I understand what they have done? 2nd site?

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: Patrick Wrigg <pwrigg@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 12:11:55 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Garland System Multisite Sounds Good.

At lunch i noticed my scanner was coming in loud and clear at my office.

Sounds like they are keeping on the 2nd site and are now running a
multi site simulcast system.

I get the occasional hetrodyne sound but its not bad, and the clicking
at the end of each transmission from field units sounds like Voting
Receivers.

I hope this helps with their coverage problems. Anybody else who had
staticy coverage notice its better. At least on the west and north sides.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28397. MVA Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 20:37:41 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28397 Message: 28397

MVA Glenbrook and Ave.D.Eng.1,Truck 1,EMS 1,Bat.1,CareFlite 3,Ops.1-ID (656),EMS-1-ID(944)

Mike in Garland

28398. Re: Garland System Multisite Sounds Good.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 21:26:21 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28395 Message: 28398

Jason, a Multisite system means they have 2 or more transmitter sites for that particular system.
Garland had one site located near Downtown at the old PD station on a 100ft tower. They then moved it to the super tall new tower at Beltline and Rowlett Rd. But this left bad spots on the north west side. So they have added a tower somewhere on the western side of town for added coverage. With this 2nd tower they operate simultaneously. So an officer keys up his radio, its picked up by one of the locations (or more if they have voting recievers) and re-broadcast on both towers. The towers are linked probably via microwave link and are synced up so they dont interfere with each other. All of this is done thru the main site controller.

Not sure i did the best of explaining it, or if i made any mistakes, so if someone else can jump in and fill in the gaps or correct me anywhere i was wrong please feel free to.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> Aww that explains it. I was in Plano heading North on 75 at parker and I thought I was hearing Plano. Then I realized I was still on Garland as I had come out of Garland earlier. I couldn't believe how clear it was. I never pick them up that far out nor is it that clear.
>
> So could someone explain so that I understand what they have done? 2nd site?
>
> Jason
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: Patrick Wrigg <pwrigg@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 12:11:55 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Garland System Multisite Sounds Good.
>
>
>
> At lunch i noticed my scanner was coming in loud and clear at my office.
>
> Sounds like they are keeping on the 2nd site and are now running a
> multi site simulcast system.
>
> I get the occasional hetrodyne sound but its not bad, and the clicking

> at the end of each transmission from field units sounds like Voting
> Receivers.
>
> I hope this helps with their coverage problems. Anybody else who had
> staticy coverage notice its better. At least on the west and north
sides.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28399. Re: Garland System Multisite Sounds Good.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 21:30:07 UTC
From: WC
Topic: 28395 Message: 28399

Agreed. I am near beltline / shiloh - better on both of my scanners.

I am hearing the techs doing some testing / aligning at this time.

--
wayne
garland

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Patrick Wrigg" <pwrigg@...> wrote:
>
> At lunch i noticed my scanner was coming in loud and clear at my
office.
>
> Sounds like they are keeping on the 2nd site and are now running a
> multi site simulcast system.
>
> I get the occasional hetrodyne sound but its not bad, and the clicking
> at the end of each transmission from field units sounds like Voting
> Receivers.
>
> I hope this helps with their coverage problems. Anybody else who had
> staticy coverage notice its better. At least on the west and north
sides.
>

28400. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Garland System Multisite Sounds Good.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 22:26:41 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28395 Message: 28400

Well explained. I knew they had moved to that new tower and it sucked but I didn't know they were using 2 now. Whatever they did it is about time. I swear it was like if you left the city limits you lost coverage.

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: WC <wrc.radio@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 4:30:07 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Garland System Multisite Sounds Good.

Agreed. I am near beltline / shiloh - better on both of my scanners.

I am hearing the techs doing some testing / aligning at this time.

--
wayne
garland

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Patrick Wrigg" <pwrigg@...> wrote:
>
> At lunch i noticed my scanner was coming in loud and clear at my
office.
>
> Sounds like they are keeping on the 2nd site and are now running a
> multi site simulcast system.
>
> I get the occasional hetrodyne sound but its not bad, and the clicking
> at the end of each transmission from field units sounds like Voting
> Receivers.
>
> I hope this helps with their coverage problems. Anybody else who had
> staticy coverage notice its better. At least on the west and north
sides.
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28401. Question: Cell towers and interference

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 22:29:58 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28401 Message: 28401

I don't know if this has been answered before so here it is. I hate it when I am trying to monitor something and I am near a cell tower. There is just so much interference. Today Richardson had something good going for a bit but I was right at the old mall by a cell tower and I could barley pick them up. So, when this rebanding starts will I still have this problem?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28402. Re: Cell towers and interference

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 22:52:46 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28402 Message: 28402

>So, when this rebanding starts will I still have this problem?

This probably doesn't answer your question
in exactly the correct vein, but FWIW, on
my PSR500 I use the regular ducky while
mobile instead of the Rat Shack 800. While
the Rat Shack 800 IS much more sensitive,
so is this scanner, and possibly yours as
well. There is just so much crap out there on
the airwaves, and not just music and talk radio
LOL. We are lucky we pick up anything at all.

It's amazing on a daily basis the junk we get
bombarded with that we can't even hear.
Seriously, go near a big transmitter, and see
how you feel. Many times it really affects
people.

28403. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Cell towers and interference

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 22:58:50 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28402 Message: 28403

blaine.brooks@... wrote:

It's amazing on a daily basis the junk we get bombarded with that we
can't even hear.
Seriously, go near a big transmitter, and see how you feel. Many times
it really affects people.

twitch it doesn't affect me *twitch* honest!
(I work around those big transmitters every day)

28404. Tarrant County UHF Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-18 23:49:04 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28404 Message: 28404

I've got quite a few UHF channels programmed into my conventional scanner at the house, but I have come to realise that I never receive a damn thing there. Here's what I have:

453.0500 North Tarrant County VFD
453.2250 Lake Worth Fire
453.3000 Lakeside Fire
453.5750 River Oaks Fire 1
453.6250 Azle Fire
453.7375 Blue Mound Fire
453.7750 Saginaw Fire
453.8000 Eagle Mountain Fire
453.9250 Haslet Fire
460.3500 Benbrook Fire 1
460.4500 Benbrook Fire 2
460.5875 Dido Fire
460.6000 Tarrant County Fire
464.1000 CareFlite CERN
482.4375 Lake Cities Fire 2
482.4625 Everman Fire
482.6625 Watauga Fire 3
483.0375 Lake Cities Fire 1
483.4500 Watauga Fire 1
483.7000 Watauga Fire 2

The CareFlite CERN channel (also Dallas ISD on the same freq) is the ONLY channel on that list that I ever receive anything on, and even they come in weak. I have about decided that my setup is simply deaf on UHF here, but not completely. I receive the Burleson and Johnson County UHF LTR systems just fine, and Dallas PD and FD come in, albeit scratchy. So, before I do anything drastic, can anyone here confirm or deny the accuracy and activity of any of those frequencies?

Thanks!

Rob

28405. Re: Tarrant County UHF Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 00:09:05 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 28404 Message: 28405

453.0500 North Tarrant County VFD - NO
453.2250 Lake Worth Fire - YES
453.3000 Lakeside Fire - NO Lakeside don't have an FD
453.5750 River Oaks Fire 1 - YES
453.6250 Azle Fire - NO This is Azle Public Works
453.7375 Blue Mound Fire - YES
453.7750 Saginaw Fire - YES
453.8000 Eagle Mountain Fire -YS
453.9250 Haslet Fire - YRS
460.3500 Benbrook Fire 1
460.4500 Benbrook Fire 2
460.5875 Dido Fire - YES not used too much
460.6000 Tarrant County Fire
464.1000 CareFlite CERN - YES
482.4375 Lake Cities Fire 2
482.4625 Everman Fire
482.6625 Watauga Fire 3
483.0375 Lake Cities Fire 1
483.4500 Watauga Fire 1
483.7000 Watauga Fire 2

The rest I don't monitor that much
Hope this helps some

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> I've got quite a few UHF channels programmed into my conventional
scanner at the house, but I have come to realise that I never receive
a damn thing there. Here's what I have:
>
> 453.0500 North Tarrant County VFD
> 453.2250 Lake Worth Fire
> 453.3000 Lakeside Fire
> 453.5750 River Oaks Fire 1
> 453.6250 Azle Fire
> 453.7375 Blue Mound Fire
> 453.7750 Saginaw Fire
> 453.8000 Eagle Mountain Fire
> 453.9250 Haslet Fire
> 460.3500 Benbrook Fire 1
> 460.4500 Benbrook Fire 2
> 460.5875 Dido Fire
> 460.6000 Tarrant County Fire

> 464.1000 CareFlite CERN
> 482.4375 Lake Cities Fire 2
> 482.4625 Everman Fire
> 482.6625 Watauga Fire 3
> 483.0375 Lake Cities Fire 1
> 483.4500 Watauga Fire 1
> 483.7000 Watauga Fire 2
>
> The CareFlite CERN channel (also Dallas ISD on the same freq) is the ONLY channel on that list that I ever receive anything on, and even they come in weak. I have about decided that my setup is simply deaf on UHF here, but not completely. I receive the Burleson and Johnson County UHF LTR systems just fine, and Dallas PD and FD come in, albeit scratchy. So, before I do anything drastic, can anyone here confirm or deny the accuracy and activity of any of those frequencies?
>
> Thanks!
>
> Rob
>

28406. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County UHF Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 00:38:58 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28404 Message: 28406

Michael, how about PL/DPL to go with those yeses! Is N Tarrant Co just a dead department? I have that in a couple of radios and have heard stuff there in the past.

Thanks
Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Stuart
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 7:09 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County UHF Frequencies

453.0500 North Tarrant County VFD - NO
453.2250 Lake Worth Fire - YES
453.3000 Lakeside Fire - NO Lakeside don't have an FD
453.5750 River Oaks Fire 1 - YES
453.6250 Azle Fire - NO This is Azle Public Works
453.7375 Blue Mound Fire - YES
453.7750 Saginaw Fire - YES
453.8000 Eagle Mountain Fire -YS
453.9250 Haslet Fire - YRS
460.3500 Benbrook Fire 1
460.4500 Benbrook Fire 2
460.5875 Dido Fire - YES not used too much
460.6000 Tarrant County Fire
464.1000 CareFlite CERN - YES
482.4375 Lake Cities Fire 2
482.4625 Everman Fire
482.6625 Watauga Fire 3
483.0375 Lake Cities Fire 1
483.4500 Watauga Fire 1
483.7000 Watauga Fire 2

The rest I don't monitor that much
Hope this helps some

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> I've got quite a few UHF channels programmed into my conventional scanner at the house, but I have come to realise that I never receive a damn thing there. Here's what I have:

>
> 453.0500 North Tarrant County VFD
> 453.2250 Lake Worth Fire
> 453.3000 Lakeside Fire
> 453.5750 River Oaks Fire 1
> 453.6250 Azle Fire
> 453.7375 Blue Mound Fire
> 453.7750 Saginaw Fire
> 453.8000 Eagle Mountain Fire
> 453.9250 Haslet Fire
> 460.3500 Benbrook Fire 1
> 460.4500 Benbrook Fire 2
> 460.5875 Dido Fire
> 460.6000 Tarrant County Fire
> 464.1000 CareFlite CERN
> 482.4375 Lake Cities Fire 2
> 482.4625 Everman Fire
> 482.6625 Watauga Fire 3
> 483.0375 Lake Cities Fire 1
> 483.4500 Watauga Fire 1
> 483.7000 Watauga Fire 2
>
> The CareFlite CERN channel (also Dallas ISD on the same freq) is the
ONLY channel on that list that I ever receive anything on, and even
they come in weak. I have about decided that my setup is simply deaf
on UHF here, but not completely. I receive the Burleson and Johnson
County UHF LTR systems just fine, and Dallas PD and FD come in, albeit
scratchy. So, before I do anything drastic, can anyone here confirm
or deny the accuracy and activity of any of those frequencies?
>
> Thanks!
>
> Rob
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28407. Re: Tarrant County UHF Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 00:58:31 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28404 Message: 28407

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Michael, how about PL/DPL to go with those yeses! Is N Tarrant Co just a
> dead department? I have that in a couple of radios and have heard stuff
> there in the past.

Yes, a little clarification on the "nos" please. Do the departments not exist anymore, or are
they just on different frequencies? There used to be a Lakeside VFD at one time.

I usually have to lock Dido Fire out because of a constant open carrier on the frequency. Probably not even on that frequency proper, but it sure blocks that channel.

Rob

28408. Re: Tarrant County UHF Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 01:20:22 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 28404 Message: 28408

Here are some fire Frequencies out of my scanner.
154.0550 Azle Fire / EMS 77.0
460.3500 Benbrook Fire 1 151.4
460.4500 Benbrook Fire 1 151.4
453.7375 Blue Mound Fire 118.8
151.0625 Briar Fire VFD 100.0
460.5875 Dido Fire VFD 114.8
453.8000 Eagle Mount. FD 131.8
453.9250 Haslet Fire 411
156.1800 Jack Co Fire 127.3
453.2250 Lake Worth Fire 123.0
155.3850 Lifecare EMS 136.5
154.2500 NE Parker Co. FD 162.2
154.3400 Parker Co. Fire 162.2
155.4900 Pelican Bay Fire 205
453.5750 River Oaks Fire 325
453.7750 Saginaw Fire 123.0
453.3000 Sansom Park Fire 351
453.5500 Westworth Fire 311
154.4450 Wise Co Fire/EMS 131.8

Lakesude that is between Lake Worth and Azle never,
in ny knowledge, had a VFD, there was Spillway VFD
some years ago close to Lakeside but closed down do
to money troubles. Lake Worth does fire call for
Lakeside now.

North Tarrant Fire closed down do to money troubles
also. I think Keller or Westlake does fire call for
that area now. Someone can correct me if I'm wrong.

Hope that helps

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Michael, how about PL/DPL to go with those yeses! Is N Tarrant Co
just a
> dead department? I have that in a couple of radios and have heard stuff
> there in the past.
>
> Thanks
> Terry
>

>

28409. Re: Tarrant County UHF Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 01:44:47 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28404 Message: 28409

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Stuart" <aa5st@...> wrote:
>
> ...there was Spillway VFD
> some years ago close to Lakeside but closed down do
> to money troubles. Lake Worth does fire call for
> Lakeside now.
>
> North Tarrant Fire closed down do to money troubles
> also.

Both of those departments went down in the annals of infamy. Spillway had a volunteer who was babysitting the chief's daughter and decided it was a good idea to respond to a grass fire with the baby in the front seat of a fire truck with her. She rolled it, crashed, and
burned.

North Tarrant County had a serial arsonist on their roster who was busted when he decided to burn the church right next door to his house, leaving the gas can on his front porch for everyone to see.

I swear there was a Lakeside VFD at one time though, although it may have been many, many years ago.

Thanks for the updates, Michael! Those were helpful!

Rob

28410. Desoto

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 03:23:09 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28410 Message: 28410

Any one know what happened to the Desoto listing on Radio Reference?
It disappeared recently.

Bill Anderson

28411. Garland Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 06:34:36 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28411 Message: 28411

1:28am...Glenbrook and State St. 2 victims found on side of street GSW,both victims deceased.GPD-ID-48(North)ID-3664(North-2) DPS 101 on scene.

Mike in Garland

28412. Garland MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 07:14:19 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28412 Message: 28412

5100 Block Shilo/Arapaho..MVA Eng.6,Ambulance 6,Truck 7,Bat.1,CareFlite 3 enroute.2:13am

Mike in Garland

28413. Anti virus ? OT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 14:07:32 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28413 Message: 28413

Hi Yall,

My free Avast is about to expire. Can anyone recommend another good gree
anti virus?

Thanks, Sarge

E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
Database version: 5.10060e
http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28414. RE: [dfwscan] Anti virus ? OT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 14:31:55 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28413 Message: 28414

I believe you can reregister. If I remember right they make you do it once a year.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.comdfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.comdfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Sarge
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 9:08 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Anti virus ? OT

Hi Yall,

My free Avast is about to expire. Can anyone recommend another good gree anti virus?

Thanks, Sarge

E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
Database version: 5.10060e
http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28415. PRO-90 question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 15:00:21 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28415 Message: 28415

Did anyone hurt themselves when the fell on the floor LTAO???
Seriously, I have a RS Pro-90 that was given to me some years ago and it works fine for monitoring the local FD, etc. However, when I programmed it, I think I royally messed up the "pre-programmed" frequencies. Is there a software program available for this scanner and does anyone have any suggestions for programming both trunked and conventional freqs? Thanks in advance for any comments!

Greg

28416. Re: Desoto

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 16:30:09 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 28410 Message: 28416

Desoto has been moved to under the Dallas County page. We will be migrating all the individual cities to under the county pages over the next few months.

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Anderson" <billemtp@...> wrote:
>
> Any one know what happened to the Desoto listing on Radio Reference?
> It disappeared recently.
>
> Bill Anderson
>

28417. FLower Mound

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 16:38:35 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 28417 Message: 28417

Does anybody know what is working in FM? I missed the meat of it, but
they had rehab trucks there..
--
Jay Urish W5GM ex. KB5VPS

ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee Denton County ARES AEC

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 146.92 PL-110.9

28418. Re: [dfwscan] Anti virus ? OT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 16:48:39 UTC
From: S S
Topic: 28413 Message: 28418

AVG - http://free.grisoft.com/

There's also ThreatFire both of which seem popular with the PC Mags such as Maximum PC. Just be aware that the latest versions of AVG and ThreatFire don't co-exist with each other, so just pick one.

On Thu, Jun 19, 2008 at 9:07 AM, Sarge <smd_1@...> wrote:

> Hi Yall,
>
> My free Avast is about to expire. Can anyone recommend another good gree
> anti virus?
>
> Thanks, Sarge
>
>
>
>
>
> E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
> Database version: 5.10060e
> http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

--

The rifle itself has no moral stature, since it has no will of its own. Naturally, it may be used by evil men for evil purposes, but there are more good men than evil, and while the latter cannot be persuaded to the path of righteousness by propaganda, they can certainly be corrected by good men with rifles.

?Jeff Cooper, The Art of the Rifle

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28419. RE: [dfwscan] Anti virus ? OT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-19 18:10:16 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28413 Message: 28419

I've got dozens of deployed copies of AVG Free, as well as their pay-for solution that includes anti-spyware and all that jazz. Both work great and are highly recommended.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of S S
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 11:49 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Anti virus ? OT

AVG - http://free.grisoft.com/

There's also ThreatFire both of which seem popular with the PC Mags such as Maximum PC. Just be aware that the latest versions of AVG and ThreatFire don't co-exist with each other, so just pick one.

On Thu, Jun 19, 2008 at 9:07 AM, Sarge <smd_1@...> wrote:

> Hi Yall,
>
> My free Avast is about to expire. Can anyone recommend another good gree
> anti virus?
>
> Thanks, Sarge
>
>
>
>
>
> E-mail message checked by Spyware Doctor (5.5.0.212)
> Database version: 5.10060e
> http://www.pctools.com/en/spyware-doctor/
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>

--

The rifle itself has no moral stature, since it has no will of its own.
Naturally, it may be used by evil men for evil purposes, but there are more
good men than evil, and while the latter cannot be persuaded to the path of
righteousness by propaganda, they can certainly be corrected by good men
with rifles.

-Jeff Cooper, The Art of the Rifle

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG.
Version: 8.0.100 / Virus Database: 0.0.0/0 - Release Date: <unknown> 12:00
AM

28420. Garland Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-20 02:43:35 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28411 Message: 28420

3 subjects arrested in East Texas driving stolen Crown Vic owned by one of the victims of this AM murders in Garland....

Mike in Garland

28421. Dart PD quiet

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-20 14:17:19 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28421 Message: 28421

I noticed yesterday that Dart PD 860.2375 pl 173.8 no longer is picking up on my scanner. Got stuck in traffic on LBJ and when that happens i tune them in. So this morning on my way to work same thing, no traffic. Anybody have any info?

28422. Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-20 15:34:25 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 28404 Message: 28422

FYI for those who monitor Lewisville you may have already heard radio testing or traffic regarding re-banding and re-programming of radios. The fire department (and I assume the rest of the Lewisville radio system users) are getting their radios flashed for re-banding so that the new frequency tables are already in each radio. That way when they are ready to make the re-banding switch all of the radios will already be programmed. I was told that making the re-banding "switch" will now not be until 2010 or 2011 for the Lewisville system so those of us with older scanners will still have some use out of them for a couple of more years at least.

Also the Lewisville FD radios have been re-programmed so that LFD Channel 16 (the last channel in the radio) is actually LFD Channel 4 (For Structure Fires). This was done so that if a firefighter gets off the fireground channel for some reason he can switch his radio all the way to either the last channel or the first channel and either be on the fireground channel or dispatch for emergencies. That makes it easy to get on the right channel in the dark with bulky firefighting gloves on. It really won't make a difference for those programming scanners but I thought it might be interesting to know.

The Highland Village and Lake Cities Fire Departments are still sharing dispatch centers through Highland Village dispatch. They have changed their channel responses to mimic what Lewisville FD does. Channel 1 is dispatch, Channel 2 is EMS, Channel 3 is for Misc. Fire Responses (Fire Alarms, Grass Fires, Vehicle Fires, Investigations, etc.) and Channel 4 is for Structure Fires.

That is all...

Brandon

28423. Plano 2 alarm fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-20 19:55:49 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28423 Message: 28423

I shot some video of it. Will have it up tonight. Call came out around 1:20 pm I think. Not sure of the stuff on scene but I took pics and video for whoever wants to see. This was near coit and Spring Creek on Horizon. 2 houses were damaged one possibly a complete over haul I guess you call it.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28424. Plano 2 alarm fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-21 03:58:37 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28423 Message: 28424

Some video I shot. www.outrunproductions.com/video/plano2alarmfire.wmv

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28425. Plano 2 alarm fire pics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-21 04:22:31 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28425 Message: 28425

http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20002.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20003.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20004.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20005.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20006.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20007.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20008.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20009.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20010.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20011.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20012.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20013.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20014.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20015.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20016.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20017.jpg
http://www.outrunproductions.com/pics/fire/fire%20018.jpg

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28426. Re: Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-21 19:49:59 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28404 Message: 28426

>FYI for those who monitor Lewisville

Thanks for the info Brandon.

>This was done so that if a firefighter gets off the fireground
>channel for some reason he can switch his radio all the way to >either the last channel or
the first channel and either be on the >fireground channel or dispatch for emergencies.

A PROACTIVE fd/ems - Nice for a change...

Gotta' give kudos to Lasky and everyone in the dept.
The man knows what he's doing. One of the best. And
the most important things - he truly looks out for
his folks, he cares, and he gets involved. He loves
being on a fire scene to help and give encouragement
to the troops. he doesn't just sit behind a big desk
looking at budgets all day long (although that IS a
PART of his job). I'm currently reading his book "Pride
Of Ownership". Picked it up at Lewisville Public Library,
and I have their only copy right now. So you'll have
to wait until I return it!. Not sure what others in
the area may carry it. A great read if you've ever
had even the slightest inkling of interest in the
fire/ems service.

>That is all...

I'll say it like my favorite dispatcher in the comm
center (forgot his name - but he's unmistakable on
the radio)....

Rrrrrrecieved.....LOL

28427. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-22 04:12:27 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 28404 Message: 28427

He is definitely a great Chief to work for and it's a great fire department to be a part of. That is a very popular book right now around the fire service and we get asked all the time if it's really like that in Lewisville....well, yes, it really is! :)

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of blaine.brooks@...
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2008 2:50 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Brandon Thetford
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

>FYI for those who monitor Lewisville

Thanks for the info Brandon.

>This was done so that if a firefighter gets off the fireground
>channel for some reason he can switch his radio all the way to >either the last channel or the first channel and either be on the >fireground channel or dispatch for emergencies.

A PROACTIVE fd/ems - Nice for a change...

Gotta' give kudos to Lasky and everyone in the dept.
The man knows what he's doing. One of the best. And
the most important things - he truly looks out for
his folks, he cares, and he gets involved. He loves
being on a fire scene to help and give encouragement
to the troops. he doesn't just sit behind a big desk
looking at budgets all day long (although that IS a
PART of his job). I'm currently reading his book "Pride
Of Ownership". Picked it up at Lewisville Public Library,
and I have their only copy right now. So you'll have
to wait until I return it!. Not sure what others in
the area may carry it. A great read if you've ever
had even the slightest inkling of interest in the
fire/ems service.

>That is all...

I'll say it like my favorite dispatcher in the comm
center (forgot his name - but he's unmistakable on
the radio)....

Rrrrrrecieved.....LOL

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28428. Irving radio comm problems?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-22 12:33:44 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28428 Message: 28428

I'm hearing a patch between Irving and Grand Prairie PD on decimal 555. Then Irving asked GP to run a license check. Also, EOC is calling fire dispatch, but not receiving an answer.

Anyone heard any details or know if Irving is having some dispatch or comm center trouble?
Ben

28429. Re: Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-22 15:41:06 UTC
From: dfd_box4
Topic: 28404 Message: 28429

Have they moved the Lewisville TRS repeater location?? I live 3/4 of
a mile from the Rockbrook Tower but I can't pick up Lewisville very
well anymore??

Brian

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> >FYI for those who monitor Lewisville
>
> Thanks for the info Brandon.
>
> >This was done so that if a firefighter gets off the fireground
> >channel for some reason he can switch his radio all the way to
>either the last channel or the first channel and either be on the
>fireground channel or dispatch for emergencies.
>
> A PROACTIVE fd/ems - Nice for a change...
>
> Gotta' give kudos to Lasky and everyone in the dept.
> The man knows what he's doing. One of the best. And
> the most important things - he truly looks out for
> his folks, he cares, and he gets involved. He loves
> being on a fire scene to help and give encouragement
> to the troops. he doesn't just sit behind a big desk
> looking at budgets all day long (although that IS a
> PART of his job). I'm currently reading his book "Pride
> Of Ownership". Picked it up at Lewisville Public Library,
> and I have their only copy right now. So you'll have
> to wait until I return it!. Not sure what others in
> the area may carry it. A great read if you've ever
> had even the slightest inkling of interest in the
> fire/ems service.
>
> >That is all...
>
> I'll say it like my favorite dispatcher in the comm
> center (forgot his name - but he's unmistakable on
> the radio)....
>
> Rrrrrrecieved.....LOL
>

28430. [Fwd: [dfwscan] Get Together Please RSVP]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-22 17:01:26 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28430 Message: 28430

Just a reminder about the get together THIS Wednesday night. Thanks to everyone who's RSVPd already. If you're planning on attending, please email me offlist so we can get a good estimation of how many tables to put together.

Thanks!

-Melissa

>
How about we do this:

A week from Wednesday (Rangers will be playing @ Houston) which is the 25th at the same wing place we went to in '06

Winghouse of Arlington
944 E. Copeland Road
Arlington, TX 76011
http://www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?address=944+E%2E+Copeland+Road&city=Arlington&state=TX&zipcode=76011&country=US&cid=lfmaplink
<http://www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?address=944+E%2E+Copeland+Road&city=Arlington&state=TX&zipcode=76011&country=US&cid=lfmaplink>

Start time will be 7:30pm. I also work late, and have to go home, let the dog out, etc. Hopefully that will be late/early enough (for you nighttime folks) to eat. If you feel you need to eat earlier than that, feel free to do so, but come join us anyway at 7:30 and we'll have some fun.<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28431. DFW Brush fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-22 18:12:48 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28431 Message: 28431

Well it's over now, but there was a chance to monitor DFW Airport DPS working a large grass fire with Irving, Euless, and Fort Worth. Normally, DFW is not scannable on their own EDACS Provoice system. However, for this incident, they were patched into Irving on decimal 13 and fireground 3 decimal 533. I think they may have also been patched with decimal 550.

I had hoped to get a good photo of an ARFF unit working(a rare opportunity), but they had traffic blocked, and there wasn't a good safe place to stop. Here's what I did get while driving and holding down the shutter release on my camera.
http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/dfwairport32workinggrass.jpg

Even though you may not be interested in listening to Irving, Euless, Grapevine, etc, it's a good idea to have those systems available for the next big incident at the airport.

Happy Scanning,
Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

28432. RE: [dfwscan] Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-22 19:40:02 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28404 Message: 28432

Blaine Brooks wrote:

> I'll say it like my favorite dispatcher in the comm
> center (forgot his name - but he's unmistakable on
> the radio)....
>
> Rrrrrrecieved.....LOL

I was just discussing with someone yesterday how much I HATE dispatchers that do that! More often than not, it's female dispatchers who do that. More often than not, I hate female dispatchers.

Rob

28433. Re: DFW Brush fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-22 19:54:56 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28431 Message: 28433

"Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Here's what I did get while driving and holding down the shutter release on
> my camera.
> http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/dfwairport32workinggrass.jpg

Holy Jeebus! Now THATS how to fight a grass fire! Nice shot, Ben!

And good advice on the Irving and Euless monitoring too!

Rob

28434. RE: [dfwscan] Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-22 20:20:10 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28404 Message: 28434

His name is Patrick

-----Original Message-----
From: rob.davis@...
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 6/22/2008 14:40
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Blaine Brooks wrote:

> I'll say it like my favorite dispatcher in the comm
> center (forgot his name - but he's unmistakable on
> the radio)....
>
> Rrrrrrecieved.....LOL

I was just discussing with someone yesterday how much I HATE dispatchers that do that! More often than not, it's female dispatchers who do that. More often than not, I hate female dispatchers.

Rob

28435. Re: Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-22 21:43:41 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28404 Message: 28435

>His name is Patrick

Yes! Nice guy.

28436. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-23 00:34:11 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 28404 Message: 28436

Yes... a new tower came online several months ago in fire district 2 on Treatment Plant Rd behind the city maintenance facility and next to the fire and police training center. It has affected reception in some areas especially on the south side of the city. Where I work at firehouse 3 now on Corporate Dr. there are areas where you can't even understand the dispatcher when getting information. They are still working on trying to get better signal down here but it's been awhile now and I don't know if they are going to get it to work. It would seem that they could make it a multi-site system and put the rockbrook tower back in service but I bet they don't have the money for that. In the meantime we're suffering with some really bad reception. I wasn't aware of the dead spots until I moved down to this station a few weeks ago. Now I know that when we leave the station and drive on corporate or 121 you can't hear the radio until you get to I-35 on Corporate or Round Grove off of 121. It also affected my scanner reception at home in Flower Mound although not nearly as bad as it has at work.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dfd_box4
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2008 10:41 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Have they moved the Lewisville TRS repeater location?? I live 3/4 of a mile from the Rockbrook Tower but I can't pick up Lewisville very well anymore??

Brian

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> >FYI for those who monitor Lewisville
>
> Thanks for the info Brandon.
>
> >This was done so that if a firefighter gets off the fireground
> >channel for some reason he can switch his radio all the way to
>either the last channel or the first channel and either be on the
>fireground channel or dispatch for emergencies.
>
> A PROACTIVE fd/ems - Nice for a change...
>
> Gotta' give kudos to Lasky and everyone in the dept.

> The man knows what he's doing. One of the best. And
> the most important things - he truly looks out for
> his folks, he cares, and he gets involved. He loves
> being on a fire scene to help and give encouragement
> to the troops. he doesn't just sit behind a big desk
> looking at budgets all day long (although that IS a
> PART of his job). I'm currently reading his book "Pride
> Of Ownership". Picked it up at Lewisville Public Library,
> and I have their only copy right now. So you'll have
> to wait until I return it!. Not sure what others in
> the area may carry it. A great read if you've ever
> had even the slightest inkling of interest in the
> fire/ems service.
>
> >That is all...
>
> I'll say it like my favorite dispatcher in the comm
> center (forgot his name - but he's unmistakable on
> the radio)....
>
> Rrrrrrecieved.....LOL
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28437. Weather Stations

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-23 00:57:36 UTC
From: afm108
Topic: 28404 Message: 28437

I am looking for some recommendations on home weather stations (temperature, wind speed/direction, etc) that are priced reasonably and of decent quality.

Thanks and stay safe all...
Randy Rogers

28438. Re: [dfwscan] Weather Stations

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-23 01:13:44 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28404 Message: 28438

For fathers day I got my dad one. It was at Bass Pro shop. Regularly 149.00 got it for $100 on sale. Can't remember the model but it's the one that is on sale for that amount. Also says weather channel on it.

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: afm108 <afm108@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2008 7:57:36 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Weather Stations

I am looking for some recommendations on home weather stations
(temperature, wind speed/direction, etc) that are priced reasonably
and of decent quality.

Thanks and stay safe all...
Randy Rogers

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28439. Re: [dfwscan] Weather Stations

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-23 10:23:18 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28404 Message: 28439

I just replaced my Davis Weather Monitor II after about 20 years of near-continuous operation (it finally gave up the ghost...inside unit's display hasn't worked in 3 or 4 years after a lightning strike, but all the data collection and PC interface was still working). Replaced it with the Vantage Pro2. $650 from Ambient Weather.
Includes a solar-powered wireless outside unit that collects rainfall, temperature, humidity, wind speed and direction and has a fan-aspirated temp/humidity chamber. Also added a USB PC interface. You can get a step-up model that adds sunlight and UV sensors (about another $400). Installation took about 2 hours (but I already had a short mast up on the roof for the WMII's wind sensor, so that probably saved an hour or so).
I use Virtual Weather software, which automatically collects the data and also makes a nice set of web pages out of the info: http://home.flash.net/~opie
While the price is a bit higher than you imply is in your budget, spread out over 15-20 years of operating life, it looks a lot better, especially considering the functionality and low maintenance (other than moving stuff around when the roof got re-shingled and replacing the anemometer after the same storm that took out the roof, I never had to touch the WMII the entire 20 years).
Paul

----- Original Message ----
From: afm108 <afm108@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2008 7:57:36 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Weather Stations

I am looking for some recommendations on home weather stations
(temperature, wind speed/direction, etc) that are priced reasonably
and of decent quality.

Thanks and stay safe all...
Randy Rogers

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28440. Re: Weather Stations

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-23 12:02:19 UTC
From: Ed Lentz
Topic: 28404 Message: 28440

PROVANTAGE - www.provantage.com has the Davis Weather Stations at the best price anywhere, just have to wait a few days for delivery.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28441. Re: Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-24 05:57:21 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 28404 Message: 28441

Welcome to the new world order of things for me.

Now you know why I posted "OK who the #&$# broke the Lewisville system!!!"

Its much better than it was in the first week or so, though. Then the
whole thing was just lovely
nasty crackly noises and bits of conversations. Its not digital, or
then I'd just be enjoying a nice serenade from
our favorite Star Wars droid.

Some days the system's actually pretty decent, some days its still total
unlistenable [expletive].
Even on its best day, though, it still doesn't match the coverage from
Da Rock.

What's weird for me, though, is when its working, I sorta hear Coppell,
Highland Village, and Lake Cities/Lake Dallas, with the Mound
and Lewisville proper usually worse off.

Now, how Coppell's 800 gear works at all on the back side of Vista Ridge
without Rockbrook, I still don't know. I figure there's still
at least gotta be a receive site there.

Station 3, as well as my place, are just about due south of the new site
with antennas on the north side if I recall correctly.
I suspect a nice cardioid-shaped NULL because of the tower legs in this
direction. I guess I'll have to take another jaunt
up there and reconfirm that. If so, maybe moving the antennas to the
west side of the tower would help us out, but would
then probably screw District 5 up.

But then again, I'm just a dumb ham that only "thinks" he knows a little
something about how RF actually works in the real world
(grin)

Switching gears in this thread, does DPS Sherman still have that one
chick dispatching for them that sounds like she's maybe 10-12 years old?

-b-

28442. armored truck rollover in Colleyville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-24 20:08:15 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28442 Message: 28442

Missed the address, but an EMS helo is enroute, and Hurst is helping out.
Sounds like the guy is hurt pretty bad.

28443. RE: [dfwscan] armored truck rollover in Colleyville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-24 20:22:27 UTC
From: Cross, Robert
Topic: 28442 Message: 28443

Hall Johnson & Pool in Colleyville. Enrt to Harris FW now. It was 6CF
that did the mission.

Robert Cross
Operations Center Supervisor
(972) 660-2851 Office
For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260
For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

CONFIDENTIALITY/PRIVACY NOTICE

The information contained in this correspondence is confidential and may
contain Protected Health Information (PHI)/Individually Identifiable
Health Information, which is legally privileged by the Health Insurance
Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996, as amended,
regarding privacy and security of PHI/Individually Identifiable Health
Information, other federal laws and applicable state laws. It is
intended specifically for the recipient(s) named above. If you are not
the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that reading, copying,
distributing or disclosure of this information is strictly prohibited
and may be a violation of federal and/or state laws and regulations. The
sender has not waived any applicable privilege by sending the
accompanying information. If you received this information in error,
please notify the sender immediately by phone at (972)339-4200 and
destroy the accompanying paper or electronic documents.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of Ben Saladino (YGW)
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 15:08
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] armored truck rollover in Colleyville

Missed the address, but an EMS helo is enroute, and Hurst is helping
out.
Sounds like the guy is hurt pretty bad.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28444. Re: Lewisville System Rebanding and other news...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-24 23:48:57 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28404 Message: 28444

>Even on its best day, though, it still doesn't match the coverage >from Da Rock.

Amen to that!

Maybe we need to start a "Bring
back Rockbrook campaign" LOL

28445. University Park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-25 02:50:29 UTC
From: Chris
Topic: 2209 Message: 28445

Does anyone know if UP has new radio frequencies? I havent been hearing anything from them in a while and I know that the FD recently got new radios and they were programmed but no one knows what they did to them..or at least not anyone that ive talked to.

28446. Re: University Park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-25 03:48:31 UTC
From: Jonathan S.
Topic: 2209 Message: 28446

They are rebanding. Try listening for them 15Mhz below their old
freqs. i.e. old 866.1125, new 851.1125.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris" <pacer4512000@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone know if UP has new radio frequencies? I havent been hearing
> anything from them in a while and I know that the FD recently got new
> radios and they were programmed but no one knows what they did to
> them..or at least not anyone that ive talked to.
>

28447. Re: News on rebanding - City of Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-25 13:48:04 UTC
From: Bob Stewart
Topic: 28376 Message: 28447

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...> wrote:
>
> There is an item on the upcoming city council meeting about rebanding. Sounds like things are beginning to move a a faster pace...
>
http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=9819&councildate=6/17/2008
>
>
> Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

Ed and all.....sources who have their fingers in the Fort Worth rebanding indicated to me that it will likely be 2 to 3.5 years before their radio systems will actually be switched to new frequencies down the band.

They also said that they are looking at a system rebuild or an upgrade, including a switch to digital, but right now it's only just looking.

If a switch to digital came at all, their best guess is that it would likely be after the rebanding.

28448. Get Together Tonight

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-25 14:49:01 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28448 Message: 28448

To any of you radio people out there who might have adapters laying around, see if you have a Mini U female to SMA female.

I have a convertacom in my truck that i am thinking is starting to fail, lots of signal loss, but I need to test it by plugging in my antenna directly into the radio. And the one adapter I used to have is missing. SO if anybody has one they can bring and let me test out for a whole 5 minutes please bring it tonight.

Thanks in Advance.

28449. I-30 Construction

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-25 15:07:17 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 28449 Message: 28449

FYI for those attending the meet and greet tonight. According to the signs I passed this morning on the way to work, it looks like I-30 eastbound will be closed at Fielder at 9 PM for construction. Probably to put the decking on the bridge beams they installed over the weekend. I assume they will have traffic routed onto the access road, as they did last weekend, which means traffic around the Wing House will be a real bear. Might want to check the TXDOT web site for details.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28450. Re: [dfwscan] I-30 Construction

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-25 15:12:27 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 28449 Message: 28450

Found the official notice:

District:
County:
Condition:
Roadway:
Direction:
Time:
Limits: Fort Worth
Tarrant
Construction
IH0030
Eastbound
6/25/2008 9:00:00 PM to 6/26/2008 5:30:00 AM
Fielder Road to Collins Street Description: Twoleft lanes closed (reduced to one lane) in Arlington from 9 p.m. to5:30 a.m. Wednesday night due to construction. Expect delays. (Delay)
---------- Original message from daweasel@...: ----------

FYI for those attending the meet and greet tonight. According to the signs I passed this morning on the way to work, it looks like I-30 eastbound will be closed at Fielder at 9 PM for construction. Probably to put the decking on the bridge beams they installed over the weekend. I assume they will have traffic routed onto the access road, as they did last weekend, which means traffic around the Wing House will be a real bear. Might want to check the TXDOT web
> site for details.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28451. Re: [dfwscan] I-30 Construction

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-25 15:59:23 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28449 Message: 28451

Wow thanks for checking on that! Just my luck - I checked the Rangers schedule, didn't bother checking the I 30 schedule (or lack thereof!) Hope everyone can make it anyways! Looks like getting there (at 7) won't be the problem, but leaving might be. Maybe they'll get a late start!

By the way, I called these guys and they know we're coming. They said they'd let us have their "back room" (I didn't realize they had one) and I told them it was for DFW Scan group (Whether they wrote that down or not, I'm not sure).

Hope to see everyone there!

-Melissa

daweasel@... wrote:
>
> Found the official notice:
>
> District:
> County:
> Condition:
> Roadway:
> Direction:
> Time:
> Limits: Fort Worth
> Tarrant
> Construction
> IH0030
> Eastbound
> 6/25/2008 9:00:00 PM to 6/26/2008 5:30:00 AM
> Fielder Road to Collins Street Description: Twoleft lanes closed
> (reduced to one lane) in Arlington from 9 p.m. to5:30 a.m. Wednesday
> night due to construction. Expect delays. (Delay)
> -------------- Original message from daweasel@...
> <mailto:daweasel%40swbell.net>: --------------
>
> FYI for those attending the meet and greet tonight. According to the
> signs I passed this morning on the way to work, it looks like I-30
> eastbound will be closed at Fielder at 9 PM for construction. Probably
> to put the decking on the bridge beams they installed over the
> weekend. I assume they will have traffic routed onto the access road,
> as they did last weekend, which means traffic around the Wing House
> will be a real bear. Might want to check the TXDOT web
> > site for details.
> >
> >

> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>! Groups
> Links
> >
> >
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28452. Re: University Park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-26 00:34:05 UTC
From: Chris
Topic: 2209 Message: 28452

Thanks i will try it out.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jonathan S." <jonathan@...> wrote:
>
> They are rebanding. Try listening for them 15Mhz below their old
> freqs. i.e. old 866.1125, new 851.1125.
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris" <pacer4512000@> wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone know if UP has new radio frequencies? I havent been hearing
> > anything from them in a while and I know that the FD recently got new
> > radios and they were programmed but no one knows what they did to
> > them..or at least not anyone that ive talked to.
> >
>

28453. RE: [dfwscan] Re: University Park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-26 12:20:04 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 2209 Message: 28453

Thanks for pointing this out. I have them in 2 radios and haven't missed them! Here's what they have licensed recently.

851.0125
851.1125
851.5125
852.0125
852.0875
852.8125
852.6125
853.0125

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Chris
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 7:34 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: University Park

Thanks i will try it out.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jonathan S." <jonathan@...> wrote:
>
> They are rebanding. Try listening for them 15Mhz below their old
> freqs. i.e. old 866.1125, new 851.1125.
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris" <pacer4512000@> wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone know if UP has new radio frequencies? I havent been hearing
> > anything from them in a while and I know that the FD recently got new
> > radios and they were programmed but no one knows what they did to
> > them..or at least not anyone that ive talked to.
> >
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28454. Krum PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-26 13:20:48 UTC
From: basman29
Topic: 28454 Message: 28454

Does anyone have know the freq. for Krum PD.

28455. Get Together Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-26 17:39:07 UTC
From: John Crowe
Topic: 28455 Message: 28455

Thanks to Mell for pulling this together!
It was nice to put some faces with names..
Would've put some more names with faces, but decided to bail early due to arriving storms and being on "2 wheels"!
As it was, I made it back to Allen with only about 5 raindrops finding me, so whoo hoo for that!

CYA again next time :-)

John Crowe -

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28456. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-26 18:47:29 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 28455 Message: 28456

It looks like they blew of the construction due to the lightning -- the bridge looked the same this morning. Anyone know for sure?
--------------- Original message from John Crowe <crowemag55@...>: ---------------

> Thanks to Mell for pulling this together!
> It was nice to put some faces with names..Would've put some more names with faces, but decided to bail early due to
> arriving storms and being on "2 wheels"!As it was, I made it back to Allen with only about 5 raindrops finding me, so
> whoo hoo for that!
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28457. Getting rid of a few things -

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-26 21:13:24 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28457 Message: 28457

I'll make this simple, i'm getting rid of some stuff, to upgrade hopefully, portable trunking scanner, internet tablet, and ipn pager, if interested, check it out at Craigslist

http://dallas.craigslist.org/ele/733765741.html

Thanks for your time -

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28458. RE: [dfwscan] Get Together Last Night

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-26 23:50:12 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28455 Message: 28458

Nothing was going on when I went through at 10:30 so I suspect you're right about the weather delay. I wondered if you made it since those storms came out of nowhere.

Add my thanks to Melissa for putting it all together.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of daweasel@...
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 1:47 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Get Together Last Night

It looks like they blew of the construction due to the lightning -- the bridge looked the same this morning. Anyone know for sure?
--------------- Original message from John Crowe <crowemag55@...>:

> Thanks to Mell for pulling this together!
> It was nice to put some faces with names..Would've put some more names with faces, but decided to bail early due to
> arriving storms and being on "2 wheels"!As it was, I made it back to Allen with only about 5 raindrops finding me, so
> whoo hoo for that!
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28459. RE: [dfwscan] Krum PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-26 23:56:11 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28454 Message: 28459

The FD is on Denton County's system. I would suspect their PD is too but not for sure. Might listen to the SO radio and see if you hear streets within Krum city limits.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=23

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of basman29
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 8:21 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Krum PD

Does anyone have know the freq. for Krum PD.

28460. Re: [dfwscan] Krum PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-27 00:07:07 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28454 Message: 28460

Krum is dispatched off of the Denton CO TRS, under the sherrif's ID's, they have their own unit numbering system much like the collin county system does.

Tim

On Thu, Jun 26, 2008 at 6:56 PM, Terry <terry@...> wrote:

> The FD is on Denton County's system. I would suspect their PD is too but
> not for sure. Might listen to the SO radio and see if you hear streets
> within Krum city limits.
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=23
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:
> dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
> basman29
> Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 8:21 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Krum PD
>
> Does anyone have know the freq. for Krum PD.
>
> ------------------------------------
>
>
>

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28461. what are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-27 06:06:15 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28461 Message: 28461

There are obviously multiple transmitters, and it's some kind of data type stuff, but listen on 464.500 if you're near Grapevine or Arlington and 469.500 if you're in (north?) Dallas (I heard it all the way down I35E from Carrollton to downtown tonight) - The buzz I heard sounded like multiple transmitters/beacons transmitting with an occasional "beep". The beeps I heard showed up stronger on my S-meter of my radio than the hum, and at several occasions I could hear multiple beeps - at different signal strengths - as if one was close, the other farther away. I haven't tried DFing them yet, but it'll be a pain considering there are multiple signals on the same freq. Anyone have any suggestions on where to look?

Thanks in advance!

-Melissa

28462. RE: [dfwscan] what are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-27 11:43:20 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28461 Message: 28462

Seems like that's the freq (464 side) I get a close call hit on every time I go to FTW on I-30. The hit is about Collins or maybe just west. If you're going to DF that would be a good place to start.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mel's mail lists
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 1:06 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] what are these?

There are obviously multiple transmitters, and it's some kind of data type stuff, but listen on 464.500 if you're near Grapevine or Arlington and 469.500 if you're in (north?) Dallas (I heard it all the way down I35E from Carrollton to downtown tonight) - The buzz I heard sounded like multiple transmitters/beacons transmitting with an occasional "beep". The beeps I heard showed up stronger on my S-meter of my radio than the hum, and at several occasions I could hear multiple beeps - at different signal strengths - as if one was close, the other farther away. I haven't tried DFing them yet, but it'll be a pain considering there are multiple signals on the same freq. Anyone have any suggestions on where to look?

Thanks in advance!

-Melissa

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28463. RE: [dfwscan] Re: University Park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-27 11:51:10 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 2209 Message: 28463

Tonight I confirmed freqs/tones for University Park:

Fire: 852.0875 DCS 051
Police: 851.1125 DCS 051

Anybody figured out DART Police yet? The repeater they were on is dead. I wonder if they moved them to the 900 Mhz EDACS system or somewhere else. The 800 Mhz frequencies were not a rebanding area

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 7:20 AM
To: 'Chris'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: University Park

Thanks for pointing this out. I have them in 2 radios and haven't missed them! Here's what they have licensed recently.

851.0125
851.1125
851.5125
852.0125
852.0875
852.8125
852.6125
853.0125

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Chris
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 7:34 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: University Park

Thanks i will try it out.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jonathan S." <jonathan@...> wrote:
>
> They are rebanding. Try listening for them 15Mhz below their old
> freqs. i.e. old 866.1125, new 851.1125.
>
>

> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris" <pacer4512000@> wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone know if UP has new radio frequencies? I havent been hearing
> > anything from them in a while and I know that the FD recently got new
> > radios and they were programmed but no one knows what they did to
> > them..or at least not anyone that ive talked to.
> >
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

---

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28464. Re: University Park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-27 12:02:21 UTC
From: Jonathan S.
Topic: 2209 Message: 28464

DART PD moved to Ch4 Supervisors 859.2375. I assume this is temporary while they fix their Ch5 repeater.

Now my turn for a question!

Which radio system, or conventional channels, are the DART HOV trucks on? Not the DCSO black & white trucks, the Yellow DART trucks with the large arrow boards & Liberty lightbars. I've listened to the 900 EDACS system but never heard them dispatched to incidents on the HOV lanes.

Thanks
Jonathan

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Tonight I confirmed freqs/tones for University Park:
>
> Fire: 852.0875 DCS 051
> Police: 851.1125 DCS 051
>
>
> Anybody figured out DART Police yet? The repeater they were on is dead. I
> wonder if they moved them to the 900 Mhz EDACS system or somewhere else.
> The 800 Mhz frequencies were not a rebanding area
>
>
> Terry

28465. RE: [dfwscan] Re: University Park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-27 12:06:01 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 2209 Message: 28465

Thanks for the info. I checked the FCC files and DART has added an 800 freq. 857.6875. I usually don't pay a lot of attention to them but the cops do get into stuff from time to time...Sorry but no clue on the arrow trucks.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jonathan S.
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 7:02 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: University Park

DART PD moved to Ch4 Supervisors 859.2375. I assume this is temporary while they fix their Ch5 repeater.

Now my turn for a question!

Which radio system, or conventional channels, are the DART HOV trucks on? Not the DCSO black & white trucks, the Yellow DART trucks with the large arrow boards & Liberty lightbars. I've listened to the 900 EDACS system but never heard them dispatched to incidents on the HOV lanes.

Thanks
Jonathan

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Tonight I confirmed freqs/tones for University Park:
>
> Fire: 852.0875 DCS 051
> Police: 851.1125 DCS 051
>
>
> Anybody figured out DART Police yet? The repeater they were on is dead. I
> wonder if they moved them to the 900 Mhz EDACS system or somewhere else.
> The 800 Mhz frequencies were not a rebanding area
>
>
> Terry

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28466. Re: University Park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-27 19:29:59 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 2209 Message: 28466

>Which radio system, or conventional channels, are the DART HOV >trucks on? Not the DCSO black & white trucks, the Yellow DART >trucks with the large arrow boards & Liberty lightbars.

They were on the DART PD 800 frequency,
but perhaps they have since moved. I used
to hear them, or so I assumed it was them,
along with HOV patrol, when I did radio
traffic. But honestly, I haven't listened
to them in three years or more.

28467. Re: what are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-27 19:37:35 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28461 Message: 28467

>but listen on 464.500 and 469.500

>Anyone have any suggestions on where to look?

Medium to strong in eastern Flower
Mound and parts of Lewisville. Got
so bad at the house that I had to lock
out both itinerant frequencies. Sounded
like the same kind of data beeps from the
water pump data stations that you sometimes
hear up around 173 mhz.

I can't imagine a business using those
frequencies in those areas of the metro
without tone...Talk about a constant headache!

28468. Chlorine Leak Rowlett

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-28 03:24:20 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28468 Message: 28468

There is a Chlorine leak at the City of Rowlett Wet Park 5300 Main Street.All of Rowlett Fire/EMS units on scene,Garland and Sachse Fire/EMS units doing mutual aid at Rowlett Stations.About 30 victims have been taken to Lake Point Hospital and Baylor of Garland by Rowlett and Garland ambulances. Rowlett ID's (3248-Dispatch,3280-Ops.1,3312-Ops.2)

28469. Johnson County LTR starting to test?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-28 05:50:44 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28469 Message: 28469

A few hours ago, I had to lock out 153.890 (Hood County Fire, Alvarado Fire, and former Dallas Fire) because of constant on-and-off keying every two seconds. Took me awhile to finally realise it was an LTR control doing it. I am assuming this is part of Johnson County's new system coming online? Seems weird that they would get coordinated for a system right on top of Hood County's system like that. They are very close, and Johnson County's keys were interfering with Hood County pretty badly.

Anyone have any info on this?

Rob

28470. Amateur Radio Field Day

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-28 15:40:23 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28470 Message: 28470

Today is Amateur Radio Field Day, and the Bedford Amateur Radio Club is already setting up a pretty impressive camp and antenna farm at the Bedford Boys Ranch, just north of the pond. If you are interested in ham radio at all, today would be a great day to stop by their setup and visit with amateur radio operators and learn more about it. I think they plan on being there until early evening.

There are plenty of other local clubs participating as well.

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2008/06/23/10177/?nc=1

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

28471. Re: Johnson County LTR starting to test?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-28 15:55:26 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28469 Message: 28471

153.890 is Ch. 1 on the Cleburne site of the Passport system.
154.445 is Ch. 2 and 155.145 is Ch. 3

I still trying to figure out why in the hell they would use the
Alvarado freqs on the Cleburne site, knowing the close proximity
to Hood County. Seems to me that the logical place for the
Alvarado freqs would have been on the Alvarado site.

Frequencies for the Alvarado site are:

Ch. 1 - 154.0925
Ch. 2 - 158.940 (still in use by Keene Fire/EMS)
Ch. 3 - 155.295 (still in use as a repeater in Venus)

On a separate note, last night Cleburne FD was dispatching
off the PD channel. Not sure if this has to do with a possible
move to the Passport system or if they were having radio problems.

Chris

> A few hours ago, I had to lock out 153.890 (Hood County Fire,
Alvarado Fire, and former Dallas Fire) because of constant on-and-off
keying every two seconds. Took me awhile to finally realise it was an
LTR control doing it. I am assuming this is part of Johnson County's
new system coming online? Seems weird that they would get coordinated
for a system right on top of Hood County's system like that. They are
very close, and Johnson County's keys were interfering with Hood
County pretty badly.
>
> Anyone have any info on this?
>
> Rob
>

28472. RE: [dfwscan] Amateur Radio Field Day

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-28 17:56:15 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28470 Message: 28472

Hey Ben, also just fyi River Oaks FD will be doing a Fireworks show at a carnival at Castleberry Elementary School, the carnival is going on all day but the fireworks should start around 10pm same time they are calling for rain in the forcast!!!

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2008 10:40
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Amateur Radio Field Day

Today is Amateur Radio Field Day, and the Bedford Amateur Radio Club is already setting up a pretty impressive camp and antenna farm at the Bedford
Boys Ranch, just north of the pond. If you are interested in ham radio at
all, today would be a great day to stop by their setup and visit with amateur radio operators and learn more about it. I think they plan on being
there until early evening.

There are plenty of other local clubs participating as well.

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2008/06/23/10177/?nc=1
<http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2008/06/23/10177/?nc=1>

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28473. plane crash

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-28 20:34:51 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 2097 Message: 28473

KFTW Meacham is shut down for a plane crash (I am being told it was a Cessna)

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28474. Re: plane crash

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-28 22:20:40 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 2097 Message: 28474

> KFTW Meacham is shut down for a plane crash (I am being told it was a
> Cessna)

What is KFTW? A radio station at Meacham?

28475. RE: [dfwscan] Re: plane crash

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-28 22:22:26 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 2097 Message: 28475

KFTW is the airport identification, all airports have a code with FAA

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dustdevil31
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2008 17:21
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: plane crash

> KFTW Meacham is shut down for a plane crash (I am being told it was a
> Cessna)

What is KFTW? A radio station at Meacham?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28476. CareFlite 3 Model

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-28 22:44:04 UTC
From: ldlorance
Topic: 28476 Message: 28476

http://collectiblereviews.net/careflite/careflite3.jpg

My latest.

28477. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-29 00:17:15 UTC
From: eritzie@swbell.net
Topic: 28231 Message: 28477

Here is a little more info on the "mysterious" control channel on 155.505. I made a trip out to Frys on 635 northeast of DFW and got a good strong signal in that area. I put my GRE PSR 600 in tuning mode and auto modulation to see what it would decode. It decoded as a P25 channel, I am assuming a control channel since it is continuous. It decoded a NAC of 007, and briefly a WACN of 092 (I think, it was very quick and I never saw it again). It never did give a system ID or any other info. I also never heard the sound change like I would expect it to if there was voice traffic on the system like the Motorola control channels do. I only listened for a few minutes, and then turned the volume down and left it there for awhile while driving back, glancing at the display as often as I could while driving. I never saw anything change.

This does not sound like the digital signal on other DPS channels when you listen to them in analog mode- to me those sound more like static. It does sound like this:

http://www.radioreference.com/digital/dig/mot-new.wav

I can ocaasionally here this channel while mobile in the North Richland Hills area, but it is sporadic and usually very, very scratchy and decodes as a 3600 baud Motorola control channel. I cannot receive anything at home with a discone in the attic with LMR 400 that receives most everything else that I would expect to hear fairly well.

Eric

---------- Original message from <blaine.brooks@...>: ----------

> Yeah, pretty sure. I have a GRE 600, plus the transmissions are >continuous, not intermittent like voice traffic would be. It >sounds exactly like a Motorola control channel to me.

Ok. Hopefully these will not sound like stupid questions, but:

When you are listening to this frequency, do you
have your GRE 600 in auto mode tuning or FM mode?
If you have in auto, try turning it to FM. See what
it does.

How strong is the signal? So when you program it in,
and are listening, all you hear is what sounds like a
trunking control channel. So, there has never been
any decoding of the signal into a voice element (like
the scanner is attempting to decode the P25 "buzzsaw"
but the signal is just not strong enough into the
scanner)? Occasionally, this will happen on digital
scanners (happened on my PRO-96 and happens also on
my PSR-500). Occurs when distant signals (such as -
for me - Grayson County or DPS) gets into the radio,
and the radio just doesn't have enough signal strength

to decode. So it justs defaults into the "buzzsaw", but never clear voice. Digital signals are very fickle with these scanners. The scanners themselves are excellent at decoding, however, you have to have a good signal level going into them. Faint signals or even borderline don't cut it most of the time.

Lastly, are you hearing it on the duckie antenna or an outdoor elevated setup?

Blaine Brooks

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28478. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Anyone else hearing this

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-29 03:27:50 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28231 Message: 28478

Hi Eric & Group,

I haven't heard the 155.505 transmission/data yet but have noticed (what sounds like) the same described signal on 159.09 about a week or two ago. For two days it was sporadically there, but it wasn't constantly there. I'm not really sure what it was. It sounded slightly different than the RR.com link posted but it didn't sound exactly like an analog scanner tuning in a P25 transmission either. Both frequencies are DPS so...?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of eritzie@...
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2008 7:17 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Anyone else hearing this

Here is a little more info on the "mysterious" control channel on 155.505. I made a trip out to Frys on 635 northeast of DFW and got a good strong signal in that area. I put my GRE PSR 600 in tuning mode and auto modulation to see what it would decode. It decoded as a P25 channel, I am assuming a control channel since it is continuous. It decoded a NAC of 007, and briefly a WACN of 092 (I think, it was very quick and I never saw it again). It never did give a system ID or any other info. I also never heard the sound change like I would expect it to if there was voice traffic on the system like the Motorola control channels do. I only listened for a few minutes, and then turned the volume down and left it there for awhile while driving back, glancing at the display as often as I could while driving. I never saw anything change.

This does not sound like the digital signal on other DPS channels when you listen to them in analog mode- to me those sound more like static. It does sound like this:

http://www.radioreference.com/digital/dig/mot-new.wav

I can ocaasionally here this channel while mobile in the North Richland Hills area, but it is sporadic and usually very, very scratchy and decodes as a 3600 baud Motorola control channel. I cannot receive anything at home with a discone in the attic with LMR 400 that receives most everything else that I would expect to hear fairly well.

Eric

---------- Original message from <blaine.brooks@...>:

> Yeah, pretty sure. I have a GRE 600, plus the transmissions are
>continuous, not intermittent like voice traffic would be. It >sounds
exactly like a Motorola control channel to me.

Ok. Hopefully these will not sound like stupid questions, but:

When you are listening to this frequency, do you
have your GRE 600 in auto mode tuning or FM mode?
If you have in auto, try turning it to FM. See what
it does.

How strong is the signal? So when you program it in,
and are listening, all you hear is what sounds like a
trunking control channel. So, there has never been
any decoding of the signal into a voice element (like
the scanner is attempting to decode the P25 "buzzsaw"
but the signal is just not strong enough into the
scanner)? Occasionally, this will happen on digital
scanners (happened on my PRO-96 and happens also on
my PSR-500). Occurs when distant signals (such as -
for me - Grayson County or DPS) gets into the radio,
and the radio just doesn't have enough signal strength
to decode. So it justs defaults into the "buzzsaw", but
never clear voice. Digital signals are very fickle with
these scanners. The scanners themselves are excellent
at decoding, however, you have to have a good signal
level going into them. Faint signals or even borderline
don't cut it most of the time.

Lastly, are you hearing it on the duckie antenna or
an outdoor elevated setup?

Blaine Brooks

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28479. Unidentified Repeater on 154.055 near Rockwall

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-29 03:51:09 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28479 Message: 28479

Tonight I began receiving a fairly strong repeater on 154.055, which is the Azle Fire primary channel. Male and female units numbered in the 9000 series, all sounded like they were working some kind of street festival with "bike patrols", "fire watch", "missing child", "show time", and "backstage". They also mentioned being able to see fireworks from Rockwall. I don't see anyone except for City Of Athens public works licensed for that frequency that is anywhere near Rockwall, and Athens isn't licensed for a repeater.

Anyone know who or what this was?

Rob

28480. RE: [dfwscan] Unidentified Repeater on 154.055 near Rockwall

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-29 04:26:14 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28479 Message: 28480

Hey Rob & Group,

There may be some skip/ducting going on tonight. I'm hearing some UHF traffic I'm not familiar with.

Did you get a PL tone on the 154.055 frequency? Here's what I have for the frequency:

154.0550 136.5 Keene PD/FD Johnson
154.0550 77.0 Azle PD/FD Parker
154.0550 88.5 Blue Mound PD/EMS Tarrant

Everything else I have for this frequency is much farther out (i.e. Longview PD) and may not even be accurate information/up-to-date anymore.

I'm listening to 464.225 with a DCS of 165. It is a mall security and J.C. Penny's, AMC Theater, Jack in the Box, etc have all been mentioned. This is humorous really. These guys call in to "surveillance" and they seem to be bent on kicking everybody out of the mall for violations. "APD" is on scene for a criminal trespass deal with three black males right now and a woman was told to leave the mall about an hour ago because her skirt was too short and she was playing with kids in the kiddy playground.

The signal is starting to fade now and is not clear as it's been the last 1 1/2 hours. I wish I knew who this was just to add it to my database :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2008 10:51 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Unidentified Repeater on 154.055 near Rockwall

Tonight I began receiving a fairly strong repeater on 154.055, which is the Azle Fire primary channel. Male and female units numbered in the 9000 series, all sounded like they were working some kind of street festival with "bike patrols", "fire watch", "missing child", "show time", and "backstage". They also mentioned being able to see fireworks from Rockwall. I don't see anyone except for City Of Athens public works licensed for that frequency that is anywhere near Rockwall, and Athens isn't licensed for a repeater.

Anyone know who or what this was?

Rob

28481. RE: [dfwscan] Unidentified Repeater on 154.055 near Rockwall

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-29 05:02:05 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28479 Message: 28481

Ooops...I better correct myself before someone else does :) I've already made the change in my database for Azle FD to reflect "Tarrant" County. I seem to associate Azle with Parker County even though I know it's considered Tarrant County. I do believe part of it falls in Parker County though...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2008 11:26 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Unidentified Repeater on 154.055 near Rockwall

Hey Rob & Group,

There may be some skip/ducting going on tonight. I'm hearing some UHF traffic I'm not familiar with.

Did you get a PL tone on the 154.055 frequency? Here's what I have for the frequency:

154.0550 136.5 Keene PD/FD Johnson
154.0550 77.0 Azle PD/FD Parker
154.0550 88.5 Blue Mound PD/EMS Tarrant

Everything else I have for this frequency is much farther out (i.e. Longview PD) and may not even be accurate information/up-to-date anymore.

I'm listening to 464.225 with a DCS of 165. It is a mall security and J.C. Penny's, AMC Theater, Jack in the Box, etc have all been mentioned. This is humorous really. These guys call in to "surveillance" and they seem to be bent on kicking everybody out of the mall for violations. "APD" is on scene for a criminal trespass deal with three black males right now and a woman was told to leave the mall about an hour ago because her skirt was too short and she was playing with kids in the kiddy playground.

The signal is starting to fade now and is not clear as it's been the last 1 1/2 hours. I wish I knew who this was just to add it to my database :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

28482. Re: University Park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-29 15:04:55 UTC
From: Bill Manning
Topic: 2209 Message: 28482

In addition to the rebanded University Park FD and PD frequencies, Southern Methodist University DPS is now on 854.7125. University Park Public Works is 852.6125.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28483. Fort Worth Homicide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-29 19:24:02 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28483 Message: 28483

Hi Group,

Did anyone catch the homicide that just occurred in Fort Worth? I'm not finding it on any patrol or talk channels right now but the Crime Scene units are saying there is a body lying in the driveway and it is a definite homicide... I'm just curious if anyone heard/hears the details what it is all about.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28484. 154.0550

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-29 19:42:15 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28484 Message: 28484

That frequency is active right now. Picking it up nice and clear here in Royse City.

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2008 2:24:02 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Fort Worth Homicide

Hi Group,

Did anyone catch the homicide that just occurred in Fort Worth? I'm not finding it on any patrol or talk channels right now but the Crime Scene units are saying there is a body lying in the driveway and it is a definite homicide... I'm just curious if anyone heard/hears the details what it is all about.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28485. RE: [dfwscan] 154.0550

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-29 22:33:12 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 28484 Message: 28485

Hello Jason and the Group!
You are picking up one of the active Rowlett Fire Department
Frequencies, also currently being used at this time by the Rowlett CERT
Team.
We have the Rowlett Freedom Fest and Amateur Radio Field Day going on in
Rowlett this weekend.
154.055MHz is being used by the Rowlett CERT Team and 441.325Mhz is
being used by the Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. to provide additional volunteer aid
and support for the welfare of everyone out here at Pecan Park in
Rowlett, Texas.
Having Fun in the Sun!
There is a carnival, various vendors, music, and concert with fireworks
later tonight.
Happy Listening!
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the
Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 823
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: [dfwscan] 154.0550
> From: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
> Date: Sun, June 29, 2008 2:42 pm
> To: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>, dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> That frequency is active right now. Picking it up nice and clear here in Royse City.
> Jason
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2008 2:24:02 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Fort Worth Homicide
> Hi Group,
> Did anyone catch the homicide that just occurred in Fort Worth? I'm not
> finding it on any patrol or talk channels right now but the Crime Scene
> units are saying there is a body lying in the driveway and it is a definite
> homicide... I'm just curious if anyone heard/hears the details what it is
> all about.

> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

28486. Re: Fort Worth Homicide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-30 04:03:43 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 28483 Message: 28486

Brian,

There was two things I heard and didnt catch anymore details. First thing I heard was PD req FD for CO2 testing for a car running in a garage, then there was a drive by in east side. As usual the patrol channels were quite about it all. I did hear on the CSSU ch. about the body in the drive way but do not remember if it was before or after the drive by (CO2 came first.)

Maybe there will be something about it later?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Hi Group,
>
>
>
> Did anyone catch the homicide that just occurred in Fort Worth? I'm not
> finding it on any patrol or talk channels right now but the Crime Scene
> units are saying there is a body lying in the driveway and it is a
definite
> homicide... I'm just curious if anyone heard/hears the details what
it is
> all about.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28487. Re: Fort Worth Homicide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-30 04:12:26 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 28483 Message: 28487

Just after typing that I read the news. That was the "drive by" I was mentioning. I was listening before PD was o/s til after they requested CSSU. They never did mention anything about the situation other than what you heard on CSSU. They were running on E. Patrol/2160. again there wasn't much, PD never closed the channel.

Seems like they are getting more and more low key here lately. It is hard to follow or catch much anymore.

28488. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Fort Worth Homicide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-30 04:19:21 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28483 Message: 28488

Pet peeve: That would be CO testing, not CO2. CO2, or carbon dioxide, is contained in the air we breathe every day. Carbon MONoxide (CO) is the toxic gas.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cltx11
Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2008 11:04 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Fort Worth Homicide

Brian,

There was two things I heard and didnt catch anymore details. First thing I heard was PD req FD for CO2 testing for a car running in a garage, then there was a drive by in east side. As usual the patrol channels were quite about it all. I did hear on the CSSU ch. about the body in the drive way but do not remember if it was before or after the drive by (CO2 came first.)

Maybe there will be something about it later?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Hi Group,
>
>
>
> Did anyone catch the homicide that just occurred in Fort Worth? I'm not
> finding it on any patrol or talk channels right now but the Crime Scene
> units are saying there is a body lying in the driveway and it is a definite
> homicide... I'm just curious if anyone heard/hears the details what it is
> all about.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG.
Version: 8.0.100 / Virus Database: 0.0.0/0 - Release Date: 12:00 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28489. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Fort Worth Homicide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-30 07:22:04 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28483 Message: 28489

Hi All,

I'd set the DVR to record Fox 4 at 5 PM on Sunday and watched it a little earlier. According to Fil Alvarado, the on scene reporter, a few guys were working on a car in a long driveway in the 4200 block of Little John. Originally, the call went out as a drive-by but when PD got there it wound up being that a person had walked up and shot one of the guys working on the car. He reportedly was not a resident of the address and was in town visiting that day. There was no mention of robbery on the news, and just taking a wild guess with no facts to back it up, I'd bet it was either a gang deal or a revenge deal. Hopefully there'll be some sort of follow-up on the news.

Thanks for the reply...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cltx11
Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2008 11:04 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Fort Worth Homicide

Brian,

There was two things I heard and didnt catch anymore details. First thing I heard was PD req FD for CO2 testing for a car running in a garage, then there was a drive by in east side. As usual the patrol channels were quite about it all. I did hear on the CSSU ch. about the body in the drive way but do not remember if it was before or after the drive by (CO2 came first.)

Maybe there will be something about it later?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Hi Group,
>
>
>
> Did anyone catch the homicide that just occurred in Fort Worth? I'm not
> finding it on any patrol or talk channels right now but the Crime Scene
> units are saying there is a body lying in the driveway and it is a

definite
> homicide... I'm just curious if anyone heard/hears the details what
it is
> all about.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>

28490. Paris Texas Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-30 11:27:02 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28490 Message: 28490

Know there are some Paris folks here. Just in case anyone was listening they had a really nice fire. It's up on youtube:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wv9dIAaMiAk
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wv9dIAaMiAk&feature=related>
&feature=related

That's video one, there's 3. The 3rd has a nice either collapse or flashover (lots of fire coming at the camera).

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28491. Re: Fort Worth Homicide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-30 23:07:34 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28483 Message: 28491

>Seems like they are getting more and more low key here lately. It >is hard to follow or catch much anymore.

More than likely a ton of stuff is passed
over cell phones, pagers, text, or the MDT's.
Look at it this way...except for an emergency,
there is really no need to relay any voice
traffic on the radio on a routine or crime
scene investigation. Details, location,
pretty much everything, can be relayed over
MDT or by other means than the radio.

28492. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Fort Worth Homicide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-06-30 23:11:15 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 28483 Message: 28492

Cell phones are heavily used by Detectives in FTW. Most of the sensitive stuff doesnt go out on the radio as mentioned by Blaine.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Mon, 6/30/08, blaine.brooks@... <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:

From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net <blaine.brooks@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Fort Worth Homicide
To: "cltx11" <cltx11@...>, dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Monday, June 30, 2008, 6:07 PM

>Seems like they are getting more and more low key here lately. It >is
hard to follow or catch much anymore.

More than likely a ton of stuff is passed
over cell phones, pagers, text, or the MDT's.
Look at it this way...except for an emergency,
there is really no need to relay any voice
traffic on the radio on a routine or crime
scene investigation. Details, location,
pretty much everything, can be relayed over
MDT or by other means than the radio.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28493. 464.225 Mall Security

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-01 03:51:06 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 28493 Message: 28493

Sounds like this is The Parks at Arlington on South Collins near I-20.
WQFT224
Just looked up 464.225 on the FCC ULS Search Engine.
There is a Jack-in-the-Box just to the north, and AMC and JC Penney are part
of the mall.
As for APD, what can I say...
Now about the lady with the short skirt. All I can figure is that she must
be the type that it just doesn't work well for her. If she was
good-looking, what guy in their right mind would throw them out?
Maybe it wasn't a guy, but an insecure gal with a badge.

----- Original Message -----
From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2008 11:26 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Unidentified Repeater on 154.055 near Rockwall
...

> I'm listening to 464.225 with a DCS of 165. It is a mall security and
> J.C.
> Penny's, AMC Theater, Jack in the Box, etc have all been mentioned. This
> is
> humorous really. These guys call in to "surveillance" and they seem to be
> bent on kicking everybody out of the mall for violations. "APD" is on
> scene
> for a criminal trespass deal with three black males right now and a woman
> was told to leave the mall about an hour ago because her skirt was too
> short
> and she was playing with kids in the kiddy playground.
...
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of
> rob.davis@...
> Sent: Saturday, June 28, 2008 10:51 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Unidentified Repeater on 154.055 near Rockwall
>
> Tonight I began receiving a fairly strong repeater on 154.055, which is
> the
> Azle Fire primary channel. Male and female units numbered in the 9000
> series, all sounded like they were working some kind of street festival

> with
> "bike patrols", "fire watch", "missing child", "show time", and
> "backstage".
> They also mentioned being able to see fireworks from Rockwall. I don't
> see
> anyone except for City Of Athens public works licensed for that frequency
> that is anywhere near Rockwall, and Athens isn't licensed for a repeater.
>
> Anyone know who or what this was?
>
> Rob
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

28494. Dallas Police Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-01 04:35:30 UTC
From: nolimit2004042004
Topic: 28494 Message: 28494

Does Anyone happend to know the frequency for i belive City Wide? or is that just Dallas Police??

Someone please let me know thanks!!

28495. City Wide Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-01 04:37:59 UTC
From: nolimit2004042004
Topic: 28495 Message: 28495

Im looking to see if anyone happens or knows the City Wide Frequency!!

If so please let me know thanks!

28496. Re: City Wide Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-01 05:02:45 UTC
From: scottbomb
Topic: 28495 Message: 28496

It's a talkgroup on the 800 MHz City of Dallas trunked system. See radioreference.com

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "nolimit2004042004" <nolimit2004042004@...> wrote:
>
> Im looking to see if anyone happens or knows the City Wide Frequency!!
>
> If so please let me know thanks!
>

28497. Re: Dallas Police Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-01 08:14:26 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 28494 Message: 28497

Dallas PD Ch 10, 460.225 is known as the city wide channel.

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "nolimit2004042004"
<nolimit20040420004@...> wrote:
>
> Does Anyone happend to know the frequency for i belive City Wide? or
is
> that just Dallas Police??
>
> Someone please let me know thanks!!
>

28498. Re: 464.225 Mall Security

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-02 00:17:18 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 28493 Message: 28498

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...> wrote:
>
> Sounds like this is The Parks at Arlington on South Collins near I-20.
> WQFT224
> Just looked up 464.225 on the FCC ULS Search Engine.
> There is a Jack-in-the-Box just to the north, and AMC and JC Penney
are part
> of the mall.
> As for APD, what can I say...
> Now about the lady with the short skirt. All I can figure is that
she must
> be the type that it just doesn't work well for her. If she was
> good-looking, what guy in their right mind would throw them out?
> Maybe it wasn't a guy, but an insecure gal with a badge.

I checked my verified frequency list and what I have for that frequency and tone is the maintenance frequency for the Parks At Arlington. I have a big list of frequencies that I verified for the DFW area as well as my frequency range scan lists and retail scans on my web page if anyone is interested.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

28499. Garland Police/Richardson Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-02 03:38:50 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28499 Message: 28499

GPD and Richardson have setup a task force on the drive by shootings in Garland,Richardson.Garland on Tac.1(112) and Gang Unit (3760).Richardson Police on Tac.1(12-022)They are in the Jupiter Road/Walnut and Jupiter Beltline area.

Mike in Garland

28500. RE: [dfwscan] Garland Police/Richardson Police

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-02 09:31:53 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28499 Message: 28500

It worked! The suspect is in custody! Here's the release:

SUPECT IN MULTIPLE SHOOTINGS ARRESTED

Garland Police have in custody a 22 year old male they believe is responsible for six shootings that started Sunday afternoon in Garland and expanded into Mesquite, Richardson and Plano over a three day period.

Garland, Mesquite and Richardson Police saturated an area on the Garland, Richardson and Plano boarders Tuesday afternoon in an effort to locate the shooter who had been shooting at occupied vehicles at various intersections along with one possible shooting on IH 635.

Early Tuesday evening the suspect struck again on George Bush Turnpike in Plano on the Richardson boarder. Police verified from witness accounts the shots were fired from a black 92-98 Honda Prelude with an oversized chrome exhaust.

At 9:05 pm Tuesday night a black Honda Prelude pulled into the parking lot of a Garland restaurant located in the 4500 block of West Walnut, only a ½ block from one of the shootings that occurred on Sunday. According to witnesses the driver stopped the car, got out, walked several steps towards the restaurant, pointed a pistol toward the west wall and fired two rounds into the wall just below the windows. He then turned, walked back to the Honda, got in and drove away. The bullets did not penetrate the restaurant wall and no one was injured.

At approximately 10:30 pm a Garland Police Officer spotted a black Honda Prelude on Lawler and Yale, approximately ½ mile from the restaurant shooting. He made a traffic stop on the vehicle and the male driver matched the description of the person who had shot at the restaurant. He was taken into custody without incident. A pistol was found inside the car believed to be the weapon used in the shootings.

The man is being held in the Garland Jail and is facing charges for the Garland offenses of; 2 counts-Aggravated Assault-Deadly Weapon, 2 counts-Endangering a Child, 1- Deadly Conduct. Bond has not been set at this time.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Tuesday, July 01, 2008 10:39 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Garland Police/Richardson Police

GPD and Richardson have setup a task force on the drive by shootings in Garland,Richardson.Garland on Tac.1(112) and Gang Unit (3760).Richardson Police on Tac.1(12-022)They are in the Jupiter Road/Walnut and Jupiter Beltline area.

Mike in Garland

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see: http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28501. Fire in Hove Lane

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-03 02:46:49 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28501 Message: 28501

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pHf2yur0QyI

Is it just me or has there been a lot more wrecks on 75 since they put in the HOV lane.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28503. Wreck on Central PHI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-03 03:41:39 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28503 Message: 28503

This also happened later on after the fire. Also another HOV accident.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UHHsdAHejSQ

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28504. Richardson, TX scanning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-04 03:42:52 UTC
From: Dominick
Topic: 28504 Message: 28504

Need help programing my scanner (BCD396T)

I programed these Frequencies in as EDACS Standard (Wide?)

Simulcast
01 867.2000 02 867.2500 03 867.2750 04 867.3000 05 867.3250
06 867.3500 07 867.3750

12-021 Rich PD Disp
12-022 Rich PD Tac1
12-023 Rich PD Tac2
12-024 Rich PD Tac3

but I hear nothing!

28505. RE: Fire in Hove Lane

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-04 05:21:07 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28501 Message: 28505

On Thursday, July 3, 2008, Jason Simpkins said:
>
> Is it just me or has there been a lot more wrecks on 75 since they put in the HOV
> lane.

Yeah, it's a universal phenomenon that is so obvious that the Texas Transportation Institute commissioned a study on the matter in 2005. The results were obvious, that HOVs are an abject FAILURE, and create more problems than they solve. Yet, far be it for state or local government to just say "no" to any stupid idea that will net them federal dollars. Consequently, we're stuck with stinkers like DARE, fire-based EMS, Affirmative Action, and HOV lanes forever.

Rob

==========
From: http://www.thenewspaper.com/news/02/292.asp

Study: HOV/Carpool Lanes Cause Accidents
Texas Transportation Institute study finds that non buffer-separated HOV lanes cause a 50 percent increase in injury accidents.

A newly released Texas Transportation Institute study suggests that High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) or carpool lanes that are not separated from regular lanes with a physical barrier experience a 41-56 percent increase in injury accidents. Almost all new freeway lanes are set aside for HOV use only because federal environmental regulations strongly favor their use.

The main benefit promised by HOV lanes -- faster travel for carpoolers -- is what causes the accidents, according to the study. During peak traffic times, the speed differential between the regular lanes and HOV lanes ranges between 21 and 35 MPH. For example, a slower car trying to merge into the HOV lane may be rear-ended by faster moving HOV traffic that cannot slow down in time. Overall, the general purpose traffic lane closest to the HOV lanes experienced a 153-188 percent increase in injury accidents.

The study examined 1,150 individual crash reports from the I-35 and LBJ Freeways in Dallas, Texas from 1997-2000.

Full text of study available below in a 1.8mb PDF file.

Key Statistic:
Dallas corridors with buffer-separated concurrent flow HOV lanes did show a change in crash occurrence with an increase in injury crash rates. The IH-35E North corridor experienced
a 56 percent increase in the injury crash rate. The IH-635 corridor experienced a 41 percent
increase in the injury crash rate. [Page 2]

The average number of injury crashes in
Lane 1 of IH-35E from 1997-2000 is 153 percent higher than the 1990-1994 average. The average number of injury crashes number in Lane 1 of IH-635 from 1997-2000 is 188 percent higher than the 1990-1994 average. An increase in the other general-purpose lanes was noted for
both corridors; but, the increase is not as substantial as the Lane 1 increase. [Page 30]

Article Excerpt:
The research team identified three key findings from the crash data analysis of Dallas corridors with buffer-separated concurrent flow HOV lanes.
Increase in injury crash rate
Increase in injury crashes primarily focused in the HOV lane and the adjacent general-purpose lane (Lane 1).
Increase in injury crashes is likely due to the speed differential between the HOV lane and the general-purpose lanes. The general-purpose lanes experience congestion during peak periods, while the HOV lanes usually operate at the speed limit.
[Page 3]

The increase in injury crash occurrences in Dallas corridors with buffer separated HOV lanes is likely due to the speed differential between the HOV lane and the adjacent general-purpose lane. [Page 43]

Link to entire study: http://www.thenewspaper.com/rlc/docs/2005-hov.pdf

28506. Johnson County Fire System Progress -- Cleburne FD Heard

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-04 11:22:05 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28506 Message: 28506

0612am, Friday, 4 July, I just heard Cleburne Fire tone out on a medical run on 154.445. For the last few days, this frequency has been getting LTR status bursts (dead keys) every ten seconds, but no voice, except for Wise County's fire repeater coming in. This is the first time I have heard a voice transmission from anyone in Johnson County on this frequency, outside of Alvarado's input transmissions, which stopped a week ago.

By the way, this was a repeated channel I heard this morning. I heard both the dispatcher and the fire station replying.

Also hearing some sort of digital data bursts frequently on 154.145 that may be from the same system.

Rob

28507. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson, TX scanning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-04 11:34:31 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28504 Message: 28507

It would appear you have the radio programmed correctly. Here's a link to a page with all the info in case you didn't know:

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=65

Richardson's system is not very strong so you may be out of range? Check to make sure you don't have the channels locked out. Other than that I'm at a loss.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dominick
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:43 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson, TX scanning

Need help programing my scanner (BCD396T)

I programed these Frequencies in as EDACS Standard (Wide?)

Simulcast
01 867.2000 02 867.2500 03 867.2750 04 867.3000 05 867.3250
06 867.3500 07 867.3750

12-021 Rich PD Disp
12-022 Rich PD Tac1
12-023 Rich PD Tac2
12-024 Rich PD Tac3

but I hear nothing!

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28508. Re: Johnson County Fire System Progress -- Cleburne FD Heard

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-04 15:10:17 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28506 Message: 28508

Last night a little after midnight, I heard Cleburne FD run a
medical call on 154.445 and then a little later I heard Alvarado FD
on the same freq run an assault call. Did not hear Alvarado on
the input freq 153.890. So that tells me that they are on the
new system too.

Strange that Alvarado isn't using frequencies on the Alvarado site
of this system. But then again, little has made sense about
this new Passport system.

Still hearing county fire on 46.100, so their not on the system yet.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> 0612am, Friday, 4 July, I just heard Cleburne Fire tone out on a
medical run on 154.445. For the last few days, this frequency has
been getting LTR status bursts (dead keys) every ten seconds, but no
voice, except for Wise County's fire repeater coming in. This is the
first time I have heard a voice transmission from anyone in Johnson
County on this frequency, outside of Alvarado's input transmissions,
which stopped a week ago.
>
> By the way, this was a repeated channel I heard this morning. I
heard both the dispatcher and the fire station replying.
>
> Also hearing some sort of digital data bursts frequently on 154.145
that may be from the same system.
>
> Rob
>

28509. Your power supply can kill you!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-04 21:02:24 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28509 Message: 28509

Fyi.

Terry

The following is from the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission website (http://www.cpsc.gov/cpscpub/prerel/prhtml08/08319.html <http://www.cpsc.gov/cpscpub/prerel/prhtml08/08319.html>):

RadioShack Recalls Power Supplies Due to Electrocution and Fire Hazards

WASHINGTON, D.C. - The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, in cooperation with the firm named below, today announced a voluntary recall of the following consumer product. Consumers should stop using recalled products immediately unless otherwise instructed.

Name of Product: 13.8V DC Power Supplies

Units: About 160,000

Importer: RadioShack Corp., of Fort Worth, Texas

Hazard: The recalled power supplies are wired incorrectly, posing electrocution and fire hazards.

Incidents/Injuries: None reported.

Description: The recall involves RadioShack 13.8V DC Power Supplies, catalog numbers 22-507 and 22-508 with date codes from 08A04 through 01A08. Date code format is MMAYY where MM is the month and YY is the year. The catalog number and date code are located on the back of the power supply. Power Supplies with a green dot on the product and the product's packaging have already been repaired and are not included in the recall.

Sold at: RadioShack stores nationwide from October 2004 through January 2008 for between $50 and $85.

Manufactured in: China

Remedy: Consumers should unplug the recalled power supply immediately and take it to any RadioShack store for a free repair. Registered owners of the recalled power supplies will be mailed a notice.

Consumer Contact: For additional information, contact RadioShack at (800) 843-7422 anytime, or visit the firm's website at www.radioshack.com/recall

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28510. Re: [dfwscan] Your power supply can kill you!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-04 21:26:45 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28509 Message: 28510

Looks like the stock is gonna drop another $2 on this news.
> RadioShack Recalls Power Supplies Due to Electrocution and Fire Hazards
>

28511. 154.950

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 03:39:46 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28511 Message: 28511

Hey someone monitor this now.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28512. 154.950

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 03:49:11 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28511 Message: 28512

I posted the last one fast. Anyway I have this down in my scanner as Hunt County Car to Car and all the sudden I hear DPS 101 on there and they are talking to Garland. Telling Garland that their system is not working or something and if they could leave that channel open so they could reach them and relay back or something. I can't hear Garland though only DPS. They keep telling DPS 101 to go back to Garland TAC 2. DPS 101 has also been refering to some term but forgot what it was while I was typing this. So is this like a back channel to Garland or what?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28513. Re: 154.950

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 04:12:53 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28511 Message: 28513

Thats intercity Mobile, now known as TEXAS LAW 1. Its not used as
often as it use to be used but its still part of the interoperability
system.

You will find a lot or "rural" agencies still use it.

TEXAS LAW 1 154.950
TEXAS LAW 2 155.370
TEXAS LAW 3 155.475 (127.3)

KC5RHB

www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/races (Tarrant County RACES)

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> I posted the last one fast. Anyway I have this down in my scanner as
Hunt County Car to Car and all the sudden I hear DPS 101 on there and
they are talking to Garland. Telling Garland that their system is not
working or something and if they could leave that channel open so they
could reach them and relay back or something. I can't hear Garland
though only DPS. They keep telling DPS 101 to go back to Garland TAC
2. DPS 101 has also been refering to some term but forgot what it was
while I was typing this. So is this like a back channel to Garland or
what?
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28514. RE: [dfwscan] 154.950

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 04:18:51 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 28511 Message: 28514

Hello Jason and the Group!

154.950 is "Texas Law 1"
It is one of many Interoperability Radio Frequencies (channels) in
Texas.
Please see the following Link for one version of the big picture!
What you were hearing was going on in Garland at the Firewheel/190 area.
Maybe you need to get a bigger/taller antenna for better reception
further from your house?!
:-}
http://tsiec.region49.org/MOU+TSICP01-22-08.pdf

Happy Scanning!
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the
Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 823
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: [dfwscan] 154.950
> From: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
> Date: Fri, July 04, 2008 10:49 pm
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> I posted the last one fast. Anyway I have this down in my scanner as Hunt County Car to Car and all the sudden I hear DPS 101 on there and they are talking to Garland. Telling Garland that their system is not working or something and if they could leave that channel open so they could reach them and relay back or something. I can't hear Garland though only DPS. They keep telling DPS 101 to go back to Garland TAC 2. DPS 101 has also been refering to some term but forgot what it was while I was typing this. So is this like a back channel to Garland or what?
> Jason
>

28515. Re: Fire in Hove Lane

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 15:22:18 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28501 Message: 28515

That's like the wire barricade they put up on I-20 in Kaufman County.Good theory, bad application. I got in trouble for sending an email to TXDOT thanking them for increasing our call volume with that brilliant idea. They defended it like it was O.J. Simpson. Now, two years later it is gone on the eastern side of the county. Why? Probably too many claims against the state for accidents that would have been simple run off the road and no damage but the "trotline" totaled their car. Or the 18 wheler that was eastbound and crossed the 150 yard median through the trotline and into the tree line on the westbound side. Almost1/4 mile from run off to stop, and the barrier failed to do what it was designed to do. Hate to see how much they lost on that deal.

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28516. DPD SW Activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 08:17:11 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 28516 Message: 28516

It's 03:00 hours, and there's a lot of activity on Climer (or Klimer).
I set my scanner's mode to CT and it identified 173.8. Is this
indicative of a trunk system?

--
Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com

Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

28517. Re: 154.950

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 15:29:38 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28511 Message: 28517

It used to be called Statewide Mobile. If they use it like the old days Garland was probably answering them on 155.370(Intercity).

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28518. Johnson County Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 17:15:53 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 28518 Message: 28518

I can confirm that Cleburne FD is definitely up and online with the system.
Can't speak for any other FDs. There has been some problems along the way
and more will follow, I am sure.

Last night was a busy, crazy night...

Gary

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28519. Re: Fire in Hove Lane

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 17:17:28 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28501 Message: 28519

>I know they've had problems in Atlanta

Atlanta native here, but they incorporated
HOV LONG after I moved away, so I can't speak
for that. However, I CAN tell you traffic there
is HELL. MUCH worse than DFW. I used to sit
in it, and report on it...:)

>It's only a solid line , not a real
>barrier

Exactly. It's meant to be abused and
cheated on simply by design. Morons
who ever thought it up. A solid line
does NOTHING to enforce.

I can only use St. Louis as an example,
but THERE they did it right. A big
concrete barrier dividing the HOV lane
from the main lanes of the highway. In
other words, when you enter the HOV lane
brother, you are committed!. There ain't
no weaving in and out of the HOV and fast
lane to get around people and all that BS.
You only enter and exit at certain points.
You don't just hop on and enter/exit
wherever you damn well please.

Yeah, it's makes for a nightmare if there
is a bad wreck in the HOV lanes, because
you're basically stuck there. But, on
the flip side, you don't have idiots
swerving in an out on rice rockets going
100+, or their new Expeditions or Hummers,
just because they think they're &*^$!
doesn't stink, and they don't have to sit
in traffic just like everyone else!!.

28520. Re: DPD SW Activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 17:48:38 UTC
From: blaine.brooks@verizon.net
Topic: 28516 Message: 28520

>I set my scanner's mode to CT and it identified 173.8. Is this
>indicative of a trunk system?

173.8 is just the CTCSS tone used. Dallas
PD does not operate on a trunked system,
except for some Deployment Units who
have their own discrete talkgroups on
the City Of Dallas Local Government
system. General patrol though - no -
that is not trunked.

28521. Re: Johnson County Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 20:42:01 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28518 Message: 28521

Alvarado is online as well. Heard them running a few grass fire
calls last night. Some of the calls were in their district and others
were mutual aid with Keene FD.

Cleburne FD had to put out one grass fire that was caused by the
fireworks show out at Lake Pat Cleburne.

So far all I've heard on the new system is
Cleburne FD and Alvarado FD. Shouldn't be long before
county fire goes on-line, I would presume.

Chris

- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Gary Moseley" <gary.moseley@...> wrote:

>
> I can confirm that Cleburne FD is definitely up and online with the
system.
> Can't speak for any other FDs. There has been some problems along
the way
> and more will follow, I am sure.
>
> Last night was a busy, crazy night...
>
> Gary
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28522. Scanner Scam in Hutchins?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 22:17:13 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28522 Message: 28522

A guy over on Radio Reference got a FedEx delivery slip supposedly for a Bearcat Scanner, sent by "VAV" in Hutchins, Texas. The guy did not order a scanner, and knows nothing about it. Anyone know anything about "VAV" or heard of any kind of scam going on like this? I've never heard of anything good coming out of Hutchins, so I smell a scam.

Here's the thread: http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=111276

Rob

28523. RE: [dfwscan] Scanner Scam in Hutchins?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-05 23:31:26 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 28522 Message: 28523

I think I resent that. :)

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf
Of rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Sent: Saturday, July 05, 2008 5:17 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Scanner Scam in Hutchins?

A guy over on Radio Reference got a FedEx delivery slip supposedly for a Bearcat Scanner, sent by "VAV" in Hutchins, Texas. The guy did not order a scanner, and knows nothing about it. Anyone know anything about "VAV" or heard of any kind of scam going on like this? I've never heard of anything good coming out of Hutchins, so I smell a scam.

Here's the thread: http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=111276

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28524. RE: [dfwscan] Your power supply can kill you!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-06 01:08:16 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28509 Message: 28524

Maybe it needs to drop to wake them up :) With Walmart (not Wal-Mart or Wal*Mart anymore) and other companies revamping their names and images, I've been thinking Radio Shack needs to change their name to Sat Shack or Cell Shack because they're barely in the "radio" part of the business anymore...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Friday, July 04, 2008 4:27 PM
To: DFWSCAN
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Your power supply can kill you!

Looks like the stock is gonna drop another $2 on this news.
> RadioShack Recalls Power Supplies Due to Electrocution and Fire Hazards
>

28525. RE: [dfwscan] 154.950

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-06 01:27:48 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28511 Message: 28525

Sansom Park PD and a few other western Tarrant County agencies still use it sometimes. I know many of these same agencies (most using 453 & 460 MHz frequencies) still have 155.37 in their dispatch centers, but most (if not all) of them never can figure it out when they're trying to talk with FWPD's Air 1 or another agency. I've heard Lake Worth PD wait 30 minutes on a perp search for a Tarrant County SO unit to come out and assist with talking to Air 1. LWPD (who still has 154.95/155.37) wanted to leave one of their officers with a TCSO unit and use TARANT1 to talk to Air 1. Needless to say, after 30 minutes and Air 1 circling the area while becoming perturbed (they know how to use 154.95/155.37 and even tried in this case), once contact was made and details were given, everyone knew the use of Air 1 was pretty much not needed anymore so Air 1 left after 5 minutes. But what can you do but listen?

I think so many of the dispatchers have probably come along after the heavy use of the "Intercity" 154.95/155.37 days (pre TRS days) that they just don't know about it or get trained on how it works. But it does still appear to be around in many police cars and in dispatch centers but it just isn't used very much...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Friday, July 04, 2008 10:40 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] 154.950

Hey someone monitor this now.

Jason

28526. Re: Your power supply can kill you!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-06 04:05:34 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28509 Message: 28526

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
> Maybe it needs to drop to wake them up :) With Walmart (not Wal-Mart or
> Wal*Mart anymore) and other companies revamping their names and images, I've
> been thinking Radio Shack needs to change their name to Sat Shack or Cell
> Shack because they're barely in the "radio" part of the business anymore...

I don't think Rat Shack is long for the business world, myself. I don't see how they can stay in business without SERIOUSLY reworking their business model. And obviously, radio communications products (cellphones excluded) have no place in their plans. You can't even buy a scanner antenna at most of them anymore. They just tell you they're all "web only" items now rather than even look for it.

I went into a Rat Shack recently to buy a coax jumper. The salesman glanced down at the Bearcat 996 on my belt and immediately started trying to sell me "a better cellphone". He had no idea that it was a scanner. Nuff said.

But yeah, Cellphone and iPod Shack would be a much more appropriate moniker these days, and would certainly attract them more of the business they are attempting to cater to.

Rob

28527. Re: Scanner Scam in Hutchins?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-06 15:19:09 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 28522 Message: 28527

It may be a scam of some kind. I've never heard of "VAV" either.
Whether that's someone's initials, or supposedly a company, who knows.
However, the shipping point in Hutchins is a major hub for Fedex
ground. Whoever shipped it may have dropped it off there, or at a drop
off box, & Hutchins is the first place it was really 'scanned'.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> A guy over on Radio Reference got a FedEx delivery slip supposedly
for a Bearcat Scanner, sent by "VAV" in Hutchins, Texas. The guy did
not order a scanner, and knows nothing about it. Anyone know anything
about "VAV" or heard of any kind of scam going on like this? I've
never heard of anything good coming out of Hutchins, so I smell a scam.
>
> Here's the thread:
http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=111276
>
> Rob
>

28528. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Scanner Scam in Hutchins?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-07 01:32:25 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28522 Message: 28528

This sounds simple, if they want money refuse it and tell FexEx it's a scam deal so they can warn their security. If no money is attached open it! You may have gotten lucky. Bottom line is someone does contact you and ask give it back if not, bonus!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hiegtx
Sent: Sunday, July 06, 2008 10:19 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanner Scam in Hutchins?

It may be a scam of some kind. I've never heard of "VAV" either. Whether that's someone's initials, or supposedly a company, who knows. However, the shipping point in Hutchins is a major hub for Fedex ground. Whoever shipped it may have dropped it off there, or at a drop off box, & Hutchins is the first place it was really 'scanned'.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> A guy over on Radio Reference got a FedEx delivery slip supposedly for a Bearcat Scanner, sent by "VAV" in Hutchins, Texas. The guy did not order a scanner, and knows nothing about it. Anyone know anything about "VAV" or heard of any kind of scam going on like this? I've never heard of anything good coming out of Hutchins, so I smell a scam.
>
> Here's the thread:
http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=111276
>
> Rob
>

28529. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Scanner Scam in Hutchins?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-07 01:42:45 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 28522 Message: 28529

Here's the bottom line: Open the box in the presence of the Fedex
delivery person.

If it's really a scanner with no C.O.D. charges, accept it.
If it's a scam without a radio, refuse delivery. You now have a witness to it.
If it's a bomb that goes off, take satisfaction in knowing that the
cops will work twice as hard to solve a double homicide than the
single death of a dumb guy opening a suspicious package.

Toby

At 08:32 PM 7/6/2008, Terry wrote:

>This sounds simple, if they want money refuse it and tell FexEx it's a scam
>deal so they can warn their security. If no money is attached open it! You
>may have gotten lucky. Bottom line is someone does contact you and ask give
>it back if not, bonus!
>
>Terry
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>hiegtx
>Sent: Sunday, July 06, 2008 10:19 AM
>To: <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Scanner Scam in Hutchins?
>
>It may be a scam of some kind. I've never heard of "VAV" either.
>Whether that's someone's initials, or supposedly a company, who knows.
>However, the shipping point in Hutchins is a major hub for Fedex
>ground. Whoever shipped it may have dropped it off there, or at a drop
>off box, & Hutchins is the first place it was really 'scanned'.
>
>--- In <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com,
>"rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
> >
> > A guy over on Radio Reference got a FedEx delivery slip supposedly
>for a Bearcat Scanner, sent by "VAV" in Hutchins, Texas. The guy did
>not order a scanner, and knows nothing about it. Anyone know anything
>about "VAV" or heard of any kind of scam going on like this? I've
>never heard of anything good coming out of Hutchins, so I smell a scam.
> >
> > Here's the thread:
><http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=111276>http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=111276

> >
> > Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28530. Re: Fire in Hove Lane

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-07 03:33:34 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 28501 Message: 28530

I think that problems with HOV lanes and traffic will decline as we move to a price of $4.50 a gallon for gasoline and higher. I just read an interesting study that supports a price of $6.40 per gallon when the price of oil is $200 per barrell. I am sure all of us can make the best of this situation by moving our IRA and 401(k) investments to the energy industry. With an increasing price for gasoline, we can all stay home and listen to our scanners and watch our energy investments appreciate in value.

Wally

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> >I know they've had problems in Atlanta
>
> Atlanta native here, but they incorporated
> HOV LONG after I moved away, so I can't speak
> for that. However, I CAN tell you traffic there
> is HELL. MUCH worse than DFW. I used to sit
> in it, and report on it...:)
>
> >It's only a solid line , not a real
> >barrier
>
> Exactly. It's meant to be abused and
> cheated on simply by design. Morons
> who ever thought it up. A solid line
> does NOTHING to enforce.
>
> I can only use St. Louis as an example,
> but THERE they did it right. A big
> concrete barrier dividing the HOV lane
> from the main lanes of the highway. In
> other words, when you enter the HOV lane
> brother, you are committed!. There ain't
> no weaving in and out of the HOV and fast
> lane to get around people and all that BS.
> You only enter and exit at certain points.
> You don't just hop on and enter/exit
> wherever you damn well please.
>
> Yeah, it's makes for a nightmare if there
> is a bad wreck in the HOV lanes, because
> you're basically stuck there. But, on
> the flip side, you don't have idiots

> swerving in an out on rice rockets going
> 100+, or their new Expeditions or Hummers,
> just because they think they're &*^$!
> doesn't stink, and they don't have to sit
> in traffic just like everyone else!!.
>

28531. VHF-Lo Skip/DPS Mineral Wells?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-07 15:16:25 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28531 Message: 28531

Hi Group,

I'm hearing some VHF-Lo skip this morning. I've been listening to 42.38 for a while and have heard multiple PS users on it. One, with a PL of 173.8 mentioned an address of "1606 Rushing Springs out of Monroe" and Cornhusker Casualty Company (insurance I believe) too. I've had no luck with the FCC database and Google Earth/MSN Visual Earth locating this user. I'm also hearing what may be a Canadian PS user using the PL tone of 141.3. I say it may be Canadian because a DL return came back to a Toronto resident.

Here's the weird part. I am receiving Mineral Wells DPS at times. This is not bleedover/intermod, but then again, I don't know that it is right either. At least it isn't sensible with what I know about DPS.

If you have a chance, and if you like DX'ing, you might want to try the VHF-Lo band today.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28532. RE: [dfwscan] VHF-Lo Skip/DPS Mineral Wells?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-07 16:03:53 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28531 Message: 28532

A little update here...the "Mineral Wells" station had a return that came back to Charlotte (NC presumably). MO, NC, & WI seem to be the major states listed on the FCC database with this frequency, and even though I haven't looked to see if there is a Mineral Wells, NC, I imagine NC is where one of the users most likely is...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 10:16 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] VHF-Lo Skip/DPS Mineral Wells?

Hi Group,

I'm hearing some VHF-Lo skip this morning. I've been listening to 42.38 for a while and have heard multiple PS users on it. One, with a PL of 173.8 mentioned an address of "1606 Rushing Springs out of Monroe" and Cornhusker Casualty Company (insurance I believe) too. I've had no luck with the FCC database and Google Earth/MSN Visual Earth locating this user. I'm also hearing what may be a Canadian PS user using the PL tone of 141.3. I say it may be Canadian because a DL return came back to a Toronto resident.

Here's the weird part. I am receiving Mineral Wells DPS at times. This is not bleedover/intermod, but then again, I don't know that it is right either. At least it isn't sensible with what I know about DPS.

If you have a chance, and if you like DX'ing, you might want to try the VHF-Lo band today.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

28533. Re: [dfwscan] VHF-Lo Skip/DPS Mineral Wells?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-07 22:06:37 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28531 Message: 28533

42.38 PL 173.8 is Missouri State Highway Patrol Troop G in Willow Springs.
There is a Mineral Wells, WV but I don't know if it has a state police station.

Tom ND5Y

Ignore Yahoo spam below this line:

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28534. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Your power supply can kill you!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-08 00:37:11 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28509 Message: 28534

If you know they are idiots, why talk to them? Just look up what you need online, get the part number, then go to the store and ask for that part number.

John Mayson wrote:
> I went to Radio Shack to buy an adapter to use a CB whip antenna with my
> scanner for low-band monitoring. The woman there told me CB antennas only
> transmitted, they didn't receive, so it wouldn't work.
>
> Another time I bought an 800 MHz antenna (the kind used on those cell
> phones in the bags) for my scanner, but again I needed an adapter. The
> clerk didn't want to sell me both items since "listening to cell phone
> calls is illegal". I wanted the antenna for 800 MHz trunking, but that
> sailed over his head.
>
> John
>
>

28535. RE: [dfwscan] VHF-Lo Skip/DPS Mineral Wells?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-08 03:20:57 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28531 Message: 28535

Hi Tom, John, & List,

Thanks for the information guys. There were actually 3 or 4 agencies I was picking up on the frequency through 11: 30 AM or so. I thought Missouri State Highway Patrol was one of the VHF-Lo users still out there, but I wasn't really sure.

I'd planned on searching the VHF-Lo band some more earlier today, but when the blower motor in my air conditioner's air handler went out, the roofing crew started tearing off and replacing the roof on my house (finally), and the temperature started rising, I quit messing with the Lo band stuff. I felt like a turkey in the oven being cooked today.

I'm hoping the band will open up again tomorrow. I've always enjoyed the DX'ing side of monitoring...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 5:07 PM
To: commscan; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] VHF-Lo Skip/DPS Mineral Wells?

42.38 PL 173.8 is Missouri State Highway Patrol Troop G in Willow Springs. There is a Mineral Wells, WV but I don't know if it has a state police station.

Tom ND5Y

Ignore Yahoo spam below this line:

28536. Re: Scanner Scam in Hutchins?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-08 20:59:13 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28522 Message: 28536

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
> However, the shipping point in Hutchins is a major hub for Fedex
> ground.

I never knew that before you posted this. But just now I heard Hutchins FD dispatch EMS to FedEx for a person who "is conscious, but not breathing". You don't hear that one too often in an adult, lol!

I'm curious if this turns out to be a temper tantrum, or if the dispatcher is just an idiot.

Rob

28537. Re: [dfwscan] VHF-Lo Skip/DPS Mineral Wells?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-08 21:05:24 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 28531 Message: 28537

I can tell you honestly and positively that DPS Mineral Wells has NOT been on 42.380.
Russ

----- Original Message -----
From: commscan
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 10:16 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] VHF-Lo Skip/DPS Mineral Wells?

Hi Group,

I'm hearing some VHF-Lo skip this morning. I've been listening to 42.38 for a while and have heard multiple PS users on it. One, with a PL of 173.8 mentioned an address of "1606 Rushing Springs out of Monroe" and Cornhusker Casualty Company (insurance I believe) too. I've had no luck with the FCC database and Google Earth/MSN Visual Earth locating this user. I'm also hearing what may be a Canadian PS user using the PL tone of 141.3. I say it may be Canadian because a DL return came back to a Toronto resident.

Here's the weird part. I am receiving Mineral Wells DPS at times. This is not bleedover/intermod, but then again, I don't know that it is right either. At least it isn't sensible with what I know about DPS.

If you have a chance, and if you like DX'ing, you might want to try the VHF-Lo band today.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28538. Motorola HT1000 Shoulder Mic.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-09 19:36:04 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28538 Message: 28538

I picked up an HT1000 yesterday, and shoulder mic, however the cable on this one is a bit kinked and worn, if anyone has a newer one they arent in need of anymore please contact me.

Thanks.

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28539. Help With Buying the Right Handheld Scanner -

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-09 19:46:31 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28539 Message: 28539

I finally got rid of my last Radio Shack scanner, though great scanners, I have decided to move on. I am tired of having to try and filter words and sentences out of my radio transmissions, through horrible cellular repeater interference.

My BCT15 dosent pick up the interference like the R/S scanners do, and I would like to know what a good handheld scanner would be along the lines of the BCT15. I need something that is easy to program, prefferably with the same cable and software I use for my 15 (BCTool)

If anyone has any suggestions, let me know, It just seems that alot of new scanners have hit the market lately, and I havent kept up that well, Now I need personal opinions from owners.

Thanks

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28540. Re: [dfwscan] Wreck on Central PHI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-10 07:09:34 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 28503 Message: 28540

Wow. That pilot is rock solid. Really something to see.

DT

On 7/2/08, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> This also happened later on after the fire. Also another HOV accident.
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UHHsdAHejSQ
>
> Jason
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

--
David.Taylor@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28541. Re: Fire in Hove Lane

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-10 12:25:23 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 28501 Message: 28541

To keep this scanning related, be sure to listen to the DART police channel for the enforcment of HOV lanes.

>> Yet, far be it for state or local government to just say "no" to any stupid idea that
>> will net them federal dollars.

Those dollars should not have been federal dollars to start with. It amazes me that citizens of each state hand over their money in federal income taxes to the federal government just to jump through hoops to get it back to their state. HOV lanes have been forced down our throats. What happened to states' rights?

So much of our government has become "if it saves one life", then it is worth others suffering from some new law. However in the HOV lanes in Texas, forced by the government, countless number of lives have been lost by poor design decisions. Go figure!

>>I think that problems with HOV lanes and traffic will decline as we
>>move to a price of $4.50 a gallon for gasoline and higher. I just
>>read an interesting study that supports a price of $6.40 per gallon
>>when the price of oil is $200 per barrell.

Yea, and I just read a story that the oil bubble is about to burst. Speculators have artificially drove up the price just and it can not be sustained for that long.

28542. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Fire in Hove Lane

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-10 12:41:13 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28501 Message: 28542

What Freq?

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: Chance Lindsey <chance1@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2008 5:25:23 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Fire in Hove Lane

To keep this scanning related, be sure to listen to the DART police channel for the enforcment of HOV lanes.

>> Yet, far be it for state or local government to just say "no" to any stupid idea that
>> will net them federal dollars.

Those dollars should not have been federal dollars to start with. It amazes me that citizens of each state hand over their money in federal income taxes to the federal government just to jump through hoops to get it back to their state. HOV lanes have been forced down our throats. What happened to states' rights?

So much of our government has become "if it saves one life", then it is worth others suffering from some new law. However in the HOV lanes in Texas, forced by the government, countless number of lives have been lost by poor design decisions. Go figure!

>>I think that problems with HOV lanes and traffic will decline as we
>>move to a price of $4.50 a gallon for gasoline and higher. I just
>>read an interesting study that supports a price of $6.40 per gallon
>>when the price of oil is $200 per barrell.

Yea, and I just read a story that the oil bubble is about to burst. Speculators have artificially drove up the price just and it can not be sustained for that long.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28543. Re: [dfwscan] Wreck on Central PHI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-10 12:57:21 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28503 Message: 28543

They make me nervous. Look like he was a little sideways and then I saw one of the orange barrels start moving and I was thinking oh great it's going to pick it up and hit the rotors. But yeah he made it.

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: DT <r.davidtaylor@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2008 12:09:34 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Wreck on Central PHI

Wow. That pilot is rock solid. Really something to see.

DT

On 7/2/08, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
This also happened later on after the fire. Also another HOV accident.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UHHsdAHejSQ

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
David.Taylor@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28544. CareFlite going to D/FW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-10 14:29:36 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28544 Message: 28544

Anyone know what CF is going to DFW Airport for? I hear them calling on NE Tarrant tg 32880, but aren't getting an answer. I also heard a neighboring city filling it at DFW station 5 earlier.

Ben

28545. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite going to D/FW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-10 14:32:42 UTC
From: Cross, Robert
Topic: 28544 Message: 28545

Auto Pedestrian

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino (YGW)
Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2008 9:30
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] CareFlite going to D/FW Airport

Anyone know what CF is going to DFW Airport for? I hear them calling on NE
Tarrant tg 32880, but aren't getting an answer. I also heard a neighboring
city filling it at DFW station 5 earlier.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28547. New US Marshalls freq

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-12 01:55:19 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28547 Message: 28547

A guy in SW Missouri posted to the FEDCOM list that he confirmed US Marshals on 168.8875 digital. Might be worth programming into the scanner. I personally have never heard them on any other frequency here besides 163.200, and that's been very sparse.

Blaine

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.4.8/1547 - Release Date: 7/11/2008 6:05 PM

28548. FAST FOOD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-14 02:25:08 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28548 Message: 28548

I know there's a few out there that like to confirm these
things....

457.5125 PL 71.9 TACO BELL - LEWISVILLE MAIN ST

Captured with PSR500 Signal Sweeper
while ordering a Baja Gordida Taco and
a Big A** soda ..:)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.4.10/1550 - Release Date: 7/13/2008 5:58 PM

28549. MVA yesterday

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-14 22:41:30 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28549 Message: 28549

I took some pics of the MVA yesterday that was paged out on IPN at Central and Haskell. Figured it was down the street from my apt so i might see how it looked. Then they added the 6th MICU and 2nd EN and TR so figured it had to be huge.

I know they transported several people code 3 from the scene, and to me looked like only 1 car was involved.

I took some pics if anybody is interested.

Anybody have any more details of the wreck? Suprisingly fireground simplex channel was very very quiet. But i guess when the scene is only 1 car and not very spread out yelling works great.

28550. Keene Fire/EMS on Johnson County Passport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-14 23:01:08 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28550 Message: 28550

Just heard Keene Fire dispatch do a set of test tones on
the new Passport system. Don't know if they are just now going
on-line or if they have been on-line for a while.

I find it strange that Cleburne, Alvarado and Keene are going on-line
before county fire dispatch does. County fire should have been first
to go on-line, as they have the greatest need for a communications
upgrade. IMO anyway. Maybe there's more to the story, but I sure would
of thought that county fire would have gotten priority status.

Chris

28551. Re:FAST FOOD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-15 03:21:22 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28548 Message: 28551

When I worked for Big "D" EMS we had two frequencies in our radios that picked up McDonalds and Taco Bell drive thru systems. We would sit at the drag strip in Kennedale and mess up orders and go through Taco Bell in Euless and order on the radio. The closest Mickey D's was Cooper and I-20. We did get our share of tail chewing for playing with them.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28552. US Island on air Saturday

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-15 14:22:21 UTC
From: David Johnson
Topic: 28552 Message: 28552

Saturday July 19 UTC 1300-2100, Greer Island will be QRV / QRP for the first time as W5G, all contacts receive a commemorative QSL Card. This activation and qualification of the island under the USIslands project, is sponsored by Tri-County Amateur Radio Club of North Texas, WC5C. Apprx. Frequencies Phone SSB 3.760, 7.260, 14.260, 21.290, 28.460. CW 3.535, 7.035, 14.035, 21.035, 28.035. See www.wc5c.org, and www.usislands.org.

Local freq 147.160 MHZ FM.

David KB5YLG@...

28553. Graham

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-15 18:32:12 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28553 Message: 28553

Is anybody listening in the Graham area of Young County, hearing reports of an explosion????

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28554. Pro-92 Cable

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-15 18:56:27 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28554 Message: 28554

IF anybody in the Dallas area has a Pro-92 cable they can let me either borrow for a few days or weekend, or sell me let me know.
I havnt used my scanner in years and after i moved i started to play with it again, and want to completely re-program the unit.

Thanks in advance.

28555. RE: [dfwscan] Graham

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-15 19:34:20 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28553 Message: 28555

Explosion in the paint booth at a business called Texas Recreational, a manufacturer of life jackets and ski's. They flew 3 from the scene to Parkland AirEvac 55 (Mineral Wells) Air-Evac 34 (Wichita Falls), and Lifestar 4 (Decatur).

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Renois, Daniel
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2008 13:32
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Graham

Is anybody listening in the Graham area of Young County, hearing reports of an explosion????

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@... <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28556. Re: Pro-92 Cable

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-16 13:29:10 UTC
From: jason carr
Topic: 28554 Message: 28556

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Patrick Wrigg" <pwrigg@...> wrote:

> IF anybody in the Dallas area has a Pro-92 cable they can let me either
> borrow for a few days or weekend, or sell me let me know.

I ended up with a bc246, but when I was bidding on Pro-92s I won an eBay auction that had two of the RS part number 20-289 cables. I believe these are for the Pro92.

The information on the cable here:
http://www.starrsoft.com/software/Win96/Cables/cables.html
suggests it's a correct cable for the 92 (scroll down below the USB stuff). Please do your own research and confirm these will be useful to you; I have no Pro92 to confirm that they like each other.

They are still in the unopened packaging. The packages are a little beat up from handling/mailing as one might expect from eBay closeout merch or something like that.

I'd like to get my $$$ back on them if possible. I'll sell/ship them first class for $13 together or $8 seperately. Otherwise I'll let them sit on my shelf here until I repost them on eBay.

(BTW, I love the bc246 I ended up with!)

Pls email me directly if anyone's interested in one or both. I can upload a pic if needed.

28557. New Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-17 02:56:28 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 2838 Message: 28557

Hello group, I don't post much but I read every day. I am a very inexperienced scanner user but I am looking to buy a new Scanner in the next few weeks. I was thinking Digital but I don't know if I need one yet. I live in Burleson so I listen to Burleson, Johnson County, Fort Worth, Arlington etc. Mostly the southside of Tarrant County and Johnson County. Are the digital scanners more sensitive? I have a Pro 97 and it seems that I don't pick up everything even living in town.I really need a hand held so that I can listen to it at work.
Would a Digital scanner allow me to listen to Care Flight and DFW?
I know that asking this is like Ford or Chevy Every person has there preferences. I am just asking you guys and gals because you do so much more with your scanners than I have. also you have more experience with different scanners than I do. Any advice is greatly appreciated.

Thanks in Advance

Don Newman

28558. RE: [dfwscan] New Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-17 10:01:20 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 2838 Message: 28558

Don, DFW uses ProVoice and CareFlite Passport. The manufactures of these radios have not licensed them to scanner manufactures so no a digital will not receive them in trunk fashion. For the record passport is analog but has a proprietary control scheme so you can scan them, just not trunk-track.

That said I would encourage you to buy digital. That is the way things are going and at a fast pace. On your side of the world Mansfield and Grand Prairie are digital. DPS has also gone digital.

As far a sensitivity goes it's hard to tell. I've heard the PSR 500/600 may be too sensitive leading to overload and an inability to receive. For me the PSR offers only one interesting feature, the ability to decode and monitor using NAC (network access code). It's kind of like PL. About the only real use currently is to monitor one DPS station while excluding others that are on the same frequency. I would suggest you take a look at the Uniden 996 and 396 (mobile and handheld). I have 3 of the mobiles and 1 of the handhelds and are pretty satisfied. The display, programming and control method are really nice. They get slow if you scan many systems at the same time and I think that's the biggest complaint I've heard.

That's my opinion for what it is worth!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Don
Sent: Wednesday, July 16, 2008 9:56 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] New Scanner

Hello group, I don't post much but I read every day. I am a very inexperienced scanner user but I am looking to buy a new Scanner in the next few weeks. I was thinking Digital but I don't know if I need one yet. I live in Burleson so I listen to Burleson, Johnson County, Fort Worth, Arlington etc. Mostly the southside of Tarrant County and Johnson County. Are the digital scanners more sensitive? I have a Pro 97 and it seems that I don't pick up everything even living in town.I really need a hand held so that I can listen to it at work.
Would a Digital scanner allow me to listen to Care Flight and DFW?
I know that asking this is like Ford or Chevy Every person has there preferences. I am just asking you guys and gals because you do so much more with your scanners than I have. also you have more experience with different scanners than I do. Any advice is greatly appreciated.

Thanks in Advance

Don Newman

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28559. C.B. is opening up??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-17 09:33:59 UTC
From: Jonathan Kelley
Topic: 28559 Message: 28559

Greetings all,

Today I did some much needed work on the coax for my Austin Spectra mobile rig. I smashed the BNC connector accidently so decided to solder on a PL-259 connector. I then grabbed a PL-259 to BNC converter and slapped my scanner on.

Immediately I began hearing things on CB like I never have before. I've had the CB frequencies in my scanner since day one. I've only heard someone on it for about 10 seconds once at work.

As soon as my scanner started scanning on the new cable, it seemed like it was Field Day for C.B.ers. People from cost to cost were on every channel talking. Not a dead frequency out there. I heard Missouri, Oklahoma, Mississippi, Nevada among others. I was totally blown away by the event.

Has a sun spot developed that made this possible? I know my soldering wasn't *that* good!

Is there a way to track future sunspots?

--
Regards,
Jon Kelley

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28560. Bedford Tac

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-17 11:46:20 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28560 Message: 28560

Sounds like something happening with Bedford's tac team. 06:44

28561. Re: [dfwscan] C.B. is opening up??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-17 13:13:26 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28559 Message: 28561

Speaking of CB I have a question. I have a 2053 that I use for scanning in the truck and when I scan cb frequencies I get nothing. I travel 150 miles a day. I have it tuned to 19 and some others and I get nothing. I also pass 2 truck stops in the morning. So, the other day I was at a flea market and a guy was demoing 2 hand held cb's. I was parked right next to his booth and asked to borrow one of them. I put the cb on 19 and my scanner on 19 and got nothing. Though the 2 cbs picked each other up without any problem. I was like no way.

Now I do have an 800mhz mobile antenna on the truck. But still I would think being that close it would pick it up. I even opened the squelch all the way up and got nothing. Whats up with that?

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: Jonathan Kelley <jonkelley@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2008 2:33:59 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] C.B. is opening up??

Greetings all,

Today I did some much needed work on the coax for my Austin Spectra mobile rig. I smashed the BNC connector accidently so decided to solder on a PL-259 connector. I then grabbed a PL-259 to BNC converter and slapped my scanner on.

Immediately I began hearing things on CB like I never have before. I've had the CB frequencies in my scanner since day one. I've only heard someone on it for about 10 seconds once at work.

As soon as my scanner started scanning on the new cable, it seemed like it was Field Day for C.B.ers. People from cost to cost were on every channel talking. Not a dead frequency out there. I heard Missouri, Oklahoma, Mississippi, Nevada among others. I was totally blown away by the event.

Has a sun spot developed that made this possible? I know my soldering wasn't *that* good!

Is there a way to track future sunspots?

--
Regards,
Jon Kelley

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28562. 482.3875 PL 210.7

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-17 14:04:43 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 28562 Message: 28562

I have been hearing talk on 482.3875 PL 210.7 the past couple days that I have been trying to identify. I can heard them talk all day and into the evening all throughout North Dallas and up into mid Plano. What I am hearing sounds like some type of a convention center. I have heard talk about opening the doors for a certain group of people at 5:15pm and then for everybody else at 5:30pm. Also I have heard them mention a variety of halls that go from Hall A to E. As well I have heard them talk about which direction to set elevator 15, 16, 17, 18 & 19. This leads me to the conclusion that this is a big convention center or some type hall. I did a check on the FCC list but there is nothing that would match this. The only one I can think of is the Convention Center in Dallas but they are on the Dallas City Trunk. If anyone has an idea what this is let me know!

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

28563. Re: [dfwscan] 482.3875 PL 210.7

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-17 15:47:58 UTC
From: Mel's mail lists
Topic: 28562 Message: 28563

It's Mary Kay time again in Dallas. You're hearing the activity from the Dallas convention center. I think they've rented approx 100 or more radios and that freq is one of the ones they use for logistics. On a side note, anyone wanting to see the biggest collection of pink Cadillacs in one place at one time, check out the convention center this weekend. :)

-Melissa

Matthew wrote:
>
> I have been hearing talk on 482.3875 PL 210.7 the past couple days
> that I have been trying to identify. I can heard them talk all day
> and into the evening all throughout North Dallas and up into mid
> Plano. What I am hearing sounds like some type of a convention
> center. I have heard talk about opening the doors for a certain group
> of people at 5:15pm and then for everybody else at 5:30pm. Also I
> have heard them mention a variety of halls that go from Hall A to E.
> As well I have heard them talk about which direction to set elevator
> 15, 16, 17, 18 & 19. This leads me to the conclusion that this is a
> big convention center or some type hall. I did a check on the FCC
> list but there is nothing that would match this. The only one I can
> think of is the Convention Center in Dallas but they are on the Dallas
> City Trunk. If anyone has an idea what this is let me know!
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/ <http://www.dfwscanning.net/>
>
> _

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28564. Re: C.B. is opening up??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-17 22:25:02 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28559 Message: 28564

> Is there a way to track future sunspots?

Yes.

http://www.swpc.noaa.gov
http://www.swpc.noaa.gov/radio
http://www.swpc.noaa.gov/ftpdir/latest/wwv.txt
http://www.spacew.com/www/realtime.php
http://dx.qsl.net/propagation
http://www.spaceweather.com
http://www.qsl.net/w6elprop

Tom ND5Y

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28565. What is Dallas PD signal 46?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 01:18:46 UTC
From: scottbomb
Topic: 28565 Message: 28565

They used it tonight and it was code 3, lots of elements responding.
FD was dispatched and the DFD web site called it an "injured person".
The only other clue I got was an officer saying "he's still firing".

Confusing because a shooting is sig. 19 and assist officer is sig. 15.

Now the media is on scene....

Incident is in N Central div. on Thacker.

28566. DPD Signal 46

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 01:37:25 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28566 Message: 28566

On the DPD Web Page is shows that Signal 46(CIT) I not sure what that stands for.The correct street is 8600 Thackery Street and there are 24 Dallas Police units on scene.

Mike in Garland

28567. RE: [dfwscan] What is Dallas PD signal 46?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 01:40:37 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28565 Message: 28567

I hate to gripe. but, I went to Google, typed in Dallas Police signal 46, and the very first return was a PDF from Dallas City Hall's website with as much detail as you'd ever want.

Mike, I think CIT is Crisis Intervention Team. Essentially, a Sig 46 is the same as a Fort Worth "psycho violent" - someone who has fallen off their nut and needs a large dose of Haldol. Certain officers are supposedly trained to deal with mental emergencies, so you get them, three other officers, a supervisor, and a box.

http://www.dallascityhall.com/committee_briefings/briefings0806/20060807_PS_crisis.pdf

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of scottbomb
Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2008 08:19 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] What is Dallas PD signal 46?

They used it tonight and it was code 3, lots of elements responding.
FD was dispatched and the DFD web site called it an "injured person".
The only other clue I got was an officer saying "he's still firing".

Confusing because a shooting is sig. 19 and assist officer is sig. 15.

Now the media is on scene....

Incident is in N Central div. on Thacker.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28568. Re: [dfwscan] What is Dallas PD signal 46?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 01:45:08 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28565 Message: 28568

Good thing we didn't use Fort Worth's signal list -- unless there is a
Dunkin Donuts at that address.

tvsjr wrote:
> I hate to gripe. but, I went to Google, typed in Dallas Police signal 46,
> and the very first return was a PDF from Dallas City Hall's website with as
> much detail as you'd ever want.
>
>
>
> Mike, I think CIT is Crisis Intervention Team. Essentially, a Sig 46 is the
> same as a Fort Worth "psycho violent" - someone who has fallen off their nut
> and needs a large dose of Haldol. Certain officers are supposedly trained to
> deal with mental emergencies, so you get them, three other officers, a
> supervisor, and a box.
>
>
>
>

28569. Re: What is Dallas PD signal 46?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 01:48:46 UTC
From: scottbomb
Topic: 28565 Message: 28569

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "tvsjr" <tvsjr@...> wrote:
>
> I hate to gripe. but, I went to Google, typed in Dallas Police signal 46,
> and the very first return was a PDF from Dallas City Hall's website with as
> much detail as you'd ever want.
>
>
>
> Mike, I think CIT is Crisis Intervention Team. Essentially, a Sig 46 is the
> same as a Fort Worth "psycho violent" - someone who has fallen off their nut
> and needs a large dose of Haldol. Certain officers are supposedly trained to
> deal with mental emergencies, so you get them, three other officers, a
> supervisor, and a box.
>
>
>
>
> http://www.dallascityhall.com/committee_briefings/briefings0806/20060807_PS_
> crisis.pdf
>
>
>
> Terry
>
>
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> scottbomb
> Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2008 08:19 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] What is Dallas PD signal 46?
>
>
>
> They used it tonight and it was code 3, lots of elements responding.
> FD was dispatched and the DFD web site called it an "injured

person".
> The only other clue I got was an officer saying "he's still
firing".
>
> Confusing because a shooting is sig. 19 and assist officer is sig.
15.
>
> Now the media is on scene....
>
> Incident is in N Central div. on Thacker.
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28570. Re: [dfwscan] C.B. is opening up??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 02:47:45 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28559 Message: 28570

Well someone reminded me that duh I have to change it to AM. I didn't even think about it at the time.

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: Jonathan Kelley <jonkelley@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2008 9:43:45 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] C.B. is opening up??

Jason,

800mhz is a mile away from the HF that CB operates on. Your performance if any will be poor.

I agree you should hear a blip or something a few feet away. I am
willing to bet your scanner was not set in the AM mode Some scanners
only do FM. Many CB rigs support SSB operation. If the CB transmits in
SSB you won't hear a peep with a radio in FM mode because the receiver
can't demodulate that signal.

Now I do have an 800mhz mobile antenna on the truck. But
still I would think being that close it would pick it up. I even opened
the squelch all the way up and got nothing. Whats up with that?

Jason

Back on topic to my original post it seems that I heard Sporadic E open up the band. No sunspots came recently.

It seems I really need to upgrade to my general FCC license so I can
start on HF when the sunspots pick up. Imagine if the HAM bands were as
busy as the C.B. was.

The C.B. was ugly, tons of people intentionally interfering and
screwing other peoples signals up. However on amateur HF it should be
nice.

How amazing the radiowaves can be!
--
Regards,
Jon Kelley

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28571. Re: 482.3875 PL 210.7

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 02:48:56 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 28562 Message: 28571

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mel's mail lists <lists@...> wrote:
>
> It's Mary Kay time again in Dallas. You're hearing the activity from
> the Dallas convention center. I think they've rented approx 100 or more
> radios and that freq is one of the ones they use for logistics. On a
> side note, anyone wanting to see the biggest collection of pink
> Cadillacs in one place at one time, check out the convention center
> this weekend. :)
>
> -Melissa

Thanks Melissa! That would explain all of I am hearing.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

28572. Re: [dfwscan] C.B. is opening up??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 02:43:45 UTC
From: Jonathan Kelley
Topic: 28559 Message: 28572

Jason,

800mhz is a mile away from the HF that CB operates on. Your performance if any will be poor.

I agree you should hear a blip or something a few feet away. I am willing to bet your scanner was not set in the AM mode Some scanners only do FM. Many CB rigs support SSB operation. If the CB transmits in SSB you won't hear a peep with a radio in FM mode because the receiver can't demodulate that signal.

> Now I do have an 800mhz mobile antenna on the truck. But still I would
> think being that close it would pick it up. I even opened the squelch all
> the way up and got nothing. Whats up with that?
>
> Jason
>

Back on topic to my original post it seems that I heard Sporadic E open up the band. No sunspots came recently.

It seems I really need to upgrade to my general FCC license so I can start on HF when the sunspots pick up. Imagine if the HAM bands were as busy as the C.B. was.

The C.B. was ugly, tons of people intentionally interfering and screwing other peoples signals up. However on amateur HF it should be nice.

How amazing the radiowaves can be!
--
Regards,
Jon Kelley

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28573. Increasing one's reception on portable scanners

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 07:24:10 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 28573 Message: 28573

I use a Radio Shack Pro 97 to listen to my railroad and police/fire frequencies. Would appreciate anyone's recommendations for roof top mounted (ie magnetic mount) external antennas for my vehicle, that would increase the reception of my portable scanner. TIA.

--
Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com

Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

28574. RE: [dfwscan] Increasing one's reception on portable scanners

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 11:46:49 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28573 Message: 28574

Maxrad (now pctel) maxscan1000.

http://www.maxrad.com/product_overview_detail.cgi?id_num=10939

The (b) in front means black (it's chrome if no "b") and the (s) after means spring (if no "s" no spring).

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Richard Whitenight
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2008 2:24 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Increasing one's reception on portable scanners

I use a Radio Shack Pro 97 to listen to my railroad and police/fire frequencies. Would appreciate anyone's recommendations for roof top mounted (ie magnetic mount) external antennas for my vehicle, that would increase the reception of my portable scanner. TIA.

--
Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com

Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28575. Re: Increasing one's reception on portable scanners

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 14:06:23 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28573 Message: 28575

Richard Whitenight wrote:

> Would appreciate anyone's recommendations for roof top
> mounted (ie magnetic mount) external antennas for my vehicle, that
> would increase the reception of my portable scanner.

http://www.cheapham.com/LAR-NMO-150-450-800.html

Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.1/1560 - Release Date: 7/18/2008 6:47 AM

28576. Parker Co, Hudson Oaks Trench Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 18:48:41 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28576 Message: 28576

Assuming this is not a training exercise, Hurst is sending a NEFTA Trench Rescue team to Hudson Oaks, in Parker County for an incident. It might be worth some calls to the PIO for you media folks. 7/18/2008 13:48

Ben

28577. Re: Parker Co, Hudson Oaks Trench Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 19:11:28 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28576 Message: 28577

Listening to media radio comms someone trapped in a drainage ditch,
but now all the media traffic has focused on the water rescue in Dallas

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino \(YGW\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Assuming this is not a training exercise, Hurst is sending a NEFTA
Trench
> Rescue team to Hudson Oaks, in Parker County for an incident. It
might be
> worth some calls to the PIO for you media folks. 7/18/2008 13:48
>
> Ben
>

28578. Signal codes for Garland PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 19:20:39 UTC
From: skeeter_1
Topic: 28578 Message: 28578

Does anyone have the signal codes for the Garland PD? I thought I could get it from Radio Reference but it seems that the link that they refer to is no longer registered. With the improved Garland trunk signal, I find I listen to it much more now.

Thanks.

28579. Re: Parker Co, Hudson Oaks Trench Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 19:29:18 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28576 Message: 28579

Trench Resuce in Hudson Oaks at Oak Ridge and Inspiration mapsco 497U

RHB
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Listening to media radio comms someone trapped in a drainage ditch,
> but now all the media traffic has focused on the water rescue in Dallas
>
> RHB
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino \(YGW\)" <groups@> wrote:
> >
> > Assuming this is not a training exercise, Hurst is sending a NEFTA
> Trench
> > Rescue team to Hudson Oaks, in Parker County for an incident. It
> might be
> > worth some calls to the PIO for you media folks. 7/18/2008 13:48
> >
> > Ben
> >
>

28580. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Parker Co, Hudson Oaks Trench Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 19:32:44 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28576 Message: 28580

They cancelled their helicopter standby with us. They said it would be
11-1.5 hours before they could get near the patient.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of KC5RHB
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2008 14:29
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Parker Co, Hudson Oaks Trench Rescue

Trench Resuce in Hudson Oaks at Oak Ridge and Inspiration mapsco 497U

RHB
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> ,
"KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Listening to media radio comms someone trapped in a drainage ditch,
> but now all the media traffic has focused on the water rescue in
Dallas
>
> RHB
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> ,
"Ben Saladino \(YGW\)" <groups@> wrote:
> >
> > Assuming this is not a training exercise, Hurst is sending a NEFTA
> Trench
> > Rescue team to Hudson Oaks, in Parker County for an incident. It
> might be

> > worth some calls to the PIO for you media folks. 7/18/2008 13:48
> >
> > Ben
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28581. Aerial firefighting article

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-18 21:53:53 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28581 Message: 28581

http://www.mineralwellsindex.com/local/local_story_200104517.html

Tom ND5Y

28582. RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3876

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-19 04:35:22 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28582 Message: 28582

On Thu Jul 17, 2008 6:37 pm "Mike in Garland" wrote:
>
> On the DPD Web Page is shows that Signal 46(CIT) I not sure what that
> stands for.The correct street is 8600 Thackery Street and there are 24
> Dallas Police units on scene.

Holy Jeebus! Twenty-four units? Did this guy have multiple personalities, or what?

As for the code 46 thing, I always oppose the use of codes, but ya know... sometimes there's just really no nice way to say certain things, so maybe codes have a place every now and then!

Rob

28583. Re: What is Dallas PD signal 46?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-19 05:04:11 UTC
From: Karen
Topic: 28565 Message: 28583

My elderly Dad lives there (Renaissance at Preston Hollow) & told me today, so I wasn't aware yesterday.

He was sitting in his dining room & heard a lot of noise/saw police lights right outside his apartment & went to the window. He said there were about 13 police cars & people all over the place. When he asked what was going on, he was told a mentally unstable person had "gone off their meds" & was threatening to shoot people.

My feeling is when a person "goes off their meds" 1 time, they'll do it again. Hope they keep this person somewhere to prevent them from hurting themself and/or others!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "tvsjr" <tvsjr@...> wrote:
>
> I hate to gripe. but, I went to Google, typed in Dallas Police signal 46,
> and the very first return was a PDF from Dallas City Hall's website with as
> much detail as you'd ever want.
>
>
>
> Mike, I think CIT is Crisis Intervention Team. Essentially, a Sig 46 is the
> same as a Fort Worth "psycho violent" - someone who has fallen off their nut
> and needs a large dose of Haldol. Certain officers are supposedly trained to
> deal with mental emergencies, so you get them, three other officers, a
> supervisor, and a box.
>
>
>
>
http://www.dallascityhall.com/committee_briefings/briefings0806/20060807_PS_
> crisis.pdf
>
>
>
> Terry
>
>
>

> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> scottbomb
> Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2008 08:19 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] What is Dallas PD signal 46?
>
>
>
> They used it tonight and it was code 3, lots of elements
responding.
> FD was dispatched and the DFD web site called it an "injured
person".
> The only other clue I got was an officer saying "he's still
firing".
>
> Confusing because a shooting is sig. 19 and assist officer is sig.
15.
>
> Now the media is on scene....
>
> Incident is in N Central div. on Thacker.
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28584. Parker County Gaurdian EMS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-20 20:18:40 UTC
From: Josh
Topic: 28584 Message: 28584

Does anyone in the area know what exactlly Gaurdian EMS does in Parker County, specifically in the Weatherford area? I see them driving around but havent heard any traffic for them or seen them running code anywhere. I did find their base but its already up for sale.

Josh in Weatherford

28585. Re: [dfwscan] Parker County Gaurdian EMS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-20 22:12:13 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28584 Message: 28585

They do non-emergency transfers in Mineral Wells and Weatherford.
I still haven't figured out what radio system they use, I think either Nextel or one of the LTR systems in Weatherford.
Here is their web site http://www.guardian-ems.com

Tom ND5Y

Josh wrote:
> Does anyone in the area know what exactlly Gaurdian EMS does in Parker
> County, specifically in the Weatherford area? I see them driving
> around but havent heard any traffic for them or seen them running code
> anywhere. I did find their base but its already up for sale.
>
> Josh in Weatherford
>

28586. Richardson is working something

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 10:41:06 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28586 Message: 28586

What is Richardson working? They have mutual aid from Plano right now answering calls.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28587. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson is working something

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 11:39:55 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28586 Message: 28587

2 alarm fire at 2242 Flatt creek Dr

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 5:41 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson is working something

What is Richardson working? They have mutual aid from Plano right now answering calls.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28588. Hudson Oaks PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 06:09:34 UTC
From: Josh
Topic: 28588 Message: 28588

Anyone in Parker county know if HOPD is operating through PCSO or do
they have their own freq.?

Josh in W'ford

28589. Motorola HT1000 Antenna

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 06:58:48 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28589 Message: 28589

I am looking for a Motorola HT1000 UHF Antenna (the longest antenna for the UHF HT1000) If anyone has one they dont have use for, please contact me and we can work somethin' out.

Thanks !

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28590. Grand Prairie Conventional ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 07:12:10 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28590 Message: 28590

Can Anyone confirm if this is an active frequency?

"
482.96250 485.96250 KNS502<http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=KNS502>
R GP FD Disp Grand Prairie Fire Dispatch (Simulcast) FM Fire Dispatch
"

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28591. Re: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie Conventional ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 13:11:41 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28590 Message: 28591

After hearing a second comms on that freq, I think I'm picking up Watauga Public Works' talkaround channel. Trying to catch a PL, but not much traffic this AM.

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 2:12:10 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie Conventional ?

Can Anyone confirm if this is an active frequency?

"
482.96250 485.96250 KNS502<http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? cs=KNS502>
R GP FD Disp Grand Prairie Fire Dispatch (Simulcast) FM Fire Dispatch
"

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28592. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson is working something

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 13:37:15 UTC
From: steve@liese.us
Topic: 28586 Message: 28592

I live in the neighborhood. The sirens woke my wife and I sometime around 4:45 AM. Once Battalion 1 asked for the second alarm, they had all six Richardson engines assigned. Dallas E29 filled in at Richardson Station 1 and Plano E1 was at Station 4. If there were other mutual aid fill-ins, I did not hear them. The last units cleared from the fire scene at about 7:50 this morning as I was leaving for work. I drove by the house. It was a two story house that looked pretty well gutted.
Steve

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Richardson is working something
From: "Terry" <terry@...>
Date: Tue, July 22, 2008 6:39 am
To: "'Jason Simpkins'" <outrun2005@...>,<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>

2 alarm fire at 2242 Flatt creek Dr

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 5:41 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson is working something

What is Richardson working? They have mutual aid from Plano right now answering calls.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28593. Cresson 377@171

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 14:35:48 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28593 Message: 28593

Just heard Bedford sending some technical rescue folks to Cresson at 377@121. Maybe another trench or high angle rescue. 9:35 7/22/2008
Ben

28594. RE: [dfwscan] Cresson 377@171

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 14:39:31 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28593 Message: 28594

CareFlite

3110 S. Great Southwest Parkway

Grand Prairie, TX 75052

Phone (972) 339-4200

Fax (972) 522-6366

Press Release

Contact: Michael A. Nelson

Phone: (972) 339-4239

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

CareFlite Air and Ground with Godley Fire and Cresson Fire have responded to a Call with a man trapped 40 feet down an Oil Well.

Little Haus Ranch, through the Chesapeake entrance County Road 1000 and hwy 171 south of Cresson. N32 30.48 & W97 35.27

About CareFlite

* Non-profit 501(c)3 company based in Grand Prairie

* Offers helicopter EMS, fixed wing air ambulance & 911/ground ambulance service

* Oldest joint use air medical program in the United States, founded 1979

* Over 350,000 patients transported since 1979

* Six air bases and eight ground ambulance bases across North Texas

* Only IFR helicopter EMS program in the region with 17 proprietary instrument approaches to trauma centers & area hospitals

* Offers membership program to families to protect against the cost of medical transport from just $49 per year

* Provides standby medical services for Dallas Cowboys, Texas Rangers, SMU, TMS, others

* More information available at www.careflite.org <http://www.careflite.org/>

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino (YGW)
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 9:36
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] Cresson 377@171

Just heard Bedford sending some technical rescue folks to Cresson at 377@121. Maybe another trench or high angle rescue. 9:35 7/22/2008
Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28595. Re: [dfwscan] Cresson 377@171

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 14:41:47 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 28593 Message: 28595

Thanks Dan,

That's two very long distance mutual aid calls lately for the Northeast Tarrant Fire Departments technical rescue teams in a week.

Ben

>CareFlite Air and Ground with Godley Fire and Cresson Fire have
responded to a Call with a man trapped 40 feet down an Oil Well.

Little Haus Ranch, through the Chesapeake entrance County Road 1000
and hwy 171 south of Cresson. N32 30.48 & W97 35.27

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28596. RE: [dfwscan] Hudson Oaks PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 15:03:50 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28588 Message: 28596

Hi Josh & List,

HOPD has been on their own frequency for a year or so. Yhey've3 been using 155.580 with a DPL of (ha!...I never entered this one on my list) ???

I know it is a DCS/DPL tone they use and not a CTCSS tone, but either way, they're on 155.580. I'm pretty sure they're FD is on a 150 MHz (as well as the TRS freqs.) frequency as well.

I'm pretty sure radioreference.com has the Hudson oaks frequencies. I'm going to be parking on 155.58 now and waiting for that DPL to pop up :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Josh
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 1:10 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Hudson Oaks PD

Anyone in Parker county know if HOPD is operating through PCSO or do they have their own freq.?

Josh in W'ford

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28597. RE: [dfwscan] Hudson Oaks PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 15:17:31 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28588 Message: 28597

10-22 that last e-mail. It is Willow Park on 155.580 and not Hudson Oaks.
Sorry for the mistake Josh...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: commscan [mailto:commscan-ftw@...]
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 10:04 AM
To: 'dfwscan@yahoogroups.com'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Hudson Oaks PD

Hi Josh & List,

HOPD has been on their own frequency for a year or so. Yhey've3 been using
155.580 with a DPL of (ha!...I never entered this one on my list) ???

I know it is a DCS/DPL tone they use and not a CTCSS tone, but either way,
they're on 155.580. I'm pretty sure they're FD is on a 150 MHz (as well as
the TRS freqs.) frequency as well.

I'm pretty sure radioreference.com has the Hudson oaks frequencies. I'm
going to be parking on 155.58 now and waiting for that DPL to pop up :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Josh
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 1:10 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Hudson Oaks PD

Anyone in Parker county know if HOPD is operating through PCSO or do
they have their own freq.?

Josh in W'ford

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28598. Re: [dfwscan] Cresson 377@171

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 16:32:24 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28593 Message: 28598

Channel 4 is streaming it live on their website. www.myfoxdfw.com

Ben Saladino (YGW) wrote:
>
> Thanks Dan,
>
> That's two very long distance mutual aid calls lately for the
> Northeast Tarrant Fire Departments technical rescue teams in a week.
>
> Ben
>
> >CareFlite Air and Ground with Godley Fire and Cresson Fire have
> responded to a Call with a man trapped 40 feet down an Oil Well.
>
> Little Haus Ranch, through the Chesapeake entrance County Road 1000
> and hwy 171 south of Cresson. N32 30.48 & W97 35.27
>
> <
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28599. Re: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie Conventional ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 21:57:16 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28590 Message: 28599

Watauga's public works channel on 482.9625 used to be active a few years ago and the PL was 100.0 I don't know if still is.

Tom ND5Y

Code 3 Productions wrote:
> Can Anyone confirm if this is an active frequency?
>
> "
> 482.96250 485.96250 KNS502<http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=KNS502>
> R GP FD Disp Grand Prairie Fire Dispatch (Simulcast) FM Fire Dispatch
> "
>
>
>

28600. Re: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie Conventional ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 22:10:06 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28590 Message: 28600

Every time it went active today (that I was able to look) it had a PL of 100.0...which tags it as Watauga.

----- Original Message ----
From: Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 4:57:16 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie Conventional ?

Watauga's public works channel on 482.9625 used to be active a few years ago and the PL was 100.0 I don't know if still is.

Tom ND5Y

Code 3 Productions wrote:
> Can Anyone confirm if this is an active frequency?
>
> "
> 482.96250 485.96250 KNS502<http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? cs=KNS502>
> R GP FD Disp Grand Prairie Fire Dispatch (Simulcast) FM Fire Dispatch
> "
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28601. Another Irving patch

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-22 23:14:36 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28601 Message: 28601

Decimal 260 was used to patch FireGround 3 (decimal 533) to D/FW Airport while they assisted on a large grass fire on the east side of the airport, near Valleyview.

Here are a few photos of ARFF 31 and 12 working some hot spots. No flames, just smoldering brush.
http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/DFWBrushFire/

It sure would be neat to drive one of those.

Ben

28602. Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 02:08:51 UTC
From: Jeff Mullowney
Topic: 28602 Message: 28602

At Tuesday morning's two-alarm on Flat Creek, one of the problems was a live electrical wire downed near the swimming pool in the back yard. OnCor was sent for, and took 30-45 minutes to get on scene. As they arrived, the Batt Chief had asked Dispatch what was their ETA. Dispatch replied that OnCor told her "another 30 minutes". The Batt Chief replied that the OnCor tech was just arriving.

At another fire some months ago, there was a live electrical wire going from a pole to the house connection by the garage. The house had a fire in the ceiling in the garage, but because of the live wire, the firemen coudn't approach. OnCor was called for and took ONE HOUR to get to the scene.

Another time, the RFD dispatcher was told to contact OnCor. She used the same 800 number that you or I would use when requesting a service call, and reported to the on-scene commander that she was ON HOLD.

And with the ice this year, the dispatcher was told that all available emergency response units were committed and she would have to wait at least an hour. Also, the nearest unit was based in Plano, the second-nearest was Carrollton (I think) or further west (like Irving/Grand Prairie area).

These listening experiences lead to the following questions:

What is it with OnCor? Their "emergency response units" appear to be anything but - they don't seem to be bona-fide emergency vehicles equipped with lights and siren (like the gas company uses). Their response times are a joke, and they don't appear to have a dedicated phone line for city/state/whatever emergency services to use when requesting them. Being placed on hold? Please.

Why can't Richardson FD cut the wire at the pole? Especially when it is preventing access to a fire (garage incident) or the firefighters have to work around it (Tuesday's fire).

Can someone please fill me in?

Thanks.

Jeff Mullowney
b17g1630@...

28603. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 02:15:22 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28602 Message: 28603

Well I think the honest truth is for whaever reason the City of Dallas is the only ones that benefit from the emergency response units. On a side note I contacted Atmos gas for a gas meter that was struck and gas was flowing from it and when I called them a second time to update the address they never had any record of me calling to begin with needless to say the guys on the engine werent very happy and neither was I but what can you do.

Cody

-----Original Message-----
From: "Jeff Mullowney" <b17g1630@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 7/22/2008 21:09
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

At Tuesday morning's two-alarm on Flat Creek, one of the problems was a live electrical wire downed near the swimming pool in the back yard. OnCor was sent for, and took 30-45 minutes to get on scene. As they arrived, the Batt Chief had asked Dispatch what was their ETA. Dispatch replied that OnCor told her "another 30 minutes". The Batt Chief replied that the OnCor tech was just arriving.

At another fire some months ago, there was a live electrical wire going from a pole to the house connection by the garage. The house had a fire in the ceiling in the garage, but because of the live wire, the firemen coudn't approach. OnCor was called for and took ONE HOUR to get to the scene.

Another time, the RFD dispatcher was told to contact OnCor. She used the same 800 number that you or I would use when requesting a service call, and reported to the on-scene commander that she was ON HOLD.

And with the ice this year, the dispatcher was told that all available emergency response units were committed and she would have to wait at least an hour. Also, the nearest unit was based in Plano, the second-nearest was Carrollton (I think) or further west (like Irving/Grand Prairie area).

These listening experiences lead to the following questions:

What is it with OnCor? Their "emergency response units" appear to be anything but - they don't seem to be bona-fide emergency vehicles equipped with lights and siren (like the gas company uses). Their response times are a joke, and they don't appear to have a dedicated phone line for city/state/whatever emergency services to use when requesting them. Being placed on hold? Please.

Why can't Richardson FD cut the wire at the pole? Especially when it is preventing access to a fire (garage incident) or the firefighters have to work around it (Tuesday's fire).

Can someone please fill me in?

Thanks.

Jeff Mullowney
b17g1630@...

28604. Military Helicopters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 13:36:38 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28604 Message: 28604

Hi everyone. I now live near Caddo Mills in Hunt County, and for the last week, each morning about 8:30 there have been several large flights of military helicopters heading south. Yesterday while listening to DFW Tower, they reported a flight of 7 helos also heading south. Anyone know what is up? Just normal maneuvers? Other than normal ATC freqs, are there any mil-air freqs commonly used for air-to-air comms between these groups? I believe these are all Army helos.

Thanks for your input.

Tracey

28605. FW: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 13:38:28 UTC
From: Robert Barker
Topic: 28605 Message: 28605

There is a hurricane called Dolly and it's hitting the texas Coast right now

They are trying to get forces in place to help the people.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tracey W. Singleton
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 8:37 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters

Hi everyone. I now live near Caddo Mills in Hunt County, and for the last week, each morning about 8:30 there have been several large flights of military helicopters heading south. Yesterday while listening to DFW Tower, they reported a flight of 7 helos also heading south. Anyone know what is up? Just normal maneuvers? Other than normal ATC freqs, are there any mil-air freqs commonly used for air-to-air comms between these groups? I believe these are all Army helos.

Thanks for your input.

Tracey

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28606. Re: FW: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 13:50:03 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28605 Message: 28606

Well, I considered that too, but they come back every afternoon. Can't be a rescue operation, everyone knows that won't start until next week. ;)

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Barker" <robert@...> wrote:
>
> There is a hurricane called Dolly and it's hitting the texas Coast right now
>
> They are trying to get forces in place to help the people.

28607. Re: Military Helicopters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 14:27:53 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28604 Message: 28607

Tracey W. Singleton wrote:

> Hi everyone. I now live near Caddo Mills in Hunt County, and for the
> last week, each morning about 8:30 there have been several large
> flights of military helicopters heading south. Yesterday while
> listening to DFW Tower, they reported a flight of 7 helos also heading
> south. Anyone know what is up? Just normal maneuvers? Other than
> normal ATC freqs, are there any mil-air freqs commonly used for
> air-to-air comms between these groups? I believe these are all Army helos.

FWIW - Any brush fires or wildfires up in your area
lately?

Army helos could be assisting Forestry with air drops.

Other than that, probably just regular maneuvers.
From my experience listening, most (as you stated)
just use regular ATC (many don't even use UHF).
Many will ID as "Army XXXX" or "Guard XXXX"
I can't imagine the boys from Hood up here, but I
guess that's a possibility.

As far as a/a tacs, the only ones through the years
that I've confirmed have been 242.4, 242.6, and 242.9,
primarily for the Grand Prairie guys, but they have seen
sparse use.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.5/1568 - Release Date: 7/23/2008 6:55 AM

28608. Gonna spend...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 14:38:18 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28608 Message: 28608

I am looking on Ebay for a FRG-7 general coverage receiver. If anyone has one to sell, I would rather leave my money to a list member.

Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28609. RE: Military Helicopters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 14:42:57 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28604 Message: 28609

Thanks Blaine, that's what I was looking for. I'll give those a listen. I'm too far away from a remote antenna site to pick up the controller side of ARTCC, so it's usually a one-sided conversation. Occasionally I'll pick up Cumby RCO, but rarely. Most of these helos are low altitude so they traverse Majors Field's airspace. More than likely they are pre-positioning for the hurricane, but it doesn't make sense that they return the say day. I'm leaning more towards some exercise, or maybe it's DEA in disguise. They did find a HUGE pot forest down here the other day. DPD Air 1 scared all the dopers out of Dallas and they all came down here!

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine [mailto:blaine.brooks@...]
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 09:28
To: Tracey W. Singleton; DFWSCAN
Subject: Re: Military Helicopters

Tracey W. Singleton wrote:

> Hi everyone. I now live near Caddo Mills in Hunt County, and for the
> last week, each morning about 8:30 there have been several large
> flights of military helicopters heading south. Yesterday while
> listening to DFW Tower, they reported a flight of 7 helos also heading
> south. Anyone know what is up? Just normal maneuvers? Other than
> normal ATC freqs, are there any mil-air freqs commonly used for
> air-to-air comms between these groups? I believe these are all Army helos.

FWIW - Any brush fires or wildfires up in your area
lately?

Army helos could be assisting Forestry with air drops.

Other than that, probably just regular maneuvers.
From my experience listening, most (as you stated)
just use regular ATC (many don't even use UHF).
Many will ID as "Army XXXX" or "Guard XXXX"
I can't imagine the boys from Hood up here, but I
guess that's a possibility.

As far as a/a tacs, the only ones through the years
that I've confirmed have been 242.4, 242.6, and 242.9,
primarily for the Grand Prairie guys, but they have seen
sparse use.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.5/1568 - Release Date: 7/23/2008
6:55 AM

28610. RE: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 15:11:27 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28604 Message: 28610

Hi Tracey & List,

I was not where I could listen yesterday but there were multiple military helicopters landing (or practice flying) into NAS Ft. Worth. I mean there were a lot. That's why I say they may have been doing some sort of practice approach training? I don't know how many there were and my vision doesn't allow me to see them well enough to tell what they were.

If they come back I'll be sure to try and catch some information from the tower (or maybe CloseCall since I'm right in the flight path of the base)...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tracey W. Singleton
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 8:37 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters

Hi everyone. I now live near Caddo Mills in Hunt County, and for the last week, each morning about 8:30 there have been several large flights of military helicopters heading south. Yesterday while listening to DFW Tower, they reported a flight of 7 helos also heading south. Anyone know what is up? Just normal maneuvers? Other than normal ATC freqs, are there any mil-air freqs commonly used for air-to-air comms between these groups? I believe these are all Army helos.

Thanks for your input.

Tracey

28611. RE: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 15:17:59 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28604 Message: 28611

BTW, I meant to say I'd figure there were 5 - 10 of them (it was an unusually high number for NASFW) and after 5 or 10 minutes they'd make another round (presuming it was the same helicopters circling back).

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 10:11 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters

Hi Tracey & List,

I was not where I could listen yesterday but there were multiple military helicopters landing (or practice flying) into NAS Ft. Worth. I mean there were a lot. That's why I say they may have been doing some sort of practice approach training? I don't know how many there were and my vision doesn't allow me to see them well enough to tell what they were.

If they come back I'll be sure to try and catch some information from the tower (or maybe CloseCall since I'm right in the flight path of the base)...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tracey W. Singleton
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 8:37 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters

Hi everyone. I now live near Caddo Mills in Hunt County, and for the last week, each morning about 8:30 there have been several large flights of military helicopters heading south. Yesterday while listening to DFW Tower, they reported a flight of 7 helos also heading south. Anyone know what is up? Just normal maneuvers? Other than normal ATC freqs, are there any mil-air freqs commonly used for air-to-air comms between these groups? I believe these are all Army helos.

Thanks for your input.

Tracey

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28612. Alert 3 at Meacham

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 19:20:05 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 23659 Message: 28612

FWFD Rescue 44 reporting an Alert 3 at Meacham Field. 14:19 7/23/2008
Ben

28613. Re: [dfwscan] Alert 3 at Meacham

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 19:25:48 UTC
From: Ben Saladino (YGW)
Topic: 23659 Message: 28613

Must not have been too big a deal. They're holding Rescue 44 only.
>FWFD Rescue 44 reporting an Alert 3 at Meacham Field. 14:19 7/23/2008
Ben
<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28614. Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 21:39:45 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28614 Message: 28614

Duncanville/DeSoto/Cedar Hill (never really sure which is which these days) dispatched fire assets to stand-by at the Dairy Queen on Hwy 67 late last night for a "Signal 200". Regional has the worst dispatchers in the Metroplex, but she sounded especially weird when dispatching this one, so it was obviously something that had her excited.

I dunno what a Signal 200 is. Chances are, half their firemen don't either. But I am assuming it is either a bomb threat, or a special operations deal, like a raid, hostage, barricaded person, or drug lab stand-by. Anyone know? Other than this, aren't they using the same codes as Dallas PD? Not that it matters since I don't know DPD codes either, but at least I can look those up.

Also, does anyone have a list or even a map of Duncanville-DeSoto-Cedar Hill fire assets and radio numbers so I can tell who is who?

Thanks guys!

Rob

28615. Re: [dfwscan] Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 21:44:46 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28614 Message: 28615

You should proably make sure you dont have any employees from regional on here before you start making comments about how horrible the dispatchers are.. If you would like to apologize for that I would be happy to assist you with your questions

Cody

--- On Wed, 7/23/08, rob.davis@armynursecorps.com <rob.davis@armynursecorps..com> wrote:

From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com <rob.davis@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Duncanville Code 200
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, July 23, 2008, 4:39 PM

Duncanville/ DeSoto/Cedar Hill (never really sure which is which these days) dispatched fire assets to stand-by at the Dairy Queen on Hwy 67 late last night for a "Signal 200". Regional has the worst dispatchers in the Metroplex, but she sounded especially weird when dispatching this one, so it was obviously something that had her excited.

I dunno what a Signal 200 is. Chances are, half their firemen don't either. But I am assuming it is either a bomb threat, or a special operations deal, like a raid, hostage, barricaded person, or drug lab stand-by. Anyone know? Other than this, aren't they using the same codes as Dallas PD? Not that it matters since I don't know DPD codes either, but at least I can look those up.

Also, does anyone have a list or even a map of Duncanville- DeSoto-Cedar Hill fire assets and radio numbers so I can tell who is who?

Thanks guys!

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28616. Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 22:12:48 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28614 Message: 28616

Well, to be clear, I speak only of the fire dispatchers, and best I can tell, they are all female (at night, anyhow), so I don't think any of them are here. I never listen to cop dispatchers there or anywhere else, so I can't comment on them. They may be the best in the business for all I know. I know there are some "Regional" and city fire people here from the actual departments. I went to medic school with one of them. But yeah, Regional's fire dispatchers are pretty bad. No apologies for that. You'd think they'd be more concerned with improving than with sugar-coated reviews from anonymous critics on the Internet anyhow.

But thanks for your offer.

Rob

On Wednesday, July 23, 2008 16:44, "Cody Sheppard" <combinecapt804@...> said:

> You should proably make sure you dont have any employees from regional on here
> before you start making comments about how horrible the dispatchers are.. If
> you would like to apologize for that I would be happy to assist you with your
> questions
>
> Cody
>
> --- On Wed, 7/23/08, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@armynursecorps..com>
> wrote:
>
> From: rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Duncanville Code 200
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Wednesday, July 23, 2008, 4:39 PM
>
> Duncanville/ DeSoto/Cedar Hill (never really sure which is which these days)
> dispatched fire assets to stand-by at the Dairy Queen on Hwy 67 late last night
> for a "Signal 200". Regional has the worst dispatchers in the Metroplex, but she
> sounded especially weird when dispatching this one, so it was obviously something
> that had her excited.
>
> I dunno what a Signal 200 is. Chances are, half their firemen don't either. But I
> am assuming it is either a bomb threat, or a special operations deal, like a raid,
> hostage, barricaded person, or drug lab stand-by. Anyone know? Other than this,
> aren't they using the same codes as Dallas PD? Not that it matters since I don't
> know DPD codes either, but at least I can look those up.
>
> Also, does anyone have a list or even a map of Duncanville- DeSoto-Cedar Hill fire
> assets and radio numbers so I can tell who is who?
>
> Thanks guys!

>
> Rob

28617. Re: FW: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 22:51:51 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28605 Message: 28617

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton" <tracey.singleton@...> wrote:
>
> Well, I considered that too, but they come back every afternoon. Can't
> be a rescue operation, everyone knows that won't start until next
> week. ;)

ROFL! That's funny right there, I don't care who you are! :D

But anyhow, maybe they were ferrying supplies down to the coast in preparation for the storm. They do ship assets down to be staged for these things without actually putting military assets into place to stand-by. Of course, it's summer, so there a lot of manoeuvres
and bivouacs going down throughout the week, but I'm betting it was storm prep.

Rob

28618. Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 23:26:18 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28614 Message: 28618

So I think the entire point was lost, in that,
does anyone know what a Signal 200 is?

Muchos Gracias....;)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.5/1569 - Release Date: 7/23/2008 1:31 PM

28619. Re: Alert 3 at Meacham

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-23 23:31:13 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 23659 Message: 28619

Ben Saladino (YGW) wrote:

> Must not have been too big a deal. They're holding Rescue 44 only.
>> FWFD Rescue 44 reporting an Alert 3 at Meacham Field.

Gotta love it when a plane crash really
isn't a plane crash....WTF?

Nothing to see here folks...move along now...LOL

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.5/1569 - Release Date: 7/23/2008 1:31 PM

28620. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 00:02:11 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28614 Message: 28620

Bomb threat

Sincerely
A Horrible Regional Dispatcher

-----Original Message-----
From: "Blaine" <blaine.brooks@...>
To: rob.davis@...
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 7/23/2008 18:28
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

So I think the entire point was lost, in that,
does anyone know what a Signal 200 is?

Muchos Gracias....;)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.5/1569 - Release Date: 7/23/2008 1:31 PM

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28621. Cleburne This Morning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 00:35:15 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28621 Message: 28621

Speaking of things heard on the radio that turn out to be something completely different, I woke up to some weirdness coming from Cleburne this morning. I turn on the scanner around 0900, and the first thing I hear is a Cleburne firefighter saying, "MAYDAY MAYDAY MAYDAY!! I'M OUT OF AIR AND I'M NOT SURE WHERE WE ARE!" Talk about an immediate attention getter! However, there was no freaking out on the radio, and nobody even replied to the guy until about five minutes later when he repeated that desperate message, and "command" finally said he was sending a RIT team in.

While all this is going on, other CFD units are running a medical emergency somewhere else, and landing CareFlite at yet another completely different location. Because of that, I came to figure that the "Mayday" was an exercise at Nolan River Mall. Can any of our Johnson County friends confirm or deny that?

Rob

28622. Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 01:06:23 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28614 Message: 28622

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Cody Sheppard" <combinecapt804@...> wrote:
>
> Sincerely
> A Horrible Regional Dispatcher

Come on, Dude, buck up. No matter who you are, somebody somewhere thinks you suck.
Heck, Blaine even thinks President Bush sucks, if you can believe that! ;)

Besides, I already said it was the females I was talking about. You can't take this stuff personally. Consider yourself the best of the worst and share your wisdom with the rest.

Rob

28623. Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 01:24:58 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28614 Message: 28623

dustdevil31 wrote:

> Heck, Blaine even thinks President Bush sucks, if you can believe that! ;)

Only until January '09! ....LOL

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.5/1569 - Release Date: 7/23/2008 1:31 PM

28624. TXDOT 151.055

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 01:57:08 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28624 Message: 28624

I apologize if this possibly has been asked
before, but has anyone on the list ever
confirmed TXDOT trucks actually using
this frequency in the metro area (supposed
to be statewide truck to truck)?

FWIW - The TXDOT trucks working on the
FM 2499/FM407 project have a low band
antenna (or so it SEEMS because it is much
longer than a VHF) and an 800mhz pigtail.
Wonder what 800 system they are on? Or
perhaps it's just the Denton Co units?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.5/1569 - Release Date: 7/23/2008 1:31 PM

28625. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 07:19:20 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28602 Message: 28625

Cody,

You probably called the number that connects to the people who have the information on where the lines are buried. So they buried your call request!!! Seriously, I have to wonder if anyone ever calls that number before they dig because of all the gas lines that are hit. Or maybe they do call and simply get bad information?

And just for the record, I have no opinion (good or bad) on the quality of dispatchers at the Regional Dispatch Center! You're not dragging me into this argument!!!

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Cody Sheppard
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2008 9:15 PM
To: b17g1630@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Well I think the honest truth is for whaever reason the City of Dallas is the only ones that benefit from the emergency response units. On a side note I contacted Atmos gas for a gas meter that was struck and gas was flowing from it and when I called them a second time to update the address they never had any record of me calling to begin with needless to say the guys on the engine werent very happy and neither was I but what can you do.

Cody,___

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28626. Re: Military Helicopters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 13:16:30 UTC
From: ftwskies
Topic: 28604 Message: 28626

Wasn't there an army helo repair squadron supposed to be moving into NFW?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
> BTW, I meant to say I'd figure there were 5 - 10 of them (it was an
> unusually high number for NASFW) and after 5 or 10 minutes they'd make
> another round (presuming it was the same helicopters circling back).
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> commscan
> Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 10:11 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters
>
>
> Hi Tracey & List,
>
> I was not where I could listen yesterday but there were multiple military
> helicopters landing (or practice flying) into NAS Ft. Worth. I mean there
> were a lot. That's why I say they may have been doing some sort of practice
> approach training? I don't know how many there were and my vision doesn't
> allow me to see them well enough to tell what they were.
>
> If they come back I'll be sure to try and catch some information from the
> tower (or maybe CloseCall since I'm right in the flight path of the base)...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On

Behalf Of
> Tracey W. Singleton
> Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 8:37 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Military Helicopters
>
> Hi everyone. I now live near Caddo Mills in Hunt County, and for
the
> last week, each morning about 8:30 there have been several large
> flights of military helicopters heading south. Yesterday while
> listening to DFW Tower, they reported a flight of 7 helos also
heading
> south. Anyone know what is up? Just normal maneuvers? Other than
> normal ATC freqs, are there any mil-air freqs commonly used for
> air-to-air comms between these groups? I believe these are all
Army helos.
>
> Thanks for your input.
>
> Tracey
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
Groups Links
>

28627. Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 15:49:46 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 28602 Message: 28627

I remember the days of Dallas Power & Light. They would request
DP&L "Code 3" and the DP&L truck with red lights and siren would show
up in minutes - even way out in North Dallas where there weren't any
fire stations (except for 56). You would think this is something
they would still do. I never saw Texas Utilities and now OnCor go
anywhere code 3 or even have those trucks with red lights an sirens.
Do they still have these dedicated units?

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Cody Sheppard" <combinecapt804@...>
wrote:
>
> Well I think the honest truth is for whaever reason the City of
Dallas is the only ones that benefit from the emergency response
units. On a side note I contacted Atmos gas for a gas meter that was
struck and gas was flowing from it and when I called them a second
time to update the address they never had any record of me calling to
begin with needless to say the guys on the engine werent very happy
and neither was I but what can you do.
>
> Cody
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: "Jeff Mullowney" <b17g1630@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: 7/22/2008 21:09
> Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires
>
> At Tuesday morning's two-alarm on Flat Creek, one of the problems
was
> a live electrical wire downed near the swimming pool in the back
> yard. OnCor was sent for, and took 30-45 minutes to get on scene.
> As they arrived, the Batt Chief had asked Dispatch what was their
> ETA. Dispatch replied that OnCor told her "another 30 minutes".
The
> Batt Chief replied that the OnCor tech was just arriving.
>
> At another fire some months ago, there was a live electrical wire
> going from a pole to the house connection by the garage. The house
> had a fire in the ceiling in the garage, but because of the live
> wire, the firemen coudn't approach. OnCor was called for and took
> ONE HOUR to get to the scene.
>
> Another time, the RFD dispatcher was told to contact OnCor. She

used
> the same 800 number that you or I would use when requesting a
service
> call, and reported to the on-scene commander that she was ON HOLD.
>
> And with the ice this year, the dispatcher was told that all
> available emergency response units were committed and she would
have
> to wait at least an hour. Also, the nearest unit was based in
Plano,
> the second-nearest was Carrollton (I think) or further west (like
> Irving/Grand Prairie area).
>
> These listening experiences lead to the following questions:
>
> What is it with OnCor? Their "emergency response units" appear to
be
> anything but - they don't seem to be bona-fide emergency vehicles
> equipped with lights and siren (like the gas company uses). Their
> response times are a joke, and they don't appear to have a
dedicated
> phone line for city/state/whatever emergency services to use when
> requesting them. Being placed on hold? Please.
>
> Why can't Richardson FD cut the wire at the pole? Especially when
it
> is preventing access to a fire (garage incident) or the
firefighters
> have to work around it (Tuesday's fire).
>
> Can someone please fill me in?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jeff Mullowney
> b17g1630@...
>

28628. Re: Another Irving patch

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 15:54:20 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 28601 Message: 28628

Those are some great shots. What camera do you use?

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Decimal 260 was used to patch FireGround 3 (decimal 533) to D/FW
Airport
> while they assisted on a large grass fire on the east side of the
airport,
> near Valleyview.
>
> Here are a few photos of ARFF 31 and 12 working some hot spots. No
flames,
> just smoldering brush.
> http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/DFWBrushFire/
>
> It sure would be neat to drive one of those.
>
> Ben
>

28629. Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 16:18:50 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 28602 Message: 28629

I don't think they do, or if they do, they don't use the emergency equipment anymore. I've heard Dallas units call 660 & ask for Oncor/TXU "Code 3" for serious incidents, only to be given a canned response that Oncor no longer responds 'Code 3', but they'll ask for an expedited response.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Russell Adams" <rwadams@...> wrote:
>
> I remember the days of Dallas Power & Light. They would request
> DP&L "Code 3" and the DP&L truck with red lights and siren would show
> up in minutes - even way out in North Dallas where there weren't any
> fire stations (except for 56). You would think this is something
> they would still do. I never saw Texas Utilities and now OnCor go
> anywhere code 3 or even have those trucks with red lights an sirens.
> Do they still have these dedicated units?
>
> Russell
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Cody Sheppard" <combinecapt804@>
> wrote:
> >
> > Well I think the honest truth is for whaever reason the City of
> Dallas is the only ones that benefit from the emergency response
> units. On a side note I contacted Atmos gas for a gas meter that was
> struck and gas was flowing from it and when I called them a second
> time to update the address they never had any record of me calling to
> begin with needless to say the guys on the engine werent very happy
> and neither was I but what can you do.
> >
> > Cody
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: "Jeff Mullowney" <b17g1630@>
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: 7/22/2008 21:09
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires
> >
> > At Tuesday morning's two-alarm on Flat Creek, one of the problems
> was
> > a live electrical wire downed near the swimming pool in the back
> > yard. OnCor was sent for, and took 30-45 minutes to get on scene.
> > As they arrived, the Batt Chief had asked Dispatch what was their
> > ETA. Dispatch replied that OnCor told her "another 30 minutes".
> The
> > Batt Chief replied that the OnCor tech was just arriving.

> >
> > At another fire some months ago, there was a live electrical wire
> > going from a pole to the house connection by the garage. The house
> > had a fire in the ceiling in the garage, but because of the live
> > wire, the firemen coudn't approach. OnCor was called for and took
> > ONE HOUR to get to the scene.
> >
> > Another time, the RFD dispatcher was told to contact OnCor. She
> used
> > the same 800 number that you or I would use when requesting a
> service
> > call, and reported to the on-scene commander that she was ON HOLD.
> >
> > And with the ice this year, the dispatcher was told that all
> > available emergency response units were committed and she would
> have
> > to wait at least an hour. Also, the nearest unit was based in
> Plano,
> > the second-nearest was Carrollton (I think) or further west (like
> > Irving/Grand Prairie area).
> >
> > These listening experiences lead to the following questions:
> >
> > What is it with OnCor? Their "emergency response units" appear to
> be
> > anything but - they don't seem to be bona-fide emergency vehicles
> > equipped with lights and siren (like the gas company uses). Their
> > response times are a joke, and they don't appear to have a
> dedicated
> > phone line for city/state/whatever emergency services to use when
> > requesting them. Being placed on hold? Please.
> >
> > Why can't Richardson FD cut the wire at the pole? Especially when
> it
> > is preventing access to a fire (garage incident) or the
> firefighters
> > have to work around it (Tuesday's fire).
> >
> > Can someone please fill me in?
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Jeff Mullowney
> > b17g1630@
> >
>

28630. Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 17:26:46 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 28614 Message: 28630

Rob,

The Dallas County Fire Stations map & address listings in the files sections here in the group will show you the respective station locations/addresses for the three cities.

I don't have an equipment listing for these cities, but their numbering system is straight forward:

Cedar Hill- 21+x, with x representing the station number.
So you'd have Engine 211 (engine from Station 1), Medic 212 (an EMS unit from Station 2), etc. They prefix the numerical designator with the equipment type.

Desoto- 26+x
Engine 261, Medic 262, same pattern as Cedar Hill. The "26.." identifies it as a Desoto unit, the third digit is the home station.

Duncanville's prefix is "27", so you have Engine 271, Medic 272, etc.

In some cases, there may be both a Medic & an Engine at a station, but only staffing to man, fully, one piece of equipment at a time. I seem to hear this more with Desoto, where an engine will check enroute, & notify dispatch that their station's medic unit is now out of service (or the medic responds, & notes the engine is out due to lack of manpower).
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> Duncanville/DeSoto/Cedar Hill (never really sure which is which these days) dispatched fire assets to stand-by at the Dairy Queen on Hwy 67 late last night for a "Signal 200".....
>
> Also, does anyone have a list or even a map of Duncanville-DeSoto-Cedar Hill fire assets and radio numbers so I can tell who is who?
>
> Thanks guys!
>
> Rob
>

28631. BCT15 Programming / BCTool

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 20:28:12 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28631 Message: 28631

Does ANYONE . . Have a Programming list for the BCTool Program, that has the entire DFW Area? I totally lost my entire programing list, and all I have left on the computer (Without having to re-write the entire programming) is the Plano/Allen area.

If you have a program list, please let me know, I'd like to get all my stuff back in the radio, without spending the week(s) trying to reprogram the entire metroplex into BCtool

Thanks

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28632. Re: [dfwscan] BCT15 Programming / BCTool

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-24 22:19:09 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28631 Message: 28632

I've got a set up in UASD format...actually in the files area of the group (about a year old...). They are under BCD996T, but should work just fine in the BCT15.

----- Original Message ----
From: Code 3 Productions <code3productions@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2008 3:28:12 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] BCT15 Programming / BCTool

Does ANYONE . . Have a Programming list for the BCTool Program, that has the entire DFW Area? I totally lost my entire programing list, and all I have left on the computer (Without having to re-write the entire programming) is the Plano/Allen area.

If you have a program list, please let me know, I'd like to get all my stuff back in the radio, without spending the week(s) trying to reprogram the entire metroplex into BCtool

Thanks

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28633. Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 00:42:50 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28614 Message: 28633

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
> I don't have an equipment listing for these cities, but their
> numbering system is straight forward:...

Thanks! Very helpful. I notice that Lancaster prefixes with 23x (if I remember correctly), so I
guess all Dallas County stations originally had a prefix back in the early days, as they did in
most counties around here, including Tarrant. It's interesting to me that any in Dallas County
still utilise those prefixes this many years later.

To anyone who took offence to my opinion of Regional fire dispatch, you most likely are not who I am referring to, unless you are female and dispatch fire, so try not to get too sensitive.
I don't get all bent out of shape over generalisations if I know they do not apply to me personally. And regardless of whether you are any good at your job or not, I do at least appreciate your efforts and service! ;)

Rob

28634. Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 00:49:44 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28602 Message: 28634

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> You probably called the number that connects to the people who have the
> information on where the lines are buried. So they buried your call
> request!!! Seriously, I have to wonder if anyone ever calls that number
> before they dig because of all the gas lines that are hit. Or maybe they do
> call and simply get bad information?

Interesting how things have changed since my old dispatching days. Back then, there was one gas company, one electric company, and one phone company, so it was easy to get the right person and the right response. Now it must be a nightmare of endless phone calls to get someone to finally claim a broken pipe or downed or cut line.

The interesting part is that, as easy as it was to get the right utility company, there was a
different emergency phone number for fire, police, and EMS in every city, town, and unincorporated patch of land in the state. At least all that has changed for the better!

Rob

28635. Re: [dfwscan] BCT15 Programming / BCTool

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 07:35:50 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 28631 Message: 28635

Perhaps this means soon we won't have to be bothered with the flea market you conduct here. Did you finally sell your fake media credentials ?

Code 3 Productions <code3productions@...> wrote: Does ANYONE . . Have a Programming list for the BCTool Program, that has the
entire DFW Area? I totally lost my entire programing list, and all I have
left on the computer (Without having to re-write the entire programming)
is the Plano/Allen area.

If you have a program list, please let me know, I'd like to get all my stuff
back in the radio, without spending the week(s) trying to reprogram the
entire metroplex into BCtool

Thanks

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28636. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 10:24:11 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28614 Message: 28636

Lancaster is 35x
And I accept your apology and I am sorry I took offense. I dispatch during the day on the fire channel

Cody
-----Original Message-----
From: "dustdevil31" <rob.davis@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 7/24/2008 19:47
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
> I don't have an equipment listing for these cities, but their
> numbering system is straight forward:...

Thanks! Very helpful. I notice that Lancaster prefixes with 23x (if I remember correctly), so I
guess all Dallas County stations originally had a prefix back in the early days, as they did in
most counties around here, including Tarrant. It's interesting to me that any in Dallas County
still utilise those prefixes this many years later.

To anyone who took offence to my opinion of Regional fire dispatch, you most likely are not who I am referring to, unless you are female and dispatch fire, so try not to get too sensitive.
I don't get all bent out of shape over generalisations if I know they do not apply to me personally. And regardless of whether you are any good at your job or not, I do at least appreciate your efforts and service! ;)

Rob

28637. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 11:42:26 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28614 Message: 28637

So is Lancaster now dispatched by regional? I thought it was only Desoto, Duncanville and Cedar Hill.

By the way, I assume you apologize for taking offense and you're not really "sorry"!!! :)

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Cody Sheppard
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 5:24 AM
To: rob.davis@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

Lancaster is 35x
And I accept your apology and I am sorry I took offense. I dispatch during the day on the fire channel

Cody
-----Original Message-----
From: "dustdevil31" <rob.davis@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 7/24/2008 19:47
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
> I don't have an equipment listing for these cities, but their
> numbering system is straight forward:...

Thanks! Very helpful. I notice that Lancaster prefixes with 23x (if I remember correctly), so I
guess all Dallas County stations originally had a prefix back in the early days, as they did in
most counties around here, including Tarrant. It's interesting to me that any in Dallas County
still utilise those prefixes this many years later.

To anyone who took offence to my opinion of Regional fire dispatch, you most likely are not
who I am referring to, unless you are female and dispatch fire, so try not to get too sensitive.
I don't get all bent out of shape over generalisations if I know they do not apply to me
personally. And regardless of whether you are any good at your job or not, I do at least

appreciate your efforts and service! ;)

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28638. Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 12:49:26 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 28602 Message: 28638

Lets add some fuel to this fire...

On the way home from the server pit yesterday, I happened to pass an Oncor truck (most likely headed to the yard at Young and St Paul) eastbound on Young

This truck had a red and blue light bar on top of the cab, and the word "EMERGENCY" quite clearly emblazoned on the bottom of the doors on the side of the cab.

I should have grabbed a pic with the camera phone but didn't think about it at the time.

So, if Oncor is claiming not to run code to downed wires, why do they have trucks equipped with red and blue bars, which, according to the laws as I know them, are only authorized for law enforcement?

-b-

28639. Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 13:06:45 UTC
From: Jon
Topic: 28602 Message: 28639

Oncor does own and operate emergency response units which i believe were part of the contracted deal when TXU bought out DP&L. This was one of the stipulations. One of my neighbors' sons runs one of them and I have, as recently as two weeks ago, seen him tear out of the driveway with lights and sirens on... I think they have VERY specific response criteria for their code 3 units.

(not sure what those are)

> So, if Oncor is claiming not to run code to downed wires, why do they have
> trucks equipped with red and blue bars, which, according to the laws as I
> know them, are only authorized for law enforcement?
>
> -b-
>

Actually, there is no "blue" requirement for Law Enforcement in Texas. There are some laws like that in other states but Texas has never adopted it. There are some strange combinations out there. At one time, I think I even remember MedStar even ran with Red and Orange lights but I am getting old and my memory fails me at times...

Since I see in past posts the subject comes up from time to time, here is the Texas Statute on it:

§ 547.702. ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHORIZED EMERGENCY VEHICLES. (a) An authorized emergency vehicle may be equipped with a siren, exhaust whistle, or bell:
(1) of a type approved by the department; and
(2) that emits a sound audible under normal conditions at a distance of at least 500 feet.
(b) The operator of an authorized emergency vehicle shall use the siren, whistle, or bell when necessary to warn other vehicle operators or pedestrians of the approach of the emergency vehicle.
(c) Except as provided by this section, an authorized emergency vehicle shall be equipped with signal lamps that:
(1) are mounted as high and as widely spaced laterally as practicable;
(2) display four alternately flashing red lights, two located on the front at the same level and two located on the rear at the same level; and
(3) emit a light visible at a distance of 500 feet in normal sunlight.
(d) A private vehicle operated by a volunteer firefighter

responding to a fire alarm or a medical emergency may, but is not required to, be equipped with signal lamps that comply with the requirements of Subsection (c).
(e) A private vehicle operated by a volunteer firefighter responding to a fire alarm or a medical emergency may be equipped with a signal lamp that is temporarily attached to the vehicle roof and flashes a red light visible at a distance of at least 500 feet in normal sunlight.
(f) A police vehicle may, but is not required to, be equipped with signal lamps that comply with Subsection (c).

The remainder of the code is here:

http://tlo2.tlc.state.tx.us/statutes/docs/TN/content/htm/tn.007.00.00054\
7.00.htm
<http://tlo2.tlc.state.tx.us/statutes/docs/TN/content/htm/tn.007.00.0005\
47.00.htm>

Hope that answers some questions...

Jon

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28640. Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 14:06:02 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 28614 Message: 28640

Terry,

When Regional (SWRCC) was established, if I remember correctly, there was a news article, either in the Snooze or possibly the Duncanville paper, that Lancaster was expected & invited to participate. However, I believe that they ultimately declined at the time, because they had just spent xxx dollars remodeling/upgrading their own dispatch center.

The dispatchers that I'm hearing on Lancaster do not sound like the ones I'm hearing on the Regional channels in the same time frame. I think the door was left open for them to change their mind & join in the future.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> So is Lancaster now dispatched by regional? I thought it was only Desoto,
> Duncanville and Cedar Hill.
>

28643. Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 18:56:10 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28602 Message: 28643

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brent Willcox" <bwillcox@...> wrote:
> So, if Oncor is claiming not to run code to downed wires, why do they have
> trucks equipped with red and blue bars, which, according to the laws as I
> know them, are only authorized for law enforcement?

Just FYI, any "authorised emergency vehicle" may utilise any colour of lights they choose, according to state law. There is no one colour reserved for any particular service. And to muddy the waters, any political subdivision (city, county, state, special district, ISD, etc...)
may designate whoever they want as an "authorised emergency vehicle", utilising some pretty broad criteria.

Rob

28644. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 20:36:46 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 28614 Message: 28644

Lancaster has thier own dispatch. They are in the process of constructing a new Government center so they wont be joining Regional (SWRCC) any time in the near future. They do share the jail with Desoto but that will change when thier new building opens

-----Original Message-----
From: hiegtx <hiegtx@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Fri, 25 Jul 2008 9:06 am
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

Terry,

When Regional (SWRCC) was established, if I remember correctly, there was a news article, either in the Snooze or possibly the Duncanville paper, that Lancaster was expected & invited to participate. However, I believe that they ultimately declined at the time, because they had just spent xxx dollars remodeling/upgrading their own dispatch center.

The dispatchers that I'm hearing on Lancaster do not sound like the ones I'm hearing on the Regional channels in the same time frame. I think the door was left open for them to change their mind & join in the future.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> So is Lancaster now dispatched by regional? I thought it was only Desoto,
> Duncanville and Cedar Hill.
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28645. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 19:50:21 UTC
From: PN Hurst
Topic: 28602 Message: 28645

I noticed also a lot of vehicles seem to be running illegal colored lights.
I looked up the law and many are illegal. The law is very specfic.
The lights must be red. 2 in front, 2 in rear. I also could not find
anywhere in the Chapter where Bluewas authorized, thus it is illegal also..

§ 547.702. ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHORIZED EMERGENCY VEHICLES.
...
(c) Except as provided by this section, an authorized
emergency vehicle shall be equipped with signal lamps that:

(1) are mounted as high and as widely spaced laterally
as practicable;
(2) display four alternately flashing red lights, two
located on the front at the same level and two located on the rear at

the same level; and
(3) emit a light visible at a distance of 500 feet in
normal sunlight.

§ 547.305. RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF LIGHTS.
...
(c) A person may not operate a motor vehicle equipped with a

red, white, or blue beacon, flashing, or alternating light unless
the equipment is:
(1) used as specifically authorized by this chapter;
or
(2) a running lamp, headlamp, taillamp, backup lamp,

or turn signal lamp that is used as authorized by law.

On Fri, Jul 25, 2008 at 1:56 PM, dustdevil31
<rob.davis@...>wrote:

> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, "Brent
> Willcox" <bwillcox@...> wrote:
> > So, if Oncor is claiming not to run code to downed wires, why do they
> have
> > trucks equipped with red and blue bars, which, according to the laws as I
> > know them, are only authorized for law enforcement?
>
> Just FYI, any "authorised emergency vehicle" may utilise any colour of
> lights they choose,
> according to state law. There is no one colour reserved for any particular
> service. And to
> muddy the waters, any political subdivision (city, county, state, special
> district, ISD, etc...)

> may designate whoever they want as an "authorised emergency vehicle",
> utilising some pretty
> broad criteria.
>
> Rob
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28646. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 22:06:48 UTC
From: Michael DeLong
Topic: 28602 Message: 28646

Several years ago I worked for Flower Mound animal services. We came across this very issue when we prepared to buy our first new truck in several years. The supervisor at that time wanted red and blue since we were a police unit. But since we were not LAW ENFORCEMENT we were limited to only amber, blue or combination of amber and blue. Red would have been illegal.

----- Original Message -----
From: PN Hurst<mailto:pnhurst@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 2:50 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

I noticed also a lot of vehicles seem to be running illegal colored lights.
I looked up the law and many are illegal. The law is very specfic.
The lights must be red. 2 in front, 2 in rear. I also could not find
anywhere in the Chapter where Bluewas authorized, thus it is illegal also..

§ 547.702. ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHORIZED EMERGENCY VEHICLES.
...
(c) Except as provided by this section, an authorized
emergency vehicle shall be equipped with signal lamps that:

(1) are mounted as high and as widely spaced laterally
as practicable;
(2) display four alternately flashing red lights, two
located on the front at the same level and two located on the rear at

the same level; and
(3) emit a light visible at a distance of 500 feet in
normal sunlight.

§ 547.305. RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF LIGHTS.
...
(c) A person may not operate a motor vehicle equipped with a

red, white, or blue beacon, flashing, or alternating light unless
the equipment is:
(1) used as specifically authorized by this chapter;
or
(2) a running lamp, headlamp, taillamp, backup lamp,

or turn signal lamp that is used as authorized by law.

On Fri, Jul 25, 2008 at 1:56 PM, dustdevil31
<rob.davis@armynursecorps.com<mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com>>wrote:

> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
<dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, "Brent
> Willcox" <bwillcox@...> wrote:
> > So, if Oncor is claiming not to run code to downed wires, why do they
> have
> > trucks equipped with red and blue bars, which, according to the laws as I
> > know them, are only authorized for law enforcement?
>
> Just FYI, any "authorised emergency vehicle" may utilise any colour of
> lights they choose,
> according to state law. There is no one colour reserved for any particular
> service. And to
> muddy the waters, any political subdivision (city, county, state, special
> district, ISD, etc...)
> may designate whoever they want as an "authorised emergency vehicle",
> utilising some pretty
> broad criteria.
>
> Rob
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28647. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 22:13:50 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28602 Message: 28647

The law is confusing, but you are incorrect. We are also way off topic...

Red, white, and blue are restricted to two things: authorized emergency vehicles, and use SPECIFICALLY DEFINED in the Transportation Code. That is to say - brake lights, reverse lights, turn signals, etc., all of which are specifically delineated.

Emergency vehicles are required to have four red lights ("...shall be equipped..."), two front/two rear, spaced as high and wide as possible, alternating. Volunteer firefighters responding in their POVs using lights/siren are exempted from this requirement (makes running a mini-bar or a dash light without external lighting legal).

An authorized emergency vehicle (police/fire vehicle, vehicle of a municipal service agency authorized by a governing body, volunteer firefighter POV, ambulance, organ transport, etc.) is allowed to use red, white, or blue lights ("...may be equipped..."), along with any non-restricted colors (green, amber, purple) as much as they please, when running on an emergency response. There are rules (not laws) such as NFPA which suggests certain lighting for fire apparatus, KKK for ambulances, department policy (such as no white to the rear, white to the front disabled when stopped, etc.), etc.

I can break all of the relevant transporation code sections down if someone really wants me to...

Terry

---------- Original Message ----------
From: "PN Hurst" <pnhurst@...>
Date: Fri, 25 Jul 2008 14:50:21 -0500

>I noticed also a lot of vehicles seem to be running illegal colored lights.
>I looked up the law and many are illegal. The law is very specfic.
> The lights must be red. 2 in front, 2 in rear. I also could not find
>anywhere in the Chapter where Bluewas authorized, thus it is illegal also..
>
>§ 547.702. ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHORIZED EMERGENCY VEHICLES.
>...
> (c) Except as provided by this section, an authorized
>emergency vehicle shall be equipped with signal lamps that:
>
> (1) are mounted as high and as widely spaced laterally
>as practicable;
> (2) display four alternately flashing red lights, two
>located on the front at the same level and two located on the rear at
>
>the same level; and
> (3) emit a light visible at a distance of 500 feet in
>normal sunlight.
>

>§ 547.305. RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF LIGHTS.
>...
> (c) A person may not operate a motor vehicle equipped with a
>
>red, white, or blue beacon, flashing, or alternating light unless
>the equipment is:
> (1) used as specifically authorized by this chapter;
>or
> (2) a running lamp, headlamp, taillamp, backup lamp,
>
>or turn signal lamp that is used as authorized by law.
>
>
>
>On Fri, Jul 25, 2008 at 1:56 PM, dustdevil31
><rob.davis@...m>wrote:
>
>> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, "Brent
>> Willcox" <bwillcox@...> wrote:
>> > So, if Oncor is claiming not to run code to downed wires, why do they
>> have
>> > trucks equipped with red and blue bars, which, according to the laws as I
>> > know them, are only authorized for law enforcement?
>>
>> Just FYI, any "authorised emergency vehicle" may utilise any colour of
>> lights they choose,
>> according to state law. There is no one colour reserved for any particular
>> service. And to
>> muddy the waters, any political subdivision (city, county, state, special
>> district, ISD, etc...)
>> may designate whoever they want as an "authorised emergency vehicle",
>> utilising some pretty
>> broad criteria.
>>
>> Rob
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

Sent via the WebMail system at tvsjr.com

28648. Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 22:17:50 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 28602 Message: 28648

A couple of days ago in Saginaw, Texas, I noticed that a construction supervisor's pickup had blue oscilating lights on the top of his truck. Having worked for a police dept, I was pretty sure this was illegal?

On 7/25/08, Michael DeLong <sharryd@...> wrote:
> Several years ago I worked for Flower Mound animal services. We came across
> this very issue when we prepared to buy our first new truck in several
> years. The supervisor at that time wanted red and blue since we were a
> police unit. But since we were not LAW ENFORCEMENT we were limited to only
> amber, blue or combination of amber and blue. Red would have been illegal.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: PN Hurst<mailto:pnhurst@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 2:50 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires
>
>
> I noticed also a lot of vehicles seem to be running illegal colored
> lights.
> I looked up the law and many are illegal. The law is very specfic.
> The lights must be red. 2 in front, 2 in rear. I also could not find
> anywhere in the Chapter where Bluewas authorized, thus it is illegal
> also..
>
> § 547.702. ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHORIZED EMERGENCY
> VEHICLES.
> ...
> (c) Except as provided by this section, an authorized
> emergency vehicle shall be equipped with signal lamps that:
>
> (1) are mounted as high and as widely spaced laterally
> as practicable;
> (2) display four alternately flashing red lights, two
> located on the front at the same level and two located on the rear at
>
> the same level; and
> (3) emit a light visible at a distance of 500 feet in
> normal sunlight.
>
> § 547.305. RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF LIGHTS.
> ...
> (c) A person may not operate a motor vehicle equipped with a
>

> red, white, or blue beacon, flashing, or alternating light unless
> the equipment is:
> (1) used as specifically authorized by this chapter;
> or
> (2) a running lamp, headlamp, taillamp, backup lamp,
>
> or turn signal lamp that is used as authorized by law.
>
> On Fri, Jul 25, 2008 at 1:56 PM, dustdevil31
>
> <rob.davis@...<mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com>>wrote:
>
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, "Brent
> > Willcox" <bwillcox@...> wrote:
> > > So, if Oncor is claiming not to run code to downed wires, why do they
> > have
> > > trucks equipped with red and blue bars, which, according to the laws
> as I
> > > know them, are only authorized for law enforcement?
> >
> > Just FYI, any "authorised emergency vehicle" may utilise any colour of
> > lights they choose,
> > according to state law. There is no one colour reserved for any
> particular
> > service. And to
> > muddy the waters, any political subdivision (city, county, state,
> special
> > district, ISD, etc...)
> > may designate whoever they want as an "authorised emergency vehicle",
> > utilising some pretty
> > broad criteria.
> >
> > Rob
> >
> >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

--
Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com

Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

28649. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 22:32:30 UTC
From: Michael DeLong
Topic: 28602 Message: 28649

any vehicle can have blue or amber as long as they don't incorporate red.

----- Original Message -----
From: Richard Whitenight<mailto:rwhitenight2004@...>
To: DFWScan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:DFWScan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 5:17 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

A couple of days ago in Saginaw, Texas, I noticed that a construction
supervisor's pickup had blue oscilating lights on the top of his
truck. Having worked for a police dept, I was pretty sure this was
illegal?

On 7/25/08, Michael DeLong <sharryd@...<mailto:sharryd@...>> wrote:
> Several years ago I worked for Flower Mound animal services. We came across
> this very issue when we prepared to buy our first new truck in several
> years. The supervisor at that time wanted red and blue since we were a
> police unit. But since we were not LAW ENFORCEMENT we were limited to only
> amber, blue or combination of amber and blue. Red would have been illegal.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: PN Hurst<mailto:pnhurst@...<mailto:pnhurst%40gmail.com>>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com><mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups
.com<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>>
> Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 2:50 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires
>
>
> I noticed also a lot of vehicles seem to be running illegal colored
> lights.
> I looked up the law and many are illegal. The law is very specfic.
> The lights must be red. 2 in front, 2 in rear. I also could not find
> anywhere in the Chapter where Bluewas authorized, thus it is illegal
> also..
>
> § 547.702. ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHORIZED EMERGENCY
> VEHICLES.
> ...
> (c) Except as provided by this section, an authorized
> emergency vehicle shall be equipped with signal lamps that:
>
> (1) are mounted as high and as widely spaced laterally
> as practicable;
> (2) display four alternately flashing red lights, two
> located on the front at the same level and two located on the rear at
>

> the same level; and
> (3) emit a light visible at a distance of 500 feet in
> normal sunlight.
>
> § 547.305. RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF LIGHTS.
> ...
> (c) A person may not operate a motor vehicle equipped with a
>
> red, white, or blue beacon, flashing, or alternating light unless
> the equipment is:
> (1) used as specifically authorized by this chapter;
> or
> (2) a running lamp, headlamp, taillamp, backup lamp,
>
> or turn signal lamp that is used as authorized by law.
>
> On Fri, Jul 25, 2008 at 1:56 PM, dustdevil31
>
> <rob.davis@...<mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com><mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.
com>>wrote:
>
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com><mailto:dfwscan%40yaho
ogroups.com>
> <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, "Brent
> > Willcox" <bwillcox@...> wrote:
> > > So, if Oncor is claiming not to run code to downed wires, why do they
> > have
> > > trucks equipped with red and blue bars, which, according to the laws
> as I
> > > know them, are only authorized for law enforcement?
> >
> > Just FYI, any "authorised emergency vehicle" may utilise any colour of
> > lights they choose,
> > according to state law. There is no one colour reserved for any
> particular
> > service. And to
> > muddy the waters, any political subdivision (city, county, state,
> special
> > district, ISD, etc...)
> > may designate whoever they want as an "authorised emergency vehicle",
> > utilising some pretty
> > broad criteria.
> >
> > Rob
> >
> >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

--
Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com

Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28650. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-25 23:12:26 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28602 Message: 28650

Correct, that is illegal by the letter of the law. All the security vehicles running around with blue or amber/blue, plus the construction vehicles, are technically illegal. Enforcement, however, is a different matter.

The TxDOT trucks are covered for red/blue, as are the courtesy patrol/DART HOV/etc. vehicles. Tow trucks are also allowed red/blue/white, but they may only run them while blocking public roadway at the direction of emergency personnel (it may say peace officer, I can't recall).

Terry

---------- Original Message ----------
From: "Richard Whitenight" <rwhitenight2004@...>
Date: Fri, 25 Jul 2008 17:17:50 -0500

>A couple of days ago in Saginaw, Texas, I noticed that a construction
>supervisor's pickup had blue oscilating lights on the top of his
>truck. Having worked for a police dept, I was pretty sure this was
>illegal?
>
>On 7/25/08, Michael DeLong <sharryd@...> wrote:
>> Several years ago I worked for Flower Mound animal services. We came across
>> this very issue when we prepared to buy our first new truck in several
>> years. The supervisor at that time wanted red and blue since we were a
>> police unit. But since we were not LAW ENFORCEMENT we were limited to only
>> amber, blue or combination of amber and blue. Red would have been illegal.
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: PN Hurst<mailto:pnhurst@...>
>> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 2:50 PM
>> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson FD, OnCor, and Live Electrical Wires
>>
>>
>> I noticed also a lot of vehicles seem to be running illegal colored
>> lights.
>> I looked up the law and many are illegal. The law is very specfic.
>> The lights must be red. 2 in front, 2 in rear. I also could not find
>> anywhere in the Chapter where Bluewas authorized, thus it is illegal
>> also..
>>
>> § 547.702. ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTHORIZED EMERGENCY
>> VEHICLES.
>> ...
>> (c) Except as provided by this section, an authorized
>> emergency vehicle shall be equipped with signal lamps that:

>>
>> (1) are mounted as high and as widely spaced laterally
>> as practicable;
>> (2) display four alternately flashing red lights, two
>> located on the front at the same level and two located on the rear at
>>
>> the same level; and
>> (3) emit a light visible at a distance of 500 feet in
>> normal sunlight.
>>
>> § 547.305. RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF LIGHTS.
>> ...
>> (c) A person may not operate a motor vehicle equipped with a
>>
>> red, white, or blue beacon, flashing, or alternating light unless
>> the equipment is:
>> (1) used as specifically authorized by this chapter;
>> or
>> (2) a running lamp, headlamp, taillamp, backup lamp,
>>
>> or turn signal lamp that is used as authorized by law.
>>
>> On Fri, Jul 25, 2008 at 1:56 PM, dustdevil31
>>
>> <rob.davis@...<mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com>>wrote:
>>
>> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
>> <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, "Brent
>> > Willcox" <bwillcox@...> wrote:
>> > > So, if Oncor is claiming not to run code to downed wires, why do they
>> > have
>> > > trucks equipped with red and blue bars, which, according to the laws
>> as I
>> > > know them, are only authorized for law enforcement?
>> >
>> > Just FYI, any "authorised emergency vehicle" may utilise any colour of
>> > lights they choose,
>> > according to state law. There is no one colour reserved for any
>> particular
>> > service. And to
>> > muddy the waters, any political subdivision (city, county, state,
>> special
>> > district, ISD, etc...)
>> > may designate whoever they want as an "authorised emergency vehicle",
>> > utilising some pretty
>> > broad criteria.
>> >
>> > Rob
>> >
>> >

>> >
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>
>--
>Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com
>
>Regards,
>Richard Whitenight
>Arlington, Texas
>"God Bless America"
>
>

Sent via the WebMail system at tvsjr.com

28651. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-26 04:14:11 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28614 Message: 28651

Kind of what I thought but wasn't sure. Not a whole lot newsworthy goes on down there so I'm not down there much. Of course tonight they did burn the Lancaster's fire chief's house to the ground! Thanks for the update.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hiegtx
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 9:06 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Duncanville Code 200

Terry,

When Regional (SWRCC) was established, if I remember correctly, there was a news article, either in the Snooze or possibly the Duncanville paper, that Lancaster was expected & invited to participate. However, I believe that they ultimately declined at the time, because they had just spent xxx dollars remodeling/upgrading their own dispatch center.

The dispatchers that I'm hearing on Lancaster do not sound like the ones I'm hearing on the Regional channels in the same time frame. I think the door was left open for them to change their mind & join in the future.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> So is Lancaster now dispatched by regional? I thought it was only Desoto,
> Duncanville and Cedar Hill.
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28652. Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-26 05:04:00 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28614 Message: 28652

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Of course tonight they did burn the
> Lancaster's fire chief's house to the ground!

Wow, I didn't realise that was his house! I dispatched that one to IPN and listened to the whole thing. Command told them to keep it defensive from the very beginning, but it sounded like firefighters kept going in anyhow, and he had a hard time getting them back out. I thought that was a little weird. Now it's starting to make sense. Was it a pretty big
house?

Rob

28653. RE: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-26 13:30:40 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28614 Message: 28653

From the video it looks large. 4 has decent video and it may be up on the web. Here's the press release:

FIRE AT LANCASTER FIRE CHIEF'S HOME

Lancaster, TX - Firefighters were dispatched to Lancaster's Fire Chief Dick Knopf's home in the 300 block of Houston School Road at 8:24 p.m. tonight on a two alarm fire. The fire is suspected to have started in the garage. Lancaster's fire department received mutual aid from Desoto FD, Dallas FD, Waxahachie FD, Red Oak FD, Ovilla FD and Hutchinson FD.

The fire is under investigation and information will be made available as it comes in.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dustdevil31
Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2008 12:04 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Of course tonight they did burn the
> Lancaster's fire chief's house to the ground!

Wow, I didn't realise that was his house! I dispatched that one to IPN and listened to the
whole thing. Command told them to keep it defensive from the very beginning, but it
sounded like firefighters kept going in anyhow, and he had a hard time getting them back
out. I thought that was a little weird. Now it's starting to make sense. Was it a pretty big
house?

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28654. Re: Lancaster fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-26 18:06:03 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28654 Message: 28654

I got to listen to that call. Heard command tell dispatch to set off the evacuation tones, and ten minutes later they set them off. Don't know if it was dispatch error or the endless chatter on the radio.
Why don't they do like everyone else and use three short blasts of the air horn and/or siren?
Did anyone hear anything about a firefighter in Kaufman County getting hurt last night?

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28655. Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-26 18:44:49 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 28614 Message: 28655

fire was caused by a vehicle fuel leak on hot exhaust

-----Original Message-----
From: Terry <terry@...>
To: 'dustdevil31' <rob.davis@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sat, 26 Jul 2008 8:30 am
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

From the video it looks large. 4 has decent video and it may be up on the web. Here's the press release:

FIRE AT LANCASTER FIRE CHIEF'S HOME

Lancaster, TX - Firefighters were dispatched to Lancaster's Fire Chief Dick Knopf's home in the 300 block of Houston School Road at 8:24 p.m. tonight on a two alarm fire. The fire is suspected to have started in the garage. Lancaster's fire department received mutual aid from Desoto FD, Dallas FD, Waxahachie FD, Red Oak FD, Ovilla FD and Hutchinson FD.

The fire is under investigation and information will be made available as it comes in.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dustdevil31
Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2008 12:04 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Of course tonight they did burn the
> Lancaster's fire chief's house to the ground!

Wow, I didn't realise that was his house! I dispatched that one to IPN and listened to the
whole thing. Command told them to keep it defensive from the very beginning, but it
sounded like firefighters kept going in anyhow, and he had a hard time getting them back
out. I thought that was a little weird. Now it's starting to make sense. Was it a pretty big
house?

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28656. Twitter, Anyone?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-26 19:34:39 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 28614 Message: 28656

I don't recall ever seeing any mention of Twitter in this group. However, it strikes me that Twitter might be a perfect real-time group IM application. Is anyone using Twitter now? Is anyone game for a live IM group? Jus' thinkin' .....

Toby

28657. Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 05:27:23 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28614 Message: 28657

The video is on Channel 4's website I will include the link. Dallas
County Fire Marshal is investigating and says that so far the cause is
that the suburban exploded for a undetermined reason.

http://www.myfoxdfw.com/myfox/pages/Home/Detail?contentId=7070293&version=3&locale=EN-US&layoutCode=VSTY&pageId=1.1.1

cwrong@... wrote:
>
> fire was caused by a vehicle fuel leak on hot exhaust
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Terry <terry@... <mailto:terry%40tvssr.com>>
> To: 'dustdevil31' <rob.davis@...
> <mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com>>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sat, 26 Jul 2008 8:30 am
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)
>
> >From the video it looks large. 4 has decent video and it may be up on the
> web. Here's the press release:
>
> FIRE AT LANCASTER FIRE CHIEF'S HOME
>
> Lancaster, TX - Firefighters were dispatched to Lancaster's Fire Chief
> Dick
> Knopf's home in the 300 block of Houston School Road at 8:24 p.m.
> tonight on
> a two alarm fire. The fire is suspected to have started in the garage.
> Lancaster's fire department received mutual aid from Desoto FD, Dallas FD,
> Waxahachie FD, Red Oak FD, Ovilla FD and Hutchinson FD.
>
> The fire is under investigation and information will be made available
> as it
> comes in.
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> dustdevil31
> Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2008 12:04 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>

> Subject: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
> >
> > Of course tonight they did burn the
> > Lancaster's fire chief's house to the ground!
>
> Wow, I didn't realise that was his house! I dispatched that one to IPN and
> listened to the
> whole thing. Command told them to keep it defensive from the very
> beginning, but it
> sounded like firefighters kept going in anyhow, and he had a hard time
> getting them back
> out. I thought that was a little weird. Now it's starting to make sense.
> Was it a pretty big
> house?
>
> Rob
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>! Groups
> Links
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

28658. Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 04:37:50 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 28614 Message: 28658

Wow.. The irony.

Justin Lentz wrote:
> The video is on Channel 4's website I will include the link. Dallas
> County Fire Marshal is investigating and says that so far the cause is
> that the suburban exploded for a undetermined reason.
>
> http://www.myfoxdfw.com/myfox/pages/Home/Detail?contentId=7070293&version=3&locale=EN-US
&layoutCode=VSTY&pageId=1.1.1
>
>
>
> cwrong@... wrote:
>> fire was caused by a vehicle fuel leak on hot exhaust
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Terry <terry@... <mailto:terry%40tvssr.com>>
>> To: 'dustdevil31' <rob.davis@...
>> <mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com>>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>> <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Sat, 26 Jul 2008 8:30 am
>> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)
>>
>> >From the video it looks large. 4 has decent video and it may be up on the
>> web. Here's the press release:
>>
>> FIRE AT LANCASTER FIRE CHIEF'S HOME
>>
>> Lancaster, TX - Firefighters were dispatched to Lancaster's Fire Chief
>> Dick
>> Knopf's home in the 300 block of Houston School Road at 8:24 p.m.
>> tonight on
>> a two alarm fire. The fire is suspected to have started in the garage.
>> Lancaster's fire department received mutual aid from Desoto FD, Dallas FD,
>> Waxahachie FD, Red Oak FD, Ovilla FD and Hutchinson FD.
>>
>> The fire is under investigation and information will be made available
>> as it
>> comes in.
>>
>> Terry
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
>> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On

>> Behalf Of
>> dustdevil31
>> Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2008 12:04 AM
>> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
>> Subject: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)
>>
>> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
>> "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>>> Of course tonight they did burn the
>>> Lancaster's fire chief's house to the ground!
>> Wow, I didn't realise that was his house! I dispatched that one to IPN and
>> listened to the
>> whole thing. Command told them to keep it defensive from the very
>> beginning, but it
>> sounded like firefighters kept going in anyhow, and he had a hard time
>> getting them back
>> out. I thought that was a little weird. Now it's starting to make sense.
>> Was it a pretty big
>> house?
>>
>> Rob
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
>> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>! Groups
>> Links
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

28659. Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 11:51:19 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28614 Message: 28659

> > The video is on Channel 4's website I will include the link. Dallas
> > County Fire Marshal is investigating and says that so far the cause is
> > that the suburban exploded for a undetermined reason.

Was it a city vehicle, or a POV?

28660. What are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 17:36:47 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 28660 Message: 28660

There are several of these thing located throughout Arlington. They look as if they may be some sort of survey devices. Anyone know what they are?

Picts are here:

http://ph.groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos/browse/6029

28661. Re: [dfwscan] What are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 17:48:36 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28660 Message: 28661

I think they are some type of seismic sensors for oil & gas exploration.
I have seen similar ones here in the Mineral Wells area that had several small
boxes with cables connected to a bigger box with an antenna.

Tom

Bill wrote:
> There are several of these thing located throughout Arlington. They look as if they may
be
> some sort of survey devices. Anyone know what they are?
>
> Picts are here:
>
> http://ph.groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos/browse/6029

28662. Re: [dfwscan] What are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 18:14:38 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28660 Message: 28662

If I'm not mistaken, those are the transmitters that send seismic data back to a central site. There are usually several geophones located nearby that are plugged into it. They are all over southwest Fort Worth at this time. First encountered them over in Gateway park last year.

Back in the dark ages they would put out a grid of geophones hardwired to a seismic truck and set off an underground explosive charge and 'map' how long it took for the sound to reach each sensor. This would give them a 3-D representation of the rock formations in the area. Now days that have a big vehicle with a massive vibrating weight that creates the sound waves.

Tom ND5Y wrote:
> I think they are some type of seismic sensors for oil & gas exploration.
> I have seen similar ones here in the Mineral Wells area that had several small
> boxes with cables connected to a bigger box with an antenna.
>
> Tom
>
> Bill wrote:
>
>> There are several of these thing located throughout Arlington. They look as if they may be
>> some sort of survey devices. Anyone know what they are?
>>
>> Picts are here:
>>
>> http://ph.groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos/browse/6029
>>

28663. Seismic Shaker Truck

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 18:26:29 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28663 Message: 28663

Look for these vehicles around locations where you find the geophones
and transmitters:

http://www.wellcollc.com/images/glossary/vibroseis.jpg

28664. Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 18:27:51 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 28614 Message: 28664

it was the family's suburban

-----Original Message-----
From: dustdevil31 <rob.davis@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, 27 Jul 2008 6:51 am
Subject: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

> > The video is on Channel 4's website I will include the link. Dallas
> > County Fire Marshal is investigating and says that so far the cause is
> > that the suburban exploded for a undetermined reason.

Was it a city vehicle, or a POV?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28665. Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 18:34:06 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28614 Message: 28665

And I thought it was only the Fords that spontaneously combusted.

> it was the family's suburban
>
>
>
>
>>> The video is on Channel 4's website I will include the link. Dallas
>>> County Fire Marshal is investigating and says that so far the cause is
>>> that the suburban exploded for a undetermined reason.
>>>
>
> Was it a city vehicle, or a POV?
>

28666. RE: [dfwscan] What are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 18:20:45 UTC
From: Karen
Topic: 28660 Message: 28666

Possibly Barnett Shale drilling related???

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Sunday, July 27, 2008 1:15 PM
To: DFWSCAN
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] What are these?

If I'm not mistaken, those are the transmitters that send seismic data back to a central site. There are usually several geophones located nearby that are plugged into it. They are all over southwest Fort Worth at this time. First encountered them over in Gateway park last year.

Back in the dark ages they would put out a grid of geophones hardwired to a seismic truck and set off an underground explosive charge and 'map' how long it took for the sound to reach each sensor. This would give them a 3-D representation of the rock formations in the area. Now days that have a big vehicle with a massive vibrating weight that creates the sound waves.

Tom ND5Y wrote:
> I think they are some type of seismic sensors for oil & gas exploration.
> I have seen similar ones here in the Mineral Wells area that had several small
> boxes with cables connected to a bigger box with an antenna.
>
> Tom
>
> Bill wrote:
>
>> There are several of these thing located throughout Arlington. They look as if they may be
>> some sort of survey devices. Anyone know what they are?
>>
>> Picts are here:
>>
>> http://ph.groups.
<http://ph.groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos/browse/6029>
yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos/browse/6029
>>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28667. Re: [dfwscan] What are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 18:52:31 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28660 Message: 28667

Karen wrote:
> Possibly Barnett Shale drilling related???
>
>

Yup.

28668. Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 19:42:14 UTC
From: P L
Topic: 28614 Message: 28668

In this heat, anything is possible. Currently 103 where I am at, and Heat Index feels about 110 or so.

----- Original Message ----
From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
To: DFWSCAN <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 27, 2008 1:34:06 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

And I thought it was only the Fords that spontaneously combusted.

> it was the family's suburban
>
>
>
>
>>> The video is on Channel 4's website I will include the link. Dallas
>>> County Fire Marshal is investigating and says that so far the cause is
>>> that the suburban exploded for a undetermined reason.
>>>
>
> Was it a city vehicle, or a POV?
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28669. Re: [dfwscan] What are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 19:43:57 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 28660 Message: 28669

They may or may not be seismometer strings. (It's a definite 'maybe'.) It depends on what's connected to the box off-camera. If it's a dozen or more earth spikes with round caps above ground, wired together at intervals of about 20 feet, the odds of being an exploration tool go way up. If there's not much else with what was pictured but a bunch of wire on the ground, then it's not used in exploration. If it is exploration, you should see transmitter units every 200-300 ft. (50-100 meters, if you prefer) for 2 to 4 miles or so.

The vibrator trucks (Vibroseis - registered trademark of Conoco) operate in groups of 2 to 6 and generate a (usually) linear sweep of frequencies from about 4 to 40 Hz for as long as 30 seconds. Each truck typically weighs in at 20-25 tons, but in remote areas (e.g., deserts) may run to 35 tons. That's a LOT of energy put into the ground and the return energy sent to a recorder from each seismometer could be as little as several microvolts.

For all the ambient noise of a city street, you'd never see any reflection signal except for the principles of redundancy of sources and receivers and the power of statistical processing on huge computers.

So if there was nothing more than a transmitter unit on the street with a bunch of cable on the ground, it's not seismic exploration. Btw, thanks to Bill for his link to a photo of a Vibroseis truck.

Toby

At 12:48 PM 7/27/2008, Tom ND5Y wrote:

>I think they are some type of seismic sensors for oil & gas exploration.
>I have seen similar ones here in the Mineral Wells area that had
>several small
>boxes with cables connected to a bigger box with an antenna.
>
>Tom
>
>Bill wrote:
> > There are several of these thing located throughout Arlington.
> They look as if they may be
> > some sort of survey devices. Anyone know what they are?
> >
> > Picts are here:
> >
> >
> <http://ph.groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos/browse/6029>http://ph.groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos/browse/6029

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28670. Baby Left in Vehicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 20:50:01 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 28660 Message: 28670

IPN reported at 3 p.m. today that Careflight was en route to Aubrey
(Denton County) for a 14-month-old left in a vehicle for two hours. TWO HOURS!

That's infuriating! What are those so-called adults who are supposed
to be responsible thinking about??!! It's 100 degrees outside;
easily 120 in the car.

Put me on the jury for that case. I'd insist on similar conditions
in any sentencing. Two minutes in the microwave!

Toby

28671. Re: [dfwscan] What are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 21:00:47 UTC
From: Bill Hammon
Topic: 28660 Message: 28671

Yes, there are spikes in the ground along the black wire. The yellow wire is linked to several other units it appears. I have seen them along Park Row in Arlington, and it seems that both the elementary and middle school's property near my house have them around the perimeter. We've all signed gas leases in this area, so hopefully they be drilling soon.

On Jul 27, 2008, at 2:43 PM, Toby Zidle wrote:

> They may or may not be seismometer strings. (It's a definite
> 'maybe'.) It depends on what's connected to the box off-camera. If
> it's a dozen or more earth spikes with round caps above ground, wired
> together at intervals of about 20 feet, the odds of being an
> exploration tool go way up. If there's not much else with what was
> pictured but a bunch of wire on the ground, then it's not used in
> exploration. If it is exploration, you should see transmitter units
> every 200-300 ft. (50-100 meters, if you prefer) for 2 to 4 miles or
> so.
>
> The vibrator trucks (Vibroseis - registered trademark of Conoco)
> operate in groups of 2 to 6 and generate a (usually) linear sweep of
> frequencies from about 4 to 40 Hz for as long as 30 seconds. Each
> truck typically weighs in at 20-25 tons, but in remote areas (e.g.,
> deserts) may run to 35 tons. That's a LOT of energy put into the
> ground and the return energy sent to a recorder from each seismometer
> could be as little as several microvolts.
>
> For all the ambient noise of a city street, you'd never see any
> reflection signal except for the principles of redundancy of sources
> and receivers and the power of statistical processing on huge
> computers.
>
> So if there was nothing more than a transmitter unit on the street
> with a bunch of cable on the ground, it's not seismic
> exploration. Btw, thanks to Bill for his link to a photo of a
> Vibroseis truck.
>
> Toby
>
> At 12:48 PM 7/27/2008, Tom ND5Y wrote:
>
> >I think they are some type of seismic sensors for oil & gas
> exploration.
> >I have seen similar ones here in the Mineral Wells area that had
> >several small

> >boxes with cables connected to a bigger box with an antenna.
> >
> >Tom
> >
> >Bill wrote:
> > > There are several of these thing located throughout Arlington.
> > They look as if they may be
> > > some sort of survey devices. Anyone know what they are?
> > >
> > > Picts are here:
> > >
> > >
> > <http://ph.groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos/browse/6029>http://ph.groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos/browse/6029
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28672. Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-27 21:12:10 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 28614 Message: 28672

it was possibly caused by a defective fuel filter that?was recalled. several people that i know that have vehicles affected by recall never recieved notices

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
To: DFWSCAN <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sun, 27 Jul 2008 1:34 pm
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Lancaster Fire (was Re: Duncanville Code 200)

And I thought it was only the Fords that spontaneously combusted.

> it was the family's suburban
>
>
>
>
>>> The video is on Channel 4's website I will include the link. Dallas
>>> County Fire Marshal is investigating and says that so far the cause is
>>> that the suburban exploded for a undetermined reason.
>>>
>
> Was it a city vehicle, or a POV?
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28673. Re: [dfwscan] What are these?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-28 01:09:03 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28660 Message: 28673

Yep. I walk past a dozen or so at lunch when I walk the Amon Carter area. They are labeled as Seismic sensors.

----- Original Message ----
From: Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, July 27, 2008 12:48:36 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] What are these?

I think they are some type of seismic sensors for oil & gas exploration.
I have seen similar ones here in the Mineral Wells area that had several small
boxes with cables connected to a bigger box with an antenna.

Tom

Bill wrote:
> There are several of these thing located throughout Arlington. They look as if they may be
> some sort of survey devices. Anyone know what they are?
>
> Picts are here:
>
> http://ph.groups. yahoo.com/ group/dfwscan/ photos/browse/ 6029

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28674. RE: [dfwscan] Baby Left in Vehicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-28 02:46:58 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28660 Message: 28674

Sure glad to see someone else feels the same as I do. I see it time and time again with hot cars and drowning. When my son was 14 months old he was never out of sight while awake. Now the next thing you'll hear is the poor parents have suffered enough!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Toby Zidle
Sent: Sunday, July 27, 2008 3:50 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Baby Left in Vehicle

IPN reported at 3 p.m. today that Careflight was en route to Aubrey (Denton County) for a 14-month-old left in a vehicle for two hours. TWO HOURS!

That's infuriating! What are those so-called adults who are supposed to be responsible thinking about??!! It's 100 degrees outside; easily 120 in the car.

Put me on the jury for that case. I'd insist on similar conditions in any sentencing. Two minutes in the microwave!

Toby

28675. Re: Baby Left in Vehicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-28 03:30:03 UTC
From: Tim Perkins
Topic: 28660 Message: 28675

I concur with the thoughts already expressed. This baby's in heaven now (it did die today) and all is well, but I can't remove the image from my mind of the suffering experienced by this child. I don't know what the solution is. Maybe an all-out media blitz every year when the temperature warms up...keeping the heat (sorry) on these, uh, forgetful parents with tons of public service announcement, billboards, and news spots.

Tim Perkins
rockwall

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28676. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Baby Left in Vehicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-28 06:12:49 UTC
From: Loopy Lipshitz
Topic: 28660 Message: 28676

The day you have to remind a parent of a child that they HAVE a child in the car with them, that's the day you start reminding irrespondsible human beings about BIRTH CONTROL.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Tim Perkins" <tim552@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, July 27, 2008 10:30:03 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Baby Left in Vehicle

I concur with the thoughts already expressed. This baby's in heaven now (it did die today) and all is well, but I can't remove the image from my mind of the suffering experienced by this child. I don't know what the solution is. Maybe an all-out media blitz every year when the temperature warms up...keeping the heat (sorry) on these, uh, forgetful parents with tons of public service announcement, billboards, and news spots.

Tim Perkins
rockwall

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28677. Baby thread

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-28 11:28:19 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 28677 Message: 28677

This is way off topic.

Needs to move elsewhere.

Thank you.

Don Teauge
DFWSCAN Moderator

28678. Strange activity on scanner this morning.....

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-29 13:13:11 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28678 Message: 28678

While listening to Johnson Cty Passport on 154.445, I heard Maud VFD
being dispatched to a fire on FM 3098. The strange thing is, Maud VFD
is located in Bowie County!!! That's the same county as Texarkana.

I have no explanation on how I'm picking up fire dispatch for a
county that is some 200 plus miles away. It was coming in pretty clear.
Except for the data burst on the JoCo Passport system that kept
interrupting the transmission.

The PL tone was 162.2 BTW

And no I'm not hungover from last night! :)
I don't even drink.....

Chris

28679. RE: [dfwscan] Strange activity on scanner this morning.....

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-29 13:24:23 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28678 Message: 28679

Really good conditions this morning. DPS is getting hammered with "skip" as
well.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Chris Easley
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2008 8:13 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Strange activity on scanner this morning.....

While listening to Johnson Cty Passport on 154.445, I heard Maud VFD
being dispatched to a fire on FM 3098. The strange thing is, Maud VFD
is located in Bowie County!!! That's the same county as Texarkana.

I have no explanation on how I'm picking up fire dispatch for a
county that is some 200 plus miles away. It was coming in pretty clear.
Except for the data burst on the JoCo Passport system that kept
interrupting the transmission.

The PL tone was 162.2 BTW

And no I'm not hungover from last night! :)
I don't even drink.....

Chris

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28680. Mineral Wells DPS digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-31 21:48:26 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28680 Message: 28680

Mineral Wells DPS is now P25 digtial on 155.460

Tom ND5Y

28681. MWL DPS - Aledo repeater now on P25.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-07-31 22:40:12 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 28681 Message: 28681

159.2175 NAC 141. Heard this evening.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28682. Re: MWL DPS - Aledo repeater now on P25.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 00:20:17 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28681 Message: 28682

Ed C. wrote:

> 159.2175 NAC 141. Heard this evening.

Ok. I'm confused. I assumed the Aledo repeater
was/is the same as the Mineral Wells repeater?

If it is, then Tom ND5Y posted an earlier message
stating the Mineral Wells repeater is now digital
on 155.460.

So what frequency (or frequencies) are they using
out there?

--
Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.10/1584 - Release Date: 7/31/2008 12:00 PM

28683. Re: MWL DPS - Aledo repeater now on P25.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 01:01:06 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28681 Message: 28683

The Aledo Repeater is on 159.210. It is east of Weatherford. It is dispatched by Mineral Wells. The main Mineral Wells station on 155.460 is in extreme southeast Jack County north of Whitt. They normally simulcast on both. So far today I haven't heard any mobiles on 155.460 so I don't know if they are running it in repeater mode any more like they had been recently before they switched to digital.

Tom

Blaine wrote:
>
> Ok. I'm confused. I assumed the Aledo repeater
> was/is the same as the Mineral Wells repeater?
>
> If it is, then Tom ND5Y posted an earlier message
> stating the Mineral Wells repeater is now digital
> on 155.460.
>
> So what frequency (or frequencies) are they using
> out there?
>

28684. Denton County 155.835 ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 03:05:55 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28684 Message: 28684

RR.com has it listed as Fire Dispatch/Paging, However it has alot of PD traffic, some of which has Celina PD Traffic, Talking to Denton County Dispatch (Though Celina is in Collin County) . . .

My question is, What has 155.835 been changed to, and why is Celina talking to Den/Co Dispatch?

Thanks

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28685. RE: [dfwscan] Denton County 155.835 ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 03:25:43 UTC
From: Todd P. Stone
Topic: 28684 Message: 28685

Frequency

Input

License

Type

Tone

Alpha Tag

Description

Mode

Tag

155.83500

153.87500

KZE528 <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=KZE528>

BM

114.8 PL

HUNT CO SO

Sheriff CH 1 Dispatch (Greenville Police Ch. 2)

FM

Law Dispatch

I think the 4xx units are actually Hunt County units. not to be confused with 64xx which is Celina FD, though they drop the 6 when they talk on the radio. Additionally if you listen the dispatcher toning out FD calls is totally different that the one dispatching in Hunt County. While the actual dispatcher is different. what I mean by totally different is the console sounds different.. The Hunt county console has a much tinnier sound to it...

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Code 3 Productions
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 10:06 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Denton County 155.835 ?

RR.com has it listed as Fire Dispatch/Paging, However it has alot of PD traffic, some of which has Celina PD Traffic, Talking to Denton County Dispatch (Though Celina is in Collin County) . . .

My question is, What has 155.835 been changed to, and why is Celina talking to Den/Co Dispatch?

Thanks

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28686. East Texas Scanning website

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 03:36:16 UTC
From: Josh Kaufman/ KE5FGC
Topic: 28686 Message: 28686

for those that are interested I have created a website for the East Texas area... Any input is greatly appreciated
www.scaneastexas.com

--
Josh Kaufman
KE5FGC
281-435-1064
shortfilmguy@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28687. Big wreck in Frisco

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 12:03:48 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28687 Message: 28687

US 380 west of Redbud. Three vehicles major damage.
Five victims priority 3.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.10/1585 - Release Date: 8/1/2008 6:39 AM

28688. RE: [dfwscan] Denton County 155.835 ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 12:04:53 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28684 Message: 28688

Ellis Co SO on this freq as well.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Todd P. Stone
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 10:26 PM
To: 'Code 3 Productions'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Denton County 155.835 ?

Frequency

Input

License

Type

Tone

Alpha Tag

Description

Mode

Tag

155.83500

153.87500

KZE528 <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=KZE528>

BM

114.8 PL

HUNT CO SO

Sheriff CH 1 Dispatch (Greenville Police Ch. 2)

FM

Law Dispatch

I think the 4xx units are actually Hunt County units. not to be confused with 64xx which is Celina FD, though they drop the 6 when they talk on the radio. Additionally if you listen the dispatcher toning out FD calls is

totally different that the one dispatching in Hunt County. While the actual dispatcher is different. what I mean by totally different is the console sounds different.. The Hunt county console has a much tinnier sound to it...

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Code 3 Productions
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 10:06 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Denton County 155.835 ?

RR.com has it listed as Fire Dispatch/Paging, However it has alot of PD traffic, some of which has Celina PD Traffic, Talking to Denton County Dispatch (Though Celina is in Collin County) . . .

My question is, What has 155.835 been changed to, and why is Celina talking to Den/Co Dispatch?

Thanks

--
Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28689. Re: Denton County 155.835 ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 13:47:29 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 28684 Message: 28689

Denton County only uses 155.835 with a 118.8 tone to activate the Minitor pagers for the the smaller fire departments in the county. My understanding is that this will eventually be phased out as the dispatches are being simulcasted on the respective department operations talkgroup and have been for some time now.

You occasionally will also hear a DPS trooper that does not have an 800 radio use it to talk to Denton County, but this is exceptionally rare now.

Ellis County SO also uses 155.835 with a 162.2 tone as their main dispatch frequency.

So, check your CTCSS tones:
114.4: Hunt County
118.8: Denton County
162.2: Ellis County

-b-
--
Brent Willcox (KD5WYU)
mail:bwillcox@...
www:http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/

28690. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Denton County 155.835 ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 14:03:02 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 28684 Message: 28690

As for the fire departments don't expect the tone outs to be phased out anytime in the near future and probably longer. Most of these departments are small volunteer departments or small combination paid/volunteer departments. They have very limited budgets and as long as they can't afford to give all of their firefighters radios to take home they will still need the VHF frequency to activate their Minitor pagers which are significantly cheaper than the radios for the 800 system. I can't see most of these places having enough money to give everyone on the department a radio (including new members coming in over time) and then be able to replace them when someone breaks one. I think you'll see it stay the way it is for a long time. I am glad however that they are doing the simulcast because that's one less frequency to keep up with and it also helps when someone doesn't have a pager but they have a radio with them.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brent Willcox
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2008 8:47 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Denton County 155.835 ?

Denton County only uses 155.835 with a 118.8 tone to activate the Minitor pagers for the the smaller fire departments in the county. My understanding is that this will eventually be phased out as the dispatches are being simulcasted on the respective department operations talkgroup and have been for some time now.

You occasionally will also hear a DPS trooper that does
not have an 800 radio use it to talk to Denton County, but this is exceptionally rare now.

Ellis County SO also uses 155.835 with a 162.2 tone as their main dispatch frequency.

So, check your CTCSS tones:
114.4: Hunt County
118.8: Denton County
162.2: Ellis County

-b-
--
Brent Willcox (KD5WYU)
mail:bwillcox@...
www:http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28691. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Denton County 155.835 ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 14:04:39 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28684 Message: 28691

Also, an XTS (or any portable radio) is a very poor substitute for a purpose-built Minitor pager.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brandon Thetford
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2008 09:03 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Denton County 155.835 ?

As for the fire departments don't expect the tone outs to be phased out anytime in the near future and probably longer. Most of these departments are small volunteer departments or small combination paid/volunteer departments. They have very limited budgets and as long as they can't afford to give all of their firefighters radios to take home they will still need the VHF frequency to activate their Minitor pagers which are significantly cheaper than the radios for the 800 system. I can't see most of these places having enough money to give everyone on the department a radio (including new members coming in over time) and then be able to replace them when someone breaks one. I think you'll see it stay the way it is for a long time. I am glad however that they are doing the simulcast because that's one less frequency to keep up with and it also helps when someone doesn't have a pager but they have a radio with them.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
Brent Willcox
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2008 8:47 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Denton County 155.835 ?

Denton County only uses 155.835 with a 118.8 tone to activate the Minitor pagers for the the smaller fire departments in the county. My understanding is that this will eventually be phased out as the dispatches are being simulcasted on the respective department operations talkgroup and have been for some time now.

You occasionally will also hear a DPS trooper that does
not have an 800 radio use it to talk to Denton County, but this is exceptionally rare now.

Ellis County SO also uses 155.835 with a 162.2 tone as their main dispatch frequency.

So, check your CTCSS tones:
114.4: Hunt County
118.8: Denton County
162.2: Ellis County

-b-
--
Brent Willcox (KD5WYU)
mail:bwillcox@... <mailto:bwillcox%40ares.homeip.net>
www:http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo> &mid=2Yahoo!
Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28692. A little off topic - Carrollton?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 14:06:30 UTC
From: Niki
Topic: 28692 Message: 28692

During the last week in Carrollton, there have been two nights where there are firetrucks and squad cars blocking off several blocks of streets. Seems like a lot of activity in relatively quiet neighborhoods. The first incident was near Trinity Mills and McCoy area. Then, just two nights ago (I think) it was near Frankford/Josey area. Thanks in advance for any help! :)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28693. Re: A little off topic - Carrollton?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 16:17:52 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28692 Message: 28693

Niki wrote:

> During the last week in Carrollton, there have been two nights where there
> are firetrucks and squad cars blocking off several blocks of streets. Seems
> like a lot of activity in relatively quiet neighborhoods.

Hate to say it, but block parties?

Personally, I think these things are ridiculous, but I
believe in Flower Mound you can request PD/FD
help to block off areas. Big waste of taxpayer money
if you ask me. If you can't control your own party,
then you don't need to be having one, plain
and simple!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.10/1585 - Release Date: 8/1/2008 6:39 AM

28694. Re: Denton County 155.835 ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 16:30:34 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28684 Message: 28694

Brandon Thetford wrote:

> As for the fire departments don't expect the tone outs to be phased out
> anytime in the near future and probably longer. Most of these departments
> are small volunteer departments or small combination paid/volunteer
> departments. They have very limited budgets and as long as they can't
> afford to give all of their firefighters radios to take home

I'll throw in my two....

I agree with Brandon, in that, I'm sure there are oodles of
FF/EMT's out there (not just in Denco) that would love to
upgrade to a new fancy dancy digital capable radio, but
the fact of the matter is, even with the changeover mandate,
it just ain't gonna be happenin' with MANY departments.
Numerous ones just flat out don't have the budget for 3-5K
a piece radios. Denco is planning on a future move to P25,
countywide. However, getting everyone on the same page
(communications - and financial wise -) will be a total different
animal entirely. Many volunteer departments are barely able
to do oil and tire changes on their apparatus.

Also, remember too (and I brought this up in a past post), that
DPS can get on the 155.835 repeater to coordinate activities
and mutual aid with the county. I assume county doesn't have
the DPS 800 talkgroup(s) in their dispatch console, or the new
DPS digital channels. So I don't see 155.835 going away anytime
soon.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.10/1585 - Release Date: 8/1/2008 6:39 AM

28695. Dallas Fire Department radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 18:45:21 UTC
From: D. Brian Daniels
Topic: 28695 Message: 28695

Is Dallas Fire Rescue dispatch not working, or is it my radio? I have not heard anything for about 30 - 40 minutes (it is 1:40 pm now), and I have noticed changes on the Dallas Fire Rescue Active Incident website.

Thanks in advance.

28696. Re: A little off topic - Carrollton?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-01 19:45:55 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28692 Message: 28696

Even though this is OT, I had to comment, hope it wont go too far (sorry Don).

It has nothing to do with people not being able to control their party, its when the entire block is involved in some type of party, or get together, usually for the kids. And citizens are not allow to block the streets so some type of city services has too.

When I was a kid we had block parties for Halloween (City of Fort Worth) and the citizens on that block had to get a permit to have a block party and to block the street. Now back then PD nor FD were not there like mention in this post, there may have been one officer, and the city just came out and put up barricades. So, I'm all for block parties if its for the kids. And as for wasting taxpayers money, as long the public safety services that are being used are not being taken away from providing emergency services (off duty) and the citizens are paying to have those services out there (privately), then I see no problem in it.

KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:
>
> Niki wrote:
>
> > During the last week in Carrollton, there have been two nights where there
> > are firetrucks and squad cars blocking off several blocks of streets. Seems
> > like a lot of activity in relatively quiet neighborhoods.
>
> Hate to say it, but block parties?
>
> Personally, I think these things are ridiculous, but I
> believe in Flower Mound you can request PD/FD
> help to block off areas. Big waste of taxpayer money
> if you ask me. If you can't control your own party,
> then you don't need to be having one, plain
> and simple!
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226
>

> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
> Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.10/1585 - Release Date:
8/1/2008 6:39 AM
>

28697. RE: [dfwscan] Mineral Wells DPS digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-02 00:04:08 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28680 Message: 28697

My neighbor is dating a female dispatcher from this station and he told me this same thing today (probably because I kept asking him to ask her when they were going digital). Thanks Tom :)

BTW, are they on 155.46? I noticed while coming back from San Antonio last weekend that DPS down south seems to be P25 on 159.21 and not 159.2175 as slated. I'd get the buzz sound on 159.2175 with my BCD396T but I'd hear DPS perfectly fine on 159.21 digital.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 4:48 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Mineral Wells DPS digital

Mineral Wells DPS is now P25 digtial on 155.460

Tom ND5Y

28698. RE: [dfwscan] East Texas Scanning website

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-02 00:13:45 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28686 Message: 28698

Is this a cut and paste of information from other sites? Some of this material is verbatim from other groups/sites I've seen before.

Just curious...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Josh Kaufman/ KE5FGC
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 10:36 PM
To: houstonscan; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] East Texas Scanning website

for those that are interested I have created a website for the East Texas area... Any input is greatly appreciated
www.scaneastexas.com

--
Josh Kaufman
KE5FGC
281-435-1064
shortfilmguy@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28699. Irving Tac team working

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-02 01:00:07 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28680 Message: 28699

I've been hearing units responding code 3 (lights and sirens) to Embassy apts. Sounds like a victim of some sort, and a barricade situation. They're trying to verify the address, since they've only had a cell phone call. Lots of talking about breaching devices and charges. 8/1/2008 19:57

IPD Tac 1, decimal tg 305

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28700. RE: [dfwscan] Irving Tac team working

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-02 01:32:19 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28680 Message: 28700

Sounds like it's going to be a hoax. 20:30

>I've been hearing units responding code 3 (lights and sirens) to Embassy apts. Sounds like a victim of some sort, and a barricade situation. They're trying to verify the address, since they've only had a cell phone call. Lots of talking about breaching devices and charges. 8/1/2008 19:57

IPD Tac 1, decimal tg 305<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28701. SWRCC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-02 02:23:07 UTC
From: Chris Wright
Topic: 28701 Message: 28701

heres an article on the new system:

http://www.todaynewspapers.net/articles/2008/08/01/desoto/news/dsnews3.txt

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28702. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire Department radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-02 03:55:28 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28695 Message: 28702

Not sure about your radio but the website dies on a frequent basis.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of D. Brian Daniels
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2008 1:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire Department radio

Is Dallas Fire Rescue dispatch not working, or is it my radio? I have not heard anything for about 30 - 40 minutes (it is 1:40 pm now), and I have noticed changes on the Dallas Fire Rescue Active Incident website.

Thanks in advance.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28703. RE: [dfwscan] Mineral Wells DPS digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-02 17:32:48 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 28680 Message: 28703

San Antonio is, indeed, on 159.21. They have been digital for quite some time. Nice strong signal, too.

Russell

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2008 19:04
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Mineral Wells DPS digital

My neighbor is dating a female dispatcher from this station and he told me this same thing today (probably because I kept asking him to ask her when they were going digital). Thanks Tom :)

BTW, are they on 155.46? I noticed while coming back from San Antonio last weekend that DPS down south seems to be P25 on 159.21 and not 159.2175 as slated. I'd get the buzz sound on 159.2175 with my BCD396T but I'd hear DPS perfectly fine on 159.21 digital.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com] On Behalf Of
Tom ND5Y
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 4:48 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Mineral Wells DPS digital

Mineral Wells DPS is now P25 digtial on 155.460

Tom ND5Y

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28704. (OT, Kinda) Clousre for the family of fallen FWPD Officer Dwyane Freeto

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-02 21:23:40 UTC
From: Officer LeClair
Topic: 28704 Message: 28704

Here <http://www.nbc5i.com/news/17066247/detail.html> is the link to the news story from NBC 5. I do not agree with what could happen to this covicted killer, but such is our justice system. I am relieved by the fact that he must now live the rest of his life hearing the words of Karen echo in his mind, the fact that he has to come to terms with the fact that he took the father of two beautiful girls. For once, I can be sure and happy to say, this guy will suffer for the rest of his life for making the choice to drive with a BAC of at least 3x the legal limit. I am sorry for the rant, but I have to vent somewhere. I hope this is not looked down upon.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28705. NAC's

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-02 23:02:42 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28705 Message: 28705

Anybody got confirmed NAC's for the DFW
East and West Federal Interoperability
Frequencies?

FWIW - USSS here uses NAC 001.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.10/1586 - Release Date: 8/1/2008 6:59 PM

28706. Re: [dfwscan] NAC's

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-03 00:08:21 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 28705 Message: 28706

I have 653 set up as NAC filter and it works for me.

Ed

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Sat, 8/2/08, Blaine <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:

From: Blaine <blaine.brooks@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] NAC's
To: "DFWSCAN" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Saturday, August 2, 2008, 6:02 PM

Anybody got confirmed NAC's for the DFW
East and West Federal Interoperability
Frequencies?

FWIW - USSS here uses NAC 001.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.10/1586 - Release Date: 8/1/2008 6:59
PM

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28707. Johnson County Passport *UPDATE*

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-03 02:19:25 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28707 Message: 28707

All activity on the system has now moved to 155.145
That is listed on RR as CH.3 on the Cleburne site.

Cleburne Fire and Keene Fire have both had trouble with
the system while working structure fires a week ago.

28708. Garland Trunk System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-03 04:08:17 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 22539 Message: 28708

Is anyone that scans the Garland Trunk System,hearing a LONG delay after some radio traffic?It sounds like a repeater is stuck open.I've been hearing this since Thursday.Its not my scanner,because I'm having this problem on all my Trunk scanners.It seems to happen more on GPD North-1(48) and North-2 (3664)

Mike in Garland

28709. RE: [dfwscan] Garland Trunk System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-03 17:37:09 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 22539 Message: 28709

Hi Mike & Group,

I wouldn't be surprised if it might be a heat related technical issue. I don't necessarily know what the issue might be. I'm missing about 75% of my IPN pages and I believe it's probably due to the heat affecting the transmitter(s) in my area. This seems to happen every hot summer. Messages start getting lost (not received other than by e-mail) and the ones I do get are almost always error filled or incomplete. The heat can play havoc on equipment.

It's just a possibility anyhow...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Saturday, August 02, 2008 11:08 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Garland Trunk System

Is anyone that scans the Garland Trunk System,hearing a LONG delay after some radio traffic?It sounds like a repeater is stuck open.I've been hearing this since Thursday.Its not my scanner,because I'm having this problem on all my Trunk scanners.It seems to happen more on GPD North-1(48) and North-2 (3664)

Mike in Garland

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28710. Re: Johnson County Passport *UPDATE* Part 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-03 17:50:01 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28707 Message: 28710

Both Alvarado and Keene are back to dispatching on their old freqs.
153.890 PL 173.8 & 158.940 PL 71.9 respectively.

That leaves Cleburne Fire as the only user on the Passport system
as of right now.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> All activity on the system has now moved to 155.145
> That is listed on RR as CH.3 on the Cleburne site.
>
> Cleburne Fire and Keene Fire have both had trouble with
> the system while working structure fires a week ago.
>

28711. Rate My Cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-03 21:49:30 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28711 Message: 28711

Not sure if I posted this before.

http://www.ratemycop.com/

You can rate officers from different departments. There is also some scanning stuff on their but I think it takes you to other sites.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28712. Re: [dfwscan] Rate My Cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 00:23:38 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 28711 Message: 28712

Yea, the site most pd's want shut down.. :)

Jason Simpkins wrote:
> Not sure if I posted this before.
>
> http://www.ratemycop.com/
>
> You can rate officers from different departments. There is also some scanning stuff on their but I think it takes you to other sites.
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

28713. RE: [dfwscan] Rate My Cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 01:13:48 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28711 Message: 28713

Jason, thanks for the post. Interesting!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Sunday, August 03, 2008 4:50 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Rate My Cop

Not sure if I posted this before.

http://www.ratemycop.com/

You can rate officers from different departments. There is also some scanning stuff on their but I think it takes you to other sites.

Jason

28714. Re: [dfwscan] Rate My Cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 03:40:42 UTC
From: Loopy Lipshitz
Topic: 28711 Message: 28714

Oh my THIS will be interesting to watch..

----- Original Message -----
From: "Terry" <terry@...>
To: "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@...>, dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, August 3, 2008 8:13:48 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Rate My Cop

Jason, thanks for the post. Interesting!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Jason Simpkins
Sent: Sunday, August 03, 2008 4:50 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Rate My Cop

Not sure if I posted this before.

http://www.ratemycop.com/

You can rate officers from different departments. There is also some
scanning stuff on their but I think it takes you to other sites.

Jason

28715. Re: [dfwscan] Rate My Cop]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 04:04:17 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28715 Message: 28715

As far as Fort Worth, looks like quite a few officers are missing and the ones that get the bad marks are the NPO's, who have to deal with and take the heat for problems in their beat areas (as well as deal with habitual whiners). The same folks seem to be dishing out the bad marks and comments -- probably some punk kids who act the fool and keep getting pulled over.

> Oh my THIS will be interesting to watch..
>
>
> Jason, thanks for the post. Interesting!
>
>
> Not sure if I posted this before.
>
> http://www.ratemycop.com/
>
> You can rate officers from different departments. There is also some
> scanning stuff on their but I think it takes you to other sites.
>
> Jason
>

28716. Cop rating

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 13:24:34 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28716 Message: 28716

If u need to travel up 75 North to Sherman, don't speed, not even 1mph
within the Van Alstyne city limits. They now use 2 officers and sometimes
the whole shift as a wolf pack. This has been going on for over a month now.

Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28717. RE: [dfwscan] Cop rating

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 13:43:49 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28716 Message: 28717

I have 3 unlucky friends that have received citations for 71 - 74 mph. For as long as I can remember my LE friends have adopted a littlr slogan " slide 5, write 6". Not in VA.

Sarge

From: ffpmintx@... [mailto:ffpmintx@...]
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 9:27 AM
To: Sarge
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Cop rating

Must be a budget shortfall.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: "Sarge" <smd_1@...>
Date: Mon, 4 Aug 2008 08:24:34 -0500
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Cop rating

If u need to travel up 75 North to Sherman, don't speed, not even 1mph within the Van Alstyne city limits. They now use 2 officers and sometimes the whole shift as a wolf pack. This has been going on for over a month now.

Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdef <http://www.cyberdefender.com>
ender.com
<http://www.cyberdef <http://www.cyberdefender.com> ender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28718. Re: [dfwscan] Re: MWL DPS - Aledo repeater now on P25.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 15:47:09 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 28681 Message: 28718

We're using both...
155.460 is Main A1
155.210 is Aledo 17A

we do link both when only one operator is on duty,
but, when we have two opeators on duty we each have a station.

Main services Jack and Palo Pinto counties along with the western half of
Parker (the imaginary dividing line is usually Hwy 51) and northern Erath
(mainly the I-20 section of Erath county).

Aledo services the eastern half of Parker, all of Tarrant and northern half
of Johnson county.

However, the digital signal reaches further, and those of you now in Tarrant
county can now receive the 155.460 (the tower is located in Whitt, north
western far reaches of Parker County).

Russ

----- Original Message -----
From: "Blaine" <blaine.brooks@...>
To: <wallcloudt1@...>
Cc: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 7:20 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: MWL DPS - Aledo repeater now on P25.

> Ed C. wrote:
>
>> 159.2175 NAC 141. Heard this evening.
>
> Ok. I'm confused. I assumed the Aledo repeater
> was/is the same as the Mineral Wells repeater?
>
> If it is, then Tom ND5Y posted an earlier message
> stating the Mineral Wells repeater is now digital
> on 155.460.
>
> So what frequency (or frequencies) are they using
> out there?
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226
>

> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
> Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.10/1584 - Release Date: 7/31/2008
> 12:00 PM
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

28719. Re: [dfwscan] Re: MWL DPS - Aledo repeater now on P25.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 15:48:57 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 28681 Message: 28719

Repeter mode has been turned off for 155.460 unless there is a need for it.

I know it doesn't make sense, but that's the orders from above.

It's available if needed; i.e.: Persuit's, etc.

Russ
----- Original Message -----
From: Tom ND5Y
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 8:01 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: MWL DPS - Aledo repeater now on P25.

The Aledo Repeater is on 159.210. It is east of Weatherford. It is dispatched by Mineral Wells. The main Mineral Wells station on 155.460 is in extreme southeast Jack County north of Whitt. They normally simulcast on both. So far today I haven't heard any mobiles on 155.460 so I don't know if they are running it in repeater mode any more like they had been recently before they switched to digital.

Tom

Blaine wrote:
>
> Ok. I'm confused. I assumed the Aledo repeater
> was/is the same as the Mineral Wells repeater?
>
> If it is, then Tom ND5Y posted an earlier message
> stating the Mineral Wells repeater is now digital
> on 155.460.
>
> So what frequency (or frequencies) are they using
> out there?
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28720. Fatality accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 18:46:52 UTC
From: wrpra@aol.com
Topic: 28720 Message: 28720

I understand that a fatality accident occurred this morning in Lakeside on Confederate Park Rd. Did anyone in the group happen to catch what went on? Would appreciate any info. Walt22

**********Looking for a car that's sporty, fun and fits in your budget?
Read reviews on AOL Autos.
(http://autos.aol.com/cars-BMW-128-2008/expert-review?ncid=aolaut00050000000017)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28721. Fire in Royse City

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 20:19:38 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28721 Message: 28721

I was trying to scan it but ended up going out and shooting some photos. I will have them up soon.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28722. Fire pics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 21:38:41 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28722 Message: 28722

http://s512.photobucket.com/albums/t322/outrun2009/?special_track=nav_tab_my_albums

Should be on CBS 11 at 5

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28723. RE: [dfwscan] Cop rating

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 21:57:32 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28716 Message: 28723

What does Rate My Cop and speeding up 75 have to do with scanning??? Take it to the Tavern please.

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Sarge
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 8:44 AM
To: ffpmintx@...
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Cop rating

I have 3 unlucky friends that have received citations for 71 - 74 mph. For as long as I can remember my LE friends have adopted a littlr slogan " slide 5, write 6". Not in VA.

Sarge

From: ffpmintx@yahoo. <mailto:ffpmintx%40yahoo.com> com [mailto:ffpmintx@yahoo. <mailto:ffpmintx%40yahoo.com> com]
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 9:27 AM
To: Sarge
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Cop rating

Must be a budget shortfall.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: "Sarge" <smd_1@millenicom. <mailto:smd_1%40millenicom.com> com>
Date: Mon, 4 Aug 2008 08:24:34 -0500
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Cop rating

If u need to travel up 75 North to Sherman, don't speed, not even 1mph within the Van Alstyne city limits. They now use 2 officers and sometimes the whole shift as a wolf pack. This has been going on for over a month now.

Sarge

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28724. Police Call Publisher Gene Hughes Dead at 80

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-04 23:39:35 UTC
From: David McAnally
Topic: 28724 Message: 28724

Some of you may recall from 2005 where the founder of "Police Call" closed down the publication. Today, I read Gene Costin, a.k.a. Gene Hughes, has died at age 80. I bought and used several copies of Police Call over the years, before and after we had personal computers. I may even still have a copy or two in a box somewhere.

See a story at <
http://blog.wired.com/27bstroke6/2008/08/police-call-pub.html>

"The southern California man who published the radio scanning bible Police Call has passed away. Under the pen name Gene Hughes, Gene Costin became a household word among geeks in the 1970s when he started cataloging the radio frequencies used by various police and fire departments and other agencies, giving hobbyists something to do with the first generation of programmable scanners then hitting the market."
(....)

--
Regards,
David McAnally, WD5M

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28725. RE: [dfwscan] Fire in Royse City

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-05 03:37:15 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28721 Message: 28725

Hi Jason & Group,

KTXA TV 21 had some of your photos on their 7 PM news tonight. I recognized the name when they said it :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 3:20 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Fire in Royse City

I was trying to scan it but ended up going out and shooting some photos. I will have them up soon.

Jason

28726. A Small, Interesting DPS Talk Story

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-05 03:57:40 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28726 Message: 28726

Hi Group,

I mentioned a few days ago that a neighbor of mine was dating a Mineral Wells DPS dispatcher. Well, I got to talk to her a little while ago. She said the Mineral Wells station had a tower in Whitt, TX too. I haven't looked this up and do not remember where Whitt may be.

She said that the digital setup is still being done and technicians are out their each day. I was thinking that there would be different NAC/NID tones for each station (as in the analog days and the PL tones). But apparently that's not the case just yet. She said she's been enjoying hearing Austin, Waco, and many other stations on the new digital system now. I need to live under their tower :-).

She spoke of the troubles they're still having receiving units clearly on their new P25 system now. And unlike in the past, where units from most anywhere in North Texas (and farther out) could switch to the Mineral Wells channel and use her to talk to, she's only having good communications with the Erath County units on a normal basis at this time.

Like a moron, I ended my talk with her by saying "WXR376". I think she got a kick out of it anyway. Her boyfriend looked at me like we were speaking some unknown language or code. She seemed like a really cool and cheerful lady.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28727. RE: [dfwscan] A Small, Interesting DPS Talk Story

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-05 06:32:57 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28726 Message: 28727

I just looked up Whitt, TX and saw that it is in NW Parker County. I wonder if this is the "Mineral Wells" transmitter?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 10:58 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] A Small, Interesting DPS Talk Story

Hi Group,

I mentioned a few days ago that a neighbor of mine was dating a Mineral Wells DPS dispatcher. Well, I got to talk to her a little while ago. She said the Mineral Wells station had a tower in Whitt, TX too. I haven't looked this up and do not remember where Whitt may be.

She said that the digital setup is still being done and technicians are out their each day. I was thinking that there would be different NAC/NID tones for each station (as in the analog days and the PL tones). But apparently that's not the case just yet. She said she's been enjoying hearing Austin, Waco, and many other stations on the new digital system now. I need to live under their tower :-).

She spoke of the troubles they're still having receiving units clearly on their new P25 system now. And unlike in the past, where units from most anywhere in North Texas (and farther out) could switch to the Mineral Wells channel and use her to talk to, she's only having good communications with the Erath County units on a normal basis at this time.

Like a moron, I ended my talk with her by saying "WXR376". I think she got a kick out of it anyway. Her boyfriend looked at me like we were speaking some unknown language or code. She seemed like a really cool and cheerful lady.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28728. Courtesy Patrol Tarrant County (Tarrant County Sheriff)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-06 02:53:56 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28728 Message: 28728

The only reason I found this out is because I was listening to the I20 - I35 "bridge" incident radio traffic and had certain talk groups on scan. I normally don't monitor the Courtesy Patrol unless there is a major freeway incident or during ice/snow events.

As most of you know, TXDOT Courtesy Patrol was taken over by the Tarrant County Sheriffs Dept some time back, as for a lot of Texas counties.

And for a while, Courtesy Patrol worked off the TXDOT frequency of 151.0025 (167.9)

Well today, sounds like the Courtesy Patrol is now on the TCSO radio, Ch 21 SOPS 3 (8592), which I believe, is one of the Special Ops channels, or used to be (haven't updated my TCSO files in a while).

At first I thought they might have been on there due to the I20 - I35 "bridge" incident. But after the incident was over, I continued to monitor and it sounded like normal Courtesy Patrol traffic. And I was also monitoring the VHF frequency and never heard any traffic.

So, it looks like that the Tarrant County Courtesy Patrol has switch over to the TCSO (FW PS) radio system, "SOPS 3" (8592).

KC5RHB

28729. Re: [dfwscan] A Small, Interesting DPS Talk Story

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-06 16:55:39 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 28726 Message: 28729

For those who don't already know: I'm a PCO for Mineral Wells.

And first of all if you're in the know you know that we're not "dispatchers;" we are Police Communications OPERATORS; for we do not dispatch the troopers to anything except for crashes. We do not handle normal policing calls such as city/town police departments or sheriff's deputies.

And, the digital setup was completed last Thursday, the technicians are gone and we're on the setup that we'll be on.

As far as hearing Abilene, Waco and Wichita Falls, our main radio is set to receive these stations on a 'scan' feature of our main radio; it is not skip, but a planned feature.

In the old days of analogue, our station had a hard time hearing our units on I20 at the 370 mile marker (Palo Pinto/Erath county line), now our RSPC (Regional Supervisor Police Communications) made a test with us on his way home to Lubbock last Thursday, and we could reliability hear him all the way to Ranger--I'd say that is quite an improvement.

As far as any unit switching--any unit in the state can still communicate with us, but they have to find the proper map to us in their radio setup (each Captain for each division (highway patrol, commercial vehicle enforcement, narcotics, rangers, criminal law enforcement, etc.) each have a different setup for their guys out there). As soon as they find the proper "map," then everything's 10-2.

As far as NAC/NID tones, yep they are still used, that's why we're 17A in the digital setup on repeaters.

Thanks,
Russ

----- Original Message -----

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 10:58 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] A Small, Interesting DPS Talk Story

Hi Group,

I mentioned a few days ago that a neighbor of mine was dating a Mineral Wells DPS dispatcher. Well, I got to talk to her a little while ago. She said the Mineral Wells station had a tower in Whitt, TX too. I haven't looked this up and do not remember where Whitt may be.

She said that the digital setup is still being done and technicians are out their each day. I was thinking that there would be different NAC/NID tones

for each station (as in the analog days and the PL tones). But apparently that's not the case just yet. She said she's been enjoying hearing Austin, Waco, and many other stations on the new digital system now. I need to live under their tower :-).

She spoke of the troubles they're still having receiving units clearly on their new P25 system now. And unlike in the past, where units from most anywhere in North Texas (and farther out) could switch to the Mineral Wells channel and use her to talk to, she's only having good communications with the Erath County units on a normal basis at this time.

Like a moron, I ended my talk with her by saying "WXR376". I think she got a kick out of it anyway. Her boyfriend looked at me like we were speaking some unknown language or code. She seemed like a really cool and cheerful lady.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28730. Thanks Russ,

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-06 17:11:50 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28730 Message: 28730

Good informative report. I remember from the old days using 42.9 and 37.180mhz. Is there any of this old equipment still in use?

I also remember when the first scanners came out. They were a great economical boon for stations to communicate with each other without having dedicated radio systems.

But I reckon I shouldn't go on any further cause the list police will be back on me again.

Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28731. Re: Courtesy Patrol Tarrant County (Tarrant County Sheriff)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-06 20:07:50 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28728 Message: 28731

KC5RHB wrote:

> So, it looks like that the Tarrant County Courtesy Patrol has switch
> over to the TCSO (FW PS) radio system, "SOPS 3" (8592).

The traffic media folks better update their scanners
or they'll be missing out, as they, ahem, often do.

They need to hire me back to program their
scanners (hint, hint).... BG :)

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.5.12/1592 - Release Date: 8/5/2008 6:03 AM

28732. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Courtesy Patrol Tarrant County (Tarrant County Sheriff)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-06 20:11:16 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28728 Message: 28732

I just heard some traffic on 151.0025. I also heard activity this morning on 8592.
Ben

>> So, it looks like that the Tarrant County Courtesy Patrol has switch
> over to the TCSO (FW PS) radio system, "SOPS 3" (8592).
<

28733. Re: [dfwscan] A Small, Interesting DPS Talk Story

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-07 18:02:49 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 28726 Message: 28733

yes
----- Original Message -----
From: commscan
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 05, 2008 1:32 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] A Small, Interesting DPS Talk Story

I just looked up Whitt, TX and saw that it is in NW Parker County. I wonder if this is the "Mineral Wells" transmitter?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 10:58 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] A Small, Interesting DPS Talk Story

Hi Group,

I mentioned a few days ago that a neighbor of mine was dating a Mineral Wells DPS dispatcher. Well, I got to talk to her a little while ago. She said the Mineral Wells station had a tower in Whitt, TX too. I haven't looked this up and do not remember where Whitt may be.

She said that the digital setup is still being done and technicians are out their each day. I was thinking that there would be different NAC/NID tones for each station (as in the analog days and the PL tones). But apparently that's not the case just yet. She said she's been enjoying hearing Austin, Waco, and many other stations on the new digital system now. I need to live under their tower :-).

She spoke of the troubles they're still having receiving units clearly on their new P25 system now. And unlike in the past, where units from most anywhere in North Texas (and farther out) could switch to the Mineral Wells channel and use her to talk to, she's only having good communications with the Erath County units on a normal basis at this time.

Like a moron, I ended my talk with her by saying "WXR376". I think she got a kick out of it anyway. Her boyfriend looked at me like we were speaking some unknown language or code. She seemed like a really cool and cheerful lady.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28734. Terrell fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-07 18:58:06 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28734 Message: 28734

Numerous kaufman co depts working liquid asphalt tanker fire in terrell on e hwy eighty

28735. Programming Cable for my Pro-92 and Pro-2055

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-07 21:40:23 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28735 Message: 28735

Well i had a cable lined up to use for a weekend about a month ago but that individual fell thru and re-programming hasnt been a priority. Now that i have a work project done and can make the time to re-porgam them i am in need of the cable again.

I really hate to buy a cable that i will only use once and forget where i put it. LOL Now that i am living in a different part of the Metroplex i want to completely re-program both my scanners.

So if anybody in the Dallas / Garland area has a cable they would be willing to let me use for a weekend or week i would be much appreciated. I dont mind meeting somewhere after work on a weekday anytime on the weekend. I am pretty flexible.

Thanks in advance.

28736. RE: [dfwscan] Terrell fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-07 22:42:46 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28734 Message: 28736

Still burning at 5:40. It's a 30,000 gallon tank. Dallas HazMat on scene as well.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Cody Sheppard
Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2008 1:58 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Terrell fire

Numerous kaufman co depts working liquid asphalt tanker fire in terrell on e hwy eighty

---

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28737. Dallas fd on tv

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-08 00:34:21 UTC
From: pwrigg@yahoo.com
Topic: 28737 Message: 28737

On the Discovery channel a show called Some Assembly Required is at Sutphen manufacturing showing how fire ebgines / trucks are made. The interesting part is during the opening they show Dallas station 18 and engine 1 and a few shots of some guys at a station and a dallas engine running code.

It will be replayed at 1130 tonight as well

Sent via BlackBerry 8300 Curve.

28738. RE: [dfwscan] Terrell fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-08 05:27:11 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28734 Message: 28738

In case anyone is curious:

We were initially dispatched to an "oil tank fire". We were assuming a 55-gallon drum. maybe one of those 500-gallon waste oil recycling canisters. something along those lines. I was on the first-in pumper/tanker, and it was definitely no 55-gallon drum. The tank (21,000gal. of liquid asphalt) was on fire internally, and venting out an access port on the top. It was positioned near two other asphalt tanks (also 21000gal, also full), a 4,000gal tank of diesel, and a 1,000gal tank of diesel. Primary concerns were the immediate fire, a potential BLEVE, and extension to the neighboring tanks. We mounted an initial attack using CO2 and dry chem (product is reactive with water), which was partially successful. Unfortunately, due to poor water supply and lack of available equipment due to a large grass fire initially, we were unable to keep the tank cool enough to prevent reignition. Dallas HazMat was special called for mutual aid a few hours into the incident. Dallas applied a large quantity of foam from their engine (some special high-dollar stuff - that's why they get federal dollars and are available for regional response) and we capped the tank, then cooled the tank with two monitor nozzles. The facility is located in the county, approximately two miles from a hydrant. We established two 2500gal. drop tanks and ran water shuttles with at least 5 tankers for several hours to maintain a constant water supply upwards of 500gpm.

The company produces liquid asphalt which is used for various construction projects.

Initial call was about 1130, crews cleared about 2030, and we got our apparatus reloaded and back in service about 2230. Total estimated water used was 250,000gal. When we cleared, the equipment was indicating a temp well below the flash point of the product - where we hope it will stay for a very long time!

Equipment responding was (I need sleep - my apologies if I miss anyone):

Terrell Volunteer tanker 1, engine 1, brush 1, brush 2, rescue 1

Terrell City engine 1, engine 2, reserve engine 1, brush 2, truck 2, chief 600

ESU rehab 1, command 1 + additional manpower

Dallas HazMat 3, engine 3, rescue 3, chief 8, chief 810

Kaufman tanker 1, command 1

Elmo engine 1, engine 2, squad 1

Ables Springs engine 1

Mabank brush 4

Combine engine 1, tanker 1

Crandall tanker 1

Forney tanker 5

Kaufman Co. fire marshal 351, 352, 353

Kaufman Co. Precinct 3 tanker

Kaufman Co. Precinct 4 tanker

We also had a grass/hay/structure fire about 15 minutes after this fire was toned, which burned 40 acres, 50+ round bales of hay, and two sheds (with a single bovine fatality). This caused our initial problem with water supply, as resources had to be diverted to protect threatened structures.

At the peak, some 60-70 firefighters were on scene. We began sending crews home a few hours into the fire, as the ambient temperature and the heat from the fire began taking their toll. I'd guess about 100 people were at the fire at one point or another during the day. ESU went through more than 30 cases each of Gatorade and water, along with many cases of snacks and many sandwiches, trying to keep the firefighters in the best condition possible. ESU also staged our mobile command post for incident command, as well as a very well air-conditioned place for firefighters to go cool off and stretch out a bit. Thanks to their efforts, and the efforts of incident command to rotate crews, we suffered no firefighter injuries - as far as I'm aware, no one even needed to go in the box. No civilian injuries to report.

And, with that, it's well past my bedtime.

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Cody Sheppard
Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2008 01:58 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Terrell fire

Numerous kaufman co depts working liquid asphalt tanker fire in terrell on e hwy eighty

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28739. Greyhound Bus Crash In Sherman

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-08 07:52:30 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 28739 Message: 28739

A greyhound bus travelling from Houston to MO has rolled over on SH-75 in the area of 1417. There were 55 people on board, so far Ive heard 5 or 6 helos check inbound to Parkland. There are multiple fatalities and multiple critical injuries, no count has been estimated yet. The bus number is as yet unknown. The Sherman PD has released a statement a bout a half hour ago that a blown tire maybe the cause. Apparently the MVA happened around 1245 Central time.

28740. RE: [dfwscan] Greyhound Bus Crash In Sherman

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-08 10:59:03 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 28739 Message: 28740

We flew 4 and last count was 13 dead!

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Sent: Friday, August 08, 2008 2:53
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Greyhound Bus Crash In Sherman

A greyhound bus travelling from Houston to MO has rolled over on SH-75 in the area of 1417. There were 55 people on board, so far Ive heard 5 or 6 helos check inbound to Parkland. There are multiple fatalities and multiple critical injuries, no count has been estimated yet. The bus number is as yet unknown. The Sherman PD has released a statement a bout a half hour ago that a blown tire maybe the cause. Apparently the MVA happened around 1245 Central time.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28741. RE: [dfwscan] Greyhound Bus Crash In Sherman

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-08 11:46:00 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28739 Message: 28741

Agree with blown tire theory. I was on the bridge where the bus crashed through the railing and there's nice gouge marks in the asphalt maxed with rubber. Bad deal. AMR claimed 18 helos, I heard 13 before I bailed out on scene. There's a news conference at 8 am I suspect some of the stations will carry live for those that want to know more.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Sent: Friday, August 08, 2008 2:52 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Greyhound Bus Crash In Sherman

A greyhound bus travelling from Houston to MO has rolled over on SH-75 in the area of 1417. There were 55 people on board, so far Ive heard 5 or 6 helos check inbound to Parkland. There are multiple fatalities and multiple critical injuries, no count has been estimated yet. The bus number is as yet unknown. The Sherman PD has released a statement a bout a half hour ago that a blown tire maybe the cause. Apparently the MVA happened around 1245 Central time.

28742. Alert 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-08 14:37:02 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28742 Message: 28742

09:34

Alert 2 at DFW, runway 36 (I Believe- R). No
further details. Heard on Talkgroup 02-200.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.0/1601 - Release Date: 8/8/2008 9:02 AM

28743. RE: [dfwscan] Terrell fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 09:03:15 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28734 Message: 28743

Is there anyone who can get a hold of any photos that were taken out there. I know I saw a lot of cameras out there

Cody

--- On Fri, 8/8/08, tvsjr <tvsjr@...> wrote:

From: tvsjr <tvsjr@...>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Terrell fire
To: "'Cody Sheppard'" <combinecapt804@...>, dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Friday, August 8, 2008, 12:27 AM

In case anyone is curious:

We were initially dispatched to an "oil tank fire". We were assuming a 55-gallon drum. maybe one of those 500-gallon waste oil recycling canisters.. something along those lines. I was on the first-in pumper/tanker, and it was definitely no 55-gallon drum. The tank (21,000gal. of liquid asphalt) was on fire internally, and venting out an access port on the top. It was positioned near two other asphalt tanks (also 21000gal, also full), a 4,000gal tank of diesel, and a 1,000gal tank of diesel. Primary concerns were the immediate fire, a potential BLEVE, and extension to the neighboring tanks. We mounted an initial attack using CO2 and dry chem (product is reactive with water), which was partially successful. Unfortunately, due to poor water supply and lack of available equipment due to a large grass fire initially, we were unable to keep the tank cool enough to prevent reignition. Dallas HazMat was special called for mutual aid a few hours into the incident. Dallas applied a large quantity of foam from their engine (some special high-dollar stuff - that's why they get federal dollars and are available for regional response) and we capped the tank, then cooled the tank with two monitor nozzles. The facility is located in the county, approximately two miles from a hydrant. We established two 2500gal. drop tanks and ran water shuttles with at least 5 tankers for several hours to maintain a constant water supply upwards of 500gpm.

The company produces liquid asphalt which is used for various construction projects.

Initial call was about 1130, crews cleared about 2030, and we got our apparatus reloaded and back in service about 2230. Total estimated water used was 250,000gal. When we cleared, the equipment was indicating a temp well below the flash point of the product - where we hope it will stay for a very long time!

Equipment responding was (I need sleep - my apologies if I miss anyone):

Terrell Volunteer tanker 1, engine 1, brush 1, brush 2, rescue 1

Terrell City engine 1, engine 2, reserve engine 1, brush 2, truck 2, chief 600

ESU rehab 1, command 1 + additional manpower

Dallas HazMat 3, engine 3, rescue 3, chief 8, chief 810

Kaufman tanker 1, command 1

Elmo engine 1, engine 2, squad 1

Ables Springs engine 1

Mabank brush 4

Combine engine 1, tanker 1

Crandall tanker 1

Forney tanker 5

Kaufman Co. fire marshal 351, 352, 353

Kaufman Co. Precinct 3 tanker

Kaufman Co. Precinct 4 tanker

We also had a grass/hay/structure fire about 15 minutes after this fire was toned, which burned 40 acres, 50+ round bales of hay, and two sheds (with a single bovine fatality). This caused our initial problem with water supply, as resources had to be diverted to protect threatened structures.

At the peak, some 60-70 firefighters were on scene. We began sending crews home a few hours into the fire, as the ambient temperature and the heat from the fire began taking their toll. I'd guess about 100 people were at the fire at one point or another during the day. ESU went through more than 30 cases each of Gatorade and water, along with many cases of snacks and many sandwiches, trying to keep the firefighters in the best condition possible. ESU also staged our mobile command post for incident command, as well as a very well air-conditioned place for firefighters to go cool off and stretch out a bit. Thanks to their efforts, and the efforts of incident command to rotate crews, we suffered no firefighter injuries - as far as I'm aware, no one even needed to go in the box. No civilian injuries to report.

And, with that, it's well past my bedtime.

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Cody Sheppard
Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2008 01:58 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Terrell fire

Numerous kaufman co depts working liquid asphalt tanker fire in terrell on e hwy eighty

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28744. Alert 2 - Correction

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 16:23:46 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28744 Message: 28744

Thanks to a couple of monitors on the list that
told me there is no 02-200 talkgroup for the
DFW Airport system. My bad. I meant talkgroup
02-000/DEC 256 (PS Alerting - DPS 1).

And yes, you CAN monitor the dispatch/alert
on this talkgroup (in the clear), and it is
not affected by the Pro Voice ESK. This is
the ONLY talkgroup on the system that I have
found this applies to. Unfortunately, once you
hear the alert, the fire/ems units go to TAC 15
(sometimes others) for response, and you can't
monitor those talkgroups to get further details
on the incident. But to monitor SOME is better
than NONE at all is the way I look at it. And you
can bet your bottom dollar that if there is another
major crash (like a Delta 191 or 1141) everybody
and his brother will be responding. You will not
have ANY problem monitoring the action even if
you can't hear Airport DPS. It'll probably be the
biggest cluster &^%! you've ever heard on the radio!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.0/1601 - Release Date: 8/8/2008 9:02 AM

28745. DFW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 16:49:09 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 9 Message: 28745

What is the current status of the "official" observation park at DFW Airport?

I seem to remember that it was closed (temporarily?) some time ago.

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28746. RE: [dfwscan] DFW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 20:16:16 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 9 Message: 28746

As far as I know, there is still a temporary area on the north side, east of Texan and 114 on Airfield. It' basically a gravel road with a couple of trash cans and picnic table, if I recall correctly.

Ben

>What is the current status of the "official" observation park at DFW Airport?

I seem to remember that it was closed (temporarily?) some time ago.<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28747. Frisco

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 21:22:40 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 27652 Message: 28747

A huge amount of activity on Frisco FD Tac
channells 10 thru 12 today. What the heck is going
on? I never could get a grasp on locations really.
I heard "World Cup" and "East Gate". One tac
channel had a command division sector, and
another channel had just a command. Yet another
tac channel was working Careflite.

I started thinking maybe a Roughriders game?
I have to admit I know little of this team. However,
do their games really warrant that much public
safety involvement?. Seems insane for a
minor league team. Guess it must be that stadium
that draws them in. Looks much nicer (at least from
the air) than the Ballpark.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.0/1601 - Release Date: 8/8/2008 9:02 AM

28748. Re: Frisco

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 21:32:37 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 27652 Message: 28748

Blaine wrote:

> A huge amount of activity on Frisco FD Tac
> channells 10 thru 12 today. What the heck is going
> on?

Figured it out. Ozzfest at Pizza Hut Park today.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.0/1602 - Release Date: 8/9/2008 1:22 PM

28749. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 22:29:44 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 27652 Message: 28749

Early this morning (9 A.M. or so), a Carrollton patrol unit was advised by a DPS unit that a group of 400-500 motorcyclists would be coming through Carrollton en route to Frisco, presumably eastbound LBJ to northbound I-35. Carrollton PD had not been previously advised nor did they know why the group was headed to Frisco. The patrol unit was instructed to stay in the area to "observe". Over the next 30 minutes, there were no further reports and I eventually turned off my scanner.

I suppose this will fit in with what you're reporting, Blaine.

Toby

At 04:32 PM 8/9/2008:
>Blaine wrote:
>
> > A huge amount of activity on Frisco FD Tac
> > channells 10 thru 12 today. What the heck is going
> > on?
>
>Figured it out. Ozzfest at Pizza Hut Park today.
>
>--
>Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
>The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
>http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>IPN DFW226

28750. Great looking shack!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 22:54:16 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28750 Message: 28750

I have to say I hadn't checked out Ben Saladino's
web site in quite a while, but this afternoon I
did, and noticed the updated pic of the shack.
Very nice Ben. Looks great! Love the 780/5's
up there on the mounts.

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/shack2008.jpg

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.0/1602 - Release Date: 8/9/2008 1:22 PM

28751. RE: [dfwscan] DFW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 23:44:30 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 9 Message: 28751

The new Founders Plaza should be opening soon (Based on visual observation, only.) If I had to guess, I would say another 2-3 weeks.

It's on the north end of the airport, as you enter from Business 114 (or whatever they are calling it this month.) Looks as if will be even nicer than old center.

At 15.16 08/9/2008, you wrote:
>As far as I know, there is still a temporary area on the north side, east of
>Texan and 114 on Airfield. It' basically a gravel road with a couple of
>trash cans and picnic table, if I recall correctly.
>
>Ben

28752. RE: [dfwscan] DFW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-09 23:58:30 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 9 Message: 28752

Don, please let us know when the new Founders Plaza is open and
whether it has airport radio speakers. I'd love to visit, but it's
more than a bit out of the way of my usual travels.

Toby

At 06:44 PM 8/9/2008, Don Teague wrote:

>The new Founders Plaza should be opening soon (Based on visual
>observation, only.) If I had to guess, I would say another 2-3 weeks.
>
>It's on the north end of the airport, as you enter from Business 114
>(or whatever they are calling it this month.) Looks as if will be
>even nicer than old center.
>
>At 15.16 08/9/2008, you wrote:
> >As far as I know, there is still a temporary area on the north side, east of
> >Texan and 114 on Airfield. It' basically a gravel road with a couple of
> >trash cans and picnic table, if I recall correctly.
> >
> >Ben

28753. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-10 03:14:03 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 27652 Message: 28753

THe motorcycle's were participating in "The Ride for Dime". A ride in memory of "Dimebag" Darrell Abbott.

-----Original Message-----
From: Toby Zidle <tobyz7@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sat, 9 Aug 2008 5:29 pm
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco

Early this morning (9 A.M. or so), a Carrollton patrol unit was advised by a DPS unit that a group of 400-500 motorcyclists would be coming through Carrollton en route to Frisco, presumably eastbound LBJ to northbound I-35. Carrollton PD had not been previously advised nor did they know why the group was headed to Frisco. The patrol unit was instructed to stay in the area to "observe". Over the next 30 minutes, there were no further reports and I eventually turned off my scanner.

I suppose this will fit in with what you're reporting, Blaine.

Toby

At 04:32 PM 8/9/2008:
>Blaine wrote:
>
> > A huge amount of activity on Frisco FD Tac
> > channells 10 thru 12 today. What the heck is going
> > on?
>
>Figured it out. Ozzfest at Pizza Hut Park today.
>
>--
>Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
>The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
>http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>IPN DFW226

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28754. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-10 03:44:22 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 27652 Message: 28754

Thanks for the update, cwrong.

Toby

At 10:14 PM 8/9/2008, cwrong wrote:

>THe motorcycle's were participating in "The Ride for Dime". A ride
>in memory of "Dimebag" Darrell Abbott.
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Toby Zidle <<mailto:tobyz7%40comcast.net>tobyz7@...>
>To: <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Sat, 9 Aug 2008 5:29 pm
>Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco
>
>Early this morning (9 A.M. or so), a Carrollton patrol unit was
>advised by a DPS unit that a group of 400-500 motorcyclists would be
>coming through Carrollton en route to Frisco, presumably eastbound
>LBJ to northbound I-35. Carrollton PD had not been previously
>advised nor did they know why the group was headed to Frisco. The
>patrol unit was instructed to stay in the area to "observe". Over
>the next 30 minutes, there were no further reports and I eventually
>turned off my scanner.
>
>I suppose this will fit in with what you're reporting, Blaine.
>
>Toby

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28755. 159.190Mhz ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-11 08:21:22 UTC
From: Code 3 Productions
Topic: 28755 Message: 28755

I just went diggin' through my storage unit and among other things, i pulled out an OLD GE / Erricson MPI Series Portable radio (2 Channel), I found the charger, and hooked up a BNC Antenna to it and keyed it up to my BCT15 on Close call and it registered as transmitting on 159.190mhz. i know the radio came from florida somewhere, it was given to me with a whole lot of other radio equipment several years ago, this is the first time i've pulled it out. Does anyone know if there are any agencies or anyone for that matter in this area that uses this frequency?

I have no idea what im going to do with this thing but im sure i'll keep it around the shack for keepsakes lol.

73'

Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
Owner, Code 3 Productions.
Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28756. Re: 159.190Mhz ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-11 17:49:53 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28755 Message: 28756

I did a search on that freq and found nothing here localy, even looked through old paperwork of frequencies dating back to the late 80's early 90's and found nothing. Did a nationwide FCC search and only came up with 3 hits, which I thought was weird:

KNIX211 (State of New Jersey, Forest Fire Service) (ACTIVE)
KNIX212 (State of New Jersey, Forest Fire Service) (ACTIVE)
WNSB401 (Big Bend Electric Cooperative Inc, Washington) (ACTIVE)

Hope this helps.

KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Code 3 Productions" <code3productions@...> wrote:
>
> I just went diggin' through my storage unit and among other things, i pulled
> out an OLD GE / Erricson MPI Series Portable radio (2 Channel), I found
> the charger, and hooked up a BNC Antenna to it and keyed it up to my BCT15
> on Close call and it registered as transmitting on 159.190mhz. i know the
> radio came from florida somewhere, it was given to me with a whole lot of
> other radio equipment several years ago, this is the first time i've pulled
> it out. Does anyone know if there are any agencies or anyone for that matter
> in this area that uses this frequency?
>
> I have no idea what im going to do with this thing but im sure i'll keep it
> around the shack for keepsakes lol.
>
> 73'
>
>
> Timothy M Carroll, KE5PPL
> Owner, Code 3 Productions.
> Collin County Skywarn / A.R.E.S Member
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28757. Re: [dfwscan] Re: 159.190Mhz ?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-11 21:10:08 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28755 Message: 28757

159.19 isn't a valid channel. The closest ones would be 159.1875 and 159.195.

Close Call only gets the *approximate* frequency, not the exact frequency. I know my BCD396T is like that. It can be up to 10 kHz off.

It is also possible that the radio is screwed up and transmitting off frequency.

Tom ND5Y

28758. CareFlite Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-11 21:57:41 UTC
From: Robert Cross
Topic: 28758 Message: 28758

Tonight, Careflite will be switching their radios over to a new system in Johnson County due to recent "equipment issues" that have prevented the dispatch side from transmitting at all. I will pass along more information as I have it.

28759. Re: CareFlite Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 03:18:20 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28758 Message: 28759

Thanks for the update. I thought something was up when I heard them working off CERN tower. Didn't know it was affecting the dispatcher's though. And yes, definitely pass along any info you get.

On a side note, I have noticed that Johnson County has turned off or appears to have turned off, the Passport system all together. I'm basing that on two things.

1. I'm hearing NO data blips on 155.145
2. I'm getting a PL tone of 67.0 with each transmission

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Cross" <rcross@...> wrote:
>
> Tonight, Careflite will be switching their radios over to a new system
> in Johnson County due to recent "equipment issues" that have prevented
> the dispatch side from transmitting at all. I will pass along more
> information as I have it.
>

28760. Two questions for the list

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 03:05:18 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 28760 Message: 28760

I do most of my scanning for railroad radio traffic while in Arlington, Fort Worth and Saginaw, Texas. I've noticed in Arlington and Saginaw, bleedover from an unknown frequency. Both times I've been fairly close to each cities fire/police dept stations. Could this bleedover be coming from a powerful and/or close transmitter?

My second question comes from what might be some really great atmospherics. Normally when I'm listening to my scanner in downtown Arlington, I can hear the dispatchers with no problem, but engineers communicating with dispatchers, I'm lucky to hear them if they're no more than 5 miles away. But, over the last two weeks, I've been able to hear the engineers when they're at Tower 55, more than 12 miles away. What's the cause of this increased reception using only my Radio Shack Pro 97 scanner?

TIA.

--
Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com

Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

28761. CareFlite JoCo Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 12:24:18 UTC
From: Robert Cross
Topic: 28761 Message: 28761

Yeah...when I came to work Sunday, we were totally unable to transmit from our side but could hear the ambulances talking clearly; making us have to result to cell phone dispatching. At first, we had the ambulances switch to the same channel as Dallas Ground but they couldn't really hit the tower so we switched to turn and had to take turns between ambulances and aircraft. I talked to our radio guy this morning and he told me that the system we are using now is a single site LTR system in Johnson County that we used before. Not really sure on specific freq yet but I will look into it and get it out ASAP.

Robert

28762. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite JoCo Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 16:35:31 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28761 Message: 28762

462.1250 TG 01-04-079

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Robert Cross
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2008 7:24 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] CareFlite JoCo Problems

Yeah...when I came to work Sunday, we were totally unable to transmit from our side but could hear the ambulances talking clearly; making us have to result to cell phone dispatching. At first, we had the ambulances switch to the same channel as Dallas Ground but they couldn't really hit the tower so we switched to turn and had to take turns between ambulances and aircraft. I talked to our radio guy this morning and he told me that the system we are using now is a single site LTR system in Johnson County that we used before. Not really sure on specific freq yet but I will look into it and get it out ASAP.

Robert

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28763. CareFlite (Johnson County)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 16:59:26 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28763 Message: 28763

Which CareFlite Trunk System are you giving Info on.The (OLD) CSSI LTR System (Cleburne) or the AZLE Communication LTR System?Thanks for any Info..Mike in Garland

28764. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite (Johnson County)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 16:59:55 UTC
From: Cross, Robert
Topic: 28763 Message: 28764

We are on the CSSI system

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2008 11:59
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] CareFlite (Johnson County)

Which CareFlite Trunk System are you giving Info on.The (OLD) CSSI LTR System (Cleburne) or the AZLE Communication LTR System?Thanks for any Info..Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28765. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite JoCo Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 17:09:55 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28761 Message: 28765

Update that is CH. 4.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2008 11:36 AM
To: 'Robert Cross'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite JoCo Problems

462.1250 TG 01-04-079

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Robert Cross
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2008 7:24 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] CareFlite JoCo Problems

Yeah...when I came to work Sunday, we were totally unable to transmit from our side but could hear the ambulances talking clearly; making us have to result to cell phone dispatching. At first, we had the ambulances switch to the same channel as Dallas Ground but they couldn't really hit the tower so we switched to turn and had to take turns between ambulances and aircraft. I talked to our radio guy this morning and he told me that the system we are using now is a single site LTR system in Johnson County that we used before. Not really sure on specific freq yet but I will look into it and get it out ASAP.

Robert

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28766. Re: CareFlite Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 20:01:03 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28758 Message: 28766

Chris Easley wrote:

> On a side note, I have noticed that Johnson County has turned off
> or appears to have turned off, the Passport system all together.

Dontcha' just love how we're such a REACTIVE
society in general worldwide, instead of PROACTIVE.
Now they just have a wasted money boondoggle down
there on the south side. I honestly feel that a consortium
of us on this list could plan, operate, and maintain any
public safety or comm system in this area better than
some of the idiots currently in charge.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.1/1605 - Release Date: 8/11/2008 4:59 PM

28767. RE: [dfwscan] Re: CareFlite Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 20:08:57 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28758 Message: 28767

AMEN!!!!

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2008 3:01 PM
To: Chris Easley
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: CareFlite Johnson County

Chris Easley wrote:

> On a side note, I have noticed that Johnson County has turned off

> or appears to have turned off, the Passport system all together.

Dontcha' just love how we're such a REACTIVE

society in general worldwide, instead of PROACTIVE.

Now they just have a wasted money boondoggle down

there on the south side. I honestly feel that a consortium

of us on this list could plan, operate, and maintain any

public safety or comm system in this area better than

some of the idiots currently in charge.

--

Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA

The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com

Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.1/1605 - Release Date: 8/11/2008 4:59 PM

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=3DRR&mid=3D2Yahoo! Groups Links

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/

Individual Email | Traditional

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/join

(Yahoo! ID required)

dfwscan-digest@yahoogroups.com

dfwscan-fullfeatured@yahoogroups.com

dfwscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28768. Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-12 20:10:44 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28768 Message: 28768

Yesterday at the Commissioners Court meeting the county approved the rural contract to Careflight. The article is inclosed below for your pleasure.

http://thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/12/news/doc48a1befe9b0d6141119284.txt

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28769. Greenville/Hunt Co.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-14 17:27:02 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28769 Message: 28769

I got all the PS freqs from RR and the Fire/EMS freqs come in strong.
However, the SO and Greenville police do not. These freqs are vhf and uhf
and I wonder in they have switched to trunking?

Any info greatly appreciated,

Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28770. FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-14 19:06:20 UTC
From: Bob Stewart
Topic: 28770 Message: 28770

The White Settlement PD chief and the public works director
have installed steel barriers to block parking
at the west side of the FWNASJRB fence.
claiming that there is a traffic hazard.

This is Fort Worth Mapsco 59-Z,
where White Settlement Rd and Spur 341 intersect.

Here is the story in the Fort Worth Star-Telegram.
http://www.star-telegram.com/804/story/831829.html

28771. RE: [dfwscan] FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-14 21:36:59 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 28770 Message: 28771

And the closing of "the spot" is BS. I've never seen or heard of an accident there or any "near misses". This is just an excuse to close off a public area and it's for no public safety reason.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Stewart
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2008 2:06 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

The White Settlement PD chief and the public works director
have installed steel barriers to block parking
at the west side of the FWNASJRB fence.
claiming that there is a traffic hazard.

This is Fort Worth Mapsco 59-Z,
where White Settlement Rd and Spur 341 intersect.

Here is the story in the Fort Worth Star-Telegram.
http://www.star-telegram.com/804/story/831829.html

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28772. Re: FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-14 21:53:17 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28770 Message: 28772

Brandon Thetford wrote:

> And the closing of "the spot" is BS. I've never seen or heard of an
> accident there or any "near misses". This is just an excuse to close off a
> public area and it's for no public safety reason.

I won't go off too much on a political rant except to
say it's just more "terrorist paranoia" crap, and
yet another excuse to shut off citizens from seeing
the workings of our government and officials who
we elect and put into office, and a military "we the
people" fund.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.3/1612 - Release Date: 8/14/2008 6:03 PM

28773. FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-15 00:19:22 UTC
From: NSAWC1
Topic: 28770 Message: 28773

Agree.
It was also really good PR for the military and also for the city of White Settlement to have that.

It's too bad.

--- On Thu, 8/14/08, Blaine <blaine.brooks@...> wrote:

> From: Blaine <blaine.brooks@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles
> To: "Brandon Thetford" <thetford569@...>
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Thursday, August 14, 2008, 2:53 PM
> Brandon Thetford wrote:
>
> > And the closing of "the spot" is BS.
> I've never seen or heard of an
> > accident there or any "near misses". This
> is just an excuse to close off a
> > public area and it's for no public safety reason.
>
> I won't go off too much on a political rant except to
> say it's just more "terrorist paranoia" crap,
> and
> yet another excuse to shut off citizens from seeing
> the workings of our government and officials who
> we elect and put into office, and a military "we the
> people" fund.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
> The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN DFW226
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
> Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.3/1612 - Release
> Date: 8/14/2008 6:03 PM
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>

> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
> Groups Links
>
>
>

28774. RE: [dfwscan] FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-15 01:07:16 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28770 Message: 28774

This is a real bummer, but at least the article says you can still park somewhere else and walk over. I'll never forget watching from there with another list member whose initials are also BS, when we heard my license plate being run by the MPs on the interior of the fence. I think we were antenna profiled.

On the bright side, I rode by the new Founders Plaza on the North end of DFW last Sunday, and it's going to be pretty nice.

Happy Scanning and thanks for posting the article Bob.

Ben

>The White Settlement PD chief and the public works director
have installed steel barriers to block parking
at the west side of the FWNASJRB fence.
claiming that there is a traffic hazard.<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28775. Re: [dfwscan] FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-15 01:49:14 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28770 Message: 28775

My bad. Video on Startle-Gram web site.

>>
> I missed that story, Bill.
> Thanks for the info.
> I'll try to find it at KXAS on line.

28776. Re: FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-15 14:25:40 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28770 Message: 28776

I wonder what they will say when somebody gets smacked by a car while walking over to the fence. Which is more liable to get you killed, sitting in a parked car off the roadway, or walking across a busy street? Hmm..

This is almost as grand of an idea as WFAA turning off the wonderful DFW airport camera on channel 8-3 and replacing it with yet another radar screen. Just like the one they have on 8-2. I like being able to view the radar, but c'mon? 4-2, 5-2, 8-2, seeing it in the corner of my screen with associated scrolling text, and now 8-3? I can see it on the internet at the NWS and each stations web site, my mobile phone, my GRLevel2/3 software, the Weather Channel, and hear blaring alert tones on my weather radio and on the neighborhood emergency alert sirens. Can you say weather overload? Don't get me wrong, I'm a weather nut and ham radio perator and love to go spotting, but the DFW area is becoming "nexrad saturated".

I remember years ago my brother and I would gather up our scanners, head out to DFW and walk to the gates (on the inside of security mind you), ride the trains all over the place with scanners and cameras in hand, and spend all day just watching the planes. We had a blast, but those days are long gone, and now the opportunity to even watch them on tv is gone too. What a shame.

Every day just convinces me further that we are surrounded by numb-skulls.

-Tracey

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> This is a real bummer, but at least the article says you can still park
> somewhere else and walk over. I'll never forget watching from there with

28777. Re: FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-15 15:24:42 UTC
From: Blaine
Topic: 28770 Message: 28777

Tracey W. Singleton wrote:

> I remember years ago my brother and I would gather up our scanners,
> head out to DFW and walk to the gates (on the inside of security mind
> you), ride the trains all over the place with scanners and cameras in
> hand, and spend all day just watching the planes. We had a blast, but
> those days are long gone, and now the opportunity to even watch them
> on tv is gone too. What a shame.

Yes, I do miss the good 'ole days, when the hobby had much
more freedoms and you could have FUN. Now airports and
airshows restrict you. You can't go to a rail yard and just watch
and listen. I remember at the Democratic Convention in Atlanta
in '88 me and a friend just walking around with our scanners in
full view right outside the entrance to the convention hall and no
one taking notice at all. Covering news, and going to see AF1 & 2
land and depart at the airport with the scanner and Secret Service
frequencies going full blast. Now you'd be lucky if you could get three
miles away.

> Every day just convinces me further that we are surrounded by numb-
> skulls.

Amen, Tracey. Very well stated.

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
The Flower Mound Scanner Web Page
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
IPN DFW226

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.3/1612 - Release Date: 8/14/2008 6:03 PM

28778. Re: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-15 21:05:04 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28768 Message: 28778

Here is the latest from the contract signing.

http://www.thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/15/news/doc48a5d00e81352104434758.txt

Justin Lentz wrote:
>
> Yesterday at the Commissioners Court meeting the county approved the
> rural contract to Careflight. The article is inclosed below for your
> pleasure.
>
>
> http://thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/12/news/doc48a1befe9b0d6141119284.txt
> <http://thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/12/news/doc48a1befe9b0d6141119284.txt>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28779. Re: FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-15 23:51:50 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28770 Message: 28779

I think the White Settlement police chief should have to send a letter to every citizen of the
town, telling them exactly how much money he spent on this abortion, why he did it, and listing the evidence he had that it was necessary, and that it would achieve any benefit for
the citizens. I also think a goodly number of those citizens would conclude that he was an idiot and should be fired.

28780. RE: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-16 12:19:57 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28768 Message: 28780

Here's the story if you don't want to go through the registration stuff....

Terry

Firefighters question county ambulance pact
By JOANN LIVINGSTON
Daily Light Managing Editor
Published: Friday, August 15, 2008 1:52 PM CDT
Firefighters from across Ellis County showed up en masse Thursday night to question county officials face-to-face over a recent decision relating to ambulance service in rural areas.

The more than 100 firefighters on hand for the Ellis County Firefighters Association meeting represented rural and city departments, volunteer and paid, with officials from the different emergency service districts also in attendance. The emotional meeting saw questions raised as to whether or not the county is placing a price tag on human life.

County commissioners recently voted 4-1 (Bill Dodson dissenting) to authorize County Judge Chad Adams to sign a $1 a year, five-year contract with CareFlite.

*
Under the agreement - which is pending review by county attorneys - CareFlite would stage an ambulance in Italy and Palmer, with company officials saying they would launch an air ambulance if necessary to meet service time requirements, which carry a financial penalty of from $5-$10 a minute if not met.

The commissioners court currently has its contract with East Texas EMS, which also has EMS contracts with the cities of Waxahachie, Ennis and Red Oak, for a total of 5 1/2 ambulances staged in Ellis County. Midlothian has its own ambulance service.

Current mutual aid agreements between the different entities (ESDs, fire departments, cities, county and East Texas) have the East Texas ambulances flowing where needed.

Questions were raised during the firefighters' meeting as to whether or not similar mutual aid agreements - which are typically based upon an ability to reciprocate services - could be secured by the start date of the proposed contract (Oct. 1). Those agreements would ensure backup service for rural areas if CareFlite is unable to handle the call load, a reported 1,800 to 2,000 calls per year.

CareFlite representative Mark Kestler said the company plans to back up its Italy-based ambulance out of Johnson County, with the Palmer-based unit

backed up out of Duncanville/Lancaster. CareFlite also has ambulances in Mansfield and, if its ambulances aren't able to meet the time requirements, a helicopter could be launched, he said, noting variables though, such as the priority level of the call and whether or not first responders were available on the ground to assist.

Beyond that, mutual aid agreements with other entities would provide for backup; however, discussion during the meeting indicated no agreements relating to the proposed contract with CareFlite have been worked out - with an indication those could be unlikely. Also appearing unlikely at this time is any reciprocity between the different EMS providers on membership plans.

"What's Plan B?" Mark Jackson of Milford Volunteer Fire Department asked, with no definitive answer received.

"We're getting decent service (now). It's not great, but we're going from 5 1/2 ambulances to two and anybody that knows a little math can figure out it's not going to get better," Telico Volunteer Fire Department Lt. Charles Walker said. "Two is all you've said you can afford. . How are we going to do it all with two ambulances?"

Providing input

Walker questioned why the commissioners hadn't sought input from the association's member entities, describing firefighters' requests to be involved as bluntly rejected and subsequent requests to speak during a commissioners court meeting as unanswered.

Walker said he'd been told the association's input didn't matter and asked Dodson and Sims why none of the commissioners court had ever attended an association meeting although invited to do so.

"We're not a bunch of yahoos riding around in big red trucks," he said, noting his passion for serving his community. "We do this because we love it and because we want to do it."

He said the association wanted to help with the issue and that it wasn't a matter of being for or against either East Texas or CareFlite.

"This affects us and our families and our communities," he said, citing a list of questions about what the commissioners have proposed, one of which was whether the $120,000 savings to the county would still be allocated toward emergency needs, such as improvements to the radio system or training.

As noted by Waxahachie Fire Chief David Hudgins during the meeting, East Texas recently negotiated new contracts with the cities to put two ambulances in each and had offered to stage two ambulances (one each in Palmer and Italy) for the county with no increase in the subsidy it was paid, which has been about $120,000 per year.

"That gives us eight to move around as we see fit or as the needs arise," Hudgins said. "Not that many counties can say they have eight ambulances and

a helicopter (at Ennis Regional Medical Center) based there."

Other questions included whether the cities would find themselves subsidizing CareFlite - and shortchanging their residents - if mutual aid agreements were entered into. Also questioned was how CareFlite intends to fund its service without a county subsidy, with Kestler saying two ways were through better collection of accounts and higher fees.

Sims said the county began looking at its emergency medical service about a year ago, with workshops held with different providers.

"CareFlite said, 'We'll do anything and everything we can to give people what they deserve.' We didn't hear that from anybody else," Sims said, saying he'd had complaints of ambulance calls taking as long as two hours to arrive in the Milford area. "My goal was to make sure everybody had a good service."

Panel needed

To avoid issues in the future, Sims said an ambulance service board with representation from across the county needed to be established and that the cities and county should work their contracts to start and end at the same time.

ESD board member Jack Dineen expressed his appreciation to everyone for attending.

"I can't tell you how proud I am of you," he said. "If not for your dedication, you wouldn't be here."

In particular, Dineen thanked Hudgins for his input and the information he provided - and requested Dodson and Sims to have the commissioners court look again at the matter.

"Can we go back to the drawing board and redo this? Nothing's been signed . and if it's not signed by the judge, there's no contract. You've got so much brainpower in this room (to utilize)," he said, asking whether the current contract with East Texas could be extended six months to allow more time to address the issues.

"Is it done? No, it's not done," Sims said, saying he'd heard information during the meeting that had raised some questions for him. "I want to work this out, correct any deficiencies and do it as quickly as possible."

In a unanimous vote, the firefighters called on commissioners to look at the matter with more detail and resolve the issues before proceeding.

The contract with CareFlite would not go into effect until OK'd by the county attorneys and signed by Adams.

Sims and Dodson did say one or the other would place the issue on the next commissioners court meeting, Aug. 25, with the different fire departments and ESDs invited to send representatives to speak.

E-mail JoAnn at joann@...

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Justin Lentz
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 4:05 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County

Here is the latest from the contract signing.

http://www.thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/15/news/doc48a5d00e81352104434758.txt

Justin Lentz wrote:
>
> Yesterday at the Commissioners Court meeting the county approved the
> rural contract to Careflight. The article is inclosed below for your
> pleasure.
>
>
>
http://thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/12/news/doc48a1befe9b0d6141119284.txt
>
<http://thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/12/news/doc48a1befe9b0d6141119284.txt>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28781. FWNASJRB Barrier Situation / Video from Fort Worth Star Telegram

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-16 15:06:23 UTC
From: Bob Stewart
Topic: 28781 Message: 28781

The FWS-T did a video showing the barrier
and interviewing a plane spotter. (1:31)

http://sb1.thefifthnetwork.com/VCMS/Player.aspx?id=cd619911-39cf-41ac-8462-ad0078a31011&UsePlayList=true&UserCompany=Star-Telegram&videoGUID=32bba2db-ec7f-4ee4-9770-549f9972a7c0&channelId=ID0EXF

28782. FCC grants

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-16 14:58:10 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 28782 Message: 28782

Hello, list. I compile a list of weekly FCC license grants:
http://home.comcast.net/~gmitch86/quick.htm
for western states. I have been doing this for CA for around 4 years
and I have added other states in the last couple years. Things are
going smooth so I want to add another line of states from ND to TX. If
it is OK with the list and mods I would post a message weekly when the
updates are ready. Thank you for your time.

--
Glenn Mitchell

28783. what is 464.650

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-16 15:59:28 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 28783 Message: 28783

I am listoning to this and it sounds like pocsag.. But its bursty..

Also, what is on 469.650?

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

28784. Burleson PD SWAT Activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-17 00:02:10 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28784 Message: 28784

Don't know if this is for real or training, but lots of activity on Burleson's PD's SWAT channel (0-01-039). Reason why it sparked my attention, because nothings goes on in this town, except for traffic accidents.

KC5RHB

28785. Re: Burleson PD SWAT Activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-17 00:26:18 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28784 Message: 28785

Well its over. It sounded like a real incident, perimeter units, witnesses, and business owner. It was at some warehouse. I've heard them train before and it didn't sound like that, but this incident also sounded "scripted" and was too quick, so, no telling with Burleson PD, they do it their way and no one else's. Just don't fall asleep in your car, or they will take you jail.

Later.

KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Don't know if this is for real or training, but lots of activity on
> Burleson's PD's SWAT channel (0-01-039). Reason why it sparked my
> attention, because nothings goes on in this town, except for traffic
> accidents.
>
> KC5RHB
>

28786. Care First Ambulance - Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-17 03:08:26 UTC
From: Tim Johnson
Topic: 28783 Message: 28786

I've checked the FCC ULS and Radio Reference and can't find a listing for
Care First Ambulance service in Dallas.

Any one have any information on them?

Thanks,

Tim

<http://geo.yahoo.com/serv?s=97359714/grpId=11922/grpspId=1705063108/msgId=2
8783/stime=1218902312/nc1=4025321/nc2=5170419/nc3=5028925>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28787. Re: FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to V

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-17 16:04:14 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28787 Message: 28787

When I was at Medstar we would post there. Every now and then we would get buzzed by an occasional FA-18. You could see the pilot wave. Almost like 'Thanks for sitting there.'

As far as Ellis County, if they are using the ETMC radio system, how well do they like it? Or is Waxahatchie the only user? Terry or TerryJr.: didn't Scareflite give a similar proposal to Kaufman County? Seems they were going to put a truck in our station in Elmo.......Would have loved to see them negotiating a lease with Eric.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28788. RE: [dfwscan] Re: FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to V

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-17 17:50:04 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28787 Message: 28788

Waxahachie is the only user. Ellis Co. SO and the VFDs are still on their VHF channels.

Careflite did make a proposal to Kaufman Co., as did Champion. But, they wanted subsidy to keep the number of ambulances we have now - otherwise, they wanted to do us just like Ellis Co. and go to 2 or 3 boxes county-wide. Negotiate, with Eric? Ha!

-tvs

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Anderson
Sent: Sunday, August 17, 2008 11:04 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to V

When I was at Medstar we would post there. Every now and then we would get buzzed by an occasional FA-18. You could see the pilot wave. Almost like 'Thanks for sitting there.'

As far as Ellis County, if they are using the ETMC radio system, how well do they like it?
Or is Waxahatchie the only user? Terry or TerryJr.: didn't Scareflite give a similar proposal to Kaufman County? Seems they were going to put a truck in our station in Elmo.....Would have loved to see them negotiating a lease with Eric.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28789. Re: East Texas Scanning website

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-18 15:26:48 UTC
From: Brad Low
Topic: 28686 Message: 28789

I agree with Brian. Some of it is the same information from the NETARE website (which I manage for the Northeast Texas area). I live in Jacksonville and work in Tyler, so some information is from first-hand knowledge and some from posts on Radioreference. I know on my website I have selected some information from Radioreference but the disclaimer exists on what was copied as "information courtesy of Radioreference". Any additional information I have that does not say "courtesy of Radioreference" IS original and has been logged by me. As I encounter new information, it will be posted in the forums and sections on my website and submitted to Radioreference for updates and changes. You can view this information at http://k5bdl.com/netare/

Any suggestions or input is welcome. The NETARE Yahoo group has existed since May 2003 and has about 50 members. I invite all of you to join if you wish.

73!
Brad Low, K5BDL
Jacksonville, TX
Owner, NETARE Yahoo! Group
Forums: http://netare.boardzero.com/forum.html

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
> Is this a cut and paste of information from other sites? Some of
this
> material is verbatim from other groups/sites I've seen before.
>
> Just curious...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Josh Kaufman/ KE5FGC
> Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 10:36 PM
> To: houstonscan; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] East Texas Scanning website
>
> for those that are interested I have created a website for the East
Texas
> area... Any input is greatly appreciated

> www.scaneastexas.com
>
>
> --
> Josh Kaufman
> KE5FGC
> 281-435-1064
> shortfilmguy@...
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
Groups Links
>

28790. attn Railfans

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-19 12:27:40 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28790 Message: 28790

Since I know some on the list enjoy train watching/monitoring I thought I'd pass this along. This morning about 5 am there was a really neat something in the south end of the CJ yard in Dallas. It was painted much like a UP engine is painted but had a slogan about using technology to enhance safety. This thing appeared to be able to move under its own power (big windshield with wipers etc). Hard to describe and too dark to photograph. I'll have to ask one of my special agent buddies what it is unless someone here has figured it out! Curious what it uses as a callsign as well.

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28791. Off Topic-Dallas Truck Show

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-19 23:19:27 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 28791 Message: 28791

It looks like the annual Truck Show is setting up at the Dallas Convention Center.

--
Lee B Shell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28792. [dfwscan] Re: FWNASJRB Favorite Military Aircraft Viewing Area Now Closed to Vehicles

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 00:12:05 UTC
From: Jim Whatley
Topic: 28770 Message: 28792

For those that don't know, the White Settlement Police Chief (Jack Ely) is the most recently retired (2007) Chief of the base (DOD) police department. This is probably something that he tried to get done while he was with DOD and couldn't get the city to cooperate, but now he's in a position to take care of a non-existent "security" problem. I've lived in the area for 50+ years, used that very spot to watch B-36's, B-58's, etc., and have NEVER heard of any accidents involving cars coming out of there (although I'm sure there have been some). It's most likely just another case of a retired federal double dipper flexing his "muscle".

Jim Whatley

dustdevil31 wrote:
>
> I think the White Settlement police chief should have to send a letter
> to every citizen of the
> town, telling them exactly how much money he spent on this abortion,
> why he did it, and
> listing the evidence he had that it was necessary, and that it would
> achieve any benefit for
> the citizens. I also think a goodly number of those citizens would
> conclude that he was an
> idiot and should be fired.
>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
> Version: 8.0.138 / Virus Database: 270.6.4/1617 - Release Date: 08/17/08 12:58
>

28793. Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 03:12:41 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28793 Message: 28793

There was a piece on Fox tonight about Desoto PD using new frequencies and how it is affecting Plano's watering. They are now on the same frequency so Plano is now having to water manually. They are upset they were not notified and I think they said they filed a complaint with the FCC.

I will try to find the link or maybe Terry knows more.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28794. RE: [dfwscan] Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 03:35:30 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28793 Message: 28794

Here's the DMN link that includes a lot of stupid comments from citizens!

http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/0819dnmetplanosprinklers2.1273abd2.html

Fact is Plano didn't upgrade their license and hold a mobile only on the frequency. They screwed up and now they're all wet, or not!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 10:13 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

There was a piece on Fox tonight about Desoto PD using new frequencies and how it is affecting Plano's watering. They are now on the same frequency so Plano is now having to water manually. They are upset they were not notified and I think they said they filed a complaint with the FCC.

I will try to find the link or maybe Terry knows more.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28795. Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 10:38:19 UTC
From: dfd_box4
Topic: 28793 Message: 28795

What Freqs are DeSoto using now??

Brian
www.box4.org

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Here's the DMN link that includes a lot of stupid comments from
citizens!
>
>
>
http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/0819
dnmetp
> lanosprinklers2.1273abd2.html
>
> Fact is Plano didn't upgrade their license and hold a mobile only
on the
> frequency. They screwed up and now they're all wet, or not!
>
>
>
> Terry
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Jason Simpkins
> Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 10:13 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios
>
> There was a piece on Fox tonight about Desoto PD using new
frequencies and
> how it is affecting Plano's watering. They are now on the same
frequency so
> Plano is now having to water manually. They are upset they were not
notified
> and I think they said they filed a complaint with the FCC.
>
> I will try to find the link or maybe Terry knows more.

>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
Groups Links
>

28796. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 10:55:38 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28793 Message: 28796

The same one as Plano uses for watering! :)

I don't think they've switched but the new ones are listed here:

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
dfd_box4
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 5:38 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

What Freqs are DeSoto using now??

Brian
www.box4.org

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Here's the DMN link that includes a lot of stupid comments from
citizens!
>
>
>
http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/0819
dnmetp
> lanosprinklers2.1273abd2.html
>
> Fact is Plano didn't upgrade their license and hold a mobile only
on the
> frequency. They screwed up and now they're all wet, or not!
>
>
>
> Terry
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Jason Simpkins

> Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 10:13 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios
>
> There was a piece on Fox tonight about Desoto PD using new
frequencies and
> how it is affecting Plano's watering. They are now on the same
frequency so
> Plano is now having to water manually. They are upset they were not
notified
> and I think they said they filed a complaint with the FCC.
>
> I will try to find the link or maybe Terry knows more.
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
Groups Links
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28797. Newfangled Police Scanner Leads To Dead Plano Shrubbery

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 04:05:11 UTC
From: Gene
Topic: 28797 Message: 28797

http://frontburner.dmagazine.com/2008/08/19/newfangled-police-scanner-leads-to-dead-plano-shrubbery/

28798. Weekly FCC grants August 10-16

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 10:54:07 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 28798 Message: 28798

OK. Here is the first batch:

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

28799. OT: digital TV in the Metroplex

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 16:34:16 UTC
From: Gene
Topic: 28799 Message: 28799

Does anyone know of a discussion group that's dealing with the transition to digital TV?

I have an analog TV that's not connected to satellite, and it gets most of the digital channels .. except anything from channel 8. I've used antennapoint.com to compare the ideal result with what I'm actually getting.

I suspect part of my problem has to do with the dipole antenna I'm using, but am sure I'm not the first to brave this path, and wondered where the Dallas-specific discussions are happening.

28800. Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 16:56:55 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 28793 Message: 28800

I do believe the data channels are active, now, on two of the three
sites.

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> The same one as Plano uses for watering! :)
>
> I don't think they've switched but the new ones are listed here:
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155
>
>
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> dfd_box4
> Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 5:38 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios
>
>
> What Freqs are DeSoto using now??
>
> Brian
> www.box4.org
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@> wrote:
> >
> > Here's the DMN link that includes a lot of stupid comments from
> citizens!
> >
> >
> >
>
http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/081
9
> dnmetp
> > lanosprinklers2.1273abd2.html

> >
> > Fact is Plano didn't upgrade their license and hold a mobile
only
> on the
> > frequency. They screwed up and now they're all wet, or not!
> >
> >
> >
> > Terry
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]
On
> Behalf Of
> > Jason Simpkins
> > Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 10:13 PM
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios
> >
> > There was a piece on Fox tonight about Desoto PD using new
> frequencies and
> > how it is affecting Plano's watering. They are now on the same
> frequency so
> > Plano is now having to water manually. They are upset they were
not
> notified
> > and I think they said they filed a complaint with the FCC.
> >
> > I will try to find the link or maybe Terry knows more.
> >
> > Jason
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
> Groups Links
> >
>

>
>
> ----------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
Groups Links
>

28801. Re: [dfwscan] OT: digital TV in the Metroplex

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 18:24:47 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 28799 Message: 28801

I'm over in southwest Fort Worth and I get all the HD stuff on my TV and tuner card in the PC using the same antenna I have had up for years for the analog TV. One of the HD sites lets you put in your zip code and it will tell you how much antenna you need for each station. Not sure how accurate it is as it shows different antennas for many of the stations in DFW - it implies some transmitters are lower power or farther away than others (at least in my area).

There are several sites that sell HD-specific antennas that are supposed to work well. They appear to be a UHF flat-panel array
---------- Original message from "Gene" <gtsaunders@...>: ----------

> Does anyone know of a discussion group that's dealing with the
> transition to digital TV?
>
> I have an analog TV that's not connected to satellite, and it gets
> most of the digital channels .. except anything from channel 8. I've
> used antennapoint.com to compare the ideal result with what I'm
> actually getting.
>
> I suspect part of my problem has to do with the dipole antenna I'm
> using, but am sure I'm not the first to brave this path, and wondered
> where the Dallas-specific discussions are happening.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28802. Re: [dfwscan] OT: digital TV in the Metroplex

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 19:38:32 UTC
From: Melissa
Topic: 28799 Message: 28802

I don't know if there's a discussion list or not, but if anyone has any questions and wants to email me offlist, you're welcome to.

Channel 8 isn't farther away, but it IS the only station that's VHF instead of UHF on it's digital transmitter. That's probably why you're not getting it as well. If I had a nickle for every person who said the same thing, I could retire! :)

There's no such thing as an HD specific antenna. DONT FALL FOR THAT! Also, there's a big difference between "HD TV" and "Digital TV" Digital TV is what you need the "converter box" for if you receive your tv stations over the air with an antenna, and do not have a DTV capable (ATSC) tuner. HDTV is the so called "widescreen" 16:9 format - and is on MOST digital TV stations, but not all. Channel 52, for example is DIGITAL but not HD. So you'll see letterboxes on the sides of your widescreen set unless the aspect ratio is all jacked up.

Again feel free to email me offlist with any questions - I'll do my best to help!

Melissa
Broadcast Engineer
WFAA TV Channel 8
KFWD TV Channel 52

daweasel@... wrote:

>
>
> I'm over in southwest Fort Worth and I get all the HD stuff on my TV
> and tuner card in the PC using the same antenna I have had up for
> years for the analog TV. One of the HD sites lets you put in your zip
> code and it will tell you how much antenna you need for each station.
> Not sure how accurate it is as it shows different antennas for many of
> the stations in DFW - it implies some transmitters are lower power or
> farther away than others (at least in my area).
>
> There are several sites that sell HD-specific antennas that are
> supposed to work well. They appear to be a UHF flat-panel array
> -------------- Original message from "Gene" <gtsaunders@...
> <mailto:gtsaunders%40sbcglobal.net>>: --------------
>
> > Does anyone know of a discussion group that's dealing with the
> > transition to digital TV?
> >
> > I have an analog TV that's not connected to satellite, and it gets

> > most of the digital channels .. except anything from channel 8. I've
> > used antennapoint.com to compare the ideal result with what I'm
> > actually getting.
> >
> > I suspect part of my problem has to do with the dipole antenna I'm
> > using, but am sure I'm not the first to brave this path, and wondered
> > where the Dallas-specific discussions are happening.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28803. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 22:10:34 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 28793 Message: 28803

System Type:MPT-1327 Standard?
What is that?

Jay

Russell Adams wrote:
>
> I do believe the data channels are active, now, on two of the three
> sites.
>
> Russell
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
> >
> > The same one as Plano uses for watering! :)
> >
> > I don't think they've switched but the new ones are listed here:
> >
> > http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155>
> >
> >
> >
> > Terry
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> > dfd_box4
> > Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 5:38 AM
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios
> >
> >
> > What Freqs are DeSoto using now??
> >
> > Brian
> > www.box4.org
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> "Terry" <terry@> wrote:

> > >
> > > Here's the DMN link that includes a lot of stupid comments from
> > citizens!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/081
> <http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/081>
> 9
> > dnmetp
> > > lanosprinklers2.1273abd2.html
> > >
> > > Fact is Plano didn't upgrade their license and hold a mobile
> only
> > on the
> > > frequency. They screwed up and now they're all wet, or not!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Terry
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>]
> On
> > Behalf Of
> > > Jason Simpkins
> > > Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 10:13 PM
> > > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > Subject: [dfwscan] Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios
> > >
> > > There was a piece on Fox tonight about Desoto PD using new
> > frequencies and
> > > how it is affecting Plano's watering. They are now on the same
> > frequency so
> > > Plano is now having to water manually. They are upset they were
> not
> > notified
> > > and I think they said they filed a complaint with the FCC.
> > >
> > > I will try to find the link or maybe Terry knows more.
> > >
> > > Jason
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------------------
> > >
> > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>!
> > Groups Links
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>!
> Groups Links
> >
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28804. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 22:14:55 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28793 Message: 28804

In the US a really bastard system!

http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/MPT-1327

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Jay Pinkerton
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 5:11 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios

System Type:MPT-1327 Standard?
What is that?

Jay

Russell Adams wrote:
>
> I do believe the data channels are active, now, on two of the three
> sites.
>
> Russell
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
> >
> > The same one as Plano uses for watering! :)
> >
> > I don't think they've switched but the new ones are listed here:
> >
> > http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155>
> >
> >
> >
> > Terry
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> > dfd_box4
> > Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 5:38 AM
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>

> > Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios
> >
> >
> > What Freqs are DeSoto using now??
> >
> > Brian
> > www.box4.org
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> "Terry" <terry@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Here's the DMN link that includes a lot of stupid comments from
> > citizens!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/081
> <http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/081>
> 9
> > dnmetp
> > > lanosprinklers2.1273abd2.html
> > >
> > > Fact is Plano didn't upgrade their license and hold a mobile
> only
> > on the
> > > frequency. They screwed up and now they're all wet, or not!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Terry
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>]
> On
> > Behalf Of
> > > Jason Simpkins
> > > Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 10:13 PM
> > > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> > > Subject: [dfwscan] Piece on Fox 4 about new police radios
> > >
> > > There was a piece on Fox tonight about Desoto PD using new
> > frequencies and

> > > how it is affecting Plano's watering. They are now on the same
> > frequency so
> > > Plano is now having to water manually. They are upset they were
> not
> > notified
> > > and I think they said they filed a complaint with the FCC.
> > >
> > > I will try to find the link or maybe Terry knows more.
> > >
> > > Jason
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------------------
> > >
> > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>!
> > Groups Links
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>!
> Groups Links
> > >
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28805. Re: [dfwscan] OT: digital TV in the Metroplex

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-20 23:59:58 UTC
From: Guy Teague
Topic: 28799 Message: 28805

you might also check out the 'local hdtv info' forum on avs forums. i've found the folks over there to be very knowledgeable and i've seen many local tv station engineers post there back when i was shopping for my first hdtv.
try:
http://www.avsforum.com/avs-vb/forumdisplay.php?f=45

or just go to avsforum.com and do a find for hdtv or local hdtv.

/guy (73 de kg5vt)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28806. Re: OT: digital TV in the Metroplex

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 00:05:53 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 28799 Message: 28806

Ok, now you've done it, Melissa. You told everybody you work for Ch
8! Now we have somebody to pick on!

Where's my DFW airport channel?! ;)

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Melissa <lists@...> wrote:

> Melissa
> Broadcast Engineer
> WFAA TV Channel 8
> KFWD TV Channel 52

28807. Re: Off Topic-Dallas Truck Show

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 00:30:37 UTC
From: Gene
Topic: 28791 Message: 28807

There's some traffic on the Land Line Media Blog, about a documentary called "Drive and Deliver":

http://landlinemedia.blogspot.com/2008/08/trucking-documentary-to-premiere-friday.html

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Lee Shell <leebshell@...> wrote:
>
> It looks like the annual Truck Show is setting up at the Dallas Convention Center.

28808. OFF TOPIC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 03:37:15 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28808 Message: 28808

New TV show:Stringers LA,about freelance news photo stringers.On the web site they have Crown Vic with 5-6 scanners,computer,etc.Check it out at www.trutv.com/shows/stringers/index.html

Mike in Garland

28809. Re: Off Topic-Dallas Truck Show

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 09:54:53 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28791 Message: 28809

If America saw the day to day life of a real truck driver, they would probably vote to build rail spurs in every town.

I've been driving truck for 12 years, 10 of that OTR. It's not a pleasant life from any angle. A very necessary evil, that's for sure.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Gene" <gtsaunders@...> wrote:
>
> There's some traffic on the Land Line Media Blog, about a documentary
> called "Drive and Deliver":
>
>
http://landlinemedia.blogspot.com/2008/08/trucking-documentary-to-premiere-friday.html
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Lee Shell <leebshell@> wrote:
> >
> > It looks like the annual Truck Show is setting up at the Dallas
> Convention Center.
>

28810. Re: [dfwscan] OFF TOPIC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 10:14:58 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28808 Message: 28810

Awesome!!

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 10:37:15 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] OFF TOPIC

New TV show:Stringers LA,about freelance news photo stringers.On the
web site they have Crown Vic with 5-6 scanners,computer, etc.Check it
out at www.trutv.com/ shows/stringers/ index.html

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28811. RE: [dfwscan] OFF TOPIC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 11:14:06 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28808 Message: 28811

Be sure to click on the "shows" tab and check out the other shows. They have some cool stuff!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 5:15 AM
To: rescue4nfd; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] OFF TOPIC

Awesome!!

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 10:37:15 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] OFF TOPIC

New TV show:Stringers LA,about freelance news photo stringers.On the web site they have Crown Vic with 5-6 scanners,computer, etc.Check it out at www.trutv.com/ shows/stringers/ index.html

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28812. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Off Topic-Dallas Truck Show

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 12:34:08 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28791 Message: 28812

I did truck driving for US Xpress and hung it up after my year was up. Hated it. To many trucks, not enough parking, to much traffic, long hours, never home. Anyway this is way off topic. I could go on and on.

Jason

----- Original Message ----
From: Jason <kd5tvf@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 4:54:53 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Off Topic-Dallas Truck Show

If America saw the day to day life of a real truck driver, they would
probably vote to build rail spurs in every town.

I've been driving truck for 12 years, 10 of that OTR. It's not a
pleasant life from any angle. A very necessary evil, that's for sure.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Gene" <gtsaunders@ ...> wrote:
>
> There's some traffic on the Land Line Media Blog, about a documentary
> called "Drive and Deliver":
>
>
http://landlinemedi a.blogspot. com/2008/ 08/trucking- documentary- to-premiere-
friday.html
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Lee Shell <leebshell@> wrote:
> >
> > It looks like the annual Truck Show is setting up at the Dallas
> Convention Center.
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28813. Re: Weekly FCC grants August 10-16

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 16:30:20 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 28798 Message: 28813

Thanks for sharing Glen that was very informative and interesting, I checked Texas and some other states also. I appreciate the service.

Wally Banks

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Glenn Mitchell <gmitch86@...> wrote:
>
> OK. Here is the first batch:
>
> http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm
>
> --
> Glenn Mitchell
>

28814. OT: Device on Burleson Street Lamps.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 16:32:38 UTC
From: Jeff Ellison
Topic: 28814 Message: 28814

Can anyone tell me what the tan, cylindrical items are on top of some of the streetlights in Burleson? Saw one the other night and it grabbed my curiosity.

Thanks,
Jeff

28815. Re: OT: Device on Burleson Street Lamps.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-21 20:39:55 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28814 Message: 28815

It's Burleson's Wi-Fi Network, that the city and public uses. See link below.

http://www.moonoverburleson.com/

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jeff Ellison" <bombmedic1051@...> wrote:
>
> Can anyone tell me what the tan, cylindrical items are on top of some
> of the streetlights in Burleson? Saw one the other night and it grabbed
> my curiosity.
>
> Thanks,
> Jeff
>

28816. Re: OFF TOPIC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-22 03:11:11 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28808 Message: 28816

Ain't got nothing on Terry Jr's 40 control heads in his truck.

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28817. RE: [dfwscan] Re: OFF TOPIC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-22 12:39:15 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28808 Message: 28817

You got to cut those guys some slack, they're driving cars. There's a reason Terry and I have trucks, room for a 30" console! Dude in the vic does have a nice setup. Some spectras as well scanners. Clean install. Wish I had the action they have. Dallas has only had 105 murders so far this year....

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Anderson
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 10:11 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: OFF TOPIC

Ain't got nothing on Terry Jr's 40 control heads in his truck.

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

28818. Re: OFF TOPIC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-22 12:46:58 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28808 Message: 28818

Terry wrote:

> Wish I had the action they have. Dallas has only had 105 murders so far
> this year....

Yep. If you're a criminal out in LA and haven't
been involved in at least one high speed chase
(on TV of course) , or two or three drive bys, you
ain't s^%t! Out there, it's an initiation!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
IPN DFW226

28819. Re: [dfwscan] Garland Tower (Thank You Bill)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-22 17:52:46 UTC
From: sdtexas@pobox.com
Topic: 24110 Message: 28819

All,

Thank You Bill for updating us on the project. Your time and effort in keeping us in the loop means alot!

Again Thanks! & 73's

Danny

--- rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...> wrote:

> For the Record Bill has been on public saftey
> planning
> groups,used public radios,helped
> Garland,Rowlett,Sachse when they were
> planning the Garland 800 System and was the Chief of
> Rowlett Fire
> Dept.and in my mind,has forgotten more about public
> saftey radios that
> Mark will ever know!
>
> Mike in Garland
>
>
>
>
>

28820. Speaking of off topic...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-22 22:31:43 UTC
From: Melissa
Topic: 28808 Message: 28820

For those of you who may be new here, there IS an off-topic group that's splintered off this one.

It can be found here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan-tavern/

There's also a ham radio offshoot
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwhams/

Neither are terribly active, but in case you want to join up - feel free.

Melissa
km5r

28821. quick moving storm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-24 00:59:23 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28821 Message: 28821

It didn't last long, but it's causing a lot of call in Euless and Bedford. I had to pull over when visibility went to zero for a while from the rain and wind. Media folks might want to check out 3717 Commerce Pl in Bedford. PD Reported severe roof damage and now FD is on asking for building inspector. Lots of powerlines down, traffic lights out, debris in roadway, etc.

Ben

28822. Re: [dfwscan] quick moving storm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-24 02:06:34 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28821 Message: 28822

We watched it blow up in Fort Worth. We were in the hospital district
and all we got was wind and clouds -- no rain. Wife was in Burleson,
and she said it poured down south and in southwest Fort Worth. Pretty
bizarre stuff, for sure.

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> It didn't last long, but it's causing a lot of call in Euless and Bedford. I
> had to pull over when visibility went to zero for a while from the rain and
> wind. Media folks might want to check out 3717 Commerce Pl in Bedford. PD
> Reported severe roof damage and now FD is on asking for building inspector.
> Lots of powerlines down, traffic lights out, debris in roadway, etc.
>
>

28823. Re: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-24 06:03:50 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28768 Message: 28823

Here is the latest in the contract proceedings found this on channel 8

http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/tv/stories/wfaa080820_wz_ellisambulances.17e45193.html

and this on the county fire website

****** *Update as of August 18, 2008* ********

Commissioner Sims had another meeting with East Texas EMS the morning of Monday August 18th. New information has been gathered and a new set of recommendations will be made to the county judge.

The recommendations are as follows:

-*The current proposed contract with Careflight to be tabled.*

- Consider an upgrade contract with East Texas Medical adding 2 MICU s (one in Palmer the other in Italy).

- These items will be on the agenda for the next County Commissioners court- Monday August 25th 6 PM Waxahachie second floor court house.

* *

Long term plan

- *Consolidation of ambulance service contracts- All contracts would come due at the same time, and we would have one master contract for the county vs. individual contracts for individual cities and the county. (purchasing power in numbers).*

Establishment of a EMS needs committee- compose of a cross section of people (EMS providers, FRO members, Hospitals, ESD members, etc)

FYI the media has also made the issue known. There is an article on the ambulance service in the Sunday (August 17)edition of the Ennis Daily News, the Friday (August 15)Edition of the Waxahachie Daily Light and the Thursday (August 21) edition of the Dallas Morning News.

Tuesday August 19th Channel 8 News (WFAA)

An article about the Radio situation is in the Thursday August 14th edition of the Ellis Co Press.

The Ellis Observer Website.

If possible, please get a representative from your dept or ESD to the Commissioner's Court on Monday evening. If you wish to speak, forms are

available on the county website.

I have also been informed that by law all four commissioners can not be present at (non- commission) meeting to answer questions.

Proposed plan- Care Flight

Two ambulances will be stationed in the County:
1 in Palmer and the other in Italy.
A helicopter is stationed in West and is available _*as needed.*_

Cost to Ellis County $1.00 per year.

Care Flight has an ambulance stationed in Alvarado, that ambulance _*may*_ answer calls to the West side of the county. Other units are available in Dallas and Johnson co.

Care Flight will provide free all the required training for volunteer fire department first responders.

Response Time:

Cat 1 life threatening emergency Care Flight will have 20:59 minutes to arrive at the site.

Cat 2 non-life threatening Care Flight will have 29:59 minutes to arrive at the site.

Commissioner Dodson voted against the contract!

All other commissioners and Judge Adams voted for the contract.

The cities of Ennis, Red Oak and Waxahachie are remaining with East Texas EMS. Midlothian will continue to provide service for Midlothian and Ovilla.

Terry wrote:
>
>
>
> Here's the story if you don't want to go through the registration
> stuff....
>
> Terry
>
> Firefighters question county ambulance pact
> By JOANN LIVINGSTON
> Daily Light Managing Editor
> Published: Friday, August 15, 2008 1:52 PM CDT
> Firefighters from across Ellis County showed up en masse Thursday night to
> question county officials face-to-face over a recent decision relating to
> ambulance service in rural areas.
>

> The more than 100 firefighters on hand for the Ellis County Firefighters
> Association meeting represented rural and city departments, volunteer and
> paid, with officials from the different emergency service districts
> also in
> attendance. The emotional meeting saw questions raised as to whether
> or not
> the county is placing a price tag on human life.
>
> County commissioners recently voted 4-1 (Bill Dodson dissenting) to
> authorize County Judge Chad Adams to sign a $1 a year, five-year contract
> with CareFlite.
>
> *
> Under the agreement - which is pending review by county attorneys -
> CareFlite would stage an ambulance in Italy and Palmer, with company
> officials saying they would launch an air ambulance if necessary to meet
> service time requirements, which carry a financial penalty of from
> $5-$10 a
> minute if not met.
>
> The commissioners court currently has its contract with East Texas EMS,
> which also has EMS contracts with the cities of Waxahachie, Ennis and Red
> Oak, for a total of 5 1/2 ambulances staged in Ellis County.
> Midlothian has
> its own ambulance service.
>
> Current mutual aid agreements between the different entities (ESDs, fire
> departments, cities, county and East Texas) have the East Texas ambulances
> flowing where needed.
>
> Questions were raised during the firefighters' meeting as to whether
> or not
> similar mutual aid agreements - which are typically based upon an
> ability to
> reciprocate services - could be secured by the start date of the proposed
> contract (Oct. 1). Those agreements would ensure backup service for rural
> areas if CareFlite is unable to handle the call load, a reported 1,800 to
> 2,000 calls per year.
>
> CareFlite representative Mark Kestler said the company plans to back
> up its
> Italy-based ambulance out of Johnson County, with the Palmer-based unit
> backed up out of Duncanville/Lancaster. CareFlite also has ambulances in
> Mansfield and, if its ambulances aren't able to meet the time
> requirements,
> a helicopter could be launched, he said, noting variables though, such as
> the priority level of the call and whether or not first responders were
> available on the ground to assist.
>
> Beyond that, mutual aid agreements with other entities would provide for

> backup; however, discussion during the meeting indicated no agreements
> relating to the proposed contract with CareFlite have been worked out
> - with
> an indication those could be unlikely. Also appearing unlikely at this
> time
> is any reciprocity between the different EMS providers on membership
> plans.
>
> "What's Plan B?" Mark Jackson of Milford Volunteer Fire Department asked,
> with no definitive answer received.
>
> "We're getting decent service (now). It's not great, but we're going
> from 5
> 1/2 ambulances to two and anybody that knows a little math can figure out
> it's not going to get better," Telico Volunteer Fire Department Lt.
> Charles
> Walker said. "Two is all you've said you can afford. . How are we going to
> do it all with two ambulances?"
>
> Providing input
>
> Walker questioned why the commissioners hadn't sought input from the
> association's member entities, describing firefighters' requests to be
> involved as bluntly rejected and subsequent requests to speak during a
> commissioners court meeting as unanswered.
>
> Walker said he'd been told the association's input didn't matter and asked
> Dodson and Sims why none of the commissioners court had ever attended an
> association meeting although invited to do so.
>
> "We're not a bunch of yahoos riding around in big red trucks," he said,
> noting his passion for serving his community. "We do this because we
> love it
> and because we want to do it."
>
> He said the association wanted to help with the issue and that it wasn't a
> matter of being for or against either East Texas or CareFlite.
>
> "This affects us and our families and our communities," he said, citing a
> list of questions about what the commissioners have proposed, one of which
> was whether the $120,000 savings to the county would still be allocated
> toward emergency needs, such as improvements to the radio system or
> training.
>
> As noted by Waxahachie Fire Chief David Hudgins during the meeting, East
> Texas recently negotiated new contracts with the cities to put two
> ambulances in each and had offered to stage two ambulances (one each in
> Palmer and Italy) for the county with no increase in the subsidy it was
> paid, which has been about $120,000 per year.
>

> "That gives us eight to move around as we see fit or as the needs arise,"
> Hudgins said. "Not that many counties can say they have eight
> ambulances and
> a helicopter (at Ennis Regional Medical Center) based there."
>
> Other questions included whether the cities would find themselves
> subsidizing CareFlite - and shortchanging their residents - if mutual aid
> agreements were entered into. Also questioned was how CareFlite intends to
> fund its service without a county subsidy, with Kestler saying two
> ways were
> through better collection of accounts and higher fees.
>
> Sims said the county began looking at its emergency medical service
> about a
> year ago, with workshops held with different providers.
>
> "CareFlite said, 'We'll do anything and everything we can to give people
> what they deserve.' We didn't hear that from anybody else," Sims said,
> saying he'd had complaints of ambulance calls taking as long as two
> hours to
> arrive in the Milford area. "My goal was to make sure everybody had a good
> service."
>
> Panel needed
>
> To avoid issues in the future, Sims said an ambulance service board with
> representation from across the county needed to be established and
> that the
> cities and county should work their contracts to start and end at the same
> time.
>
> ESD board member Jack Dineen expressed his appreciation to everyone for
> attending.
>
> "I can't tell you how proud I am of you," he said. "If not for your
> dedication, you wouldn't be here."
>
> In particular, Dineen thanked Hudgins for his input and the information he
> provided - and requested Dodson and Sims to have the commissioners court
> look again at the matter.
>
> "Can we go back to the drawing board and redo this? Nothing's been
> signed .
> and if it's not signed by the judge, there's no contract. You've got
> so much
> brainpower in this room (to utilize)," he said, asking whether the current
> contract with East Texas could be extended six months to allow more
> time to
> address the issues.
>

> "Is it done? No, it's not done," Sims said, saying he'd heard information
> during the meeting that had raised some questions for him. "I want to work
> this out, correct any deficiencies and do it as quickly as possible."
>
> In a unanimous vote, the firefighters called on commissioners to look
> at the
> matter with more detail and resolve the issues before proceeding.
>
> The contract with CareFlite would not go into effect until OK'd by the
> county attorneys and signed by Adams.
>
> Sims and Dodson did say one or the other would place the issue on the next
> commissioners court meeting, Aug. 25, with the different fire departments
> and ESDs invited to send representatives to speak.
>
> E-mail JoAnn at joann@... <mailto:joann%40wninews.com>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> Justin Lentz
> Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 4:05 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County
>
> Here is the latest from the contract signing.
>
> http://www.thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/15/news/doc48a5d00e81352104434
> <http://www.thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/15/news/doc48a5d00e81352104434>
> 758.txt
>
> Justin Lentz wrote:
> >
> > Yesterday at the Commissioners Court meeting the county approved the
> > rural contract to Careflight. The article is inclosed below for your
> > pleasure.
> >
> >
> >
> http://thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/12/news/doc48a1befe9b0d6141119284.
> <http://thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/12/news/doc48a1befe9b0d6141119284.>
> txt
> >
> <http://thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/12/news/doc48a1befe9b0d6141119284
> <http://thedailylight.com/articles/2008/08/12/news/doc48a1befe9b0d6141119284>
> .txt>
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

> >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>! Groups
> Links
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28824. Re: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-24 11:37:17 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28768 Message: 28824

The proper name is "CareFlite" not Careflight or any of the other commonly misspelled, misquoted names being bandied about. You would think that the media / reporters would get it right! There is a company by the name of Careflight but they operated in another state!

Greg Higgins

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28825. RE: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-24 11:44:57 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28768 Message: 28825

Obviously you haven't worked in the business!!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Greg Higgins
Sent: Sunday, August 24, 2008 6:37 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County

The proper name is "CareFlite" not Careflight or any of the other commonly misspelled, misquoted names being bandied about. You would think that the media / reporters would get it right! There is a company by the name of Careflight but they operated in another state!

Greg Higgins

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28826. Re: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-24 15:40:23 UTC
From: Melissa km5r email list address
Topic: 28768 Message: 28826

That's ok, our reporters have started verbalizing the noun anyway - "the victim was careflighted to the hospital"

No, the victim was taken by careflight (or just generic "helicopter") to the hospital. But then what does a lowly engineer know about reporting news anyway???

</soapbox>

-Melissa

Terry wrote:
>
> Obviously you haven't worked in the business!!
>
> Terry
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28827. Re: [dfwscan] Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-24 17:29:26 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28768 Message: 28827

I also forgot to include the Dallas Morning News Article.

http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/city/ellis/stories/082008dnmetellisamb.38a1f99.html

in it they mentioned that with careflite you would be up a creek during
a MCI but truth is no matter who you are with you will be up a creek

Melissa km5r email list address wrote:
>
> That's ok, our reporters have started verbalizing the noun anyway - "the
> victim was careflighted to the hospital"
>
> No, the victim was taken by careflight (or just generic "helicopter") to
> the hospital. But then what does a lowly engineer know about reporting
> news anyway???
>
> </soapbox>
>
> -Melissa
>
> Terry wrote:
> >
> > Obviously you haven't worked in the business!!
> >
> > Terry
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28828. Re: [dfwscan] quick moving storm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-24 17:15:21 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 28821 Message: 28828

Saw what appeared to be a small but disorganized wall form in the inflow as at moved through SW Arlington. Saw one funnel-like formation dip out, but couldn't make out the rotation...it might just have been a cloud getting sucked into the updraft. Pretty impressive and sharply defined rain shaft dumping out of it, though.

----- Original Message ----
From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
To: DFWSCAN <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 23, 2008 9:06:34 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] quick moving storm

We watched it blow up in Fort Worth. We were in the hospital district
and all we got was wind and clouds -- no rain. Wife was in Burleson,
and she said it poured down south and in southwest Fort Worth. Pretty
bizarre stuff, for sure.

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> It didn't last long, but it's causing a lot of call in Euless and Bedford. I
> had to pull over when visibility went to zero for a while from the rain and
> wind. Media folks might want to check out 3717 Commerce Pl in Bedford. PD
> Reported severe roof damage and now FD is on asking for building inspector.
> Lots of powerlines down, traffic lights out, debris in roadway, etc.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28829. Re: Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-25 03:56:03 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 28768 Message: 28829

Let's not leave out Scareflite.

What kind of morons would fall for that proposal? Cut number of units for less money? Apparently they haven't listened to ETMC West lately. Between them and Kaufman county there is constant traffic, a lot of it is post moves. How can they cover that much area with two trucks? Apparently they don't understand that if your system is busy your backup may also be busy, and thus unavailable. They have apparently never met Mr. Murphy. Someone in Scareflite marketing must have one heck of a persuasive personality or terrific clevage. Any one with any sense at all can see this is a loosing proposition. But we are dealing with politicians here.....

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28830. Re: Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-25 18:35:32 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28768 Message: 28830

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> The proper name is "CareFlite" not Careflight or any of the other
> commonly misspelled, misquoted names being bandied about. You would
> think that the media / reporters would get it right! There is a
> company by the name of Careflight but they operated in another state!

I was going to say the same thing. I think the fact that the county firefighters' don't even
know the proper name of the organisation they are dealing with is a good indication of just how qualified they are to even comment on the matter.

They need to all just shut the hell up and just leave it up to Dr. Bledsoe! In fact, if they
haven't gotten him involved in this yet, they're idiots.

Rob

28831. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-25 21:49:23 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 28768 Message: 28831

Oh lord I havent heard that name in years

Cody

--- On Mon, 8/25/08, dustdevil31 <rob.davis@...> wrote:

From: dustdevil31 <rob.davis@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Careflight Ellis County
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Monday, August 25, 2008, 1:35 PM

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@ ...> wrote:
>
> The proper name is "CareFlite" not Careflight or any of the other
> commonly misspelled, misquoted names being bandied about. You would
> think that the media / reporters would get it right! There is a
> company by the name of Careflight but they operated in another state!

I was going to say the same thing. I think the fact that the county firefighters' don't even
know the proper name of the organisation they are dealing with is a good indication of just how qualified they are to even comment on the matter.

They need to all just shut the hell up and just leave it up to Dr. Bledsoe! In fact, if they
haven't gotten him involved in this yet, they're idiots.

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28832. Re: Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-25 22:57:32 UTC
From: James Pultz
Topic: 28768 Message: 28832

I think Careflite sent out a memo about using there name as a generic name for air ambulance. At our place the reporters were told to use "Air Ambilance" unless they actually see CareFlite on scene or get official word the patient was taken by careflite. They've even used PHI and Lifestar on their stories.

CareFlite tried the same thing here in Wise Co. a few months back. There was a BIG uproar from folks who support the current system of ambulances. While most of the county is served by vol. FD's the MICU's are staffed positions. Luckily the public was actually heard and the contract was never discussed again. They wanted to get rid of the staff EMT's and go with less with CareFlite ambulances and air support. They decided not only to drop discussions with CareFlits but signed with LifeStar for air support with PHI as backup. CareFlite hardly ever flies our direction unless they are transferring from Whictia Falls.

I don't understand how CareFlite can claim they can do more activity with less equipment and personnel. I'm guessing they rely on their helicopters to take up the slack. From what I've heard the Careflite has lost a lot of contracts they used to hold in the smaller counties. I don't really know whay that is but you don't see them out there as often.

Just my $.02 worth. Now back to lurkin mode.
JP

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Justin Lentz <justinlentz@...> wrote:
>
> I also forgot to include the Dallas Morning News Article.
>
>
http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/city/ellis/stories/08
2008dnmetellisamb.38a1f99.html
>
> in it they mentioned that with careflite you would be up a creek
during
> a MCI but truth is no matter who you are with you will be up a creek
>
> Melissa km5r email list address wrote:
> >
> > That's ok, our reporters have started verbalizing the noun
anyway - "the
> > victim was careflighted to the hospital"
> >
> > No, the victim was taken by careflight (or just

generic "helicopter") to
> > the hospital. But then what does a lowly engineer know about
reporting
> > news anyway???
> >
> > </soapbox>
> >
> > -Melissa
> >
> > Terry wrote:
> > >
> > > Obviously you haven't worked in the business!!
> > >
> > > Terry
> > >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28833. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-25 23:13:14 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28768 Message: 28833

WTF is an "air ambilance" ???? No wonder reporters have problems.

Greg

At 05:57 PM 08/25/2008, you wrote:

>I think Careflite sent out a memo about using there name as a generic
>name for air ambulance. At our place the reporters were told to
>use "Air Ambilance" unless they actually see CareFlite on scene or
>get official word the patient was taken by careflite. They've even
>used PHI and Lifestar on their stories.
>
>CareFlite tried the same thing here in Wise Co. a few months back.
>There was a BIG uproar from folks who support the current system of
>ambulances. While most of the county is served by vol. FD's the
>MICU's are staffed positions. Luckily the public was actually heard
>and the contract was never discussed again. They wanted to get rid of
>the staff EMT's and go with less with CareFlite ambulances and air
>support. They decided not only to drop discussions with CareFlits but
>signed with LifeStar for air support with PHI as backup. CareFlite
>hardly ever flies our direction unless they are transferring from
>Whictia Falls.
>
>I don't understand how CareFlite can claim they can do more activity
>with less equipment and personnel. I'm guessing they rely on their
>helicopters to take up the slack. From what I've heard the Careflite
>has lost a lot of contracts they used to hold in the smaller
>counties. I don't really know whay that is but you don't see them out
>there as often.
>
>Just my $.02 worth. Now back to lurkin mode.
>JP

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28834. Johnson County Passport Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 01:38:12 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 28834 Message: 28834

What's going on now? I just returned from a week out of state and find that there are LTR bursts going constantly on 155.295 (Johnson Co. Fire Rptr) and 158.940 (Keene FD Rptr), so I have to lock them out. Is this thing actually functioning county-wide now? Has everyone actually got radios for the system? I'm more than a little surprised to see this going on 155.295.

Rob

28835. Re: Johnson County Passport Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 02:56:28 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28834 Message: 28835

For the past week and even now, Ive been listening to Johnson Co SO, Johnson Co Fire, Cleburne PD, Alvarado PD, Alvarado Fire, Keene PD, on their normal frequencies that they have had for years. I guess when we stop hearing them on those frequencies then they have completely switched. You have to remember that DPS uses Johnson County's SO frequency (158.745) a lot. So no telling if their radios have been reprogrammed or changed.

So, for me, Ill continue to listen to the conventional frequencies till I am forced to listen to the LTR system.

KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> What's going on now? I just returned from a week out of state and find that there are LTR bursts going constantly on 155.295 (Johnson Co. Fire Rptr) and 158.940 (Keene FD Rptr), so I have to lock them out. Is this thing actually functioning county-wide now? Has everyone actually got radios for the system? I'm more than a little surprised to see this going on 155.295.
>
> Rob
>

28836. Re: Johnson County Passport Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 03:26:01 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28834 Message: 28836

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> So, for me, Ill continue to listen to the conventional frequencies
> till I am forced to listen to the LTR system.

I think that moment is here, because the LTR system is bursting on the conventional channels, so you can't ignore it. That's what I'm talking about. I'm talking only about fire
though. I don't listen to cops.

Rob

28837. Re: Johnson County Passport Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 03:53:33 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28834 Message: 28837

Well, if you look on RadioReference, you'll see that 155.295 is one of
the frequencies that have been allocated to the Passport system.
It's listed as CH 3 on the Alvarado site.

The system has been functioning county wide since apprx. July 5th.
It hasn't functioned very well since that time, but that's another
story. Cleburne, Alvarado and Keene have already had radio's for
this system. But Alavarado and Keene had their radio's re-programmed
around the first of August, due to problems with the Passport system.
That was about the same time that they changed the control channel
from 154.445 to 155.145, because of the interference with Hood Cty.
I still haven't figured out the reason for using 153.890/154.445.

Recently, Cleburne FD has been using 155.145 in conventional mode.
And likewise with Keene and Alvarado on their old freqs.

In regards to the PD's , SO, & DPS using the Passport system;
the original plan was for the fire dept's to go online first,
then a year later the PD's , SO, and DPS would join them.

But at this point, I have absolutely no idea what their doing with
this system. I'm starting to believe that JoCo doesn't know either.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> What's going on now? I just returned from a week out of state and
find that there are LTR bursts going constantly on 155.295 (Johnson
Co. Fire Rptr) and 158.940 (Keene FD Rptr), so I have to lock them
out. Is this thing actually functioning county-wide now? Has
everyone actually got radios for the system? I'm more than a little
surprised to see this going on 155.295.
>
> Rob
>

28838. Re: Johnson County Passport Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 03:59:31 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28834 Message: 28838

Chris Easley wrote:

> I'm starting to believe that JoCo doesn't know either.

BINGO!

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
IPN DFW226

28839. Re: Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 04:10:33 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28768 Message: 28839

So now we're complaining about spelling error's?? Come on let's act a little more mature. I mean the correction on the spelling of CareFlite was appropriate, but this is down-right silly. I think everyone on this group is intelligent enough to know what he was talking about.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> WTF is an "air ambilance" ???? No wonder reporters have problems.
>
> Greg

28840. Six Flags Hurricane Harbor

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 05:03:50 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 27007 Message: 28840

I was down around Six Flags Hurricane Harbor on Sunday and finally managed to figure out a couple of their simplex frequencies. The main channel 1 frequency they use is 452.5000 DCS346 which is a repeater that can be heard all the way up here in West Plano. They also have a bunch of low power simplex frequencies they jump to when they want to get traffic off of channel 1. The ones I have been able to figure out so far are Channel 2 468.5750 DCS174, Channel 3 469.3250 DCS565 & Channel 4 469.9000 DCS114. They also have two other simplex frequencies 466.3750 & 469.6750 but yet to hear any type of traffic on them.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

28841. Re: Johnson County Passport Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 05:07:12 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28834 Message: 28841

So Johnson County is going to do a partial change over with FD first and PD a year later, hmmm, you would think they would do it all at once. It's not like Johnson County has a HUGE urban area (Cleburne). I'm still puzzled why they would even want to go to LTR. It seemed like everything was working fine before. Just like Burleson going to UHF LTR, they have all these talk groups and pretty much only use one or two. Oh well, what happens, happens. Im sure we will adjust and survive. Just more crap to program and keep track of.

Happy scanning....

KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> Well, if you look on RadioReference, you'll see that 155.295 is one of
> the frequencies that have been allocated to the Passport system.
> It's listed as CH 3 on the Alvarado site.
>
> The system has been functioning county wide since apprx. July 5th.
> It hasn't functioned very well since that time, but that's another
> story. Cleburne, Alvarado and Keene have already had radio's for
> this system. But Alavarado and Keene had their radio's re-programmed
> around the first of August, due to problems with the Passport system.
> That was about the same time that they changed the control channel
> from 154.445 to 155.145, because of the interference with Hood Cty.
> I still haven't figured out the reason for using 153.890/154.445.
>
> Recently, Cleburne FD has been using 155.145 in conventional mode.
> And likewise with Keene and Alvarado on their old freqs.
>
> In regards to the PD's , SO, & DPS using the Passport system;
> the original plan was for the fire dept's to go online first,
> then a year later the PD's , SO, and DPS would join them.
>
> But at this point, I have absolutely no idea what their doing with
> this system. I'm starting to believe that JoCo doesn't know either.
>
> Chris
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@" <rob.davis@> wrote:
> >
> > What's going on now? I just returned from a week out of state and
> find that there are LTR bursts going constantly on 155.295 (Johnson
> Co. Fire Rptr) and 158.940 (Keene FD Rptr), so I have to lock them
> out. Is this thing actually functioning county-wide now? Has

> everyone actually got radios for the system? I'm more than a little
> surprised to see this going on 155.295.
> >
> > Rob
> >
>

28842. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 05:07:03 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 28768 Message: 28842

Interesting how a little tongue-in-cheek humor can raise your hackles.

Greg

At 11:10 PM 08/25/2008, you wrote:

>So now we're complaining about spelling error's?? Come on let's act a
>little more mature. I mean the correction on the spelling of CareFlite
>was appropriate, but this is down-right silly. I think everyone on this
>group is intelligent enough to know what he was talking about.
>
>Chris
>
>--- In <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com,
>Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
> >
> > WTF is an "air ambilance" ???? No wonder reporters have problems.
> >
> > Greg

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28843. Weekly FCC grants August 17-23

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 13:19:16 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 28843 Message: 28843

Now with modified licenses.
http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

28844. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Johnson County Passport Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-26 13:49:58 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28834 Message: 28844

The last I heard was that the PD's didn't receive their funding and had no plans to move to the new system. As far as the upgrade it was to aid in interoperability and improve the quality of their volunteer's com system (46.100) which is almost useless. The system constantly goes down and it isn't a repeated system so if you're too far from another unit you might hear the county respond to them but you won't hear their traffic.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of KC5RHB
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2008 12:07 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Johnson County Passport Again

So Johnson County is going to do a partial change over with FD first and PD a year later, hmmm, you would think they would do it all at once. It's not like Johnson County has a HUGE urban area (Cleburne). I'm still puzzled why they would even want to go to LTR. It seemed like everything was working fine before. Just like Burleson going to UHF LTR, they have all these talk groups and pretty much only use one or two. Oh well, what happens, happens. Im sure we will adjust and survive. Just more crap to program and keep track of.

Happy scanning....

KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> Well, if you look on RadioReference, you'll see that 155.295 is one of
> the frequencies that have been allocated to the Passport system.
> It's listed as CH 3 on the Alvarado site.
>
> The system has been functioning county wide since apprx. July 5th.
> It hasn't functioned very well since that time, but that's another
> story. Cleburne, Alvarado and Keene have already had radio's for
> this system. But Alavarado and Keene had their radio's re-programmed
> around the first of August, due to problems with the Passport system.
> That was about the same time that they changed the control channel
> from 154.445 to 155.145, because of the interference with Hood Cty.
> I still haven't figured out the reason for using 153.890/154.445.
>
> Recently, Cleburne FD has been using 155.145 in conventional mode.
> And likewise with Keene and Alvarado on their old freqs.
>
> In regards to the PD's , SO, & DPS using the Passport system;
> the original plan was for the fire dept's to go online first,

> then a year later the PD's , SO, and DPS would join them.
>
> But at this point, I have absolutely no idea what their doing with
> this system. I'm starting to believe that JoCo doesn't know either.
>
> Chris
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@" <rob.davis@> wrote:
> >
> > What's going on now? I just returned from a week out of state and
> find that there are LTR bursts going constantly on 155.295 (Johnson
> Co. Fire Rptr) and 158.940 (Keene FD Rptr), so I have to lock them
> out. Is this thing actually functioning county-wide now? Has
> everyone actually got radios for the system? I'm more than a little
> surprised to see this going on 155.295.
> >
> > Rob
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28845. Re: Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-27 08:30:39 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 28768 Message: 28845

Also interesting how things can change quickly, click on the
following link:
http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/stories/082
608dnmetellisambulance.13caae56.html

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> Interesting how a little tongue-in-cheek humor can raise your
hackles.
>
> Greg
>
> At 11:10 PM 08/25/2008, you wrote:
>
> >So now we're complaining about spelling error's?? Come on let's
act a
> >little more mature. I mean the correction on the spelling of
CareFlite
> >was appropriate, but this is down-right silly. I think everyone on
this
> >group is intelligent enough to know what he was talking about.
> >
> >Chris
> >
> >--- In <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com,
> >Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@> wrote:
> > >
> > > WTF is an "air ambilance" ???? No wonder reporters have
problems.
> > >
> > > Greg
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28846. Funny radio story

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-27 12:23:50 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28846 Message: 28846

Got bumped out for a Haz-Mat call about 02:38. It didn't amount to much and I was home by about 05:00. It was too late to go back to the sack and the boss was still asleep, so I went to drink coffee and listen to the scanners. They were very slow so I decided to scan across 80 meters short wave. I nearly busted a gut when I heard this story.

It seems there was a good ol boy down in Alabama that built hisself a HIGH power mobile ham rig. Bought himself and old Suburban that still run and putforth with the modifications. Hacksawed a hole in the dash for a huge radio and hung a base station mic from the rear view mirror and set it on vox. Word on the street was that he was running way I legal output power.

Unfortunately for him the old rubber door gaskets had long ago rotted away letting the door rattle back and forth in the latch. Well, with the huge rf he was spewing forth, this resulted in arc welding of the door to the body. It seems he put out a call for help to come git his door open at about 02:30. Course nobody could even stand to be around this guy, so someone told him to just kick it open. His next message was more desperate than the last one. It seems he had broken his ankle while kicking the door. Supposedly several crowbars and a come along were needed for extrication by the local VFD.

All that lauffin I was doin caused me grief, It woke up my wife.

Sarge in Lickskillet, Texas

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28847. Lewisville Lake

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-27 20:52:48 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28847 Message: 28847

Can't remember if I posted this before or
not, but for those interested in monitoring
construction related things, the barges
and construction crew working on the new
bridge/toll road are using the following:

151.625 PL 103.5 CH 1
154.570 DCS125 CH 2

--
Blaine Brooks /// Lewisville-Flower Mound TX, USA
IPN DFW226

28848. Re: Careflight Ellis County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-27 22:27:41 UTC
From: James Pultz
Topic: 28768 Message: 28848

Well I didn't take it as an insult or dig. I just realise that I have big fat fingers and a small keyboard. And also I sometimes don't proof my own work. Maybe I should start sleepin more and quit drinkin and postin 2 gather!!!

BTW another term some of our older reporters and anchors (and bleieve you me they are few and far between) is MediVac or MediVac'ed out!!!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> Interesting how a little tongue-in-cheek humor can raise your hackles.
>
> Greg
>
> At 11:10 PM 08/25/2008, you wrote:
>
> >So now we're complaining about spelling error's?? Come on let's act a
> >little more mature. I mean the correction on the spelling of CareFlite
> >was appropriate, but this is down-right silly. I think everyone on this
> >group is intelligent enough to know what he was talking about.
> >
> >Chris
> >
> >--- In <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com,
> >Greg Higgins <gs.higgins@> wrote:
> > >
> > > WTF is an "air ambilance" ???? No wonder reporters have problems.
> > >
> > > Greg
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28849. Lewisville TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-28 19:43:18 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 5551 Message: 28849

From the Lewisville Police Chief's Weekly Update
(week of Aug. 18th):

Kealy Tower Site Update

We moved our transmit antenna on the Kealy
Tower site to better improve our radio signal
south of the tower. Initial tests conducted after
the antenna move showed an improvement of
over 20 dB to our radio signal south of the tower.
This is a 10-fold increase in power. Additional testing
will be done this week to see if we gained measurable
improvement in locations south of Corporate between
S.H. 121 and I-35.

28850. Cable for BCT 15

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-28 21:49:33 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 28850 Message: 28850

Anybody have a programming cable for the BCT 15 they want to sell?

Thanks,

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28851. RE: [dfwscan] Cable for BCT 15

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-28 22:40:15 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 28850 Message: 28851

Hello Jason and the Group!
How many do you need? When do you want to pick them up?
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the
Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: [dfwscan] Cable for BCT 15
From: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
Date: Thu, August 28, 2008 4:49 pm
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com

Anybody have a programming cable for the BCT 15 they want to sell?

Thanks,

Jason

28852. DFW To Unveil New Founders Plaza On September 17

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 01:41:30 UTC
From: btickner@juno.com
Topic: 28852 Message: 28852

An article from Aero-News.net
DFW To Unveil New Founders Plaza On September 17 Thu, 28 Aug '08
Airport Seeks Best Stories, Photos Of Plaza memoriesDFW International Airport announced Wednesday its Founders Plaza observation area will reopen with a public celebration on September 17 at 10 am. A community favorite and Airport landmark, Founders Plaza will offer tourists, school groups, families and aviation enthusiasts a larger site and more interactive experience to learn about the scientific wonder of flight.

The public celebration -- which coincides with the 35th anniversary of the dedication of DFW -- will feature a parade and singing performance by Olympic gold medalist Carly Patterson. The airport is asking visitors and longtime fans of Founders Plaza to send in their best memories and photographs to be posted on a special Web site (at the FMI link below), as DFW makes finishing touches at the new park.
"We are very pleased to reopen Founders Plaza to the thousands of local citizens and tourists from around the world who make a visit to DFW to learn more about aviation and how our Airport powers the North Texas economy," said Jeff Fegan, CEO of DFW. "Founders Plaza is a community treasure and a place where we are proud to host the public. We hope it serves as an inspiration and fuels the imagination of all who want to understand aviation and history of flight in North Texas and continue the legacy."
The new Founders Plaza will overlook the northwest quadrant of the airfield from its elevated location at the south side of Texan Trail and North Airfield Drive, just south of Highway 114 in Grapevine. More than double the size of the former site, the new Founders Plaza will feature more parking, shaded pavilions and around-the-clock security.
The new site's observation area has phenomenal views of the airport and a sound system so visitors can hear the airport's air traffic controllers direct the more than 1,900 daily flights arriving and departing DFW. It will also be home to the popular "Share the Dream" statue that was dedicated at the former site in 1999. And the Airport's historic light beacon will serve as the centerpiece and focal point of the new location.

Founders Plaza opened in 1995 as a tribute to the founders of DFW, whose vision was to create not only a world-class airport, but also a major economic engine for the entire North Texas region. Founders Plaza was relocated so DFW could proceed with its innovative perimeter taxiway construction, aimed at cutting aircraft taxi time to terminals and increasing safety.
The new Founders Plaza is located at 1700 N. Airfield Drive -- at the intersection of North Airfield Drive and Texan Trail, near the northern end of runway 36R/18L.
"Founders Plaza is the premier aviation viewing area in North Texas and considered one of the best in the world," said Jan Collmer, former Chair of the DFW International Board of Directors and a renowned aerobatic pilot. "It is truly a place that aviation, education and technology come together for the young people of our region and indeed visitors from around the globe. And its new location will allow even easier access to tourists who come to North Texas and want to do something fun and free with the family."

The previous Plaza site on the Airport's southeast side played a key role in DFW history, including an employee memorial on September 12, 2001 following the 9/11 terrorist attacks. It also hosted the Airport's 30th anniversary celebration in 2004.

Over the years, Founders Plaza has also earned a soft spot in the hearts of North Texans as well as made multiple "Best Of" lists compiled by community media. The Fort Worth Weekly voted Founders Plaza "Best Place to Watch a Sunset" in 2004.

The Dallas Observer voted Founders Plaza Best of 2003 "Best Free Kids Outing." Conversely, the former location was also recognized as "Best Place to Make Out" in 2000 by the Dallas Morning News (which goes to show... the local airport often holds special significance with many in the community, for a number of reasons! -- Ed)
FMI: www.dfwairport.com/foundersPortions Copyright ? 1999-2008 by Aero-News Network, Inc. All rights reserved.

_____
You have a right to seek justice! Click here to find experienced lawyers across the USA.
http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL2141/fc/Ioyw6i3oJBLhzvWtd0pIhkdjtjL6Qv0k0jEmdd0FPa9ogSu15zgLWW/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28853. Re: Lewisville TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 10:37:02 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 5551 Message: 28853

Heck, the Chief just needs to ask the firefighters at station 3, or he could just ask me, even :-P

They have drastically improved the quality of the system for me, its working just about as well now
as it was back when it was still single-site on 2450. /me is a far happier camper.

I'd figure they moved the transmit antenna up to the top of the tower so the signal isn't getting nulled out
by the tower itself. Will need to go see if that's indeed the case.

My understanding is that Lake Cities is now hurting coverage-wise, so we'll see what happens.

-b-

--
Brent Willcox
mail:bwillcox@...
www:http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/

28854. Re: Lewisville TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 11:28:13 UTC
From: dfd_box4
Topic: 5551 Message: 28854

I thought they did something because my reception just South of
Corporate Dr. has become alot better in the last few days! It's about
time for LFD's guys sake!!

Brian
www.box4.org

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Brent Willcox <bwillcox@...> wrote:
>
> Heck, the Chief just needs to ask the firefighters at station 3, or
he
> could just ask me, even :-P
>
> They have drastically improved the quality of the system for me,
its
> working just about as well now
> as it was back when it was still single-site on 2450. /me is a far
> happier camper.
>
> I'd figure they moved the transmit antenna up to the top of the
tower so
> the signal isn't getting nulled out
> by the tower itself. Will need to go see if that's indeed the case.
>
> My understanding is that Lake Cities is now hurting coverage-wise,
so
> we'll see what happens.
>
> -b-
>
> --
> Brent Willcox
> mail:bwillcox@...
> www:http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/
>

28855. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Lewisville TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 11:53:30 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 5551 Message: 28855

I'll have to check this out tomorrow. Maybe I won't have to type messages to dispatch anymore because I can talk to them again! I didn't notice any difference on Wednesday but I was on the engine and our engine radio usually didn't have as much of a problem as the ones in the medic.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of dfd_box4
Sent: Friday, August 29, 2008 6:28 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Lewisville TRS

I thought they did something because my reception just South of Corporate Dr. has become alot better in the last few days! It's about time for LFD's guys sake!!

Brian
www.box4.org

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Brent Willcox <bwillcox@...> wrote:
>
> Heck, the Chief just needs to ask the firefighters at station 3, or he
> could just ask me, even :-P
>
> They have drastically improved the quality of the system for me, its
> working just about as well now
> as it was back when it was still single-site on 2450. /me is a far
> happier camper.
>
> I'd figure they moved the transmit antenna up to the top of the tower so
> the signal isn't getting nulled out
> by the tower itself. Will need to go see if that's indeed the case.
>
> My understanding is that Lake Cities is now hurting coverage-wise, so
> we'll see what happens.
>
> -b-
>
> --
> Brent Willcox

> mail:bwillcox@...
> www:http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28856. For the Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 14:12:44 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28856 Message: 28856

Stormpulse.com

Enjoy,

Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28857. Re: For the Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 16:13:25 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28856 Message: 28857

Thats pretty cool. I've been looking for more Hurricane Web Tracking sites for my website. Thanks Sarge.

And speaking of Hurricane's, Hurricane Radio Traffic will probably be getting busy by this weekend into next week on the Hurricane Nets, HF, UHF IRLP, etc for Texas and Louisiana since Gustav is predicted to be a "Major" Hurricane.

David, KC5RHB
www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Sarge" <smd_1@...> wrote:
>
> Stormpulse.com
>
> Enjoy,
>
> Sarge
>
>
>
> CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
> Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
> Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
> <http://www.cyberdefender.com>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28858. Web site

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 17:08:33 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28858 Message: 28858

For those that have been wondering, my web site has been down because of some technical issues with Verizon, etc. etc.

Hopefully, at some point soon, I can have it back up and operational again.

Blaine

28859. Re: For the Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 17:21:06 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28856 Message: 28859

KC5RHB wrote:

> Hurricane Radio Traffic will probably be getting busy by this weekend
> UHF IRLP

Can you or someone please posts the frequencies
that would be used for UHF IRLP here in DFW
for this. I don't have an HF radio, and would like to
listen in. I recall hearing traffic from IRLP (I believe)
on 444.150. Is that correct?

Blaine

28860. Re: DFW To Unveil New Founders Plaza On September 17

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 17:27:33 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28852 Message: 28860

btickner@... wrote:

> Conversely, the former location was also recognized as "Best Place to Make Out" in 2000 by the Dallas Morning News

Considering that every move that you made was captured by surveillance cameras, I bet we would have had some "rather interesting" videos if You Tube had been as popular back then! The terrestrial version of the Mile High Club!

Brings new meaning to "bringing it in on the glideslope".....

Blaine

28861. Re: For the Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 18:03:27 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28856 Message: 28861

I know during the Katrina / Rita Hurricanes I was listening to 444.300 (IRLP)(Fort Worth). I was hearing the Hurricane Nets down in Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas. AT THIS TIME, it looks like the track will take it into South / SE Louisiana with a NW track.

Certain weather media people, or person, are saying that we, North Texas, will not get anything from Gustav, rain etc. Hard to believe because when you look at the NHC forecast track, its showing when it moves inland with a NW track to possibly make a slight left turn, being that close, and it being a Major Hurricane, we should at least get some of the rain bands off it. Who knows? I know itâ☐☐s still too early to tell. We do need the rain though, just not the flooding.

I bet Melissa knows some frequencies.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> KC5RHB wrote:
>
> > Hurricane Radio Traffic will probably be getting busy by this weekend
> > UHF IRLP
>
> Can you or someone please posts the frequencies
> that would be used for UHF IRLP here in DFW
> for this. I don't have an HF radio, and would like to
> listen in. I recall hearing traffic from IRLP (I believe)
> on 444.150. Is that correct?
>
>
> Blaine
>

28862. Carrollton Apt. fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 19:22:05 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28862 Message: 28862

Not sure of location so I didn't page out on IPN.
Somewhere off of Marsh Lane. Handling on Tac 3.
BC says fire knocked down as of 2:21PM. Elderly
female rescued.

Blaine

28863. Re: DFW To Unveil New Founders Plaza On September 17

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 20:13:07 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28852 Message: 28863

> btickner@... wrote:
>
> > Conversely, the former location was also recognized as "Best Place to Make Out" in 2000 by the Dallas Morning News

Submarine racing at the airport!

Oh, the irony!

28864. Re: [dfwscan] Re: For the Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 21:11:16 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 28856 Message: 28864

Blaine,

To search for IRLP nodes in your area you can go to http://www.irlp.net
Click NODE INFO on the menu on the left of the page and search for nodes in
various ways. Also on the main page there is a link that shows nodes that have
live streaming audio.

You can also search for EchoLink nodes at http://www.echolink.org/links.jsp

I don't know whether or not any of them will carry hurricane traffic.
You might see if you hear anything on 442.975 (E Ft Worth) or 444.675
(Richardson). Both of those repeaters have EchoLink and the guys that run them
like to listen to stuff like that.

Tom ND5Y

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> KC5RHB wrote:
>
>> Hurricane Radio Traffic will probably be getting busy by this weekend
>> UHF IRLP
>
> Can you or someone please posts the frequencies
> that would be used for UHF IRLP here in DFW
> for this. I don't have an HF radio, and would like to
> listen in. I recall hearing traffic from IRLP (I believe)
> on 444.150. Is that correct?
>
>
> Blaine
>

28865. RE: [dfwscan] Re: For the Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 21:26:24 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28856 Message: 28865

Blaine,

Try the DFW-R repeater on echolink it is 442.975 PL 110.9 or the KY5O-R repeater at 444.100 PL 100. I haven't heard anything on IRLP as of yet.

Bob

KE5NFF

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Friday, August 29, 2008 4:11 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: For the Weather guys

Blaine,

To search for IRLP nodes in your area you can go to http://www.irlp.net Click NODE INFO on the menu on the left of the page and search for nodes in various ways. Also on the main page there is a link that shows nodes that have
live streaming audio.

You can also search for EchoLink nodes at http://www.echolink.org/links.jsp

I don't know whether or not any of them will carry hurricane traffic. You might see if you hear anything on 442.975 (E Ft Worth) or 444.675 (Richardson). Both of those repeaters have EchoLink and the guys that run them
like to listen to stuff like that.

Tom ND5Y

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> KC5RHB wrote:
>
>> Hurricane Radio Traffic will probably be getting busy by this weekend
>> UHF IRLP
>
> Can you or someone please posts the frequencies
> that would be used for UHF IRLP here in DFW
> for this. I don't have an HF radio, and would like to
> listen in. I recall hearing traffic from IRLP (I believe)
> on 444.150. Is that correct?
>
>
> Blaine

>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28866. Re: For the Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 22:08:03 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28856 Message: 28866

Another thing you can do, especially if you have an outdoor antenna at
a good height, just scan the 440 band and listen. That's how I found
some frequencies one year. Even try and scan 2 meter. You won't hear
as much as 440, but I remember one year a 145 frequency was linked to
something. But that's the best thing to do is just scan the band and
see what you can pick up.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bob <RJSumien@...> wrote:
>
> Blaine,
>
> Try the DFW-R repeater on echolink it is 442.975 PL 110.9 or the KY5O-R
> repeater at 444.100 PL 100. I haven't heard anything on IRLP as of yet.
>
> Bob
>
> KE5NFF
>
>
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@...m] On
Behalf Of
> Tom ND5Y
> Sent: Friday, August 29, 2008 4:11 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: For the Weather guys
>
>
>
>
>
> Blaine,
>
> To search for IRLP nodes in your area you can go to http://www.irlp.net
> Click NODE INFO on the menu on the left of the page and search for
nodes in
> various ways. Also on the main page there is a link that shows nodes
that
> have
> live streaming audio.
>
> You can also search for EchoLink nodes at
http://www.echolink.org/links.jsp

>
> I don't know whether or not any of them will carry hurricane traffic.
> You might see if you hear anything on 442.975 (E Ft Worth) or 444.675
> (Richardson). Both of those repeaters have EchoLink and the guys
that run
> them
> like to listen to stuff like that.
>
> Tom ND5Y
>
> Blaine Brooks wrote:
> > KC5RHB wrote:
> >
> >> Hurricane Radio Traffic will probably be getting busy by this
weekend
> >> UHF IRLP
> >
> > > Can you or someone please posts the frequencies
> > > that would be used for UHF IRLP here in DFW
> > > for this. I don't have an HF radio, and would like to
> > > listen in. I recall hearing traffic from IRLP (I believe)
> > > on 444.150. Is that correct?
> > >
> > >
> > > Blaine
> > >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28867. RE: [dfwscan] Re: For the Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-29 23:08:10 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28856 Message: 28867

Hi Tom & List,

Thanks for the information links Tom. I know many do not listen to HF on this group, but if you have an HF receiver with USB/LSB (or a BFO knob) you can hear the net most times on 14.325 MHz (13425 kHz). I'm hearing it as I type this e-mail. I haven't been receiving the stations around Cuba too well but the American side net operators are loud and clear. There are other frequencies they do use but this is the primary daytime one and always seems to be used most often.

The Hurricane Watch Net has a website where they normally stream the nets communications. They can be found at http://www.hwn.org but the streaming audio part was down yesterday...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Friday, August 29, 2008 4:11 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: For the Weather guys

Blaine,

To search for IRLP nodes in your area you can go to http://www.irlp.net Click NODE INFO on the menu on the left of the page and search for nodes in various ways. Also on the main page there is a link that shows nodes that have
live streaming audio.

You can also search for EchoLink nodes at http://www.echolink.org/links.jsp

I don't know whether or not any of them will carry hurricane traffic. You might see if you hear anything on 442.975 (E Ft Worth) or 444.675 (Richardson). Both of those repeaters have EchoLink and the guys that run them
like to listen to stuff like that.

Tom ND5Y

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> KC5RHB wrote:
>
>> Hurricane Radio Traffic will probably be getting busy by this weekend
>> UHF IRLP

>
> Can you or someone please posts the frequencies
> that would be used for UHF IRLP here in DFW
> for this. I don't have an HF radio, and would like to
> listen in. I recall hearing traffic from IRLP (I believe)
> on 444.150. Is that correct?
>
>
> Blaine
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28868. FW: [echolink] VOIP WX...Hurricane Net Activation Notice: Hurricane Gustav

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-30 02:57:32 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28868 Message: 28868

Some additional info I received.

Bob

KE5NFF

IPN Tex007

From: echolink@yahoogroups.com [mailto:echolink@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of David McAnally
Sent: Friday, August 29, 2008 12:54 PM
To: echolink@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [echolink] VOIP WX...Hurricane Net Activation Notice: Hurricane Gustav

There are additional audio streams listed at http://voipwx. net/ <http://voipwx.net/> or http://live. wx5fwd.net/ . <http://live.wx5fwd.net/> So far, I've not heard of any listener limits exceeded on the audio streams.

--
Regards,
David McAnally, WD5M
http://live. wx5fwd.net/ <http://live.wx5fwd.net/>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28869. Realistic Pro-34

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-30 07:55:37 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 28869 Message: 28869

My brother was cleaning out my Father's house, after he (my Father) moved to a rehab facility, and found said portable scanner. I'm now in possession of it, but I don't have any instructions on how to program its 10 banks of 20 channels each. Has anyone ever owned one of these in the past, and if so, might be able to instruct me on how to program it? I suspect it is limited in what frequencies it can scan and I'd be willing to bet it has no trunking capabilities. I'd basically want to program my favorite railroad and airport frequencies. TIA.

--
Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com

Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

28870. Re: [dfwscan] Realistic Pro-34

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-30 15:43:20 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 28869 Message: 28870

Richard,

All your questions should be answered in the PRO-34 online manual.

http://www.5612.com/radio_shack_pro-34_manual/pro-34%20scanner%20manual.pdf

Good luck.

Toby

At 02:55 AM 8/30/2008, Richard Whitenight wrote:

>My brother was cleaning out my Father's house, after he (my Father)
>moved to a rehab facility, and found said portable scanner. I'm now in
>possession of it, but I don't have any instructions on how to program
>its 10 banks of 20 channels each. Has anyone ever owned one of these
>in the past, and if so, might be able to instruct me on how to program
>it? I suspect it is limited in what frequencies it can scan and I'd be
>willing to bet it has no trunking capabilities. I'd basically want to
>program my favorite railroad and airport frequencies. TIA.
>
>--
>Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com
>
>Regards,
>Richard Whitenight
>Arlington, Texas
>"God Bless America"

28871. Re: Realistic Pro-34

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-30 18:29:12 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28869 Message: 28871

Speaking of Radio Shack scanners, I found this link to the Radio Shack
website that list all their scanners manuals, features, specs, etc,
which I thought was kind of neat.

http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/ProductResults.asp?Name=Radio_Scanners&ID=004001001

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Toby Zidle <tobyz7@...> wrote:
>
> Richard,
>
> All your questions should be answered in the PRO-34 online manual.
>
>
http://www.5612.com/radio_shack_pro-34_manual/pro-34%20scanner%20manual.pdf
>
> Good luck.
>
>
> Toby
>
>
> At 02:55 AM 8/30/2008, Richard Whitenight wrote:
>
> >My brother was cleaning out my Father's house, after he (my Father)
> >moved to a rehab facility, and found said portable scanner. I'm now in
> >possession of it, but I don't have any instructions on how to program
> >its 10 banks of 20 channels each. Has anyone ever owned one of these
> >in the past, and if so, might be able to instruct me on how to program
> >it? I suspect it is limited in what frequencies it can scan and I'd be
> >willing to bet it has no trunking capabilities. I'd basically want to
> >program my favorite railroad and airport frequencies. TIA.
> >
> >--
> >Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com
> >
> >Regards,
> >Richard Whitenight
> >Arlington, Texas
> >"God Bless America"
>

28872. Re: Realistic Pro-34

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-30 18:33:42 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28869 Message: 28872

This looks like the same list but formatted differently.

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Speaking of Radio Shack scanners, I found this link to the Radio Shack
> website that list all their scanners manuals, features, specs, etc,
> which I thought was kind of neat.
>
>
http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/ProductResults.asp?Name=Radio_Scanners&ID=004001
001
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Toby Zidle <tobyz7@> wrote:
> >
> > Richard,
> >
> > All your questions should be answered in the PRO-34 online manual.
> >
> >
>
http://www.5612.com/radio_shack_pro-34_manual/pro-34%20scanner%20manual.pdf
> >
> > Good luck.
> >
> >
> > Toby
> >
> >
> > At 02:55 AM 8/30/2008, Richard Whitenight wrote:
> >
> > >My brother was cleaning out my Father's house, after he (my Father)
> > >moved to a rehab facility, and found said portable scanner. I'm
now in
> > >possession of it, but I don't have any instructions on how to program
> > >its 10 banks of 20 channels each. Has anyone ever owned one of these
> > >in the past, and if so, might be able to instruct me on how to
program
> > >it? I suspect it is limited in what frequencies it can scan and

I'd be
> > >willing to bet it has no trunking capabilities. I'd basically want to
> > >program my favorite railroad and airport frequencies. TIA.
> > >
> > >--
> > >Sent from Gmail for mobile | mobile.google.com
> > >
> > >Regards,
> > >Richard Whitenight
> > >Arlington, Texas
> > >"God Bless America"
> >
>

28873. Gustav Broadcasts

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-30 20:59:53 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 28873 Message: 28873

FYI: Charlie KC5OZH, one of my Rowlett RACES Ass't Radio Officers, is now broadcasting Gustav updates on 441.950Mhz. If you are in a high intermod area, you can use 110.9Hz as a CTCSS.

He is still testing, but it surely sounds good when it is up.

--
Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

28874. good antenna to pick up 800 mgz trunking frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-30 22:23:27 UTC
From: newtexr
Topic: 28874 Message: 28874

i am in need of a good antenna to mount on my house. I need it to pick up Carrollton PD frequencies and I live appx 20 miles away. Does anyone have any suggestions. Im new to scanning so if it helps, I have a Uniden 898T base model.

Thank you,

robert

28875. Re: [dfwscan] good antenna to pick up 800 mgz trunking frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-30 23:38:46 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 28874 Message: 28875

Get a discone... A diamond discone..

newtexr wrote:
> i am in need of a good antenna to mount on my house. I need it to pick
> up Carrollton PD frequencies and I live appx 20 miles away. Does
> anyone have any suggestions. Im new to scanning so if it helps, I have
> a Uniden 898T base model.
>
>
> Thank you,
>
> robert
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

28876. Refugees are inbound...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 01:47:40 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28876 Message: 28876

I was told to expect to be activated at about 0800 tomorrow (Sunday) morning for Tarrant County RACES.

Shelters are going to begin to open for folks seeking shelter from the hurricane.

I will be manning my radio and my laptop at some point yet to be determined.

I'll post whatever I can. We'll be operating in the 2m Amateur Radio band, a mix of simplex and repeaters I'm sure.

28877. Hurricane streaming audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 01:56:33 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 28877 Message: 28877

I found a link on RR for straming audio from New Orleans.Very active
but having issues getting busses to move people.

http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Hurricane_Gustav

Scott

28878. Re: Hurricane streaming audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 03:02:15 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28877 Message: 28878

Scott wrote:

> I found a link on RR for straming audio from New Orleans.Very active

Direct link to New Orleans EOC:

http://la.scanamerica.us/playlists/la/orleans/scanner2f-1220151382.asx

Very informal and interesting at this point to say the least.

Just heard Mayor Nagin on the radio I believe....If it
wasn't him, it damn sure SOUNDED like him......:)

Blaine

28879. IR loop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 03:09:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28879 Message: 28879

http://www.goes.noaa.gov/HURRLOOPS/gulfir.html

28880. Re: Refugees are inbound...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 05:07:27 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28876 Message: 28880

I believe the proper termination is "evacuees", not refugees. These people are seeking shelter from a Hurricane, not from war, political oppression, or religious persecution. You might to look "refugees" up in the dictionary.

And I believe all the (active and current) TC RACES and ARES members have already gotten the e-mails, pages and phone calls on whatâ☐☐s going on.

David, KC5RHB

Fort Worth - Tarrant County R.A.C.E.S. Member since 1996

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jason" <kd5tvf@...> wrote:
>
> I was told to expect to be activated at about 0800 tomorrow (Sunday)
> morning for Tarrant County RACES.
>
> Shelters are going to begin to open for folks seeking shelter from the
> hurricane.
>
> I will be manning my radio and my laptop at some point yet to be
> determined.
>
> I'll post whatever I can. We'll be operating in the 2m Amateur Radio
> band, a mix of simplex and repeaters I'm sure.
>

28881. Re: Refugees are inbound...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 11:32:15 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 28876 Message: 28881

By "proper termination" did you mean "proper terminology"?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> I believe the proper termination is "evacuees", not refugees. These
> people are seeking shelter from a Hurricane, not from war, political
> oppression, or religious persecution. You might to look "refugees" up
> in the dictionary.
>
> And I believe all the (active and current) TC RACES and ARES members
> have already gotten the e-mails, pages and phone calls on whatâ□□s
going on.
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> Fort Worth - Tarrant County R.A.C.E.S. Member since 1996
>
> http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

28882. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Refugees are inbound...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 12:08:21 UTC
From: Guy Teague
Topic: 28876 Message: 28882

On Sun, Aug 31, 2008 at 6:32 AM, Jason <kd5tvf@...> wrote:
>
>
> By "proper termination" did you mean "proper terminology"?

well, maybe a 50ohm dummy load on that reply was in order. or a deeper dictionary search. [g]
regardless of how people slew words around and redefine them in religious or political or other terms, the etymology shows:

ORIGIN late Middle English : from Old French, from Latin refugium, from re- 'back' + fugere 'flee.'
>

> Refuge is a place or state of safety.
>

the definition of a refugee, at the root, is simply someone who has fled to safety ... .to a refuge.

political correctness rears its ugly head when people tamper with words. and i like words and i hate to see them ill-defined and twisted out of shape.

/guy (73 de kg5vt - ares/mars/races)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28883. Live Streaming WDSU (New Orleans) TV Via Houston's KPRC TV on Hurricane Gustav

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 12:51:53 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28883 Message: 28883

Hi Group,

http://www.click2houston.com/video/17347538/index.html

You can probably find other streaming, live news broadcasts if you look, but here's one if you don't feel like looking.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28884. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Refugees are inbound...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 12:39:39 UTC
From: Niki
Topic: 28876 Message: 28884

There are tons or articles like these online:

http://www.keithboykin.com/arch/2005/09/03/refugees_or_eva

http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4833613

Toma-toe? To-mah-toe? Seriously?!??!?!?

And then, there's the ever-popular,"I'm a more qualified this-or-that
person, which makes me SOOO much more qualified than any of you other
AMATEUR listeners to scanners out there."

REALLY?!?!?!??!??!?

The hair-splitting and arguing and pissing contests are getting old. Can we
not stop this ridiculousness and get back to being SEMI grown-up?

On Sun, Aug 31, 2008 at 7:08 AM, Guy Teague <accts@...> wrote:

> On Sun, Aug 31, 2008 at 6:32 AM, Jason <kd5tvf@...<kd5tvf%40gmail.com>>
> wrote:
> >
> >
> > By "proper termination" did you mean "proper terminology"?
>
> well, maybe a 50ohm dummy load on that reply was in order. or a deeper
> dictionary search. [g]
> regardless of how people slew words around and redefine them in religious
> or
> political or other terms, the etymology shows:
>
> ORIGIN late Middle English : from Old French, from Latin refugium,
> from re- 'back' + fugere 'flee.'
> >
>
> > Refuge is a place or state of safety.
> >
>
> the definition of a refugee, at the root, is simply someone who has fled to
> safety ... .to a refuge.
>
> political correctness rears its ugly head when people tamper with words.
> and
> i like words and i hate to see them ill-defined and twisted out of shape.
>
> /guy (73 de kg5vt - ares/mars/races)
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28885. RE: [SPAM][dfwscan] Re: Refugees are inbound...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 07:25:02 UTC
From: James"Will" Williams
Topic: 28876 Message: 28885

Thank you David…

There are already evacuees in the shelters now and more just arrived at 2 am at an open shelter.

Dallas Convention center opens up at about nine

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of KC5RHB
Sent: Sunday, August 31, 2008 12:07 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [SPAM][dfwscan] Re: Refugees are inbound...

I believe the proper termination is "evacuees", not refugees. These
people are seeking shelter from a Hurricane, not from war, political
oppression, or religious persecution. You might to look "refugees" up
in the dictionary.

And I believe all the (active and current) TC RACES and ARES members
have already gotten the e-mails, pages and phone calls on whatâ€™s going on.

David, KC5RHB

Fort Worth - Tarrant County R.A.C.E.S. Member since 1996

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , "Jason" <kd5tvf@...>
wrote:
>
> I was told to expect to be activated at about 0800 tomorrow (Sunday)
> morning for Tarrant County RACES.
>
> Shelters are going to begin to open for folks seeking shelter from the
> hurricane.
>
> I will be manning my radio and my laptop at some point yet to be
> determined.
>
> I'll post whatever I can. We'll be operating in the 2m Amateur Radio
> band, a mix of simplex and repeaters I'm sure.
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28886. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Refugees are inbound...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 13:54:17 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 28876 Message: 28886

Yes, it is.

All, this thread ends now.

Thanks
Don Teague
DFWScan Moderator

Niki wrote:
>
> The hair-splitting and arguing and pissing contests are getting old. Can we
> not stop this ridiculousness and get back to being SEMI grown-up?
>

28887. RE: [dfwscan] Live Streaming WDSU (New Orleans) TV Via Houston's KPRC TV on Hurricane Gustav

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 13:56:24 UTC
From: Tim Johnson
Topic: 28883 Message: 28887

And a link to streaming video from WWL-TV in New Orleans.

<http://www.wwltv.com/video/?nvid=57429&live=yes>
http://www.wwltv.com/video/?nvid=57429&live=yes

and if you like Cajun music, and the occasional evaculation report from Beaumont.

http://www.klvi.com

Tim

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28888. Weekly FCC grants August 24-30

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 14:45:33 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 28888 Message: 28888

Gulf states be safe!
Now with map links.
http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

28889. TV Traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 14:54:08 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28889 Message: 28889

Sitting here watching "traffic watch" from WWL TV on
the computer. As a former radio/TVtraffic reporter, TV traffic
has always amused me, and frankly, in many aspects,
I think it's downright ridiculous. Granted, if you're
watching BEFORE you head out, it MIGHT be helpful,
but conditions change so much at a moments notice,
by the time you exit the house, enter your vehicle, and
get on the road, conditions could have changed
DRAMATICALLY. And what do the reporters do? ...
Talk to you as if you got a freakin' TV in your vehicle!!!
"If you're headed outbound on the I-55 it's gridlocked".
Well yeah, maybe I am "headed out on I-55, but I left
the TV at home, you moron! I can't f'in hear you!!!"...

Afternoon traffic is even more ridiculous ... as if they
are expecting every employee to have a TV in their
break room!.

It's all fluff. If they really wanted to do it right, the reporter
would say "and when you get in your vehicle, you can
hear me with up to the minute coverage on XXXXX
radio..." But god knows that would lose viewers from
the TV station, and heaven help us, we wouldn't want
that!...;)

Ok, just had to vent, soapbox off.....back to watching
the WWL "traffic babe" LOL....and memories of
hugging Tammy Dombeck.....

Blaine

28890. Fort Worth & Tarrant Co. EOC Radio Traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 15:25:31 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28890 Message: 28890

Hi Again Guys & Gals,

Since yesterday, I've been catching traffic on 6352/EMO 15 and 12240/EOC 13 on the FWPS 1 system. I just heard EOC 13 called "Ch. 13" so I guess the radios being used still indicate that channel. Greenbriar (not sure who this user is) was told by another person on EOC 13 this was the channel they were told to use. Shortly thereafter, the FW/TC EOC came up. I guess everyone's getting ready. Traffic has been boring thus far, and in the previous 2005 evacuee use of the FW and TC EOC TGs, it was boring then too. Meadowbrook just came up on EOC 13 doing a radio test too. I can't recall (and haven't checked), but these named users may be TC Jail facilities?

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28891. Re: [dfwscan] TV Traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 15:43:57 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28889 Message: 28891

They do make GPS receivers for the car that have traffic available for a subscription fee. Some of them are supposed to be truly real-time, and some are based on the traffic services that feed the radio stations (ie delayed somewhat). Apparently some of the high-end ones actually report your position and speed back to the provider to indicate problems on the freeways. For the price of these things, I'd have to give them a good test during rush-hour to determine if they are worth the expense.

What gets me is (especially Dallas) they use the locals terms for the roads and intersections and not what an out-of-towner may read on a map. ie I-30 south of downtown Dallas is 'the canyon'. Just tell me the highway designation and the exit / cross-street info.

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Sitting here watching "traffic watch" from WWL TV on
> the computer. As a former radio/TVtraffic reporter, TV traffic
> has always amused me, and frankly, in many aspects,
> I think it's downright ridiculous. Granted, if you're
> watching BEFORE you head out, it MIGHT be helpful,
> but conditions change so much at a moments notice,
> by the time you exit the house, enter your vehicle, and
> get on the road, conditions could have changed
> DRAMATICALLY. And what do the reporters do? ...
> Talk to you as if you got a freakin' TV in your vehicle!!!
> "If you're headed outbound on the I-55 it's gridlocked".
> Well yeah, maybe I am "headed out on I-55, but I left
> the TV at home, you moron! I can't f'in hear you!!!"...
>
> Afternoon traffic is even more ridiculous ... as if they
> are expecting every employee to have a TV in their
> break room!.
>
> It's all fluff. If they really wanted to do it right, the reporter
> would say "and when you get in your vehicle, you can
> hear me with up to the minute coverage on XXXXX
> radio..." But god knows that would lose viewers from
> the TV station, and heaven help us, we wouldn't want
> that!...;)
>
> Ok, just had to vent, soapbox off.....back to watching
> the WWL "traffic babe" LOL....and memories of
> hugging Tammy Dombeck.....
>

28892. Re: Fort Worth & Tarrant Co. EOC Radio Traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 16:48:44 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28890 Message: 28892

The names "Meadowbrook" and "Greenbriar" are community centers being
used for shelters.

David, KC5RHB

Fort Worth - Tarrant County R.A.C.E.S.

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Again Guys & Gals,
>
>
>
> Since yesterday, I've been catching traffic on 6352/EMO 15 and
12240/EOC 13
> on the FWPS 1 system. I just heard EOC 13 called "Ch. 13" so I
guess the
> radios being used still indicate that channel. Greenbriar (not sure who
> this user is) was told by another person on EOC 13 this was the
channel they
> were told to use. Shortly thereafter, the FW/TC EOC came up. I guess
> everyone's getting ready. Traffic has been boring thus far, and in the
> previous 2005 evacuee use of the FW and TC EOC TGs, it was boring
then too.
> Meadowbrook just came up on EOC 13 doing a radio test too. I can't
recall
> (and haven't checked), but these named users may be TC Jail facilities?
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28893. Re: TV Traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-31 20:15:51 UTC
From: Tim Perkins
Topic: 28889 Message: 28893

Almost agree with you totally. However, I have a 25-mile commute from Rockwall to Dallas in the mornings. I monitor Channel 8 to see if there is anything happening on I-30 that is severe enough to warrant me heading south to Hwy 80. For instance, if I hear traffic is stacked up from LBJ to Lake Ray Hubbard, I know that it'll probably not clear by the time I'd be going through there.

But generally, I'm with you on everything you said.

Tim Perkins

View the photos by Tim Perkins on Airliners.net!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28894. Mansfield working fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-01 12:23:30 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28894 Message: 28894

I don't have enough details for IPN, but Arlington is responding to 1400 N 360 @ Broad, Mapsco 125M on a working fire(probably apartment). 07:21. I can't receive Mansfield, only Arlington from here.
Ben

28895. RE: [dfwscan] Mansfield working fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-01 12:27:01 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28894 Message: 28895

Guess I should have waited. Arlington has been cleared.

>I don't have enough details for IPN, but Arlington is responding to 1400 N
360 @ Broad, Mapsco 125M on a working fire(probably apartment). 07:21. I
can't receive Mansfield, only Arlington from here.
Ben

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28896. Fort Worth FD Fire Ch. 8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-01 14:02:43 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28896 Message: 28896

Hi Group,

The EOC in FW/TC said yesterday that "EOC 8" was primary and it was the new change to the channel lineup/plan they are using in TC. Greenbriar, one of the shelters, came back and said "Fire Ch. 8" in a questioning way. I never did quite understand if this is Fire Ch. 8, and if so, is this TG 5424? I believe it was an FWFD channel (really, almost all of them are) but I do not know what the newer lineup may be. I haven't heard anything on the old (possibly still current) FDCMD8/5424 TG. RR.com has old information and isn't up-to-date it appears on the FWFD channels. Anyone know what Fire Ch. 8 may be?

Thanks.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28897. Storm Path online

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-01 15:43:11 UTC
From: Bobbie Beers
Topic: 28897 Message: 28897

Thanks, Sarge. That's a neat site. We both like it.

Enjoying the site,
Bobbie, KB5RPI

>
> For the Weather guys
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/message/28856;_ylc=X3oDMTJwMDVqbGVyBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE1BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMyODg1NgRzZWMDZG1zZwRzbGsDdm1zZwRzdGltZQMxMjIwMDkwNjg3>
>
>
>
> Posted by: "Sarge" smd_1@...
> <mailto:smd_1@...?Subject=%20Re%3AFor%20the%20Weather%20guys>
> sarge_crffl <http://profiles.yahoo.com/sarge_crffl>
>
>
> Fri Aug 29, 2008 7:12 am (PDT)
>
> Stormpulse.com
>
> Enjoy,
>
> Sarge

28898. Re: [dfwscan] Fort Worth FD Fire Ch. 8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-01 22:31:12 UTC
From: David Perez
Topic: 28896 Message: 28898

Last I heard there has been no changes to FW System 1, CMD 8 is still the same on the fire radio. It could be system 2 or Nextel, they are using both. Im sure some of the FW Radio Regulars will know. I wont be down at any of the shelters till tomorrow. If no answer by then Ill find out.

David, KC5RHB

--- On Mon, 9/1/08, commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
From: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Fort Worth FD Fire Ch. 8
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Monday, September 1, 2008, 9:02 AM

Hi Group,

The EOC in FW/TC said yesterday that "EOC 8" was primary and it was the new

change to the channel lineup/plan they are using in TC. Greenbriar, one of

the shelters, came back and said "Fire Ch. 8" in a questioning way. I never

did quite understand if this is Fire Ch. 8, and if so, is this TG 5424? I

believe it was an FWFD channel (really, almost all of them are) but I do not

know what the newer lineup may be. I haven't heard anything on the old

(possibly still current) FDCMD8/5424 TG. RR.com has old information and

isn't up-to-date it appears on the FWFD channels. Anyone know what Fire Ch.

8 may be?

Thanks.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28899. Re: [dfwscan] Fort Worth FD Fire Ch. 8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-01 23:02:21 UTC
From: Jason Marshburn
Topic: 28896 Message: 28899

David,

With what group will you get to assist?

I've been told what limited RACES response is presently in play will be ramped down, and that essentially was helping out at the EOC, as there has been basically no radio traffic on the RACES repeater.

David Perez wrote:
>
> Last I heard there has been no changes to FW System 1, CMD 8 is still
> the same on the fire radio. It could be system 2 or Nextel, they are
> using both. Im sure some of the FW Radio Regulars will know. I wont
> be down at any of the shelters till tomorrow. If no answer by then
> Ill find out.
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
>
>

28900. Re: good antenna to pick up 800 mgz trunking frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-01 23:02:42 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28874 Message: 28900

newtexr wrote:

> i am in need of a good antenna to mount on my house. I need it to pick
> up Carrollton PD frequencies and I live appx 20 miles away. Does
> anyone have any suggestions.

If you are just interested in monitoring Carrollton
(as you have stated) and no one else, then I
would suggest a 800 mhz only antenna (in other
words - SPECIFIC for that band). Be advised
though - commercial "band specific" BASE
antennas can be very pricey - one I saw was
about 150 smackeroos .

Your other (much cheaper) option is to get a
discone antenna, or something like a Scantenna,
which to me looks very flimsy, but numerous
monitors say it's a great performer. I just
wonder how it stands up to our thunderstorm
winds 20+ feet off the ground. I personally
had (still have) a Diamond discone that was
a very good performer.

I'd also go with LMR-400 cabling if your run is
going to be more than 50'.

When I was in the house, I had an outside antenna
(discone) up about 30-35' on the top of my chimney,
with an run of RG-6U. For that short of a cable run,
the RG6 performed very well. Now that I'm in an
apartment now (ugh!), I have to deal with just having
a little mobile antenna out on the patio. However, at
some point, I do plan to mount my old discone on
the patio railing. It just depends on how long I stay
here. I obviously want to get out of an apartment as
quickly as possible. Unfortunately, my milcom
listening has sort of taken a back burner.

Blaine

28901. RE: [dfwscan] Fort Worth FD Fire Ch. 8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-02 00:31:14 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28896 Message: 28901

I'll throw this into the mix. Arlington FD Channel 8, decimal tg on their system, 53744 is being used for shelter/evacuee type stuff.

Ben

>Hi Group,

The EOC in FW/TC said yesterday that "EOC 8" was primary and it was the new change to the channel lineup/plan they are using in TC. Greenbriar, one of the shelters, came back and said "Fire Ch. 8" in a questioning way. I never did quite understand if this is Fire Ch. 8, and if so, is this TG 5424? I believe it was an FWFD channel (really, almost all of them are) but I do not know what the newer lineup may be. I haven't heard anything on the old (possibly still current) FDCMD8/5424 TG. RR.com has old information and isn't up-to-date it appears on the FWFD channels. Anyone know what Fire Ch. 8 may be?

Thanks.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28902. Re: good antenna to pick up 800 mgz trunking frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-02 02:05:42 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 28874 Message: 28902

Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> If you are just interested in monitoring Carrollton
> (as you have stated) and no one else, then I
> would suggest a 800 mhz only antenna (in other
> words - SPECIFIC for that band). Be advised
> though - commercial "band specific" BASE
> antennas can be very pricey - one I saw was
> about 150 smackeroos .

Agreed on the band-specific antenna. That's the way to go if 800 is all you need. But eBay is your friend. I got a brand new 12 element 800 yagi for around 30 bucks or less. So commercial antennae can be had dirt cheap if you know what to look for on eBay.

Good luck!

Rob

28903. Re: good antenna to pick up 800 mgz trunking frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-02 02:10:33 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 28874 Message: 28903

You might want to check out the scanner antennas Wiki page on Radio Reference:
http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Scanner_Antennas
Besides links to various antenna sources, there are some for antenna construction projects.

For monitoring an 800mhz system, an old cellphone external antenna works very well, after you add the appropriate connector. You can also modify an old tv antenna in a directional beam antenna. That's what Grove's original ScannerBeam appeared to be based on.

I've got a couple of ScanTennas mounted on the roof. They've survived the wind with no ill effects. I did lose one to an 8" thick tree limb that split & bingo'd it. On the antenna Wiki page (link above) is a mod for the ScanTenna (also sold under the model ST-2), to use a bike flag to reinforce the longest vertical element against wind or potential ice accumulation damage:
http://wiki.radioreference.com/images/8/8c/Reinforcescantenna.jpg.

I can get the Plano at my home using a ScanTenna. I have that particular one split, using a cable splitter, and one feed goes to a BC246T, receiving Plano, among several other systems on that scanner. The other half, I use for one of the other scanners, if I'm doing a specific log/record trial, or trying to see if I can get a certain system at all. The other ScanTenna feeds a 996, in a different room. Plano is a little over 20 miles (to the closest xmit site), probably more like 25.

The ScanTenna performs better on Vhf-high & Uhf. It will receive 800, of course, but that's not it's strongest area. It's not really a gain antenna. It does come with 50 ft of RG-6U coax, and connectors, which is what I'm using. As Blaine's suggested, for longer runs, use lower loss coax.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> newtexr wrote:
>
> > i am in need of a good antenna to mount on my house. I need it to pick
> > up Carrollton PD frequencies and I live appx 20 miles away. Does
> > anyone have any suggestions.
>
> If you are just interested in monitoring Carrollton
> (as you have stated) and no one else, then I
> would suggest a 800 mhz only antenna (in other
> words - SPECIFIC for that band). Be advised

> though - commercial "band specific" BASE
> antennas can be very pricey - one I saw was
> about 150 smackeroos .
>
> Your other (much cheaper) option is to get a
> discone antenna, or something like a Scantenna,
> which to me looks very flimsy, but numerous
> monitors say it's a great performer. I just
> wonder how it stands up to our thunderstorm
> winds 20+ feet off the ground. I personally
> had (still have) a Diamond discone that was
> a very good performer.
>
> I'd also go with LMR-400 cabling if your run is
> going to be more than 50'.
>
> When I was in the house, I had an outside antenna
> (discone) up about 30-35' on the top of my chimney,
> with an run of RG-6U. For that short of a cable run,
> the RG6 performed very well. Now that I'm in an
> apartment now (ugh!), I have to deal with just having
> a little mobile antenna out on the patio. However, at
> some point, I do plan to mount my old discone on
> the patio railing. It just depends on how long I stay
> here. I obviously want to get out of an apartment as
> quickly as possible. Unfortunately, my milcom
> listening has sort of taken a back burner.
>
>
> Blaine
>

28904. What Happened Friday Night?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-02 02:27:07 UTC
From: Jeff Mullowney
Topic: 28904 Message: 28904

I was listening Friday, 29 AUG, at 23:55. On Dallas (Love) Approach, I heard PHI Med 6 reporting inbound to Parkland, and asking about the two choppers behind him. I then heard CareFlite report inbound to Methodist.

Anybody know what was going on?

Jeff Mullowney

28905. RE: [dfwscan] What Happened Friday Night?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-02 02:41:08 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28904 Message: 28905

There were several that showed up at the same time. At least two were bringing in burn victims from a propane water heater explosion. That was in the Corsicana/Fairfield area. I think there may have been a couple of others on unrelated runs. Heard Lifestar hot offload and move to flying in an orbit in order to clear the pad.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jeff Mullowney
Sent: Monday, September 01, 2008 9:27 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] What Happened Friday Night?

I was listening Friday, 29 AUG, at 23:55. On Dallas (Love) Approach, I heard PHI Med 6 reporting inbound to Parkland, and asking about the two choppers behind him. I then heard CareFlite report inbound to Methodist.

Anybody know what was going on?

Jeff Mullowney

28906. RE: [dfwscan] Fort Worth FD Fire Ch. 8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-02 05:31:48 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28896 Message: 28906

Thanks for the help and information David and Ben. They have definitely remained really busy on EOC 13 so I'm guessing this is still the primary EOC shelter TG. .

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

From: David Perez [mailto:kc5rhb@...]
Sent: Monday, September 01, 2008 5:31 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; commscan
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Fort Worth FD Fire Ch. 8

Last I heard there has been no changes to FW System 1, CMD 8 is still the same on the fire radio. It could be system 2 or Nextel, they are using both. Im sure some of the FW Radio Regulars will know. I wont be down at any of the shelters till tomorrow. If no answer by then Ill find out.

David, KC5RHB

--- On Mon, 9/1/08, commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

From: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Fort Worth FD Fire Ch. 8
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Monday, September 1, 2008, 9:02 AM

Hi Group,

The EOC in FW/TC said yesterday that "EOC 8" was primary and it was the new change to the channel lineup/plan they are using in TC. Greenbriar, one of the shelters, came back and said "Fire Ch. 8" in a questioning way. I never did quite understand if this is Fire Ch. 8, and if so, is this TG 5424? I believe it was an FWFD channel (really, almost all of them are) but I do not know what the newer lineup may be. I haven't heard anything on the old (possibly still current) FDCMD8/5424 TG. RR.com has old information and isn't up-to-date it appears on the FWFD channels. Anyone know what Fire Ch. 8 may be?

Thanks.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28907. Preston @ Campbell

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-02 15:43:53 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 28907 Message: 28907

Just heard E-13 check out of service at this location and request a BC & police. At first I thought it was an MVA involving fire apparatus, but then E-13 refused to give the nature of the call and sent it via MDT message instead. Naturally this raised my curiosity. Then when the BC checked enroute 660 asked for a call by cell phone. So something's going on and they're being secret about it. Anyone have any idea what it is?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28908. Restored web page

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-02 17:33:11 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28908 Message: 28908

Greetings All -

After a brief technical crisis, my
web page has been restored to
full service. Enjoy!

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

Blaine Brooks

28909. Eskimo Joe's Storm Chaser Shirts

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-02 17:49:53 UTC
From: ldlorance
Topic: 28909 Message: 28909

I know some of you are storm chasers here in Texas.

Eskimo Joe's, the second most collected t-shirt in the world, has a GREAT looking Oklahoma Storm Chaser T-Shirt.

http://shop.eskimojoes.com/browse.cfm/4,2580.html

Sorry for the off post topic.

28910. My list of Yahoo Groups :)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 03:02:26 UTC
From: D T
Topic: 28910 Message: 28910

Hi everyone,

Hope this is ok to post here... You can see a list of my groups on Grouply at the link below. Maybe you'll find some you want to join.

D

Here's the link:
http://www.grouply.com/register.php?tmg=537237&vt=5727764

==========
This message was posted by a fellow group member who uses Grouply instead of email to access this group. Grouply blocks additional invitations from being sent to this group by anyone for 30 days. Group owners can permanently block future invitations. For more on how Grouply maintains privacy and protects you, see http://blog.grouply.com/protect/ .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28911. Re: My list of Yahoo Groups :)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 03:19:25 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28910 Message: 28911

Not sure who this user is. But on a few other lists from yahoo this
has poped up. They are treating it like its spam.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, D T <r.davidtaylor@...> wrote:
>
> Hi everyone,
>
> Hope this is ok to post here... You can see a list of my groups on
Grouply at
> the link below. Maybe you'll find some you want to join.
>
> D
>
> Here's the link:
> http://www.grouply.com/register.php?tmg=537237&vt=5727764
>
>
>
>
>
> ====================
> This message was posted by a fellow group member who uses Grouply
instead of
> email to access this group. Grouply blocks additional invitations
from being
> sent to this group by anyone for 30 days. Group owners can
permanently block
> future invitations. For more on how Grouply maintains privacy and
protects you,
> see http://blog.grouply.com/protect/ .
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28912. Re: [dfwscan] Re: My list of Yahoo Groups :)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 03:24:21 UTC
From: Melissa km5r email list address
Topic: 28910 Message: 28912

Melissa km5r email list address wrote:
> It is. It's unsolicited/unwanted email. That's the definition of
> spam. Whoever this is sent me an "invite" offlist too. Also
> unwanted/unsolicited.
>
> *sigh*
>
>
>
>
> Patrick Wrigg wrote:
>>
>> Not sure who this user is. But on a few other lists from yahoo this
>> has poped up. They are treating it like its spam.
>>
>> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, D
>> T <r.davidtaylor@...> wrote:
>> >
>> > Hi everyone,
>> >
>> > Hope this is ok to post here... You can see a list of my groups on
>> Grouply at
>> > the link below. Maybe you'll find some you want to join.
>> >
>> > D
>> >
>> > Here's the link:
>> > http://www.grouply.com/register.php?tmg=537237&vt=5727764
>> <http://www.grouply.com/register.php?tmg=537237&vt=5727764>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > ====================
>> > This message was posted by a fellow group member who uses Grouply
>> instead of
>> > email to access this group. Grouply blocks additional invitations
>> from being
>> > sent to this group by anyone for 30 days. Group owners can
>> permanently block
>> > future invitations. For more on how Grouply maintains privacy and
>> protects you,
>> > see http://blog.grouply.com/protect/
>> <http://blog.grouply.com/protect/> .

>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>

28913. Re: [dfwscan] Re: My list of Yahoo Groups :)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 03:36:07 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28910 Message: 28913

If its not scanner related and its been posted to multiple Yahoo! lists
I'm sure its spam. Our van list frequently gets 'Freebie' list spam.
I've reported it to Yahoo! several times, but unless the list admin
reports it, they blow you off.

> Not sure who this user is. But on a few other lists from yahoo this
> has poped up. They are treating it like its spam.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>
>> Hope this is ok to post here... You can see a list of my groups on
>>
> Grouply at
>
>> the link below. Maybe you'll find some you want to join.
>>
>> D
>>
>> Here's the link:
>> http://www.grouply.com/register.php?tmg=537237&vt=5727764
>>
>>

28914. Antenna Question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-08-28 20:03:01 UTC
From: newtexr
Topic: 5129 Message: 28914

Hello everyone,

I live up in Frisco and want to listen to Carrollton PD frequencies. After many tedious hours of figuring out how to program my new Uniden 898T scanner, I finally got all of the frequencies to programmed with the ID's etc so it only picks up the PD portion.

Can anyone recommend an antenna that would work with my Uniden? I live almost 15 miles away from Carrollton and have to turn it off because it is too staticky.

Thank you for any help.

Rob

28915. Re: [dfwscan] My list of Yahoo Groups :)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 03:43:26 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 28910 Message: 28915

All:

I don't know what to make of this just yet. The user that posted this
has posted to the list before on a regular basis.

Until we get more information, let's not rush to any extreme measures.

At 22.02 09/2/2008, you wrote:
>Hi everyone,
>
>Hope this is ok to post here... You can see a list of my groups on Grouply at
>the link below. Maybe you'll find some you want to join.
>
>D
>
>Here's the link:
>http://www.grouply.com/register.php?tmg=537237&vt=5727764
>
>
>
>
>
>====================
>This message was posted by a fellow group member who uses Grouply instead of
>email to access this group. Grouply blocks additional invitations from being
>sent to this group by anyone for 30 days. Group owners can permanently block
>future invitations. For more on how Grouply maintains privacy and
>protects you,
>see http://blog.grouply.com/protect/ .
>
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

28916. Re: My list of Yahoo Groups :)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 03:51:18 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 28910 Message: 28916

What cought my attetion was this same post has now been on 3
different groups i am on, one being 2-way related, the other cellular
related.
One group deemed it spam related because they say the site asks for
your yahoo user id and password. I think the thought is the grouply
company might leak the info or not gaurd that info as close, then
that gives spammers access to that info.
I wasnt meaning to say that was spam, just that in one day its poped
up 3 times now and some people didnt want it. I think Melissa also
said she got the email not from a group but as an individual email.

I didnt mean to imply someone who has been on the list for a while
was a spammer.

Its possible its completely legit and the people on the other list
jumped the gun. Either way i just wanted to let people know it was
discussed on another list.

Bed time, enjoy eveyone and have a great night.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Don Teague <me@...> wrote:
>
> All:
>
> I don't know what to make of this just yet. The user that posted
this
> has posted to the list before on a regular basis.
>
> Until we get more information, let's not rush to any extreme
measures.
>
> At 22.02 09/2/2008, you wrote:
> >Hi everyone,
> >
> >Hope this is ok to post here... You can see a list of my groups on
Grouply at
> >the link below. Maybe you'll find some you want to join.
> >
> >D
> >
> >Here's the link:
> >http://www.grouply.com/register.php?tmg=537237&vt=5727764
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >====================
> >This message was posted by a fellow group member who uses Grouply
instead of
> >email to access this group. Grouply blocks additional invitations
from being
> >sent to this group by anyone for 30 days. Group owners can
permanently block
> >future invitations. For more on how Grouply maintains privacy and
> >protects you,
> >see http://blog.grouply.com/protect/ .
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >----------------------------------
> >
> >For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> >http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

28917. Re: [dfwscan] Re: My list of Yahoo Groups :)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 03:57:30 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28910 Message: 28917

Be aware the sign-up for this list he is promoting defaults to wanting
your Yahoo! ID and password -- don't do it.

Patrick Wrigg wrote:
>
>> Hi everyone,
>>
>> Hope this is ok to post here... You can see a list of my groups on

28918. NASFW JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 19:43:23 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 28918 Message: 28918

Anyone know when they are going to be moving all the equipment back into LA?

28919. GMRS Frequency 462.625/467.625 Activity?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 20:15:12 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28919 Message: 28919

Ok, been scanning the FRS/GMRS band and I noticed this last year but didn't think anything of it till I heard them again now.

On frequency 462.625 (192.8) there is this steel company using that frequency for commercial use, and I was wondering is that legal per FCC?

And I found out it was a steel company cuz I asked, "who is this".

They are using a repeater TX on 467.625 with a PL of 192.8 with no ID

I didn't asked what company it was or where they were at, but at I-35W and Whilshire I was getting 5-6 on the meter on an HT with the strongest signal South to Southeast.

So, I was just wondering if that company was allowed to do that because they are annoying.

Thanks,

David, KC5RHB

P.S. FRS/GMRS Radios have no lockout, thats why its annoying

28920. Re: [dfwscan] My list of Yahoo Groups :)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-03 22:07:30 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 28910 Message: 28920

Exhale folks...it was a mistake (on my part.) I was given the link by, let's say, a 'trusted associate' and just signed up on good faith (or stupidity.) I have since learned - in the past 24 hours - it is somewhat of a cancer.

I just figured it was a Plaxo for groups or something. Not the case. I reckon I need to pay more attention to the details.

Disregard....DO NOT DO THIS grouply thing.

DT

On 9/2/08, D T <r.davidtaylor@...> wrote:
>
> Hi everyone,
>
> Hope this is ok to post here... You can see a list of my groups on Grouply
> at
> the link below. Maybe you'll find some you want to join.
>
> D
>
> Here's the link:
> http://www.grouply.com/register.php?tmg=537237&vt=5727764<http://www.grouply.com/registe
r.php?tmg=537237&vt=5727764>
>
> ====================
> This message was posted by a fellow group member who uses Grouply instead
> of
> email to access this group. Grouply blocks additional invitations from
> being
> sent to this group by anyone for 30 days. Group owners can permanently
> block
> future invitations. For more on how Grouply maintains privacy and protects
> you,
> see http://blog.grouply.com/protect/ .
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

--
"A champion pays an extra price to be better than everybody else." -
Coach Burns http://www.leaguelineup.com/welcome.asp?url=cctigers10u

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28921. Johnson County's LTR System (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 00:28:35 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28921 Message: 28921

Ok, this is giving me a headache now. I believe the last post on this was FD was going to LTR and not PD.

I was programming some radios and decided to go ahead and put the LTR frequencies in just for the heck of it. One, I'm not hearing any clicks that you usually hear from LTR frequencies. Don't know if I'm too far away, I'm in Burleson. Two, on 154.0925, which shows to be one of the LTR frequencies, I was hearing what sounded like PD traffic, had a tone of 88.5. So I did a search on that freq and it showed to be Grandview PD, which is in Johnson County. Am if I hearing Grandview, I should hear the LTR clicks from Cleburne.

So, I did some searching on RR, which shows no TG's. Look at the past posts on this and didn't find anything new.

Bottom line is I guess, do I just add the old and new FD/PD stuff and call it a day?

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

28922. RE: [dfwscan] GMRS Frequency 462.625/467.625 Activity?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 01:08:21 UTC
From: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Topic: 28919 Message: 28922

David,

462.625 is also known as Black Dot. Although a license is required for that frequency, many times the form is thrown away.

Regards,

Billy Mason, KD5KNR

Bedford, TX

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of KC5RHB
Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2008 15:15
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] GMRS Frequency 462.625/467.625 Activity?

Ok, been scanning the FRS/GMRS band and I noticed this last year but didn't think anything of it till I heard them again now.

On frequency 462.625 (192.8) there is this steel company using that frequency for commercial use, and I was wondering is that legal per FCC?

And I found out it was a steel company cuz I asked, "who is this".

They are using a repeater TX on 467.625 with a PL of 192.8 with no ID

I didn't asked what company it was or where they were at, but at I-35W and Whilshire I was getting 5-6 on the meter on an HT with the strongest signal South to Southeast.

So, I was just wondering if that company was allowed to do that because they are annoying.

Thanks,

David, KC5RHB

P.S. FRS/GMRS Radios have no lockout, thats why its annoying

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28923. Dallas Fire Radio Call Signs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 01:09:54 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 28923 Message: 28923

Does any one have a list of callsigns used by DFR? Just heard Lamar Cmnd req 664 an ID I've not heard used before.

28924. Re: Johnson County's LTR System (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 01:11:35 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28921 Message: 28924

Ok, I think Im loosing my mind. That PD traffic I was hearing was bleed over from Crowley PD (154.100). What caught my attention on this was I heard a unit do a traffic stop on 1187, and I thought for a sec, there is no 1187 in Grandview. So, I think I will just add the LTR frequencies in, keep them in conventinal mode and keep the old frequencies in too.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Ok, this is giving me a headache now. I believe the last post on this
> was FD was going to LTR and not PD.
>
> I was programming some radios and decided to go ahead and put the LTR
> frequencies in just for the heck of it. One, I'm not hearing any
> clicks that you usually hear from LTR frequencies. Don't know if I'm
> too far away, I'm in Burleson. Two, on 154.0925, which shows to be
> one of the LTR frequencies, I was hearing what sounded like PD
> traffic, had a tone of 88.5. So I did a search on that freq and it
> showed to be Grandview PD, which is in Johnson County. Am if I hearing
> Grandview, I should hear the LTR clicks from Cleburne.
>
> So, I did some searching on RR, which shows no TG's. Look at the past
> posts on this and didn't find anything new.
>
> Bottom line is I guess, do I just add the old and new FD/PD stuff and
> call it a day?
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
>

28925. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire Radio Call Signs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 01:17:33 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28923 Message: 28925

Thanks to fellow list member, Wally Banks, I have this on my website. I don't see the particular unit you are looking for on there. It's probably Hazmat or some other special ops related. Think I just heard them say a second EPA aircraft would be in the airspace soon at the large 4 alarm scrap metal pile fire on Lamar.

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/DFRfacts-7.htm

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

>Does any one have a list of callsigns used by DFR? Just heard Lamar Cmnd req 664 an ID I've not heard used before.

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28926. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire Radio Call Signs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 01:18:52 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28923 Message: 28926

I probably misunderstood the 2nd EPA aircraft. The 2nd aircraft is probably Air One.

Ben

>Thanks to fellow list member, Wally Banks, I have this on my website. I don't see the particular unit you are looking for on there. It's probably Hazmat or some other special ops related. Think I just heard them say a second EPA aircraft would be in the airspace soon at the large 4 alarm scrap metal pile fire on Lamar.

http://www.bensware <http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/DFRfacts-7.htm> .com/scandfw/DFRfacts-7.htm

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

>Does any one have a list of callsigns used by DFR? Just heard Lamar Cmnd req 664 an ID I've not heard used before.

< <

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28927. Re: GMRS Frequency 462.625/467.625 Activity?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 01:49:02 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28919 Message: 28927

Ok, I didn't think you could run a repeater on a "dot" frequency, just simplex. But I know you can run a repeater on the GMRS side, with a license of course. Who knows, I just know the traffic I hear from them is annoying. Thanks for the reply.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Billy Mason \(E-Lists\)" <elists@...> wrote:
>
> David,
>
>
>
> 462.625 is also known as Black Dot. Although a license is required for that
> frequency, many times the form is thrown away.
>
>
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
>
>
> Billy Mason, KD5KNR
>
> Bedford, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> KC5RHB
> Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2008 15:15
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] GMRS Frequency 462.625/467.625 Activity?
>
>

>
> Ok, been scanning the FRS/GMRS band and I noticed this last year but
> didn't think anything of it till I heard them again now.
>
> On frequency 462.625 (192.8) there is this steel company using that
> frequency for commercial use, and I was wondering is that legal per FCC?
>
> And I found out it was a steel company cuz I asked, "who is this".
>
> They are using a repeater TX on 467.625 with a PL of 192.8 with no ID
>
> I didn't asked what company it was or where they were at, but at I-35W
> and Whilshire I was getting 5-6 on the meter on an HT with the
> strongest signal South to Southeast.
>
> So, I was just wondering if that company was allowed to do that
> because they are annoying.
>
> Thanks,
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> P.S. FRS/GMRS Radios have no lockout, thats why its annoying
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28928. Lamar Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 02:39:49 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 28928 Message: 28928

Anyone have any pics on this? So far only NBC has any pics and it's just one of Engine 23 w/ some smoke in the background.

28929. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire Radio Call Signs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 04:42:38 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 28923 Message: 28929

I believe all the 600s are the arson unit 684 and 684 are the on duty
and all the others are the off duty numbers

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
>
> I probably misunderstood the 2nd EPA aircraft. The 2nd aircraft is
> probably
> Air One.
>
> Ben
>
> >Thanks to fellow list member, Wally Banks, I have this on my website. I
> don't see the particular unit you are looking for on there. It's probably
> Hazmat or some other special ops related. Think I just heard them say a
> second EPA aircraft would be in the airspace soon at the large 4 alarm
> scrap
> metal pile fire on Lamar.
>
> http://www.bensware <http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/DFRfacts-7.htm
> <http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/DFRfacts-7.htm>>
> .com/scandfw/DFRfacts-7.htm
>
> Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ
>
> >Does any one have a list of callsigns used by DFR? Just heard Lamar
> Cmnd req 664 an ID I've not heard used before.
>
> < <
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28930. Fort Worth Fire Department Tones

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 03:41:45 UTC
From: jwk4301
Topic: 28930 Message: 28930

I have a Morotola Minitor V Pager and I was wondering if anyone knew a way to program this to go off when a certain station is dispatched. I would like to set it up with FWFD Station 28. I
think there might be a separate frequency and tones for when each station gets dispatched. Thanks.

28931. Re: Fort Worth Fire Department Tones

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 03:58:29 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28930 Message: 28931

I don't think they do that anymore. I think everything is done digital now through the city's network. Wheres the FW fire guys that are on here, they would know. Plus, after 911, I think things have gotten more secured, especailly with FW.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwk4301" <jwk4301@...> wrote:
>
> I have a Morotola Minitor V Pager and I was wondering if anyone knew a way to program this
> to go off when a certain station is dispatched. I would like to set it up with FWFD Station 28. I
> think there might be a separate frequency and tones for when each station gets dispatched.
> Thanks.
>

28932. wireless 911 calls

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 11:23:06 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28932 Message: 28932

Here's an article about 911 centers locating wireless callers.
http://www.star-telegram.com/metro_news/story/882737.html

Ben

28933. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Fort Worth Fire Department Tones

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 12:54:34 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 28930 Message: 28933

There are no paging tones to dispatch stations. It is all done via a WAN connection (network). When FAO dispatches a run, the CAD sends a message to the station to be dispatched. A computer in the station gets this message and speaks the dispatch text out over the stations speakers. The days of tone out dispatching (in FWFD's) case are long gone.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Wed, 9/3/08, KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...> wrote:

From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Fort Worth Fire Department Tones
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, September 3, 2008, 10:58 PM

I don't think they do that anymore. I think everything is done
digital now through the city's network. Wheres the FW fire guys that
are on here, they would know. Plus, after 911, I think things have
gotten more secured, especailly with FW.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwk4301" <jwk4301@...> wrote:
>
> I have a Morotola Minitor V Pager and I was wondering if anyone knew
a way to program this
> to go off when a certain station is dispatched. I would like to set
it up with FWFD Station 28. I
> think there might be a separate frequency and tones for when each
station gets dispatched.
> Thanks.
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28934. Re: Johnson County's LTR System (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 13:04:53 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28921 Message: 28934

Well I wouldn't get to worried about what to add at this point. For starters, the system is supposed to LTR Passport, which means no trunk-tracking. Secondly, Cleburne FD is currently the only user on the Passport system. And at that, the frequency they're on (155.145) is being used in conventional mode.

Keene FD and Alvarado FD are back to using their old freqs and using them in conventional mode. As far as Grandview PD is concerned they're dispatched by Johnson County on 158.745 PL 136.2 . They might be using 154.0925 as a 'talk' channel. However I suspect you might be hearing another PD, just spit-balling here.

As far as the data blips, well they have moved from freq to freq, just depending on what frequency is being used as the control channel. I've heard the data blips on just about every frequency on this system.

Bottom line, I wouldn't worry about programming a thing for this system right now. So far this Passport system has produced more problems than anything.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Ok, this is giving me a headache now. I believe the last post on this
> was FD was going to LTR and not PD.
>
> I was programming some radios and decided to go ahead and put the LTR
> frequencies in just for the heck of it. One, I'm not hearing any
> clicks that you usually hear from LTR frequencies. Don't know if I'm
> too far away, I'm in Burleson. Two, on 154.0925, which shows to be
> one of the LTR frequencies, I was hearing what sounded like PD
> traffic, had a tone of 88.5. So I did a search on that freq and it
> showed to be Grandview PD, which is in Johnson County. Am if I hearing
> Grandview, I should hear the LTR clicks from Cleburne.
>
> So, I did some searching on RR, which shows no TG's. Look at the past
> posts on this and didn't find anything new.
>
> Bottom line is I guess, do I just add the old and new FD/PD stuff and
> call it a day?
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

>

28935. Re: Johnson County's LTR System (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 13:32:25 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28921 Message: 28935

"Roger, Roger"... Works for me. I will kill this post.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> Well I wouldn't get to worried about what to add at this point. For
> starters, the system is supposed to LTR Passport, which means no
> trunk-tracking. Secondly, Cleburne FD is currently the only user
> on the Passport system. And at that, the frequency they're on
> (155.145) is being used in conventional mode.
>
> Keene FD and Alvarado FD are back to using their old freqs and
> using them in conventional mode. As far as Grandview PD is concerned
> they're dispatched by Johnson County on 158.745 PL 136.2 . They might
> be using 154.0925 as a 'talk' channel. However I suspect you might
> be hearing another PD, just spit-balling here.
>
> As far as the data blips, well they have moved from freq to freq,
> just depending on what frequency is being used as the control channel.
> I've heard the data blips on just about every frequency on this
> system.
>
> Bottom line, I wouldn't worry about programming a thing for this
> system right now. So far this Passport system has produced more
> problems than anything.
>
> Chris
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> >
> > Ok, this is giving me a headache now. I believe the last post on this
> > was FD was going to LTR and not PD.
> >
> > I was programming some radios and decided to go ahead and put the LTR
> > frequencies in just for the heck of it. One, I'm not hearing any
> > clicks that you usually hear from LTR frequencies. Don't know if I'm
> > too far away, I'm in Burleson. Two, on 154.0925, which shows to be
> > one of the LTR frequencies, I was hearing what sounded like PD
> > traffic, had a tone of 88.5. So I did a search on that freq and it
> > showed to be Grandview PD, which is in Johnson County. Am if I hearing

> > Grandview, I should hear the LTR clicks from Cleburne.
> >
> > So, I did some searching on RR, which shows no TG's. Look at the past
> > posts on this and didn't find anything new.
> >
> > Bottom line is I guess, do I just add the old and new FD/PD stuff and
> > call it a day?
> >
> > David, KC5RHB
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
> >
>

28936. Re: Lamar Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-04 20:28:39 UTC
From: dfd_box4
Topic: 28928 Message: 28936

Here you go!

http://s141.photobucket.com/albums/r63/DFDBox4/4305%20South%20Lamar/

Brian Fant
www.box4.org

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Andrew Tucker" <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Anyone have any pics on this? So far only NBC has any pics and it's
> just one of Engine 23 w/ some smoke in the background.
>

28937. Johnson County Sheriff Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 00:32:46 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28937 Message: 28937

Well, I thought this was going to end, but I guess not.

I kept wondering why I wasn't picking up Johnson County SO on 158.745 (136.5) and 155.6625 (423), I thought it might of been my location or radio.

Then I started to see the "busy" icon flash on the screen, so, I took all the tones off, and there JCSO was, talking.

And along with it are LTR clicks. So 158.745 and 155.6625 are still broadcasting JCSO traffic, but I guess they changed those frequencies over to LTR, because I'm not getting any PL tones when they talk and I'm hearing the LTR clicks every 2 seconds on both frequencies.

So, I guess JCSO has went to LTR. RR might want to update this.

Man those clicks are annoying. Its like someone keeps keying up the radio every 2 seconds.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

28938. Re: Johnson County Sheriff Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 00:57:32 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28937 Message: 28938

They must of just recently changed over. It wasnt that long ago they
were talking on conventional, now radio service is on there on and off
doing radio tests. And in the background when they key up you can
hear the PTT trunk beeps.

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Well, I thought this was going to end, but I guess not.
>
> I kept wondering why I wasn't picking up Johnson County SO on 158.745
> (136.5) and 155.6625 (423), I thought it might of been my location or
> radio.
>
> Then I started to see the "busy" icon flash on the screen, so, I took
> all the tones off, and there JCSO was, talking.
>
> And along with it are LTR clicks. So 158.745 and 155.6625 are still
> broadcasting JCSO traffic, but I guess they changed those frequencies
> over to LTR, because I'm not getting any PL tones when they talk and
> I'm hearing the LTR clicks every 2 seconds on both frequencies.
>
> So, I guess JCSO has went to LTR. RR might want to update this.
>
> Man those clicks are annoying. Its like someone keeps keying up the
> radio every 2 seconds.
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
>

28939. Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 00:57:51 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 28350 Message: 28939

We (Cleburne PD) were told today that Johnson County S.O., which will also include the police depts of Grandview, Rio Vista, Joshua, etc, has switched over to the LTR system. This was unannounced to anyone down here. Means that us (Cleburne PD) Burleson PD and Alvarado PD have no comms with Johnson County or the related smaller agencies. This switch occurred a few days ago.

Heck, even DPS can't talk to Johnson County S.O. now and are using our dispatch to run 27s and 28s.

So it is understandable what you were hearing, you are not losing your mind.

Sgt Gary Moseley
Cleburne PD
(KC5MEW)

--
--The problem is not that there are problems. The problem is expecting otherwise and thinking that having problems is a problem.--

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28940. Re: Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 01:24:54 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28350 Message: 28940

Thanks for the info. Yep, its funny you mentioned that because I heard a Burleson unit ask earlier why he cant hear JCSO, of course the dispatcher didn't know. Ok, once again thanks for the info, stay safe out there.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Gary Moseley" <gary.moseley@...> wrote:
>
> We (Cleburne PD) were told today that Johnson County S.O., which will also
> include the police depts of Grandview, Rio Vista, Joshua, etc, has switched
> over to the LTR system. This was unannounced to anyone down here. Means
> that us (Cleburne PD) Burleson PD and Alvarado PD have no comms with Johnson
> County or the related smaller agencies. This switch occurred a few days
> ago.
>
> Heck, even DPS can't talk to Johnson County S.O. now and are using our
> dispatch to run 27s and 28s.
>
> So it is understandable what you were hearing, you are not losing your mind.
>
> Sgt Gary Moseley
> Cleburne PD
> (KC5MEW)
>
> --
> --The problem is not that there are problems. The problem is expecting
> otherwise and thinking that having problems is a problem.--
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28941. Re: Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 02:16:51 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28350 Message: 28941

Well now I'm totally confused. Last I heard the SO and rural PD's
did not get the funding to make the move to the LTR Passport system.

So did the funding come through, or are they just letting SO use
the system to further work out the bugs?? That's what they were
trying to do originally, when they put Cleburne, Keene, and Alvarado
FD's on the system first. Even though it didn't work out that well.

I sure hope that all this means is that Johnson County is just
doing more testing with this system. On the other hand, if the
system is now fully operational and working fine, then when can
we expect the rural FD's to make the switch?? Because if any
agency in Johnson County needs this new system it's the rural FD's

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Gary Moseley" <gary.moseley@...> wrote:
>
> We (Cleburne PD) were told today that Johnson County S.O., which
will also
> include the police depts of Grandview, Rio Vista, Joshua, etc, has
switched
> over to the LTR system. This was unannounced to anyone down here.
Means
> that us (Cleburne PD) Burleson PD and Alvarado PD have no comms with
Johnson
> County or the related smaller agencies. This switch occurred a few days
> ago.
>
> Heck, even DPS can't talk to Johnson County S.O. now and are using our
> dispatch to run 27s and 28s.
>
> So it is understandable what you were hearing, you are not losing
your mind.
>
> Sgt Gary Moseley
> Cleburne PD
> (KC5MEW)
>
> --
> --The problem is not that there are problems. The problem is expecting
> otherwise and thinking that having problems is a problem.--
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

28942. 460.1750 Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 13:58:53 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28942 Message: 28942

Due to a job change I need to monitor Dallas North on this freq. However, I live about 50 straight line miles away and none of my scanners will pick it up.

Do Yall have any suggestions to help me receive this freq without too much expense?

Thanks, Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28943. RE: [dfwscan] 460.1750 Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 14:05:45 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 28942 Message: 28943

I'd suggest using Radio Mobile or a similar coverage analysis tool to determine the necessary antenna. At 50 miles, there's a good chance some terrain feature between you and the transmitter site will cause you problems. You'll need height to overcome whatever terrain issues you might find - this may be a small tower or a push-up pole. For an antenna, a UHF yagi of reasonable gain with decent feedline (depending on length) will probably suffice.

If you can't clear the terrain, you're hosed anyway.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Sarge
Sent: Friday, September 05, 2008 08:59 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] 460.1750 Dallas

Due to a job change I need to monitor Dallas North on this freq. However, I live about 50 straight line miles away and none of my scanners will pick it up.

Do Yall have any suggestions to help me receive this freq without too much expense?

Thanks, Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28944. Re: 460.1750 Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 14:14:31 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28942 Message: 28944

Sarge wrote:

> I live about 50 straight line miles away and none of my scanners will pick
> it up.
>
> Do Yall have any suggestions to help me receive this freq without too much
> expense?

I would get a UHF yagi antenna specifically for
440-470 mhz. Heck, even a ham 440 yagi may
do the job. Get that baby up as high as possible.
At 50 miles you'll be pushing it, but it's certainly
do-able. Point it as best as you can towards
downtown Dallas, and listen to what happens.
Obviously, a tone enabled scanner on 173.8 PL
will certainly help in ID'ing the source, and being
able to maneuver the antenna around for optimal
reception.

Then, the other alternative is just to listen online
to something like Matt Stone's online DPD
scanner (covers all DPD & DFR) - including
460.175:

http://tla.no-ip.com:8000/listen.pls

Good luck!

Blaine

28945. Johnson County cont.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 17:57:13 UTC
From: David J. Wallis
Topic: 28945 Message: 28945

We were first notified to switch over about a week ago early one night. We actually had to call the county back and advise them that no one had ever come around to program our radios initially. That's been corrected and to my knowledge, we are still "switched over".whatever that means right now. Portable radio performance is currently worse under the new scheme than we had before.

In regards to Chris's question on funding, I do not think the county has received more funding to distribute additional radios to the law enforcement community. I've gotten the impression that fed funding has dried up considerably as compared to when this system was first conceived. I've also heard that the ESD here has funded additional radios for the fire departments only. One proposal floating around is to distribute portables to the VFD's to use as their paging devices for individual members. I've also heard that the FD's are supposed to go live within 90 days. Godley recently received its fire base station antenna, and I've noticed a few more popping up around the county.

That's about all I've heard. News doesn't drift very far westward to my area.

Sincerely,

David Wallis

Mayor - City of Godley, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28946. Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 21:31:46 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 28350 Message: 28946

Hello Group,

I have a newbie question. I have a pro 97 will this pick up and track
the new Johnson county LTR passport system.

Thanks in advance

Don Newman

28947. RE: [dfwscan] Re: 460.1750 Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 21:33:43 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 28942 Message: 28947

There's also a very strong Ch 6 transmitter in North Dallas on a tall tower on Frankford Rd. If you're going to use a yagi, you might try pointing that way. I've picked this channel up on a mobile as far out as Denton (to the NW) and the Collin/Fannin County line (to the NE). A good tall antenna should do it.

Russell

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Friday, September 05, 2008 09:15
To: Sarge; DFWSCAN
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: 460.1750 Dallas

Sarge wrote:

> I live about 50 straight line miles away and none of my scanners will pick
> it up.
>
> Do Yall have any suggestions to help me receive this freq without too much
> expense?

I would get a UHF yagi antenna specifically for 440-470 mhz. Heck, even a ham 440 yagi may do the job. Get that baby up as high as possible. At 50 miles you'll be pushing it, but it's certainly do-able. Point it as best as you can towards downtown Dallas, and listen to what happens. Obviously, a tone enabled scanner on 173.8 PL will certainly help in ID'ing the source, and being able to maneuver the antenna around for optimal reception.

Then, the other alternative is just to listen online to something like Matt Stone's online DPD scanner (covers all DPD & DFR) - including 460.175:

http://tla.no-ip.com:8000/listen.pls

Good luck!

Blaine

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28948. Re: Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 22:18:01 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28350 Message: 28948

The PRO-97 can't do LTR Passport, just LTR Standard.

I have several 97's and they won't work for LTR Passport. I don't know if any of the new digital scanners can do LTR Passport. But I know none of my old analog trunkers can do LTR Passport, just Standard. You can still listen to Johnson County in conventional mode, if you can stand listening to the "key-ups" every 2 seconds. Hope this helps.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Don" <d-p-newman@...> wrote:
>
> Hello Group,
>
> I have a newbie question. I have a pro 97 will this pick up and track
> the new Johnson county LTR passport system.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Don Newman
>

28949. RE: [dfwscan] Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 22:42:11 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 28350 Message: 28949

Unfortunately not Don. Presently, there are no scanners available that can decode Passport LTR. You can listen to the frequencies, but the data bursts every two seconds will drive you crazy. It has been reported that the developer of TrunkPCR is working on incorporating Passport into his software, but that was back in May:
http://members.cox.net/fiftyone.50/TrunkPcr/TrunkPcr.html

Mark

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Don
Sent: Friday, September 05, 2008 4:32 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Johnson County

Hello Group,

I have a newbie question. I have a pro 97 will this pick up and track the new Johnson county LTR passport system.

Thanks in advance

'-.-'---

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28950. RE: [dfwscan] Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-05 22:42:12 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28350 Message: 28950

No, scanner manufactures have not licensed the format and there are no scanners that will follow it. You can scan the frequencies as conventional and hear traffic just not trunk it.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Don
Sent: Friday, September 05, 2008 4:32 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Johnson County

Hello Group,

I have a newbie question. I have a pro 97 will this pick up and track the new Johnson county LTR passport system.

Thanks in advance

Don Newman

28951. Re: Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-06 01:07:14 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 28350 Message: 28951

Johnson County went to the new system suddenly due to a "use it or lose it" for the frequencies from the FCC.

Cleburne FD stopped using the Passport system because it was interfering and drowning out Granbury FD. Word on the skreets is they got a "cease and desist" from the FCC.

The smaller PDs in the county that always used Johnson County S.O. will be using the new system. Cleburne PD WILL NOT be going to the system...ever. Got that on good authority.

As for the rural volunteer FDs in the county, they are staying where they are on the old system for now.

DPS units in the county are using S.O. handhelds when they need to contact the S.O. A real crowd pleaser, I am sure.

Cleburne PDs mobile and handheld units will updated with the new Passport system freqs next week, so we can once again communicate.

And that, is all the news that's fit to print today......

Gary

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28952. OT: DTV 5.3

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-06 03:21:15 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28952 Message: 28952

I know it's off topic, but I did have to "scan" to find this channel<G>.

There's a new channel on DTV 5.3, Universal Sports, while it's not the D/FW Airport Tower cam some many of us want, it looks interesting.

http://www.nbc5i.com/station/17405085/detail.html

http://www.universalsports.com/ViewImage.dbml?DB_OEM_ID=23000&PHOTOID=126803
1

Wow, bicycle racing and other non-mainstream sports on regular over the air TV. At first glance this will be a nice addition of programming.

I wonder what other programming the .X channels will offer.

Ben, cyclist

28953. OFF TOPIC FUNNY

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-06 14:05:52 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 28953 Message: 28953

An email from Ireland to all of their brethren in the States...a point to ponder despite your political affiliation:

'We, in Ireland, can't figure out why you people are even bothering to hold an election in the United States.

On one side, you had a pants wearing female lawyer, married to another lawyer who can't seem to keep his pants on, who just lost a long and heated primary against a lawyer, who goes to the wrong church, who is married to yet another lawyer, who doesn't even like the country her husband wants to run!

Now...On the other side, you have a nice old war hero whose name starts with the appropriate 'Mc' terminology, married to a good looking younger woman who owns a beer distributorship !!

What in God's name are ya lads thinkin over in the colonies?

_____
Click to see huge collection of discounted designer watches.
<http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL2142/fc/Ioyw6i3mdA3nHGEHcGU0YEFe8m71pqmeaXCGGrrG73fCPGfpoZg5Z0/>

Get the <http://mapquest.com/toolbar?ncid=mpqmap00050000000010> MapQuest Toolbar. Directions, Traffic, Gas Prices & More!

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28954. NEW Uniden scanners to be released later this year!!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-07 02:39:21 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 28954 Message: 28954

Found this on the 'Uniden' forum on RadioReference, and thought I'd post it here.
Very little info at this time as to the specs, pricing, and release date.

Should be interesting to see what features Uniden packs into their new scanners.

Here's a link to a blog site with more info, albeit very little info. Their is also
links to 2 new Yahoo groups that have been set-up for the 2 new Uniden scannners.

http://monitor-post.blogspot.com/2008/08/new-uniden-scanners-are-on-hori\
zon.html
<http://monitor-post.blogspot.com/2008/08/new-uniden-scanners-are-on-hor\
izon.html>

Chris

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28955. McLennan County & Skip Tonight

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-07 03:26:41 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28955 Message: 28955

Hi Groups,

There is some apparent skip or ducting going on tonight in the VHF and UHF bands in the N. Texas area. I've been listening to a few agencies down south of D/FW like Hillsboro PD's P25 155.865 frequency. But I came across a new one (for me). I've known the frequency for years but thought it would be unused with McLennan County's 800 MHz use now. I'm receiving clear traffic on 154.875 PL 100.0.

I'm not sure if this frequency is still in use by the smaller PDs in the county that may not be on 800 MHz radio yet or just what the reason is for this channel's use. At one point, I thought this was an FD on frequency. But then I heard a DL return to Waco and another to Horseshoe Bend. I then heard Old Bethany Road and Lorena mentioned as locations. All of these (plus some other traffic) confirm McLennan County's use of this frequency still.

Does anyone know what this purpose of this frequency is? I've seen the RR.com listing for McLennan County SO 1 and how this frequency is simulcast with Waco's TRS TG 528. Is this frequency still used regularly in McLennan County?

Thanks for any additional information.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28956. Re: [dfwscan] OT: DTV 5.3

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-07 05:48:05 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28952 Message: 28956

Pretty cool. I rescanned the channels on the PC last night and was able
to watch some bicycle race.

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> I know it's off topic, but I did have to "scan" to find this channel<G>.
>
> There's a new channel on DTV 5.3, Universal Sports, while it's not the D/FW
> Airport Tower cam some many of us want, it looks interesting.
>
> http://www.nbc5i.com/station/17405085/detail.html
>
> http://www.universalsports.com/ViewImage.dbml?DB_OEM_ID=23000&PHOTOID=126803
> 1
>
> Wow, bicycle racing and other non-mainstream sports on regular over the air
> TV. At first glance this will be a nice addition of programming.
>
> I wonder what other programming the .X channels will offer.
>

28957. Re: [dfwscan] OT: DTV 5.3

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-07 06:03:11 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 28952 Message: 28957

I've got a Linux box with an HD tuner card running MythTV. All the
goodness of HDTV, and Tivo without paying Bill Gates a cent.

If you have a spare PC (of recent vintage) and $100-200 to spend on a
tuner card, look up MythBuntu. A Linux distribution designed to be a
media center. Plays DVDs, CDs, records and plays live TV, etc...

> Exactly what/how are you scanning on the PC to see this?
>
>> Pretty cool. I rescanned the channels on the PC last night and was able
>> to watch some bicycle race.
>>
>> Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
>> > I know it's off topic, but I did have to "scan" to find this
>> channel<G>.
>> >
>> > There's a new channel on DTV 5.3, Universal Sports, while it's not
>> the D/FW
>> > Airport Tower cam some many of us want, it looks interesting.
>> >
>> > http://www.nbc5i.com/station/17405085/detail.html
>> >
>> >
>> http://www.universalsports.com/ViewImage.dbml?DB_OEM_ID=23000&PHOTOID=126803
>> <http://www.universalsports.com/ViewImage.dbml?DB_OEM_ID=23000&PHOTOID=126803>
>>
>> > 1
>> >
>> > Wow, bicycle racing and other non-mainstream sports on regular over
>> the air
>> > TV. At first glance this will be a nice addition of programming.
>> >
>> > I wonder what other programming the .X channels will offer.
>> >

28958. Data (MDT Signals?) on 460 MHz Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-09 08:05:04 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28958 Message: 28958

Hi Group,

I've been receiving data signals on 460.0375, 460.1125, and 460.2375 with a PL tone of 131.8 the last few days. The FCC Database is down at this time so I cannot look up the information needed to see if there is a common user. Tonight, there is data on 460.1125 and 460.2375 at the same time and nothing on 460.0375. Last night, I only received data on 460.0375. The 131.8 PL tone, 460 MHz range, and the data sound is all I have noticed in common thus far.

Anyone know who the user may be and/or what the use is? The data in constant and has no breaks in the transmissions. It may be MDT data but I really cannot tell.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28959. RE: [dfwscan] Data (MDT Signals?) on 460 MHz Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-09 08:55:54 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28958 Message: 28959

Well, the FCC database is up and running again and I see that Southwest Regional Communications Center in Desoto/Cedar Hill is listed with all three frequencies. I seem to remember some recent talk about the TRS down that way but I obviously didn't pay too much attention to the thread at the time it seems. I'm still confused as to what type of data it is that I'm hearing on the frequencies mentioned though...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Tuesday, September 09, 2008 3:05 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Data (MDT Signals?) on 460 MHz Frequencies

Hi Group,

I've been receiving data signals on 460.0375, 460.1125, and 460.2375 with a PL tone of 131.8 the last few days. The FCC Database is down at this time so I cannot look up the information needed to see if there is a common user. Tonight, there is data on 460.1125 and 460.2375 at the same time and nothing on 460.0375. Last night, I only received data on 460.0375. The 131.8 PL tone, 460 MHz range, and the data sound is all I have noticed in common thus far.

Anyone know who the user may be and/or what the use is? The data in constant and has no breaks in the transmissions. It may be MDT data but I really cannot tell.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28960. Weekly FCC grants August 31-September 06

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-09 09:11:42 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 28960 Message: 28960

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

28961. Re: (MDT Signals?) on 460 MHz Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-10 01:46:31 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28958 Message: 28961

commscan wrote:

> I see that Southwest
> Regional Communications Center in Desoto/Cedar Hill is listed with all three
> frequencies.

> I'm still confused as to what type of data it is that I'm hearing
> on the frequencies mentioned though...

MPT-1237, the same kind Decatur PD has.

Used primarily in the UK and European nations. Rare here.

Blaine

28962. RE: [dfwscan] Re: (MDT Signals?) on 460 MHz Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-10 02:18:30 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28958 Message: 28962

Hi Blaine & Group,

Yea, I was advised this was the data from that type of TRS. It made me wish I'd paid attention to the thread on this system very recently on this list too :)

I've heard the Decatur system off and on through the years but do not remember their system's data sounding like this. Actually, I thought it was more of an LTR "blip" type of sound but I wouldn't bet the farm on it.

I'm confused as to why there were two active data channels last night too. 460.1125 was weaker than 460.2375, so I'm guessing there are multiple sites. I'm not being lazy (at least on purpose), but I still haven't looked at RR.com to see yet.

Thanks for the reply Blaine and to the others who replied off list too...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Tuesday, September 09, 2008 8:47 PM
To: commscan
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: (MDT Signals?) on 460 MHz Frequencies

commscan wrote:

> I see that Southwest
> Regional Communications Center in Desoto/Cedar Hill is listed with all three
> frequencies.

> I'm still confused as to what type of data it is that I'm hearing
> on the frequencies mentioned though...

MPT-1237, the same kind Decatur PD has.

Used primarily in the UK and European nations. Rare here.

Blaine

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28963. 153.71 DCS 265

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-10 02:25:16 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28963 Message: 28963

Hi Group,

Here's another frequency I've been listening to since yesterday. I captured it and added it to my PL tone database long ago, but I never really followed up on it. This has been a fairly-to-very clear station and from what I've been hearing I wonder if it is Lockheed's Grand Prairie facility security. They're listed on the FCC database site and the traffic sounds like it could be them.

Has anyone else ever heard or confirmed the use of this frequency and tone?

It sounds like we may have some more skip/ducting possible tonight. I love the DX'ing so I hope so.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28964. Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-10 22:15:14 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 28964 Message: 28964

Hello Group,

Been seeing a lot of Ft Worth PD units now with a 3rd 800Mhz (phantom) antenna on the units. It's up by the back window. Of course the first two are the radio and the MDC, and the VHF audio/video antenna on the side of some units. Any ideas what the 3rd 800 antenna is for, (radio, type, etc)?

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

28965. Hurricane Ike

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-10 22:33:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28965 Message: 28965

These should be interesting to watch as Ike
heads to the Texas shore:

http://www.galveston.com/webcams/

Blaine

28966. Re: [dfwscan] Hurricane Ike

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-10 22:36:13 UTC
From: Niki
Topic: 28965 Message: 28966

Yeah, it's scary. My mom, aunt, and uncle and their dogs all fled Corpus today. My poor dad is a doctor and MUST stay there in town at the hospital during these things. It always puts me on edge.

On Wed, Sep 10, 2008 at 5:33 PM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>wrote:

> These should be interesting to watch as Ike
> heads to the Texas shore:
>
> http://www.galveston.com/webcams/
>
>
> Blaine
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28967. USCG Rescue on 8983 kHz USB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-11 02:26:36 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28967 Message: 28967

Any HF monitors might want to put in 8983 kHz USB (8.983 MHz) for a rescue ongoing. There are multiple USCG Rescue helicopters, at least one USCG C-130, and it sounds like other USCG vessels either on scene or enroute to help. Persons on board the vessel in distress are being taken to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba at this time. It is making for some interesting listening even though the band is a little noisy (static popping) tonight).

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28968. RE: [dfwscan] Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-11 02:43:23 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28964 Message: 28968

Sure it's not a GPS antenna for the AVL?

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of KC5RHB
Sent: Wednesday, September 10, 2008 5:15 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)

Hello Group,

Been seeing a lot of Ft Worth PD units now with a 3rd 800Mhz (phantom) antenna on the units. It's up by the back window. Of course the first two are the radio and the MDC, and the VHF audio/video antenna on the side of some units. Any ideas what the 3rd 800 antenna is for, (radio, type, etc)?

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28969. New member

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-11 02:39:02 UTC
From: Glenn Blum
Topic: 28969 Message: 28969

I am down in Bell County. I joined since I belong to a few radio groups in the texas area. I figured that it is good to keep up with the radio activity in the DFW area.
Glenn Blum
kd5dga

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28970. Re: 153.71 DCS 265

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-11 04:53:28 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 28963 Message: 28970

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Hi Group,
>
>
>
> Here's another frequency I've been listening to since yesterday. I
captured
> it and added it to my PL tone database long ago, but I never really
followed
> up on it. This has been a fairly-to-very clear station and from
what I've
> been hearing I wonder if it is Lockheed's Grand Prairie facility
security.
> They're listed on the FCC database site and the traffic sounds like
it could
> be them.
>
>
>
> Has anyone else ever heard or confirmed the use of this frequency
and tone?
>
>
>
> It sounds like we may have some more skip/ducting possible tonight.
I love
> the DX'ing so I hope so.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX

Hi Brian,

I have heard it up here in West Plano but mainly when the DX is coming in. I never been able to confirm who it is either but I have logged activity on it quite a few times even in the late evening/early mornings. I have been wondering who this belongs to and would be eager to find out.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

28971. Medical Evacuee Operations

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-11 10:56:38 UTC
From: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Topic: 28971 Message: 28971

It appears from listening to the NE Tarrant TRS, that Medical Evacuee Operations are underway at DFW. Limited traffic, from assisting agencies, being heard on "Airport" (32880).

Regards,

Billy Mason, KD5KNR

Bedford, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28972. RE: [dfwscan] Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-11 13:27:23 UTC
From: David Perez
Topic: 28964 Message: 28972

Yep, I was told yesterday it was a GPS antenna. I couldn't tell from a distance. The antenna looked just like the other "phantom" antennas they have.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- On Wed, 9/10/08, Terry <terry@...> wrote:
From: Terry <terry@...>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)
To: "'KC5RHB'" <kc5rhb@...>, dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, September 10, 2008, 9:43 PM

Sure it's not a GPS antenna for the AVL?

Terry

-----Original Message-----

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of

KC5RHB

Sent: Wednesday, September 10, 2008 5:15 PM

To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com

Subject: [dfwscan] Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)

Hello Group,

Been seeing a lot of Ft Worth PD units now with a 3rd 800Mhz (phantom)

antenna on the units. It's up by the back window. Of course the

first two are the radio and the MDC, and the VHF audio/video antenna

on the side of some units. Any ideas what the 3rd 800 antenna is for,

(radio, type, etc)?

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocitie s.com/kc5rhb/ weather

------------ --------- --------- ------

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:

http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28973. RE: [dfwscan] Medical Evacuee Operations

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-11 14:35:46 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28971 Message: 28973

Hi Bill & List,

It looked like the C-130 aircraft on the news this morning that are flying back and forth between DFW Airport and Corpus Christi transporting medical evacuees were the Texas Air National Guard (ROPER ## callsign...181st Airlift Squadron/136th Air Wing) aircraft from Navy Fort Worth. And listening to their TG (9760) on the Navy TRS, it sounds like it's them too.

There seem to be a lot of hurricane evacuation aircraft coming back again too if anyone cares to listen to the Navy Tower, Ground, and Regional Approach/Departure frequencies...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2008 5:57 AM
To: DFW Scan
Subject: [dfwscan] Medical Evacuee Operations

It appears from listening to the NE Tarrant TRS, that Medical Evacuee Operations are underway at DFW. Limited traffic, from assisting agencies, being heard on "Airport" (32880).

Regards,

Billy Mason, KD5KNR

Bedford, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28974. RE: [dfwscan] Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-11 16:46:03 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 28964 Message: 28974

Could it be a wireless antenna of some sort? Most GPS antennas and receivers are very small and look similar to the satellite radio antennas you see on modern cars. Some local cities are implementing wireless -- do a search for MOTOMESH. I was 'monitoring' some WiFi stuff driving to work the other morning and saw several MotoMesh sites as well as what appeared to be other non-civilian wireless sites.

---------- Original message from David Perez <kc5rhb@...>: ----------

Yep, I was told yesterday it was a GPS antenna. I couldn't tell from a
> distance. The antenna looked just like the other "phantom" antennas they have.
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28975. Re: New member

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-12 00:50:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28969 Message: 28975

Glenn Blum wrote:

> I am down in Bell County. I joined since I belong to a few radio groups in the texas area. I figured that it is good to keep up with the radio activity in the DFW area.

Greetings, Glenn.

The motley crew welcomes you aboard matey!

Get ready for a wild ride ....:)

BB

28976. Forest Hill PD on system 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-12 12:45:12 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 28976 Message: 28976

If anyone is looking for Forest Hill PD, they're on system 2 or the "local government" system in Fort Worth today. I'm not sure if it's permanent. TG is still 21232. Normally they are on the "public safety system 1".

Ben

28977. RE: [dfwscan] Forest Hill PD on system 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-12 13:44:24 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28976 Message: 28977

At 3:30 AM they were on PD 1 (TG 21164) on System 1. They were not using 21232 (their dispatch) it appeared but were using PD 1 as their dispatch.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of groups@...
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 7:45 AM
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] Forest Hill PD on system 2

If anyone is looking for Forest Hill PD, they're on system 2 or the "local government" system in Fort Worth today. I'm not sure if it's permanent. TG is still 21232. Normally they are on the "public safety system 1".

Ben

28978. Another 8983 kHz HF USCG Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-12 13:50:28 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28978 Message: 28978

Once again, if you have HF capability, the US Coast Guard is involved in a rescue operation in the Gulf of Mexico. Traffic can be heard on 5983 kHz USB. I saw on Fox 4 a little while ago it is a disabled ship in the path of Ike.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28979. RE: [dfwscan] Another 8983 kHz HF USCG Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-12 17:53:56 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28978 Message: 28979

Ooops...I accidently sent the following to myself instead of the group (forgot "Reply All" at 11:54 AM:

****

Rescue 2120 is on scene with the vessel carrying 22 people in the Gulf of Mexico and being rescued (or attempted to be rescued) at this time. Winds are 70-80 knots. Rescue 2001 is en route back to scene from home station Miami.

Current vessel position is:

2742.8 N
09344.6 W

5 USAF rescue aircraft are en route to scene to assist but have a time restriction on how long they can assist. The USAF a/c will contact USCG 2120 on 123 AM (probably 123.1) and 243.0 AM. "345 HF" also given, but this makes no sense to me. 2 VC-22 Osprey and 2 HC-130 aerial refueling aircraft are part of the assets being sent. Conditions are deteriorating and the vessel is dropping according to on scene rescue helicopter.

I am hearing the communications between the USCH rescue aircraft and Communications Area Master Station Atlantic (CAMSLANT) Chesapeake better than they can they hear each other many times.

****

Here's a current update. The ship was not sinking fast I believe. It was the barometric pressure most likely. This ship is also flying a Liberian flag.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 8:50 AM
To: 'DFW Scan'
Subject: [dfwscan] Another 8983 kHz HF USCG Rescue

Once again, if you have HF capability, the US Coast Guard is involved in a rescue operation in the Gulf of Mexico. Traffic can be heard on 5983 kHz USB. I saw on Fox 4 a little while ago it is a disabled ship in the path of Ike.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28980. Re: Another 8983 kHz HF USCG Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-12 18:58:44 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28978 Message: 28980

commscan wrote:

> Rescue 2120 is on scene with the vessel carrying 22 people in the Gulf of
> Mexico and being rescued (or attempted to be rescued) at this time. Winds
> are 70-80 knots. Rescue 2001 is en route back to scene from home station
> Miami.

Brian -

Do you have the means to stream your HF radio on
Shoutcast, Icecast, or Teamspeak?

Blaine

28981. shelters/Arlington PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-12 20:07:52 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 28981 Message: 28981

Hearing this on the TG EOC/12272 on the Fort Worth system. "Hub" is saying there's a backup of buses as Arlington PD runs background checks before accepting/forwarding people from "Hub" in FW to Arlington shelters.

Also on that system, tg EOC/12240 is also active, and 53744/FD8 on the Arlington system as well.

28982. CNN Feed from Galveston

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-12 20:11:13 UTC
From: Tim Johnson
Topic: 28965 Message: 28982

Check this out. Got this from the #monitor chat. Someone is streaming a CNN downlink.

http://www.ustream.tv/channel/texas-news-coverage-hurricane-ike

28983. Walmart

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 00:18:56 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28983 Message: 28983

Can anyone in Sanger confirm PL or DCS for
the distribution center?

461.075 repeater
463.225 repeater
462.225 direct

Thanks.

Blaine

28984. RE: [dfwscan] Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 01:44:29 UTC
From: Cross, Robert
Topic: 28964 Message: 28984

That it is...

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com on behalf of Terry
Sent: Wed 9/10/2008 9:43 PM
To: 'KC5RHB'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)

Sure it's not a GPS antenna for the AVL?

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
KC5RHB
Sent: Wednesday, September 10, 2008 5:15 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Ft Worth PD Patrol Unit, 3rd 800Mhz Antenna (Question)

Hello Group,

Been seeing a lot of Ft Worth PD units now with a 3rd 800Mhz (phantom)
antenna on the units. It's up by the back window. Of course the
first two are the radio and the MDC, and the VHF audio/video antenna
on the side of some units. Any ideas what the 3rd 800 antenna is for,
(radio, type, etc)?

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather <http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo> ! Groups Links

28985. RE: Another 8983 kHz HF USCG Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 02:16:06 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28978 Message: 28985

Hi Blaine & Group,

I'm sure I can do it but never have. I really never tried I am not sure what to do. I've just recently got HF back up and running again due to the help of some of our list members helping me get an antenna back up and working.

It's something I'd consider doing but have yet to do.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:bbrooks68@...]
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 1:59 PM
To: commscan; DFWSCAN
Subject: Re: Another 8983 kHz HF USCG Rescue

commscan wrote:

> Rescue 2120 is on scene with the vessel carrying 22 people in the Gulf of
> Mexico and being rescued (or attempted to be rescued) at this time. Winds
> are 70-80 knots. Rescue 2001 is en route back to scene from home station
> Miami.

Brian -

Do you have the means to stream your HF radio on
Shoutcast, Icecast, or Teamspeak?

Blaine

28986. Great Amateur Radio VoIP/Echo Link Traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 02:26:47 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28986 Message: 28986

Hi Group,

I'm hearing the Texas Emergency Net (Echo Link I believe) relay from SE Texas on 442.975 with no PL. I'm hearing Houston EMO, Orange County, Galveston Co., etc. It appears Galveston (city) has lost power at this time.

Another VoIP net is on 145.27, but it is slow compared to the net on 442.975. It's becoming interesting from the sound of it. Tons of traffic is being carried on the net too.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28987. MVA Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 02:45:06 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 28329 Message: 28987

East Bound I-30 on the bridge between Garland and Rockwall Semi Truck,Burning. Garland,Rockwall and Rowlett Fire on scene,both West&East bound traffic shutdown. Having to use tanker trucks for water.

Mike in Garland

28988. Re: Great Amateur Radio VoIP/Echo Link Traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 03:08:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28986 Message: 28988

commscan wrote:

> I'm hearing the Texas Emergency Net (Echo Link I believe) relay from SE
> Texas on 442.975 with no PL. I'm hearing Houston EMO, Orange County,
> Galveston Co., etc. It appears Galveston (city) has lost power at this
> time.

> Another VoIP net is on 145.27

I'm getting the best signal here in Lewisville on
the 444.675 repeater (PL 110.9). 442.975 is
just too scratchy. However, 145.270 is pretty
strong. Good listening.

Looking at the Houston radar on GRLevel3. Pretty
impressive. Especially the wind velocity around the
eye wall.

Blaine

28989. [dfwscan] Re: Great Amateur Radio VoIP/Echo Link Traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 03:42:12 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 28986 Message: 28989

FYI to the Group....
Anyone near Rowlett and interested, I am also rebroadcasting this
weather net over my Rowlett II amateur radio repeater on 441.950 Mhz,
for the next day or so. At this time activity is sparse.
Happy Listening!
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the
Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Great Amateur Radio VoIP/Echo Link Traffic
> From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>
> Date: Fri, September 12, 2008 10:08 pm
> To: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>, DFWSCAN
> <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> commscan wrote:
> > I'm hearing the Texas Emergency Net (Echo Link I believe) relay from SE
> > Texas on 442.975 with no PL. I'm hearing Houston EMO, Orange County,
> > Galveston Co., etc. It appears Galveston (city) has lost power at this
> > time.

> > Another VoIP net is on 145.27

> I'm getting the best signal here in Lewisville on
> the 444.675 repeater (PL 110.9). 442.975 is
> just too scratchy. However, 145.270 is pretty
> strong. Good listening.
> Looking at the Houston radar on GRLevel3. Pretty
> impressive. Especially the wind velocity around the
> eye wall.
> Blaine
>

28990. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Great Amateur Radio VoIP/Echo Link Traffic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 03:59:36 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28986 Message: 28990

I'm now only hearing the traffic from operators up this way I believe. I am hearing a simulcast of the 442.975 transmission on 440.625 with a 110.9 PL. I'm also hearing the Texas emergency Net's Health & Welfare traffic on 3935 kHz LSB too. It is actually clean tonight. The 3 MHz band is usually not one I like listening to due to noise. The daytime net frequency given will be 7290 kHz

I don't have a good understanding (technically) of just how these 2 meter/440 nets work, but I was receiving the Houston, Galveston, etc side traffic about an hour ago. Now I believe it's just N. Texas side operators (i.e. Denison, NWS Fort Worth, etc).

I noticed the net's name has changed. It use to be the Texas Priority & Emergency Net. Personally, I like the simple Texas Emergency Net title better :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX
-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 10:09 PM
To: commscan; DFWSCAN
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Great Amateur Radio VoIP/Echo Link Traffic

commscan wrote:

> I'm hearing the Texas Emergency Net (Echo Link I believe) relay from SE
> Texas on 442.975 with no PL. I'm hearing Houston EMO, Orange County,
> Galveston Co., etc. It appears Galveston (city) has lost power at this
> time.

> Another VoIP net is on 145.27

I'm getting the best signal here in Lewisville on the 444.675 repeater (PL 110.9). 442.975 is just too scratchy. However, 145.270 is pretty strong. Good listening.

Looking at the Houston radar on GRLevel3. Pretty impressive. Especially the wind velocity around the eye wall.

Blaine

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

28991. Houston/Galveston Hurricane Net

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 05:39:46 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28991 Message: 28991

Hi Group,

This net has been a good one in terms of information. 443.45/PL 127.3 is the frequency and tone. It may have more meaning to me than many of you because I'm from this area and am very familiar with locations being given. I've heard the Fort Worth NWS come up a few times, but for the most part, this VoIP het has been dealing with Houston and the conditions that are occurring. It appears a lot of power is starting to get lost. I heard one person on the net who was about 4 miles from where my father and mother are right now (north of Houston about 25 miles). The operator lost power as I was talking to my dad, and sure enough, the power started flickering off and on at the house he has down there.

147.000 was given as the TRANSTAR (Houston's big transportation, etc agency) repeater. 443.45 is being called the Houston/Galveston Area Hurricane Net and SKYWARN too. This may be a rebroadcast of the 146.84 (I think) SKYWARN repeater in Harris County. I've been hearing a lot of Harris County EOC's here and not as much Galveston County stuff over the last hour. Either way, it's traffic dealing with what's going on down there and not up here.

Also, here's a link I had to find on an older PC. I've always liked this one because you can download the radar loops and play them with Windows Media Player (or whatever) if you want o.

http://www.goes.noaa.gov/

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28992. ARC396 Pro Software Question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-13 23:48:50 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 28992 Message: 28992

Hi Group,

I've been using an old version of Butel's ARC396 Pro program for a few years. I finally updated it to version 2.2 about a week ago. The first few days after my update, everything seemed to be working the same as the older version and just fine. But for a few days now, after clicking the "x" to close the program, I have noticed that the newer version has stopped asking me if I want to save the files/changes to files before closing the program. It asked me for a few days after the installation of the updated version but does not ask anymore. It just closes.

I've searched high and low for any setting that might play a part in this happening and cannot find any reason for it. Does anyone else know why this may be happening or has it happened to any of you using the ARC396 or ARC996 programs?

Thanks for any help.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28993. 75 Meter HF emergency operations

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-14 01:45:29 UTC
From: w_r_adams1
Topic: 28993 Message: 28993

Currently monitoring 3873 khz LSB Texas Emergency Net, W5SEG net control requests emergency, priority and logistical traffic from served agencies and stands by for response.

On 3935 N5FJis net control taking health and welfare traffic and checkins.

Good signals into Mansfield, but noise level makes long term monitoring tiring.

Bill

28994. Re: Another 8983 kHz HF USCG Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-15 03:12:28 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28978 Message: 28994

commscan wrote:

> I'm sure I can do it but never have. I really never tried I am not sure
> what to do.

There's a good tutorial on the Shoutcast home page,
as well as Teamspeak. Icecast is another popular one.
You might want to private email Matt Stone, and ask his
advice on setting it up.

It's just a matter of setting up some extra audio cables
into your sound card and configuring some IP stuff.

It'd be GREAT having an online scanner from out your
way (to possibly monitor NAS trunked system &
milcom) and/or HF!

Blaine

28995. Weekly FCC grants September 07-13

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-15 09:40:03 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 28995 Message: 28995

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

28996. RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants September 07-13/Johnson County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-15 11:51:21 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 28995 Message: 28996

I wonder how or if these will fit into the LTR system down there?
Ben

PW WQJH550
JOHNSON COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY AUTHORITY
[County government providing countywide fire protection]
Control Point 1 - 114 W. WARDVILLE, CLEBURNE TX 817-450-0964
(link)
<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3042358>
09/11/2008 License Issued
1 - 1700 ISLAND GROVE ROAD, CLEBURNE (JOHNSON) TX (map)
<http://maps.google.com/maps?q=32.328972,-97.3651944>
154.145 FB2 188e 11K2F3E
154.4225 FB2 188e 11K2F3E
2 - 40.0 km radius around fixed location 1
154.145 MO 40e 11K2F3E
159.195 MO 40e 11K2F3E
154.4225 MO 40e 11K2F3E
159.1425 MO 40e 11K2F3E
3 - (JOHNSON) TX Land Mobile Control Station Meeting 20 Rule
159.195 FX1 40e 11K2F3E
159.1425 FX1 40e 11K2F3E

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28997. Champion EMS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-16 12:14:52 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 5511 Message: 28997

Anyone how Champion EMS is dispatched for Van Zandt, Rains and Wood counties? Do they have their own radio or do they get dispatched off FD?

Thanks

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28998. RE: [dfwscan] Champion EMS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-16 12:16:22 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 5511 Message: 28998

I believe their dispatch is in Tyler, they are also a part of Flight for Life, based at Trinity Mother Francis Hospital in that town.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 7:15
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Champion EMS

Anyone how Champion EMS is dispatched for Van Zandt, Rains and Wood counties? Do they have their own radio or do they get dispatched off FD?

Thanks

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

28999. Re: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3933

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-16 14:23:55 UTC
From: Brad Low
Topic: 28999 Message: 28999

Champion EMS uses the Teletouch LTR in this area (Tyler). Their talkgroup is 078 on all sites although not linked.

Brad, K5BDL
Jacksonville, TX
Northeast Texas Area Radio Enthusiasts (NETARE)
http://k5bdl.com/netare/

----- Original Message ----
From: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 9:18:41 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3933

Scanner Radio Discussion for DFW, TX
Messages In This Digest (2 Messages)
1a.
Champion EMS From: Terry
1b.
Re: Champion EMS From: Renois, Daniel View All Topics | Create New Topic
Messages
1a.
Champion EMS
Posted by: "Terry" terry@tvssr.com   nightnewsfox
Tue Sep 16, 2008 5:13 am (PDT)

Anyone how Champion EMS is dispatched for Van Zandt, Rains and Wood counties? Do they have their own radio or do they get dispatched off FD?

Thanks

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Back to top Reply to sender | Reply to group | Reply via web post
Messages in this topic (6)
1b.
Re: Champion EMS
Posted by: "Renois, Daniel" drenois@...
Tue Sep 16, 2008 5:16 am (PDT)
I believe their dispatch is in Tyler, they are also a part of Flight for Life, based at Trinity Mother Francis Hospital in that town.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@careflite. org

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

---------- --------- --------- --

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf
Of Terry
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 7:15
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Champion EMS

Anyone how Champion EMS is dispatched for Van Zandt, Rains and Wood
counties? Do they have their own radio or do they get dispatched off FD?

Thanks

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Back to top Reply to sender | Reply to group | Reply via web post
Messages in this topic (6)
Recent Activity
* 4
New MembersVisit Your Group
Share Photos
Put your favorite
photos and
more online.
Cat Zone
on Yahoo! Groups
Join a Group
all about cats.
Learn to live
a full life with these
healthy living
groups on Yahoo!
Need to Reply?
Click one of the "Reply" links to respond to a specific message in the Daily Digest.
Create New Topic | Visit Your Group on the Web
Messages | Files | Photos | Links | Database | Polls | Members | Calendar
For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Change settings via the Web (Yahoo! ID required)
Change settings via email: Switch delivery to Individual | Switch format to Traditional
Visit Your Group | Yahoo! Groups Terms of Use | Unsubscribe

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29000. Champion EMS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-16 15:47:46 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 5511 Message: 29000

Champion EMS operates on 8 (Teletouch)LTR Systems,located in Morris Co.,Franklin Co.,Wood Co.,Rush Co.,Gregg Co.,Smith Co.,Harrision Co.,and Cherokee Co.

Mike in Garland

29001. RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3933

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-16 22:43:41 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 28999 Message: 29001

Thanks for all the replies! Does Flight for Life show up here too?

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brad Low
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 9:24 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3933

Champion EMS uses the Teletouch LTR in this area (Tyler). Their talkgroup is 078 on all sites although not linked.

Brad, K5BDL
Jacksonville, TX
Northeast Texas Area Radio Enthusiasts (NETARE)
http://k5bdl.com/netare/

----- Original Message ----
From: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 9:18:41 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3933

Scanner Radio Discussion for DFW, TX
Messages In This Digest (2 Messages)
1a.
Champion EMS From: Terry
1b.
Re: Champion EMS From: Renois, Daniel View All Topics | Create New Topic
Messages
1a.
Champion EMS
Posted by: "Terry" terry@tvssr.com   nightnewsfox
Tue Sep 16, 2008 5:13 am (PDT)

Anyone how Champion EMS is dispatched for Van Zandt, Rains and Wood counties? Do they have their own radio or do they get dispatched off FD?

Thanks

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Back to top Reply to sender | Reply to group | Reply via web post
Messages in this topic (6)

1b.
Re: Champion EMS
Posted by: "Renois, Daniel" drenois@...
Tue Sep 16, 2008 5:16 am (PDT)
I believe their dispatch is in Tyler, they are also a part of Flight for Life, based at Trinity Mother Francis Hospital in that town.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@careflite. org

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

---------- --------- --------- --

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 7:15
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Champion EMS

Anyone how Champion EMS is dispatched for Van Zandt, Rains and Wood counties? Do they have their own radio or do they get dispatched off FD?

Thanks

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Back to top Reply to sender | Reply to group | Reply via web post
Messages in this topic (6)
Recent Activity
* 4
New MembersVisit Your Group
Share Photos
Put your favorite
photos and
more online.
Cat Zone

on Yahoo! Groups
Join a Group
all about cats.
Learn to live
a full life with these
healthy living
groups on Yahoo!
Need to Reply?
Click one of the "Reply" links to respond to a specific message in the Daily Digest.
Create New Topic | Visit Your Group on the Web
Messages | Files | Photos | Links | Database | Polls | Members | Calendar
For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Change settings via the Web (Yahoo! ID required)
Change settings via email: Switch delivery to Individual | Switch format to Traditional
Visit Your Group | Yahoo! Groups Terms of Use | Unsubscribe

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29002. Re: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3933

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-17 14:18:38 UTC
From: Brad Low
Topic: 28999 Message: 29002

I believe that Mother Frances Flight for Life also uses the 078 talk group ID.

Brad, K5BDL
Jacksonville, TX
NETARE scanning
http://k5bdl.com/netare/

----- Original Message ----
From: Terry <terry@...>
To: Brad Low <n5zis@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 5:43:41 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3933

Thanks for all the replies! Does Flight for Life show up here too?

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Brad Low
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 9:24 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3933

Champion EMS uses the Teletouch LTR in this area (Tyler). Their talkgroup
is 078 on all sites although not linked.

Brad, K5BDL
Jacksonville, TX
Northeast Texas Area Radio Enthusiasts (NETARE)
http://k5bdl.com/netare/

----- Original Message ----
From: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 9:18:41 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Digest Number 3933

Scanner Radio Discussion for DFW, TX
Messages In This Digest (2 Messages)
1a.
Champion EMS From: Terry
1b.
Re: Champion EMS From: Renois, Daniel View All Topics | Create New Topic
Messages
1a.
Champion EMS

Posted by: "Terry" terry@... nightnewsfox
Tue Sep 16, 2008 5:13 am (PDT)

Anyone how Champion EMS is dispatched for Van Zandt, Rains and Wood counties? Do they have their own radio or do they get dispatched off FD?

Thanks

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Back to top Reply to sender | Reply to group | Reply via web post
Messages in this topic (6)
1b.
Re: Champion EMS
Posted by: "Renois, Daniel" drenois@...
Tue Sep 16, 2008 5:16 am (PDT)
I believe their dispatch is in Tyler, they are also a part of Flight for Life, based at Trinity Mother Francis Hospital in that town.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@careflite. org

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

---------- --------- --------- --

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 7:15
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Champion EMS

Anyone how Champion EMS is dispatched for Van Zandt, Rains and Wood counties? Do they have their own radio or do they get dispatched off FD?

Thanks

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Back to top Reply to sender | Reply to group | Reply via web post
Messages in this topic (6)
Recent Activity
    * 4
New MembersVisit Your Group
Share Photos
Put your favorite
photos and
more online.
Cat Zone
on Yahoo! Groups
Join a Group
all about cats.
Learn to live
a full life with these
healthy living
groups on Yahoo!
Need to Reply?
Click one of the "Reply" links to respond to a specific message in the Daily
Digest.
Create New Topic | Visit Your Group on the Web
Messages | Files | Photos | Links | Database | Polls | Members | Calendar
For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Change settings via the Web (Yahoo! ID required)
Change settings via email: Switch delivery to Individual | Switch format to
Traditional
Visit Your Group | Yahoo! Groups Terms of Use | Unsubscribe

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29003. new portable tower for Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-17 22:38:11 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29003 Message: 29003

From city council agenda:

COUNCIL ACTION: Approved on 9/16/2008

DATE: 9/16/2008 REFERENCE NO.: **P-10842 LOG NAME: 13P08-0218
CODE: P TYPE: CONSENT PUBLIC HEARING: NO
SUBJECT: Authorize the Purchase of a Portable Radio Tower on Wheels from Motorola, Inc., Using a Houston-Galveston Area Council Cooperative Contract for the Information Technology Solutions Department for a Total Amount Not to Exceed $97,475.00

RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended that the City Council authorize the purchase of a portable radio tower on wheels from Motorola Inc., using a Houston-Galveston Area Council Cooperative Contract for the Information Technology Solutions Department, for a total amount not to exceed $97,475.00 with payment due 30 days of receipt of invoice.

DISCUSSION:
The Information Technology Solutions (ITS) Department will deploy this two-way communications portable radio tower with existing communications site equipment or as part of an emergency stand-alone communication system. In the event of a disaster or crisis, the portable radio tower will allow ITS to quickly restore an outage of radio communications services. Additionally, the unit will enable the Radio Services Division to connect to the existing mobile emergency communications trailer, which allows the Public Safety Radio Communications to be functional.

The City received a State of Texas Homeland Security Program Grant in 2006, (M&C G-15465) to enhance its capability to respond to and recover from disasters. The purchase of the portable radio tower will help ensure radio communications during disasters. The City will use Houston-Galveston Area Council (HGAC) Contract No. RA01-08 to purchase the unit.

State Law provides that a local government entity purchasing an item under a cooperative purchasing agreement satisfies state laws requiring that the local government entity seek competitive bids for purchase of the item. HGAC contracts have been competitively bid to increase and simplify the purchasing power of local government entities across the State of Texas.

FISCAL INFORMATION/CERTIFICATION:
The Finance Director certifies that funds are available in the current capital budget, as appropriated, of the Grants Fund.

BQN\08-0218\AMG
TO Fund/Account/Centers
FROM Fund/Account/Centers
GR76 541370 002442172140 $97,475.00

http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=10193&councildate=9/16/2008

29004. RE: [dfwscan] radio system assessment for Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-17 22:40:36 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29003 Message: 29004

Also from the same meeting:

COUNCIL ACTION: Approved on 9/16/2008

DATE:

9/16/2008

REFERENCE NO.:

C-23055

LOG NAME:

35RADIOFY2009

CODE:

C

TYPE:

NON-CONSENT

PUBLIC HEARING:

NO

SUBJECT:

Authorize Execution of a Professional Services Agreement with Buford Goff and Associates, Inc., in an Amount Not to Exceed $293,200.00 to Perform an Assessment of the Public Safety Radio System

---

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council authorize the City Manager to execute a professional services agreement with Buford Goff and Associates, Inc., in an amount not to exceed $293,200.00 to perform an assessment of the public safety radio system.

DISCUSSION:

Many of the major components of the public safety radio system were purchased in 1991 and have since reached or are about to reach their end of vendor (Motorola) support.

To assess the reliability of the radio system, determine end of life of individual components and the entire system, and devise a strategic replacement plan, staff sought an independent consulting firm with experience conducting this type of assessment. Buford Goff and Associates, Inc. (BGI), has provided these services for other governmental entities and is highly recommended by those entities. Motorola has worked with this firm and also recommended its expertise for this type of assessment.

MWBE - BGI is complying with the City's MWBE Ordinance by committing to 15 percent MWBE participation. The City's goal on this project is 15 percent.

FISCAL INFORMATION/CERTIFICATION:

The Finance Director certifies that that funds are available in the current operating budget of the General Fund. No funds were budgeted for this purpose; however the department has savings to offset these expenditures.

TO Fund/Account/Centers

FROM Fund/Account/Centers

GG01 531200 0354200

$293,200.00

http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=10339 <http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=10339&councilda te=9/16/2008> &councildate=9/16/2008

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29005. Rockwall on Backup

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-18 01:21:53 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29005 Message: 29005

I was listening here and there but here is what I was hearing. The fire guys were keying up but there was no audio and they were telling all fireground units to go to backup R. I think she said back up R was Rockwall PD. Then she told them there was 2 back up R's. Not sure what was going on with there system don't even know if I heard pd.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29006. Re: new portable tower for Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-18 04:22:23 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29003 Message: 29006

I believe Amateur Radio Operators have been doing this for many, many, many, decades, its called "Field Day". And the city government is just now catching on to this? You would think after the March 2000 tornado, when the Public Safety radio system jammed up, someone in the city government would of said, hmmmm.

It might take more than one to cover the whole city on 800mhz though. I believe its restricted on wattage. Well, I guess better late than never.

73

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> From city council agenda:
>
>
>
> COUNCIL ACTION: Approved on 9/16/2008
>
>

> ----
>
> DATE: 9/16/2008 REFERENCE NO.: **P-10842 LOG NAME: 13P08-0218
> CODE: P TYPE: CONSENT PUBLIC HEARING: NO
> SUBJECT: Authorize the Purchase of a Portable Radio Tower on
Wheels from
> Motorola, Inc., Using a Houston-Galveston Area Council Cooperative
Contract
> for the Information Technology Solutions Department for a Total
Amount Not
> to Exceed $97,475.00
>
>

> ----
>
> RECOMMENDATION:
> It is recommended that the City Council authorize the purchase of a
portable
> radio tower on wheels from Motorola Inc., using a Houston-Galveston Area

> Council Cooperative Contract for the Information Technology Solutions
> Department, for a total amount not to exceed $97,475.00 with payment
due 30
> days of receipt of invoice.
>
> DISCUSSION:
> The Information Technology Solutions (ITS) Department will deploy this
> two-way communications portable radio tower with existing communications
> site equipment or as part of an emergency stand-alone communication
system.
> In the event of a disaster or crisis, the portable radio tower will
allow
> ITS to quickly restore an outage of radio communications services.
> Additionally, the unit will enable the Radio Services Division to
connect to
> the existing mobile emergency communications trailer, which allows the
> Public Safety Radio Communications to be functional.
>
> The City received a State of Texas Homeland Security Program Grant
in 2006,
> (M&C G-15465) to enhance its capability to respond to and recover from
> disasters. The purchase of the portable radio tower will help ensure
radio
> communications during disasters. The City will use Houston-Galveston
Area
> Council (HGAC) Contract No. RA01-08 to purchase the unit.
>
> State Law provides that a local government entity purchasing an item
under a
> cooperative purchasing agreement satisfies state laws requiring that the
> local government entity seek competitive bids for purchase of the
item. HGAC
> contracts have been competitively bid to increase and simplify the
> purchasing power of local government entities across the State of Texas.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> FISCAL INFORMATION/CERTIFICATION:
> The Finance Director certifies that funds are available in the current
> capital budget, as appropriated, of the Grants Fund.
>
>
> BQN\08-0218\AMG
> TO Fund/Account/Centers
> FROM Fund/Account/Centers
> GR76 541370 002442172140 $97,475.00

>
>
>
http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=10193&councildat
> e=9/16/2008
>

29007. 867.0125

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-19 20:52:43 UTC
From: Bobbie Beers
Topic: 3885 Message: 29007

Hi All,

Just had voices on 867.0125 Texas Interagency frequency/National Tac 2. Seemed to be a radio check, and sign off for the weekend. That's the first time I've heard anything on those frequencies. I have no idea where they were, but the signal was clear and strong.

Has anyone else heard that band anything on that bad before?

Regards,
Bobbie, KB5RPI

29008. Re: 867.0125

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-19 21:22:36 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 3885 Message: 29008

There has been a lot of state traffic going on NPSPAC (since IKE).
867.0125, which used to be NPSPAC 3, and is now 8TAC92 (Tactical 2)
can be use for who knows what. Ive heard traffic on there from the
state trucks convoying stuff down the freeway, law enforcement, fire,
to some agencies training on there. Ive been hearing a lot of state
traffic on NPSPAC 1 (866.0125) which is now 8CALL90 (Calling Channel).

So, there is no telling what, or who you will hear on NPSPAC,
especialy when certain PD's go "direct" on there for close call
communications at certain retail stores.

Happy Scanning

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bobbie Beers <bobbieb1tx@...> wrote:
>
> Hi All,
>
> Just had voices on 867.0125 Texas Interagency frequency/National Tac 2.
> Seemed to be a radio check, and sign off for the weekend. That's the
> first time I've heard anything on those frequencies. I have no idea
> where they were, but the signal was clear and strong.
>
> Has anyone else heard that band anything on that bad before?
>
> Regards,
> Bobbie, KB5RPI
>

29009. Re: 867.0125

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-20 18:37:01 UTC
From: Bobbie Beers
Topic: 3885 Message: 29009

Hi David,

You might know...I've had those frequencies programmed in for months, but haven't been listening to much lately. Too much going on here. Being unable to put up an outside antenna is another hindrance. I was surprised to hear anything at all. It must have been close by. My range is rather limited. I hear better toward the west, but not east because of a hill behind our place.

Regards,
Bobbie, KB5RPI

> There has been a lot of state traffic going on NPSPAC (since IKE).
> 867.0125, which used to be NPSPAC 3, and is now 8TAC92 (Tactical 2)
> can be use for who knows what. Ive heard traffic on there from the
> state trucks convoying stuff down the freeway, law enforcement, fire,
> to some agencies training on there. Ive been hearing a lot of state
> traffic on NPSPAC 1 (866.0125) which is now 8CALL90 (Calling Channel).
>
> So, there is no telling what, or who you will hear on NPSPAC,
> especialy when certain PD's go "direct" on there for close call
> communications at certain retail stores.
>
> Happy Scanning
>
> David, KC5RHB

29010. Rechargeable batteries and computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-21 02:16:43 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29010 Message: 29010

I was told by a knowledgeable source about a circuit that can be built at home for a great AA battery reconditioner. It is contained in a video on YouTube under the name "Bedini ".

However I have a tough time getting it to load. The video will load for about 50 seconds and then playback only 3 seconds before it goes back to loading for 50 more seconds.

What is causing this slow loading and is there anything I can do to speed it up?

Thanks, Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29011. Re: 867.0125

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-21 04:19:18 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 3885 Message: 29011

I don't know exactly where you live, but last time I looked at the NPSPAC map I believe Fort Worth has 3 repeater locations that provide different functions. I believe the one west of downtown Fort Worth is the Primary Calling station. There are also repeater locations in HEB and Mansfield, and I don't know if they are turned on or not.

The traffic you are hearing really depends if the units talking are running on the repeater or are in direct mode (simplex). And if they are running on the repeater, it also depends if they are using a HT or mobile. I've heard sometimes the HT's don't talk too well to NPSPAC in repeater mode, depends I guess where you are.

When I'm mobile I always have the NPSPAC channels in scan, and today I was over by the Cityview area (SW FW) and was hearing some FW ZT (CRT) units doing some "radio traffic" on NPSPAC 1 in direct mode. One officer really couldn't hear the other officer too well, so they switched back over to a talk channel on the TRS. But I could only hear one officer talking and couldn't hear the other one.

So you could have been hearing someone either on repeater mode or direct mode, it all depends. But I really don't hear that much repeater traffic on there when I'm mobile, but if I'm close enough, I'll catch some direct traffic somewhere.

I don't know when exactly the rebanding will happen for NPSPAC, no telling, but when they reband the NPSPAC frequencies will change, and will probably go digital. For more info on NPSPAC see this link: http://www.nctcog.org/cs/radio/pdf/NPSPACPlanRev2_11302007.pdf

But like I said before, I always have NPSPAC running, you never know what you might hear.

73,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bobbie Beers <bobbieb1tx@...> wrote:
>
> Hi David,
>
> You might know...I've had those frequencies programmed in for months,
> but haven't been listening to much lately. Too much going on here.
Being
> unable to put up an outside antenna is another hindrance. I was
> surprised to hear anything at all. It must have been close by. My range

> is rather limited. I hear better toward the west, but not east because
> of a hill behind our place.
>
> Regards,
> Bobbie, KB5RPI
>
>
>
>
> > There has been a lot of state traffic going on NPSPAC (since IKE).
> > 867.0125, which used to be NPSPAC 3, and is now 8TAC92 (Tactical 2)
> > can be use for who knows what. Ive heard traffic on there from the
> > state trucks convoying stuff down the freeway, law enforcement, fire,
> > to some agencies training on there. Ive been hearing a lot of state
> > traffic on NPSPAC 1 (866.0125) which is now 8CALL90 (Calling Channel).
> >
> > So, there is no telling what, or who you will hear on NPSPAC,
> > especialy when certain PD's go "direct" on there for close call
> > communications at certain retail stores.
> >
> > Happy Scanning
> >
> > David, KC5RHB
>

29012. Weekly FCC grants September 14-20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-21 13:25:31 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29012 Message: 29012

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29013. Garland Hazmat

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-21 17:23:28 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 29013 Message: 29013

3100 block Wood Street.Hazmat Level 2.Tanker truck smoking.Garland Bat.1.Bat.2,EMS 1,Eng.2,Eng.3,Eng.8,Eng.9,Truck 4,Dallas HMO3,Eng.3,MAO5,RE03,CHO3,810.Working on Garland Ops.1(656)

Mike in Garland

29014. Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 01:45:42 UTC
From: crowemag55
Topic: 29014 Message: 29014

Here's a question for the masses:

I haven't flown commercially since before 9/11.

Do YOU take your hand-helds with you when you fly these days?
Do you carry it in your laptop bag, or check it through if you do?

I can see where some over exuberant TSA officer might get the wrong idea about why you would have such a thing in an airport or on a plane...

I'd SOOOO rather be traveling on the Goldwing! Enjoy the flying part, but don't lood forward to the airport CRAP!

Thanks in advance....

John

29015. PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 02:01:09 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 29015 Message: 29015

I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge than I do and would like to here from you.

I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.

Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.

Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?

Thank you in advance.

Don Newman

29016. Re: Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 02:41:33 UTC
From: David Dusse
Topic: 29014 Message: 29016

John - I travel often and my handheld scanner (BC250D) is always along with me for the ride. I almost always pack it in my carry on bag because I don't feel comfortable having it in my checked bag out of my sight. However, I have never run into a problem with TSA, and in fact, I've never once had to even take it out of the bag to show them what it is. The only minor issue I've run into a couple of times is the telescoping antenna I take along with it. On a rare occasion when it is packed at just the right angle, it has looked a bit like a sharp object when TSA views it on the X-ray monitor. But after a quick look in the bag, all is good and I'm on my merry ol' way for my usually delayed flight.

So you shouldn't have any problems getting through. And if you do, I'll come visit you in Club Gitmo next time I'm down in Cuba... ;-)

Dave

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "crowemag55" <crowemag55@...> wrote:
>
> Here's a question for the masses:
>
> I haven't flown commercially since before 9/11.
>
> Do YOU take your hand-helds with you when you fly these days?
> Do you carry it in your laptop bag, or check it through if you do?
>
> I can see where some over exuberant TSA officer might get the wrong
> idea about why you would have such a thing in an airport or on a
> plane...
>
> I'd SOOOO rather be traveling on the Goldwing! Enjoy the flying part,
> but don't lood forward to the airport CRAP!
>
> Thanks in advance....
>
> John
>

29017. RE: [dfwscan] Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 02:44:33 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29014 Message: 29017

Take it with you on board. I do and haven't had any problems. I fly 2 to 4 times a year usually and haven't once had a problem. The last couple of times I've even had my HT ham radio with me and still no problems. I don't check anything other than clothes and stuff I could care less about. I don't trust them looking in my bags while I'm not standing there. Not to say that they would have "STICKY FINGERS" but they may forget to replace something in my bag.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of crowemag55
Sent: Sunday, September 21, 2008 8:46 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Here's a question for the masses:

I haven't flown commercially since before 9/11.

Do YOU take your hand-helds with you when you fly these days?
Do you carry it in your laptop bag, or check it through if you do?

I can see where some over exuberant TSA officer might get the wrong idea about why you would have such a thing in an airport or on a plane...

I'd SOOOO rather be traveling on the Goldwing! Enjoy the flying part, but don't lood forward to the airport CRAP!

Thanks in advance....

John

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29018. RE: [dfwscan] Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 02:47:39 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 29014 Message: 29018

I've taken a pair of XTS5000s along on a few flights (which look nearly identical to the radios TSA carries) and have not had a problem. I would not check anything that you actually care about - check your clothes, toiletries, and other things you can replace at the Walmart. Check the valuable stuff.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of crowemag55
Sent: Sunday, September 21, 2008 08:46 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Here's a question for the masses:

I haven't flown commercially since before 9/11.

Do YOU take your hand-helds with you when you fly these days?
Do you carry it in your laptop bag, or check it through if you do?

I can see where some over exuberant TSA officer might get the wrong idea about why you would have such a thing in an airport or on a plane...

I'd SOOOO rather be traveling on the Goldwing! Enjoy the flying part, but don't lood forward to the airport CRAP!

Thanks in advance....

John

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29019. Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 05:00:27 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29015 Message: 29019

Don,

I too live in Burleson. The RS PRO-162 will do the rebanding but it is not digital. The BC-246T will not do rebanding and is not digital.

Burleson will not be affected by the rebanding because they are on UHF and they just recently got their new radio system. And I don't see Burleson even going digital either, too much money involved.

The only city that would be affected by the rebanding would be Fort Worth, and no telling how many years that will happen. And when Fort Worth does reband, you can guarantee they will go digital.

The PRO-162 is on sale I believe and is flash programmable (rebanding) when it does happen. But from what I understand, the rebanding will affect Public Safety communications in the 800mhz area, and more than likely those that do reband will probably go digital. So, I wouldn't worry about the rebanding issue right now with a scanner unless you get a digital one. Unless the flash programming will make it digital, but I don't think it will. No reason to make a scanner capable of rebanding if it's not digital capable.

If all you want to do is listen to Burleson and Fort Worth, and want to save a little money, I would go with the PRO-162, or a GRE scanner, which is a little more expensive.

But at this time there is no scanner out there that can trunk Johnson County SO, that's if you listen to them. You can only hear them in conventional mode.

But for the price, and no foreseeable future of Fort Worth going digital, I would go with the PRO-162. I looked at it the other day and it was a pretty neat scanner.

The 162 will do all the trunk systems except LTR Passport (Johnson County) and is not digital.

I've always liked Uniden scanners, but the BC-246 is too small for my taste.

Hope this sheds some light for you. I'm sure you will get plenty of replies on this.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

P.S. And to the group, if I misled on any info stated above, please
feel free to correct me

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Don" <d-p-newman@...> wrote:
>
> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
> than I do and would like to here from you.
>
> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
>
> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
>
> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
>
> Thank you in advance.
>
> Don Newman
>

29020. Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 05:57:03 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29015 Message: 29020

I own a BC246T, but not a Pro-162. From what I see here <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Pro-162> , the 162 is a Uniden manufactured scanner, sort of dumbed down for Radio Shack. While it's indicated to be rebanding supported, it also indicates as not computer controllable. It also does not have alpha tags. 1000 channels, ten banks.

A better choice, if you prefer dealing with Radio Shack, is the Pro-164 <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Pro-164> . This is basically a slightly revamped PSR-300, and is the follow on radio to the Pro-97. This is also rebanding supported, 1000 channels, ten banks, and, unlike the Pro-162, does have alpha tags. This allows you enter a text description of that specific frequency, or trunked system talkgroup, instead of trying to memorize all of them quickly. However, this scanner cannot be computer controlled either (programmed, yes, but not controlled for a logging/recording program as can be done with other scanners).

The PSR-300 is the same basic scanner, electronically, as the Pro-164. The main difference is that the keyboard & speaker placements are reversed. Rebanding supported, computer programmable but not controllable, has alpha tags. GRE makes the PSR-300 & the Pro-164.

The BC246T <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/BC246T> is rebanding supported, small size. While it only has ten "quick keys", it's dynamic memory allows for up to 200 'systems', and 2500 channels. (A system can be a trunked radio system, such as Burleson's or Ft. Worth's, or a group of conventional frequencies, such as those used by agencies in Hood County.)

A better choice from Uniden, if you can find one, is the BR330T <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/BR330T> . This is their now-discontinued "Nascar" scanner, but it's also a full featured analog trunk-tracker. Alpha tags, rebanding supported, Dynamic memory. 2500 channels/200 systems. However, this scanner has 100 quick-keys, making it easier to turn multiple systems on and off from the keypad while scanning. It also covers the mil-air band; the 246 does not.

Both the BC246T & the BR330T can be programmed via computer, and can be computer controlled. Both come with rechargeable batteries, the pc interface cable, antenna, and ac adapter. The two Radio Shack models come without a cable or ac adapter or batteries. The PSR-300 does not come with a cable, or batteries, but I have heard it may come with the ac adapter. Both the Pro-162 & Pro-164 show on Radio Shack's web page at $179.99. The PSR-300 is around $200, plus shipping, from a number of online dealers. The BC246T is around $200 as well, from online dealers.

The BR330T, being a discontinued model, can be hard to find. Uniden's web page <http://www.unidendirect.com/itemlist.cfm?cattype=prd&catid=3> currently (at time of writing) shows new ones at $239.99 <http://www.unidendirect.com/itemdetail.cfm?item=BR330T&tabid=1> . These pop up from time to time, dealer returns, whatever. Once they sell out, the item is removed until the next time stock is available.

Any of the listed scanners will cover Burleson & Fort Worth as they are presently. If Fort Worth ever makes a move to digital, as Parker County already has, then you'd need to upgrade to a digital scanner. (You'd need to consider that anyway, if you think you'll also want Parker County and/or Tx DPS.) From what I understand, the Burleson trunk system may be fairly weak, compaired to some of the other systems in the area. Of the 5 scanners, the 246 is one of the least sensitive. It's not deaf, by any means, with the proper antenna, but the 330 & the PSR-300/Pro-164 are more sensitive. As I prefer Uniden's dynamic memory, I'd look for a BR330T (I already have one, so I know it's capabilties.). If none were found, then I'd get the 246 or PSR-300.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Don" <d-p-newman@...> wrote:
>
> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
> than I do and would like to here from you.
>
> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
>
> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
>
> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
>
> Thank you in advance.
>
> Don Newman
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29021. RE: [dfwscan] Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 07:34:02 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29014 Message: 29021

Hi John & List,

Like many others have said, I've had no problems flying with gear either (not to say you can't have them though). I've only flown 4 times since 9/11, but I took multiple scanners, my laptop, data slicers (I wondered how this would turn out since they're home built electronics), and a full array of radio and electronic items. I one time had a TSA screener ask me to turn on the laptop to prove it worked. Since the battery wouldn't hold a charge, it would not come on. They said "OK" and sent me on my way. I've always carried all of these items on the plane with me and kept my primary handheld on my belt.

Let's just hope it never gets to where we cannot carry our electronics onboard. Now that I've "bragged" about what I've carried, I'll probably be the one you see lying on the floor with arms and legs spread out and guns pointed at me next time you try to fly :-)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of crowemag55
Sent: Sunday, September 21, 2008 8:46 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Here's a question for the masses:

I haven't flown commercially since before 9/11.

Do YOU take your hand-helds with you when you fly these days?
Do you carry it in your laptop bag, or check it through if you do?

I can see where some over exuberant TSA officer might get the wrong idea about why you would have such a thing in an airport or on a plane...

I'd SOOOO rather be traveling on the Goldwing! Enjoy the flying part, but don't lood forward to the airport CRAP!

Thanks in advance....

John

29022. VHF-Hi Skip This AM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 10:24:58 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29022 Message: 29022

I'm hearing some decent VHF-Hi skip/ducting this morning. I've been tracking down the user on 154.145/225.7 PL for a little while. I was able to confirm thru a location given (off FM 979), the FCC database, RR.com, and from listening that it is Robertson County Fire & EMS dispatch I'm hearing from NW Tarrant County. There are a lot of PL tones on numerous frequencies (i.e. 155.100 with 82.5/100.0/123.0) in the VHF-Hi band. I haven't checked any UHF out yet but I probably will.

Medic 3 is enroute to "St. Jo's" with their patient now on 154.145.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29023. Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 12:43:31 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29015 Message: 29023

I just snagged the BR330 from www.scannerworld.com

So far I am still getting it programmed and arranged, but I like what I see!

hiegtx wrote:
> I own a BC246T, but not a Pro-162. From what I see here
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Pro-162> , the 162 is a
> Uniden manufactured scanner, sort of dumbed down for Radio Shack. While
> it's indicated to be rebanding supported, it also indicates as not
> computer controllable. It also does not have alpha tags. 1000 channels,
> ten banks.
>
> A better choice, if you prefer dealing with Radio Shack, is the Pro-164
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Pro-164> . This is basically
> a slightly revamped PSR-300, and is the follow on radio to the Pro-97.
> This is also rebanding supported, 1000 channels, ten banks, and, unlike
> the Pro-162, does have alpha tags. This allows you enter a text
> description of that specific frequency, or trunked system talkgroup,
> instead of trying to memorize all of them quickly. However, this scanner
> cannot be computer controlled either (programmed, yes, but not
> controlled for a logging/recording program as can be done with other
> scanners).
>
> The PSR-300 is the same basic scanner, electronically, as the Pro-164.
> The main difference is that the keyboard & speaker placements are
> reversed. Rebanding supported, computer programmable but not
> controllable, has alpha tags. GRE makes the PSR-300 & the Pro-164.
>
> The BC246T <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/BC246T> is
> rebanding supported, small size. While it only has ten "quick keys",
> it's dynamic memory allows for up to 200 'systems', and 2500 channels.
> (A system can be a trunked radio system, such as Burleson's or Ft.
> Worth's, or a group of conventional frequencies, such as those used by
> agencies in Hood County.)
>
> A better choice from Uniden, if you can find one, is the BR330T
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/BR330T> . This is their
> now-discontinued "Nascar" scanner, but it's also a full featured analog
> trunk-tracker. Alpha tags, rebanding supported, Dynamic memory. 2500
> channels/200 systems. However, this scanner has 100 quick-keys, making
> it easier to turn multiple systems on and off from the keypad while
> scanning. It also covers the mil-air band; the 246 does not.
>
> Both the BC246T & the BR330T can be programmed via computer, and can be
> computer controlled. Both come with rechargeable batteries, the pc

> interface cable, antenna, and ac adapter. The two Radio Shack models
> come without a cable or ac adapter or batteries. The PSR-300 does not
> come with a cable, or batteries, but I have heard it may come with the
> ac adapter. Both the Pro-162 & Pro-164 show on Radio Shack's web page at
> $179.99. The PSR-300 is around $200, plus shipping, from a number of
> online dealers. The BC246T is around $200 as well, from online dealers.
> The BR330T, being a discontinued model, can be hard to find. Uniden's
> web page <http://www.unidendirect.com/itemlist.cfm?cattype=prd&catid=3>
> currently (at time of writing) shows new ones at $239.99
> <http://www.unidendirect.com/itemdetail.cfm?item=BR330T&tabid=1> .
> These pop up from time to time, dealer returns, whatever. Once they sell
> out, the item is removed until the next time stock is available.
>
> Any of the listed scanners will cover Burleson & Fort Worth as they are
> presently. If Fort Worth ever makes a move to digital, as Parker County
> already has, then you'd need to upgrade to a digital scanner. (You'd
> need to consider that anyway, if you think you'll also want Parker
> County and/or Tx DPS.) From what I understand, the Burleson trunk system
> may be fairly weak, compaired to some of the other systems in the area.
> Of the 5 scanners, the 246 is one of the least sensitive. It's not deaf,
> by any means, with the proper antenna, but the 330 & the PSR-300/Pro-164
> are more sensitive. As I prefer Uniden's dynamic memory, I'd look for a
> BR330T (I already have one, so I know it's capabilties.). If none were
> found, then I'd get the 246 or PSR-300.
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Don" <d-p-newman@...> wrote:
>> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
>> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
>> than I do and would like to here from you.
>>
>> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
>>
>> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
>>
>> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
>>
>> Thank you in advance.
>>
>> Don Newman
>>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29024. Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 14:30:41 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29015 Message: 29024

The PRO-162 does do alpha text and is PC programmable, and I thought it had a GRE board in it, oh well.

I was looking at the wrong scanner at Radio Shack. The one I was looking at was the PRO-164. At first I thought it was a PRO-97 and wondering why they were selling it for $219, and then I noticed the volume and squelch knobs were different, bigger now.

And the PRO-164 is showing to be on sale also, so if I were you Don I would snag that PRO-164. If its anything like the 97, real easy to use. Matter of fact, for $179, I might just go get one myself.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
> I own a BC246T, but not a Pro-162. From what I see here
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Pro-162> , the 162 is a
> Uniden manufactured scanner, sort of dumbed down for Radio Shack. While
> it's indicated to be rebanding supported, it also indicates as not
> computer controllable. It also does not have alpha tags. 1000 channels,
> ten banks.
>
> A better choice, if you prefer dealing with Radio Shack, is the Pro-164
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Pro-164> . This is basically
> a slightly revamped PSR-300, and is the follow on radio to the Pro-97.
> This is also rebanding supported, 1000 channels, ten banks, and, unlike
> the Pro-162, does have alpha tags. This allows you enter a text
> description of that specific frequency, or trunked system talkgroup,
> instead of trying to memorize all of them quickly. However, this scanner
> cannot be computer controlled either (programmed, yes, but not
> controlled for a logging/recording program as can be done with other
> scanners).
>
> The PSR-300 is the same basic scanner, electronically, as the Pro-164.
> The main difference is that the keyboard & speaker placements are
> reversed. Rebanding supported, computer programmable but not
> controllable, has alpha tags. GRE makes the PSR-300 & the Pro-164.
>
> The BC246T <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/BC246T> is
> rebanding supported, small size. While it only has ten "quick keys",
> it's dynamic memory allows for up to 200 'systems', and 2500 channels.
> (A system can be a trunked radio system, such as Burleson's or Ft.
> Worth's, or a group of conventional frequencies, such as those used by

> agencies in Hood County.)
>
> A better choice from Uniden, if you can find one, is the BR330T
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/BR330T> . This is their
> now-discontinued "Nascar" scanner, but it's also a full featured analog
> trunk-tracker. Alpha tags, rebanding supported, Dynamic memory. 2500
> channels/200 systems. However, this scanner has 100 quick-keys, making
> it easier to turn multiple systems on and off from the keypad while
> scanning. It also covers the mil-air band; the 246 does not.
>
> Both the BC246T & the BR330T can be programmed via computer, and can be
> computer controlled. Both come with rechargeable batteries, the pc
> interface cable, antenna, and ac adapter. The two Radio Shack models
> come without a cable or ac adapter or batteries. The PSR-300 does not
> come with a cable, or batteries, but I have heard it may come with the
> ac adapter. Both the Pro-162 & Pro-164 show on Radio Shack's web page at
> $179.99. The PSR-300 is around $200, plus shipping, from a number of
> online dealers. The BC246T is around $200 as well, from online dealers.
> The BR330T, being a discontinued model, can be hard to find. Uniden's
> web page <http://www.unidendirect.com/itemlist.cfm?cattype=prd&catid=3>
> currently (at time of writing) shows new ones at $239.99
> <http://www.unidendirect.com/itemdetail.cfm?item=BR330T&tabid=1> .
> These pop up from time to time, dealer returns, whatever. Once they sell
> out, the item is removed until the next time stock is available.
>
> Any of the listed scanners will cover Burleson & Fort Worth as they are
> presently. If Fort Worth ever makes a move to digital, as Parker County
> already has, then you'd need to upgrade to a digital scanner. (You'd
> need to consider that anyway, if you think you'll also want Parker
> County and/or Tx DPS.) From what I understand, the Burleson trunk system
> may be fairly weak, compaired to some of the other systems in the area.
> Of the 5 scanners, the 246 is one of the least sensitive. It's not deaf,
> by any means, with the proper antenna, but the 330 & the PSR-300/Pro-164
> are more sensitive. As I prefer Uniden's dynamic memory, I'd look for a
> BR330T (I already have one, so I know it's capabilties.). If none were
> found, then I'd get the 246 or PSR-300.
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Don" <d-p-newman@> wrote:
> >
> > I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
> > appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
> > than I do and would like to here from you.
> >
> > I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
> >
> > Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
> >
> > Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
> >
> > Thank you in advance.
> >

> > Don Newman
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29025. Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 14:55:19 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29015 Message: 29025

Thanks for the correction on the alpha tags, David. I overlooked that,
& presumed that since the Pro-162 would clone with the Pro-433, which
does not have tags, it did not either.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> The PRO-162 does do alpha text and is PC programmable, and I thought
> it had a GRE board in it, oh well.
>
> I was looking at the wrong scanner at Radio Shack. The one I was
> looking at was the PRO-164. At first I thought it was a PRO-97 and
> wondering why they were selling it for $219, and then I noticed the
> volume and squelch knobs were different, bigger now.
>
> And the PRO-164 is showing to be on sale also, so if I were you Don I
> would snag that PRO-164. If its anything like the 97, real easy to
> use. Matter of fact, for $179, I might just go get one myself.
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
>

29026. Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 15:45:22 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29015 Message: 29026

BC246T will be updated to support rebanded Motorola systems by the end of the year.

----- Original Message ----
From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 12:00:27 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Don,

I too live in Burleson. The RS PRO-162 will do the rebanding but it
is not digital. The BC-246T will not do rebanding and is not digital.

Burleson will not be affected by the rebanding because they are on UHF
and they just recently got their new radio system. And I don't see
Burleson even going digital either, too much money involved.

The only city that would be affected by the rebanding would be Fort
Worth, and no telling how many years that will happen. And when Fort
Worth does reband, you can guarantee they will go digital.

The PRO-162 is on sale I believe and is flash programmable (rebanding)
when it does happen. But from what I understand, the rebanding will
affect Public Safety communications in the 800mhz area, and more than
likely those that do reband will probably go digital. So, I wouldn't
worry about the rebanding issue right now with a scanner unless you
get a digital one. Unless the flash programming will make it digital,
but I don't think it will. No reason to make a scanner capable of
rebanding if it's not digital capable.

If all you want to do is listen to Burleson and Fort Worth, and want
to save a little money, I would go with the PRO-162, or a GRE scanner,
which is a little more expensive.

But at this time there is no scanner out there that can trunk Johnson
County SO, that's if you listen to them. You can only hear them in
conventional mode.

But for the price, and no foreseeable future of Fort Worth going
digital, I would go with the PRO-162. I looked at it the other day
and it was a pretty neat scanner.

The 162 will do all the trunk systems except LTR Passport (Johnson
County) and is not digital.

I've always liked Uniden scanners, but the BC-246 is too small for my
taste.

Hope this sheds some light for you. I'm sure you will get plenty of
replies on this.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

P.S. And to the group, if I misled on any info stated above, please
feel free to correct me

http://www.geocitie s.com/kc5rhb/ weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Don" <d-p-newman@ ...> wrote:
>
> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
> than I do and would like to here from you.
>
> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
>
> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
>
> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
>
> Thank you in advance.
>
> Don Newman
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29027. Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 15:47:22 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29015 Message: 29027

I hit SEND too soon. :)

Rebanding has nothing to do with switching to digital. In fact, since Sprint/Nextel is paying for the hardware changes needed for rebanding, it is very unlikely for rebanding to coincide with a switch to digital (since Sprint will only pay for comparable equipment...not for an upgrade).

----- Original Message ----
From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@yahoo.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 12:00:27 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Don,

I too live in Burleson. The RS PRO-162 will do the rebanding but it is not digital. The BC-246T will not do rebanding and is not digital.

Burleson will not be affected by the rebanding because they are on UHF and they just recently got their new radio system. And I don't see Burleson even going digital either, too much money involved.

The only city that would be affected by the rebanding would be Fort Worth, and no telling how many years that will happen. And when Fort Worth does reband, you can guarantee they will go digital.

The PRO-162 is on sale I believe and is flash programmable (rebanding) when it does happen. But from what I understand, the rebanding will affect Public Safety communications in the 800mhz area, and more than likely those that do reband will probably go digital. So, I wouldn't worry about the rebanding issue right now with a scanner unless you get a digital one. Unless the flash programming will make it digital, but I don't think it will. No reason to make a scanner capable of rebanding if it's not digital capable.

If all you want to do is listen to Burleson and Fort Worth, and want to save a little money, I would go with the PRO-162, or a GRE scanner, which is a little more expensive.

But at this time there is no scanner out there that can trunk Johnson County SO, that's if you listen to them. You can only hear them in conventional mode.

But for the price, and no foreseeable future of Fort Worth going digital, I would go with the PRO-162. I looked at it the other day and it was a pretty neat scanner.

The 162 will do all the trunk systems except LTR Passport (Johnson County) and is not digital.

I've always liked Uniden scanners, but the BC-246 is too small for my taste.

Hope this sheds some light for you. I'm sure you will get plenty of replies on this.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

P.S. And to the group, if I misled on any info stated above, please feel free to correct me

http://www.geocitie s.com/kc5rhb/ weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Don" <d-p-newman@ ...> wrote:
>
> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
> than I do and would like to here from you.
>
> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
>
> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
>
> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
>
> Thank you in advance.
>
> Don Newman
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29028. Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 15:50:20 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29015 Message: 29028

Ok, I'm a little biased :) but the BR330T gets my vote for the best Analog Trunking scanner, ever (well, so far).

I just wish more people would have bought it so that it would have had a longer life.

----- Original Message ----
From: Jay Urish <jay@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 7:43:31 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

I just snagged the BR330 from www.scannerworld. com

So far I am still getting it programmed and arranged, but I like what I see!

hiegtx wrote:
> I own a BC246T, but not a Pro-162. From what I see here
> <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-162> , the 162 is a
> Uniden manufactured scanner, sort of dumbed down for Radio Shack. While
> it's indicated to be rebanding supported, it also indicates as not
> computer controllable. It also does not have alpha tags. 1000 channels,
> ten banks.
>
> A better choice, if you prefer dealing with Radio Shack, is the Pro-164
> <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-164> . This is basically
> a slightly revamped PSR-300, and is the follow on radio to the Pro-97.
> This is also rebanding supported, 1000 channels, ten banks, and, unlike
> the Pro-162, does have alpha tags. This allows you enter a text
> description of that specific frequency, or trunked system talkgroup,
> instead of trying to memorize all of them quickly. However, this scanner
> cannot be computer controlled either (programmed, yes, but not
> controlled for a logging/recording program as can be done with other
> scanners).
>
> The PSR-300 is the same basic scanner, electronically, as the Pro-164.
> The main difference is that the keyboard & speaker placements are
> reversed. Rebanding supported, computer programmable but not
> controllable, has alpha tags. GRE makes the PSR-300 & the Pro-164.
>
> The BC246T <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BC246T> is
> rebanding supported, small size. While it only has ten "quick keys",
> it's dynamic memory allows for up to 200 'systems', and 2500 channels.
> (A system can be a trunked radio system, such as Burleson's or Ft.
> Worth's, or a group of conventional frequencies, such as those used by
> agencies in Hood County.)
>

> A better choice from Uniden, if you can find one, is the BR330T
> <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BR330T> . This is their
> now-discontinued "Nascar" scanner, but it's also a full featured analog
> trunk-tracker. Alpha tags, rebanding supported, Dynamic memory. 2500
> channels/200 systems. However, this scanner has 100 quick-keys, making
> it easier to turn multiple systems on and off from the keypad while
> scanning. It also covers the mil-air band; the 246 does not.
>
> Both the BC246T & the BR330T can be programmed via computer, and can be
> computer controlled. Both come with rechargeable batteries, the pc
> interface cable, antenna, and ac adapter. The two Radio Shack models
> come without a cable or ac adapter or batteries. The PSR-300 does not
> come with a cable, or batteries, but I have heard it may come with the
> ac adapter. Both the Pro-162 & Pro-164 show on Radio Shack's web page at
> $179.99. The PSR-300 is around $200, plus shipping, from a number of
> online dealers. The BC246T is around $200 as well, from online dealers.
> The BR330T, being a discontinued model, can be hard to find. Uniden's
> web page <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemlist. cfm?cattype= prd&catid= 3>
> currently (at time of writing) shows new ones at $239.99
> <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemdetail. cfm?item= BR330T&tabid= 1> .
> These pop up from time to time, dealer returns, whatever. Once they sell
> out, the item is removed until the next time stock is available.
>
> Any of the listed scanners will cover Burleson & Fort Worth as they are
> presently. If Fort Worth ever makes a move to digital, as Parker County
> already has, then you'd need to upgrade to a digital scanner. (You'd
> need to consider that anyway, if you think you'll also want Parker
> County and/or Tx DPS.) From what I understand, the Burleson trunk system
> may be fairly weak, compaired to some of the other systems in the area.
> Of the 5 scanners, the 246 is one of the least sensitive. It's not deaf,
> by any means, with the proper antenna, but the 330 & the PSR-300/Pro- 164
> are more sensitive. As I prefer Uniden's dynamic memory, I'd look for a
> BR330T (I already have one, so I know it's capabilties.). If none were
> found, then I'd get the 246 or PSR-300.
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Don" <d-p-newman@ ...> wrote:
>> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
>> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
>> than I do and would like to here from you.
>>
>> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
>>
>> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
>>
>> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
>>
>> Thank you in advance.
>>
>> Don Newman
>>
>

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------ --------- --------- ------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see: http://www.radioref
erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf .net
Home)972.691. 0125 Cell)972.965. 6229

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29029. Re: 867.0125

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 17:37:52 UTC
From: Bobbie Beers
Topic: 3885 Message: 29029

David,

The NPSPAC pdf came in handy. I noticed on the map that there is supposed to be a new "Primary Calling Channel Station with Tactical Channel" right here in Rockwall County, Channel 2. It was considered as "New" at the time the report was made, November 30, 2007. I see Hunt and Kaufman Counties are included.

From now on I am glued to the NPSPAC frequencies.

73's
Bobbie, KB5RPI

29030. Re: Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 18:00:16 UTC
From: Bobbie Beers
Topic: 29014 Message: 29030

John,

Definitely take in in your carry-on bag. Items left in checked luggage have a way of "disappearing". I always carry-on my stuff and no questions are asked. It used to be you had to turn everything on to make sure it wouldn't detonate. I also carry-on charging cords and no questions asked.

Bobbie, KB5RPI

> Posted by: "crowemag55" crowemag55@...
> <mailto:crowemag55@...?Subject=%20Re%3ALongtime%20scannist%2C%20infrequent%20flyer>
> crowemag55 <http://profiles.yahoo.com/crowemag55>
>
>
> Sun Sep 21, 2008 6:45 pm (PDT)
>
> Here's a question for the masses:
>
> I haven't flown commercially since before 9/11.
>
> Do YOU take your hand-helds with you when you fly these days?
> Do you carry it in your laptop bag, or check it through if you do?
>
> I can see where some over exuberant TSA officer might get the wrong
> idea about why you would have such a thing in an airport or on a
> plane...
>
> I'd SOOOO rather be traveling on the Goldwing! Enjoy the flying part,
> but don't lood forward to the airport CRAP!
>
> Thanks in advance....
>
> John

29031. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 18:12:22 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29014 Message: 29031

For checked luggage, consider using those little TSA-approved locks with the indicator. If they are unlocked by the TSA with their key, it sets a little red flag that can only be cleared if the lock is opened with the combination or the real key.

If you want to see some really paranoid baggage screeners, go to Israel or the UK with some electronics. The love to play 20 questions.

My favorite violated luggage story was the guy who looked out the terminal window and saw one of the baggage handlers wearing an animal costume that looked just like the one he checked.

> Definitely take in in your carry-on bag. Items left in checked luggage
> have a way of "disappearing". I always carry-on my stuff and no
> questions are asked. It used to be you had to turn everything on to make
> sure it wouldn't detonate. I also carry-on charging cords and no
> questions asked.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29032. Re: Longtime scannist, infrequent flyer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 18:41:28 UTC
From: John Crowe
Topic: 29014 Message: 29032

Thanks for all the reponses.. Public and personal.
I had a feeling they would run "positive", but wanted to get the
groups experience...

And no, I hadn't planned on actually turning one on in the airport or
on the plane! :)

Thanks again!
John

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29033. Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 21:18:36 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29015 Message: 29033

I never heard of the BR330T, I had to look it up, and the reason why I never heard of it because its a race scanner, and I had no idea it did trunk. Looks pretty neat, and according to the Uniden site you can still get it. That scanner still has the scanner look to it (nothing fancy).

And as for the rebanding thing, if Sprint/Nextel is taking care of equipment issues, that gives a city even more reason to spend city funds on digital, I guess. But the only city I listen too that would be affected by the rebanding (at this time) is Fort Worth. And no telling when that might be. Even with digital. Lots of city employees, police/fire, out there that are still using MTS2000's.

But when it happens, it happens. It's "when", is the big question.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:
>
> Ok, I'm a little biased :) but the BR330T gets my vote for the best Analog Trunking scanner, ever (well, so far).
>
> I just wish more people would have bought it so that it would have had a longer life.
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: Jay Urish <jay@...>
> To: "dfwscan@...m" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 7:43:31 AM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.
>
>
> I just snagged the BR330 from www.scannerworld. com
>
> So far I am still getting it programmed and arranged, but I like what I see!
>
> hiegtx wrote:
> > I own a BC246T, but not a Pro-162. From what I see here
> > <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-162> , the 162 is a

> > Uniden manufactured scanner, sort of dumbed down for Radio Shack.
While
> > it's indicated to be rebanding supported, it also indicates as not
> > computer controllable. It also does not have alpha tags. 1000
channels,
> > ten banks.
> >
> > A better choice, if you prefer dealing with Radio Shack, is the
Pro-164
> > <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-164> . This is
basically
> > a slightly revamped PSR-300, and is the follow on radio to the Pro-97.
> > This is also rebanding supported, 1000 channels, ten banks, and,
unlike
> > the Pro-162, does have alpha tags. This allows you enter a text
> > description of that specific frequency, or trunked system talkgroup,
> > instead of trying to memorize all of them quickly. However, this
scanner
> > cannot be computer controlled either (programmed, yes, but not
> > controlled for a logging/recording program as can be done with other
> > scanners).
> >
> > The PSR-300 is the same basic scanner, electronically, as the Pro-164.
> > The main difference is that the keyboard & speaker placements are
> > reversed. Rebanding supported, computer programmable but not
> > controllable, has alpha tags. GRE makes the PSR-300 & the Pro-164.
> >
> > The BC246T <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BC246T> is
> > rebanding supported, small size. While it only has ten "quick keys",
> > it's dynamic memory allows for up to 200 'systems', and 2500 channels.
> > (A system can be a trunked radio system, such as Burleson's or Ft.
> > Worth's, or a group of conventional frequencies, such as those used by
> > agencies in Hood County.)
> >
> > A better choice from Uniden, if you can find one, is the BR330T
> > <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BR330T> . This is their
> > now-discontinued "Nascar" scanner, but it's also a full featured
analog
> > trunk-tracker. Alpha tags, rebanding supported, Dynamic memory. 2500
> > channels/200 systems. However, this scanner has 100 quick-keys, making
> > it easier to turn multiple systems on and off from the keypad while
> > scanning. It also covers the mil-air band; the 246 does not.
> >
> > Both the BC246T & the BR330T can be programmed via computer, and
can be
> > computer controlled. Both come with rechargeable batteries, the pc
> > interface cable, antenna, and ac adapter. The two Radio Shack models
> > come without a cable or ac adapter or batteries. The PSR-300 does not
> > come with a cable, or batteries, but I have heard it may come with the
> > ac adapter. Both the Pro-162 & Pro-164 show on Radio Shack's web

page at
> > $179.99. The PSR-300 is around $200, plus shipping, from a number of
> > online dealers. The BC246T is around $200 as well, from online
dealers.
> > The BR330T, being a discontinued model, can be hard to find. Uniden's
> > web page <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemlist. cfm?cattype=
prd&catid= 3>
> > currently (at time of writing) shows new ones at $239.99
> > <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemdetail. cfm?item= BR330T&tabid=
1> .
> > These pop up from time to time, dealer returns, whatever. Once
they sell
> > out, the item is removed until the next time stock is available.
> >
> > Any of the listed scanners will cover Burleson & Fort Worth as
they are
> > presently. If Fort Worth ever makes a move to digital, as Parker
County
> > already has, then you'd need to upgrade to a digital scanner. (You'd
> > need to consider that anyway, if you think you'll also want Parker
> > County and/or Tx DPS.) From what I understand, the Burleson trunk
system
> > may be fairly weak, compaired to some of the other systems in the
area.
> > Of the 5 scanners, the 246 is one of the least sensitive. It's not
deaf,
> > by any means, with the proper antenna, but the 330 & the
PSR-300/Pro- 164
> > are more sensitive. As I prefer Uniden's dynamic memory, I'd look
for a
> > BR330T (I already have one, so I know it's capabilties.). If none
were
> > found, then I'd get the 246 or PSR-300.
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Don" <d-p-newman@ ...> wrote:
> >> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
> >> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
> >> than I do and would like to here from you.
> >>
> >> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
> >>
> >> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
> >>
> >> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
> >>
> >> Thank you in advance.
> >>
> >> Don Newman
> >>
> >
> >

> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > ------------ --------- --------- ------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2Yahoo!
Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
> http://jay.unixwolf .net
> Home)972.691. 0125 Cell)972.965. 6229
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29034. Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 22:12:01 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29015 Message: 29034

Sprint/Nextel is taking care of any equipment issues that arise from rebanding, but will not pay anything toward upgrading to digital. So, there is no financial advantage for a city to go to digital at the same time (i.e.they cannot apply the money they get from Sprint toward going digital...Sprint actually provides the replacement hardware, if needed, for rebanding...and that hardware is not digital capable).

----- Original Message ----
From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 4:18:36 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

I never heard of the BR330T, I had to look it up, and the reason why I never heard of it because its a race scanner, and I had no idea it did trunk. Looks pretty neat, and according to the Uniden site you can still get it. That scanner still has the scanner look to it (nothing fancy).

And as for the rebanding thing, if Sprint/Nextel is taking care of equipment issues, that gives a city even more reason to spend city funds on digital, I guess. But the only city I listen too that would be affected by the rebanding (at this time) is Fort Worth. And no telling when that might be. Even with digital. Lots of city employees, police/fire, out there that are still using MTS2000's.

But when it happens, it happens. It's "when", is the big question.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocitie s.com/kc5rhb/ weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@... > wrote:
>
> Ok, I'm a little biased :) but the BR330T gets my vote for the best Analog Trunking scanner, ever (well, so far).
>
> I just wish more people would have bought it so that it would have had a longer life.
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: Jay Urish <jay@...>
> To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups .com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups .com>
> Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 7:43:31 AM

> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.
>
>
> I just snagged the BR330 from www.scannerworld. com
>
> So far I am still getting it programmed and arranged, but I like
what I see!
>
> hiegtx wrote:
> > I own a BC246T, but not a Pro-162. From what I see here
> > <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-162> , the 162 is a
> > Uniden manufactured scanner, sort of dumbed down for Radio Shack.
While
> > it's indicated to be rebanding supported, it also indicates as not
> > computer controllable. It also does not have alpha tags. 1000
channels,
> > ten banks.
> >
> > A better choice, if you prefer dealing with Radio Shack, is the
Pro-164
> > <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-164> . This is
basically
> > a slightly revamped PSR-300, and is the follow on radio to the Pro-97.
> > This is also rebanding supported, 1000 channels, ten banks, and,
unlike
> > the Pro-162, does have alpha tags. This allows you enter a text
> > description of that specific frequency, or trunked system talkgroup,
> > instead of trying to memorize all of them quickly. However, this
scanner
> > cannot be computer controlled either (programmed, yes, but not
> > controlled for a logging/recording program as can be done with other
> > scanners).
> >
> > The PSR-300 is the same basic scanner, electronically, as the Pro-164.
> > The main difference is that the keyboard & speaker placements are
> > reversed. Rebanding supported, computer programmable but not
> > controllable, has alpha tags. GRE makes the PSR-300 & the Pro-164.
> >
> > The BC246T <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BC246T> is
> > rebanding supported, small size. While it only has ten "quick keys",
> > it's dynamic memory allows for up to 200 'systems', and 2500 channels.
> > (A system can be a trunked radio system, such as Burleson's or Ft.
> > Worth's, or a group of conventional frequencies, such as those used by
> > agencies in Hood County.)
> >
> > A better choice from Uniden, if you can find one, is the BR330T
> > <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BR330T> . This is their
> > now-discontinued "Nascar" scanner, but it's also a full featured
analog
> > trunk-tracker. Alpha tags, rebanding supported, Dynamic memory. 2500

> > channels/200 systems. However, this scanner has 100 quick-keys, making
> > it easier to turn multiple systems on and off from the keypad while
> > scanning. It also covers the mil-air band; the 246 does not.
> >
> > Both the BC246T & the BR330T can be programmed via computer, and
can be
> > computer controlled. Both come with rechargeable batteries, the pc
> > interface cable, antenna, and ac adapter. The two Radio Shack models
> > come without a cable or ac adapter or batteries. The PSR-300 does not
> > come with a cable, or batteries, but I have heard it may come with the
> > ac adapter. Both the Pro-162 & Pro-164 show on Radio Shack's web
page at
> > $179.99. The PSR-300 is around $200, plus shipping, from a number of
> > online dealers. The BC246T is around $200 as well, from online
dealers.
> > The BR330T, being a discontinued model, can be hard to find. Uniden's
> > web page <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemlist. cfm?cattype=
prd&catid= 3>
> > currently (at time of writing) shows new ones at $239.99
> > <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemdetail. cfm?item= BR330T&tabid=
1> .
> > These pop up from time to time, dealer returns, whatever. Once
they sell
> > out, the item is removed until the next time stock is available.
> >
> > Any of the listed scanners will cover Burleson & Fort Worth as
they are
> > presently. If Fort Worth ever makes a move to digital, as Parker
County
> > already has, then you'd need to upgrade to a digital scanner. (You'd
> > need to consider that anyway, if you think you'll also want Parker
> > County and/or Tx DPS.) From what I understand, the Burleson trunk
system
> > may be fairly weak, compaired to some of the other systems in the
area.
> > Of the 5 scanners, the 246 is one of the least sensitive. It's not
deaf,
> > by any means, with the proper antenna, but the 330 & the
PSR-300/Pro- 164
> > are more sensitive. As I prefer Uniden's dynamic memory, I'd look
for a
> > BR330T (I already have one, so I know it's capabilties.). If none
were
> > found, then I'd get the 246 or PSR-300.
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Don" <d-p-newman@ ...> wrote:
> >> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
> >> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
> >> than I do and would like to here from you.
> >>
> >> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.

> >>
> >> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
> >>
> >> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
> >>
> >> Thank you in advance.
> >>
> >> Don Newman
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > ------------ --------- --------- ------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2Yahoo!
Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
> http://jay.unixwolf .net
> Home)972.691. 0125 Cell)972.965. 6229
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29035. Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-22 22:45:03 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29015 Message: 29035

Interesting. Sounds like a big headache to me. Whatever happened to
the good ole VHF/UHF days, when radios worked like they were supposed too.

Thanks Paul for the info.

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:
>
> Sprint/Nextel is taking care of any equipment issues that arise from
rebanding, but will not pay anything toward upgrading to digital. So,
there is no financial advantage for a city to go to digital at the
same time (i.e.they cannot apply the money they get from Sprint toward
going digital...Sprint actually provides the replacement hardware, if
needed, for rebanding...and that hardware is not digital capable).
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 4:18:36 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.
>
>
> I never heard of the BR330T, I had to look it up, and the reason why I
> never heard of it because its a race scanner, and I had no idea it did
> trunk. Looks pretty neat, and according to the Uniden site you can
> still get it. That scanner still has the scanner look to it (nothing
> fancy).
>
> And as for the rebanding thing, if Sprint/Nextel is taking care of
> equipment issues, that gives a city even more reason to spend city
> funds on digital, I guess. But the only city I listen too that would
> be affected by the rebanding (at this time) is Fort Worth. And no
> telling when that might be. Even with digital. Lots of city
> employees, police/fire, out there that are still using MTS2000's.
>
> But when it happens, it happens. It's "when", is the big question.
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> David, KC5RHB
>

> http://www.geocitie s.com/kc5rhb/ weather
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@ > wrote:
> >
> > Ok, I'm a little biased :) but the BR330T gets my vote for the best
> Analog Trunking scanner, ever (well, so far).
> >
> > I just wish more people would have bought it so that it would have
> had a longer life.
> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message ----
> > From: Jay Urish <jay@>
> > To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups .com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups .com>
> > Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 7:43:31 AM
> > Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.
> >
> >
> > I just snagged the BR330 from www.scannerworld. com
> >
> > So far I am still getting it programmed and arranged, but I like
> what I see!
> >
> > hiegtx wrote:
> > > I own a BC246T, but not a Pro-162. From what I see here
> > > <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-162> , the 162 is a
> > > Uniden manufactured scanner, sort of dumbed down for Radio Shack.
> While
> > > it's indicated to be rebanding supported, it also indicates as not
> > > computer controllable. It also does not have alpha tags. 1000
> channels,
> > > ten banks.
> > >
> > > A better choice, if you prefer dealing with Radio Shack, is the
> Pro-164
> > > <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-164> . This is
> basically
> > > a slightly revamped PSR-300, and is the follow on radio to the
Pro-97.
> > > This is also rebanding supported, 1000 channels, ten banks, and,
> unlike
> > > the Pro-162, does have alpha tags. This allows you enter a text
> > > description of that specific frequency, or trunked system talkgroup,
> > > instead of trying to memorize all of them quickly. However, this
> scanner
> > > cannot be computer controlled either (programmed, yes, but not
> > > controlled for a logging/recording program as can be done with other
> > > scanners).
> > >

> > > The PSR-300 is the same basic scanner, electronically, as the
Pro-164.
> > > The main difference is that the keyboard & speaker placements are
> > > reversed. Rebanding supported, computer programmable but not
> > > controllable, has alpha tags. GRE makes the PSR-300 & the Pro-164.
> > >
> > > The BC246T <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BC246T> is
> > > rebanding supported, small size. While it only has ten "quick keys",
> > > it's dynamic memory allows for up to 200 'systems', and 2500
channels.
> > > (A system can be a trunked radio system, such as Burleson's or Ft.
> > > Worth's, or a group of conventional frequencies, such as those
used by
> > > agencies in Hood County.)
> > >
> > > A better choice from Uniden, if you can find one, is the BR330T
> > > <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BR330T> . This is their
> > > now-discontinued "Nascar" scanner, but it's also a full featured
> analog
> > > trunk-tracker. Alpha tags, rebanding supported, Dynamic memory. 2500
> > > channels/200 systems. However, this scanner has 100 quick-keys,
making
> > > it easier to turn multiple systems on and off from the keypad while
> > > scanning. It also covers the mil-air band; the 246 does not.
> > >
> > > Both the BC246T & the BR330T can be programmed via computer, and
> can be
> > > computer controlled. Both come with rechargeable batteries, the pc
> > > interface cable, antenna, and ac adapter. The two Radio Shack models
> > > come without a cable or ac adapter or batteries. The PSR-300
does not
> > > come with a cable, or batteries, but I have heard it may come
with the
> > > ac adapter. Both the Pro-162 & Pro-164 show on Radio Shack's web
> page at
> > > $179.99. The PSR-300 is around $200, plus shipping, from a number of
> > > online dealers. The BC246T is around $200 as well, from online
> dealers.
> > > The BR330T, being a discontinued model, can be hard to find.
Uniden's
> > > web page <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemlist. cfm?cattype=
> prd&catid= 3>
> > > currently (at time of writing) shows new ones at $239.99
> > > <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemdetail. cfm?item= BR330T&tabid=
> 1> .
> > > These pop up from time to time, dealer returns, whatever. Once
> they sell
> > > out, the item is removed until the next time stock is available.
> > >
> > > Any of the listed scanners will cover Burleson & Fort Worth as

> they are
> > > presently. If Fort Worth ever makes a move to digital, as Parker
> County
> > > already has, then you'd need to upgrade to a digital scanner. (You'd
> > > need to consider that anyway, if you think you'll also want Parker
> > > County and/or Tx DPS.) From what I understand, the Burleson trunk
> system
> > > may be fairly weak, compaired to some of the other systems in the
> area.
> > > Of the 5 scanners, the 246 is one of the least sensitive. It's not
> deaf,
> > > by any means, with the proper antenna, but the 330 & the
> PSR-300/Pro- 164
> > > are more sensitive. As I prefer Uniden's dynamic memory, I'd look
> for a
> > > BR330T (I already have one, so I know it's capabilties.). If none
> were
> > > found, then I'd get the 246 or PSR-300.
> > > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Don" <d-p-newman@ ...> wrote:
> > >> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
> > >> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more
knowledge
> > >> than I do and would like to here from you.
> > >>
> > >> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
> > >>
> > >> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
> > >>
> > >> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
> > >>
> > >> Thank you in advance.
> > >>
> > >> Don Newman
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------ --------- --------- ------
> > >
> > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2Yahoo!
> Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > --

> > Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
> > http://jay.unixwolf .net
> > Home)972.691. 0125 Cell)972.965. 6229
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29036. RE: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-23 13:33:55 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29015 Message: 29036

I also think that the BR330T was/is a great little scanner. I do not own one but had one for a short time that was being used for a review being written (not by me). It is the BCD396T without digital capabilities and with about half of the memory (2500 or 3500 "entries" I think) of the digital BCD396T (6500 "entries" I think).

Could it be the BR330T was not advertised or promoted very well? I know Uniden doesn't do too much scanner advertising, but I don't think I ever saw anything for the BR330T.

This little scanner also had the same features (like CloseCall) found on the more expensive scanners.

The BR330T also has some HF capabilities. Even though it isn't helpful for military or utility monitoring due to the lack of any USB/LSB options and the inability to tune in steps of less than 5 kHz, you could still listen to the broadcast stations like BBC, VOA, Radio Moscow, etc, etc. I know a few friends with a BR330T today and they've said that this little radio actually receives the HF broadcast stations pretty well.

I thought there was a comeback for the BR330T a few months ago, but judging from the e-mail I'm replying to, I guess I'm wrong. I wish I'd been able to get one while they were available. I remember the price was definitely right!

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Paul Opitz
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 10:50 AM
To: Jay Urish; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

Ok, I'm a little biased :) but the BR330T gets my vote for the best Analog Trunking scanner, ever (well, so far).

I just wish more people would have bought it so that it would have had a longer life.

----- Original Message ----
From: Jay Urish <jay@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 7:43:31 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: PRO-162 Yes Or No and why.

I just snagged the BR330 from www.scannerworld. com

So far I am still getting it programmed and arranged, but I like what I see!

hiegtx wrote:
> I own a BC246T, but not a Pro-162. From what I see here
> <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-162> , the 162 is a
> Uniden manufactured scanner, sort of dumbed down for Radio Shack. While
> it's indicated to be rebanding supported, it also indicates as not
> computer controllable. It also does not have alpha tags. 1000 channels,
> ten banks.
>
> A better choice, if you prefer dealing with Radio Shack, is the Pro-164
> <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/Pro-164> . This is basically
> a slightly revamped PSR-300, and is the follow on radio to the Pro-97.
> This is also rebanding supported, 1000 channels, ten banks, and, unlike
> the Pro-162, does have alpha tags. This allows you enter a text
> description of that specific frequency, or trunked system talkgroup,
> instead of trying to memorize all of them quickly. However, this scanner
> cannot be computer controlled either (programmed, yes, but not
> controlled for a logging/recording program as can be done with other
> scanners).
>
> The PSR-300 is the same basic scanner, electronically, as the Pro-164.
> The main difference is that the keyboard & speaker placements are
> reversed. Rebanding supported, computer programmable but not
> controllable, has alpha tags. GRE makes the PSR-300 & the Pro-164.
>
> The BC246T <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BC246T> is
> rebanding supported, small size. While it only has ten "quick keys",
> it's dynamic memory allows for up to 200 'systems', and 2500 channels.
> (A system can be a trunked radio system, such as Burleson's or Ft.
> Worth's, or a group of conventional frequencies, such as those used by
> agencies in Hood County.)
>
> A better choice from Uniden, if you can find one, is the BR330T
> <http://wiki. radioreference. com/index. php/BR330T> . This is their
> now-discontinued "Nascar" scanner, but it's also a full featured analog
> trunk-tracker. Alpha tags, rebanding supported, Dynamic memory. 2500
> channels/200 systems. However, this scanner has 100 quick-keys, making
> it easier to turn multiple systems on and off from the keypad while
> scanning. It also covers the mil-air band; the 246 does not.
>
> Both the BC246T & the BR330T can be programmed via computer, and can be
> computer controlled. Both come with rechargeable batteries, the pc
> interface cable, antenna, and ac adapter. The two Radio Shack models
> come without a cable or ac adapter or batteries. The PSR-300 does not
> come with a cable, or batteries, but I have heard it may come with the
> ac adapter. Both the Pro-162 & Pro-164 show on Radio Shack's web page at
> $179.99. The PSR-300 is around $200, plus shipping, from a number of
> online dealers. The BC246T is around $200 as well, from online dealers.
> The BR330T, being a discontinued model, can be hard to find. Uniden's

> web page <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemlist. cfm?cattype= prd&catid=
3>
> currently (at time of writing) shows new ones at $239.99
> <http://www.unidendi rect.com/ itemdetail. cfm?item= BR330T&tabid= 1> .
> These pop up from time to time, dealer returns, whatever. Once they sell
> out, the item is removed until the next time stock is available.
>
> Any of the listed scanners will cover Burleson & Fort Worth as they are
> presently. If Fort Worth ever makes a move to digital, as Parker County
> already has, then you'd need to upgrade to a digital scanner. (You'd
> need to consider that anyway, if you think you'll also want Parker
> County and/or Tx DPS.) From what I understand, the Burleson trunk system
> may be fairly weak, compaired to some of the other systems in the area.
> Of the 5 scanners, the 246 is one of the least sensitive. It's not deaf,
> by any means, with the proper antenna, but the 330 & the PSR-300/Pro- 164
> are more sensitive. As I prefer Uniden's dynamic memory, I'd look for a
> BR330T (I already have one, so I know it's capabilties.). If none were
> found, then I'd get the 246 or PSR-300.
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Don" <d-p-newman@ ...> wrote:
>> I am looking to buy a new scanner in the next month and I would
>> appreciate the input of the group. You guys have a lot more knowledge
>> than I do and would like to here from you.
>>
>> I live in Burleson and listen to BPD, BFD, Some Fort Worth.
>>
>> Will this scanner work with the new rebanding going on.
>>
>> Would you buy this one or would you go with the BC-246T?
>>
>> Thank you in advance.
>>
>> Don Newman
>>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------ --------- --------- ------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2Yahoo! Groups
Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer

http://jay.unixwolf .net
Home)972.691. 0125 Cell)972.965. 6229

29037. BR330T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-23 16:52:40 UTC
From: Bobbie Beers
Topic: 23983 Message: 29037

Paul,

Now you tell me!!!! *;)

Bobbie, KB5RPI

> Posted by: "Paul Opitz" pmanu44@...
> <mailto:pmanu44@...?Subject=%20Re%3A%20PRO-162%20Yes%20Or%20No%20and%20why%2E>
> pmanu44 <http://profiles.yahoo.com/pmanu44>
>
>
> Mon Sep 22, 2008 8:50 am (PDT)
>
> Ok, I'm a little biased :) but the BR330T gets my vote for the best
> Analog Trunking scanner, ever (well, so far).
>
> I just wish more people would have bought it so that it would have had
> a longer life.

29038. discriminator tap

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-23 20:52:03 UTC
From: John Rothman
Topic: 29038 Message: 29038

I was wondering if anyone would be willing to help me put a discriminator tap in my pro-90?

Thank You
John

29039. Arlington PD South Patrol Channel?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-25 07:03:52 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29039 Message: 29039

Ok, don't really listen to Arlington all that much so tonight I was scanning Arlington and on what was showing to be South Patrol (54064)didn't sound like PD traffic.

So I listened for a while, and it sounds like JAIL traffic. Hearing people talking about bonds, sounds like cell checks, and one person told another person to tell another person (inmate I guess) to step away from the door.

Does anyone have any input on this or is my scanner going crazy.

I checked RR and Ben's page on the ID and both are showing the same, APD South (54064).

And if Arlington did change, where the heck did South go?

Thanks,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

29040. RE: [dfwscan] Arlington PD South Patrol Channel?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-25 12:32:54 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29039 Message: 29040

Hmmm. I can't remember where I got 54064 as being South, and I don't recall hearing traffic on it. I do remember it being "future South dispatch channel" whenever I put it in my database. I don't monitor PD much either. I'm wondering if it's hurricane shelter related?

Any Arlington PD folks on board with some info?

Thanks for pointing it out David.

Ben

>Ok, don't really listen to Arlington all that much so tonight I was scanning Arlington and on what was showing to be South Patrol (54064)didn't sound like PD traffic.

So I listened for a while, and it sounds like JAIL traffic. Hearing people talking about bonds, sounds like cell checks, and one person told another person to tell another person (inmate I guess) to step away from the door.

Does anyone have any input on this or is my scanner going crazy.

I checked RR and Ben's page on the ID and both are showing the same, APD South (54064).

And if Arlington did change, where the heck did South go?

Thanks,<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29041. Re: Arlington PD South Patrol Channel?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-25 13:41:10 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29039 Message: 29041

That ID has been APD South Patrol for a long time. I went back and looked at all my templates from several years back. Last time I was listening to them is when I went to the new Wal-Mart off Little Rd. which was month's back. And the last time I listen to anything major on South Patrol was when they had that standoff where the car went into the lake.

Turned the scanner on this morning and put it back on that ID, same type of traffic. Sounds like Jail or security type communications, but I'm leaning towards Jail because sounds like they are doing "cell checks" and walk through's. And as I type this I'm hearing radio traffic about taking someone from booking back to C block. So, there you go.

Well, maybe we will find out soon.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Hmmm. I can't remember where I got 54064 as being South, and I don't recall
> hearing traffic on it. I do remember it being "future South dispatch
> channel" whenever I put it in my database. I don't monitor PD much either.
> I'm wondering if it's hurricane shelter related?
>
> Any Arlington PD folks on board with some info?
>
>
>
> Thanks for pointing it out David.
>
>
>
> Ben
>
> >Ok, don't really listen to Arlington all that much so tonight I was
> scanning Arlington and on what was showing to be South Patrol
> (54064)didn't sound like PD traffic.
>
> So I listened for a while, and it sounds like JAIL traffic. Hearing

> people talking about bonds, sounds like cell checks, and one person
> told another person to tell another person (inmate I guess) to step
> away from the door.
>
> Does anyone have any input on this or is my scanner going crazy.
>
> I checked RR and Ben's page on the ID and both are showing the same,
> APD South (54064).
>
> And if Arlington did change, where the heck did South go?
>
> Thanks,<
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29042. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Arlington PD South Patrol Channel?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-25 16:16:42 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29039 Message: 29042

I've heard that channel being used for multiple things...from talkaround-type traffic to event traffic. I agree that this morning it sounds like Jail/security ops...

South station construction is near completion on S Green Oaks. South patrol district has been set for a while...I'd expect a PD South Dispatch and TA to be set soon, if our current 54064 / 54224 are incorrect.

----- Original Message ----
From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 8:41:10 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Arlington PD South Patrol Channel?

That ID has been APD South Patrol for a long time. I went back and looked at all my templates from several years back. Last time I was listening to them is when I went to the new Wal-Mart off Little Rd. which was month's back. And the last time I listen to anything major on South Patrol was when they had that standoff where the car went into the lake.

Turned the scanner on this morning and put it back on that ID, same type of traffic. Sounds like Jail or security type communications, but I'm leaning towards Jail because sounds like they are doing "cell checks" and walk through's. And as I type this I'm hearing radio traffic about taking someone from booking back to C block. So, there you go.

Well, maybe we will find out soon.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocitie s.com/kc5rhb/ weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\ )" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Hmmm. I can't remember where I got 54064 as being South, and I don't recall
> hearing traffic on it. I do remember it being "future South dispatch
> channel" whenever I put it in my database. I don't monitor PD much either.
> I'm wondering if it's hurricane shelter related?
>
> Any Arlington PD folks on board with some info?
>
>

>
> Thanks for pointing it out David.
>
>
>
> Ben
>
> >Ok, don't really listen to Arlington all that much so tonight I was
> scanning Arlington and on what was showing to be South Patrol
> (54064)didn' t sound like PD traffic.
>
> So I listened for a while, and it sounds like JAIL traffic. Hearing
> people talking about bonds, sounds like cell checks, and one person
> told another person to tell another person (inmate I guess) to step
> away from the door.
>
> Does anyone have any input on this or is my scanner going crazy.
>
> I checked RR and Ben's page on the ID and both are showing the same,
> APD South (54064).
>
> And if Arlington did change, where the heck did South go?
>
> Thanks,<
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29043. Re: Arlington PD South Patrol Channel?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-25 19:00:14 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29039 Message: 29043

Well like I said, its been a while since I've listened to Arlington. The only time I listen to them is when I'm over there, or just plain bored in the middle of the night. But I know for a fact, long time ago, I would listen to South Patrol and South TA, and there was a dispatcher and units, espcially when I was over at Frys.

Now, everyone keeps talking about a new "South Station" being built. You can still have a "South Patrol" without having a "South Station".

Maybe Arlington had a budget cutback and pulled the South Patrol dispatcher, kind of what FW did when they were trying out having a traffic dispatcher.

But I know I'm not going crazy, APD South (54064) used to be active with a dispatcher. Ok, wheres those e-trunker folks to straighten this mess out, haha.

You never know what will change in a TRS. Just like that time I was hearing the Courtesy Patrol on one of the TCSO channels.

Ok, well that ID (54064) has been L/O for now. Dont really want to hear jail operations.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:
>
> I've heard that channel being used for multiple things...from talkaround-type traffic to event traffic. I agree that this morning it sounds like Jail/security ops...
>
> South station construction is near completion on S Green Oaks. South patrol district has been set for a while...I'd expect a PD South Dispatch and TA to be set soon, if our current 54064 / 54224 are incorrect.
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 8:41:10 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Arlington PD South Patrol Channel?

>
>
> That ID has been APD South Patrol for a long time. I went back and
> looked at all my templates from several years back. Last time I was
> listening to them is when I went to the new Wal-Mart off Little Rd.
> which was month's back. And the last time I listen to anything major
> on South Patrol was when they had that standoff where the car went
> into the lake.
>
> Turned the scanner on this morning and put it back on that ID, same
> type of traffic. Sounds like Jail or security type communications,
> but I'm leaning towards Jail because sounds like they are doing "cell
> checks" and walk through's. And as I type this I'm hearing radio
> traffic about taking someone from booking back to C block. So, there
> you go.
>
> Well, maybe we will find out soon.
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> http://www.geocitie s.com/kc5rhb/ weather
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\ )" <groups@> wrote:
> >
> > Hmmm. I can't remember where I got 54064 as being South, and I don't
> recall
> > hearing traffic on it. I do remember it being "future South dispatch
> > channel" whenever I put it in my database. I don't monitor PD much
> either.
> > I'm wondering if it's hurricane shelter related?
> >
> > Any Arlington PD folks on board with some info?
> >
> >
> >
> > Thanks for pointing it out David.
> >
> >
> >
> > Ben
> >
> > >Ok, don't really listen to Arlington all that much so tonight I was
> > scanning Arlington and on what was showing to be South Patrol
> > (54064)didn' t sound like PD traffic.
> >
> > So I listened for a while, and it sounds like JAIL traffic. Hearing
> > people talking about bonds, sounds like cell checks, and one person
> > told another person to tell another person (inmate I guess) to step

> > away from the door.
> >
> > Does anyone have any input on this or is my scanner going crazy.
> >
> > I checked RR and Ben's page on the ID and both are showing the same,
> > APD South (54064).
> >
> > And if Arlington did change, where the heck did South go?
> >
> > Thanks,<
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29044. 467.850 DC 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-26 19:32:24 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29044 Message: 29044

Hearing loss prevention doing surveillance
on possible shoplifters in a department store.

Talk of a women taking a bra and some man
taking a tie or something in the mens department.

Funny thing is, I have this as Home Depot
CH 2. Now there IS a Home Depot right
down the street from me, but they DON'T
have bras (unless its the Billy Mays industrial
strength model!). And they have cable ties -
but not dress ties!

Now I DO also have a Kohl's within walking
distance, so I'm thinking this may be them.

If not, could be Target. They are fairly close
by too. But the transmissions were loud.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

29045. RE: [dfwscan] 467.850 DC 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-26 21:30:45 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29044 Message: 29045

Blaine,

It must be Kohls because the French, who own Target, don't wear bras!

Mark

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Friday, September 26, 2008 2:32 PM
To: DFWSCAN
Subject: [dfwscan] 467.850 DC 023

Hearing loss prevention doing surveillance
on possible shoplifters in a department store.

Talk of a women taking a bra and some man
taking a tie or something in the mens department.

Funny thing is, I have this as Home Depot
CH 2. Now there IS a Home Depot right
down the street from me, but they DON'T
have bras (unless its the Billy Mays industrial
strength model!). And they have cable ties -
but not dress ties!

Now I DO also have a Kohl's within walking
distance, so I'm thinking this may be them.

If not, could be Target. They are fairly close
by too. But the transmissions were loud.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29046. gmrs repeaters in FW area

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-28 03:17:14 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 29046 Message: 29046

Hello,

Are there are any GMRS repeaters in the Fort Worth area?
Thanks.

Scott N9MXF

29047. Re: [dfwscan] gmrs repeaters in FW area

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-28 05:54:20 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29046 Message: 29047

462.700

It's a private system though.

Scott wrote:
> Hello,
>
> Are there are any GMRS repeaters in the Fort Worth area?
> Thanks.
>
> Scott N9MXF
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29048. Re: [dfwscan] gmrs repeaters in FW area

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-28 05:54:41 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29046 Message: 29048

I forgot.. Its in Flower mound.

Scott wrote:
> Hello,
>
> Are there are any GMRS repeaters in the Fort Worth area?
> Thanks.
>
> Scott N9MXF
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29049. Re: gmrs repeaters in FW area

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-28 06:45:25 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29046 Message: 29049

Closes one to Tarrant I believe is the WPQY525 repeater in
Duncanville. It's on 462.550 (100.0 or 141.3) tone, open repeater
only to licensed GMRS operators, Ham license not valid to operate on a
GMRS repeater. Thats why I love being a Ham!!!

But thats the only GMRS repeater I know thats close. You can hear it
from south and west Fort Worth. Its running at about 30 watts.

I don't believe GMRS repeaters are that big in Texas. I know in
Colorado they actually have GMRS clubs up there and tons of repeaters
scattered all over, especially in the mountains where there were not
many ham repeaters.

Hope that helps,

73,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scott" <bender_s@...> wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> Are there are any GMRS repeaters in the Fort Worth area?
> Thanks.
>
> Scott N9MXF
>

29050. Weekly FCC grants September 21-27

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-09-28 10:02:24 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29050 Message: 29050

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29051. Texas state fair police radio frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 06:03:49 UTC
From: bluenorthern11
Topic: 29051 Message: 29051

Is there a listing of what frequencies they will operate on at the 2008 state fair of texas?

29052. Dallas 911 Center

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 16:00:14 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 29052 Message: 29052

I saw a news story on the Dallas 911 Center going under command of the Dallas Police Dept.October 1.Since the change will the DFR personal stay in the 911 Center dispatching,etc.,or will it be DPD personal only?Thanks for any Info.

Mike in Garland

29053. Duncanville going to new band?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 17:45:00 UTC
From: duncanville1
Topic: 29053 Message: 29053

I have noticed all Duncanville police vehicles have sprung a new loaded antenna on the trunk. In response I ask a few friends over at the PD who advised that the department is going to 800mhz and the new mobile rigs are already installed just not active yet, i am guessing regional dispatch dosnt have the new repeaters installed. Anyone have any idea of the details on the new system? Also i wonder if the other two regional dispatch system users will follow? I cannot believe they are going to dump their VHF systems but nothing really surprises me.

29054. RE: [dfwscan] Duncanville going to new band?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 18:51:28 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29053 Message: 29054

All 3 cities going to an MTP 1327 UHF system.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of duncanville1
Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2008 12:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Duncanville going to new band?

I have noticed all Duncanville police vehicles have sprung a new loaded antenna on the trunk. In response I ask a few friends over at the PD who advised that the department is going to 800mhz and the new mobile rigs are already installed just not active yet, i am guessing regional dispatch dosnt have the new repeaters installed. Anyone have any idea of the details on the new system? Also i wonder if the other two regional dispatch system users will follow? I cannot believe they are going to dump their VHF systems but nothing really surprises me.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29055. Fw: Re: [dfwscan] Dallas 911 Center

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 18:51:22 UTC
From: Dale Aymond
Topic: 29055 Message: 29055

As for the Fire Department nothing really changes. If you call 911 and tell them your house is on fire or you need an ambulance your call is forwarded to the fire dispatch.

Then actual fire fighters take the information from you and send the equipment. If you phone 911 and tell you have been involved in a major accident, the 911 operator takes the info and the request for fire personnel is sent over by computer to the fire department for dispatching.

The 911 operators are in a room right next to the police dispatchers and 40 feet from there is a room with the fire dispatchers.

Although the police are going to make a few changes in procedures everything it more or less staying the same. The 911 center will now be in the police departments budget and under their command. I think the fire department is happy to give it up.

Dale

--- On Thu, 10/2/08, rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@...> wrote:

From: rescue4nfd <rescue4nfd@yahoo.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas 911 Center
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Thursday, October 2, 2008, 11:00 AM

I saw a news story on the Dallas 911 Center going under command of
the Dallas Police Dept.October 1.Since the change will the DFR
personal stay in the 911 Center dispatching, etc.,or will it be DPD
personal only?Thanks for any Info.

Mike in Garland

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29056. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas 911 Center

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 18:53:11 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29052 Message: 29056

Taking over the 911 side. Basically nothing will change except who runs the show.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2008 11:00 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas 911 Center

I saw a news story on the Dallas 911 Center going under command of the Dallas Police Dept.October 1.Since the change will the DFR personal stay in the 911 Center dispatching,etc.,or will it be DPD personal only?Thanks for any Info.

Mike in Garland

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29057. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas 911 Center

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 18:55:14 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29052 Message: 29057

Here's the press release:

The City of Dallas 911 Call-Center has operated under the able management of the Dallas Fire and Rescue Department for the past 14 years. Beginning Wednesday October 1, 2008, the 911 Call-Center and Administration will be transitioned to the Dallas Police Department. This transition will continue the long-standing positive relationship between 911 and the Police Department and will allow us to be even more responsive to the officers in the field and the citizens we all serve. The Dallas Police Communications Section and 911 Call-Center will continue to work closely with the Dallas Fire and Rescue Communications division to ensure seamless delivery of service for all public safety emergencies. For all other non-public safety emergencies and information, citizens should continue to call 311

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2008 1:53 PM
To: 'rescue4nfd'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Dallas 911 Center

Taking over the 911 side. Basically nothing will change except who runs the show.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rescue4nfd
Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2008 11:00 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas 911 Center

I saw a news story on the Dallas 911 Center going under command of the Dallas Police Dept.October 1.Since the change will the DFR personal stay in the 911 Center dispatching,etc.,or will it be DPD personal only?Thanks for any Info.

Mike in Garland

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see: http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

---

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29058. Re: Texas state fair police radio frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 20:00:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29051 Message: 29058

bluenorthern11 wrote:

> Is there a listing of what frequencies they will operate on at the 2008
> state fair of texas?

This is a very good question, and one
that has been asked every year on this
list since I moved down here in '98. Problem
is, I have yet to see in 10 years time an accurate
and complete list of all the frequencies that are
used. Granted, it might be a bunch of mindless
chit chat among food vendors, but still, it would
be fun and interesting to get some confirmations.
I would say most of the stuff would be business
band itinerant or FRS, but, who knows? I do
know DPD command is on CH12 (460.400) but
honestly, that's about all I know.

Perhaps with the new signal stalker and close call
scanners, we can get more info.

Blaine

29059. RE: [dfwscan] Duncanville going to new band?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 20:12:52 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 29059 Message: 29059

Hello Terry and the Group!
How about trying: "MPT 1327" !!
Motorola's MSK trunking protocol system that they ran the patent out on
and Kenwood picked it up as theirs....
Happy Scanning!
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the
Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Duncanville going to new band?
From: "Terry" <terry@...>
Date: Thu, October 02, 2008 1:51 pm
To: "'duncanville1'" <duncanville1@...>,
<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>

All 3 cities going to an MTP 1327 UHF system.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of
duncanville1
Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2008 12:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Duncanville going to new band?

I have noticed all Duncanville police vehicles have sprung a new
loaded antenna on the trunk. In response I ask a few friends over at
the PD who advised that the department is going to 800mhz and the new
mobile rigs are already installed just not active yet, i am guessing
regional dispatch dosnt have the new repeaters installed. Anyone have
any idea of the details on the new system? Also i wonder if the other
two regional dispatch system users will follow? I cannot believe they
are going to dump their VHF systems but nothing really surprises me.

29060. NRH Public Safety Expo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 21:31:48 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 29060 Message: 29060

Just a heads up for the group, NRH Public Safety Expo is going to be help October 11, 2008 from 10:00 - 16:00. The Quad Cities will be there in attendance plus others.

It is going to be located at NRH20 in North Richland Hills @ 9001 Blvd 26. Parking will be across the street @ TTC NE campus.

Events etc that will be there:

Over 30 fire apparatus's plus the Dallas fire museum will be bringing some of there vintage fire rigs.

Motorcycle PD will have a course set up to demo their riding skills as some might have seen them in the Birdville Complex practicing. They have won worldwide competitions and most place in the top 3. The last competition the attended was last year in Australia.

FD will be doing demos on rappelling from the Green Extreme (Ride). Also they will be doing demos on water rescues (no swift water though)

FD is going to demo how they cut through cars for extrication etc...

FEMA will have here command centers set up, I think 2 of them.

Texas Auto Theft Task Force will be there doing demos.

For the kids, that I know of, there will be a course set up for bicycle riding, after watching the motorcycle's of course. Some kind of walk through exhibit with extras.

Everything will be located @ the waterpark including inside & in the field to the rear of the park.

Hope ya'll get the idea, I am typing them as they pop in my head. I am going to try and attend early as I have plans that afternoon. If you are going to be there shoot me a message and maybe we can run into each other.

Cody

29061. Interference on DFR Hospital Channels

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 21:45:14 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29061 Message: 29061

For some reason on 463.000 & 463.025 there is some very bad interference whenever any Rescue transmits to Biotel. It is a high-pitched beep and some static that repeats every second. I have heard this from Rescues 5, 38, 37, 49 and 30 so far. I have not heard it when any helicopters are coming in, so it has to be the department radios. Biotel is constantly having to ask for repeated information or advising units to call in on the cell phone. Is there a new piece of equipment being carried that is interfering with these calls?

29062. Re: Texas state fair police radio frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 23:46:47 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29051 Message: 29062

Hey Blaine, wake up!!! Your multi-tasking again, lol. He was asking about DPD.

I believe they will be on Ch.12 (460.400) and sometimes on the simplex channel. But like Blaine was saying, if you want to listen to the staff, vendors, parking security, ect. I'm sure they will be using FRS or Business "dot" radios (UHF), just search in that band and "you shall find".

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> bluenorthern11 wrote:
>
> > Is there a listing of what frequencies they will operate on at the 2008
> > state fair of texas?
>
> This is a very good question, and one
> that has been asked every year on this
> list since I moved down here in '98. Problem
> is, I have yet to see in 10 years time an accurate
> and complete list of all the frequencies that are
> used. Granted, it might be a bunch of mindless
> chit chat among food vendors, but still, it would
> be fun and interesting to get some confirmations.
> I would say most of the stuff would be business
> band itinerant or FRS, but, who knows? I do
> know DPD command is on CH12 (460.400) but
> honestly, that's about all I know.
>
> Perhaps with the new signal stalker and close call
> scanners, we can get more info.
>
>
> Blaine
>

29063. Re: UPDATE!! Texas state fair police radio frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-02 23:50:23 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29051 Message: 29063

Sorry Blaine, for some reason the bottom of your messege got cut off,
I didn't see the DPD part you wrote till I posted my message, my bad.
Its all good!! BLAINES RIGHT!!!!

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Hey Blaine, wake up!!! Your multi-tasking again, lol. He was asking
> about DPD.
>
> I believe they will be on Ch.12 (460.400) and sometimes on the simplex
> channel. But like Blaine was saying, if you want to listen to the
> staff, vendors, parking security, ect. I'm sure they will be using FRS
> or Business "dot" radios (UHF), just search in that band and "you
> shall find".
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@> wrote:
> >
> > bluenorthern11 wrote:
> >
> > > Is there a listing of what frequencies they will operate on at the
> 2008
> > > state fair of texas?
> >
> > This is a very good question, and one
> > that has been asked every year on this
> > list since I moved down here in '98. Problem
> > is, I have yet to see in 10 years time an accurate
> > and complete list of all the frequencies that are
> > used. Granted, it might be a bunch of mindless
> > chit chat among food vendors, but still, it would
> > be fun and interesting to get some confirmations.
> > I would say most of the stuff would be business
> > band itinerant or FRS, but, who knows? I do
> > know DPD command is on CH12 (460.400) but
> > honestly, that's about all I know.
> >
> > Perhaps with the new signal stalker and close call

> > scanners, we can get more info.
> >
> >
> > Blaine
> >
>

29064. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Texas state fair police radio frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-03 02:01:27 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 29051 Message: 29064

There's definitely nothing more exciting than hearing where they need more hotdogs, or what toilets are stopped up... gotta plan in advance and all.

If you really want to find such nonsense, take a spectrum analyzer. You'll likely find stuff on MURS, FRS, GMRS, itinerants, biz band, as well as a whole host of illegal users on unauthorized channels. Wouldn't surprised me to see some 900MHz FHSS going on too.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2008 03:00 PM
To: bluenorthern11
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Texas state fair police radio frequencies

bluenorthern11 wrote:

> Is there a listing of what frequencies they will operate on at the 2008
> state fair of texas?

This is a very good question, and one
that has been asked every year on this
list since I moved down here in '98. Problem
is, I have yet to see in 10 years time an accurate
and complete list of all the frequencies that are
used. Granted, it might be a bunch of mindless
chit chat among food vendors, but still, it would
be fun and interesting to get some confirmations.
I would say most of the stuff would be business
band itinerant or FRS, but, who knows? I do
know DPD command is on CH12 (460.400) but
honestly, that's about all I know.

Perhaps with the new signal stalker and close call
scanners, we can get more info.

Blaine

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29065. Emergency Managers Weather Information Network

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-03 14:11:42 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 29065 Message: 29065

I was doing a quick scan through the federal band while on a smoke break outside while I am at work came up with two data like signals, one on
168.7125 and the other on 168.8125. The data signal sounds just like white static noise. This is the first time I have heard them so I was intrigued to find out what they were. Well when I did a little search on google it looks like they are some type of data rebroadcasting service for the NOAA. The 168.7125 is out of Denton and the 168.8125 is out of Mesquite, both using 100 watts of power using a baud rate of 1200,8,n,1.

http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/emwin.html

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

29066. Re: [dfwscan] Emergency Managers Weather Information Network

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-03 15:00:41 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29065 Message: 29066

----- Original Message -----
From: Matthew
[mailto:mbstone99@...]
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Fri, 03
Oct 2008 09:11:42 -0500
Subject: [dfwscan] Emergency Managers Weather
Information Network

> I was doing a quick scan through the federal band while on a smoke
> break outside while I am at work came up with two data like signals,
> one on
> 168.7125 and the other on 168.8125. The data signal sounds just like
> white static noise. This is the first time I have heard them so I was
> intrigued to find out what they were. Well when I did a little search
> on google it looks like they are some type of data rebroadcasting
> service for the NOAA. The 168.7125 is out of Denton and the 168.8125
> is out of Mesquite, both using 100 watts of power using a baud rate of
> 1200,8,n,1.
>
> http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/emwin.html
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>

EMWIN, yea..

The Denton ham radio club hosts an EMWIN transmitter up at TWU as well.

29067. Re: Emergency Managers Weather Information Network

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-03 16:11:43 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29065 Message: 29067

Yep, thats EMWIN. I've been using EMWIN for a long time before I went to an air card. I still use it every now and then when I'm in an area that I cant get an air card siganl but can still pick up an EMWIN signal, espceialy in Oklahoma. But its real easy to setup. If you do the radio way, you can use a scanner, demodulator, and get the EMWIN software and your ready to go. You can also stream the signal from the internet too, and satellite. I'm sure you read what it can do, but its a good reliable source of info when all else fails.

73,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@...> wrote:
>
> I was doing a quick scan through the federal band while on a smoke
> break outside while I am at work came up with two data like signals,
> one on
> 168.7125 and the other on 168.8125. The data signal sounds just like
> white static noise. This is the first time I have heard them so I was
> intrigued to find out what they were. Well when I did a little search
> on google it looks like they are some type of data rebroadcasting
> service for the NOAA. The 168.7125 is out of Denton and the 168.8125
> is out of Mesquite, both using 100 watts of power using a baud rate of
> 1200,8,n,1.
>
> http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/emwin.html
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>

29068. Dubya in Town Tonight

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-03 19:01:23 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29068 Message: 29068

Hi folks, just a heads up..POTUS is headed to Waco tonight and the FAA has established a TFR (as usual) for the time period of 8:20pm - 9:25pm tonight.

If you want to maybe catch a listen for AF1 you might keep these in the scanner:

FTW Center 269.5, 133.3
Waco Approach 135.2
TSTC Tower 255.7, 124.0

Our good buddy Blaine might have some other Mil/Air freqs to add. These would be a good starting place. CAP Freqs anyone??

Enjoy.

Tracey

29069. Re: Dubya in Town Tonight

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-03 19:16:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29068 Message: 29069

Tracey W. Singleton wrote:

> Our good buddy Blaine might have some other Mil/Air freqs to add. These
> would be a good starting place. CAP Freqs anyone??

271.0 was the primary last time I heard
anything from that area, which has been
a long time.

Blaine

29070. Musical Instrument Wireless Mic Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-03 21:25:00 UTC
From: Bob Stewart
Topic: 29070 Message: 29070

I'm going to the MusicArte de Fort Worth latin festival in downtown Fort Worth Friday and Saturday.
http://www.musicartefw.com/Default.aspx

I was hoping to listen to some of the wireless mics on some musical instruments and singers in the performing groups.

My files show these extra large ranges of frequencies:
169-216 MHz
519-865
902-927

Can anyone narrow that down a bit?

29071. Re: [dfwscan] Musical Instrument Wireless Mic Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-03 21:50:52 UTC
From: nd5y@yahoo.com
Topic: 29070 Message: 29071

This might help.
http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Cordless_Microphones

Tom

Bob Stewart wrote:
> I'm going to the MusicArte de Fort Worth latin festival in downtown
> Fort Worth Friday and Saturday.
> http://www.musicartefw.com/Default.aspx
>
> I was hoping to listen to some of the wireless mics on some musical
> instruments and singers in the performing groups.
>
> My files show these extra large ranges of frequencies:
> 169-216 MHz
> 519-865
> 902-927
>
> Can anyone narrow that down a bit?

29072. Re: Musical Instrument Wireless Mic Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-04 01:25:43 UTC
From: imn2dp1
Topic: 29070 Message: 29072

I run sound for my church and we use several Shure ULX wireless mics
for vocals and instruments.

Here is a link to the Shure site:
http://www.shure.com/ProAudio/Products/WirelessMicrophones/us_pro_ULXP_content

The two supplement guides M1 and J1 each have the North American
frequencies for the Shure system.

I would guess if you loaded those in your scanner you could pick some
stuff. Shure is one of the most popular brands for the pros and not
quite pros. So you should get a few hits.

Also, they may use a Williams Sound Wireless system for the hearing
impaired. Those frequencies are 72.1, 72.3, 72.5, 72.7, 72.9, 75.5,
75.7 and 75.9. Most will deafult to 72.9 I think.

Dale

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, nd5y@... wrote:
>
> This might help.
> http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Cordless_Microphones
>
> Tom
>
> Bob Stewart wrote:
> > I'm going to the MusicArte de Fort Worth latin festival in downtown
> > Fort Worth Friday and Saturday.
> > http://www.musicartefw.com/Default.aspx
> >
> > I was hoping to listen to some of the wireless mics on some musical
> > instruments and singers in the performing groups.
> >
> > My files show these extra large ranges of frequencies:
> > 169-216 MHz
> > 519-865
> > 902-927
> >
> > Can anyone narrow that down a bit?
>

29073. crowley 2 alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-04 14:51:10 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29073 Message: 29073

Crowley is on a 2 alarm fire at harvisson fisher at 901 n crowley rd

154.370 77.0

29074. Lewisville PD radios

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-04 23:01:26 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29074 Message: 29074

From the chief's weekly update:

SUPPORT SERVICES

The maintenance checks on our portable
radios were completed last week. Ninety-five
portables were serviced over a two day period.
The technicians fixed frequency drift, reset squelch,
adjusted receiver sensitivity and a few other things
onsite. If they could not fix it onsite, we have made
arrangements to have them repaired at DFW
Communications or Motorola shops.

Fifty-eight of the 95 radios were operating within
normal capacity. Twenty-four radios require off-site
repair. (Ten were sent now and another 14 will be
sent to the shops as we recover some of our spares.)

Issues that affected the quality of the radio
transmissions include:

19 radios were significantly off frequency
4 had their squelch adjusted
4 had an output power of less than 2 watts
3 had low receiver sensitivity
2 had low deviation readings

29075. Weekly FCC grants September 28-October 04

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-05 11:00:22 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29075 Message: 29075

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29076. RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants September 28-October 04/R E B A N D I N G

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-05 12:54:06 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29075 Message: 29076

It looks like there's some FCC license activity on D/FW Airport's
frequencies for rebanding.

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=1301201

I'm not sure what going on with the frequencies below. Maybe fixing some bad
data, but one of the adds, 868.5225, doesn't look right.

"RELOCATE B, DELETE 866.5875 & 868.7125. ADD 868.5225, 868.6625 & 868.8125.
[GE] "

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29077. RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants September 28-October 04/R E B A N D I N G

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-05 17:58:56 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29075 Message: 29077

I saw something very recently which leads me to suspect DFW may be migrating to a P25 system also.

Ed

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Sun, 10/5/08, Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@bensware.com> wrote:

From: Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants September 28-October 04/R E B A N D I N G
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Sunday, October 5, 2008, 7:54 AM

It looks like there's some FCC license activity on D/FW Airport's
frequencies for rebanding.

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=1301201

I'm not sure what going on with the frequencies below. Maybe fixing some
bad
data, but one of the adds, 868.5225, doesn't look right.

"RELOCATE B, DELETE 866.5875 & 868.7125. ADD 868.5225, 868.6625 &
868.8125.
[GE] "

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29078. OT: plane spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-05 18:07:03 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29078 Message: 29078

Hey Folks,

I'm not sure how common the Russian AH 124-100 (huge cargo plane) is, but I just noticed one sitting on the tarmac on the west side of D/FW in the International Cargo area off of 23rd street. I couldn't make out a tail number anywhere to research, but poking around on FlightAware.com I see where VDA2528 arrived Saturday, so I bet that's the one.

Ben

29079. Re: OT: plane spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-05 18:40:14 UTC
From: David Dusse
Topic: 29078 Message: 29079

I can't remember if it was in Portland or Seattle that I saw one land.
When I researched it, I learned that our govt has a contract with a
Russian firm to transport large and heavy parts for govt projects
using the Antonov AH-124-100. Not sure what projects, but my guess is
that's the reason the big beast was in town.

They certainly are a sight to see!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Hey Folks,
>
> I'm not sure how common the Russian AH 124-100 (huge cargo plane)
is, but I
> just noticed one sitting on the tarmac on the west side of D/FW in the
> International Cargo area off of 23rd street. I couldn't make out a tail
> number anywhere to research, but poking around on FlightAware.com I see
> where VDA2528 arrived Saturday, so I bet that's the one.
>
> Ben
>

29080. RE: [dfwscan] OT: plane spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-05 21:51:28 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29078 Message: 29080

Well, it's already left. My brother saw it leave about 15:15CDT. It's going to Dover AFB. Had I not been napping with the cell phone on silent, I might have heard his call, and gone and watched.

http://flightaware.com/live/flight/VDA2529

Ben

>Hey Folks,

I'm not sure how common the Russian AH 124-100 (huge cargo plane) is, but I just noticed one sitting on the tarmac on the west side of D/FW in the International Cargo area off of 23rd street. I couldn't make out a tail number anywhere to research, but poking around on FlightAware.com I see where VDA2528 arrived Saturday, so I bet that's the one.

Ben<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29081. Emergency in Richardson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-06 00:43:45 UTC
From: cmhteh@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 29081 Message: 29081

Anyone know the reason that Richardson PD
has Custer blocked between Arapaho and Campbell???
Have been told that there are number of RFD
Units (engine, trucks and MICU's on the scene)
Would appreciate a response if your know!
T. Hines
DFW410

29082. Re: Musical Instrument Wireless Mic Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-06 02:14:48 UTC
From: Bob Stewart
Topic: 29070 Message: 29082

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob Stewart" <bobscanfwtx@...> wrote:
>
> I'm going to the MusicArte de Fort Worth latin festival in downtown
> Fort Worth Friday and Saturday.
> http://www.musicartefw.com/Default.aspx
>
> I was hoping to listen to some of the wireless mics on some musical
> instruments and singers in the performing groups.
>
> My files show these extra large ranges of frequencies:
> 169-216 MHz
> 519-865
> 902-927
>
> Can anyone narrow that down a bit?
>
My thanks to Tom and Dale for the updated sources for wireless mic frequencies. Unfortunately, all the performing groups used hard wires to their instruments, so I was not able to scan them. But that wasn't the worst part.

We had free front row seats to the Tito Puente Jr group.
As soon as the music started, about 30 people flooded in and stood directly in front of our seats. By directly I mean that our faces were 2 feet from a wall of posteriors, and we had to fight to find solid ground for our shoes.

A number of us seated asked them to move and they ignored us.
I talked to 2 FWPD working part time for the festival, and they absolutely refused to lift a finger. I talked to the top person of the festival, and she was outraged both at the people and at FWPD.

By that time, not only was I outraged, but I was also very enraged at the injustices, and at those who refused to correct the injustices.
We were no longer able to enjoy the music so we left......
I'm still angry.

29083. [Fwd: October 5, 2008 - Uniden scanners and accessories]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-06 02:26:40 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29083 Message: 29083

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: October 5, 2008 - Uniden scanners and accessories
Date: Sun, 5 Oct 2008 22:26:04 -0400 (EDT)
From: www.ScannerWorld.com <info@...>
Reply-To: info@...
To: jay@...

*Scanner World, USA

*ScannerWorld.com
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0H1Psx_zbhAbTCJIJaNHqEjXfKHB5aznaAfJuHafNq-fGawp2J8ib
CeEzrD_8jU8jNcw2b0cDIOOAQL7Horq1vUyGu5j5Q05wCzel_bb5HbCg==>*_

<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0H1Psx_zbhAbTCJIJaNHqEjXfKHB5aznaAfJuHafNq-fGawp2J8ib
CeEzrD_8jU8jNcw2b0cDIOOAQL7Horq1vUyGu5j5Q05wCzel_bb5HbCg==>_October

5, 2008

41st ANNIVERSARY 1967 - 2008

17 Interstate Avenue, Albany, NY 12205
518-436-9606
_PHONE ORDERS 800-476-8050
In stock orders placed before 2pm (M - F) are shipped same day

USA and INTERNATIONAL ORDERS ACCEPTED ON LINE
****

_UNIDEN BR330T ALL BAND HAND HELD
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0HnvRA5USFkOZYrHDlKX4ABTGcDkafzDvA-FDmrLT6GvcVsbOxWdh
Q1NJSE61ODOVtUhQo5vU4_oc-hojvQvarIv_LCP2U-ZsqtIb2G6RTgrBjthwoCwyZFmDReyh5NJYbrAm392Vf5FT73
GLp6ttr-Pw88mR6oTlc=>

ANALOG TRUNKING SCANNER - 2500 Channels
Brand New - Limited Quantity
** ALL BAND .1 MHz - 1.3 GHz Coverage*
Excluding Cellular

*ONLY $234.99
Uniden Bearcat BR330T Scanner
**IN STOCK FOR SAME DAY SHIPPING

**
****

UNIDEN *BC92XLT
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FhFtPeei5jzrn8aUkjY_0heMuROm-XjWR2qs4RNWfUWn0Pr_GRfk
oIhRcxlGoExdxXVsHFMfXcYZiQv9q6XlytH9rw7agb2Wrx4OtN-M90kZIfF4ZMtvR_HfSOaovgNji0vaRRm0wcSCm9

TvIh0pJ4hWBclHwWFco=>*
$88.99

*200 CHANNEL HAND HELD
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FhFtPeei5jzrn8aUkjY_0heMuROm-XjWR2qs4RNWfUWn0Pr_GRfk
oIhRcxlGoExdxXVsHFMfXcYZiQv9q6XlytH9rw7agb2Wrx4OtN-M90kZIfF4ZMtvR_HfSOaovgNji0vaRRm0wcSCm9
TvIh0pJ4hWBclHwWFco=>*
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FhFtPeei5jzrn8aUkjY_0heMuROm-XjWR2qs4RNWfUWn0Pr_GRfk
oIhRcxlGoExdxXVsHFMfXcYZiQv9q6XlytH9rw7agb2Wrx4OtN-M90kZIfF4ZMtvR_HfSOaovgNji0vaRRm0wcSCm9
TvIh0pJ4hWBclHwWFco=>ALL

BAND - POLICE - FIRE - AIRCRAFT
800MHz - NASCAR - TRAINS - MARINE
****
*BC92XLT *PACK92a Special Package Deal
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FzHJWax7g55-3cCmSotKpeO8yMvuWOXPUjVnVOtGJ_w-hJAG_OIR
SsAk7fK624B5UqmgNFOJ3Lu9d-ebRmq-UZgqbbrMJOgpYXyhYE22gm7fGIFj63d5xeTdqdx0XdPGaUC_jLrBP1ptpL
kpsYKrCaMAOTQLuY6Q4=>*

ONLY $123.99
*PACK 92a PACKAGE DEAL INCLUDES THE UNIDEN BC92XLT SCANNER PLUS THE
FOLLOWING ACCESSORIES: AC adapter charger, rubber antenna, belt clip,
and owners instruction manual. PLUS additional items added by Scanner
World. 92MPC mobile cigarette lighter charger cord; LSP2 mini lapel
speaker; AANIMHP2300P2 rechargeable Ni-Mh batteries; AT002 mobile wire
antenna.
* **********

CIGARETTE LIGHTER CHARGER CORDS
*DC612
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FqB2d8M3VMiC1cbSgGtuVQGi-2uvSn_wzLyDuQuTuZ6yZTYZzBKw
Xngub4T2zuJXeIfjc3wpeFkCWdFIBhUj82l39zon8ErjJ953p0OgXcwXsqDdO7Y8e2HWEwSKZYxcPabFVbyjoSO3SM
Rs1jeO1edUlZy37r0c0=>*

- IN STOCK - SHIPS TODAY

*DC612 Cigarette Lighter Cord Uniden
*SCANNER WORLD ONLY $12.99 each
Sold elsewhere for $17.99 to $29.99 each
*

**Designed For The Following Hand Held Uniden Bearcat Models:
BC-72XLT, BC-92XLT, BC-246T, SC-230, BR-330T, BCD-396T, UBC72XLT,
UBC92XLT, BC-95XLT, USC230 , UBC69XLT - Radio Shack PRO-84**

****
**
POWER CORDS AVAILABLE FOR ALL SCANNER MODELS
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0EfzRSwh7H-IAkzS1Rse9qtJTXR5QmzCYD23ecJOrbpp5lBixRCi7
3MrOD2rpatxJIitWHAXhdKiO3HULDpi-e_0Nivrt6pprvs5PocPly22BnNL_ncqtvbcnIg_6VYBd3Yu9b7tj1Mv5ZW
cCfq-CKiR3GqKOGpU9yl7OgnK7ur4A==>
****
**

***UNIDEN BEARCAT
**BCD996T BASE MOBILE
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0EsoUR2TxCXn2jKNX-YvhC6EWu9gYaevTnA_QPMu_Y4LJoGJHoQsc
L7iTJ-s1BPQOGtqxgIRUZe-xxxela2pLEmR5ACBC772trmH27nfpC_yOp3kjmCRiBIGrbwpr9dl13PUMuIq7LccEz5
jglO4Snk_rfQCR36JMs=>
BCD396T HANDHELD
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0Gw-Z1RFkdWGBg6yvsLpT1RXe_6V8q66LRN1bw_RVUsTV9fmPM173
MH9EC9N06FqfueeCyr7h5cBIKxgIEETwTD20ngkt6m7aXQI7ETlzqt8u8S45OAafzCi9jvg891FYuHKi-M0gWoQ-5t
Rn_vvNhsWDq-jZr6-Yg=>
APCODIGITAL P25
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0EsoUR2TxCXn2jKNX-YvhC6EWu9gYaevTnA_QPMu_Y4LJoGJHoQsc
L7iTJ-s1BPQOGtqxgIRUZe-xxxela2pLEmR5ACBC772trmH27nfpC_yOp3kjmCRiBIGrbwpr9dl13PUMuIq7LccEz5
jglO4Snk_rfQCR36JMs=>**
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0H5CQ4neDWFHtu-hIo71ef1REfkTnelKFL1D0qi0L3-ElT9YaeDx7
id29ReZKe3AOp1jlY-GwDTlqINe2DkLV_P9Z99OiAgi81J0qqNUyVGrnaGy3n2wMmYKgycxhiJfy-rwRw7b3XxmVcc
EpamSFhxlPbpJbDkRUM=>$499.99

each

***BCRH96
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FSMEEkN0k5VWdAQ_cILbojq4opBiZpXHXt3EoiXMo2onnc0Ppf_c
v5TUZhJA2naGhlj-CTwVKojc69IrPm2fwX4M1yoZUkF5W18Ng2JpxbUu9GBVfNiH5xqJ_5WzqAb1estwMIfQUG8vBP
agbb-YPG6I6P22oiLQ0=>

REMOTE HEAD
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FSMEEkN0k5VWdAQ_cILbojq4opBiZpXHXt3EoiXMo2onnc0Ppf_c
v5TUZhJA2naGhlj-CTwVKojc69IrPm2fwX4M1yoZUkF5W18Ng2JpxbUu9GBVfNiH5xqJ_5WzqAb1estwMIfQUG8vBP
agbb-YPG6I6P22oiLQ0=>***
UNIDEN
SPECIAL $139.99
LIMITED QUANTITY AVAILABLE
BCRH96 HAS BEEN DISCONTINUED BY UNIDEN

**BC350C
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0HSnbwfJH-Ec2098RKDr5GSSxG5x6Du_ynfRNmgjZxzME51kk96c-
SHTDjCdTx0J3qPGe5Keleww_QWSolCgubnblRd2YGq_C6JmN_c_jJs-v86pfFM9YLaQcbqIEIBjdKSyu_a4j8IKQM_
Lh-Odcsf2DJ7tN71Fh0=>
BASE or MOBILE SCANNER
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0HSnbwfJH-Ec2098RKDr5GSSxG5x6Du_ynfRNmgjZxzME51kk96c-
SHTDjCdTx0J3qPGe5Keleww_QWSolCgubnblRd2YGq_C6JmN_c_jJs-v86pfFM9YLaQcbqIEIBjdKSyu_a4j8IKQM_
Lh-Odcsf2DJ7tN71Fh0=>**
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0EYwcVkPv9eNyNld-lp-YeuKTL7EYrLx3pObIc9N79Xa4JC9T-ZgM
1mWe-21aeEv61oo7umu6bvzcOqiIbvcX2YLn8dthI41TDyLPTPXEtyfFxoaMmk-ei-ILlxt1-_Q9JmRigSFQjNxRCe
wLelqsK1HrLnTqh-sf4=>100

CHANNEL, AC / DC, POLICE, FIRE, RAILROAD, AIRCRAFT, 800MHz
BC350C Package Included Accessories
SPECIAL PRICE
Includes BC350C Scanner plus accessories shown above
BC350C $ 99.99
***

***
****
LIMITED QUANTITY
***RELM RMV50
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0Ho0UkgSsXKZ3XBPD6v9PZR38irg2najnYqTdQNWJJRaCLhECdqmO
mtmJO4NDHUiM_Bsou8vTP2f_4XD2NT6VBvePjSs27wrEmiBaHj2ko6qNWRorHhgq0c38LVJdiuK45KPnHJf5C0y777
__Kn9hfAhF5DI6iu56A=>

VHF HIGH BAND 50 WATT MOBILE IN STOCK***
CLOSEOUT
***PORTABLE & MOBILE RELM UHF MODELS IN STOCK
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FJsSaU6eiVTTcWgdCAZA72n4Gv1fsce8Z17u3_CpREV67ZeSQD1C
c48wwX82bsffP7t_AmDSdGsvIRZ0RtTtUNxT_nGOVK5GifZy-PAvSU3QRIXTFAE84B1bWeqAZV2knqsFuTL6bGZ6A9
KWssI4nb_F4EkgN2ZBU=>***
ONLY A FEW PIECES AVAILABLE
****
***
* **
** *
***ALL BAND **ANT135BNC
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0EOCKgdVra3yGMmxn1wTJrjJaAdX2wgbu5_4qyIYxX-dBsUJWVbPd
ZzYDi_LN_NBdZ7eZbQJmNVXuH_QLEHC7lz9gGB0pHfTtXIV7M8BiQliH74NqiltpSJExTULev95SOZ7BbeCgwiH-FJ
F0op-brp6rOOTtdZQpk=>**

INDOOR BASE OR MOBILE SCANNER ANTENNA
$49.99

All products are BRAND NEW and can be ordered online
ScannerWorld.com
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0Gv-JYjKMn7X88LjvBDBYpSctd81cMky3lmUS6hvWyzWO-z0l0IIQ
LEfixAop8EiClnxQ2vzMQl7p7zBTi_U4zKOejnWJnN1GxUkaPPRiPpoau0oZY0beMX--xvUcli82OXthu-YJnu5lxh
706mxCrTX-iDUoeLBYftYbYJb1noSpWH1uQIswed>
Limited quantities available on some models. Check our web site for
availability of all items.

***
BR330T Uniden Bearcat hand held ALL BAND scanner -
Manufactured 2008 234.99
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0HnvRA5USFkOZYrHDlKX4ABTGcDkafzDvA-FDmrLT6GvcVsbOxWdh
Q1NJSE61ODOVtUhQo5vU4_oc-hojvQvarIv_LCP2U-ZsqtIb2G6RTgrBjthwoCwyZFmDReyh5NJYbrAm392Vf5FT73
GLp6ttr-Pw88mR6oTlc=>***
BC246T Uniden Bearcat hand held Trunking scanner 1600 +
channels 209.99
BCT15 UNIDEN BEARCAT BASE MOBILE ALL BAND
SCANNER 229.99
BC340CRS Uniden Bearcat base scanner programmable 100
channels 95.99
BC370CRS Uniden Bearcat base scanner programmable 300
channels 159.99
BC898T Uniden Bearcat base trunking scanner programmable 500
channels 209.99

**BC92XLT
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FhFtPeei5jzrn8aUkjY_0heMuROm-XjWR2qs4RNWfUWn0Pr_GRfkoIhRcxlGoExdxXVsHFMfXcYZiQv9q6XlytH9rw7agb2Wrx4OtN-M90kZIfF4ZMtvR_HfSOaovgNji0vaRRm0wcSCm9TvIh0pJ4hWBclHwWFco=>**

Uniden Bearcat hand held programmable 200 channels
88.99
BC95XLT Uniden Bearcat hand held programmable 200
channels 119.99
BC72XLT Uniden Bearcat hand held scanner - 100
Channels 87.99
SC230 Uniden Bearcat hand held scanner - 1000
channels 189.99
**** BC350C Uniden Bearcat base and mobile scanner 100
channels 99.99
BCT8 Uniden Bearcat BearTracker trunking base mobile 250
channels 179.99**
** BCD996T Uniden Bearcat All Band APCO 25 Digital / Analog Base
Mobile Scanner 499.99
BCD396T Uniden Bearcat All Band APCO 25 Digital / Analog
HandHeld Scanner ***499.99 ***
** *
***Programming software is available for BC246T, BCT15, BC898T, BCT8,
BCD396T, and BCD996T**
*
**********Our inventory is constantly changing. Check our web site
for availability of other model scanners and accessories.

Replacement or Optional Accessories for Hand Held Scanners

ANT59 and ANT44 GAIN TELESCOPIC BNC ANTENNA FOR SCANNERS
****
********** ** LSP2 Lapel speaker for scanners or other radios
with an 1/8" 3.5 jack. Can also be used as a mini external
speaker an****ywhere. 1 1/2" diameter x 1 1/2" deep, 8 ohm, cord
over 7 feet long, with swivel spring clip $9.99 each

BPBCNMH Heavy Duty Ni-Mh (1600MAh) battery pack for BC250D,
BC296D, BC120XLT, BC220XLT, BC230XLT,
BC235XLT, BC245XLT, SC150, SC180, SC200 hand held
scanners $32.99 (2 or more $29.99)
BP200-205 Uniden Ni-Cad battery pack for BC200XLT, BC205XLT,
BC100XLT,
Relm R4020, R4030 hand held
scanners
34.99
BP70HDX Heavy duty Ni-Cad battery pack for Uniden Bearcat
BC70XLT 29.99
BP180 (1000MAh) Heavy Duty Ni-Cad battery pack for BC120XLT,
BC220XLT, BC230XLT,
BC235XLT, BC245XLT, SC150, SC180, SC200 hand held

scanners 29.99
AD70u AC adapter charger replacement for scanners in
BP180 list plus others 14.99
BA4 Package of 4 pieces AA Ni-Cad batteries 800ma
for BC60 & BC80XLT 7.99
CC042 Leather carry case w/ belt clip for Uniden
SportCat SC180 and SC200 23.99
CCR2 Leather carry case w/ belt clip for ICR2 & ICR5
ICOM scanners 14.99
8AASOLPAK AA Ni-Cad individual batteries as low as 10 cents
each. Pack of 8 pieces as low as $0.79

BP2500 RECHARGEABLE BATTERY PACK FOR UNIDEN BC2500XLT and
BC3000XLT
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0Ei4olKnUiYlh4b-vLbTs9jlBYtYv_ET3am80aoPKBTlc3nFzjfmv
9uA23wyX1vPBMvw-jBsfuZWojSlXBlu8ILqTDjnWC3OJSOWtdz9NjJo7TD-1LOz5df6wUu0m5vDN1vm7J63P_VLLvc
pgH264o1EciVWn3odUQ=>

2005 Police Call Frequency Directories which also include National
Frequency CD $19.99 Limited Quantity

7th Edition New York Metro / Northern New Jersey Communications
Guide 720 Pages $38.95

For those of you who have Regency HX1000, HX1200, & HX1500 scanners
we have in stock MA917 NiCad
replacement battery packs, MA518 AC wall chargers, MA553 soft carry
cases and MA257 CIGARETTE LIGHTER CORDS.

We also have many other rechargeable batteries for most new and
older models of scanners.

Scanner antennas: telescopic, rubber, base or mobile. We have them
all.

ANT31 Right angle telescopic antenna with Motorola connector for
Bearcat & Regency base and mobile scanners $6.99

Scanner carry cases, AC or DC adapters and chargers, are also in
stock.
LEATHER CARRY CASE WITH SWIVEL FOR BC72XLT, BC92XLT, SC230, BC246T
SCANNERS. $27.99
LEATHER CARRY CASE WITH SWIVEL FOR BCD396T OR BR330T UNIDEN
SCANNER (CC396)..... $27.99

GLASS MOUNT MOBILE ANTENNAS - ALL BANDS - CB, SCANNER, VHF HIGH,
PLUS UHF BANDS

Computer interface cables in stock for Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT,
BCT8, BC785D, BC898T, and BC796D scanners $16.99 each

**********USB-DB9 PC INTERFACE ADAPTER CABLE
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0HjGBMOauuQGqCx1fh_onI1pyBiUQKuAFeu3m8umw5nltQPUK3_DD

fw0FUU81t6m7TAeWpgeOlhuRhaV4riDIVlyy4SsW5N9Y9kogpZKRsgjfeXMS3DYYalhnkNMc-lQkL3TDiJdlo2XMPN
ETWcvGsPh8pA8_zfl6E=>**********-

ALLOWS YOU TO ATTACH SERIAL CABLE TO USB PC CONNECTOR
** **********
****
SUCTION CUP MOBILE OR APARTMENT SCANNER ANTENNAS

Owners instruction manuals for scanners. Check web site for available
models.

**********If you do not use a credit or debit card on
line, an order blank
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0FH-VIPyFt-PIzbRCyeHswnq1pmxAOkw3xBG_nmVFoGvPOujQhncV
rv-P3AtT4NCjwW_Umi-ixToUEyXNtoAMsM4WfPUzEeRmUhcax0xMcwGPqFdJ-5iMAnpy9ihVi3LKSWWF1gkjRQvBp9
yt8I-u0pRRYckWoYSsGndX4bGwYzJpjf8NufH-N-1bzlq-PPy9NpBvFk0Etkew==>

can be printed on line to mail orders with payment through the Post
Office.**********
****
Credit card or debit card orders can be placed on line or call
800-476-8050

UNIDEN APCO P25 Digital plus Analog Scanners
**********BCD396T
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0Gw-Z1RFkdWGBg6yvsLpT1RXe_6V8q66LRN1bw_RVUsTV9fmPM173
MH9EC9N06FqfueeCyr7h5cBIKxgIEETwTD20ngkt6m7aXQI7ETlzqt8u8S45OAafzCi9jvg891FYuHKi-M0gWoQ-5t
Rn_vvNhsWDq-jZr6-Yg=>**********

HAND HELD $499.99
**********BCD996T
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0EsoUR2TxCXn2jKNX-YvhC6EWu9gYaevTnA_QPMu_Y4LJoGJHoQsc
L7iTJ-s1BPQOGtqxgIRUZe-xxxela2pLEmR5ACBC772trmH27nfpC_yOp3kjmCRiBIGrbwpr9dl13PUMuIq7LccEz5
jglO4Snk_rfQCR36JMs=>**********

BASE / MOBILE $499.99
BC-RH96 UNIDEN REMOTE HEAD $139.99
Complete information is shown on our web site
_www.ScannerWorld.com
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0H1Psx_zbhAbTCJIJaNHqEjXfKHB5aznaAfJuHafNq-fGawp2J8ib
CeEzrD_8jU8jNcw2b0cDIOOAQL7Horq1vUyGu5j5Q05wCzel_bb5HbCg==>
_**********_
_US GOVERNMENT PURCHASE ORDERS ACCEPTED
Call for terms

*********Please contact us if you have any new or different items that
Scanner World could add to our product lines
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0ENG4iArNK-08Fj43Z0sPXr1J-4ZXovE0tFPSTPMnsD7QvuNZoWP1
2TQeQt5BFFgTUdRQIYZ0v7234WQCvUzifDGNqhvmavZfXm1bQMO1Ln89OJI7ARwY4Zo12O7T2pzjTXq9-BAkFcFA==
>*********

Scanner World does not buy, rent, share, or sell our email or customer
lists.

****
**********Please click on this
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001TdkF7G2UQ0ENG4iArNK-08Fj43Z0sPXr1J-4ZXovE0tFPSTPMnsD7QvuNZoWP1
2TQeQt5BFFgTUdRQIYZ0v7234WQCvUzifDGNqhvmavZfXm1bQMO1Ln89OJI7ARwY4Zo12O7T2pzjTXq9-BAkFcFA==
>

and drop us a note if you would like to be put on our email list of
closeout items, damaged, surplus, salvage, customer product returns in
limited quantity that are sold at discount prices.

****
********** ** Scanner World, USA
17 Interstate Ave.
Albany, NY 12205
Info Line: 518-436-9606
Order Line: 800-476-8050
** **********
********** **
****
**********Scanner World warehouse distribution center is open for
Merchandise pickup - Monday through Friday 10 am - 4pm
****

Forward email
<http://ui.constantcontact.com/sa/fwtf.jsp?m=1101643736073&ea=jay%40unixwolf.net&a=1102268
584901>
Safe Unsubscribe
<http://visitor.constantcontact.com/d.jsp?v=001nkQbX5l1Qs-ZAzcs60QvLQnghKke1Sb6N1dafXBj8e9
FI5uVyPyOxA5vv7jvLf1VmMwmaJFrU6k%3D&p=un>

This email was sent to jay@... by info@...
<mailto:info@...>.
Update Profile/Email Address
<http://visitor.constantcontact.com/d.jsp?v=001nkQbX5l1Qs-ZAzcs60QvLQnghKke1Sb6N1dafXBj8e9
FI5uVyPyOxA5vv7jvLf1VmMwmaJFrU6k%3D&p=oo>

| Instant removal with SafeUnsubscribe
<http://visitor.constantcontact.com/d.jsp?v=001nkQbX5l1Qs-ZAzcs60QvLQnghKke1Sb6N1dafXBj8e9
FI5uVyPyOxA5vv7jvLf1VmMwmaJFrU6k%3D&p=un>^(TM)

| Privacy Policy <http://ui.constantcontact.com/roving/CCPrivacyPolicy.jsp>.

Email Marketing <http://www.constantcontact.com/index.jsp?cc=news01> by
<http://www.constantcontact.com/index.jsp?cc=news01>

Scanner World USA | 17 Interstate Ave | Albany | NY | 12205

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer

http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29084. D/FW Airport Rebanded

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-07 12:00:59 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29060 Message: 29084

D/FW Airport's EDACS system seems to be rebanded as of this morning.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29085. Re: [dfwscan] D/FW Airport Rebanded

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-07 13:50:20 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29060 Message: 29085

Any luck with the LCN order?
If you have a good list, could you post please?

Thanks

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Tue, 10/7/08, Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...> wrote:

From: Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] D/FW Airport Rebanded
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2008, 7:00 AM

D/FW Airport's EDACS system seems to be rebanded as of this morning.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29086. Re: [dfwscan] D/FW Airport Rebanded

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-07 13:56:38 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 29060 Message: 29086

I kept them in the same order. Everything is just 15Mhz lower, and on the way to work I happen to catch the morning apparatus roll call on decimal tg 289. Of course, with the way they have it setup, you can only hear the dispatcher side, just like before, but it seems the LCN is the same, just 15Mhz lower on the frequencies. By the way, that was heard on my Uniden 396T after the latest firmware update that allows you to hear EDACS ESK systems(Thanks Paul!), not Provoice though.

Ben

>Any luck with the LCN order?
If you have a good list, could you post please?

Thanks
<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29087. FWFD Dispatch

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-08 22:39:16 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 27098 Message: 29087

Listening in on FWFD today and it sounds like they have shortened their "dispatching."

They are not repeating the address in individual numbers or giving a Mapsco number anymore. Other than not catching the address the first time I like it. It will cut down on the time from hearing the other channels. I wonder if it is something they plan on doing from now on or just testing it out, any ideas?

29088. KDFW ENG?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-10 16:22:52 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29088 Message: 29088

What's up with the KDFW (Fox 4) UHF ENG channel
primary 450.3125 (131.8)??

Sounds like a MPT-1327 data stream this morning.

Annoying.....Had to lock them out.....Skip?

Blaine

29089. DCSO Tac 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-10 16:47:14 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 26179 Message: 29089

Sounds like DCSO Warrants is serving some arrests or possibly a SWAT op this morning. Good listening on TAC2, for those that have the day off....like me....:)

Blaine

29090. UTA incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-10 17:59:56 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 29090 Message: 29090

Arlington FD is working something at UTA on West 3rd@Planetarium. Canceled most of the alarm assignment, but are keeping the medic for one victim, and requesting an investigator.

Ben

29091. DENTON PD question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 02:20:37 UTC
From: David Bailey
Topic: 29091 Message: 29091

I'm having a problem receiving Denton PD. I have programmed using the primary frequencies on RadioReference but I still can't receive anything. I get Denton County SO just fine.

Here are the frequencies I'm usig:

857.31250c 858.31250c 858.58750 859.31250c 860.31250c

I've also programed the workgroups.

Are there any tricky settings I'm missing?

Thanks!

David

29092. Mobile Antenna

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 02:46:55 UTC
From: jared4301
Topic: 29092 Message: 29092

I have been looking for a magnetic mobile mount antenna and I am having trouble deciding with so many choices out there. I will mainly be listening to 800MHZ (Fort Worth Trunking), UHF (400 MHZ), and some VHF (150 MHZ). I would like to have the ability to use it inside my residence, but I could do without that. I do not want to spend too much money. I would prefer not to spend over $60.00. Thanks in advance for all of the help.

Jared K.
Benbrook, TX
KE5VLJ

29093. RE: [dfwscan] Mobile Antenna

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 03:21:03 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29092 Message: 29093

Jared, 2 antennas come to mind. They links are included. Both list for +/- $35 without mount. It's a standard NMO which you should have no problem in finding a mag mount that works. Might push past $60 by a bit if you want a mag mount. You might consider a trunk lip or L bracket provided you don't want to drill a hole. It would save you a few dollars. A good place to look at stuff on-line is:

www.tessco.com

Not sure what their policies are on selling to the public at list etc but they do have a great selection all in one place.

http://www.larsen-antennas.com/docfiles/ASB9/Mobile/MultibandVHFUHF.pdf

NMO150/450/800

http://wildcard.pctel.com/images_catalog_group/pdf_docs/2008MOBILE-FINAL.pdf

page 22 (B)maxscan1000 (note "B" means black)

Good luck!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jared4301
Sent: Saturday, October 11, 2008 9:47 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Mobile Antenna

I have been looking for a magnetic mobile mount antenna and I am having trouble deciding
with so many choices out there. I will mainly be listening to 800MHZ (Fort Worth Trunking),
UHF (400 MHZ), and some VHF (150 MHZ). I would like to have the ability to use it inside my
residence, but I could do without that. I do not want to spend too much money. I would prefer not to spend over $60.00. Thanks in advance for all of the help.

Jared K.
Benbrook, TX
KE5VLJ

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29094. RE: [dfwscan] DENTON PD question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 03:26:02 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29091 Message: 29094

I assume you're radio only requires the control channels? If so the 4 channels are:

857.3125
858.3125
859.3125
860.3125

Only suggestion I can make with data provided. Obviously if you need all the frequencies they are in the table on the radioreference site:

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=22

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of David Bailey
Sent: Saturday, October 11, 2008 9:21 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] DENTON PD question

I'm having a problem receiving Denton PD. I have programmed using the primary frequencies on RadioReference but I still can't receive anything. I get Denton County SO just fine.

Here are the frequencies I'm usig:

857.31250c 858.31250c 858.58750 859.31250c 860.31250c

I've also programed the workgroups.

Are there any tricky settings I'm missing?

Thanks!

David

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29095. Re: [dfwscan] DENTON PD question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 03:40:37 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 29091 Message: 29095

Are these the primary channels for Denton SO/PD? Are there any talk
groups for trunk purposes?

On 10/11/08, Terry <terry@...> wrote:
> I assume you're radio only requires the control channels? If so the 4
> channels are:
>
> 857.3125
> 858.3125
> 859.3125
> 860.3125
>
> Only suggestion I can make with data provided. Obviously if you need all
> the frequencies they are in the table on the radioreference site:
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=22
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> David Bailey
> Sent: Saturday, October 11, 2008 9:21 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] DENTON PD question
>
> I'm having a problem receiving Denton PD. I have programmed using the
> primary frequencies on RadioReference but I still can't receive
> anything. I get Denton County SO just fine.
>
> Here are the frequencies I'm usig:
>
> 857.31250c 858.31250c 858.58750 859.31250c 860.31250c
>
> I've also programed the workgroups.
>
> Are there any tricky settings I'm missing?
>
>
> Thanks!
>
> David
>
>
>

> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

29096. Dallas FD MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 04:41:15 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29096 Message: 29096

Dallas has an MVA w/ a firefighter pinned. I believe they said it was one of the inspectors, but they did not repeat the info so Im not sure.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29097. Dallas MVA Firefighter pinned

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 04:52:19 UTC
From: rescue4nfd
Topic: 29097 Message: 29097

Gannon
Lane/S.Westmoreland.781,CH05,EN40,RE25,RE40,RE46,RE49,TR49.11:51 pm.

Mike in Garland

29098. Re: Mobile Antenna

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 06:02:44 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29092 Message: 29098

What part of Benbrook are you in? If you live in North Benbrook by 183 (Southwest Blvd), there is a cell tower there that tears up 800Mhz(I used to live there). South and West Benbrook are ok for 800Mhz. And I guess I didn't understand the original question, are you needing an antenna for mobile, base or both?

And you mentioned VHF, and UHF. If they are local repeaters you really won't need too much in an antenna. You being a ham, sometimes you can use any type of antenna if it's local. For example, I use a 800Mhz 1/2 wave antenna by Cushcraft (cuz its low profile), kind of like the old FWPD antennas with the coil in the middle, primarily for 800 trunk listening, but it picks up VHF and UHF with no problem, several counties away, and its not really tuned for VHF UHF.

But there are a lot of antennas out there to choose from, or even make one. Heck, even the Radio Shack mobile scanner antenna would work
http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2102469&cp=2032052.2032075.2032078.2032098&parentPage=family
But like you said you have a budget, which I understand, and I'm sure you can get something fairly cheap, or even build one out of stuff, you just need to find a magnet base if that's what you are wanting.

Hope this helps and good luck, 73,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jared4301" <jaredkoester@...> wrote:
>
> I have been looking for a magnetic mobile mount antenna and I am having trouble deciding
> with so many choices out there. I will mainly be listening to 800MHZ (Fort Worth Trunking),
> UHF (400 MHZ), and some VHF (150 MHZ). I would like to have the ability to use it inside my
> residence, but I could do without that. I do not want to spend too much money. I would prefer not to spend over $60.00. Thanks in advance for all of the help.
>
> Jared K.
> Benbrook, TX
> KE5VLJ
>

29099. Weekly FCC grants October 05-11

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 12:27:33 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29099 Message: 29099

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29100. Blackland Water Supply

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 18:27:58 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29100 Message: 29100

We have a water main break on our street. Does anyone know the frequency for blackland water supply? I can't find anything.

It is in Rockwall county.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29101. Thunderbirds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 20:47:10 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 7196 Message: 29101

Worked 139.225 AM yesterday and doing the same right now. They've already
been up 20+ minutes so you better listen now if you want to catch them.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29102. Dallas Fire Rescue 2nd alarm Hazmat incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 22:32:43 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29102 Message: 29102

Dallas Fire Rescue currently (5:17pm)working a two-alarm hazmat incident at CF Hawn & S. Central, under the bridge. Apparently an MVA, pickup truck vs a tanker, tanker leaking fuel, pickup driver in the phone, asking for rescue. Rescue 38 trying to reach him from back side. 807 has called for a structural engineer to examine the bridge.

DFR Primary 453.875 (Ch 1).
I'm sure they're using channels 11 & 12, but those are simplex, and I'm too far away, even with an external antenna.

29103. Uniden 996t for sale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-12 22:04:25 UTC
From: deliveryguydfw
Topic: 29103 Message: 29103

Uniden 996T for sale $ 425.00 Its in new factory condition ,all software updates installed. comes with home power charger and vehicle cigarette power charger. If Interested call 972-891-5779 between 9am and 7pm and we can meet somewhere so you can take a look. Local only In DFW area!

29104. Lone Star Park/Nokia Theater

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-13 21:15:41 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 29104 Message: 29104

I took advantage of there being racing at Lone Star Park and a show going on at the Nokia theater and did a bit of scanning. I was able to confirm a few frequencies this time around and plan to go again next time there is something going on at both places in the same night.

Nokia Theatre At Grand Prairie
451.4000 DCS365 Operations
452.0500 DCS343 Operations

Lone Star Park
464.8750 DCS205 Operations Ch 2
464.9125 DCS205 Operations
464.9750 DCS205 Security Ch 1
467.7750 DCS223 Medical
467.8750 DCS205 Horse Coordination
467.9000 DCS205 Operations
467.9250 DCS205 Operations

I have posted these up on my web page as well my ever growing list of other interesting and not so interesting commercial frequencies.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http;//www.dfwscanning.net/

29105. Re:Dallas MVA Firefighter pinned

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 03:33:29 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 29097 Message: 29105

Poor Rescue 25 tried for 10 minutes it seemed like to get assigned to this call. Every time an additional MICU was requested they were always closer. Sounded like they were doing everything but outright begging to get the call. We were laughing at them trying. On their last time they said 'We know this is getting old, but we are on 67 and_______." The assigned MICU was at 35 and Illinois, they succeeded. The way the report to Biohell sounded the inspector wasn't too bad.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29106. RE: [dfwscan] Re:Dallas MVA Firefighter pinned

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 03:52:35 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29097 Message: 29106

Hey, there's millions of dollars worth of computers deciding on the closet unit! 2 female inspectors were EB on Gannon and were broadsided by a Ford Expedition that was SB on Westmoreland. After being hit they continued across the intersection and into a field. Firefighters had to pop a door to get the driver out. Both are very lucky. They were in an old retired chief car (Suburban). Impact was square in the B pillar. Had they been in a car the driver might not have survived. Never heard the condition of the SUV driver but it was a nice square hit so likely not serious.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Anderson
Sent: Monday, October 13, 2008 10:33 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re:Dallas MVA Firefighter pinned

Poor Rescue 25 tried for 10 minutes it seemed like to get assigned to this call. Every time an additional MICU was requested they were always closer. Sounded like they were doing everything but outright begging to get the call. We were laughing at them trying. On their last time they said 'We know this is getting old, but we are on 67 and_______." The assigned MICU was at 35 and Illinois, they succeeded. The way the report to Biohell sounded the inspector wasn't too bad.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

29107. Gotta love Dallas EMS Response...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 06:46:31 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29107 Message: 29107

Heard @ 0144:

"Engine 22 to 660, why are you sending us on a medical run?"

I hate being required to be EMS certified as much as the next guy, but damn! If you've been assigned just go!

29108. Is Richardson working something?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 14:24:05 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29108 Message: 29108

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29109. Re: [dfwscan] Is Richardson working something?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 15:15:46 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 29108 Message: 29109

Hostage situation. Just authorized deadly force. Talk group 1558. SWAT does have encryption capable radios. So traffic may seem a little sparse for this type of situation.

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jaytpink@...
KE5FMS

Jason Simpkins wrote:
>
>
> Jason
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29110. Re: [dfwscan] Thunderbirds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 15:52:07 UTC
From: David W. Carroll
Topic: 7196 Message: 29110

I thought the Thunderbirds show was less impressive than in years past. This was the first year I recall the crowd leaving in droves in the middle of the performance.

Something I had not seen in previous Thunderbird shows was Thunderbirds 1-4 landing while #5 & #6 continued to fly above the field. Seems #5 had an indication that her gear was not down. #6 must have been giving her a visual. It was down, she landed fine, and then the light cleared before she reached the end of the runway.

Dave

----- Original Message -----
From: commscan
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 12, 2008 3:47 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Thunderbirds

Worked 139.225 AM yesterday and doing the same right now. They've already
been up 20+ minutes so you better listen now if you want to catch them.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29111. RE: Thunderbirds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 16:30:23 UTC
From: Tim Perkins
Topic: 7196 Message: 29111

I would almost guarantee that the crowd exiting early had more to do with the immense traffic problem than the T-birds. I saw nothing to criticize about the performance.

Tim Perkins
Rockwall

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29112. Re: [dfwscan] RE: Thunderbirds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 16:41:08 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 7196 Message: 29112

My wife said it was great. She was out there early Saturday for some volunteer work and I told her to stay and watch the show. She had never been to one. She got to watch the whole thing from the fire station. Traffic was apparently not too bad, as she left around 4:30 and was home by 5:30 -- took an extra 20 minutes or so from normal Saturday traffic.
--- Original message from Tim Perkins <tim552@...>: ---

I would almost guarantee that the crowd exiting early had more to do with the immense traffic problem than the T-birds. I saw nothing to criticize about the
> performance.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29113. Re:Gotta love Dallas EMS Response...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 18:04:59 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 29107 Message: 29113

660 to E-22 Because the computer said so, I've got an MICU assigned to a dumpster fire so don't feel neglected.
Bet the troops on the new paramedic engines are really bitchin'. Sucks to be in an all medic system,huh?

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29114. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas MVA Firefighter pinned

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 18:44:19 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29097 Message: 29114

> On their last time they said 'We know this is getting old,
> but we are on 67 and_______."

LOL! I wish I could have taped that. That's hilarious! Must have been a tired, lazy, confused, or new dispatcher, because most of the time they jump on those requests, and even ask on the air if anyone can beat another unit. Maybe one of the inspectors was married to someone on R-25, and they were trying to keep him away.

Speaking of new dispatchers, there was a new (at least to me) female dispatcher on DFD the other night that was loud and annoying. I don't listen to Dallas much though, since so many have it well covered.

Heard an interesting EMS call dispatched in Arlington last night:

"Medic 3, priority 3 to ****** nursing centre on an 88 year old female who states she has fallen, and cannot get anyone on the staff to help her."

God, don't ever let me end up in a nursing home!

Rob

29115. Re: [dfwscan] Is Richardson working something?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-14 19:54:48 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 29108 Message: 29115

Yeah, they got me! Was pretty good though. LOL!

Since they are now doing another one. I guess I got fooled.

Jay

steve@... wrote:
> It is a training exercise at the empty TI building on West Renner.
>
>
> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Is Richardson working something?
> From: Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...>
> Date: Tue, October 14, 2008 10:15 am
> To: Jason Simpkins
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>
> Hostage situation. Just authorized deadly force. Talk group 1558.
> SWAT
> does have encryption capable radios. So traffic may seem a little
> sparse for this type of situation.
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> 972-467-0478
> jaytpink@... <mailto:jaytpink%40gmail.com>
> KE5FMS
>
> Jason Simpkins wrote:
> >
> >
> > Jason
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29116. Alliance Airshow Fort Worth...Thunderbirds Sunday, 12 Oct 2008

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-15 00:58:59 UTC
From: Paul Baumgardner
Topic: 29116 Message: 29116

The USAF Thunderbirds performed in a slightly cloud covered deck yesterday at the Alliance AirShow in Fort Worth, Texas.

The number 4 aircraft was a spare two seater.

Ground: 413.275
            413.325

Engine Start: 235.25

Diamond: 139.225
Solo's: 322.95

Airboss for the rest of the show: 120.825

There was a USAF Heritage Flight with the East Coast F-15C Demo and a P-40 Warhawk and a USN Heritage Flight with an F/A-18F and an F8F Bearcat.

Some of the show was:

E-6A AWACS
B-52
CF-18
F/A-18s from VMFA-112
F-16s from California and local 457th TFS
A-10
AH-1W
AH-64A
AH-64D
C-130H
American 767 painted with the Komen Pink Ribbon
American Eagle Embrarer EJ45 painted with the Komen Pink Ribbon (there is also a 757 with a Ribbon)
F-35 Mock Up from Lockheed
Many Bell Helicopters...Jet Rangers with 2 and 4 blades plus a Bell 47G
Ross Perot Jr's T38 in Tbird Markings
FEDEX A300

Anyone hear anything different?

Paul Baumgardner

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29117. Re: [dfwscan] Alliance Airshow Fort Worth...Thunderbirds Sunday, 12 Oct 2008

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-15 01:08:05 UTC
From: Paul Baumgardner
Topic: 29116 Message: 29117

The American Airlines aircraft with the pink ribbon was a Triple 7....Boeing 777 not a 767 as I listed...

Sorry...

PSB

----- Original Message ----
From: Paul Baumgardner <pbaumg1@...>
To: Milcom List <MilCom@mailman.qth.net>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2008 7:58:59 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Alliance Airshow Fort Worth...Thunderbirds Sunday, 12 Oct 2008

The USAF Thunderbirds performed in a slightly cloud covered deck yesterday at the Alliance AirShow in Fort Worth, Texas.

The number 4 aircraft was a spare two seater.

Ground: 413.275
            413.325

Engine Start: 235.25

Diamond: 139.225
Solo's: 322.95

Airboss for the rest of the show: 120.825

There was a USAF Heritage Flight with the East Coast F-15C Demo and a P-40 Warhawk and a USN Heritage Flight with an F/A-18F and an F8F Bearcat.

Some of the show was:

E-6A AWACS
B-52
CF-18
F/A-18s from VMFA-112
F-16s from California and local 457th TFS
A-10
AH-1W
AH-64A
AH-64D
C-130H
American 767 painted with the Komen Pink Ribbon
American Eagle Embrarer EJ45 painted with the Komen Pink Ribbon (there is also a 757 with a Ribbon)

F-35 Mock Up from Lockheed
Many Bell Helicopters. ..Jet Rangers with 2 and 4 blades plus a Bell 47G
Ross Perot Jr's T38 in Tbird Markings
FEDEX A300

Anyone hear anything different?

Paul Baumgardner

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29118. Uniden BCT-15 For Sale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-15 15:00:12 UTC
From: combinecapt804
Topic: 29118 Message: 29118

I dont use it anymore. Im missing the antenna and the manual but i have the power cord and the pc cable. asking 200.00. Contact me off list if interested

Cody

29119. OT: Cellphone antenna adapter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-17 04:33:26 UTC
From: Jerry Jones
Topic: 29119 Message: 29119

Is there anyplace in the area where I can buy an adapter for my
cellphone to connect it to an external antenna?

I need something to connect to the phone external antenna connector
and convert that to a standard RF connector (tnc, bnc, whatever..)

FYI: It's an old Verizon Audiovox CDM8900 cellphone

29120. Re: OT: Cellphone antenna adapter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-17 05:37:13 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29119 Message: 29120

Jerry,

Having worked for Sprint, and Cingular (now ATT) for past 11 years there is really nothing out there as in an external antenna for today's and yesterdays CDMA, GSM, type handsets.

Back when I worked with Cingular (2006) the only thing Cingular offered in an external antenna was for their GSM Edge Data Cards, for those customers who lived in the fringe area. It was an external antenna with an amplifier, which all together cost about $400.

That didn't last long.

Now there are many different types of after market type antennas for the data cards, some with GPS capabilities.

The reason why there are no external antennas made for today's handsets is simply because there are so many towers within a certain geographical area that the handset simply doesn't need one.

If you were in a "no service" area, well, that was it. All the carriers really didn't care.

Back in the 80's and 90's when cell phones ran on the old 800 analog spectrum, there were not many towers. So the real "mobile phones" and "bag phones" had an RF "N" connector to which a customer could attach an external antenna to get a better signal. Plus those phones ran about 3 watts, compared to today's .5 watt handsets.

But today's handsets simply don't need them due to the number of towers, the switch to digital, and the new 3G network (ATT). There are no manufactures out there that make one.

There are so called "antenna booster" or amplifiers that supposedly will bring in a CDMA or GSM signal, not IDEN (Nextel) and send it to your phone, like a repeater, but those are several hundreds of dollars and work best in a "stationary" location.

If you know anything about internal modification of today's handsets, I'm sure you could make a connector for that handset to go to a matching RF type antenna, but to be honest, if your in a coverage area, its not going to make all that much difference.

Like I said the external antennas that were out on the market were for data cards, and that was mostly with Cingular.

In all the years I worked for Sprint, they never even had an external antenna for the CDMA system. They really didn't need one.

So I hope this information helps. I've got out of the
Telecommunication field several years ago. And even in that time,
"cell phone" technology has changed dramatically.

I worked for Sprint when they first started in 1996, then they had
only one handset, the Sony 600, and back then, well, we though that
was the coolest handset, at the time.

Good Luck on your search. I forgot to ask what the external antenna
purpose was for, mobile, stationary, if you were in a coverage area,
or fringe area, or inside a building that blocks certain cell signals
(Wal-Mart)?

Hope you find a resolution,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/races

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jerry Jones" <gulfstremev@...> wrote:
>
> Is there anyplace in the area where I can buy an adapter for my
> cellphone to connect it to an external antenna?
>
> I need something to connect to the phone external antenna connector
> and convert that to a standard RF connector (tnc, bnc, whatever..)
>
> FYI: It's an old Verizon Audiovox CDM8900 cellphone
>

29121. RE: [dfwscan] Re: OT: Cellphone antenna adapter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-17 05:54:53 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 29119 Message: 29121

One would assume that, if the OP is asking about an external antenna, he likely actually needs one. I know there are several places I don't get coverage from AT&T's network. I run a dual-band bidirectional amplifier and external antenna in the truck to get better coverage out of my Aircard. Unfortunately, the CDM8900 has no external antenna connector. Wilson builds a cradle which couples to the RF transmitted by the phone and allows the connection of an external antenna - usually through one of their dual-band amps. The kit is about $250.

Furthermore, Motorola makes a whole line of in-vehicle and bag phones just like the "good ol' days" for iDEN, CDMA, and GSM. Check out the Moto M-series phones.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of KC5RHB
Sent: Friday, October 17, 2008 12:37 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: OT: Cellphone antenna adapter

Jerry,

Having worked for Sprint, and Cingular (now ATT) for past 11 years there is really nothing out there as in an external antenna for today's and yesterdays CDMA, GSM, type handsets.

Back when I worked with Cingular (2006) the only thing Cingular offered in an external antenna was for their GSM Edge Data Cards, for those customers who lived in the fringe area. It was an external antenna with an amplifier, which all together cost about $400.

That didn't last long.

Now there are many different types of after market type antennas for the data cards, some with GPS capabilities.

The reason why there are no external antennas made for today's handsets is simply because there are so many towers within a certain geographical area that the handset simply doesn't need one.

If you were in a "no service" area, well, that was it. All the carriers really didn't care.

Back in the 80's and 90's when cell phones ran on the old 800 analog spectrum, there were not many towers. So the real "mobile phones" and "bag phones" had an RF "N" connector to which a customer could attach an external antenna to get a better signal. Plus those phones ran about 3 watts, compared to today's .5 watt handsets.

But today's handsets simply don't need them due to the number of towers, the switch to digital, and the new 3G network (ATT). There are no manufactures out there that make one.

There are so called "antenna booster" or amplifiers that supposedly will bring in a CDMA or GSM signal, not IDEN (Nextel) and send it to your phone, like a repeater, but those are several hundreds of dollars and work best in a "stationary" location.

If you know anything about internal modification of today's handsets, I'm sure you could make a connector for that handset to go to a matching RF type antenna, but to be honest, if your in a coverage area, its not going to make all that much difference.

Like I said the external antennas that were out on the market were for data cards, and that was mostly with Cingular.

In all the years I worked for Sprint, they never even had an external antenna for the CDMA system. They really didn't need one.

So I hope this information helps. I've got out of the Telecommunication field several years ago. And even in that time, "cell phone" technology has changed dramatically.

I worked for Sprint when they first started in 1996, then they had only one handset, the Sony 600, and back then, well, we though that was the coolest handset, at the time.

Good Luck on your search. I forgot to ask what the external antenna purpose was for, mobile, stationary, if you were in a coverage area, or fringe area, or inside a building that blocks certain cell signals (Wal-Mart)?

Hope you find a resolution,

David, KC5RHB

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/races

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , "Jerry Jones" <gulfstremev@...> wrote:
>
> Is there anyplace in the area where I can buy an adapter for my
> cellphone to connect it to an external antenna?
>
> I need something to connect to the phone external antenna connector
> and convert that to a standard RF connector (tnc, bnc, whatever..)
>
> FYI: It's an old Verizon Audiovox CDM8900 cellphone
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29122. Dallas Hazmat

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-17 18:06:47 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29122 Message: 29122

Dallas CAD shows a 3rd alarm out at Nomas & Claibourne, what's leaking?

29123. Interesting Listening on 463.000

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-18 06:23:37 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29123 Message: 29123

Hearing Rescue 46 give Biotel a pt report, and someone up in Greenville is also giving a pt report on the same freq. Both are coming loud & clear and it is rather amusing to hear conflicting reports.

The best one was "We're enroute to Methodist with an approximately 80 year old male....*Cuts Out* ...who is not pregnant."

Im at Frankford & Dallas N Tollway w/ a Pro-95 and its standard antenna. Im amazed that they can use this freq so close into Dallas. I have a friend that works for AMR up in Greenville and he says their pt reports are always getting walked on by Dallas Medics.

29124. RE: [dfwscan] Interesting Listening on 463.000

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-18 18:18:46 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29123 Message: 29124

> I have a friend that works for AMR up in Greenville and he says
> their pt reports are always getting walked on by Dallas Medics.

Depending upon propagation, it can get even worse. When I was in Dallas in the 80's, our Biotel comms would occasionally get walked on by Houston Fire Department!

Rob

29125. Ham Radio Class - Get Your License

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-19 02:42:26 UTC
From: David Johnson
Topic: 29125 Message: 29125

Springtown Masonic Hall on the square, 2 Saturdays, Oct 25, Nov 1.
No charge, RSVP required. Class time 8:30am - 5pm.

Get past listening to Skywarn, RACES, REACT...join in! Get your ham license. Learn many more frequencies and times of group and net operations, what the terminiology means when the speak, and so forth.

Not a commercial thing. a volunteer free public service training class.

RSVP to kb5ylg@...
Details www.wc5c.org

Sponsored by TriCounty Amateur Radio Club, www.wc5c.org

29126. Weekly FCC grants October 12-18

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-19 11:48:29 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29126 Message: 29126

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29127. East Texas Medical System - rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-19 12:43:53 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29127 Message: 29127

I don't follow the East Texas Medical System's trunked system, but it looks like there might have been some rebanding license activity recently. I know a lot of you on the list monitor them. Please let us know when they are rebanded, or let me know if this is old news.

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/~gmitch86/grants_tx.htm

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2528391

Happy Scanning,
Ben

29128. Re: East Texas Medical System - rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-19 16:35:11 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29127 Message: 29128

The only site I can hear from my house is the Ellis County/Waxahachie
location. I have Waxahachie PD/FD programmed, and they were still on
the old channels last I noticed, Friday 10/17. Haven't heard any
comments 'unit xxx report to the radio shop.......', but would likely
be during the business day, when I'm usually not listening, unless I
have a day off.

I'll create a new system & leave a scanner running, set to log/record
on the pc while I'm at work for the next week or two, and see if it it
shows up yet. If not, then I'll check it periodically until it does,
and I can verify the new control(s).

Steve
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> I don't follow the East Texas Medical System's trunked system, but
it looks
> like there might have been some rebanding license activity recently.
I know
> a lot of you on the list monitor them. Please let us know when they are
> rebanded, or let me know if this is old news.
>
> http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/~gmitch86/grants_tx.htm
>
> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2528391
>
> Happy Scanning,
> Ben
>

29129. 911 Outage in Allen

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-20 15:15:27 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 29129 Message: 29129

City of Allen s reporting that the "Regional" 911 system is down and has posted direct numbers for emergency. "Regional" has not been defined.

Russell

29130. ISP cap on usuage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-20 21:33:11 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29130 Message: 29130

I just talked to my ISP, Millenicom.com, a big dog there assured me they were not even thinking about a cap. However, they were waiting with open arms for new customers. We have their air cards as both home and mobile ISP and they are great, unlimited use.

Thanks, Sarge (who is lurking and trying to figure out Emwin)

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29131. NRH PD Bicycle Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-20 22:40:01 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 29131 Message: 29131

If you haven't noticed North Richland Hills just started their bicycle patrol last week.

I talked with one of the guys today, I am not sure how many districts they have total but his district was 7. He patrols Birdville HS, Walker Creek Elementary, NRH Library & NRH2O along with all the business within that area.

They are riding Trek's outfitted with handlebar strobes & a siren along with their saddle pack on the rear equipped with a battery and their nice citation book. They been planning the bicycle patrol for over a year but as with all bids it took some time.

They wear different uniforms, a blue over black shirt with black cargo shorts.

They seem to enjoy it quite a bit, they like the stealth factor. He also stated that they will also get calls that they would have to respond in their patrol vehicles. Their Impalas do have the bike rack on the rear for a quick lock and go. They are ordering racks for their Subarbans, as of now they are just throwing them in the rear of the SUV.

Just a heads up for your guys when in the area, something different for the city.

29132. RE: [dfwscan] NRH PD Bicycle Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-20 22:48:25 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29131 Message: 29132

And, I thank them for their business. <G> Most of the suburbs are using them for spot patrolling of apartments, parks, football games etc as needed.. Fort Worth has their downtown bike patrol that's full time on the bikes as well as some NPOs. It's been a while, since I monitored them, but they use to use N-FOOT as their channel. DFW Airport also has a dedicated bike unit.

Ben

Bicycles, Inc.

>If you haven't noticed North Richland Hills just started their bicycle patrol last week.

I talked with one of the guys today, I am not sure how many districts they have total but his district was 7. He patrols Birdville HS, Walker Creek Elementary, NRH Library & NRH2O along with all the business within that area.

They are riding Trek's outfitted with handlebar strobes & a siren along with their saddle pack on the rear equipped with a battery and their nice citation book. They been planning the bicycle patrol for over a year but as with all bids it took some time.

They wear different uniforms, a blue over black shirt with black cargo shorts.

They seem to enjoy it quite a bit, they like the stealth factor. He also stated that they will also get calls that they would have to respond in their patrol vehicles. Their Impalas do have the bike rack on the rear for a quick lock and go. They are ordering racks for their Subarbans, as of now they are just throwing them in the rear of the SUV.

Just a heads up for your guys when in the area, something different for the city.<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29133. Re: NRH PD Bicycle Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-21 18:22:31 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29131 Message: 29133

FWPD Bike is on Central now, I believe. There are ADAM units, either 700 or 800, cant remember. The Traffic Specialists (aka meter maids) are also ADAM units but don't know if they are in 700 or 800. Lots of change since the redistricting. N-FOOT is ZT (CRT) units.

David, KC5RHB
www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/races

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> And, I thank them for their business. <G> Most of the suburbs are using
> them for spot patrolling of apartments, parks, football games etc as
> needed.. Fort Worth has their downtown bike patrol that's full time
on the
> bikes as well as some NPOs. It's been a while, since I monitored
them, but
> they use to use N-FOOT as their channel. DFW Airport also has a
dedicated
> bike unit.
>
>
>
> Ben
>
> Bicycles, Inc.
>
>
>
> >If you haven't noticed North Richland Hills just started their bicycle
> patrol last week.
>
> I talked with one of the guys today, I am not sure how many districts
> they have total but his district was 7. He patrols Birdville HS,
> Walker Creek Elementary, NRH Library & NRH2O along with all the
> business within that area.
>
> They are riding Trek's outfitted with handlebar strobes & a siren
> along with their saddle pack on the rear equipped with a battery and
> their nice citation book. They been planning the bicycle patrol for
> over a year but as with all bids it took some time.
>
> They wear different uniforms, a blue over black shirt with black cargo
> shorts.
>

> They seem to enjoy it quite a bit, they like the stealth factor. He
> also stated that they will also get calls that they would have to
> respond in their patrol vehicles. Their Impalas do have the bike rack
> on the rear for a quick lock and go. They are ordering racks for their
> Subarbans, as of now they are just throwing them in the rear of the SUV.
>
> Just a heads up for your guys when in the area, something different
> for the city.<
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29134. Fatal MVA on 183 in Euless

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-21 21:55:44 UTC
From: Officer LeClair
Topic: 29134 Message: 29134

Did anyone in the group hear anything about this MVA on scanners early this morning? I am just wondering because I was on scene of this MVA and it was not pretty. Fully involved car fire, mangled frame, looked like a nasty NASCAR wreck on the track. If anyone was listening this morning during this time, I would like to know what was said. I would also like to keep this in private fourm as the accident is still under investigation. Thank you in advance group.

29135. RE: [dfwscan] Fatal MVA on 183 in Euless

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-22 02:30:25 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29134 Message: 29135

To me sounded pretty routine. Major accident with a car fire. Sgt was going a good job of ordering resources like TxDOT to shut down freeway, CRASH Team etc. I thought it was interesting the FD rolled a mass casualty unit but maybe that's standard for a freeway crash in Euless. PHI went on standby early on and then of course was disregarded. It was very obvious this was a serious incident but folks were calm and professional both on the radio and at the scene.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Officer LeClair
Sent: Tuesday, October 21, 2008 4:56 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Fatal MVA on 183 in Euless

Did anyone in the group hear anything about this MVA on scanners early this morning? I am just wondering because I was on scene of this MVA and it was not pretty. Fully involved car fire, mangled frame, looked like a nasty NASCAR wreck on the track. If anyone was listening this morning during this time, I would like to know what was said. I would also like to keep this in private fourm as the accident is still under investigation. Thank you in advance group.

29136. RE: [dfwscan] Fatal MVA on 183 in Euless

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-22 02:58:29 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29134 Message: 29136

I heard PD tell dispatch that FD could pick up their light truck or something to that effect, so I think they were just using the MCI truck for it's command light.

Ben

>To me sounded pretty routine. Major accident with a car fire. Sgt was going a good job of ordering resources like TxDOT to shut down freeway, CRASH Team etc. I thought it was interesting the FD rolled a mass casualty unit but maybe that's standard for a freeway crash in Euless. PHI went on standby early on and then of course was disregarded. It was very obvious this was a serious incident but folks were calm and professional both on the radio and at the scene.

Terry<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29137. RE: [dfwscan] Fatal MVA on 183 in Euless

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-22 03:11:25 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29134 Message: 29137

Good point. It turned in front on me and was running hot so I took notice. By the time I parked and got up the embankment there was a truck west of the scene about the same shape with very nice lights. That makes more sense. Never can tell, nowadays departments roll some unusual stuff to justify their existence but they needed the lighting out there.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Tuesday, October 21, 2008 9:58 PM
To: 'Terry'; 'Officer LeClair'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Fatal MVA on 183 in Euless

I heard PD tell dispatch that FD could pick up their light truck or something to that effect, so I think they were just using the MCI truck for it's command light.

Ben

>To me sounded pretty routine. Major accident with a car fire. Sgt was going a good job of ordering resources like TxDOT to shut down freeway, CRASH Team etc. I thought it was interesting the FD rolled a mass casualty unit but maybe that's standard for a freeway crash in Euless. PHI went on standby early on and then of course was disregarded. It was very obvious this was a serious incident but folks were calm and professional both on the radio and at the scene.

Terry<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29138. RE: [dfwscan] Fatal MVA on 183 in Euless

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-22 18:10:52 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29134 Message: 29138

> Never can tell, nowadays departments roll some unusual stuff to justify
> their existence...

Yep. That's exactly why they run EMS. It certainly isn't because firemen love working the ambulance.

Rob

29139. Weekly FCC grants October 19-25

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-26 12:25:43 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29139 Message: 29139

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29140. Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-26 23:08:07 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 29140 Message: 29140

Some good listening going on in sunnyvale. 154.1
Working commercial structure fire.

Started as forklift fire in building then spread to pallets and supplies.

Multiple calls for mutual aid.
Mesquite, dallas co, forney, garland.

Also about the same time they had some med call and called for ME but it got hectic listening cause dallas had transmitted their 2nd alarm and mesquite was running all their ambulances on med calls.

Very busy in that area the last few hours.

_____
Sprint Mogul
Blackberry 8310 PIN 245EB043
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm
http://www.twowayshopper.com

29141. Re: [dfwscan] Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-27 01:18:42 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29140 Message: 29141

I was on 30 listening to Garland and heard them dispatch engine 5 out there and then they asked for a fill in at station 5.

Jason

From: Patrick - yahoo <pwrigg@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 26, 2008 6:08:07 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Sunnyvale

Some good listening going on in sunnyvale. 154.1
Working commercial structure fire.

Started as forklift fire in building then spread to pallets and supplies.

Multiple calls for mutual aid.
Mesquite, dallas co, forney, garland.

Also about the same time they had some med call and called for ME but it got hectic listening cause dallas had transmitted their 2nd alarm and mesquite was running all their ambulances on med calls.

Very busy in that area the last few hours.

Sprint Mogul
Blackberry 8310 PIN 245EB043
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
http://www.capstone wirelessllc. com/Handsetrepai r.htm
http://www.twowaysh opper.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29142. Hey, Weather Guys...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-27 02:55:57 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29142 Message: 29142

I have been watching the new Storm Chasers. Is it possible to get the "weather models" on my home pc? If yes, how?

Thanks, Sarge

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29143. Re: [dfwscan] Hey, Weather Guys...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-27 03:27:19 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29142 Message: 29143

Yup... here is my chase partener site (has current sfc, sat, radar, models)

www.chaseone.com

Ed

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Sun, 10/26/08, Sarge <smd_1@...m> wrote:

From: Sarge <smd_1@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Hey, Weather Guys...
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Sunday, October 26, 2008, 9:55 PM

I have been watching the new Storm Chasers. Is it possible to get the
"weather models" on my home pc? If yes, how?

Thanks, Sarge

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29144. Proud to be Old ir Force

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-27 11:49:09 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29144 Message: 29144

Salute!!!

Luke AFB is west of Phoenix and is rapidly being surrounded by civilization that complains about the noise from the base and its
planes, forgetting that it was there long before they were.

A certain lieutenant colonel at Luke AFB deserves a big pat on the back.

Apparently, an individual who lives somewhere near Luke AFB wrote the local paper complaining about a group of F-16s that disturbed his/her day at the mall.

When that individual read the response from a Luke AFB officer, it must have stung quite a bit.

The complaint:

"Question of the day for Luke Air Force Base:
Whom do we thank for the morning air show? Last Wednesday, at precisely 9:11 A.M, a tight formation of four F-16 jets made a low pass over Arrowhead Mall, continuing west over Bell Road at approximately 500 feet. Imagine our good fortune! Do the
Tom Cruise-wannabes feel we need this wake-up call, or were they trying to impress the cashiers at Mervyn's early bird special?
Any response would be appreciated."

The response:

Regarding "A wake-up call from Luke's jets" On June 15:

At precisely 9:12 a.m., a perfectly timed four- ship fly by of F-16s from the 63rd Fighter Squadron at Luke Air Force Base flew over the grave of Capt. Jeremy Fresques. Capt Fresques was an Air Force officer who was previously stationed at Luke Air Force Base and was killed in Iraq on May 30, Memorial Day.

At 9 a. m. on June 15, his family and friends gathered at Sunland Memorial Park in Sun City to mourn t he loss of a husband, son and friend.

Based on the letter writer's recount of the fly by, and because of the jet noise, I'm sure you didn't hear the 21-gun salute, the playing of taps, or my words to the widow and parents of Capt. Fresques as I gave them their son's flag on behalf of the President of the United States and all those veterans and servicemen and women who understand the sacrifices they have endured.

A four-ship fly by is a display of respect the Air Force gives to those who give their lives in defense of freedom.

We are professional aviators and take our jobs seriously, and on June 15 what the letter writer witnessed was four officers lining up to pay their ultimate respects.

The letter writer asks, 'Whom do we thank for the morning air show? The 56th Fighter Wing will make the call for you, and forward your thanks to the widow and parents of Capt Fresques, and thank them for you, for it was in their honor that my pilots flew the most honorable formation of their lives.

Only 2 defining forces have ever offered to die for you.....Jesus Christ and the American Soldier.

One died for your soul, the other for your freedom.

Lt. Col. Grant L. Rosensteel, Jr. , USAF

The old Sarge asks you to forgive this OT post.

--

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29145. Re: Hey, Weather Guys...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-27 14:22:55 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29142 Message: 29145

Of course, a Storm Spotter and a Storm Chaser play different roles,
but when it comes to severe weather forecasting, its all the same.

If you have never read forecast models before, the first thing you
need to do is educate yourself on reading them and understanding what
things mean, or you will get lost and not know what you are doing.
Good example is reading a SKEW-T (sounding map, upper air). You can
go to all these "storm chaser" pages and read all the data you want,
but understanding them is the key. But once you learn how to read
this data, which is pretty easy, you could pretty much make your own
"short term forecast" for areas that might be a severe weather potential.

Below are some links of weather sites that will help you understand
what some models mean, what they do, and how to read them. It will
take some time, but easy once you learn.

http://www.spc.noaa.gov/exper/ (SPC Forecast tools)
http://www.srh.noaa.gov/oun/severewx/glossary.php (SPC definitions)
http://weather.unisys.com/index.html (UNISYS Weather)
http://weather.cod.edu/ (College of DuPage)
http://www.ral.ucar.edu/weather/ (NCAR Weather)
http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/Convective/convect.html
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather_data (My weather data page)

There are many more sites and information out there. If you have any
questions feel free to contact off line.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Sarge" <smd_1@...> wrote:
>
> I have been watching the new Storm Chasers. Is it possible to get the
> "weather models" on my home pc? If yes, how?
>
> Thanks, Sarge
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29146. Weather info

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-27 20:56:51 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29146 Message: 29146

David, GREAT INFO !!!

Next month I will take my technician test and hope to be on 2 meters soon after.

I don't expect to be a storm chaser, just want to be on top of storms that are headed for Lickskillet.

Thanks, Again, Sarge

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29147. ISP time usage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-27 22:11:23 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29147 Message: 29147

With the coming internet caps on usage, I thot Yall might find this free program useful.

http://delphi. <http://delphi.about.com/od/fullcodeprojects/l/aa112903a.htm> about.com/od/fullcodeprojects/l/aa112903a.htm

Sarge

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29148. Fire west of Luna Road?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-28 14:18:27 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29148 Message: 29148

Are there any fires West of Luna Rd in Irving maybe? Multiple Carrollton units are reporting a large column of smoke, one even transmitted a box on it but someone closer said it was outside the city. Any ideas what it is?

29149. Re: [dfwscan] Fire west of Luna Road?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-28 14:20:47 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 29148 Message: 29149

Proably the fire training field at DFW Airport. I know when I worked at Coppell they would call us and tell us and you could definately see it from LBJ starting at about Stemmons

Cody

--- On Tue, 10/28/08, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:

From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Fire west of Luna Road?
To: "Scanner DFW" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Tuesday, October 28, 2008, 9:18 AM

Are there any fires West of Luna Rd in Irving maybe? Multiple
Carrollton units are reporting a large column of smoke, one even
transmitted a box on it but someone closer said it was outside the
city. Any ideas what it is?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29150. Re: [dfwscan] Fire west of Luna Road?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-28 22:23:05 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 29148 Message: 29150

I don't think it is.

I noticed something in the area on Monday morning. I work around the Beltline/Luna road and know where the airport is in relation to my job. Whatever it was, wasn't from the airport.

Cody Sheppard wrote:
> Proably the fire training field at DFW Airport. I know when I worked
> at Coppell they would call us and tell us and you could definately
> see it from LBJ starting at about Stemmons
>
> Cody
>
> --- On Tue, 10/28/08, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> Subject: [dfwscan] Fire west
> of Luna Road? To: "Scanner DFW" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com> Date:
> Tuesday, October 28, 2008, 9:18 AM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Are there any fires West of Luna Rd in Irving maybe? Multiple
> Carrollton units are reporting a large column of smoke, one even
> transmitted a box on it but someone closer said it was outside the
> city. Any ideas what it is?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups
> Links
>
>
>
>
>

29151. Re: Fire west of Luna Road?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-29 13:34:03 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 29148 Message: 29151

No Irving fire in the area.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Don Teague <me@...> wrote:
>
> I don't think it is.
>
> I noticed something in the area on Monday morning. I work around
the
> Beltline/Luna road and know where the airport is in relation to my
job.
> Whatever it was, wasn't from the airport.
>
>
>
> Cody Sheppard wrote:
> > Proably the fire training field at DFW Airport. I know when I
worked
> > at Coppell they would call us and tell us and you could definately
> > see it from LBJ starting at about Stemmons
> >
> > Cody
> >
> > --- On Tue, 10/28/08, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
> >
> > From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> Subject: [dfwscan] Fire west
> > of Luna Road? To: "Scanner DFW" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com> Date:
> > Tuesday, October 28, 2008, 9:18 AM
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Are there any fires West of Luna Rd in Irving maybe? Multiple
> > Carrollton units are reporting a large column of smoke, one even
> > transmitted a box on it but someone closer said it was outside
the
> > city. Any ideas what it is?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo!
Groups
> > Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

29152. Need monitor hookup advice please

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-29 13:53:57 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29152 Message: 29152

I apologize for this off topic post. I have nowhere to turn for an Honest answer.

I have a chance to get a new high zoot Dell 24 inch LCD digital and analog color monitor. Can I hook this monitor to my Direct TV digital receiver? This monitor has no coax input connector. It does have a S video connector and so does the DTV receiver. I have no knowledge of S video.

I use a home theater for my sound. Is S video " plug and play "?

Thanks again, Sarge

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29153. Yet another off topic post

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-29 15:38:48 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29153 Message: 29153

Well, well ,well. Good folks of the list, there is a coward among us. Sends unsigned emails that are unreplyabe also.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29154. Re: Yet another off topic post

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-30 01:06:09 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 29153 Message: 29154

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Sarge" <smd_1@...> wrote:
>
> Well, well ,well. Good folks of the list, there is a coward among us. Sends
> unsigned emails that are unreplyabe also.

I'll sign one.

Give us a break with all the off-topic posts and the subsequent drama you create afterwards.
It's really gotten old.

Feel free to reply.

Rob

29155. Johnson County Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-30 01:14:25 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29155 Message: 29155

Do any of our Johnson County friends have an update for us on what's happening with the new fire radio system? As of yesterday, I am hearing 46.06/155.295 (as well as Alvarado 153.89) simulcasted on the Cleburne FD channel, 154.145.

Is this a trunked thing, or conventional? Is it intended to be permanent, or are they still experimenting? This is starting to really confuse me.

Rob

29156. Re: Johnson County Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-30 02:13:26 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29155 Message: 29156

Rob & Group,

Here's what I know. A while back someone on the Texas forum on RadioReference PM'd me and said that he had heard that Johnson County was going to give the Passport system back to DFW Communications.

As far as I know all public safety entities in the county are no longer using the Passport system. Johnson County SO was the last user on the system, but they have had their radios re-programmed and are now using 158.745 & 155.6625 in conventional mode. And of course Keene FD & Alvarado FD are using their freqs in conventional mode as well.

154.145 is no longer Cleburne FD. They are on 155.145 PL 67

After hearing Rob mention simulcasting on 154.145 I plugged it back into my scanner and heard Venus FD working a fire call in their district. Nice to be able to hear the FD side of the conversation and not just the dispatcher. Which is about all you get on 46.100.

I bet JoCo is using 154.145 as a 'cross-band' repeater. Much like they do with 158.8425 (Grandview) 155.295 (Venus) and 154.310 (Lillian). I'm not hearing any data blips, so that tells me it's being used in conventional mode and not part of the Passport system. Which hopefully is gone from JoCo for EVER. There is no PL tone on 154.145 however.

Rob, thanks for the info on 154.145. I guess I should have just left that one in my scanner to begin with. Should make listening to JoCo fire a lot more interesting.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> Do any of our Johnson County friends have an update for us on what's happening with the new fire radio system? As of yesterday, I am hearing 46.06/155.295 (as well as Alvarado 153.89) simulcasted on the Cleburne FD channel, 154.145.
>
> Is this a trunked thing, or conventional? Is it intended to be permanent, or are they still experimenting? This is starting to really confuse me.
>
> Rob
>

29157. Re: [dfwscan] Johnson County Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-30 02:15:28 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29155 Message: 29157

From the infor I have - The LTR system was yanked. Last I heard, conventional for now but somewhere down the line there may be something else,

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Wed, 10/29/08, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...> wrote:

From: rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Johnson County Again
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2008, 8:14 PM

Do any of our Johnson County friends have an update for us on what's
happening with the new fire radio system? As of yesterday, I am hearing
46.06/155.295 (as well as Alvarado 153.89) simulcasted on the Cleburne FD
channel, 154.145.

Is this a trunked thing, or conventional? Is it intended to be permanent, or
are they still experimenting? This is starting to really confuse me.

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29158. RE: [dfwscan] Johnson County Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-30 02:42:43 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29155 Message: 29158

Is it just me or does everyone else hear the banjos playing in the background?????? Got to love it! I live and work down here and I've given up on keeping up with it. Being on the inside just frustrates me more!!!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ed C.
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2008 9:15 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Johnson County Again

From the infor I have - The LTR system was yanked. Last I heard, conventional for now but somewhere down the line there may be something else,

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Wed, 10/29/08, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...> wrote:

From: rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Johnson County Again
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, October 29, 2008, 8:14 PM

Do any of our Johnson County friends have an update for us on what's happening with the new fire radio system? As of yesterday, I am hearing 46.06/155.295 (as well as Alvarado 153.89) simulcasted on the Cleburne FD channel, 154.145.

Is this a trunked thing, or conventional? Is it intended to be permanent, or are they still experimenting? This is starting to really confuse me.

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo> &mid=2Yahoo!
Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29159. Re: Need monitor hookup advice please

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-30 12:56:39 UTC
From: dfd_box4
Topic: 29152 Message: 29159

It's called the Tavern!

Brian

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Sarge" <smd_1@...> wrote:
>
> I apologize for this off topic post. I have nowhere to turn for an
Honest
> answer.
>
> I have a chance to get a new high zoot Dell 24 inch LCD digital and
analog
> color monitor. Can I hook this monitor to my Direct TV digital
receiver?
> This monitor has no coax input connector. It does have a S video
connector
> and so does the DTV receiver. I have no knowledge of S video.
>
> I use a home theater for my sound. Is S video " plug and play "?
>
> Thanks again, Sarge
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29160. RE: [dfwscan] Johnson County Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-30 14:25:50 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29155 Message: 29160

Well, I could hear Cleburne FD loud and clear here in Arlington when they were on 154.145. I'm hoping those days are not over and done with. I guess I'll find out - or not - today.

I would bet money that whoever set up that repeater on 154.145 is the same one who set up Duncanville-De Soto-Cedar Hill's repeaters. They both have that horribly hot audio that makes everything difficult to understand, and creates a loud, roaring sound in the background. I hope this is not a trend.

And yes, I definitely hear the banjos playing!

Rob

29161. RE: [dfwscan] Johnson County Again

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-30 15:55:03 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29155 Message: 29161

Yeah that's exactly how 46.100 and the cross patch have sounded the last day or two!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2008 9:26 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Johnson County Again

Well, I could hear Cleburne FD loud and clear here in Arlington when they were on 154.145. I'm hoping those days are not over and done with. I guess I'll find out - or not - today.

I would bet money that whoever set up that repeater on 154.145 is the same one who set up Duncanville-De Soto-Cedar Hill's repeaters. They both have that horribly hot audio that makes everything difficult to understand, and creates a loud, roaring sound in the background. I hope this is not a trend.

And yes, I definitely hear the banjos playing!

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29162. Arlington Jail Operations TG

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-10-31 21:45:57 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29162 Message: 29162

I finally got tired of the misidentified TGID 54064 on the Arlington systems coming up as South Patrol so changed RadioReference database to show this as "Arlington PD Jail Operations."

Since I haven't been able to identify (or hear) any traffic on 54224, I left it as deleted when I removed the SouthPatrol subgroup.

Two questions:

1) Anyone know where South Patrol will end up (TGID-wise)? Their substation looks pretty close to operational.
2) Anyone know what the actual use of 54224 is?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29163. Post 60?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-01 05:11:42 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29163 Message: 29163

There are a couple of frequencies that I keep getting anonymous references to "post 60" on.

On both 154.400 (Glenn Heights and Balch Springs FD) and 155.490 (Seagoville FD), I hear a base station transmitting a two-tone encoder, then sending someone to "post 60" without ever hearing any other traffic or ID from them.

Typically, it is "340 post 60" or "450 post 60".

Does anyone know who that is, and where they are located? I'm in Mansfield, but it sounds like it's coming from a long ways away.

Rob

29164. Re: [dfwscan] Post 60?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-01 05:19:55 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 29163 Message: 29164

That strongly suggests ambulance dispatching. When an on-duty ambulance has no assignment, their dispatch will assign them to stand by at a specific 'post', typically a point in their territory with the least nearby coverage.

Toby

At 12:11 AM 11/1/2008, Rob Davis wrote:

>There are a couple of frequencies that I keep getting anonymous
>references to "post 60" on.
>
>On both 154.400 (Glenn Heights and Balch Springs FD) and 155.490
>(Seagoville FD), I hear a base station transmitting a two-tone
>encoder, then sending someone to "post 60" without ever hearing any
>other traffic or ID from them.
>
>Typically, it is "340 post 60" or "450 post 60".
>
>Does anyone know who that is, and where they are located? I'm in
>Mansfield, but it sounds like it's coming from a long ways away.
>
>Rob

29165. Re: Post 60?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-01 05:46:32 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29163 Message: 29165

Rob,

The "Post 60" reference sounds like an EMS provider moving a unit up to fill in a coverage gap. So I'm guessing it's not Glenn Heights, as their FD runs EMS, I believe, with back-up from Desoto & Red Oak. I don't recall any such 'Post xx' traffic on Glenn Heights. I have the two separated with pl/dcs entered. Balch Springs, being on the same frequency, will most likely be the source on 154.400, assuming you're using a scanner without pl/dcs functions.

AMR, at one time, IIRC, actually provided dispatch services, in addition to EMS, for either Seagoville or Balch Springs. (Believe it was Seagoville. They may still do that for all I know.) I think TLC provides Balch Springs EMS.

I haven't monitored AMR with any regularity since LifeStar moved off those frequencies. TLC is on the same LTR system as Box 4/DFR 896.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> There are a couple of frequencies that I keep getting anonymous references to "post 60" on.
>
> On both 154.400 (Glenn Heights and Balch Springs FD) and 155.490 (Seagoville FD), I hear a base station transmitting a two-tone encoder, then sending someone to "post 60" without ever hearing any other traffic or ID from them.
>
> Typically, it is "340 post 60" or "450 post 60".
>
> Does anyone know who that is, and where they are located? I'm in Mansfield, but it sounds like it's coming from a long ways away.
>
> Rob
>

29166. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Post 60?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-01 12:55:58 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29163 Message: 29166

Correct on TLC. They have a box at Seagoville and Balch Springs. I believe post 60 is a half-way point they move the box that's not on a run to when the other one gets a call. They are dispatched on the fire freqs:

Balch Springs 154.4 PL 151.4
Seagoville 155.49 PL 167.9

I believe the TLC dispatcher can dispatch on the fire freqs from their comm center. They are on 450 LTR for other comms and all the nursing home stuff.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hiegtx
Sent: Saturday, November 01, 2008 12:47 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Post 60?

Rob,

The "Post 60" reference sounds like an EMS provider moving a unit up to fill in a coverage gap. So I'm guessing it's not Glenn Heights, as their FD runs EMS, I believe, with back-up from Desoto & Red Oak. I don't recall any such 'Post xx' traffic on Glenn Heights. I have the two separated with pl/dcs entered. Balch Springs, being on the same frequency, will most likely be the source on 154.400, assuming you're using a scanner without pl/dcs functions.

AMR, at one time, IIRC, actually provided dispatch services, in addition to EMS, for either Seagoville or Balch Springs. (Believe it was Seagoville. They may still do that for all I know.) I think TLC provides Balch Springs EMS.

I haven't monitored AMR with any regularity since LifeStar moved off those frequencies. TLC is on the same LTR system as Box 4/DFR 896.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> There are a couple of frequencies that I keep getting anonymous references to "post 60" on.
>
> On both 154.400 (Glenn Heights and Balch Springs FD) and 155.490 (Seagoville FD), I hear a base station transmitting a two-tone encoder, then sending someone to "post 60" without ever hearing any other traffic or ID from them.
>

> Typically, it is "340 post 60" or "450 post 60".
>
> Does anyone know who that is, and where they are located? I'm in Mansfield, but it sounds like it's coming from a long ways away.
>
> Rob
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29167. Postal Inspectors

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-01 16:41:06 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29167 Message: 29167

Sounds like USPS Inspectors are
now operational on their new P25
digital channel - 406.3375 NAC 482.

Extensive encryption was used Friday
afternoon. However, I was able to
capture some very brief transmissions
in the clear, and radio checks with
"control".

I don't normally listen to them, and had
taken 417.600 out of my scan list because
their communications were so darn boring
(check ins and 10-8's). But maybe with this
new channel or system it will be more
interesting to listen to. Time will tell.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

29168. Weekly FCC grants October 26-November 01

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-02 12:13:43 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29168 Message: 29168

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29169. Re: Post 60?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-02 15:22:51 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 29163 Message: 29169

That is TLC's Post 60. It's Beltline and 175. If 340(Seagoville) or 450(Balch Springs) are both on call a system truck moves to Post 60 to cover the two cities. Depending on the level one of them may go to 60 untill a system truck is available. The goal is to have at least one truck available. Late at night there is usually an MICU(Me) sent down there in the event one of them gets a call and it backfills that city. Also covers the DCFR district, giving three trucks on the southeast end of the county.

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29170. [dfwscan] Re: Post 60?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-03 20:57:09 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29170 Message: 29170

"Bill Anderson" billemtp@... said:
>
> That is TLC's Post 60. It's Beltline and 175. If 340(Seagoville) or
> 450(Balch Springs) are both on call a system truck moves to Post 60 to
> cover the two cities. Depending on the level one of them may go to 60
> untill a system truck is available. The goal is to have at least one
> truck available. Late at night there is usually an MICU(Me) sent down
> there in the event one of them gets a call and it backfills that city.
> Also covers the DCFR district, giving three trucks on the southeast
> end of the county.

Thanks, Bill! That's great information, and I appreciate it. Who exactly is paging out those post-ups? Is it the dispatchers for the respective FD, or is the TLC dispatcher actually transmitting on those fire frequencies?

Does anyone know when Balch Springs FD stopped running their own EMS? I know it's been a long time. I remember being in a medic refresher class around 94 with someone who was doing EMS in Balch Springs. But at least up through the late 1980s, the FD still ran ambulances.

Too bad someone can't convince Dallas FD to do the same!

Rob

Rob Davis
Senior Emergency Nurse Specialist
U.S. Army Field Support Command
Multi National Force - Iraq

29171. Re:[dfwscan] Re: Post 60?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-04 19:10:30 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 29163 Message: 29171

TLC dispatch can dispatch on Balch Springs, Seagoville and DCFR channels. They are the ones dispatching the post moves. Dispatch can talk to the engine but we can't......How's that for interoperability.
I know Balch Springs has contracted out since at least 2001. AMR had the contract when I came back then. Dallas will never change. Remember, that's the city designed on ineptitude. If it's stupid then it will work for them. Their motto should be 'If its broke we'll spend the money to make sure it will never work.'

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29172. Dallas Static Bursts

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-06 07:42:07 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29172 Message: 29172

When listening to Dallas Fire Rescue Channel 1, I am hearing a very loud burst of static after every transmission. Sometimes it is so short that it can barely be heard, other times it lasts 3 or 4 seconds before stopping. My squelch is turned all the way up, so I dont think that is the problem. Is anyone else hearing this or am I just lucky?

29173. Two alarm fire in Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-06 17:51:40 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29173 Message: 29173

Lewisville has heavy fire through the roof of a structure. Unknown location. Anyone have the skinny on the location? Haven't seen an IPN page yet.

29174. Re: Two alarm fire in Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-06 17:58:49 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29173 Message: 29174

Blaine Brooks wrote:

> Anyone have the
> skinny on the location?

Just got the IPN page.
202 Southshore. I used to know
some people who had a rental
house on that street.

29175. BCD396T Firmware

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-06 18:36:32 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 29175 Message: 29175

Trying to upgrade from
Version 1.10.01
to
Version 3.01.00

I got 1.20.13 installed.

Got my ESN and ESN Check sum and I guess my Ver 3 Registration Key.

Now my uniden page says get Ver 3 Firmware. When I click on it it shows:
Retrieve BCD396T V3.01.00 Firmware Update
Retrieve BC_VUP_v2.0.0.3
Retrieve BCD396T V3 Instructions

Ver 3.01.0 Instructions says to install BC_VUP V2 first. It shows the
Ver 2 update but no instructions or Registration key.

BC_VUP V2 asks for Registration Key and *scn file. Last file I show is
Version BCD396T_V1_10_01 and it won't install.

I'm stuck. I think I need an updated scn file and a Ver 2 registration
key.

Can I skip the Version 2 installation?

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jaytpink@...
jaypink@...
KE5FMS

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29176. Re: [dfwscan] BCD396T Firmware

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-06 20:25:44 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 29175 Message: 29176

Never Mind, I got it. Man you have to read very carefully.

I need BCD369T upgrades for dummies!! LOL!

Jay

Jay Pinkerton wrote:
>
> Trying to upgrade from
> Version 1.10.01
> to
> Version 3.01.00
>
> I got 1.20.13 installed.
>
> Got my ESN and ESN Check sum and I guess my Ver 3 Registration Key.
>
> Now my uniden page says get Ver 3 Firmware. When I click on it it shows:
> Retrieve BCD396T V3.01.00 Firmware Update
> Retrieve BC_VUP_v2.0.0.3
> Retrieve BCD396T V3 Instructions
>
> Ver 3.01.0 Instructions says to install BC_VUP V2 first. It shows the
> Ver 2 update but no instructions or Registration key.
>
> BC_VUP V2 asks for Registration Key and *scn file. Last file I show is
> Version BCD396T_V1_10_01 and it won't install.
>
> I'm stuck. I think I need an updated scn file and a Ver 2 registration
> key.
>
> Can I skip the Version 2 installation?
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> 972-467-0478
> jaytpink@... <mailto:jaytpink%40gmail.com>
> jaypink@... <mailto:jaypink%40swbell.net>
> KE5FMS
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29177. Re: Dallas Static Bursts

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-07 22:06:13 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 29172 Message: 29177

Is it still doing that for you? I monitor Dallas with a squelch inverted Motorola, so I never
heard any squelch bursts. But I tried today with a non-PL scanner and it sounds normal to me.

Rob

"Andrew Tucker" <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> When listening to Dallas Fire Rescue Channel 1, I am hearing a very
> loud burst of static after every transmission. Sometimes it is so
> short that it can barely be heard, other times it lasts 3 or 4 seconds
> before stopping. My squelch is turned all the way up, so I dont think
> that is the problem. Is anyone else hearing this or am I just lucky?
>

29178. Lewisville PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-09 01:42:35 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 16574 Message: 29178

FWIW - Channel "D" in (I'm assuming) portable
radios is CID 1 - 25168.

29179. Re: [dfwscan] Lewisville PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-09 02:03:17 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 16574 Message: 29179

Also - Channel "D" is to U.N.C.L.E HQ (For all of us kids of the 60's).

(Couldnt resist - back on topic...)

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Sat, 11/8/08, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@verizon.net> wrote:

From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Lewisville PD
To: "DFWSCAN Group" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Saturday, November 8, 2008, 7:42 PM

FWIW - Channel "D" in (I'm assuming) portable
radios is CID 1 - 25168.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29180. Weekly FCC grants November 02-08

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-09 12:13:51 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29180 Message: 29180

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

Thanks for all of the thank-you notes. I appreciate it. I also get a lot of requests to add states. Unfortunately, I am maxed out on time. Some changes to my software have sped up the process so I'll be able to add Michigan and Indiana but that's about it for now.

Thanks!

--
Glenn Mitchell

29181. (no subject)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-09 19:21:17 UTC
From: Bill Marshall
Topic: 29181 Message: 29181

VIDEO OF THE HOLIDAY INN IMPLOSION

http://myspacetv.com/index.cfm?fuseaction=vids.individual&videoid=46130929

BILL MARSHALL
kc5rmi@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29183. PHI Freqs Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-10 22:37:23 UTC
From: Patrick Wrigg
Topic: 25788 Message: 29183

Good Afternoon Group.
I know there has been talk in the past about PHI helos and freqs and stuff. But recently i have been wanting to monitor them, from Dallas. I did find an old posting with a UHF and a more recent VHF freq posting, are those still current? I am guessing the VHF 159.765 is in use but am i too far out to hear it, as i think its for the FTW side of town.

Thanks in advance Group.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Okay think about this for a minute. Let's take a really great place for a
> repeater like the "green winnie" in downtown. If you're PHI or CareFlite or
> whoever and you want to communicate from the base to your helicopter you
> could A) talk through the repeater or B) just talk on simplex via a remote
> transmitter/receiver on the building. The fact is in this case simplex is
> better. You have the same coverage from your base to the aircraft. The added
> benefit is you can also talk between the aircraft and crew or crew to crew
> at a scene or hospital. This is the same logic that fire departments use
> for simplex on the fireground.
>
> Now the disadvantage is it's difficult to talk from crew to crew or from
> aircraft to crew if it's on the ground and at great distance like across
> town. However the local repeater would only work, in this case, around
> Dallas. The TXU system was great since it is wide area.
>
> Bottom line is how good the receiver/transmitter location is. A lousy
> location is no better with a repeater than remote receiver/transmitter.
>
>
> Terry

>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Blaine Brooks
> Sent: Monday, March 19, 2007 2:13 PM
> To: Terry
> Cc: 'Myles Barkman'; 'DFWSCAN'
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PHI new freqs??
>
> Terry wrote:
>
> > I'm not sure what a normal
> > repeater gets you if airborne.
>
> While I'm certainly not an engineer (far from it), I can
> offer some insight as to airborne use of a repeater. I believe
> it depends entirely on the height of the aircraft, and the level of
> signal into the input of the repeater. For instance, when I flew
> WBAP traffic, our helicopter elevation averaged about 800',
> airplane elevation was about 1200'. 450.725 repeater is
> at Cedar Hill, 450.6125 repeater is on Broadcast Hill. In
> the helicopter, it was difficult at times to hit the 450.725
> repeater (or if it did engage it was very scratchy) from
> Rockwall east on I-30. Northern reaches of Denton or
> Collin County could also be a challenge.To the west,
> coverage would sometimes crap out in Wise or Parker
> Co. Obviously coverage to the south was pretty good.
> Funny thing was, there were times when we'd be on the
> ground at Love Field and couldn't hit the 450.725 repeater,
> and we pretty much had line of sight to it.
>
> For the 450.6125 repeater it was surprisingly pretty much
> the same. Occasionally, there would be that traffic tie up
> in Corsicana, south of Hillsboro, Grandbury, Weatherford,
> or anything up to the Oklahoma line, which would definitely
> call for an increase in elevation of the airplane or helicopter.
>
> Other cities I worked in used simplex ops, and the radio
> station was only able to hear us once we got in the air.
> Even then, we had to keep in a centralized location, and
> couldn't stray, or risk the signal breaking up real bad.
>
> But to answer Myles question as to why would a helicopter
> use a repeater, I would say if it were me, I would definitely
> want the possible extended range and output of a powerful
> repeater, as opposed to simplex.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks

> The Flower Mound Scanner
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN#DFW226 / FMCERT#82
> Denton Co CERT
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

29184. RE: [dfwscan] PHI Freqs Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-10 23:50:27 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 25788 Message: 29184

PHI Dallas 159.99 D412N narrow
PHI FTW 159.9675 186.2 narrow

I hear the Ftw site mobile in Dallas.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Patrick Wrigg
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2008 4:37 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] PHI Freqs Dallas

Good Afternoon Group.
I know there has been talk in the past about PHI helos and freqs and stuff. But recently i have been wanting to monitor them, from Dallas. I did find an old posting with a UHF and a more recent VHF freq posting, are those still current? I am guessing the VHF 159.765 is in use but am i too far out to hear it, as i think its for the FTW side of town.

Thanks in advance Group.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> Okay think about this for a minute. Let's take a really great place for a
> repeater like the "green winnie" in downtown. If you're PHI or CareFlite or
> whoever and you want to communicate from the base to your helicopter you
> could A) talk through the repeater or B) just talk on simplex via a remote
> transmitter/receiver on the building. The fact is in this case simplex is
> better. You have the same coverage from your base to the aircraft. The added
> benefit is you can also talk between the aircraft and crew or crew to crew
> at a scene or hospital. This is the same logic that fire departments use
> for simplex on the fireground.
>
> Now the disadvantage is it's difficult to talk from crew to crew or from

> aircraft to crew if it's on the ground and at great distance like
across
> town. However the local repeater would only work, in this case,
around
> Dallas. The TXU system was great since it is wide area.
>
> Bottom line is how good the receiver/transmitter location is. A
lousy
> location is no better with a repeater than remote
receiver/transmitter.
>
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Blaine Brooks
> Sent: Monday, March 19, 2007 2:13 PM
> To: Terry
> Cc: 'Myles Barkman'; 'DFWSCAN'
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PHI new freqs??
>
> Terry wrote:
>
> > I'm not sure what a normal
> > repeater gets you if airborne.
>
> While I'm certainly not an engineer (far from it), I can
> offer some insight as to airborne use of a repeater. I believe
> it depends entirely on the height of the aircraft, and the level of
> signal into the input of the repeater. For instance, when I flew
> WBAP traffic, our helicopter elevation averaged about 800',
> airplane elevation was about 1200'. 450.725 repeater is
> at Cedar Hill, 450.6125 repeater is on Broadcast Hill. In
> the helicopter, it was difficult at times to hit the 450.725
> repeater (or if it did engage it was very scratchy) from
> Rockwall east on I-30. Northern reaches of Denton or
> Collin County could also be a challenge.To the west,
> coverage would sometimes crap out in Wise or Parker
> Co. Obviously coverage to the south was pretty good.
> Funny thing was, there were times when we'd be on the
> ground at Love Field and couldn't hit the 450.725 repeater,
> and we pretty much had line of sight to it.
>
> For the 450.6125 repeater it was surprisingly pretty much
> the same. Occasionally, there would be that traffic tie up
> in Corsicana, south of Hillsboro, Grandbury, Weatherford,
> or anything up to the Oklahoma line, which would definitely
> call for an increase in elevation of the airplane or helicopter.

>
> Other cities I worked in used simplex ops, and the radio
> station was only able to hear us once we got in the air.
> Even then, we had to keep in a centralized location, and
> couldn't stray, or risk the signal breaking up real bad.
>
> But to answer Myles question as to why would a helicopter
> use a repeater, I would say if it were me, I would definitely
> want the possible extended range and output of a powerful
> repeater, as opposed to simplex.
>
> --
> Blaine Brooks
> The Flower Mound Scanner
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
> IPN#DFW226 / FMCERT#82
> Denton Co CERT
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29185. Storm chas vechicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-11 12:14:21 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29185 Message: 29185

I was at the Sema show in Vegas for a week. Shot some video of a storm chase vehicle they had.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OLRxgWvJcAs

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29186. RE: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-11 12:30:59 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29185 Message: 29186

Pretty cool. Want to see the folks that man this check here:

http://new.khastv.com/modules/stormchasing/

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:14 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

I was at the Sema show in Vegas for a week. Shot some video of a storm chase vehicle they had.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OLRxgWvJcAs

Jason

29187. Re: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-11 12:31:50 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29185 Message: 29187

The KHAS was the one outside. I just sorda shot video of it as I was walking by. But the video you see inside of the truck with the Garmin on it I don't know who that is. I have more pics of it than I do video. They also had that tank on there from strom chasers.

Jason

From: Terry <terry@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:30:59 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Pretty cool. Want to see the folks that man this check here:

http://new.khastv. com/modules/ stormchasing/

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:14 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

I was at the Sema show in Vegas for a week. Shot some video of a storm chase vehicle they had.

http://www.youtube. com/watch? v=OLRxgWvJcAs

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29188. RE: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-11 12:38:46 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29185 Message: 29188

Missed the fact there were two. I would be interested to know who the other belongs too should you have a shot with a logo or LP.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:32 AM
To: Terry; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

The KHAS was the one outside. I just sorda shot video of it as I was walking by. But the video you see inside of the truck with the Garmin on it I don't know who that is. I have more pics of it than I do video. They also had that tank on there from strom chasers.

Jason

From: Terry <terry@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:30:59 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Pretty cool. Want to see the folks that man this check here:

http://new.khastv. com/modules/ stormchasing/

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of
Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:14 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

I was at the Sema show in Vegas for a week. Shot some video of a storm chase vehicle they had.

http://www.youtube. com/watch? v=OLRxgWvJcAs

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29189. Re: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-11 12:51:39 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29185 Message: 29189

Lets see if this works.

http://s512.photobucket.com/albums/t322/outrun2009/?action=view¤t=FordEvent001.jpg

Jason

From: Terry <terry@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:38:46 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Missed the fact there were two. I would be interested to know who the other belongs too should you have a shot with a logo or LP.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:32 AM
To: Terry; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

The KHAS was the one outside. I just sorda shot video of it as I was walking by. But the video you see inside of the truck with the Garmin on it I don't know who that is. I have more pics of it than I do video. They also had that tank on there from strom chasers.

Jason

From: Terry <terry@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:30:59 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Pretty cool. Want to see the folks that man this check here:

http://new.khastv. com/modules/ stormchasing/

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of
Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:14 AM

To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

I was at the Sema show in Vegas for a week. Shot some video of a storm chase vehicle they had.

http://www.youtube. com/watch? v=OLRxgWvJcAs

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29190. Re: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-11 12:59:12 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29185 Message: 29190

http://s512.photobucket.com/albums/t322/outrun2009/?action=view¤t=lastdaysema014.jpg

Did that work. Should be of the tank.

Jason

From: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
To: Terry <terry@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:51:39 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Lets see if this works.

http://s512. photobucket. com/albums/ t322/outrun2009/ ?action=view& current=FordEven
t001.jpg

Jason

From: Terry <terry@tvssr. com>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@yahoo. com>; dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:38:46 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Missed the fact there were two. I would be interested to know who the other
belongs too should you have a shot with a logo or LP.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of
Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:32 AM
To: Terry; dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

The KHAS was the one outside. I just sorda shot video of it as I was walking
by. But the video you see inside of the truck with the Garmin on it I don't
know who that is. I have more pics of it than I do video. They also had that
tank on there from strom chasers.

Jason

From: Terry <terry@tvssr. com>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@yahoo. com>; dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:30:59 AM

Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

Pretty cool. Want to see the folks that man this check here:

http://new.khastv. com/modules/ stormchasing/

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@ yahoogroups .com] On Behalf
Of
Jason Simpkins
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2008 6:14 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Storm chas vechicle

I was at the Sema show in Vegas for a week. Shot some video of a storm chase
vehicle they had.

http://www.youtube. com/watch? v=OLRxgWvJcAs

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

------------ --------- --------- ------

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29191. Article on Arlington PD adding South district

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-12 12:45:17 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29191 Message: 29191

"In January, the Police Department will roll out a citywide reorganization that adds a fourth district, along with a fourth dispatcher and new police station. "

Much more to the article at

http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1033271.html

Ben

29192. Re: Uniden BCD 996T for sale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-12 19:49:12 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 29182 Message: 29192

I'm interested in the scanner, if you still have it.

Thanks
Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "deliveryguydfw" <deliveryguydfw@...>
wrote:
>
> I am asking $ 425.00 ...it is in new condition and has never been
> programmed other than updates ! I will also throw in a Pro 97 If you
> buy the Uniden ! Please call 972-891-5779 if you want to see it. DFW
> area buyers Only !
>

29194. Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-15 20:05:05 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29194 Message: 29194

I know we are out of the season, but nonetheless,
here are two very good links to have once April
rolls around again.

http://www.srh.weather.gov/fwd/spotter.php

The above link is primarily for those who
would like to report weather to the NWS,
but have not been trained in storm spotting.
I know that for awhile this form had been down.
Nice to see it back up.

The other link is for trained storm spotters that
have taken the basic and advanced storm
spotting classes that perhaps are not involved
in Skywarn, or are not ham operators.

http://espotter.weather.gov/

You have to register on this one, but it's all
very easy.

Once registered, you can log in and give reports.

Of course there is always the phone:

1-800-792-2257

Put this one in your contacts list in your
cell phone. Even if you're a ham, you never
know when you might not hit a repeater
with your radio.

There are direct lines into NWS, (beginning
with 817) but the above number is the more
preferred method.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.175 / Virus Database: 270.9.4/1790 - Release Date: 11/15/2008 9:32 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29195. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-15 23:19:15 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29194 Message: 29195

Whhaaaat? Come on Blaine. You know we don't have just one tornado season in the Metroplex. One of the worst tornado touchdowns was on December 13th of 1984. Wiped out west Mesquite.

Also, the Ft. Worth office does not participate in the eSpotter program from what I've been told. (I may be wrong, but I'd check on that one)

Thanks for the links to the Skywarn classes. Can never have enough of those in my opinion.

-Tracey

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> I know we are out of the season, but nonetheless,
> here are two very good links to have once April
> rolls around again.
>

29196. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-15 23:29:41 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29194 Message: 29196

Woops.I'll gracefully retract this statement. I did some checking and they do actually have a link now. This is good news for us internet-enabled mobile storm wierdos. Thanks for the info Blaine.

Here's the direct link: http://www.srh.weather.gov/fwd/spotter.php
You can get to eSpotter from here as well.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tracey W. Singleton" <tracey.singleton@...> wrote:
>
> Also, the Ft. Worth office does not participate in the eSpotter program
> from what I've been told. (I may be wrong, but I'd check on that one)

29197. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-15 23:47:25 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 29194 Message: 29197

NWS FWD does have the support to view eSpotter reports. however, I discussed the use of eSpotter with them (having a computer in the vehicle in which I normally spot would make it easy), it was inferred that they place far less weight on eSpotter reports compared to reports via phone and radio from known, trusted spotters/groups.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tracey W. Singleton
Sent: Saturday, November 15, 2008 05:19 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Storm Spotting

Whhaaaat? Come on Blaine. You know we don't have just one tornado season in the Metroplex. One of the worst tornado touchdowns was on December 13th of 1984. Wiped out west Mesquite.

Also, the Ft. Worth office does not participate in the eSpotter program from what I've been told. (I may be wrong, but I'd check on that one)

Thanks for the links to the Skywarn classes. Can never have enough of those in my opinion.

-Tracey

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> I know we are out of the season, but nonetheless,
> here are two very good links to have once April
> rolls around again.
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29198. Other WX Stuff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-16 00:24:41 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29198 Message: 29198

Hey folks, any spotters that use any of the GrLevelX products, be sure and sign up for SpotterNetwork at www.spotternetwork.org.

By downloading the client software you can either tie it into your GPS, or manually specify a lat/lon location and have your location as well as all of the other spotters in your area viewable as a dynamic placefile. (http://www.spotternetwork.org/feeds/grlevelx.txt)

You can also view this info using Google Earth and StormLab.

Supposedly, this info is forwarded to APRS also. This would be great if you have internet access but not APRS equipment in your vehicle.

You don't have to be a ham operator to participate in this program, and it also allows you to submit weather reports.

Next in line for the hard-core spotters on list...the National Storm Chaser Convention is coming up in February in Denver.
www.chaserconvention.com

The 2009 National Severe Weather Workshop will once again be held in Norman on March 5-7.
http://www.norman.noaa.gov/nsww2009/

There are some very interesting things about to get rolling this next year in the weather world. ie, Vortex II.

Food for thought...

-Tracey Singleton, KC5WOI

29199. Weekly FCC grants November 09-15

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-16 14:17:15 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29199 Message: 29199

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29200. NASA/Space Shuttle/Station Audio 441.950 Mhz

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-16 17:47:28 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 29200 Message: 29200

Hello Group!!
Guess Who?..... Yes! Charlie Kc5ozh....
In case you have not heard/noticed. The space shuttle, Endeavour, will be docking with the International Space Station today, Sunday 16th of November, current tentative schedule is set for 4:04PM CST. There is Nasa/Space Shuttle/Station Audio being re-transmitted on our 95 {441.950 MHz}, local Amateur Radio repeater in Rowlett, Texas. You may be able to hear the transmissions if you are close enough to Rowlett. If not, there are other freqs available in the DFW area you may hear. Thanks to Echolink and the WWW, HAMS are able to continue to use this repeater by RF without any interference to the SPACE SHUTTLE. :-}
NASA TV is not available on Time Warner Cable ..... BUT, you may go to the following internet link and watch Nasa/Space Shuttle/Station activities along with listening on your radio/scanner.
http://www.nasa.gov/multimedia/nasatv/index.html
About the middle of the page under the column labeled "Multimedia", click on "NASA TV", and it will take you to the action! Should be some neat, live video, of the shuttle docking with the space station.
Other, BUT, certainly not all, NASA/Space Shuttle/Station Audio re-transmissions on Amateur Radio Frequencies that you may receive in the DFW area, follows, listed in MHz:
145.190
145.310
146.600
441.325
441.950
445.000
448.750
Any questions or concerns, feel free to contact me off list. Thanks again for listening to Rowlett's, Kc5ozh Amateur Radio Repeater System.
Happy Scanning!!
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

29201. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-17 00:52:46 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29194 Message: 29201

Someone has been watching too many "Storm Chaser" videos. And what
does this have to do with scanning?

The NWS would like reports from the public to come in on their link
that was mentioned before http://www.srh.weather.gov/fwd/spotter.php
and I belive by those who know what they are talking about and know
what they are reporting.

I believe they get too busy to answer the phone sometimes and I
believe they would like to leave the line open for law enforcement, etc.

You would be surprised how many people out there dont know what they
are looking at and should pretty much stay home.

Anyways, make any storm reports via the NWS link only if you know what
you are doing.

And please don't test me, I've been doing this tooooo long, long
before GRLevel3, WeatherTap, laptops, smart phones, ect.

Have a Great Day!

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> I know we are out of the season, but nonetheless,
> here are two very good links to have once April
> rolls around again.
>
> http://www.srh.weather.gov/fwd/spotter.php
>
> The above link is primarily for those who
> would like to report weather to the NWS,
> but have not been trained in storm spotting.
> I know that for awhile this form had been down.
> Nice to see it back up.
>
> The other link is for trained storm spotters that
> have taken the basic and advanced storm
> spotting classes that perhaps are not involved
> in Skywarn, or are not ham operators.
>
> http://espotter.weather.gov/
>
> You have to register on this one, but it's all
> very easy.

>
> Once registered, you can log in and give reports.
>
> Of course there is always the phone:
>
> 1-800-792-2257
>
> Put this one in your contacts list in your
> cell phone. Even if you're a ham, you never
> know when you might not hit a repeater
> with your radio.
>
> There are direct lines into NWS, (beginning
> with 817) but the above number is the more
> preferred method.
>
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
>
> ----------
>
>
> ----------
>
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
> Version: 8.0.175 / Virus Database: 270.9.4/1790 - Release Date:
11/15/2008 9:32 AM
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29202. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-17 01:26:01 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29194 Message: 29202

KC5RHB wrote:

> And what does this have to do with scanning?

Plenty .... , since many use scanners to hear
about severe WX, are CERTIFIED STORM
SPOTTERS, know what the hell we're
looking at, and THUS we enjoy other
avenues of reporting, should we choose
to do so....

I was trying to be helpful to those
individuals.

Believe it or not, there are a ton of us out
there that enjoy LISTENING to SKYWARN,
but have NO DESIRE to chit chat on the
ham bands, or to ever get a license for
that matter. Certain arrogant individuals
have turned many completely away from
the ham radio hobby altogether...and it's
a shame.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.175 / Virus Database: 270.9.4/1793 - Release Date: 11/16/2008 7:58 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29203. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-17 01:31:10 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 29194 Message: 29203

I think RHB is trying to say he thinks this is an "off topic" thread.

I'll say that while the information can be interesting to those who
enjoy their time in scannerland, it is an odd time of the year.

We got called out last week... but I don't anticipate any further
severe weather events until the spring.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> KC5RHB wrote:
>
> > And what does this have to do with scanning?
>
> Plenty .... , since many use scanners to hear
> about severe WX, are CERTIFIED STORM
> SPOTTERS, know what the hell we're
> looking at, and THUS we enjoy other
> avenues of reporting, should we choose
> to do so....
>
> I was trying to be helpful to those
> individuals.
>
> Believe it or not, there are a ton of us out
> there that enjoy LISTENING to SKYWARN,
> but have NO DESIRE to chit chat on the
> ham bands, or to ever get a license for
> that matter. Certain arrogant individuals
> have turned many completely away from
> the ham radio hobby altogether...and it's
> a shame.
>
>

29204. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-17 01:36:29 UTC
From: afm108
Topic: 29194 Message: 29204

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Believe it or not, there are a ton of us out
> there that enjoy LISTENING to SKYWARN,
> but have NO DESIRE to chit chat on the
> ham bands, or to ever get a license for
> that matter. Certain arrogant individuals
> have turned many completely away from
> the ham radio hobby altogether...and it's
> a shame.
>
I agree with Blaine totally on this. I am a police officer and I listen to the scanner (Skywarn) more during bad weather than any other time (could be because I have all the area agencies programmed in my work radio) so it has alot to do with scanning.

Stay safe all...
Randy

29205. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-17 01:36:56 UTC
From: Barney
Topic: 29194 Message: 29205

I thought this group was about scanning. Maybe I was wrong. After 26 years of being a ham, I don't need Skywarn training. I enjoy the scanning information. I don't need the weather net teachers.

Good point Blaine. Where is scanning and skywarn the same. I still laugh at a RACES meeting where the RACES person was above the law. Sounds like a bunch of Technician class Blue Lighters. No wonder they sometimes get a bad name with government.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> KC5RHB wrote:
>
> > And what does this have to do with scanning?
>
> Plenty .... , since many use scanners to hear
> about severe WX, are CERTIFIED STORM
> SPOTTERS, know what the hell we're
> looking at, and THUS we enjoy other
> avenues of reporting, should we choose
> to do so....
>
> I was trying to be helpful to those
> individuals.
>
> Believe it or not, there are a ton of us out
> there that enjoy LISTENING to SKYWARN,
> but have NO DESIRE to chit chat on the
> ham bands, or to ever get a license for
> that matter. Certain arrogant individuals
> have turned many completely away from
> the ham radio hobby altogether...and it's
> a shame.
>
>
> ----------
>
>
> ----------
>
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
> Version: 8.0.175 / Virus Database: 270.9.4/1793 - Release Date: 11/16/2008 7:58 PM

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29206. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-17 01:46:31 UTC
From: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Topic: 29194 Message: 29206

The FWD CWA (county warning area), which includes some 40+ counties does have two severe weather seasons and includes the fall season.

Remember Dec 29, 2006...some 30+ Tornado Warnings were issued that day.

Billy Mason, KD5KNR
Bedford, Tx

* Sent from my AT&T 8525

-----Original Message-----
From: Jason <kd5tvf@...>
Sent: Sunday, November 16, 2008 19:31
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Storm Spotting

I think RHB is trying to say he thinks this is an "off topic" thread.

I'll say that while the information can be interesting to those who
enjoy their time in scannerland, it is an odd time of the year.

We got called out last week... but I don't anticipate any further
severe weather events until the spring.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> KC5RHB wrote:
>
> > And what does this have to do with scanning?
>
> Plenty .... , since many use scanners to hear
> about severe WX, are CERTIFIED STORM
> SPOTTERS, know what the hell we're
> looking at, and THUS we enjoy other
> avenues of reporting, should we choose
> to do so....
>
> I was trying to be helpful to those
> individuals.
>
> Believe it or not, there are a ton of us out
> there that enjoy LISTENING to SKYWARN,
> but have NO DESIRE to chit chat on the
> ham bands, or to ever get a license for
> that matter. Certain arrogant individuals
> have turned many completely away from
> the ham radio hobby altogether...and it's

> a shame.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29207. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-17 01:54:29 UTC
From: David Perez
Topic: 29194 Message: 29207

Ok. I'm done with this subject, going to go watch the Cowboys lose and clean my guns. Later people. RHB

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> KC5RHB wrote:
>> And what does this have to do with scanning?
> Plenty .... , since many use scanners to hear
> about severe WX, are CERTIFIED STORM
> SPOTTERS, know what the hell we're
> looking at, and THUS we enjoy other
> avenues of reporting, should we choose
> to do so....
> I was trying to be helpful to those
> individuals.
> Believe it or not, there are a ton of us out
> there that enjoy LISTENING to SKYWARN,
> but have NO DESIRE to chit chat on the
> ham bands, or to ever get a license for
> that matter. Certain arrogant individuals
> have turned many completely away from
> the ham radio hobby altogether...and it's
> a shame.

29208. Storm Spotting ....

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-17 02:05:50 UTC
From: bigdon22
Topic: 29208 Message: 29208

You know, for what it's worth it's a no win situation for everyone.

I could step up and say, "no more storm spotter" conversation; which would tick off half the group.

I could say, "storm spotting chatter is okay" and I'd piss off the other half.

So ... like ... be grown ups and come to a peaceful resolution, eh?

Thank you.

29209. Re: [dfwscan] Storm Spotting ....

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-17 02:23:09 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 29208 Message: 29209

Well, at least its not trainspotting or sheep worrying.

At any rate, I don't really give a crap about what Hams are doing 99 percent of the time (Ruined by listening to Walt on 2m back when).

But if the bad weather comes, I drag out the old scanner with all the repeater stuff on it and listen to the storm spotting. That and the radar gives me a much better idea of whats coming, since NOAA goes into Chicken Little mode and WBAP hates to interrupt their talk-radio nonsense for anything important. And the sirens are about as useful as you-know-what on a boar.

bigdon22 wrote:
> You know, for what it's worth it's a no win situation for everyone.
>
> I could step up and say, "no more storm spotter" conversation; which
> would tick off half the group.
>
> I could say, "storm spotting chatter is okay" and I'd piss off the
> other half.
>
> So ... like ... be grown ups and come to a peaceful resolution, eh?
>
> Thank you.
>
>

29210. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-18 03:11:54 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 29194 Message: 29210

To the group as a side note.

The NWS DOES NOT "certify" anyone and there IS NOT a designation as a CERTIFIED STORM SPOTTER. The term "certification" implies that a person is qualified to perform a job or task and is confirmed by some form of external review, education, or assessment. One of the most common types of certification in modern society is a professional certification , where a person is certified as being able to competently complete a job or task, usually by the passing of an examination. Typical, a person must also maintain their certification through continuing education. Certification also implies some form of liability on the part of the agency that performs the certification process.

Skywarn training is just that, training. Look at the piece of paper that was provided at the end of the day. It states

Certificate of Completion

This certifies that
- attendee name -
Attended and Completed the Severe Weather Spotter Training Program

Nowhere does it state or imply that the attendee is a certified storm spotter.You simply attended and completed a course of instruction.

Not trying to be a complete a** but this is something that we have run into time after time and needs to be clarified.

Greg Higgins

>Plenty .... , since many use scanners to hear
>about severe WX, are CERTIFIED STORM
>SPOTTERS, know what the hell we're
>looking at, and THUS we enjoy other
>avenues of reporting, should we choose
>to do so....

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29211. DFD pager FS;

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-21 23:23:00 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29211 Message: 29211

This is a cool toy. It's a motorola advisor gold set on the vhf
frequency and capcode that all the pagers for DFD top brass have.

You can see all page outs etc. No subscription needed...

$70 oBo

Call Jay at 972-965-6229

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

29212. Fire Pager

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-22 17:05:31 UTC
From: jared4301
Topic: 29212 Message: 29212

Is there any way to program a motorola advisor gold (or any other pager) to monitor any (1) of the following Fire Departments: Benbrook, White Settlement, Fort Worth, Lake Worth, or Samson Park? If I could receive page outs for more that one of these agencies that would be great, but I would be happy just to figure out how to program 1.

Thanks,
Jared K.
Benbrook, TX
KE5VLJ

29213. Re: [dfwscan] Fire Pager

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-22 19:11:29 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29212 Message: 29213

depends on what frequencies they use.. I think benbrook just uses a
2-tone sequence.

You could just get a VHF 2 way radio like a vertex vx-800 and program
all the stuff into it and leave it in scan....

or...gasp..a scanner.

jared4301 wrote:
> Is there any way to program a motorola advisor gold (or any other pager) to monitor any
(1)
> of the following Fire Departments: Benbrook, White Settlement, Fort Worth, Lake Worth, or
> Samson Park? If I could receive page outs for more that one of these agencies that would
be
> great, but I would be happy just to figure out how to program 1.
>
> Thanks,
> Jared K.
> Benbrook, TX
> KE5VLJ
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29214. Re: Fire Pager

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-23 04:01:03 UTC
From: jared4301
Topic: 29212 Message: 29214

I already own multiple scanners, but I just wanted a pager so I could see the page out ticket. So, is there a way to program an alpha-numeric pager to receive page outs for Benbrook, or White Settlement? If so, how would I do it?

Thanks,
Jared K.
DFW242
KE5VLJ

--- In dfwscan@...m, "jared4301" <jaredkoester@...> wrote:
>
> Is there any way to program a motorola advisor gold (or any other pager) to monitor any (1)
> of the following Fire Departments: Benbrook, White Settlement, Fort Worth, Lake Worth, or
> Samson Park? If I could receive page outs for more that one of these agencies that would be
> great, but I would be happy just to figure out how to program 1.
>
> Thanks,
> Jared K.
> Benbrook, TX
> KE5VLJ
>

29215. BC246T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-21 03:27:17 UTC
From: Tim Dale
Topic: 24392 Message: 29215

I picked up a uniden BC246T trunktracker III for monotoring comunications in an emergency. I bought it from a friend who never used it. He said that it was a good scanner from its specs.

I live in Lewisville and i was told that mostof the local PDs use trunking radios. So I hope this trunking scanner will pick up many of the LE nets, but i really have no idea how to program this scanner.

I was wondering if there is any free programing software out there for the BC246T

I would like to be able to pick up all the PD nets in the DFW area, and any other good frequencys to monitor if there is an emergency.

any idea if the BC246T will fit my needs?

Thanks,
Tim

29216. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Fire Pager

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-23 06:40:51 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29212 Message: 29216

Dallas has its own POCSAG transmitter. Nobody else does.

jared4301 wrote:
> I already own multiple scanners, but I just wanted a pager so I could see the page out
> ticket. So, is there a way to program an alpha-numeric pager to receive page outs for
> Benbrook, or White Settlement? If so, how would I do it?
>
> Thanks,
> Jared K.
> DFW242
> KE5VLJ
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jared4301" <jaredkoester@...> wrote:
>> Is there any way to program a motorola advisor gold (or any other pager) to monitor any
> (1)
>> of the following Fire Departments: Benbrook, White Settlement, Fort Worth, Lake Worth,
> or
>> Samson Park? If I could receive page outs for more that one of these agencies that
> would be
>> great, but I would be happy just to figure out how to program 1.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Jared K.
>> Benbrook, TX
>> KE5VLJ
>>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29217. Re: BC246T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-23 13:54:47 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 24392 Message: 29217

Hi Tim,

The BC246T will cover you for most of the DFW Metroplex. However if you want
to monitor anything digital, say Grand Prairie or Mansfield for instance, than
your going to be out of luck.

As far as free software, give this a try:
http://bctool.org <http://bctool.org>

Then if you don't already have one, get a paid subscription to
http://radioreference.com <http://radioreference.com>
Once you have your subscription to RadioReference you will be able to download
conventional and trunked radio systems directly into BCTOOL. Then the only thing
you would have to do is customize your text tags to appear the way you want them.

You can get a paid subscription to RR for as little as $15.00. And it's well worth it.
If for nothing else, to be able to download their database info directly into your software.

Happy Scanning,

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tim Dale" <tdale4@...> wrote:
>
> I picked up a uniden BC246T trunktracker III for monotoring
> comunications in an emergency. I bought it from a friend who never
> used it. He said that it was a good scanner from its specs.
>
> I live in Lewisville and i was told that mostof the local PDs use
> trunking radios. So I hope this trunking scanner will pick up many of
> the LE nets, but i really have no idea how to program this scanner.
>
> I was wondering if there is any free programing software out there for
> the BC246T
>
> I would like to be able to pick up all the PD nets in the DFW area,
> and any other good frequencys to monitor if there is an emergency.
>
> any idea if the BC246T will fit my needs?
>

> Thanks,
> Tim
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29218. Re: BC246T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-23 13:56:27 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 24392 Message: 29218

All of the law enforcement in Denton County use Motorola Type 2 Analog Smartnet presently, so the BC246T will work great to monitor most of the 800 trunking in the area until things eventually go over to APCO-25.

It looks like there is a free piece of software called Freescan that will program that radio, according to http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/BC246T
Freescan is located at http://scannow.org/. It will even grab the data out of Radioreference.com (if you subscribe to RR) and put it into the radio for you.

The Butel ARC246 software and the Uniden UASD software are also good choices, although they do require $.

-b-

--
Brent Willcox (KD5WYU)
bwillcox@...
http://ares.homeip.net/~bwillcox/

29219. Weekly FCC grants November 16-22

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-23 14:23:46 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29219 Message: 29219

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29220. BC246T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-23 14:25:23 UTC
From: George Hoxie
Topic: 24392 Message: 29220

Tim,

Here is a great program and it is totally free. Have fun!

http://www.bctool.org/

George+

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29221. Re: BC246T (Lewisville)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-23 15:58:22 UTC
From: jason carr
Topic: 24392 Message: 29221

[submitted this once but it bounced to the OP. Reposting]

On Thu, Nov 20, 2008 at 9:27 PM, Tim Dale <tdale4@...> wrote:

> I live in Lewisville and i was told that mostof the local PDs use
> trunking radios.

Here is Lewisville:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=46

> I was wondering if there is any free programing software out there for
> the BC246T

I use FreeSCAN, which will let you copy/paste in the data from Radio
Reference or elsewhere; pretty easy.
http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/FreeScan_User_Guide

> I would like to be able to pick up all the PD nets in the DFW area,
> and any other good frequencys to monitor if there is an emergency.

Here's the general area:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?ctid=2579

I seem to remember that it's harder to DX trunked systems than
conventional; some scanners won't let you program in trunked systems
unless you have a good lock on the control channel[s]. I haven't
tried this with the 246 but I am sure others have.

> any idea if the BC246T will fit my needs?

The 246 is pretty fantastic. It won't do digital, which is the coming
thing, but must local PDs are still analog.

I have a .996 file (a FreeSCAN file) for Richardson, DART, and a few
odds and ends here:
http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/scanner/richardson.996
if you want to download it and stick it in the scanner with FreeSCAN.
Something to give you an idea what trunking info looks like in there.
I do not claim to be particularly good at organization so do not model
your 246's tidiness around mine.

29222. Dallas Police Patrol Maps Changing in January 2009

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-25 17:02:45 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 29222 Message: 29222

Does anybody have the link to all the new maps?

<http://www.pegasusnews.com/news/2008/nov/24/dallas-police-patrol-maps-changing-january-2009>

Russell

29223. 11-25-08 SALE $199.99 Uniden BR330T PORTABLE ALL BAND SCANNER

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-25 22:34:27 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29223 Message: 29223

*Scanner World, USA

*ScannerWorld.com
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaOBCQAoC8Fpl7yDly7_HD5d2xfbeu2IgLH8JLg4uJRpOPke8JpxlEXvfitzIiKzMVv5TqvyYb-O55uPUZTo8VlnFIP3pA81wBhFpb2r8fVncg==>*_

<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaOBCQAoC8Fpl7yDly7_HD5d2xfbeu2IgLH8JLg4uJRpOPke8JpxlEXvfitzIiKzMVv5TqvyYb-O55uPUZTo8VlnFIP3pA81wBhFpb2r8fVncg==>_November
25, 2008

41st ANNIVERSARY 1967 - 2008

17 Interstate Avenue, Albany, NY 12205
518-436-9606
_PHONE ORDERS 800-476-8050
In stock orders placed before 2pm (M - F) are shipped same day

USA and INTERNATIONAL ORDERS ACCEPTED ON LINE
****

_*UNIDEN BC370CRS
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaP34cAFeRM_epNAU-1iE2rLqhZW9wymQ7Giy9NEstFUHzLm_jdfhyUVDlCnhkJx1ooIFI-VRDxQqdJeNevNzGz9Thcq2ZeoZMNMrXtaky1Fk2qihR1sk8rANL4IdZDovEaZEFgxa4G9EC0nFYNQ-4Q4g5duUV2gzX8=>*
HOME BASE SCANNER
SALE
$129.99
UNIDEN BC370CRS
BC370CRS
UNIDEN BEARCAT BASE SCANNER
300 CHANNELS
25-54, 108-174, 406-512, 806-956 MHz

____
_CLOSEOUT - RELM UHF TWO WAY RADIOS
UHF PORTABLE $175.99
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMBwcqoPvgFqSa-fx9VumQ6IMvcq0SHBlq5jWHMtDtoZ0AtKp9dj3UmeMD8-Ez3xstL4zT5_rbhpq3ASMMTDbtIG5NXGJfn-uWvfJ97JK-DuREr7PGXZLwPern9SGuOr1E2lLvPuelwzqyrKtRV6ZfuqbScwDfdgbY=>
& MOBILE RELM $259.99 UHF
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaOjDDehPjKFC667R-pVTp0w8TcPJNZBVZcsyU2TlWfqIy-5eEYysPSOfWblOAqdfK0ozMOxYYR6AMflSYOAPJajPErFd7vceN40pfzPYHIWdE8S_xuBTfukFMlyUjf_Vp3pbv6oXus6GmaeJteB4pXf4Aid09wUpdw=>
MODELS IN STOCK
ONLY A FEW PIECES AVAILABLE_

****

_**UNIDEN BR330T ALL BAND HAND HELD
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaO3gvz6-nb7fMD4ut8FVTBwuZ5Rj78QJc0I_VogBqXupLWmM4ZC4Z
Z3ITXAi5BSqvVOpgJmZDliloiIMKJyBly03Nx7OIZq6sHUXuG7tV3ea5IixpmG-uz4DYlFsCZs4PqOaKEkqft9Y4LO
RpQsbvMKTw1OM2Q10q8=>

ANALOG TRUNKING SCANNER - 2500 Channels
Brand New - Limited Quantity
** ALL BAND .1 MHz - 1.3 GHz Coverage*
Excluding Cellular

*SALE $199.99**
Uniden Bearcat BR330T Scanner
**IN STOCK FOR SAME DAY SHIPPING

**
****
LIMITED QUANTITY
UNIDEN *BC92XLT
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMWzUIb6fjLWxzZsJSse2oDDSAwDMr1ntdJ6PiOtJoC2egdvmFn1v
6x3KMZcpbpBzbf8G1vbLAnIn0qjxO1QglRWENoAp2s7kUYEQ8VNuKJXzaGL9oGGuQ6NXQny0yjMys1-1fiO6X5INlM
aeMm-krX3eYSbMJvVVs=>*
$88.99

*200 CHANNEL HAND HELD
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMWzUIb6fjLWxzZsJSse2oDDSAwDMr1ntdJ6PiOtJoC2egdvmFn1v
6x3KMZcpbpBzbf8G1vbLAnIn0qjxO1QglRWENoAp2s7kUYEQ8VNuKJXzaGL9oGGuQ6NXQny0yjMys1-1fiO6X5INlM
aeMm-krX3eYSbMJvVVs=>*
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMWzUIb6fjLWxzZsJSse2oDDSAwDMr1ntdJ6PiOtJoC2egdvmFn1v
6x3KMZcpbpBzbf8G1vbLAnIn0qjxO1QglRWENoAp2s7kUYEQ8VNuKJXzaGL9oGGuQ6NXQny0yjMys1-1fiO6X5INlM
aeMm-krX3eYSbMJvVVs=>ALL
BAND - POLICE - FIRE - AIRCRAFT
800MHz - NASCAR - TRAINS - MARINE
****
*BC92XLT *PACK92a Special Package Deal
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMIxAIUNVyVSTP56XB2e0MuP7tMLH1W1KGSKaMFDm7DDyu9bxPQsb
MbPK0A0Cb1vT2lS9OEER8UAnPW8ICHdbGeL3CRtwwFbzYphgDQNmS6TldeC8KI7tyKCKj4gBcoUAy3GaqBRKFEnexD
wf-qMN2SqW-cRc4nKoc=>*
ONLY $123.99
*PACK 92a PACKAGE DEAL INCLUDES THE UNIDEN BC92XLT SCANNER PLUS THE
FOLLOWING ACCESSORIES: AC adapter charger, rubber antenna, belt clip,
and owners instruction manual. PLUS additional items added by Scanner
World. 92MPC mobile cigarette lighter charger cord; LSP2 mini lapel
speaker; AANIMHP2300P2 rechargeable Ni-Mh batteries; AT002 mobile wire
antenna.
****

CIGARETTE LIGHTER CHARGER CORDS
*DC612
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMR8kmD-PiHKQIvIZk8c3mJHsKdiM4vpTUmVKCr1L0jbjUkGeB8Vr
FNAwrwm1SN1vYA7_yXQkyRnEyxu4wxa5a_XACMqySsFDAiJkjbFRDatUCjDVnW8UwLht_vyNkQQ79HcRwgzwKUs5Rz

OaYEAxGfRTqeA1zRCME=>*
- IN STOCK - SHIPS TODAY

*DC612 Cigarette Lighter Cord Uniden
*SCANNER WORLD ONLY $12.99 each
Sold elsewhere for $17.99 to $29.99 each
*

**Designed For The Following Hand Held Uniden Bearcat Models:
BC-72XLT, BC-92XLT, BC-246T, SC-230, BR-330T, BCD-396T, UBC72XLT,
UBC92XLT, BC-95XLT, USC230 , UBC69XLT - Radio Shack PRO-84**

****
**
POWER CORDS AVAILABLE FOR ALL SCANNER MODELS
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaOdQ7816vfzqe5MSzvN4CRQNVBrj3vYEeVsuVfsk_wgOc2eDNz2yd
5aGuzNAc1vULKYi_uq1M3St7JeBPT9BcZLzD7VDr-1sUdoy-N_rhqzAs4oq2NB5-I1VJIlNJkou9yqDqFCK46qXNN5
GA1FT1THJHLJjYsOZojC97st1IeB_A==>
****
**
***UNIDEN BEARCAT
**BCD996T BASE MOBILE
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaN4Xyu7I06DGoAef7aRXEBZVLYlDWmnVX2kX6cLZWbM9uAN_e964O
aYfmQGfr4RGR1m9ptjwedqZT6RxvenMWGQipQfA6YQFLCnWrZEvXIz7-FX7VOebuIqcw1zKmuo0FdFggWCXUZDs9mT
8njANMFv2qwDlKUpQHc=>
BCD396T HANDHELD
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaNymGrQERHFX1aatVYr5rRMZgqyh3getlTAmbEMM7rwwu9wMFrW1r
x6lCn2FmfSQHej4IERhIXuFlBK5m7PerwbRNvaPwCw2e87eopC52KKWELetyBvV60oMZVJcYTPYxnuDqcPLlnYV85H
jozGy1HKxDPVoUktZwA=>
APCODIGITAL P25
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaN4Xyu7I06DGoAef7aRXEBZVLYlDWmnVX2kX6cLZWbM9uAN_e964O
aYfmQGfr4RGR1m9ptjwedqZT6RxvenMWGQipQfA6YQFLCnWrZEvXIz7-FX7VOebuIqcw1zKmuo0FdFggWCXUZDs9mT
8njANMFv2qwDlKUpQHc=>**
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaM-gLnRDpcT4CBxIu_CCaiQR0M9A1Np11qUW3rhBHeZr-8A5jxTCo
R2ajC6ic5oHNCQANH2D6hLVbVkW8r5i2Iw8O4U1qR7UcM1WokS88ydmJWdwHleFdIFaB8sSCJXDPL16-FQGoCqq2Xa
XK4y4TlmBoF5Z8PBqr4=>$499.99
each

***BCRH96
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaNyTtKdJYjDmx-AinYXoGtgLmrgH_YLO8kKSD_oaUZUg3CNb3Mqm3
gKu0GHQ0Cjr2uo-eRgvtWVkFcclHXNEQyifk32RgGxK6CQvLusPj7RxN8Ok7iEi53pb36iGQb_9ot-tGAGkT6n2S4q
0XK0ft5l1JTaF6UrMrA=>
REMOTE HEAD
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaNyTtKdJYjDmx-AinYXoGtgLmrgH_YLO8kKSD_oaUZUg3CNb3Mqm3
gKu0GHQ0Cjr2uo-eRgvtWVkFcclHXNEQyifk32RgGxK6CQvLusPj7RxN8Ok7iEi53pb36iGQb_9ot-tGAGkT6n2S4q
0XK0ft5l1JTaF6UrMrA=>***
UNIDEN
SPECIAL $139.99
LIMITED QUANTITY AVAILABLE
BCRH96 HAS BEEN DISCONTINUED BY UNIDEN

**BC350C
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMKcVhe9ap7kD0chB3Mov9QK_x2_as78CoeBke0_7qMkU41rNuAuDcnEFJEQLiUho7S76hoxlzp_kXZCs6uSgsmLKJHht7eZJpsTLR6rCgEC9qHMk-P4s34WyDtgf8DbpNde13qaPgwRXLGsO_E5ksPQm0ZDPOD4r0=>
BASE or MOBILE SCANNER
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMKcVhe9ap7kD0chB3Mov9QK_x2_as78CoeBke0_7qMkU41rNuAuDcnEFJEQLiUho7S76hoxlzp_kXZCs6uSgsmLKJHht7eZJpsTLR6rCgEC9qHMk-P4s34WyDtgf8DbpNde13qaPgwRXLGsO_E5ksPQm0ZDPOD4r0=>**
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMG4XSc6pv7Yitij2PWdo-ui24Qyc2ZZd12R-23_9vbBO4tQSJ2o5gT2CPzASrM7ZuWKfaNtrx3xNQEuLPURfUn5ycFC_YUrDKugZNQUYh5wHQ4TJTbiX7mZ5Yo9kNamMCYRhMe4Z1LIoMj0yRb91vs1lwXnpINvbQ=>100
CHANNEL, AC / DC, POLICE, FIRE, RAILROAD, AIRCRAFT, 800MHz
BC350C Package Included Accessories
SPECIAL PRICE
Includes BC350C Scanner plus accessories shown above
BC350C $ 99.99
***
***
****
***BC246T
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaPnM99TCu9E2daQ26kO5v180FcfHAfU4zf3G1-UZ81cHRD1tpaVmY0L0QzjAsbZ-KAmsTUr2xBt-MFac8HXU88p5OIwic0RNZtly0sf3So5h1OUCOy_A-fq4BPDaT0g5FHVUXaxJq02VE0JJm-Cb1wLGCnluBAYQMc=>
PORTABLE SCANNER
ANALOG TRUNKING
$209.99
****
***
* **
** *
***ALL BAND **ANT135BNC
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaPMVD9GTeTBGPQm3S25WAsB3GTn8qt95iuFsuLUiEDRt7edHb_qHyzl1odHsiIfulvVGPcwRxsP1RMmFiaPKvTHjIHZELiNpAXNoX0BSmMfvQ-cyBvr0bKReM7DwfcpaaOnJdmYHxgJ05nkpHre7lZ5LbJVI6w-6fk=>**

INDOOR BASE OR MOBILE SCANNER ANTENNA
$49.99

All products are BRAND NEW and can be ordered online
ScannerWorld.com
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaPB6_Q5bjj9qiEdNXOHFMyhZ3VJ-w_k_kEhkyjt-PYxaTz0PN61nhKi8FXYG7ERzM5Kp_L7961nwgZgpCRaifTlgmpWRYK2Os04PlZ7bMPd8UP1TBGxaWWWHDE4xUl1quu2bcm_JI8Td56PTV8eJUHZaiBezVj5mWdQ8SZSwvojATIJr2q8BClv>
Limited quantities available on some models. Check our web site for
availability of all items.

UNIDEN BEARCAT SCANNERS
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaPB6_Q5bjj9qiEdNXOHFMyhZ3VJ-w_k_kEhkyjt-PYxaTz0PN61nhKi8FXYG7ERzM5Kp_L7961nwgZgpCRaifTlgmpWRYK2Os04PlZ7bMPd8UP1TBGxaWWWHDE4xUl1quu2bcm_JI8Td56PTV8eJUHZaiBezVj5mWdQ8SZSwvojATIJr2q8BClv>

***
BR330T Uniden Bearcat hand held ALL BAND Analog scanner
199.99
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaO3gvz6-nb7fMD4ut8FVTBwuZ5Rj78QJc0I_VogBqXupLWmM4ZC4Z
Z3ITXAi5BSqvVOpgJmZDliloiIMKJyBly03Nx7OIZq6sHUXuG7tV3ea5IixpmG-uz4DYlFsCZs4PqOaKEkqft9Y4LO
RpQsbvMKTw1OM2Q10q8=>***
BC246T
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaPnM99TCu9E2daQ26kO5v180FcfHAfU4zf3G1-UZ81cHRD1tpaVmY
0L0QzjAsbZ-KAmsTUr2xBt-MFac8HXU88p5OIwic0RNZtly0sf3So5h1OUCOy_A-fq4BPDaT0g5FHVUXaxJq02VE0J
Jm-Cb1wLGCnluBAYQMc=>
Uniden Bearcat hand held Trunking scanner 1600 + channels
209.99
BCT15 UNIDEN BEARCAT BASE MOBILE ALL BAND
SCANNER 229.99
BC340CRS Uniden Bearcat base scanner programmable 100
channels 95.99
BC370CRS Uniden Bearcat base scanner programmable 300
channels 129.99
BC898T Uniden Bearcat base trunking scanner programmable 500
channels 209.99
**BC92XLT
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMWzUIb6fjLWxzZsJSse2oDDSAwDMr1ntdJ6PiOtJoC2egdvmFn1v
6x3KMZcpbpBzbf8G1vbLAnIn0qjxO1QglRWENoAp2s7kUYEQ8VNuKJXzaGL9oGGuQ6NXQny0yjMys1-1fiO6X5INlM
aeMm-krX3eYSbMJvVVs=>**
Uniden Bearcat hand held programmable 200 channels
88.99
BC95XLT Uniden Bearcat hand held programmable 200
channels 119.99
BC72XLT Uniden Bearcat hand held scanner - 100
Channels 87.99
SC230 Uniden Bearcat hand held scanner - 1000
channels 189.99
**** BC350C Uniden Bearcat base and mobile scanner 100
channels 99.99
BCT8 Uniden Bearcat BearTracker trunking base mobile 250
channels 179.99**
** BCD996T Uniden Bearcat All Band APCO 25 Digital / Analog Base
Mobile Scanner 499.99
BCD396T Uniden Bearcat All Band APCO 25 Digital / Analog
HandHeld Scanner ***499.99 ***
** *
***Programming software is available for BC246T, BCT15, BC898T, BCT8,
BCD396T, and BCD996T**
*
**********Our inventory is constantly changing. Check our web site
for availability of other model scanners and accessories.

Replacement or Optional Accessories for Hand Held Scanners

ANT59 and ANT44 GAIN TELESCOPIC BNC ANTENNA FOR SCANNERS
****
********** ** LSP2 Lapel speaker for scanners or other radios

with an 1/8" 3.5 jack. Can also be used as a mini external
speaker an****ywhere. 1 1/2" diameter x 1 1/2" deep, 8 ohm, cord
over 7 feet long, with swivel spring clip $9.99 each

BPBCNMH Heavy Duty Ni-Mh (1600MAh) battery pack for BC250D,
BC296D, BC120XLT, BC220XLT, BC230XLT,
BC235XLT, BC245XLT, SC150, SC180, SC200 hand held
scanners $32.99 (2 or more $29.99)
BP200-205 Uniden Ni-Cad battery pack for BC200XLT, BC205XLT,
BC100XLT,
Relm R4020, R4030 hand held
scanners
34.99
BP70HDX Heavy duty Ni-Cad battery pack for Uniden Bearcat
BC70XLT 29.99
BP180
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMzIbZCteKmVlC4m5uSdDqnkifeGRYu41xMDyRGufKlYA6z54J0q_
u2Om7d2qWhCXr-oi-ZkrS8ndtJM3kf5woM2ZCfkGO_JPksH7-LjrPj2UYitAACfwrTH93tTidRbG1hMLLWi2i2a2gW
Bx5C84Gz1Xw3R8hG-e8=>
(1000MAh) Heavy Duty Ni-Cad battery pack for BC120XLT, BC220XLT, BC230XLT,
BC235XLT, BC245XLT, SC150, SC180, SC200 hand held
scanners 29.99
AD70u
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaMKrAxJWhfN6CEU42XWPEQEPTtTKqJe3hFcOlZTQmUpmVu55137tC
toLmOKR6kJgbS6W9ASknD6fNLlJ1bN07G1cbWM_5YCJ_e-a_rI4ytYORg14Qzp-77gBTwR8My8MSyjTJ3KugU3vm2t
52kvntzlis0JtxOJqro=>
AC adapter charger replacement for scanners in BP180 list plus
others 14.99
BA4 Package of 4 pieces AA Ni-Cad batteries 800ma
for BC60 & BC80XLT 7.99
CC042 Leather carry case w/ belt clip for Uniden
SportCat SC180 and SC200 23.99
CCR2 Leather carry case w/ belt clip for ICR2 & ICR5
ICOM scanners 14.99
8AASOLPAK AA Ni-Cad individual batteries as low as 10 cents
each. Pack of 8 pieces as low as $0.79

BP2500 RECHARGEABLE BATTERY PACK FOR UNIDEN BC2500XLT and
BC3000XLT
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaPfVmvFOxS_MMmPg6L3S21OwDpIa9aaYHJCTLB_ZKbsbyRfVQhVLs
A9dKdma2MORcy-jXIEFkIuWKi_rFbQeGS7Q3m1nKmpE799vYuv-x_PdKM1H1kQxEkl_HGKJ7skPpXogrzE5rKl8Y-N
A-Twvt41O9sw9SCpbwY=>

2005 Police Call Frequency Directories which also include National
Frequency CD $19.99 Limited Quantity

7th Edition New York Metro / Northern New Jersey Communications
Guide 720 Pages $38.95

For those of you who have Regency HX1000, HX1200, & HX1500 scanners
we have in stock MA917 NiCad

replacement battery packs, MA518 AC wall chargers, MA553 soft carry cases and MA257 CIGARETTE LIGHTER CORDS.

We also have many other rechargeable batteries for most new and older models of scanners.

Scanner antennas: telescopic, rubber, base or mobile. We have them all.

ANT31 Right angle telescopic antenna with Motorola connector for Bearcat & Regency base and mobile scanners $6.99

Scanner carry cases, AC or DC adapters and chargers, are also in stock.
LEATHER CARRY CASE WITH SWIVEL FOR BC72XLT, BC92XLT, SC230, BC246T SCANNERS. $27.99
LEATHER CARRY CASE WITH SWIVEL FOR BCD396T OR BR330T UNIDEN SCANNER (CC396)..... $27.99

GLASS MOUNT MOBILE ANTENNAS - ALL BANDS - CB, SCANNER, VHF HIGH, PLUS UHF BANDS

Computer interface cables in stock for Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT, BCT8, BC785D, BC898T, and BC796D scanners $16.99 each

**********USB-DB9 PC INTERFACE ADAPTER CABLE
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaOpYqYPZmE_7JouK2269x3HqyqRrqWbjQVIu7SgIgcrRfmATCKpkbhJ_GfZghKYLmL6GY5AY0VuRr4NL00Ttq4DBk8IWAig9miVrtuYnV5VtAadUJPCzgm_ljVZcGn9NTvkIul9blPaHBqFwtOZ8g08UIgFHYrPo3c=>**********-
ALLOWS YOU TO ATTACH SERIAL CABLE TO USB PC CONNECTOR
** **********
****
SUCTION CUP MOBILE OR APARTMENT SCANNER ANTENNAS

Owners instruction manuals for scanners. Check web site for available models.

**********If you do not use a credit or debit card on line, an order blank
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaNh0m1dkUNFKyqspp95aZzkyFVBKdTy8UyHd-QchcmqWcYV_pLJoXZfH_5FtZmqGViZYbCGjZjJ9iU2vbYmxBZ1LKADZetjmHTVHUfTS8XI6fi237afGoSCIcWCX6MuEDBxi4tDd7rD2ZsTKLMW06hV1GsbJkBP0ccFPXx3w1VJH_UH8kFqhYLFCxJZtmh54HaB7MNQgt6opg==>
can be printed on line to mail orders with payment through the Post Office.**********
****
Credit card or debit card orders can be placed on line or call 800-476-8050

UNIDEN APCO P25 Digital plus Analog Scanners
**********BCD396T
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaNymGrQERHFX1aatVYr5rRMZgqyh3getlTAmbEMM7rwwu9wMFrW1rx6lCn2FmfSQHej4IERhIXuFlBK5m7PerwbRNvaPwCw2e87eopC52KKWELetyBvV60oMZVJcYTPYxnuDqcPLlnYV85HjozGy1HKxDPVoUktZwA=>**********
HAND HELD $499.99
**********BCD996T

<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaN4Xyu7I06DGoAef7aRXEBZVLYlDWmnVX2kX6cLZWbM9uAN_e964O
aYfmQGfr4RGR1m9ptjwedqZT6RxvenMWGQipQfA6YQFLCnWrZEvXIz7-FX7VOebuIqcw1zKmuo0FdFggWCXUZDs9mT
8njANMFv2qwDlKUpQHc=>**********
BASE / MOBILE $499.99
BC-RH96 UNIDEN REMOTE HEAD $139.99
Complete information is shown on our web site
_www.ScannerWorld.com
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaOBCQAoC8Fpl7yDly7_HD5d2xfbeu2IgLH8JLg4uJRpOPke8JpxlE
XvfitzIiKzMVv5TqvyYb-O55uPUZTo8VlnFIP3pA81wBhFpb2r8fVncg==>
_**********_
_US GOVERNMENT PURCHASE ORDERS ACCEPTED
Call for terms

*********Please contact us if you have any new or different items that
Scanner World could add to our product lines
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaOHGJlVfvjgTvyBHFaZD8Dtc8ViV8OgYSgEpa9C3pxHHSmOTOYY62
BXkx_dST4YR6OSEKXXO_VNz8tZoIL2tEsyhetd0MJbQvdtTyqby1KxWWJxdekB9mwzlJasi3S1ZhZaiAFX3ekWWg==
>*********

Scanner World does not buy, rent, share, or sell our email or customer
lists.

****
**********Please click on this
<http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?e=001g5B_9VmCnaOHGJlVfvjgTvyBHFaZD8Dtc8ViV8OgYSgEpa9C3pxHHSmOTOYY62
BXkx_dST4YR6OSEKXXO_VNz8tZoIL2tEsyhetd0MJbQvdtTyqby1KxWWJxdekB9mwzlJasi3S1ZhZaiAFX3ekWWg==
>
and drop us a note if you would like to be put on our email list of
closeout items, damaged, surplus, salvage, customer product returns in
limited quantity that are sold at discount prices.

****
********** ** Scanner World, USA
17 Interstate Ave.
Albany, NY 12205
Info Line: 518-436-9606
Order Line: 800-476-8050
** **********
********** **
****
**********Scanner World warehouse distribution center is open for
Merchandise pickup - Monday through Friday 10 am - 4pm
****

Forward email
<http://ui.constantcontact.com/sa/fwtf.jsp?m=1101643736073&ea=jay%40unixwolf.net&a=1102340
687660>

Safe Unsubscribe
<http://visitor.constantcontact.com/d.jsp?v=001nkQbX5l1Qs-ZAzcs60QvLQnghKke1Sb6T6hkOqTDT_KC460mHu6i_D4EXnLTSGB_Sba-K2bDRFg%3D&p=un>

This email was sent to jay@... by info@...
<mailto:info@...>.
Update Profile/Email Address
<http://visitor.constantcontact.com/d.jsp?v=001nkQbX5l1Qs-ZAzcs60QvLQnghKke1Sb6T6hkOqTDT_KC460mHu6i_D4EXnLTSGB_Sba-K2bDRFg%3D&p=oo>
| Instant removal with SafeUnsubscribe
<http://visitor.constantcontact.com/d.jsp?v=001nkQbX5l1Qs-ZAzcs60QvLQnghKke1Sb6T6hkOqTDT_KC460mHu6i_D4EXnLTSGB_Sba-K2bDRFg%3D&p=un>^(TM)
| Privacy Policy <http://ui.constantcontact.com/roving/CCPrivacyPolicy.jsp>.

Email Marketing <http://www.constantcontact.com/index.jsp?cc=news01> by
<http://www.constantcontact.com/index.jsp?cc=news01>

Scanner World USA | 17 Interstate Ave | Albany | NY | 12205

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29224. Re: Dallas Police Patrol Maps Changing in January 2009

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 02:59:22 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29222 Message: 29224

From how I'm reading the article, and viewing the map links embedded in
it, it appears to be largely a realignment within Central Patrol,
specifically sector 140, which includes the Lower Greenville Ave. area.
New map here <http://belmontna.org/images/centralDPD2009.html> .

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Russell Adams" <rwadams@...> wrote:
>
> Does anybody have the link to all the new maps?
>
>
<http://www.pegasusnews.com/news/2008/nov/24/dallas-police-patrol-maps-
> changing-january-2009>
>
> Russell
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29225. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Police Patrol Maps Changing in January 2009

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 13:17:14 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29222 Message: 29225

Google is always a good place to start!!

http://search.freefind.com/find.html?id=63942296&pageid=r&mode=ALL&n=0&_char
set_=UTF-8&bcd=%C3%B7&query=beat+map

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
hiegtx
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2008 8:59 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Police Patrol Maps Changing in January 2009

>From how I'm reading the article, and viewing the map links embedded in
it, it appears to be largely a realignment within Central Patrol,
specifically sector 140, which includes the Lower Greenville Ave. area.
New map here <http://belmontna.org/images/centralDPD2009.html> .

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Russell Adams" <rwadams@...> wrote:
>
> Does anybody have the link to all the new maps?
>
>
<http://www.pegasusnews.com/news/2008/nov/24/dallas-police-patrol-maps-
> changing-january-2009>
>
> Russell
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29226. Rollover MVA in Cleburne this AM - 2 CareFlite helicopters also en-route

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 14:21:49 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29226 Message: 29226

MVA occured at approximately 7:47 a.m.

Cleburne FD is working a rollover accident with injuries at US-67 and North Nolan River Rd. It is involving a pick-up with kids inside.

Right now CareFlite 5 and 6 are in the process of finding a suitable LZ. Also 2 CareFlite ground ambulances (Medic 901 & 902) and 1 CareFlite supervisor (Medic 909) are also on scene.

For the life of me I don't know why Cleburne FD keeps using State Med 1 to coordinate LZ info with CareFlite. I could understand it when they were on the PassPort system, but they are back on conventional and have 2 new towers in Cleburne to operate their conventional frequency off of. In all my years listening to Cleburne FD work LZ's with CareFlite I have never heard any communication problems between CareFlite or Cleburne when they used Cleburne's conventional frequency.

This morning they were having all kinds of problems usins State MED 1.

Here you have this new strong conventional frequency and you don't even use it to it's fullest potential. Makes no sense to me.

Anyway at this time CareFlite 5 has lifted with their patient and 6 has landed on scene awaiting their patient. Good listening in Cleburne this AM.

Chris

29227. RE: [dfwscan] Rollover MVA in Cleburne this AM - 2 CareFlite helicopters also en-route

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 14:40:16 UTC
From: Cross, Robert
Topic: 29226 Message: 29227

The communications problems down there are because of the "switch" back and forth between systems. Cleburne has been the one requesting we contact them on these frequencies and often times our pilots either rely on our ground ambulances to talk to them while doing patient care or just do a high recon and land. The initial 911 call was taken by my partner and sounded bad so we made an executive decision to place an aircraft on standby. The distance made it an airborne standby and once the ambulance made scene, they determined that a second helicopter was needed.

All in all, it was a bad wreck but things could have been worse and we are going to work on the communications issues.

Robert

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Chris Easley
Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2008 8:22
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Rollover MVA in Cleburne this AM - 2 CareFlite helicopters also en-route

MVA occured at approximately 7:47 a.m.

Cleburne FD is working a rollover accident with injuries at US-67 and North Nolan River Rd. It is involving a pick-up with kids inside.

Right now CareFlite 5 and 6 are in the process of finding a suitable LZ. Also 2 CareFlite ground ambulances (Medic 901 & 902) and 1 CareFlite supervisor (Medic 909) are also on scene.

For the life of me I don't know why Cleburne FD keeps using State Med 1 to coordinate LZ info with CareFlite. I could understand it when they were on the PassPort system, but they are back on conventional and have 2 new towers in Cleburne to operate their conventional frequency off of. In all my years listening to Cleburne FD work LZ's with CareFlite I have never heard any communication problems between CareFlite or Cleburne when they used Cleburne's conventional frequency.

This morning they were having all kinds of problems usins State MED 1.

Here you have this new strong conventional frequency and you don't even use it to it's fullest potential. Makes no sense to me.

Anyway at this time CareFlite 5 has lifted with their patient and 6 has landed on scene awaiting their patient. Good listening in Cleburne this AM.

Chris

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29228. Re: Rollover MVA in Cleburne this AM - 2 CareFlite helicopters also en-route

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 18:43:26 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29226 Message: 29228

Just like the Texas Fire freqencies, I have Med 1 and Med 2 in all my banks and radios and is constantly on during scan, and it seems like here in the past couple of weeks Ive been hearing a lot of CF traffic on Med 1. Just the other day I heard CF air calling Benbbrook Fire on Med 1 and several calls in the Johnson County area. So, to keep track of communications I usually have everything progrmamed and open on scan, because you never know who might be talking on what.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB
www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

/--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> MVA occured at approximately 7:47 a.m.
>
> Cleburne FD is working a rollover accident with injuries at US-67
> and North Nolan River Rd. It is involving a pick-up with kids inside.
>
> Right now CareFlite 5 and 6 are in the process of finding a suitable
> LZ. Also 2 CareFlite ground ambulances (Medic 901 & 902) and
> 1 CareFlite supervisor (Medic 909) are also on scene.
>
> For the life of me I don't know why Cleburne FD keeps using
> State Med 1 to coordinate LZ info with CareFlite. I could understand
> it when they were on the PassPort system, but they are back on
> conventional and have 2 new towers in Cleburne to operate their
> conventional frequency off of. In all my years listening to Cleburne
> FD work LZ's with CareFlite I have never heard any communication
> problems between CareFlite or Cleburne when they used Cleburne's
> conventional frequency.
>
> This morning they were having all kinds of problems usins State MED 1.
>
> Here you have this new strong conventional frequency and you don't
> even use it to it's fullest potential. Makes no sense to me.
>
> Anyway at this time CareFlite 5 has lifted with their patient and 6
> has landed on scene awaiting their patient. Good listening in Cleburne
> this AM.
>
> Chris
>

29229. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Rollover MVA in Cleburne this AM - 2 CareFlite helicopters also en-route

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 20:49:53 UTC
From: Linn
Topic: 29226 Message: 29229

Maybe they are communicating on the state frequency because Cleburne's
new fire channel hasn't been reprogrammed in the CareFlite radios.

Linn

On Nov 26, 2008, at 12:43, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:

> Just like the Texas Fire freqencies, I have Med 1 and Med 2 in all my
> banks and radios and is constantly on during scan, and it seems like
> here in the past couple of weeks Ive been hearing a lot of CF traffic
> on Med 1. Just the other day I heard CF air calling Benbbrook Fire on
> Med 1 and several calls in the Johnson County area. So, to keep track
> of communications I usually have everything progrmamed and open on
> scan, because you never know who might be talking on what.
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> David, KC5RHB
> www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
>
> /--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
> >
> > MVA occured at approximately 7:47 a.m.
> >
> > Cleburne FD is working a rollover accident with injuries at US-67
> > and North Nolan River Rd. It is involving a pick-up with kids
> inside.
> >
> > Right now CareFlite 5 and 6 are in the process of finding a suitable
> > LZ. Also 2 CareFlite ground ambulances (Medic 901 & 902) and
> > 1 CareFlite supervisor (Medic 909) are also on scene.
> >
> > For the life of me I don't know why Cleburne FD keeps using
> > State Med 1 to coordinate LZ info with CareFlite. I could understand
> > it when they were on the PassPort system, but they are back on
> > conventional and have 2 new towers in Cleburne to operate their
> > conventional frequency off of. In all my years listening to Cleburne
> > FD work LZ's with CareFlite I have never heard any communication
> > problems between CareFlite or Cleburne when they used Cleburne's
> > conventional frequency.
> >
> > This morning they were having all kinds of problems usins State
> MED 1.
> >

> > Here you have this new strong conventional frequency and you don't
> > even use it to it's fullest potential. Makes no sense to me.
> >
> > Anyway at this time CareFlite 5 has lifted with their patient and 6
> > has landed on scene awaiting their patient. Good listening in
> Cleburne
> > this AM.
> >
> > Chris
> >
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29230. RE: [dfwscan] Rollover MVA in Cleburne this AM - 2 CareFlite helicopters also en-route

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 22:36:31 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29226 Message: 29230

Chris, there's a couple of reasons to use an interop channel. First, while it's great CareFlite and others have primary channels in their radios they don't have them all. They should (and I'm sure do) have the interop channels. All fire, police and EMS should have those common channels as well. In theory it should make it much easier the tell a responding helicopter to use Med 1 than having to coordinate which fire or police channel etc. In places like Kaufman Co where the Terrell FD has their own repeater but the Terrell Volunteer FD are likely to be on the county fire channel things can get confusing. Bottom line is it's suppose to make it easier for the helicopter to have good comms with the ground.

Also there should be at least one person if not an engine company handling the LZ. That person(s) should set the LZ, talk the aircraft in and remain as a safety officer until the aircraft is safely off the ground. If you're the LZ officer you really need uninterrupted comms with the aircraft. Often this can be difficult on a primary channel due to all the other radio traffic. A dedicated channel is really a good idea.

The whole reason for interop channels is to facilitate communication with outside agencies. In this case it sounds like they're doing it right, which is unusual!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Chris Easley
Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2008 8:22 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Rollover MVA in Cleburne this AM - 2 CareFlite helicopters also en-route

MVA occured at approximately 7:47 a.m.

Cleburne FD is working a rollover accident with injuries at US-67 and North Nolan River Rd. It is involving a pick-up with kids inside.

Right now CareFlite 5 and 6 are in the process of finding a suitable LZ. Also 2 CareFlite ground ambulances (Medic 901 & 902) and 1 CareFlite supervisor (Medic 909) are also on scene.

For the life of me I don't know why Cleburne FD keeps using State Med 1 to coordinate LZ info with CareFlite. I could understand it when they were on the PassPort system, but they are back on conventional and have 2 new towers in Cleburne to operate their

conventional frequency off of. In all my years listening to Cleburne FD work LZ's with CareFlite I have never heard any communication problems between CareFlite or Cleburne when they used Cleburne's conventional frequency.

This morning they were having all kinds of problems usins State MED 1.

Here you have this new strong conventional frequency and you don't even use it to it's fullest potential. Makes no sense to me.

Anyway at this time CareFlite 5 has lifted with their patient and 6 has landed on scene awaiting their patient. Good listening in Cleburne this AM.

Chris

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29231. Re: Rollover MVA in Cleburne this AM - 2 CareFlite helicopters also en-route

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 22:34:43 UTC
From: nd5y@yahoo.com
Topic: 29226 Message: 29231

> For the life of me I don't know why Cleburne FD keeps using
> State Med 1 to coordinate LZ info with CareFlite.

Maybe because they are actually following the Texas Statewide Interoperability Channel Plan. (I assume that by "State Med 1" you are referring to 155.340)

Tom

29232. Long distance mutual aid - probably off topic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 22:55:12 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29232 Message: 29232

This from the Fort Worth city council agenda. I wonder if they bring their own radios to use on interop channels or if the Feds or locals up in DC provide radios.
Ben

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

DATE: 12/2/2008 REFERENCE NO.: **C-23193 LOG NAME: 35PRESINAUG09
CODE: C TYPE: CONSENT PUBLIC HEARING: NO
SUBJECT: Authorize Execution of a Memorandum of Understanding with the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, the United States Marshal Service and the Metropolitan Police Department of Washington, District of Columbia, to Provide Fort Worth Police Officers for Security at the 2009 Presidential Inauguration and Adopt Supplemental Appropriation Ordinance

---

RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended that the City Council authorize the City Manager to:

1. Execute a Memorandum of Understanding with the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, the United States Marshal Service and the Metropolitan Police Department of Washington, District of Columbia, for the provision of Fort Worth Police Officers for security detail at the 2009 Presidential Inauguration and related events, to take place January 19 through January 21, 2009; and

2. Adopt the attached appropriation ordinance increasing the estimated receipts and appropriations in the Police Department General Fund in the amount of $90,000.00.

DISCUSSION:
The Presidential Inaugural Planning Committee has requested national assistance for security at the upcoming January 2009 Presidential Inauguration. The Chief of Police for the City of Fort Worth has recommended that up to 100 officers and supervisors be authorized to participate in this historic event on behalf of the City of Fort Worth.

The participating officers will be federally deputized for the tenure of the officers' assignments related to the inauguration as Special Deputy United States Marshals. The officers will be responsible for (1) performing necessary law enforcement steps to keep the peace of the United States; (2) enforcing federal law; (3) protecting visiting foreign officials, official guests and internationally protected persons; (4) taking necessary law enforcement steps to prevent violations of federal law; and (5) enforcing

District of Columbia law.

The City will be reimbursed by federal funding after the event has concluded for salaries, overtime payments and fringe benefits for officers participating in the event. Travel expenditures will also be reimbursed. Airline travel costs of approximately $90,000.00 must be prepaid to American Airlines on December 4, 2008.

The Fort Worth Police Department has identified staff for participation whose absence will not impact patrol levels, including Crime Response Teams and other specialized units. This will eliminate the need for staffing to offset the absences for the travel period since local staffing needs are not reimbursable through this program.

The Memorandum dictates that, while acting in the course and scope of their assignments under the Memorandum of Understanding, the officers will be considered to be federal employees for purposes of liability to include disability or death of an officer.

http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=10739&councildate=12/2/2008

29233. Re: Rollover MVA in Cleburne

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 23:32:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29226 Message: 29233

Terry wrote:

> The whole reason for interop channels is to facilitate communication with
> outside agencies. In this case it sounds like they're doing it right, which
> is unusual!

Amen to that.

I've heard Careflite be given a tac
channel for comms for LZ, and not
be able to raise the BC or safety officer,
because someone is/was operating
on the wrong channel/talkgroup. If it
was just ONE common channel that
everyone knew about, it'd make it so much
easier. But public safety communications
and simplicity are more often than not
two separate entities. Everybody gets
sold, and usually falls into the trap, of
all the unneeded extras, when in reality
it's all very simple.....

Can my agency talk with surrounding
agencies (for mutual aid situations)
over a reliable communications
network (without drop outs, dead
spots or excessive interference in
life threatening occurrences)?, and
does everyone know what channel(s)
/talkgroup(s)/SOP to use when such
situations arise.....

It's interesting how the LACK of
communications and intentions can
seriously affect a COMMUNICATIONS
network.....:)

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.175 / Virus Database: 270.9.10/1813 - Release Date: 11/26/2008 8:53 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29234. Re: Long distance mutual aid - probably off topic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-26 23:35:14 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29232 Message: 29234

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:

> I wonder if they bring their own radios to use on interop channels or if the Feds or locals up in DC
> provide radios.

My guess is they'll probably use US
Capitol or Park Police radios, or be
on one of the fed interagency systems
up there.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.175 / Virus Database: 270.9.10/1813 - Release Date: 11/26/2008 8:53 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29235. Re: Long distance mutual aid - probably off topic

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-27 00:41:19 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29232 Message: 29235

In agreemnest with Blaine, FWPD officers will probably be provided communicatins up there. Just like the Hurricane IKE detail, when FWPD sent two teams of officers down to help Houston Police, the officers were provided HPD radios (HT'S) for communications.

And if FWPD were to use their own radios, the only channels they will be able to communicate on would be the NPSPAC channels in simplex mode. Unless Fort Worth uses that new fancy mobile repeater they got from Motorola, if the City has even bought that thing yet.

But more than likely they will use the communications up there.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB
www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> This from the Fort Worth city council agenda. I wonder if they bring
their
> own radios to use on interop channels or if the Feds or locals up in DC
> provide radios.
> Ben
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>
> DATE: 12/2/2008 REFERENCE NO.: **C-23193 LOG NAME: 35PRESINAUG09
> CODE: C TYPE: CONSENT PUBLIC HEARING: NO
> SUBJECT: Authorize Execution of a Memorandum of Understanding with the
> United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, the United States
> Marshal Service and the Metropolitan Police Department of Washington,
> District of Columbia, to Provide Fort Worth Police Officers for
Security at
> the 2009 Presidential Inauguration and Adopt Supplemental Appropriation
> Ordinance
>
>

> ----
>
> RECOMMENDATION:
> It is recommended that the City Council authorize the City Manager to:
>
> 1. Execute a Memorandum of Understanding with the United States
Attorney for

> the District of Columbia, the United States Marshal Service and the
> Metropolitan Police Department of Washington, District of Columbia,
for the
> provision of Fort Worth Police Officers for security detail at the 2009
> Presidential Inauguration and related events, to take place January 19
> through January 21, 2009; and
>
> 2. Adopt the attached appropriation ordinance increasing the estimated
> receipts and appropriations in the Police Department General Fund in the
> amount of $90,000.00.
>
> DISCUSSION:
> The Presidential Inaugural Planning Committee has requested national
> assistance for security at the upcoming January 2009 Presidential
> Inauguration. The Chief of Police for the City of Fort Worth has
recommended
> that up to 100 officers and supervisors be authorized to participate
in this
> historic event on behalf of the City of Fort Worth.
>
> The participating officers will be federally deputized for the
tenure of the
> officers' assignments related to the inauguration as Special Deputy
United
> States Marshals. The officers will be responsible for (1) performing
> necessary law enforcement steps to keep the peace of the United
States; (2)
> enforcing federal law; (3) protecting visiting foreign officials,
official
> guests and internationally protected persons; (4) taking necessary law
> enforcement steps to prevent violations of federal law; and (5)
enforcing
> District of Columbia law.
>
> The City will be reimbursed by federal funding after the event has
concluded
> for salaries, overtime payments and fringe benefits for officers
> participating in the event. Travel expenditures will also be reimbursed.
> Airline travel costs of approximately $90,000.00 must be prepaid to
American
> Airlines on December 4, 2008.
>
> The Fort Worth Police Department has identified staff for participation
> whose absence will not impact patrol levels, including Crime
Response Teams
> and other specialized units. This will eliminate the need for
staffing to
> offset the absences for the travel period since local staffing needs
are not
> reimbursable through this program.

>
> The Memorandum dictates that, while acting in the course and scope
of their
> assignments under the Memorandum of Understanding, the officers will be
> considered to be federal employees for purposes of liability to include
> disability or death of an officer.
>
>
>
http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=10739&councildat
> e=12/2/2008
>

29236. For Sale Uniden BCD396T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-28 03:02:03 UTC
From: nolimit2004042004
Topic: 29236 Message: 29236

I have for sale a used but Like New excellent Condition for sale I am in North Dallas (Preston Hallow) Near the Galleria Mall!

Please EMAIL ME back at Nolimit2345@... or call 972 415 1733 thanks! I am asking $425.00 OBO

TrunkTracker IV (Motorola APCO 25 Digital, Motorola, EDACS, LTR)

6000 Dynamically Allocated channels

Close Call☐ RF Capture Technology - Instantly tunes to signals from nearby transmitters

Fire Tone-Out

Alpha Tagging

100 Quick Key System Access

Preprogrammed Coverage of Over 400 Cities

Continuous Band Coverage* - 25MHz to 1.3GHz Excluding UHF TV and Cellular

Compact Size

Audio AGC

Automatic Digital Threshold Adjustment

Search with Scan

DCS/CTCSS Rapid Decode - Instantly Detects and Displays the Subaudible Tone So You Can Quickly Determine the System Settings.

S.A.M.E. Weather Alert - Specific Area Message Encoding - During a NOAA Weather or Emergency Alert, a code for your specific location will alert you to severe conditions in your immediate area.

PC Control/Programming (Free Download)

Public Safety Scanner

Please EMAIL ME back at Nolimit2345@... or call 972 415 1733 thanks!

29237. Re: [dfwscan] For Sale Uniden BCD396T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-28 03:03:07 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29236 Message: 29237

It would be nice to have a name to ask for.

nolimit2004042004 wrote:
> I have for sale a used but Like New excellent Condition for sale I am
> in North Dallas (Preston Hallow) Near the Galleria Mall!
>
> Please EMAIL ME back at Nolimit2345@... or call 972 415 1733
> thanks! I am asking $425.00 OBO
>
>
> TrunkTracker IV (Motorola APCO 25 Digital, Motorola, EDACS, LTR)
>
> 6000 Dynamically Allocated channels
>
> Close Call? RF Capture Technology - Instantly tunes to signals from
> nearby transmitters
>
> Fire Tone-Out
>
> Alpha Tagging
>
> 100 Quick Key System Access
>
> Preprogrammed Coverage of Over 400 Cities
>
> Continuous Band Coverage* - 25MHz to 1.3GHz Excluding UHF TV and
> Cellular
>
> Compact Size
>
> Audio AGC
>
> Automatic Digital Threshold Adjustment
>
> Search with Scan
>
> DCS/CTCSS Rapid Decode - Instantly Detects and Displays the
> Subaudible Tone So You Can Quickly Determine the System Settings.
>
> S.A.M.E. Weather Alert - Specific Area Message Encoding - During a
> NOAA Weather or Emergency Alert, a code for your specific location
> will alert you to severe conditions in your immediate area.
>
> PC Control/Programming (Free Download)
>

> Public Safety Scanner
>
>
>
>
> Please EMAIL ME back at Nolimit2345@... or call 972 415 1733
> thanks!
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29238. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Police Patrol Maps Changing in January 2009

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-28 19:20:59 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 29222 Message: 29238

I use Google so much it knows more about me than I do, but these are last year's beat maps. I'm looking for links to the new ones.

Russell

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2008 07:17
To: 'hiegtx'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Police Patrol Maps Changing in January 2009

Google is always a good place to start!!

http://search.
<http://search.freefind.com/find.html?id=63942296&pageid=r&mode=ALL&n=0&_char> freefind.com/find.html?id=63942296&pageid=r&mode=ALL&n=0&_charset_=UTF-8&bcd=%C3%B7&query=beat+map

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com] On Behalf Of
hiegtx
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2008 8:59 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Police Patrol Maps Changing in January 2009

>From how I'm reading the article, and viewing the map links embedded in it, it appears to be largely a realignment within Central Patrol, specifically sector 140, which includes the Lower Greenville Ave. area.
New map here <http://belmontna.
<http://belmontna.org/images/centralDPD2009.html>
org/images/centralDPD2009.html> .

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com, "Russell Adams" <rwadams@...> wrote:
>
> Does anybody have the link to all the new maps?
>
>
<http://www.pegasusn
<http://www.pegasusnews.com/news/2008/nov/24/dallas-police-patrol-maps->

ews.com/news/2008/nov/24/dallas-police-patrol-maps-
> changing-january-2009>
>
> Russell
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo>
erence.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29239. Re: Dallas Police Patrol Maps Changing in January 2009

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-28 19:24:36 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29222 Message: 29239

Russell Adams wrote:

> I'm looking for links to the new ones.

http://belmontna.org/images/DPD_BeatMaps_2009.pdf

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.11/1817 - Release Date: 11/28/2008 8:17 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29240. Weekly FCC grants November 23-29

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-30 14:43:46 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29240 Message: 29240

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29241. pro-106

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-11-30 18:45:34 UTC
From: mark
Topic: 29241 Message: 29241

I just found out that radio shack has the pro-106 on sale for $100.00 lest than the retail price. I am looking for a radio that handles digital trunking, I do understand all are in the $500.00 range and I have been seeking out the pro's and con's, but before I get to excited about this price drop and buy one is the anyone that purchased the pro-106 and can help me on the subject. Thank You.

29242. PRO-2096

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-01 15:28:26 UTC
From: Webster Chris
Topic: 21387 Message: 29242

The Radio Shack outlet store in Fort Worth has a Pro-2096 in the "back room" for about $230. Saw it last night (Sun), right before they closed.

Almost certain it is the Pro-2096 digital, but I would call them first before going over there.

http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Pro-2096

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29243. Possible new TRS coming to Johnson County????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-02 00:24:52 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29243 Message: 29243

http://www.cleburnetimesreview.com/local/local_story_336171817.html
<http://www.cleburnetimesreview.com/local/local_story_336171817.html>

Found this article in today's Cleburne Times Review. In it, the Cleburne Fire Chief said that the new system is
high-band and will have a trunking system. I know that JoCo tried a LTR Passport system this year. And we all
know how well that went. But it appears they may be trying out another kind of TRS. I had heard that after the
failure of the Passport system, they were going to be conventional for now, then possible another type of TRS later.

I have also noticed that 46.100 has greatly improved. I can now hear both sides of a conversation, whereas before
you could only hear the dispatcher, that is unless you were close to a scene. That comes, I sure, as a result of JoCo
making use of their new towers. JoCo has also made use of Cleburne FD's old dispatch channel 154.145 . It is now
being used a cross-band repeater with a DCS of 026. Quality on that freq has greatly improved since it first went on-line.

Just wondering if anyone might have some additional info as to what kind of TRS they are going to,
if in fact that is what they are doing. So far everything is still conventional.

Chris

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29244. Scanners for sale....

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-02 03:26:08 UTC
From: mark
Topic: 29244 Message: 29244

I have three scanners in excellent-used condition: BC296D, BC246T, and PRO-2055. If interested please email me at mperniciaro4@...

29245. DFD alphanumeric chiefs pager FS:

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-02 19:46:27 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29245 Message: 29245

I still have the pager for those that are interested.

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29246. Update on scanner sale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-03 02:41:58 UTC
From: mark
Topic: 29246 Message: 29246

I have the BC246T for $125.00
BC296D for $100.00
PRO-2055 for $100.00

I can take cash if located in the DFW area. Also Cashiers checks and money orders through UPS.

Thank You for your interests....

29247. DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-03 20:57:34 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29247 Message: 29247

Press release regarding DPD computers:

DPS troopers equipped with in-car computers

An effort to equip all DPS troopers with in-car computers is sweeping across Texas, now finishing up in the Houston and Austin areas.

The $15 million project, approved in the last legislative session, is mostly complete. All areas of Texas now have at least some patrol units equipped with the wireless technology.

When the project is completed, nearly 2,000 Highway Patrol and Commercial Vehicle Enforcement units will have the in-car computers.

"We appreciate the Legislature providing us with this capability'' said interim DPS Director Stanley E. Clark. "From an officer safety and efficiency standpoint, this puts our troopers on the cutting edge and moves us light years ahead in our law enforcement capabilities."

With in-car computers, troopers have the ability to instantly identify wanted persons and stolen vehicles. The secure network provides real-time alerts, digital photographs and homeland security information, and equips the units with GPS (Global Positioning System) technology. The computers will also speed up the citation process.

DPS is also testing in-car computers in the Texas Ranger and Criminal Law Enforcement divisions.

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29248. RE: [dfwscan] DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-03 21:12:13 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29247 Message: 29248

Had'em since March in Region 5, Regions 3 and 7 were the first followed by 5,4,1,2 and 6 is the last to come on line.

That's why you hardly hear us give anything over the air like we used to.

In car is so helpful even with messaging and broadcasts.

I love it!

Russ

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2008 2:58 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] DPS in-car computers

Press release regarding DPD computers:

DPS troopers equipped with in-car computers

An effort to equip all DPS troopers with in-car computers is sweeping across Texas, now finishing up in the Houston and Austin areas.

The $15 million project, approved in the last legislative session, is mostly complete. All areas of Texas now have at least some patrol units equipped with the wireless technology.

When the project is completed, nearly 2,000 Highway Patrol and Commercial Vehicle Enforcement units will have the in-car computers.

"We appreciate the Legislature providing us with this capability'' said interim DPS Director Stanley E. Clark. "From an officer safety and efficiency standpoint, this puts our troopers on the cutting edge and moves us light years ahead in our law enforcement capabilities."

With in-car computers, troopers have the ability to instantly identify wanted persons and stolen vehicles. The secure network provides real-time alerts, digital photographs and homeland security information, and equips the units with GPS (Global Positioning System) technology. The computers will also speed up the citation process.

DPS is also testing in-car computers in the Texas Ranger and Criminal Law Enforcement divisions.

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29249. RE: [dfwscan] Update on scanner sale

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-03 21:20:04 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29246 Message: 29249

Interested in maybe the 296. Can you send me the details on it?

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of mark
Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2008 8:42 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Update on scanner sale

I have the BC246T for $125.00
BC296D for $100.00
PRO-2055 for $100.00

I can take cash if located in the DFW area. Also Cashiers checks and money orders through UPS.

Thank You for your interests....

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29250. RE: [dfwscan] DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-03 21:36:12 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29247 Message: 29250

Hopefully better than the crappy ones Fort Worth has. I honestly don't know how the officers can stand them.

---------- Original message from "Russ" <kw5kw@...>: ----------

> Had'em since March in Region 5, Regions 3 and 7 were the first followed by
> 5,4,1,2 and 6 is the last to come on line.
>
>
>
> That's why you hardly hear us give anything over the air like we used to.
>
>
>
> In car is so helpful even with messaging and broadcasts.
>
>
>
> I love it!
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29251. RE: [dfwscan] DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-03 21:47:45 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 29247 Message: 29251

Thanks for the info Terry, saw one yesterday at 205 and FM 148 and was wondering about that.

Cody Sheppard

--- On Wed, 12/3/08, daweasel@swbell.net <daweasel@...> wrote:

From: daweasel@... <daweasel@swbell.net>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] DPS in-car computers
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, December 3, 2008, 3:36 PM

Hopefully better than the crappy ones Fort Worth has. I honestly don't know how the officers can stand them.
------------ -- Original message from "Russ" <kw5kw@swbell. net>: ------------ --

> Had'em since March in Region 5, Regions 3 and 7 were the first followed by
> 5,4,1,2 and 6 is the last to come on line.
>
>
>
> That's why you hardly hear us give anything over the air like we used to.
>
>
>
> In car is so helpful even with messaging and broadcasts.
>
>
>
> I love it!
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29252. Garland Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-04 16:20:59 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 6124 Message: 29252

2100 N. 1st St

Garland has a working fire in a commercial building, sounds like a large paint booth, defensive at this time.

Plant safety guy says 2, 55 gallon solvent drums near fire.

Working on ops 1.

Sprint Mogul

Blackberry 8310 PIN 245EB043

PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile

Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.

http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm

http://www.twowayshopper.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29253. RE: [dfwscan] DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-04 23:58:25 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29247 Message: 29253

Oh, they work really good. It's a completely unique and clean design;
unlike anyone else's.

The biggest headache is keeping the GPS connected to all the servers so we
can see where they are. (A fantastic safety feature for our Troopers.)

With all the 27's , 28's and 29's the Troopers see them within an average of
3 to 5 seconds after they've been sent.

Russ

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
daweasel@...
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2008 3:36 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] DPS in-car computers

Hopefully better than the crappy ones Fort Worth has. I honestly don't know
how the officers can stand them.
---------- Original message from "Russ" <kw5kw@...
<mailto:kw5kw%40swbell.net> >: ----------

> Had'em since March in Region 5, Regions 3 and 7 were the first followed by
> 5,4,1,2 and 6 is the last to come on line.
>
>
>
> That's why you hardly hear us give anything over the air like we used to.
>
>
>
> In car is so helpful even with messaging and broadcasts.
>
>
>
> I love it!
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29254. Re: [dfwscan] DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-05 02:39:21 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 29247 Message: 29254

Sounds cool. I don't know why more departments don't use GPS. If I was out there alone and something happened and I couldn't get to the radio, I would want someone to know where I was. It also allows supervisors to keep tabs on speeding, goofing off, etc...

Southwestern Bell apparently had them in some of their service vehicles, and if the technician was speeding or stayed in one place too long when not on location, he got a visit from the supervisor.

Russ wrote:
>
> Oh, they work really good. It?s a completely unique and clean design;
> unlike anyone else?s.
>
> The biggest headache is keeping the GPS connected to all the servers
> so we can see where they are. (A fantastic safety feature for our
> Troopers.)
>
> With all the 27?s , 28?s and 29?s the Troopers see them within an
> average of 3 to 5 seconds after they?ve been sent.
>

29255. Johnson County Fire?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-05 15:05:30 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29255 Message: 29255

WOW, Chris was right 46.100 is coming in loud and clear, on both sides. When I was hearing traffic on 46.100 I switched to 155.295 and heard nothing. I know that frequency used to re-broadcast 46.100 on it. I checked RR and it still showed to be on the sheet and that 154.145 is now doing the re-broadcast. So, is 155.295 no longer active?

And this is for RR, I noticed when looking at the JC frequencies, on Burleson, I have an update on their old conventional frequencies:

453.100 (100.0) Is the PD back-up channel, if TRS goes down. I've also heard some officers use it now and then for some chitchat and off duty work. Dispatch still monitors this frequency 24/7

453.275 (100.0) Is now used by the Hidden Creek Golf Course personnel

453.500 (100.0) Is now FD back up, and FD also uses this frequency for LZ info for air ambulances.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

29256. Re: DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-05 15:20:52 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29247 Message: 29256

See I don't understand that. Even Burleson PD, I here it all the time, their computers crashing, camera failure, and its funny, they always have camera failure during traffic stops, DWI, or when they are having problems with someone, I guess they don't want to record the "beating". Burleson has that mobile video type computer where the in car camera is recorded on the hard drive and they turn the hard rive in after shift. I believe they call it a MVB. But even with FW, I keep hearing on the MDC problems.

So is it the software or the computer?

I run my computer all the time in my truck. All I use is your standard air card with a wireless provider. Ill have multiple applications up, running messenger, and drive all over the county and never have a problem with losing connection or something crashing.

But its nice to hear of a PD agency, such as TXDPS having a decent in car system. Because if anyone needs a good in car system with GPS its DPS.

I know FW is running GPS now, and a while back I heard about them getting new software for the MDC's, so I don't know. But it can't be that hard to have a decent in car system that doesn't crash all the time.

Anyways, Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, daweasel@... wrote:
>
>
>
> Hopefully better than the crappy ones Fort Worth has. I honestly don't know how the officers can stand them.
> -------------- Original message from "Russ" <kw5kw@...>: --------------
>
>
> > Had'em since March in Region 5, Regions 3 and 7 were the first followed by
> > 5,4,1,2 and 6 is the last to come on line.
> >
> >
> >
> > That's why you hardly hear us give anything over the air like we used to.
> >

> >
> >
> > In car is so helpful even with messaging and broadcasts.
> >
> >
> >
> > I love it!
> >
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29257. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-05 17:45:41 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29247 Message: 29257

We used to have PC access to a limited amount of info on the Fort Worth system up at the Code Blue office, but about a month ago they took away our access. I wonder if this is due to the new system or upgrade. No word on when we get access again. I did notice the radio traffic has declined.

I know the original system was iffy, but the Tiburon system they implemented about 2-3 years ago was problematic as well. Apparently no one bothered to consult the actual users or consider they had to access the system while operating a vehicle.

What I noticed was the PC interface crashed all the time. You just exited the program and restarted it and the problem went away. I finally quit using it as it was more of a headache than an asset.
---------- Original message from "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...>: ----------

> See I don't understand that. Even Burleson PD, I here it all the
> time, their computers crashing, camera failure, and its funny, they
> always have camera failure during traffic stops, DWI, or when they are
> having problems with someone, I guess they don't want to record the
> "beating". Burleson has that mobile video type computer where the in
> car camera is recorded on the hard drive and they turn the hard rive
> in after shift. I believe they call it a MVB. But even with FW, I
> keep hearing on the MDC problems.
>
> So is it the software or the computer?
>
> I run my computer all the time in my truck. All I use is your
> standard air card with a wireless provider. Ill have multiple
> applications up, running messenger, and drive all over the county and
> never have a problem with losing connection or something crashing.
>
> But its nice to hear of a PD agency, such as TXDPS having a decent in
> car system. Because if anyone needs a good in car system with GPS its
> DPS.
>
> I know FW is running GPS now, and a while back I heard about them
> getting new software for the MDC's, so I don't know. But it can't be
> that hard to have a decent in car system that doesn't crash all the time.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29258. Re: DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-05 17:54:19 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29247 Message: 29258

daweasel@... wrote:

> Apparently no one bothered to consult the actual users or consider they had to access the system while operating a vehicle.

Hah.

Yeah, god forbid a comm manager, supervisor, purchasing agent, chief (name your brass of the day) should actually consult with the USERS of a system to get their input (i.e. the policeman on the beat, or the firefighter on the fireground)

Sad, but this crap happens every day in the public safety world, unbeknownst to Joe and Jane taxpayer. Billions of dollars wasted on extraneous bells and whistles. Need we mention our Johnson County neighbors to the south? But don't tell that to the snake oil marketing/sales person from the radio companies.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.14/1832 - Release Date: 12/5/2008 9:57 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29259. Re: DPS in-car computers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-05 22:47:05 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 29247 Message: 29259

They got the tough book computers. Talked to a trooper last week and they love 'em so far.

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29260. Disaster Drill today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-07 15:05:11 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29260 Message: 29260

9AM to 12 Noon, Area of Fort Worth, Arlington, Euless borders, involving those cities. Sounds like Command 8, 2096 on the FWPS1 system and probably AFD4, 53616 might be some to monitor.

http://www.star-telegram.com/metro_news/story/1079158.html

Ben

29261. Weekly FCC grants November 30-December 06

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-07 15:21:49 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29261 Message: 29261

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29262. Re: Disaster Drill today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-08 11:52:15 UTC
From: w_r_adams1
Topic: 29260 Message: 29262

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> 9AM to 12 Noon, Area of Fort Worth, Arlington, Euless borders,
involving
> those cities. Sounds like Command 8, 2096 on the FWPS1 system and
probably
> AFD4, 53616 might be some to monitor.
>
> http://www.star-telegram.com/metro_news/story/1079158.html
>
> Ben
>

Ben,

Thanks for the headsup. What are the frequencies?

Bill

29263. Opportunity to monitor school contact from International Space Station

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-09 01:35:29 UTC
From: w_r_adams1
Topic: 29263 Message: 29263

I am passing on this information from another group:

Bill, AF4B

This contact shares the "footprint" nearly equal time with Fort Worth. We are planning to share the contact with Bonnie Tyler's (KE5HRD) Fourth Grade Class at Walnut Creek Elementary in Azle, TX. Of course the kids in Richmond will actually be asking the questions, but anyone can "simulate" the contact and hear Sandy Magnus's answers. Join the fun and listen on 145.800 Mhz at 9:45 am CST on 9 December.

73 - Keith, W5IU

-----Original Message-----
From: ariss-press- bounces@amsat. org [mailto:ariss-press-bounces@amsat. org]
On Behalf Of Ransom, Kenneth G. (JSC-OC)[BAR]
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2008 3:14 PM
To: sarex@amsat. org
Subject: [ARISS-press] ARISS event - Stephen F. Austin Elementary School,Richmond, Texas, USA, Tue (Dec 09) at 15:45 UTC

An International Space Station Expedition 18 ARISS school contact has been planned with participants at Stephen F. Austin Elementary School, Richmond, Texas USA on 09 December. The event is scheduled to begin at approximately 1545 UTC.

The contact will be a direct between stations NA1SS and NT5SM. The contact should be audible over central and eastern North America. Interested parties are invited to listen in on the 145.80 MHz downlink.
The participants are expected to conduct the conversation in English.

The students at Stephen F. Austin Elementary School in Richmond, Texas have studied space and the identifying characteristics of objects in our solar system, including the soon, planets and moon.

Each year the fifth grade students participate in a simulated space shuttle mission, performing tasks that would happen on a regular shuttle flight. Each grade level is involved in some manner with the various missions that occur throughout the day. The school has integrated the ARISS contact as part of this activity.

Participants will ask as many of the following questions as time allows:

1. How would you be rescued if you floated off during a spacewalk?
2. What does a hurricane look like from the Space Station?
3. Do you burn calories the same way in space as on Earth?
4. Have you ever seen the Aurora Borealis from space?
5. Has an asteroid ever hit the space station?
6. Is sleeping on the space station difficult because you are weightless?
7. Do you tell the time the same way in space as on Earth?
8. What is the most life-changing experiment you have done?
9. Have you seen any unusual objects floating around in space?
10. How do you train for zero gravity?
11. Do you miss going outside and getting fresh air?
12. Do you ever vomit because your food is floating around in your stomach?
13. How do you stop your muscles from getting weak in zero gravity?
14. Do you think people will ever live on another planet?
15. What does it feel like at the exact moment you enter zero gravity?
16. How do you feel about the new urine-to-drinking water system?
17. Does a cut bleed or heal differently when there is no gravity?
18. How dos the carbon dioxide/oxygen cycle work on the space station?
19. How often do you get to talk with your family?
20. How difficult is it to change clothing when you are weightless?

Information about the upcoming ARISS contacts can be found at http://www.ariss. org/upcoming. htm#NextContact .

Next planned event(s):
1) Istituto Comprensivo "Marco Polo", Grado I-34073, Italy, Wed 2008-12-10 10:11 UTC
2) 2 Circolo Didattico, San Giuseppe, Mola di Bari, Italy, Fri 2008-12-12 14:18 UTC

ARISS is an international educational outreach program partnering the participating space agencies, NASA, Russian Space Agency, ESA, CNES, JAXA, and CSA, with the AMSAT and IARU organizations from participating countries.

ARISS offers an opportunity for students to experience the excitement of Amateur Radio by talking directly with crewmembers on-board the International Space Station. Teachers, parents and communities see, first hand, how Amateur Radio and crewmembers on ISS can energize youngsters' interest in science, technology, and learning. Further information on the ARISS program is available on the website http://www.ariss. org/ (graciously hosted by the Radio Amateurs of Canada).

Thank you & 73,
Kenneth - N5VHO

29264. Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-09 04:17:35 UTC
From: jared4301
Topic: 29194 Message: 29264

I am new to ham radio and storm spotting. I would like to make storm reports, but do not know what to say. Could someone please give me some help on EXACTLY what I should be saying.

Thanks,
Jared
DFW242
KE5VLJ

29265. Re: [dfwscan] Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-09 06:25:20 UTC
From: Jason Marshburn
Topic: 29194 Message: 29265

There are a number of Skywarn classes comming up.

Check out the NWS web site for dates and locations.

Attend one of the classes for some great training, and learn what the
NWS wants to hear reports about directly from them!

Good luck!

jared4301 wrote:
>
> I am new to ham radio and storm spotting. I would like to make storm
> reports, but do not
> know what to say. Could someone please give me some help on EXACTLY
> what I should be
> saying.
>
> Thanks,
> Jared
> DFW242
> KE5VLJ
>
>

29266. Re: [dfwscan] Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-09 06:29:06 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29194 Message: 29266

Welcome to the hobby!

The first thing you need to do is LISTON. Monitor a few weather nets and
pay attention to how the spotters interact with net control.

You really need to go to a Skywarn School before you jump on the air.
There are a bunch coming up after the first of the year.

jared4301 wrote:
> I am new to ham radio and storm spotting. I would like to make storm reports, but do not
> know what to say. Could someone please give me some help on EXACTLY what I should be
> saying.
>
> Thanks,
> Jared
> DFW242
> KE5VLJ
>

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

29267. SKYWARN Schools

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-09 14:57:58 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 29267 Message: 29267

Hi, y'all. Here is a URL to the SKYWARN schools.

<http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/sptrsch.html>

Hope to see you at the Garland session.

--
Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

29268. RE: [dfwscan] Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-09 15:13:01 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29194 Message: 29268

Thanks for asking, many don't and just wind up blurting out things that don't need to be said.

1. Listen. If a report is near you don't clog the air with a second report of the same information, remember that air time is precious. Exception: when net control asks for conformation on a situation such as a wall cloud.

2. Learn what the reporting criteria is and only give that, not a 10 minute lecture on how the clouds came and went over and now they are gone an you have a blue sky overhead.

3. Sample report:

. K5xxx: "XXX"

. Net cntl: "XXX go ahead."

. K5xxx: "this is K5XXX, at mapsco 73q, I have to my northwest a lowering, I have warm inflow to lowering at > 25mph, heavy CG (cloud to ground).

. Net cntl: "Received."

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jared4301
Sent: Monday, December 08, 2008 10:18 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Storm Spotting

I am new to ham radio and storm spotting. I would like to make storm reports, but do not
know what to say. Could someone please give me some help on EXACTLY what I should be
saying.

Thanks,
Jared
DFW242
KE5VLJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29269. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-09 20:43:51 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29194 Message: 29269

Jay Urish wrote:

> Welcome to the hobby!

In tune with every else's comments,
I also HIGHLY recommend attending
the TESSA conference held in March
of every year in Colleyville. Excellent
speakers and info.

http://www.tessa.org/meeting.html

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.15/1839 - Release Date: 12/9/2008 9:59 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29270. FWFD new command vehicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-09 23:15:38 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29270 Message: 29270

Here is a link to pics from the bulders web page.

How in the wide wide world of sports is that thing gonna fit on a residential street?

(Sorry Mr. Taggart)

http://www.svitrucks.com/apparatusdetails.asp?apparatusid=212

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29271. Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 17:22:16 UTC
From: yamiam3
Topic: 29271 Message: 29271

Heard a rumor it's coming 12 - 12:30. If anyone has any better info to share, I'd really appreciate it.
Ben

29272. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 17:28:41 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29271 Message: 29272

Oh but it's SOO COLD outside!!!! Wish it were a bit warmer. Any idea when it will leave?

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of yamiam3
Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2008 11:22 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Heard a rumor it's coming 12 - 12:30. If anyone has any better info to share, I'd really appreciate it.
Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29274. Johnson Co. 46.100

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 17:30:31 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29274 Message: 29274

I heard that they stopped using 46.100 as of yesterday and moved everything to the vhf freqs.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29275. RE: [dfwscan] Johnson Co. 46.100

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 17:35:55 UTC
From: Cross, Robert
Topic: 29274 Message: 29275

Email we received:

Careflite,

Just letting you know Johnson County Fire Departments will no longer be using the low band radio frequency 46.100 as of today, December 9, 2008. We will be using the VHF radios for communications.

Keith Scarbrough

Assistant Chief of Operations

Cleburne Fire Department

817-645-0969 office

817-774-6307 mobile

kscarbrough@...

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob
Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2008 11:31
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Johnson Co. 46.100

I heard that they stopped using 46.100 as of yesterday and moved everything
to the vhf freqs.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29276. Re: Storm Spotting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 17:36:38 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29194 Message: 29276

Jared,

Welcome to Storm Spotting.

I don't know what County you reside in but being an Amateur Radio Storm Spotter, I would highly recommend getting in touch with your local RACES/ARES organization if you plan on making reports over the air.

Severe weather reporting criteria is pretty much the same. Some RACES/ARES organizations have certain conditions when reporting on the air as an Amateur Radio Operator.

But before you can even get on the air to make a report, you really need to educate yourself on what to report.

As many people have already mentioned on here, if you haven't already, going to the many of the Skywarn Spotter Training classes is good place to start to get you familiar with the basics in storm characteristics. But you have to remember, these classes teach you the "basics". It is up to you to teach yourself more about being a good Storm Spotter and knowing severe weather.

Reporting is pretty simple. Remember the three W's, What, Where and When. WHAT you are seeing and reporting, WHERE did you see it, and WHEN did you see it (in severe weather reporting WHEN should be now).

Another thing to remember when reporting, and I learned this when I first started from those spotters who trained me, is the acronym KISS, Keep It Short and Simple (the last S used to say something else, but I thought that was too negative so I changed it).

But if you're serious about being a Storm Spotter, take your time, and learn the right way.

Feel free to visit my Skywarn Spotter Training Page
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/skywarn_spotter_training

I have lots of links to some good information on Spotter Training.

Good luck and stay safe.

And to keep this on topic, you can listen to the Tarrant County Skywarn Net on 146.940

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jared4301" <jaredkoester@...> wrote:
>
> I am new to ham radio and storm spotting. I would like to make
storm reports, but do not
> know what to say. Could someone please give me some help on EXACTLY
what I should be
> saying.
>
> Thanks,
> Jared
> DFW242
> KE5VLJ
>

29277. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 17:43:09 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29271 Message: 29277

Oh but it's SOO COLD outside!!!! Wish it were a bit warmer. Any idea when it will leave?

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of yamiam3
Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2008 11:22 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Heard a rumor it's coming 12 - 12:30. If anyone has any better info to share, I'd really appreciate it.
Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29278. Re: FWFD new command vehicle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 17:46:50 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29270 Message: 29278

What do we have to do to get one of those for Tarrant RACES???? That sure would be nice. It would be fun trying to get that into Mayfest.

Burleson PD has the same type of Command Van. They had it on a residential street and still had, well, some room to pass by it.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...> wrote:
>
> Here is a link to pics from the bulders web page.
>
> How in the wide wide world of sports is that thing gonna fit on a residential street?
>
> (Sorry Mr. Taggart)
>
> http://www.svitrucks.com/apparatusdetails.asp?apparatusid=212
>
> Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29279. Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 18:03:38 UTC
From: Mike Heskett
Topic: 29271 Message: 29279

At 11:30 AM 12/10/2008, John Mayson wrote:

>It's in El Paso right now. http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911
>
>I don't think it's supposed to stop in FW.

WFAA Ch 8 says it should arrive around 3 pm and remain overnight.
==/===/===/===/===/===/===/
Mike Heskett
WB5QLD
Hurst, TX
O-

29280. Re: Johnson Co. 46.100

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 18:33:24 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29274 Message: 29280

One of the frequencies in use is: 154.145 DCS 026. Not sure what the other frequencies
are. Quite possibly using the frequencies from their 3 other cross-band repeaters.

There's a story in the Cleburne Times Review that talks about the change from
low-band to high-band. Interesting that Chief Scarbrough mentions that this
'new system' will have a 'trunking system' . So does that mean that the change
to VHF is only temporary? Or is the 'trunking' he is talking about just someting
that is additional feature that they could use or will use in the future.

Here's the link to the story:

http://www.cleburnetimesreview.com/local/local_story_336171817.html
<http://www.cleburnetimesreview.com/local/local_story_336171817.html>

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Cross, Robert" <rcross@...> wrote:
>
> Email we received:
>
>
>
> Careflite,
>
>
>
> Just letting you know Johnson County Fire Departments will no longer be
> using the low band radio frequency 46.100 as of today, December 9, 2008.
> We will be using the VHF radios for communications.
>
>
>
> Keith Scarbrough
>
> Assistant Chief of Operations
>
> Cleburne Fire Department

>
> 817-645-0969 office
>
> 817-774-6307 mobile
>
> kscarbrough@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf
> Of Bob
> Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2008 11:31
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Johnson Co. 46.100
>
>
>
>
>
> I heard that they stopped using 46.100 as of yesterday and moved
> everything
> to the vhf freqs.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29281. Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 18:51:01 UTC
From: Bob Tickner
Topic: 29271 Message: 29281

Flightaware shows it scheduled: ScheduledActual/Estimated
Departure12:10PM MST12:10PM MST
Arrival03:01PM CST03:01PM CST
Speed310 kts
Altitude15000 feet

On Wed, 10 Dec 2008 12:03:38 -0600 Mike Heskett <mheskett@...>
writes:
> At 11:30 AM 12/10/2008, John Mayson wrote:
>
> >It's in El Paso right now.
> http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911
> >
> >I don't think it's supposed to stop in FW.
>
> WFAA Ch 8 says it should arrive around 3 pm and remain overnight.
> ==/===/===/===/===/===/===/
> Mike Heskett
> WB5QLD
> Hurst, TX
> O-
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups
> Links
>
>
>
>
>

Bob Tickner
Denton,TX
btickner@...

_____
Click here for free information on consolidating your debt.
http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL2141/fc/PnY6rw2PBG2NdENzSoUrZBs5BVfKywy4gJgCAAzDbkmyQqrnQxXVc/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29283. Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 19:33:50 UTC
From: SuperSonicTran
Topic: 29271 Message: 29283

NASA912 the shuttle path finder C17 just took off from Ft Bliss at 116 our time and NASA911 the sca took off at 130 our time should be here 310PM.

Super SonicTran

--- On Wed, 12/10/08, John Mayson <john@mayson.us> wrote:

From: John Mayson <john@...>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB
To: "DFW Scan" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Wednesday, December 10, 2008, 1:16 PM

On Wed, Dec 10, 2008 at 12:03 PM, Mike Heskett <mheskett@...>
wrote:
> At 11:30 AM 12/10/2008, John Mayson wrote:
>
>>It's in El Paso right now.
http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911
>>
>>I don't think it's supposed to stop in FW.
>
> WFAA Ch 8 says it should arrive around 3 pm and remain overnight.

Neat. That's an odd path. I had heard scuttlebutt it was headed to
Houston then on to Florida. So much for my intelligence. :-P

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29284. Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 22:49:06 UTC
From: David McAnally
Topic: 29271 Message: 29284

Shuttle Ferry Flight Lands in Texas for Overnight StopWed, 10 Dec 2008 03:45:08 PM CST

Space shuttle Endeavour is in Ft. Worth, Texas, after completing the first day of a trek across the country from California to Florida. Endeavour, riding atop a modified 747, left Edwards Air Force Base in California on Wednesday morning at 10 a.m. EST. The aircraft and shuttle made a refueling stop at Biggs Army Air Field in El Paso, Texas, before taking off for the Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base, formerly Carswell AFB in Ft. Worth Texas. The flight landed there at about 4:12 p.m. EST.

The next leg of the ferry flight will depend on weather conditions between Ft. Worth and NASA's Kennedy Space Center on the east coast of Florida.

--
Regards,
David McAnally, WD5M

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29285. Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 23:53:35 UTC
From: bigfoot mr.foot
Topic: 29271 Message: 29285

anybody have the new freqs for johnson county fire had them... but lost them

--- On Wed, 12/10/08, David McAnally <David.McAnally@...> wrote:

From: David McAnally <David.McAnally@...>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB
To: "DFW Scan" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Wednesday, December 10, 2008, 4:49 PM

Shuttle Ferry Flight Lands in Texas for Overnight StopWed, 10 Dec 2008
03:45:08 PM CST

Space shuttle Endeavour is in Ft. Worth, Texas, after completing the first
day of a trek across the country from California to Florida. Endeavour,
riding atop a modified 747, left Edwards Air Force Base in California on
Wednesday morning at 10 a.m. EST. The aircraft and shuttle made a refueling
stop at Biggs Army Air Field in El Paso, Texas, before taking off for the
Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base, formerly Carswell AFB in Ft. Worth
Texas. The flight landed there at about 4:12 p.m. EST.

The next leg of the ferry flight will depend on weather conditions between
Ft. Worth and NASA's Kennedy Space Center on the east coast of Florida.

--
Regards,
David McAnally, WD5M

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29286. Highland Village

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 23:58:36 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 11860 Message: 29286

Highland Village has a big working house
fire somewhere, but I don't have a
location. CH 4 is command. Very
heavy fire, through the roof. 30%
of structure gone.

17:57

Blaine

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.16/1842 - Release Date: 12/10/2008 6:53 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29287. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-10 23:56:56 UTC
From: steve@liese.us
Topic: 29271 Message: 29287

Does anyone know if it will be in a place at the NAS where the public
will be able to see it tonight?
Thanks,
Steve

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB
From: "David McAnally" <David.McAnally@...>
Date: Wed, December 10, 2008 4:49 pm
To: "DFW Scan" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>

Shuttle Ferry Flight Lands in Texas for Overnight StopWed, 10 Dec 2008
03:45:08 PM CST

Space shuttle Endeavour is in Ft. Worth, Texas, after completing the
first
day of a trek across the country from California to Florida. Endeavour,
riding atop a modified 747, left Edwards Air Force Base in California on
Wednesday morning at 10 a.m. EST. The aircraft and shuttle made a
refueling
stop at Biggs Army Air Field in El Paso, Texas, before taking off for
the
Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base, formerly Carswell AFB in Ft. Worth
Texas. The flight landed there at about 4:12 p.m. EST.

The next leg of the ferry flight will depend on weather conditions
between
Ft. Worth and NASA's Kennedy Space Center on the east coast of Florida.

--
Regards,
David McAnally, WD5M

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29288. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 00:06:15 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29271 Message: 29288

Here are some of my photos.

Yes, you can see it from 820 looking south towards the tarmac, but it's probably not the safest place to see it from the side of the freeway at night. Sounds like it will be around in the morning from what the news just said, so you might want to look from the Quebec/Cohoba 820 area.

http://www.bensware.com/photos/events/Shuttle/

Thanks to those who gave me the heads-up, and further information to be able to get these photos. I've see it before, but this gave me another chance to try for some better photos.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29289. Re: Highland Village

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 00:09:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 11860 Message: 29289

Blaine Brooks wrote:

> Highland Village has a big working house
> fire somewhere, but I don't have a
> location. CH 4 is command. Very
> heavy fire, through the roof. 30%
> of structure gone.
>
> 17:57

My bad. Actually in Lake Dallas.
Just got the IPN page. Near Lakeview
Airport. Crews are making good progress
knocking down the fire.

18:10

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.16/1842 - Release Date: 12/10/2008 6:53 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29290. Re: Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 00:23:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29271 Message: 29290

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:

> Here are some of my photos.

Great photos - as always - Ben!

Hey BTW - Anyone know what apartment complex that is on the hill near the water tower? Looks like that would be a heck of a place to live if you were a milair nut!

Reminds me of when I lived in Tampa. One of my apartments was very close to the runway for Macdill AFB. We'd sit on the balcony and watch the F-16's throw it into afterburner on some of their night time sorties. Awesome sight! Great for airshows too! No crowds!

Blaine

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.16/1842 - Release Date: 12/10/2008 6:53 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29291. Re: Shuttle - stopover at FWNAS JRB

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 01:06:32 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29271 Message: 29291

Those are some damn good pictures Ben. Its not often the Shuttle
makes a piggy-back stop at the base. I think last time they landed at
Edwards they bypassed the base. And its not often they land at
Edwards either. Hold on to those pictures.

The last time I saw the shuttle land at the base, I was in high
school, it was still Carswell, and I remember everyone parking on the
820 bridge over Lake Worth causing a big traffic jam.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Here are some of my photos.
>
>
>
> Yes, you can see it from 820 looking south towards the tarmac, but it's
> probably not the safest place to see it from the side of the freeway at
> night. Sounds like it will be around in the morning from what the
news just
> said, so you might want to look from the Quebec/Cohoba 820 area.
>
>
>
> http://www.bensware.com/photos/events/Shuttle/
>
>
>
> Thanks to those who gave me the heads-up, and further information to
be able
> to get these photos. I've see it before, but this gave me another
chance to
> try for some better photos.
>
>
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29292. Shuttle departure

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 16:07:37 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 29292 Message: 29292

Looks like they're headed out at 11:00 to Barksdale.
http://flightaware.com/live/airport/KNFW

I wonder why they don't just wait until they have a clear path back to
Kenneday and make one trip, instead of hopscotching.

Ben

29293. NASA 912 RC Clearance

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 16:30:15 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29293 Message: 29293

The C-17 support aircraft just received clearance to KBAD (Barksdale).
They'll be up on 125.8 (Regional A/D) once they depart.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29294. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 16:31:53 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29292 Message: 29294

I was wondering the same thing :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of groups@...
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2008 10:08 AM
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure

Looks like they're headed out at 11:00 to Barksdale.
http://flightaware.com/live/airport/KNFW

I wonder why they don't just wait until they have a clear path back to Kenneday and make one trip, instead of hopscotching.

Ben

29295. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 16:38:12 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 29292 Message: 29295

Simple: fuel. The SCAs have severe limitations with the shuttle attached - 1,000 nautical miles range, 15,000ft. service ceiling, maximum speed of Mach 0.6/397kts. Compare that with a typical 747-100 - 5,300 nautical mile range, 45,000ft. service ceiling, maximum speed of Mach 0.89/481kts.

Seems that pushing two airframes through the air, along with all the connecting hardware, results in a whole lot more drag!

I believe they'll make one more stop after Barksdale for fuel before finally reaching their destination.

-tvs

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2008 10:32 AM
To: 'DFWScan'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure

I was wondering the same thing :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
groups@... <mailto:groups%40bensware.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2008 10:08 AM
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure

Looks like they're headed out at 11:00 to Barksdale.
http://flightaware.com/live/airport/KNFW

I wonder why they don't just wait until they have a clear path back to Kenneday and make one trip, instead of hopscotching.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29296. NASA 911 Getting Ready

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 16:45:59 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29296 Message: 29296

NASA 911, the 747 with the shuttle, is using 123.125 for what appears to be plane-to-plane ops with the C-17 right now.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29298. Why & What?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 18:59:00 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29298 Message: 29298

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29300. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 19:46:49 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29292 Message: 29300

I heard NASA 911 (carrying the Shuttle Endeavour) telling Houston Center on 133.3 that they didn't normally fly above 15K due to temperatures and some other reasons. I heard NASA 912, the C-17 Globemaster, when they were "50 miles north of Houston" on 235.4. I imagine they were flying much, much higher. I lost radio reception from NASA 911 after they were somewhere between Waco and College Station due to their low altitude.

Thanks for the information too Terry.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

From: tvsjr [mailto:tvsjr@...]
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2008 10:38 AM
To: 'commscan'; 'DFWScan'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure

Simple: fuel. The SCAs have severe limitations with the shuttle attached - 1,000 nautical miles range, 15,000ft. service ceiling, maximum speed of Mach 0.6/397kts. Compare that with a typical 747-100 - 5,300 nautical mile range, 45,000ft. service ceiling, maximum speed of Mach 0.89/481kts.

Seems that pushing two airframes through the air, along with all the connecting hardware, results in a whole lot more drag!

I believe they'll make one more stop after Barksdale for fuel before finally reaching their destination.

-tvs

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2008 10:32 AM
To: 'DFWScan'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure

I was wondering the same thing :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of

groups@... <mailto:groups%40bensware.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2008 10:08 AM
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure

Looks like they're headed out at 11:00 to Barksdale.
http://flightaware.com/live/airport/KNFW

I wonder why they don't just wait until they have a clear path back to
Kenneday and make one trip, instead of hopscotching.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29301. Re: [dfwscan] Bossier City is just down I-20 from the Metroplex, right?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 21:31:35 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29299 Message: 29301

Yeah, right across the river from Shreveport.
---------- Original message from "John Mayson" <john@...>: ----------

> http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911
>
> Someone explain that to NASA.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29303. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure - more photos

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-11 23:24:52 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29292 Message: 29303

I updated my photos to include what I took today.

http://www.bensware.com/photos/events/Shuttle/

There was a little surprise in one of the photos. I didn't notice the
Lockheed (I assume) test bed aircraft in the bottom right of this photo.

http://www.bensware.com/photos/events/Shuttle/pages/D20_1036_edited-1.html

I made some of the photos into 1024x768 for wallpapers, and uploaded them
here.

http://www.bensware.com/photos/aviation/ShuttleEndeavour/

Happy Scanning,

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29304. Re: Shuttle departure - more photos

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-12 00:06:34 UTC
From: David Dusse
Topic: 29292 Message: 29304

On behalf of those of us who were not able to go out and see the
beast, thank you for the awesome photos! Those are definitely keepers.

I still remember seeing Enterprise at the Worlds Fair in New Orleans
back in 1984. Even though that shuttle never went into space, it was
still quite a sight to see. Unfortunately, I didn't get to see it get
flown in and out piggybacked back then either.

Dave

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> I updated my photos to include what I took today.
>
> http://www.bensware.com/photos/events/Shuttle/
>
>
>
> There was a little surprise in one of the photos. I didn't notice the
> Lockheed (I assume) test bed aircraft in the bottom right of this photo.
>
>
http://www.bensware.com/photos/events/Shuttle/pages/D20_1036_edited-1.html
>
>
>
> I made some of the photos into 1024x768 for wallpapers, and uploaded
them
> here.
>
> http://www.bensware.com/photos/aviation/ShuttleEndeavour/
>
>
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29306. Re: Bossier City is just down I-20 from the Metroplex, right?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-12 00:07:06 UTC
From: David Dusse
Topic: 29299 Message: 29306

I was just noticing that they dipped down to 7000 feet near Houston, so my first thought was that they wanted to buzz Mission Control. But judging from their flight path, they didn't go anywhere near the Space Center.

So maybe some bigwig was able to get them to waste some fuel to fly down to Houston and buzz his house - or maybe it was just some PR side trip. Either way, that sure was a long way around just to get to Barksdale.

Dave

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "John Mayson" <john@...> wrote:
>
> On Thu, Dec 11, 2008 at 3:31 PM, <daweasel@...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Yeah, right across the river from Shreveport.
>
> I guess buzzing Katy, TX on the way made sense to someone.
>
> --
> John Mayson <john@...>
> Austin, Texas, USA
>

29307. Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle departure - more photos

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-12 02:05:54 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 29292 Message: 29307

For those that don't know what it is:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lockheed_CATBird

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> I updated my photos to include what I took today.
>
> http://www.bensware.com/photos/events/Shuttle/
>
>
>
> There was a little surprise in one of the photos. I didn't notice the
> Lockheed (I assume) test bed aircraft in the bottom right of this photo.
>
> http://www.bensware.com/photos/events/Shuttle/pages/D20_1036_edited-1.html
>

29309. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Bossier City is just down I-20 from the Metroplex, right?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-12 09:11:55 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29299 Message: 29309

You are correct. They did buzz Johnson Space Center. The flight map is truly off. If you notice, Galveston bay is east of I-45. The map needs a little reorganization/updating on the website :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of David Dusse
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2008 6:07 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Bossier City is just down I-20 from the Metroplex, right?

I was just noticing that they dipped down to 7000 feet near Houston, so my first thought was that they wanted to buzz Mission Control. But judging from their flight path, they didn't go anywhere near the Space Center.

So maybe some bigwig was able to get them to waste some fuel to fly down to Houston and buzz his house - or maybe it was just some PR side trip. Either way, that sure was a long way around just to get to Barksdale.

Dave

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "John Mayson" <john@...> wrote:
>
> On Thu, Dec 11, 2008 at 3:31 PM, <daweasel@...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Yeah, right across the river from Shreveport.
>
> I guess buzzing Katy, TX on the way made sense to someone.
>
> --
> John Mayson <john@...>
> Austin, Texas, USA
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29312. Dallas Mobile Communications

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-12 19:53:41 UTC
From: K. Bailey
Topic: 29312 Message: 29312

I had an interesting experience with a two-way radio retailer. They keep posting a link to their website (www.dallasmobilec.com) in the Wikipedia "Two way radio" article. When I called them and tried to tell them that it was counter productive and made them look unprofessional, the guy was very agrumentitive and said "whats it to you?".
So, if you are in the market for any of the products they sell, I recommed someone else!
73
KD

29313. jo co freq hot off the press

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-12 21:57:46 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29313 Message: 29313

JOHNSON COUNTY SO

VHF90

JOHNCOSO

158.7450

136.5

153.9200

136.5

JOHNSON COUNTY FIRE ALARM CH1

VHF95

JCFIREALM

154.1450

D26

159.1950

D26

JOHNSON COUNTY MAIN OPS CH2

VHF96

JCMAINOPS

154.4225

D172

158.8725

D172

JOHNSON COUNTY EAST OPS CH3

VHF97

JCEASTOPS

155.2950

D116

158.8425

D116

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@... <mailto:drenois@...>

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29314. Re: jo co freq hot off the press

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-12 22:07:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29313 Message: 29314

Thanks for the info Daniel...

So can we assume the "second" frequencies
are the inputs? (ex. 153.92, 159.195, 158.8725,
etc.?)

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.17/1845 - Release Date: 12/12/2008 9:02 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29315. New file uploaded to dfwscan

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-13 02:50:19 UTC
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 1801 Message: 29315

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the dfwscan
group.

File : /nasa911.jpg
Uploaded by : sstran <sstran@...>
Description : NASA911 over JSC

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/files/nasa911.jpg

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/l/us/yahoo/groups/original/members/web/index.htmlfiles

Regards,

sstran <sstran@...>

29316. Re: More on the NASA route

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-13 04:46:40 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29297 Message: 29316

Not only ice, but even rain. Even though the tiles are tough, one of the first flights back from Edwards to Kennedy encountered heavy rain and it eroded the tiles incurring millions of dollars of damage that had to be repair. Now we know why they avoid landing in California like the plague. It's a wx planning nightmare. Hitting raindrops at 397 knots is like flying through sandpaper.

-Tracey

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "John Mayson" <john@...> wrote:
>
> From SkyOne...
>
> More or less the flight has to be operated in Visual Meteorological
> Conditions (VMC) to stay out of the ice.

29317. Re: jo co freq hot off the press

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-13 18:00:11 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29313 Message: 29317

Here's another freq to add to Dan's list. Just checked
RadioReference and evidently Alvarado FD is now using
a new frequency for their dispatch.

That freq is: 154.0925 DCS 051

Their old dispatch freq of 154.445 PL 173.8 is now
listed as their OPS channel.

I was wondering why I wasn't hearing anything on their old
dispatch channel, now I know.

Thanks again for the list Dan!!

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Renois, Daniel" <drenois@...> wrote:

>
>
>
>
> JOHNSON COUNTY SO
>
> VHF90
>
> JOHNCOSO
>
> 158.7450
>
> 136.5
>
> 153.9200
>
> 136.5
>
> JOHNSON COUNTY FIRE ALARM CH1
>
> VHF95
>
> JCFIREALM
>
> 154.1450
>
> D26
>
> 159.1950

>
> D26
>
> JOHNSON COUNTY MAIN OPS CH2
>
> VHF96
>
> JCMAINOPS
>
> 154.4225
>
> D172
>
> 158.8725
>
> D172
>
> JOHNSON COUNTY EAST OPS CH3
>
> VHF97
>
> JCEASTOPS
>
> 155.2950
>
> D116
>
> 158.8425
>
> D116
>
>
>
>
>
> Dan Renois
>
> KE5UZB
>
> Flight Dispatcher
>
> CareFlite Operations Center
>
> (972) 660-2851 Office
>
> (817) 988-6729 Cell
>
> Email: drenois@... <mailto:drenois@...>
>
> For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

>
> For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29318. Re: jo co freq hot off the press

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-13 19:38:20 UTC
From: nd5y@yahoo.com
Topic: 29313 Message: 29318

Something ain't right.

According to the RR page http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?ctid=2648
There are two different repeaters with the same input:
Alvarado 154.0925/158.8425
Johnson County 154.295/158.8425
And Grandview has a repeater that is the exact opposite of Alvarado's:
158.8425/154.0925

What's up with all that?

Tom

29319. Re: jo co freq hot off the press

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-13 22:01:25 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29313 Message: 29319

For one thing Alvarado and Johnson County are using
separate DPL tones.

Alvarado is using DPL 051 and JoCo is using DPL 116.

With the the new lineup of VHF freqs in the county it looks
to me like they have changed the setup for the repeater
in Grandview. Now that the county is entirely VHF and
46.100 is gone, their is no need to use 158.8425 as a
cross-band repeater. More than likely their just making
use of those freqs, since they still have a license for them.

The info on RadioReference needs to updated. Basically the 3
references to 'cross-band repeater' need to be removed, as
they are no longer used in that capacity.

Hope this clears it up.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, nd5y@... wrote:
>
> Something ain't right.
>
> According to the RR page
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?ctid=2648
> There are two different repeaters with the same input:
> Alvarado 154.0925/158.8425
> Johnson County 154.295/158.8425
> And Grandview has a repeater that is the exact opposite of Alvarado's:
> 158.8425/154.0925
>
> What's up with all that?
>
>
> Tom
>

29320. Fair park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-14 00:12:26 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 29320 Message: 29320

Does anybody know what's going on at fair park? Dpd has had several requests for elements from ch1 and 3 and now 7. Only traffic in reference is dpd ch 8 multiple fights and now ch 7 elements in route code 3. Command post also set somewhere. Just heard ch 2 "response team" called for code 3 as well.

_____
Sprint Mogul
Blackberry 8310 PIN 245EB043
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm
http://www.twowayshopper.com

29321. Re: [dfwscan] Fair park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-14 00:24:41 UTC
From: nd5y@yahoo.com
Topic: 29320 Message: 29321

http://cbs11tv.com/local/Kwanza.Fest.Dallas.2.886922.html

Tom

Patrick - yahoo wrote:
> Does anybody know what's going on at fair park? Dpd has had several requests for elements from ch1 and 3 and now 7. Only traffic in reference is dpd ch 8 multiple fights and now ch 7 elements in route code 3. Command post also set somewhere. Just heard ch 2 "response team" called for code 3 as well.
>
> _____
> Sprint Mogul
> Blackberry 8310 PIN 245EB043
> PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
> Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
> http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm
> http://www.twowayshopper.com

29322. Re: Fair park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-14 00:34:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29320 Message: 29322

nd5y@... wrote:

Kwanza.Fest.Dallas

Why am I not surprised.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.17/1847 - Release Date: 12/13/2008 4:56 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29323. Re: Fair park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-14 03:37:50 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29320 Message: 29323

Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Kwanza.Fest.Dallas
>
> Why am I not surprised.

DITTO!!!! I don't remember hearing about riots at the Fort Worth Parade of Lights? Hmmmmm, could it be because of......(I'll let you fill in the blanks)

29324. Re: Fair park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-14 14:19:33 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29320 Message: 29324

KC5RHB wrote:

> DITTO!!!! I don't remember hearing about riots at the Fort Worth
> Parade of Lights?

Yeah, somehow I don't think we'd be hearing the
same amount of scanner traffic on the DPD Patrol,
SWAT and Deployment channels for a Kenny G
concert at Smirnoff....:)

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.17/1847 - Release Date: 12/13/2008 4:56 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29325. River Legacy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-15 03:30:16 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29325 Message: 29325

Sounds like FD and PD are trying to locate and assist some. I missed the original call, but assume the victims are trapped or hurt somehow. Sounds like they're having difficulty locating them.

AFD3/53584 decimal

12/14 21:29

29326. Re: [dfwscan] River Legacy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-15 03:57:52 UTC
From: Paul Baumgardner
Topic: 29325 Message: 29326

Its been going on since about 1830....first just two units...then four...then FD was dispatched....the supervisor asked for FW PD Helicopter and were refused...they just cannot find two women hiker/walkers and their husbands are looking as well...

Paul Baumgardner

From: Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 14, 2008 9:30:16 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] River Legacy

Sounds like FD and PD are trying to locate and assist some. I missed the original call, but assume the victims are trapped or hurt somehow. Sounds like they're having difficulty locating them.

AFD3/53584 decimal

12/14 21:29

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29327. RE: [dfwscan] River Legacy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-15 04:10:03 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29325 Message: 29327

Just heard an update, 3 kids, pregnant woman, man, and dog to be rescued via boat. Doesn't sound like there are any injuries, so they must have just wondered off a trail and got lost. It's getting cold out there, so I imagine they'll be really happy to get inside.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29328. Weekly FCC grants December 07-13

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-15 19:11:52 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29328 Message: 29328

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29329. Hey, Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-16 14:58:09 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29329 Message: 29329

What website has the best and fastest radar refresh rate now?

Thanks, Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29330. RE: [dfwscan] Hey, Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-16 15:06:31 UTC
From: Cross, Robert
Topic: 29329 Message: 29330

Weathertap.com....we use radarlabhd at CareFlite.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Sarge
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2008 8:58
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Hey, Weather guys

What website has the best and fastest radar refresh rate now?

Thanks, Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>
<http://www.cyberdefender.com <http://www.cyberdefender.com> >

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29331. DPD-SWAT incident, possible BP & attempted bank roberry

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-16 20:42:12 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29331 Message: 29331

DPD Ch 8 (tactical) working a possible barricaded suspect inside a Chase Bank, 4400 S. Lancaster, Dallas. One person reportedly shot during an attempted robbery. Tac was requested code 3. Location is near the VA hospital.

DPD Ch 8 460.125
SWAT Simplex 458.975
DPD Ch 7 460.460.275
Also, some traffic on the deployment channels.

Channel 8's initial breaking news link:
http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa081216_ac_bank.6c28a227.html

29332. Re: DPD-SWAT incident, possible BP & attempted bank roberry

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-16 20:47:03 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29331 Message: 29332

I see I typo'd Ch 7. Should be 460.275.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
> DPD Ch 8 (tactical) working a possible barricaded suspect inside a
> Chase Bank, 4400 S. Lancaster, Dallas. One person reportedly shot
> during an attempted robbery. Tac was requested code 3. Location is
> near the VA hospital.
>
> DPD Ch 8 460.125
> SWAT Simplex 458.975
> DPD Ch 7 460.460.275
> Also, some traffic on the deployment channels.
>
> Channel 8's initial breaking news link:
>
http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa081216_ac_bank.6c28a227.
html
>

29333. Re: DPD-SWAT incident, possible BP & attempted bank roberry

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-16 20:47:12 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29331 Message: 29333

hiegtx wrote:

> DPD Ch 8 (tactical) working a possible barricaded suspect inside a
> Chase Bank, 4400 S. Lancaster, Dallas. One person reportedly shot
> during an attempted robbery. Tac was requested code 3. Location is
> near the VA hospital.

Sounds bad. Should be on Fox News momentarily.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.9.18/1851 - Release Date: 12/16/2008 8:53 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29334. Re: Hey, Weather guys

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-17 01:25:38 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29329 Message: 29334

Most of the paid subscriptions have auto refresh and are pretty much on time with the radar scan. I use Weather Tap also. Most of the internet radars you pretty much have to refresh yourself, but the NWS Radars are pretty much on time. Remember, know matter what software you use, or what website you looking at, pretty much all the feeds come from the same site, whether its NWS, or Weather Tap. Some media stations have their own radar sites.

If you go to my radar page, Ive listed some of the good radar sites to go to, and listed some of the bad ones.

http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/radar_sites

Hope this helps,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather.html

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Sarge" <smd_1@...> wrote:
>
> What website has the best and fastest radar refresh rate now?
>
> Thanks, Sarge
>
>
>
> CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
> Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
> Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
> <http://www.cyberdefender.com>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29335. Has Mansfield changed radio systems?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-17 01:55:00 UTC
From: w_r_adams1
Topic: 29335 Message: 29335

I usually hear Mansfield on my Pro96, but no traffic for the last few days. Receiver works well on Arlington.

Has anything changed? I looked at http://www.radioreference.com and saw nothing new for the listing.

Bill

29336. Re: [dfwscan] Has Mansfield changed radio systems?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-17 02:26:33 UTC
From: pbaumg1@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 29335 Message: 29336

I was there Monday and it worked A-OK....PD and FD...

Paul Baumgardner
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "w_r_adams1" <w_r_adams1@...>

Date: Wed, 17 Dec 2008 01:55:00
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Has Mansfield changed radio systems?

I usually hear Mansfield on my Pro96, but no traffic for the last few
days. Receiver works well on Arlington.

Has anything changed? I looked at http://www.radioreference.com and saw
nothing new for the listing.

Bill

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29337. Desoto PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-17 17:46:56 UTC
From: shbp76
Topic: 29337 Message: 29337

desoto pd has changed to the 400,s with dallas but they are hard to follow now ???

29338. desoto PD.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-17 17:41:05 UTC
From: shbp76
Topic: 29338 Message: 29338

they changed also I can pick up bits and pieces on 400. s

29339. RE: [dfwscan] desoto PD.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-17 17:56:31 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 29338 Message: 29339

They are running MPT1327 trunking.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of shbp76
Sent: Wednesday, December 17, 2008 11:41 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] desoto PD.

they changed also I can pick up bits and pieces on 400. s

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29340. RE: [dfwscan] Digest Number 4009

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-18 04:57:55 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29340 Message: 29340

On Tue Dec 16, 2008 5:55 pm "w_r_adams1" <w_r_adams1@...> wrote:
>
> I usually hear Mansfield on my Pro96, but no traffic for the last few
> days. Receiver works well on Arlington.

I live nearly in Mansfield and monitor them almost constantly. I have had no problems hearing for the last few days. In fact, they've been stronger than usual.

Rob in SW Arlington

29341. Major accident I-20 westbound at Collins

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-20 01:53:57 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 29341 Message: 29341

Arlington is working a major accident that has I-20 westbound shut down at Collins.

After lots of radio traffic, unit 402 came on the air and informed dispatch he was now the incident commander, he was taking charge of the incident.

He then ordered all units to minimize radio traffic.

I've heard a couple of instances of "three times", and the dispatcher has said she will notify Grand Prairie (presumably of the freeway closure).

29342. Southwest Regional MPT1327

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-21 03:52:49 UTC
From: jeffkbeck
Topic: 29342 Message: 29342

For those that might be interested, I've been tinkering with TrunkView on the new Southwest Regional MPT1327 system. I've been able to confirm Cedar Hill and Desoto site information. I have not heard anything other than police traffic, and it appears that most of the traffic is focused in Desoto. However, the sites seem to be simulcasting.

Desoto
Site ID 0D91
Base Frequency 449.9875
Step 12.5

Channel # and Frequency
270 453.3625
272 453.3875
282 453.5215
288 453.5875
302 453.7625 (currently the control channel as well)

Cedar Hill
Site ID 0D99
Base Frequency 454.9875
Step 12.5

Channel # and Frequency
404 460.0375
414 460.1625
420 460.2375
426 460.3125
438 460.4625 (currently the control channel as well)

Group 002 seems to be the PD group.
Radio ID 614 appears to be the dispatch console.
ID 2005 appears to be the ID for the PD primary.

Once I figured out how to set the sites and profiles correctly in Trunkview, Trunkview has been working great in controlling my scanner and following the conversation.

29343. Weekly FCC grants December 14-20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-21 11:51:28 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29343 Message: 29343

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29344. SMU - RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants December 14-20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-21 13:22:42 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29343 Message: 29344

From Glenn's FCC Stuff:

IG WQCH938
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
[Radios will be used by SMU Emergency Mgt and operations]
Control Point 1 - 3030 DYER 170 J, DALLAS TX 214-768-1550
(link)
<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2700099>
12/16/2008 License Modified
4 - 6116 N Central Expressway Suite 160, Dallas (DALLAS) TX (map)
<http://maps.google.com/maps?q=32.841416,-96.7745555>
463.9375 FB2 45e 7K60FXE
5 - 32.0 km radius around fixed location 4
468.9375 MO 2e 7K60FXE
463.9375 MO 2e 7K60FXE
2 - 9.0 km radius around fixed location 1
451.2875 MO 2e 11K2F2D 11K2F3E
456.2875 MO 2e 11K2F2D 11K2F3E
3 - TX Land Mobile Control Station Meeting 20 Rule
456.2875 FX1 20e 11K2F2D 11K2F3E
1 - 5800 OWNBY DRIVE, DALLAS (DALLAS) TX (map)
<http://maps.google.com/maps?q=32.837305,-96.7836111>
451.2875 FB2 20e 11K2F2D 11K2F3E

Is this a new system? I didn't see anything (other than the FCC info) on
RadioReference about this one.

Ben

PS. Thanks for the weekly updates Glenn.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29345. Re: SMU - RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants December 14-20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-22 07:40:29 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 29343 Message: 29345

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> From Glenn's FCC Stuff:
>
> IG WQCH938
> SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
> [Radios will be used by SMU Emergency Mgt and operations]
> Control Point 1 - 3030 DYER 170 J, DALLAS TX 214-768-1550
> (link)
> <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2700099>
> 12/16/2008 License Modified
> 4 - 6116 N Central Expressway Suite 160, Dallas (DALLAS) TX (map)
> <http://maps.google.com/maps?q=32.841416,-96.7745555>
> 463.9375 FB2 45e 7K60FXE
> 5 - 32.0 km radius around fixed location 4
> 468.9375 MO 2e 7K60FXE
> 463.9375 MO 2e 7K60FXE
> 2 - 9.0 km radius around fixed location 1
> 451.2875 MO 2e 11K2F2D 11K2F3E
> 456.2875 MO 2e 11K2F2D 11K2F3E
> 3 - TX Land Mobile Control Station Meeting 20 Rule
> 456.2875 FX1 20e 11K2F2D 11K2F3E
> 1 - 5800 OWNBY DRIVE, DALLAS (DALLAS) TX (map)
> <http://maps.google.com/maps?q=32.837305,-96.7836111>
> 451.2875 FB2 20e 11K2F2D 11K2F3E
>
>
>
>
>
> Is this a new system? I didn't see anything (other than the FCC info) on
> RadioReference about this one.
>
>
>
> Ben
>
> PS. Thanks for the weekly updates Glenn.

It looks like this license has been around since 2005. It looks like
they updated the contact information and added site 4 and 5 to the
license. There is something interesting I noticed in the license for
the site 4 and 5, 463/468.9375 the emissions code is 7K60FXE which
means they will be using MotoTrbo radios but the other frequency in
this license, 451/456.2875 is still analog.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

29346. Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-22 07:42:18 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29346 Message: 29346

A dam at a TVA facility has collapsed flooding several miles, multiple rescues & evacs being made. All power lines down in area. Wide spread severe structural damage.

29347. RE: [dfwscan] Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-22 12:33:44 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29346 Message: 29347

So what frequency should I put in my scanner to hear this?

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Andrew Tucker
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2008 1:42 AM
To: Scanner DFW
Subject: [dfwscan] Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse

A dam at a TVA facility has collapsed flooding several miles, multiple rescues & evacs being made. All power lines down in area. Wide spread severe structural damage.

29348. Re: Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-22 13:57:58 UTC
From: w_r_adams1
Topic: 29346 Message: 29348

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Andrew Tucker" <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> A dam at a TVA facility has collapsed flooding several miles, multiple
> rescues & evacs being made. All power lines down in area. Wide spread
> severe structural damage.
>

Not on news outlets Monday morning, I believe this to be from one of the tabletop exercises. Wolf Creek dam failure scenerio has been used in the past. Was the story picked up while monitoring a local group in DFW area?

Bill

29349. RE: [dfwscan] Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-22 14:04:16 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29346 Message: 29349

Thanks Andrew,

I have relatives in the Tennesse Valley, can u tell me any town it was close to?

Thanks, Sarge

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2008 6:34 AM
To: 'Andrew Tucker'; 'Scanner DFW'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse

So what frequency should I put in my scanner to hear this?

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com] On Behalf Of
Andrew Tucker
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2008 1:42 AM
To: Scanner DFW
Subject: [dfwscan] Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse

A dam at a TVA facility has collapsed flooding several miles, multiple rescues & evacs being made. All power lines down in area. Wide spread severe structural damage.

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29350. Re: Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-22 14:14:40 UTC
From: w_r_adams1
Topic: 29346 Message: 29350

Could be at Kingston, TN story posted to
http://www.wbir.com/news/local/story.aspx?storyid=72362&catid=2

Bill

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Sarge" <smd_1@...> wrote:
>
> Thanks Andrew,
>
> I have relatives in the Tennesse Valley, can u tell me any town
it was
> close to?
>
> Thanks, Sarge
>
>
>
> _____
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Terry
> Sent: Monday, December 22, 2008 6:34 AM
> To: 'Andrew Tucker'; 'Scanner DFW'
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse
>
>
>
> So what frequency should I put in my scanner to hear this?
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%
40yahoogroups.com> .com] On
> Behalf Of
> Andrew Tucker
> Sent: Monday, December 22, 2008 1:42 AM
> To: Scanner DFW
> Subject: [dfwscan] Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse
>
> A dam at a TVA facility has collapsed flooding several miles,
multiple
> rescues & evacs being made. All power lines down in area. Wide

spread
> severe structural damage.
>
> ------------------------------------
>
>
>
>
>
> CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
> Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
> Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
> <http://www.cyberdefender.com>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29351. low band ops

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-22 18:52:17 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 29351 Message: 29351

With all the changes to VHF-Hi band, UHF and 800, are there any users of VHF Low band anymore?
I see Parker County is trunked, much of Tarrant County is trunked, and now Johnson County has stopped using 46.100 MHz. Are the smaller cities still keeping low-band for tac channels or backup?
I need to clean out my low band stuff from my list if it is no longer used?
Are there some still using it for toning?

Myles Barkman | KG5AI | mailto:kg5ai*at*comcast.net | Houston TX
Freq Zone http://home.comcast.net/~freqzone
HoustonScan@yahoogroups.com list owner
IPN-HOU064 | Texas Gulf Coast Search & Rescue

29352. Re: [dfwscan] Interesting Listening on 463.000

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-22 20:37:05 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 29123 Message: 29352

Catching up on some old unread emails. Just thought I would add that Houston FD still calls 463.000 MHz channel "Telemetry", even though they don't transmit that over the air now. It is simply used to get hospital diversion status, and channel assignments to speak to the ER with patient info.

Myles near Houston

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <rob.davis@...>
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, October 18, 2008 12:18 PM
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Interesting Listening on 463.000
>
>
>>> I have a friend that works for AMR up in Greenville and he says
>>> their pt reports are always getting walked on by Dallas Medics.
>>
>> Depending upon propagation, it can get even worse. When I was in Dallas
>> in the 80's, our Biotel comms would occasionally get walked on by Houston
>> Fire Department!
>>
>> Rob
>>
>>
>> ----------
>>
>> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups
>> Links
>>
>>
>>
>

29353. Garland Incident on 66 at Dairy last night.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-23 13:00:27 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 29353 Message: 29353

Does anyone have any details on the Garland incident last night on Route 66 at Dairy Rd? It ended at 12:17 am with the suspect shooting himself when police were about to move into for a gas attack. I didn't catch it from the beginning, so I had first thought that the suspect was the shooter wanted in the two Garland murders. But after listening to the news this morning, that suspect is still at large.

People often ask why I listen to scanners. Last night was a perfect example. To me this "action packed" unscripted, unedited and uncensored listening beats any TV watching. Real lives are at stake and things can go bad at a moment's notice. The command post, sniper officers, armored vehicle and Air 1 are all incredible to listen to. The suspect was continuously became unresponsive (presumably slipping in and out of consciousness) several times and Air 1 was feeding the ground crew details from thermal IR imaging to determine if the guy in the vehicle was alive by seeing the amount of heat from his head. It sounded like Garland did a great job last night handling the situation.

I was excited at 12:17 when he shot himself, because I thought he was a killer. Instead this was probably just some depressed schmuck who decided he couldn't handle his horrible pathetic life this Christmas with the "sluggish economy', yadee, yadee ..

-Chance

29354. Lickskillet, Texas sends it's best wishes to Yall.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-23 13:52:21 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29354 Message: 29354

From Me, Xyl, and the 4 leggers, BoogerMan, Macy Jane, Cali Jo, and Socks.

Sarge KE5YPB

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29355. Re: Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-23 14:16:37 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 29346 Message: 29355

Hyperbole thy name is Andrew Tucker. Major Dam collapse?

Wally

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "w_r_adams1" <w_r_adams1@...> wrote:
>
> Could be at Kingston, TN story posted to
> http://www.wbir.com/news/local/story.aspx?storyid=72362&catid=2
>
> Bill
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Sarge" <smd_1@> wrote:
> >
> > Thanks Andrew,
> >
> > I have relatives in the Tennesse Valley, can u tell me any town
> it was
> > close to?
> >
> > Thanks, Sarge
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of
> > Terry
> > Sent: Monday, December 22, 2008 6:34 AM
> > To: 'Andrew Tucker'; 'Scanner DFW'
> > Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse
> >
> >
> >
> > So what frequency should I put in my scanner to hear this?
> >
> > Terry
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
> > [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%
> 40yahoogroups.com> .com] On
> > Behalf Of
> > Andrew Tucker
> > Sent: Monday, December 22, 2008 1:42 AM

> > To: Scanner DFW
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Major Dam Collapse in Tennesse
> >
> > A dam at a TVA facility has collapsed flooding several miles,
> multiple
> > rescues & evacs being made. All power lines down in area. Wide
> spread
> > severe structural damage.
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
> > Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
> > Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
> > <http://www.cyberdefender.com>
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>

29356. RE: [dfwscan] Garland Incident on 66 at Dairy last night.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-23 17:14:09 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29353 Message: 29356

On Tuesday, December 23, 2008 07:00, "Chance Lindsey" <chance1@lindseyfamily.net> said:
>
> didn't catch it from the beginning, so I had first thought that the
> suspect was the shooter wanted in the two Garland murders. But after
> listening to the news this morning, that suspect is still at large.

You're not the only one. That's a good illustration of the challenge of hardcore scanning. Finding and receiving the transmissions is only half the battle. Accurately interpreting that information and putting it all together is what makes the hobby an intellectual challenge, and so appealing.

> ...some depressed schmuck who
> decided he couldn't handle his horrible pathetic life this Christmas
> with the "sluggish economy', yadee, yadee ..
>

I can relate.

Rob

29357. RE: [dfwscan] Garland Incident on 66 at Dairy last night.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-23 17:41:05 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29353 Message: 29357

Don't feel bad. The media initially jumped the gun as well. CBS11 website had a quick blurb about a a gunman being cornered late last night -- it was on their site for a few minutes, then disappeared.

> > didn't catch it from the beginning, so I had first thought that the
> > suspect was the shooter wanted in the two Garland murders. But after
> > listening to the news this morning, that suspect is still at large.
> You're not the only one. That's a good illustration of the challenge of hardcore scanning. Finding and receiving the transmissions is only half the battle. Accurately interpreting that information and putting it all together is
> what makes the hobby an intellectual challenge, and so appealing.
>
> > ...some depressed schmuck who
> > decided he couldn't handle his horrible pathetic life this Christmas
> > with the "sluggish economy', yadee, yadee…..

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29358. RE: [dfwscan] Garland Incident on 66 at Dairy last night.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-23 20:25:14 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29353 Message: 29358

Chance, here's the press release. By the way DPD Air-1 was out there early on but it was DPS 101 that stayed over the deal. We had a whole cheering section out there since they too thought it was the freeway shooter. Garland did do a good job and unlike Arlington they know how to use an armored vehicle to stop an escape!

Garland Police received information from Keller Texas Police Department that they had warrants for Robbery and Burglary on 37 year old Brian Smith. They informed Garland Police that Smith was possibly somewhere near the central part of Garland driving a dark colored Honda CRV. Keller PD also believed that Smith had a gun with him, was suicidal and stated he was a former Utah State Trooper.
A Garland Officer spotted the Honda stopped in the roadway on State Highway 66 at Commerce Street with Smith sitting inside shortly after 9:00 pm. Brain Smith did not respond to any of the officer's commands and the SWAT Team was activated to take Smith into custody.
Shortly after 12:00 am, and still not responding to the police efforts to contact him, Smith started to leave by placing the vehicle in gear and driving forward. Police stopped the vehicle by pulling a vehicle in front of the car and another to the rear. The SWAT team started moving when a gunshot was heard from inside Smith's vehicle. When officers got to Smith they found what appeared to be a self-inflicted gunshot wound. Garland Fire Paramedics were on standby at the scene and transported Smith to Parkland Hospital where he is in critical condition.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Chance Lindsey
Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2008 7:00 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Garland Incident on 66 at Dairy last night.

Does anyone have any details on the Garland incident last night on Route 66 at Dairy Rd? It ended at 12:17 am with the suspect shooting himself when police were about to move into for a gas attack. I didn't catch it from the beginning, so I had first thought that the suspect was the shooter wanted in the two Garland murders. But after listening to the news this morning, that suspect is still at large.

People often ask why I listen to scanners. Last night was a perfect example. To me this "action packed" unscripted, unedited and uncensored listening beats any TV watching. Real lives are at stake and things can go bad at a moment's notice. The command post, sniper officers, armored vehicle and Air 1 are all incredible to listen to.

The suspect was continuously became unresponsive (presumably slipping in and out of consciousness) several times and Air 1 was feeding the ground crew details from thermal IR imaging to determine if the guy in the vehicle was alive by seeing the amount of heat from his head. It sounded like Garland did a great job last night handling the situation.

I was excited at 12:17 when he shot himself, because I thought he was a killer. Instead this was probably just some depressed schmuck who decided he couldn't handle his horrible pathetic life this Christmas with the "sluggish economy', yadee, yadee...

-Chance

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29359. RE: [dfwscan] Garland Incident on 66 at Dairy last night.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-25 00:07:04 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 29353 Message: 29359

Turns out they you (Chance) and they were right.

http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa081222_mo_shooting.2a8ea02.html

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of daweasel@...
Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2008 11:41 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Garland Incident on 66 at Dairy last night.

Don't feel bad. The media initially jumped the gun as well. CBS11 website had a quick blurb about a a gunman being cornered late last night -- it was on their site for a few minutes, then disappeared.

> > didn't catch it from the beginning, so I had first thought that the
> > suspect was the shooter wanted in the two Garland murders. But after
> > listening to the news this morning, that suspect is still at large.
> You're not the only one. That's a good illustration of the challenge of hardcore scanning. Finding and receiving the transmissions is only half the battle. Accurately interpreting that information and putting it all together is
> what makes the hobby an intellectual challenge, and so appealing.
>
> > ...some depressed schmuck who
> > decided he couldn't handle his horrible pathetic life this Christmas
> > with the "sluggish economy', yadee, yadee…..

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links

29360. Re: low band ops

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-24 17:49:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29351 Message: 29360

Myles Barkman wrote:

> With all the changes to VHF-Hi band, UHF and 800, are there any users of VHF
> Low band anymore?

Someone else chime in and correct me, but
I believe low-band is a moot point now in
North Texas, or at least DFW Metro.

Expect for those that are occasionally into
low band skip (and military stuff) still floating
around (like me), there ain't much at all.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.0/1863 - Release Date: 12/24/2008 11:49 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29361. OT - Melissa

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-24 17:51:37 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29361 Message: 29361

Melissa Rasmussen please get in contact
with me privately. Thanks.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.0/1863 - Release Date: 12/24/2008 11:49 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29362. Fire Station Christmas talk

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-24 22:15:32 UTC
From: Bob Tickner
Topic: 29362 Message: 29362

Listening to the Denton Trunk system this morning right before noon, I heard the Denton Fire Dispatcher call for Engine 2. Upon Engine 2 answering, the Dispatcher ask them if there as anyone at their house? Engine 2 responded they did not think so and they were not sure what the status of Medic 2 was.
The Dispatcher then told them there was a lady at the station with a complete Christmas Dinner for the station.
After some blank air time, Engine 6 responded to the Dispatcher they were available to respond to that! :-)
Then very quickly, Medic 2 chimed in they were on there way home and would be there shortly.

I am sure at least Denton Station 2 is eating well on Christmas Eve. I hope all the stations in the DFW Metroplex have a calm night and get to enjoy a meal at their home station tonight.

Merry Christmas to all on DFWScan list.

Bob Tickner
Denton,TX
btickner@...

_____
Looking for insurance? Compare and save today. Click here.
http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL2141/fc/PnY6rw2Phc5pVxZv7ZIh2AnoOFouf2iRnJaxeRcEkTxiJts5QSKMG/

29363. RE: [dfwscan] low band ops

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-25 00:10:31 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29351 Message: 29363

On Wednesday, December 24, 2008 11:49, "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@verizon.net> said:
>
> Someone else chime in and correct me, but
> I believe low-band is a moot point now in
> North Texas, or at least DFW Metro.

I asked this same question a few months back, and the Johnson County was pretty much the lone hold-out at that time. I think the Red Cross stopped their lo band ops in FW years ago. So yes, this does appear to be the end of the line for lo band in DFW.

Rob

29364. RE: [dfwscan] Fire Station Christmas talk

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-25 00:13:12 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29362 Message: 29364

On Wednesday, December 24, 2008 16:15, "Bob Tickner" <btickner@...> said:

>
> After some blank air time, Engine 6 responded to the Dispatcher they were
> available to respond to that! :-)

ROFL! I don't guess you got that on tape? That's a keeper!

Rob

29365. Re: Fire Station Christmas talk

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-25 01:52:48 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29362 Message: 29365

rob.davis@... wrote:

> ROFL! I don't guess you got that on tape? That's a keeper!

Reminds me of growing up in Atlanta
and listening to Atlanta FD. When the
clock struck midnight on Xmas eve
one year, someone decided it was
time to "Ho, Ho, Ho" on and off for
about 3 minutes on the dispatch
channel!!! I assume that Chris
Kringle was responsible, and had
assumed Priority 1 status on
a Code 3 response to a chimney!!!
Or... it could have been he was giving
out gifts to three hookers on
Peachtree Street!

Merry Xmas all! heh, heh....:)

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.0/1863 - Release Date: 12/24/2008 11:49 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29366. CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-25 17:07:04 UTC
From: nd5y@yahoo.com
Topic: 29366 Message: 29366

This morning (Christmas day, everything else should be closed) I caught a couple brief transmissions on 462.175 PL 123.0.
A unit was real noisy and a female dispatcher said "Still unable to copy, try public service." It didn't sound like a typical business communication.

They have had this frequency licensed for several years
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPOX387
but even when I lived in the HEB area I never heard anything on it, except for the LTR systems that also use it.

I thought it might be CareFlite since it had the same PL as what they use on 464.100.

Somebody in the DFW area that can hear it all the time needs to confirm it.

Tom

29367. Re: CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-25 18:57:06 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29366 Message: 29367

Tom & Group,

I have been hearing the same traffic. CareFlite Ground
here in Johnson County is currently using that freq.

They have been having problems with the LTR system
down here. I stumbled across them last night while
scanning the 460 band.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, nd5y@... wrote:
>
> This morning (Christmas day, everything else should be closed) I
caught a couple
> brief transmissions on 462.175 PL 123.0.
> A unit was real noisy and a female dispatcher said "Still unable to
copy, try
> public service." It didn't sound like a typical business
communication.
>
> They have had this frequency licensed for several years
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPOX387
> but even when I lived in the HEB area I never heard anything on it,
except for
> the LTR systems that also use it.
>
> I thought it might be CareFlite since it had the same PL as what
they use on
> 464.100.
>
> Somebody in the DFW area that can hear it all the time needs to
confirm it.
>
> Tom
>

29368. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-25 18:57:11 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29366 Message: 29368

I believe that is the new Ellis county freq. You will probably hear M811 on
that.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
nd5y@...
Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 11:07 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

This morning (Christmas day, everything else should be closed) I caught a
couple
brief transmissions on 462.175 PL 123.0.
A unit was real noisy and a female dispatcher said "Still unable to copy,
try
public service." It didn't sound like a typical business communication.

They have had this frequency licensed for several years
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPOX387
but even when I lived in the HEB area I never heard anything on it, except
for
the LTR systems that also use it.

I thought it might be CareFlite since it had the same PL as what they use on

464.100.

Somebody in the DFW area that can hear it all the time needs to confirm it.

Tom

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29369. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-26 01:53:40 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29366 Message: 29369

Hi Tom & Group,

Thanks for the confirmation on 462.175 Tom. Back a few years ago I heard CareFlite on this frequency and the traffic was related to a LifeStar or Life Flight helicopter that had crashed in Wise County (I believe it was Wise...maybe Jack, Wichita, etc). After that event, I heard it used a few times at what I believe were the CareFlite ground ambulances that work the Mesquite Rodeo.

I've been hearing CareFlite Ground in Johnson County toning out and dispatching calls on 464.1 (CERN) over the last week or two. I thought I was getting some skip at first but I realized what it was once I heard Lilian and a few other cities mentioned in the calls going out. I've checked with someone who would be in the know a few days ago about the use of the CERN frequency in Johnson County, but as of this time, I haven't received a reply.

Thanks for the post Tom...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nd5y@...
Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 11:07 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

This morning (Christmas day, everything else should be closed) I caught a couple
brief transmissions on 462.175 PL 123.0.
A unit was real noisy and a female dispatcher said "Still unable to copy, try
public service." It didn't sound like a typical business communication.

They have had this frequency licensed for several years
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPOX387
but even when I lived in the HEB area I never heard anything on it, except for
the LTR systems that also use it.

I thought it might be CareFlite since it had the same PL as what they use on

464.100.

Somebody in the DFW area that can hear it all the time needs to confirm it.

Tom

29370. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-26 01:58:30 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29366 Message: 29370

So Ellis County uses the 800's numbers then. Thanks for that information Bob. I was hearing the 900's numbers on 464.1/PL 123.0 (CERN), which if I'm correct, are the Johnson County Ground guys.

I sure wish we'd get a lot more Passport users going back to the ole reliable conventional frequencies :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob
Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 12:57 PM
To: nd5y@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

I believe that is the new Ellis county freq. You will probably hear M811 on that.

29371. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-26 03:00:21 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 29366 Message: 29371

Ellis county only has one unit which serves ESD # 5 which is Ferris.
Ferris still runs the city calls when not tied up on calls. Careflite
Medic 811 runs all the calls in the county.

--- On Thu, 12/25/08, commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
From: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Thursday, December 25, 2008, 7:58 PM

So Ellis County uses the 800's numbers then. Thanks for that information

Bob. I was hearing the 900's numbers on 464.1/PL 123.0 (CERN), which if I'm

correct, are the Johnson County Ground guys.

I sure wish we'd get a lot more Passport users going back to the ole

reliable conventional frequencies :)

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of

Bob

Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 12:57 PM

To: nd5y@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups .com

Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

I believe that is the new Ellis county freq. You will probably hear M811 on

that.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29372. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-26 04:51:49 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29366 Message: 29372

CERN gets used as a backup. The system has been messed up lately and we've been bouncing around a whole bunch. They have it "fixed" but you still have to repeat yourself to dispatch 3 times before they get it. Funny that it seems to be a one way issue as we hear them just fine and they start getting touchy with you if you don't respond as soon as they unkey their mike. It isn't all of them though. It just seems to be a select few who are that way. The couple of guys that are regulars here will probably know exactly who I'm referring to. And I hope you guys know that I'm not referring to you(Big D and Robert). I don't have the current freq in front of me or know it off the top of my head but we're back on a freq we were on several months ago with a different talk group. If I remember right it is 1-04-??.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 7:54 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

Hi Tom & Group,

Thanks for the confirmation on 462.175 Tom. Back a few years ago I heard CareFlite on this frequency and the traffic was related to a LifeStar or Life Flight helicopter that had crashed in Wise County (I believe it was Wise...maybe Jack, Wichita, etc). After that event, I heard it used a few times at what I believe were the CareFlite ground ambulances that work the Mesquite Rodeo.

I've been hearing CareFlite Ground in Johnson County toning out and dispatching calls on 464.1 (CERN) over the last week or two. I thought I was getting some skip at first but I realized what it was once I heard Lilian and a few other cities mentioned in the calls going out. I've checked with someone who would be in the know a few days ago about the use of the CERN frequency in Johnson County, but as of this time, I haven't received a reply.

Thanks for the post Tom...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nd5y@...
Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 11:07 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

This morning (Christmas day, everything else should be closed) I caught a
couple
brief transmissions on 462.175 PL 123.0.
A unit was real noisy and a female dispatcher said "Still unable to copy,
try
public service." It didn't sound like a typical business communication.

They have had this frequency licensed for several years
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPOX387
but even when I lived in the HEB area I never heard anything on it, except
for
the LTR systems that also use it.

I thought it might be CareFlite since it had the same PL as what they use on

464.100.

Somebody in the DFW area that can hear it all the time needs to confirm it.

Tom

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29373. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-26 04:54:11 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29366 Message: 29373

You are correct 900 is JC, 800 is Ellis, and 700's are Tarrant County. The 700 truck right now is a transfer truck out of Methodist Mansfield. They come down to JC now and again to help out.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 7:59 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

So Ellis County uses the 800's numbers then. Thanks for that information Bob. I was hearing the 900's numbers on 464.1/PL 123.0 (CERN), which if I'm correct, are the Johnson County Ground guys.

I sure wish we'd get a lot more Passport users going back to the ole reliable conventional frequencies :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob
Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 12:57 PM
To: nd5y@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

I believe that is the new Ellis county freq. You will probably hear M811 on that.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29374. Scanning AMR

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-26 05:14:12 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29374 Message: 29374

I want to scan AMR but don't know where to look for where I live. I live in Royse City. Rockwall Couny, Hunt Couny, Kaufman County.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29375. recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 05:14:40 UTC
From: allenw75035
Topic: 29375 Message: 29375

I am new to scanning and bought a unident 246 & returned it after 1 day for 2 reasons: it only picked up one frequency - from CA!. Nothing from TX or DFW would come in from the factory programming. It was very hard for me to program or understand how to use.

I would like your opinions if I should buy a digital unit & the best one for ease of use & programming. My wife wants one mainly to listen to the severe weather alerts. She doesn't want a NOAA radio since all it does is sound an alert then play a recorded warning. She wants to be able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD or some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in relation to where we live. A portable unit is preferred for use when the power is out. We were wondering of the Unident 396T is better than the GRE PSR-500. Any other suggestions are appreciated. Thank you for your assistance.

29376. Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 06:22:52 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29375 Message: 29376

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "allenw75035" <allenw75035@...> wrote:
>
> I am new to scanning and bought a unident 246 & returned it after 1 day
> for 2 reasons: it only picked up one frequency - from CA!. Nothing from
> TX or DFW would come in from the factory programming. It was very hard
> for me to program or understand how to use.
>
> I would like your opinions if I should buy a digital unit & the best
> one for ease of use & programming. My wife wants one mainly to listen
> to the severe weather alerts. She doesn't want a NOAA radio since all
> it does is sound an alert then play a recorded warning. She wants to be
> able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD or
> some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in relation to
> where we live. A portable unit is preferred for use when the power is
> out. We were wondering of the Unident 396T is better than the GRE PSR-
> 500. Any other suggestions are appreciated. Thank you for your
> assistance.
>
See my answer to your post over on Radio Reference:
http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showpost.php?p=958528&postcount=3

At this point, you do not "have" to go digital, for the majority of
the DFW metro area. The 246, or another analog trunk tracking scanner
will work fine. The exceptions are:
Grand Prairie (mixed mode system, police & fire groups are digital)
Mansfield (same as Grand Prairie, police & fire talkgroups are digital)
TX DPS (the troopers on the tollways are still analog, so a 246 or
similar would work; everything else is digital)
DFW Airport (it's mostly Provoice, nothing works for that)
The new Frisco digital system (not in full operation yet, they're
still on Plano's analog system for now).
The factory programming on the 246 may be the same as what was in my
246 when I got it 4+ years ago. Outdated & incomplete. I still use my
246 to monitor a number of local systems,

I suspect you'll see other departments go digital over the next few
years, as funding is obtained, equipment is purchased, etc, but that
is likely to be several years off. It takes time to obtain & set up
new repeaters, program & install mobiles & dispatch consoles. If you
want to go ahead & make your move to a digital scanner, & be ahead of
the curve, either the Uniden BCD396T or GRE PSR-500 are good handheld
units. (Note that the Pro-106, sold at Radio Shack, is electronically
the same as the PSR-500. The difference is in the front panel layout.)

There are multiple comparison threads between the 396 & PSR-500 on Radio Reference, with many of the comments boiling down more to personal preference than a true 'which is better' (in my opinion). I prefer the size, display, & memory arrangement of the 396, but that's my preference. The 500 is more sensitive, but in my experience, it can be too sensitive, if you are too near cell towers or other transmitters. This can make it overload, & in effect, it hears less than the 396. I find my 500 does better, in the city, with it's stock antenna, instead of a gain type antenna like I use on my 396. At home, I can hear the same systems, on both scanners. Both have some features the other does not, or does something a little better than the other. Either will cover all the currently scannable local systems, and the most likely upgrades or changes, for several years to come.

The PSR-500 does have NAC code capability, which the 396 does not. This lets you enter the code, for digital, but conventional (not trunked) frequencies, such as Tx DPS, and separate the various stations that are using the same frequency. It also lets you use a lower squelch setting. The 396, without that feature, picks up all stations using the frequency (DPS uses the same set of frequencies, repeatedly, all over the region & state, with different NAC codes for each station or mobile repeater within a given area). Also, you have to set the 396, without a code, so it may get other stations, transmitting analog (not digital) on the same frequency. The new Uniden BCD396XT, due to be released the first quarter of next year, will have NAC capability, but it's still a month or two (or maybe three) away from being on the market.

The 396 averages around $500 from multiple online dealers. Comes with ac adapter, rechargeable batteries, pc cable, wrist strap, antenna. Uniden offers their programming software as a free download. There are also other choices, including two freeware programs, BC Tool (which does logging/recording in addition to programming) and Free Scan. Other programming packages are available for varying prices.

The PSR-500 is 'on sale' right now, I believe till 1-15-09, for $449.99, from multiple online dealers. Regular price is $499.99. Comes with ac adapter, two battery holders (one for alkaline batteries, one for rechargeables), pc cable, antenna,and a cd-rom with the manual (in a pdf file), along with a basic pc control/backup program & the drivers for the cable. No freeware software that I've heard of. Software packages: WIN500, PSREdit-500, & ARC500. A new version of ScanControl has just been released that works with this scanner.

The Radio Shack Pro-106 is back at it's regular price, $499.99. A few days ago, it was on sale, for the second time in two months, at $299.99. Radio Shack includes a printed manual in their version, but does not include the pc cable ($32) or ac adapter (another $20+) that cones with the 500.

If, instead of a handheld, you also are considering a base/mobile unit, the equivalent units are:

Uniden- BCD996T
GRE- PSR-600
Radio Shack- Pro-197

29377. Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 07:20:46 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29375 Message: 29377

hiegtx wrote:

>> I would like your opinions if I should buy a digital unit & the best
>> one for ease of use & programming.

Like others have posted, it's all a matter of
personal preference considering all the
bells and whistles of the Uniden 396 or
PSR-500. I personally love my GRE. Very
nice radio. Love the NAC capability for
decode and search. Works perfectly in
that regard for DPS and the federal users
that have gone digital. Does it have more
than what I really need?. Yes, in many regards
it does. I would say I (at present) only use
maybe 20% of the total capacity and extras
of this radio. But my feeling is "more is better"
(even if not used at the moment), especially
in the ever changing environment of radio
technology. It always best to already have a
"ready" radio for changes, rather than having
to sit back and listen to silence or that
aggravating buzzsaw just because you don't
have the proper radio for what you want to monitor.

Blaine

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.0/1865 - Release Date: 12/26/2008 1:01 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29378. RE: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 07:38:17 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29375 Message: 29378

Sir, let me be frank, and perhaps save you a great deal of money. If you couldn't figure out how to use the Uniden 246T and only took it back to the store after only one day, I suggest and submit that purchasing the Uniden BCD396T or the GRE PSR-500 would be ill-advised. Both units are just as sophisticated as the 246, but with a lot more memory and features. If you and your wife really want to do with a scanner what you say you want to do, it will entail a great deal of study and practice in order to tap into the features of the radio you choose and make it do what you want it to do. And as you have found out and stated below, there is no such thing as a factory programmed frequency load that fits everyone's needs. The factory loads are great for beginners but are only a basis for further study and experimentation. Nearly everyone on this list, including myself, whom have been scanning for many years, are all still learning and constantly figuring out better ways to make our units work to our advantage. And thus the reason in part for this list. There is a significant learning curve that all of us had to overcome when the new dynamic memory system was introduced by Uniden, and then there was another learning curve we all had to straddle when GRE came out with the new object oriented scanning method in their new digital scanners. I fully encourage you and your wife to delve into this hobby with both feet, but it is like every other hobby, it will take a considerable amount of study. Gone are the days of inserting a crystal or punching in a frequency to listen to your local PD or FD. Should you choose to make the plunge into the hobby again, however, we will be standing by and willing to help all we can.

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of allenw75035
Sent: Friday, December 26, 2008 11:15 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

I am new to scanning and bought a unident 246 & returned it after 1 day for 2 reasons: it only picked up one frequency - from CA!. Nothing from TX or DFW would come in from the factory programming. It was very hard for me to program or understand how to use.

I would like your opinions if I should buy a digital unit & the best one for ease of use & programming. My wife wants one mainly to listen to the severe weather alerts. She doesn't want a NOAA radio since all it does is sound an alert then play a recorded warning. She wants to be

able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD or some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in relation to where we live. A portable unit is preferred for use when the power is out. We were wondering of the Unident 396T is better than the GRE PSR-500. Any other suggestions are appreciated. Thank you for your

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29379. Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 14:14:04 UTC
From: jason carr
Topic: 29375 Message: 29379

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "allenw75035" <allenw75035@...> wrote:

> I am new to scanning and bought a unident 246 & returned it after 1 day
> for 2 reasons: it only picked up one frequency - from CA!. Nothing from
> TX or DFW would come in from the factory programming. It was very hard
> for me to program or understand how to use.

My take is that most trunking units are difficult to program without software, and most will not be terribly useful without programming.

I have found that the 246 plus some freeware like bctool or FreeSCAN (my personal favorite) is just about as easy as it gets. Their ability to auto-format freq/site/talkgroup info from the clipboard (ie, copied from a website) is a Giant Leap Forward. I am sure the commercial software does this too, but I am too cheap to find out.

I would think that someone that is not comfortable with programming trunking scanners might buy a subscription to RadioReference and let software import whole systems in one gulp. I understand this works, but again, too cheap to find out when there are free solutions out there. But it would be worth the money for someone that doesn't want to doodle around with it.

[BTW, after writing this email I loaded up RadioReference and Freescan; working at my normal pre-coffee rate it took less than three minutes to find McKinney at RR, set up the McKinney trunked site, the four main groups (PD, FD, Public Service, and Princeton) with all the talkgroups, everything clearly labeled and organized, and saved to an external file. I'll upload it to the files section (if I can figure that out) in case it is of any use.]

29380. Re: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 14:50:55 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29375 Message: 29380

Allen:

I replied to your post on RadioReference. The BC246T comes preprogrammed with a wide range of systems nationwide. There are instructions starting on page 116 explaining how to make the DFW area preprogrammed stuff more accessible (or whichever area you are wanting to receive). Additionally, there are extensive sets of programming for the scanner in the files section of this group.

You only need a digital scanner if you are interested in hearing one of the following DFW systems:

* Grand Prairie
* Mansfield
* Texas DPS
* DFW TSA

(There might be a few more, but those are the major ones.)

The files in the file section will also work on the BCD396T, but you will have to spend some time with the manual or other resources (see "Uniden Scanners for the New User") before you'll be able to get the most out of whatever you get.

From: allenw75035 <allenw75035@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 26, 2008 11:14:40 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

I am new to scanning and bought a unident 246 & returned it after 1 day for 2 reasons: it only picked up one frequency - from CA!. Nothing from TX or DFW would come in from the factory programming. It was very hard for me to program or understand how to use.

I would like your opinions if I should buy a digital unit & the best one for ease of use & programming. My wife wants one mainly to listen to the severe weather alerts. She doesn't want a NOAA radio since all it does is sound an alert then play a recorded warning. She wants to be able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD or some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in relation to where we live. A portable unit is preferred for use when the power is out. We were wondering of the Unident 396T is better than the GRE PSR-500. Any other suggestions are appreciated. Thank you for your assistance.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29381. Re: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 14:52:23 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29375 Message: 29381

My link didn't link: Uniden Scanners for the New User

From: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 27, 2008 8:50:55 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Allen:

I replied to your post on RadioReference. The BC246T comes preprogrammed with a wide range of systems nationwide. There are instructions starting on page 116 explaining how to make the DFW area preprogrammed stuff more accessible (or whichever area you are wanting to receive). Additionally, there are extensive sets of programming for the scanner in the files section of this group.

You only need a digital scanner if you are interested in hearing one of the following DFW systems:

* Grand Prairie
* Mansfield
* Texas DPS
* DFW TSA

(There might be a few more, but those are the major ones.)

The files in the file section will also work on the BCD396T, but you will have to spend some time with the manual or other resources (see "Uniden Scanners for the New User") before you'll be able to get the most out of whatever you get.

From: allenw75035 <allenw75035@ yahoo.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Sent: Friday, December 26, 2008 11:14:40 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

I am new to scanning and bought a unident 246 & returned it after 1 day
for 2 reasons: it only picked up one frequency - from CA!. Nothing from
TX or DFW would come in from the factory programming. It was very hard
for me to program or understand how to use.

I would like your opinions if I should buy a digital unit & the best
one for ease of use & programming. My wife wants one mainly to listen
to the severe weather alerts. She doesn't want a NOAA radio since all
it does is sound an alert then play a recorded warning. She wants to be
able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD or
some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in relation to

where we live. A portable unit is preferred for use when the power is out. We were wondering of the Unident 396T is better than the GRE PSR-500. Any other suggestions are appreciated. Thank you for your assistance.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29382. Re: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 15:03:47 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29375 Message: 29382

AAAAArgh. http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=128991

From: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 27, 2008 8:52:23 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

My link didn't link: Uniden Scanners for the New User

From: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@yahoo. com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Sent: Saturday, December 27, 2008 8:50:55 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Allen:

I replied to your post on RadioReference. The BC246T comes preprogrammed with a wide range of systems nationwide. There are instructions starting on page 116 explaining how to make the DFW area preprogrammed stuff more accessible (or whichever area you are wanting to receive). Additionally, there are extensive sets of programming for the scanner in the files section of this group.

You only need a digital scanner if you are interested in hearing one of the following DFW systems:
* Grand Prairie
* Mansfield
* Texas DPS
* DFW TSA

(There might be a few more, but those are the major ones.)

The files in the file section will also work on the BCD396T, but you will have to spend some time with the manual or other resources (see "Uniden Scanners for the New User") before you'll be able to get the most out of whatever you get.

From: allenw75035 <allenw75035@ yahoo.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Sent: Friday, December 26, 2008 11:14:40 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

I am new to scanning and bought a unident 246 & returned it after 1 day
for 2 reasons: it only picked up one frequency - from CA!. Nothing from
TX or DFW would come in from the factory programming. It was very hard

for me to program or understand how to use.

I would like your opinions if I should buy a digital unit & the best one for ease of use & programming. My wife wants one mainly to listen to the severe weather alerts. She doesn't want a NOAA radio since all it does is sound an alert then play a recorded warning. She wants to be able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD or some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in relation to where we live. A portable unit is preferred for use when the power is out. We were wondering of the Unident 396T is better than the GRE PSR-500. Any other suggestions are appreciated. Thank you for your assistance.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29383. Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 15:27:02 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29375 Message: 29383

And for what it is worth, do not rely on listening to pd/fd for current weather information. Your best bet after receving a weather alert is to listen to the amateur radio frequencies for your area. The storm spotters are trained and know what to look for. They are in constant contact with the NWS. As a former pd/fd dispatcher, I can assure you that dispatchers are not trained as storm spotters, and are usually the last to know anything. The storm will be long gone before they decide to activate the emergency sirens or pass on weather bulletins received via tty. Remember, you are in "good ole boy" country, and there is a lot of bureacratic bs to wade through before anything gets done. Even in life/death situations.

-Tracey

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "allenw75035" <allenw75035@...> wrote:
She wants to be
> able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD
or
> some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in
relation to
> where we live.

29384. Re: for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 15:46:43 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29375 Message: 29384

jason carr wrote:

> it took less than
> three minutes to find McKinney at RR, set up the McKinney trunked
> site, the four main groups (PD, FD, Public Service, and Princeton)
> with all the talkgroups, everything clearly labeled and organized,

I agree with Jason. It's very simple to
download systems from RR to your scanner,
even if it's just for sh**s and grins, just to
see what you can pick up.

Blaine

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.0/1865 - Release Date: 12/26/2008 1:01 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29385. Re: [dfwscan] Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 15:47:26 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 29375 Message: 29385

Back when the tornado hit Fort Worth we were driving and heard the sirens. Turned on the scanner and the NWS wasn't really saying anything specific. Switched over to the weather net and put the car radio on WBAP. Got more info in a timely manner and found out where not to be.

Tracey W. Singleton wrote:
> And for what it is worth, do not rely on listening to pd/fd for
> current weather information. Your best bet after receving a weather
> alert is to listen to the amateur radio frequencies for your area.
> The storm spotters are trained and know what to look for. They are in
> constant contact with the NWS. As a former pd/fd dispatcher, I can
> assure you that dispatchers are not trained as storm spotters, and
> are usually the last to know anything. The storm will be long gone
> before they decide to activate the emergency sirens or pass on
> weather bulletins received via tty. Remember, you are in "good ole
> boy" country, and there is a lot of bureacratic bs to wade through
> before anything gets done. Even in life/death situations.
>
>

29386. Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 15:49:33 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29375 Message: 29386

Paul Opitz wrote:

> AAAAArgh. http://www.radioreference.com/forums/showthread.php?t=128991

Hey Paul, bookmark TinyURL:

http://tinyurl.com/

Works very well brother.....:) No more aaaarghs....

Blaine

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.0/1865 - Release Date: 12/26/2008 1:01 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29387. Re: [dfwscan] Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 15:54:01 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29375 Message: 29387

From: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...>
To: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
Sent: Saturday, December 27, 2008 9:53:33 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Yep. I heard "Tornado on the ground, Arch Adams and West 7th" on 146.94 MHz (the Tarrant County RACES/SkyWarn frequency). Had everyone on our floor of Tandy Center into the stairwells before the WX alert went off (by then, the tornado was basically on top of Tandy Center).

If just for severe weather tracking, the BC370CRS work great. It will trigger an alert from NOAA or, if you leave it on an FM or AM station when you turn it off, it will trigger if an EAS alert goes out over the broadcast station. Then you can switch it over to scan mode to listen to the weather spotters report the live conditions to the weather service.

From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
To: DFWSCAN <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 27, 2008 9:47:26 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

Back when the tornado hit Fort Worth we were driving and heard the
sirens. Turned on the scanner and the NWS wasn't really saying anything
specific. Switched over to the weather net and put the car radio on
WBAP. Got more info in a timely manner and found out where not to be.

Tracey W. Singleton wrote:
> And for what it is worth, do not rely on listening to pd/fd for
> current weather information. Your best bet after receving a weather
> alert is to listen to the amateur radio frequencies for your area.
> The storm spotters are trained and know what to look for. They are in
> constant contact with the NWS. As a former pd/fd dispatcher, I can
> assure you that dispatchers are not trained as storm spotters, and
> are usually the last to know anything. The storm will be long gone
> before they decide to activate the emergency sirens or pass on
> weather bulletins received via tty. Remember, you are in "good ole
> boy" country, and there is a lot of bureacratic bs to wade through
> before anything gets done. Even in life/death situations.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29388. Re: [dfwscan] Re: weather reports

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 17:54:05 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 29388 Message: 29388

I did have an experience during one of the bad weather events during the past year that contrasted with Mr. Singleton's thoughts below. As the weather deteriorated in Dallas, I began by listening to the RACES reports, but I found more specific information by listening to Dallas PD.

The RACES reports are limited by the locations of their observers; most tend to be at or near their home in mostly mid- and upper-income neighborhoods, with a few out in their vehicles. The Dallas PD units were all over town giving reports to their respective dispatchers that included street intersections.

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

_____
From: Tracey W. Singleton <tracey.singleton@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 27, 2008 9:27:02 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?

And for what it is worth, do not rely on listening to pd/fd for
current weather information. Your best bet after receving a weather
alert is to listen to the amateur radio frequencies for your area.
The storm spotters are trained and know what to look for. They are in
constant contact with the NWS. As a former pd/fd dispatcher, I can
assure you that dispatchers are not trained as storm spotters, and
are usually the last to know anything. The storm will be long gone
before they decide to activate the emergency sirens or pass on
weather bulletins received via tty. Remember, you are in "good ole
boy" country, and there is a lot of bureacratic bs to wade through
before anything gets done. Even in life/death situations.

-Tracey

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "allenw75035" <allenw75035@ ...> wrote:
She wants to be
> able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD
or
> some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in
relation to
> where we live.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29389. Re: [dfwscan] Re: weather reports

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 17:56:34 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29388 Message: 29389

I don't really see the contrast. Most cops and firefighters don't know
their wall cloud from scud.

Any report from them should be taken with a huge grain of salt.

Lee Shell wrote:
> I did have an experience during one of the bad weather events during the past year that contrasted with Mr. Singleton's thoughts below. As the weather deteriorated in Dallas, I began by listening to the RACES reports, but I found more specific information by listening to Dallas PD.
>
> The RACES reports are limited by the locations of their observers; most tend to be at or near their home in mostly mid- and upper-income neighborhoods, with a few out in their vehicles. The Dallas PD units were all over town giving reports to their respective dispatchers that included street intersections.
>
> --
> Lee B Shell
> Dallas
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: Tracey W. Singleton <tracey.singleton@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, December 27, 2008 9:27:02 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?
>
>
> And for what it is worth, do not rely on listening to pd/fd for
> current weather information. Your best bet after receving a weather
> alert is to listen to the amateur radio frequencies for your area.
> The storm spotters are trained and know what to look for. They are in
> constant contact with the NWS. As a former pd/fd dispatcher, I can
> assure you that dispatchers are not trained as storm spotters, and
> are usually the last to know anything. The storm will be long gone
> before they decide to activate the emergency sirens or pass on
> weather bulletins received via tty. Remember, you are in "good ole
> boy" country, and there is a lot of bureacratic bs to wade through
> before anything gets done. Even in life/death situations.
>
> -Tracey
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "allenw75035" <allenw75035@ ...> wrote:

> She wants to be
>> able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD
> or
>> some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in
> relation to
>> where we live.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

29390. Re: [dfwscan] Re: weather reports

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 17:59:47 UTC
From: kd5tvf@gmail.com
Topic: 29388 Message: 29390

Probably why they had more reports...
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

-----Original Message-----
From: Jay Urish <jay@...>

Date: Sat, 27 Dec 2008 11:56:34
To: Lee Shell<leebshell@...>
Cc: Tracey W. Singleton<tracey.singleton@...>; <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: weather reports

I don't really see the contrast. Most cops and firefighters don't know
their wall cloud from scud.

Any report from them should be taken with a huge grain of salt.

Lee Shell wrote:
> I did have an experience during one of the bad weather events during the past year that contrasted with Mr. Singleton's thoughts below. As the weather deteriorated in Dallas, I began by listening to the RACES reports, but I found more specific information by listening to Dallas PD.
>
> The RACES reports are limited by the locations of their observers; most tend to be at or near their home in mostly mid- and upper-income neighborhoods, with a few out in their vehicles. The Dallas PD units were all over town giving reports to their respective dispatchers that included street intersections.
>
> --
> Lee B Shell
> Dallas
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: Tracey W. Singleton <tracey.singleton@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, December 27, 2008 9:27:02 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: recommended scanner for Mckinney area -Uniden 396T or GRE PSR-500?
>
>
> And for what it is worth, do not rely on listening to pd/fd for
> current weather information. Your best bet after receving a weather
> alert is to listen to the amateur radio frequencies for your area.
> The storm spotters are trained and know what to look for. They are in
> constant contact with the NWS. As a former pd/fd dispatcher, I can

> assure you that dispatchers are not trained as storm spotters, and
> are usually the last to know anything. The storm will be long gone
> before they decide to activate the emergency sirens or pass on
> weather bulletins received via tty. Remember, you are in "good ole
> boy" country, and there is a lot of bureacratic bs to wade through
> before anything gets done. Even in life/death situations.
>
> -Tracey
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "allenw75035" <allenw75035@ ...> wrote:
> She wants to be
>> able to get the weather alert, then be able to tune to the FD or PD
> or
>> some frequency to determine where the severe weather is, in
> relation to
>> where we live.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29391. Re: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-27 19:48:07 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 29366 Message: 29391

I am hearing them talk to medic 901 on that frequency and medic 906. I
have not heard medic 811 yet.

Bob wrote:
>
> You are correct 900 is JC, 800 is Ellis, and 700's are Tarrant County. The
> 700 truck right now is a transfer truck out of Methodist Mansfield. They
> come down to JC now and again to help out.
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> commscan
> Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 7:59 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?
>
> So Ellis County uses the 800's numbers then. Thanks for that information
> Bob. I was hearing the 900's numbers on 464.1/PL 123.0 (CERN), which
> if I'm
> correct, are the Johnson County Ground guys.
>
> I sure wish we'd get a lot more Passport users going back to the ole
> reliable conventional frequencies :)
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> Bob
> Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 12:57 PM
> To: nd5y@... <mailto:nd5y%40yahoo.com>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?
>
> I believe that is the new Ellis county freq. You will probably hear
> M811 on
> that.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29392. Re: CareFlite on 462.175?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-28 02:12:01 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29366 Message: 29392

901 and 906 are Johnson County medic units. Although you may already know that.

I sure wish they would get back on the LTR system. Reception on 462.175 is spotty down here. At least from my observation. While over at my mom & dad's house in Keene this evening, I could hear CareFlite real well. The reception wasn't as good once I got back into Cleburne. I can barely pick them up on my base scanner.

I surprised they aren't using 463.200. They had used that once before as a back-up, and to the best of my recollection, reception was way better. I sure hope the medics are having better luck than I am.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Justin Lentz <justinlentz@...> wrote:
>
> I am hearing them talk to medic 901 on that frequency and medic 906. I
> have not heard medic 811 yet.
>
> Bob wrote:
> >
> > You are correct 900 is JC, 800 is Ellis, and 700's are Tarrant
County. The
> > 700 truck right now is a transfer truck out of Methodist
Mansfield. They
> > come down to JC now and again to help out.
> >
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> > [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> > Behalf Of
> > commscan
> > Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 7:59 PM
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> > Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?
> >
> > So Ellis County uses the 800's numbers then. Thanks for that
information
> > Bob. I was hearing the 900's numbers on 464.1/PL 123.0 (CERN), which
> > if I'm
> > correct, are the Johnson County Ground guys.
> >
> > I sure wish we'd get a lot more Passport users going back to the ole

> > reliable conventional frequencies :)
> >
> > Brian
> > Ft. Worth, TX
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> > [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> > Behalf Of
> > Bob
> > Sent: Thursday, December 25, 2008 12:57 PM
> > To: nd5y@... <mailto:nd5y%40yahoo.com>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> > Subject: RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite on 462.175?
> >
> > I believe that is the new Ellis county freq. You will probably hear
> > M811 on
> > that.
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29393. Re: Scanning AMR

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-28 04:23:41 UTC
From: kerr-chris3
Topic: 29374 Message: 29393

If you are interested in the AMR frequencies for Collin county and hunt county they are as followed:
Collin county AMR frequency
453.07500
On that you will hear AMR Anna (901), Princeton (902), Farmersville (903), and Josephine (905)

The hunt County frequency is:
154.09250

That dispatches hunt county ems which is AMR and also many of the fire departments.

29394. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Scanning AMR, Prosper?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-28 04:44:52 UTC
From: Bob Tickner
Topic: 29394 Message: 29394

I am interested in what frequencies and systems the Town of Prosper in Collin County uses. I see on Radioreference there are 3 talkgroups on the Plano TRS System and one Fire talkgroup on the Collin County TRS system. Are there others, city services, ambulance services, ham repeaters, school district that I may have over looked?
Thanks for any input.
Bob
Bob Tickner
Denton,TX
btickner@...

On Sun, 28 Dec 2008 04:23:41 -0000 "kerr-chris3"
<kerr-chris3@...> writes:
> If you are interested in the AMR frequencies for Collin county and
> hunt county they are as followed:
> Collin county AMR frequency
> 453.07500
> On that you will hear AMR Anna (901), Princeton (902), Farmersville
> (903), and Josephine (905)
>
> The hunt County frequency is:
> 154.09250
>
> That dispatches hunt county ems which is AMR and also many of the
> fire
> departments.
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2Yahoo! Groups
> Links
>
>
>
>
>

Bob Tickner
Denton,TX
btickner@...

_____
Save $15 on Flowers and Gifts from FTD!
Shop now at http://offers.juno.com/TGL1141/?u=http://www.ftd.com/17007

29395. Weekly FCC grants December 21-27

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-28 12:20:33 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29395 Message: 29395

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29396. Interesting License - RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants December 21-27

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-28 13:50:26 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29395 Message: 29396

This has to be one of the more interesting descriptions I've seen on an FCC license. I didn't realize they were built so close to home.
Ben

IG WQJT255
SPACE EXPLORATION TECHNOLOGIES
[COMPANY DESIGNS & BUILDS SPACESHIPS TO TRANSPORT CARGO & ASTRONAUTS TO THE INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION.]
Control Point 1 - 1 ROCKET ROAD, MCGREGOR TX 254-840-5731
(link)
<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3065865>
12/22/2008 License Issued
1 - 1 ROCKET ROAD, MCGREGOR (MCLENNAN) TX (map)
<http://maps.google.com/maps?q=31.399333,-97.4472222>
451.55 FB 35e 11K0F3E 7K60FXE
451.9125 FB2 52e 11K0F3E 7K60FXE
2 - 9.0 km radius around fixed location 1
451.55 MO 4e 11K0F3E
3 - 32.0 km radius around fixed location 1
451.9125 MO 40e 7K60FXE
456.9125 MO 40e 7K60FXE

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29397. Re: Interesting License - RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants December 21-27

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-28 14:27:01 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29395 Message: 29397

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> This has to be one of the more interesting descriptions I've seen on an FCC
> license. I didn't realize they were built so close to home.
> Ben
>
>
> IG WQJT255
> SPACE EXPLORATION TECHNOLOGIES
> [COMPANY DESIGNS & BUILDS SPACESHIPS TO TRANSPORT CARGO & ASTRONAUTS TO THE
> INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION.]
> Control Point 1 - 1 ROCKET ROAD, MCGREGOR TX 254-840-5731
> (link)
> <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3065865>
> 12/22/2008 License Issued
> 1 - 1 ROCKET ROAD, MCGREGOR (MCLENNAN) TX (map)
> <http://maps.google.com/maps?q=31.399333,-97.4472222>
> 451.55 FB 35e 11K0F3E 7K60FXE
> 451.9125 FB2 52e 11K0F3E 7K60FXE
> 2 - 9.0 km radius around fixed location 1
> 451.55 MO 4e 11K0F3E
> 3 - 32.0 km radius around fixed location 1
> 451.9125 MO 40e 7K60FXE
> 456.9125 MO 40e 7K60FXE
>
>
Here is their site:
http://www.spacex.com/
Very interesting. That is their McGregor Test Facility. HQ is in L.A.

--
Glenn Mitchell

29398. Re: [dfwscan] Southwest Regional MPT1327

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-29 00:10:52 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 29342 Message: 29398

I?talked to few Desoto Officers today and they stated the system is trash. According to them all the entities were given the radios but no one will use them,
?they rather put up the the problems theve have on the VHF freqs.

-----Original Message-----
From: jeffkbeck <jeffkbeck@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sat, 20 Dec 2008 9:52 pm
Subject: [dfwscan] Southwest Regional MPT1327

For those that might be interested, I've been tinkering with TrunkView on the new Southwest Regional MPT1327 system. I've been able to confirm Cedar Hill and Desoto site information. I have not heard anything other than police traffic, and it appears that most of the traffic is focused in Desoto. However, the sites seem to be simulcasting.

Desoto
Site ID 0D91
Base Frequency 449.9875
Step 12.5

Channel # and Frequency
270 453.3625
272 453.3875
282 453.5215
288 453.5875
302 453.7625 (currently the control channel as well)

Cedar Hill
Site ID 0D99
Base Frequency 454.9875
Step 12.5

Channel # and Frequency
404 460.0375
414 460.1625
420 460.2375
426 460.3125
438 460.4625 (currently the control channel as well)

Group 002 seems to be the PD group.
Radio ID 614 appears to be the dispatch console.
ID 2005 appears to be the ID for the PD primary.

Once I figured out how to set the sites and profiles correctly in Trunkview, Trunkview has been working great in controlling my scanner

and following the conversation.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29399. Re: Southwest Regional MPT1327

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-29 00:21:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29342 Message: 29399

cwrong@... wrote:

> talked to few Desoto Officers today and they stated the system is trash.

Hah! Let the snickers begin.....

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.1/1867 - Release Date: 12/28/2008 2:23 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29400. Wall warts

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-30 14:28:58 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29400 Message: 29400

As a new amateur, KE5YPB, I find that ham equipment is just as expensive as scanning equipment. I need a wall wart capable of charging 6 rechargeable AA batteries at once. Someone give me the volts and milliamps that I need in a wall wart to charge these batteries in 12 hours or less.

Thanks, Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29401. Re: Wall warts

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-30 18:23:14 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 29400 Message: 29401

Why not save yourself some trouble and just go to your local big box store and buy a battery charger? Some can charge in as little as 15 minutes. Otherwise you would need to build a charging circuit to go along with that wall wart.

Chance (KC5EOK)

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Sarge" <smd_1@...> wrote:
>
> As a new amateur, KE5YPB, I find that ham equipment is just as expensive
> as scanning equipment. I need a wall wart capable of charging 6 rechargeable
> AA batteries at once. Someone give me the volts and milliamps that I need in
> a wall wart to charge these batteries in 12 hours or less.
>
> Thanks, Sarge
>
>
>
> CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
> Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
> Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
> <http://www.cyberdefender.com>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29402. Funny

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-30 22:34:01 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 26420 Message: 29402

Scanner locked up on the DCARA repeater
the other day (can't remember if .92
or .17) and when it gave the status ID the
electronic voice said " the time is....the
clock needs resetting..." :)

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.1/1869 - Release Date: 12/30/2008 12:06 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29403. Frequency confirms

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 02:04:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29403 Message: 29403

Hi all -

Can anyone confirm any of these
as actually being in operation at the
present time?

151.07750 173.8 TXDOT DECATUR 151.09250 173.8 TXDOT
GREENVILLE/N COLLIN CO
151.100 173.8 TXDOT EULESS/MID CITIES
159.165 TXDOT GAINESVILLE

Also, Tom ND5Y, I believe you had confirmed
151.055 as TXDOT truck to truck in Palo
Pinto and Parker County. However, to this day
I have never heard a peep while mobile or
base throughout Dallas, Tarrant, Collin, or
Denton counties.

Lastly, has anyone heard any recent activity on
the Corsicana radar site frequency of 162.150
PL 103.5?

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.1/1869 - Release Date: 12/30/2008 12:06 PM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29404. Difficulties receiving Wylie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 02:44:06 UTC
From: S S
Topic: 29404 Message: 29404

I'm here in Murphy and would think that I would have no problems hearing Wylie, as Dallas is a piece of cake. My radio is a PSR-500 with the Radio Shack telescoping antenna. I programmed the system frequencies into the proper "channel slots", turned on the LTR auto-place option, and added a wildcard talkgroup so that I would catch any/all activity. Any attenuation is turned off. Unfortunately, I rarely anything at break the squelch. Any suggestions would be appreciated.

-Rick

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29405. RE: [dfwscan] Difficulties receiving Wylie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 02:59:11 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 29404 Message: 29405

Hello Rick and the Group!
I think, IMHO, that you need to tell us WHO and/or WHAT, are you trying to listen to in WYLIE; AND also what brand/model of receiver and type of antenna you are using for this purpose.
We will get you there!
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
EchoLink Node: 350616
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: [dfwscan] Difficulties receiving Wylie
> From: "S S" <ske1eter@...>
> Date: Tue, December 30, 2008 8:44 pm
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> I'm here in Murphy and would think that I would have no problems hearing
> Wylie, as Dallas is a piece of cake. My radio is a PSR-500 with the Radio
> Shack telescoping antenna. I programmed the system frequencies into the
> proper "channel slots", turned on the LTR auto-place option, and added a
> wildcard talkgroup so that I would catch any/all activity. Any attenuation
> is turned off. Unfortunately, I rarely anything at break the squelch. Any
> suggestions would be appreciated.
> -Rick

29406. Dallas Air 1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 03:01:44 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29406 Message: 29406

Air 1 is circling my apartments, DPD CAD doesnt show anything at this time for this area. Anyone have any ideas what they are searching for? Been here for about 20 minutes. A shooting came out just now, but Air 1 was up before the shooting happened.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29407. Re: Difficulties receiving Wylie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 03:10:52 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 29404 Message: 29407

I am listening to the Wylie LTR system right now from Sachse with no problems. The system can be pretty silent sometimes, but right now there is a medical call and disturbance working, so both PD and FD channels are active. Sorry, I don't have a PSR-500 to help further.

I am using the information from
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=4649

-Chance

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "S S" <ske1eter@...> wrote:
>
> I'm here in Murphy and would think that I would have no problems hearing
> Wylie, as Dallas is a piece of cake. My radio is a PSR-500 with the Radio
> Shack telescoping antenna. I programmed the system frequencies into the
> proper "channel slots", turned on the LTR auto-place option, and added a
> wildcard talkgroup so that I would catch any/all activity. Any attenuation
> is turned off. Unfortunately, I rarely anything at break the squelch. Any
> suggestions would be appreciated.
>
> -Rick
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29408. RE: [dfwscan] Difficulties receiving Wylie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 03:27:44 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 29404 Message: 29408

I think, IMHO, that you should try reading the post before replying to it.

One would assume that he is attemping to listen to Wylie PD/FD, since they’re probably the only LTR system in Wylie proper.

He’s already stated he’s using a PSR-500 with the telescoping antenna.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2008 08:59 PM
To: S S
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Difficulties receiving Wylie

Hello Rick and the Group!
I think, IMHO, that you need to tell us WHO and/or WHAT, are you trying
to listen to in WYLIE; AND also what brand/model of receiver and type of
antenna you are using for this purpose.
We will get you there!
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the
Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
EchoLink Node: 350616
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: [dfwscan] Difficulties receiving Wylie
> From: "S S" <ske1eter@... <mailto:ske1eter%40gmail.com> >
> Date: Tue, December 30, 2008 8:44 pm
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> I'm here in Murphy and would think that I would have no problems hearing
> Wylie, as Dallas is a piece of cake. My radio is a PSR-500 with the Radio
> Shack telescoping antenna. I programmed the system frequencies into the
> proper "channel slots", turned on the LTR auto-place option, and added a
> wildcard talkgroup so that I would catch any/all activity. Any attenuation
> is turned off. Unfortunately, I rarely anything at break the squelch. Any
> suggestions would be appreciated.
> -Rick

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29409. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Air 1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 03:33:33 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 29406 Message: 29409

Air 1 was already up north for 2 robberies, one around Medical City,
one on a business on the north Dallas end of the Tollway.

Sounds like 1 DOA, 4 to Parkland.

At 09:01 PM 12/30/2008, you wrote:

>Air 1 is circling my apartments, DPD CAD doesnt show anything at this time
>for this area. Anyone have any ideas what they are searching for? Been here
>for about 20 minutes. A shooting came out just now, but Air 1 was up before
>the shooting happened.

29410. FS: Pro-106 - $350

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 04:16:19 UTC
From: Jay Sanford
Topic: 29410 Message: 29410

Pro-106 (RS's equivalent to the GRE PSR-500).

Specs here:
http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=3348287

In "like-new" condition with antenna, belt clip, yellow battery
holder, black battery holder, all printed materials.

Local DFW area only.

Cash only.

jayfurd@...

Jay
Lewisville, Texas

29411. Re: Difficulties receiving Wylie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 04:48:04 UTC
From: skeeter_1
Topic: 29404 Message: 29411

Hmm, I guess I'll remove the system from the radio and re-program it
back in, but I'm pretty sure I've done that at least twice before. I,
too, am using the info off of Radio Reference. Hopefully, someone with
a PSR-500 will be able to chime in and let me know what I've screwed up.

Thanks for the replies.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chance Lindsey" <chance1@...> wrote:
>
> I am listening to the Wylie LTR system right now from Sachse with no
> problems. The system can be pretty silent sometimes, but right now
> there is a medical call and disturbance working, so both PD and FD
> channels are active. Sorry, I don't have a PSR-500 to help further.
>
> I am using the information from
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=4649
>
> -Chance
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "S S" <ske1eter@> wrote:
> >
> > I'm here in Murphy and would think that I would have no problems
> hearing
> > Wylie, as Dallas is a piece of cake. My radio is a PSR-500 with the
> Radio
> > Shack telescoping antenna. I programmed the system frequencies into
> the
> > proper "channel slots", turned on the LTR auto-place option, and
> added a
> > wildcard talkgroup so that I would catch any/all activity. Any
> attenuation
> > is turned off. Unfortunately, I rarely anything at break the
> squelch. Any
> > suggestions would be appreciated.
> >
> > -Rick
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>

29412. RE: [dfwscan] Difficulties receiving Wylie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 05:19:16 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 29404 Message: 29412

Hello again Group!
This was just a little test to see if ANYONE was paying attention.....
:-}!
No need to get excited.....
Lots to listen to in Wylie besides LTR....some of us may not even know
the "meanings/functions" of what "channel slots", "auto-place option",
and "wildcard talkgroup"..... Part of DFWSCAN's existance is to help
others use their radios.....I use my PSR-500 to listen to Wylie's local
lawn-mower companies,(non-LTR)talk about where the long grass is
growing..... <G>
Happy Listening!
Charlie

29413. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas Air 1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 06:51:08 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29406 Message: 29413

Shooting at the GAS PIPE, a headshop near the 18000 block of Marsh Lane. Two BMAs wearing dark clothing with masks, one with a yellow bandana, busted in and shot up the place. Apparently there were ten people in the shop at the time and five got hit, one expired at the scene. There was very little info. on the perps initially, and a motive was not broadcasted. Could it have been a robbery? Or was there another motive involved? Hmmmhhh, a head shop that sells drug paraphernalia..certainly wouldn't want to jump to any conclusions at this juncture. I'm certain the media will root out the entire story before the 5am show!!!

This incident came on the heels of a string of robberies of individuals in apartment parking lots by four BMAs along Dallas North Tollway. Starting around 6pm this evening Channel 2 had a sting of similar robberies (approximately 5) in the area of Audelia and Forest. Same MO and descriptions.

If you live in an apartment, have your head on a swivel as you walk to and from your ride in the parking lot, be very weary of seedy looking individuals just hangin round.

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Andrew Tucker
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2008 9:02 PM
To: Scanner DFW
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Air 1

Air 1 is circling my apartments, DPD CAD doesnt show anything at this time for this area. Anyone have any ideas what they are searching for? Been here for about 20 minutes. A shooting came out just now, but Air 1 was up before the shooting happened.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29414. Re: Frequency confirms

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 10:14:21 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29403 Message: 29414

I can only confirm these because these are programed in my radios,
And they are active as of the last "winter road" issue we had.

151.0025 (167.9) Tarrant County SO Courtesy Patrol
Also on FW TRS TCSO "SOPS1" (8528)

151.100 (173.8) Euless - ACTIVE but don't hear much traffic on it

151.0925 (167.9) Parker County - ACTIVE

151.0475 (unknown) MINERAL WELLS - ACTIVE

151.0775 (173.8) DECATUR - ACTIVE

151.0325 (173.8) GLEN ROSE - ACTIVE

151.055 (unknown) HILL COUNTY - ACTIVE

Havent heard any traffic on the Statewide VHF low bands yet, but we
havent had a major ice storm yet. According to the FCC these
frequencies are still ACTIVE with new licenses. You usually here the
sand trucks on these frequencies.

47.020 (TARRANT)
47.040
47.060
47.080
47.160
47.180
47.240
47.260
47.340

The next time we have a "major" ice situation, try to listen to all
above and see what traffic you hear.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Hi all -
>
> Can anyone confirm any of these
> as actually being in operation at the
> present time?

>
> 151.07750 173.8 TXDOT DECATUR 151.09250 173.8 TXDOT
> GREENVILLE/N COLLIN CO
> 151.100 173.8 TXDOT EULESS/MID CITIES
> 159.165 TXDOT GAINESVILLE
>
> Also, Tom ND5Y, I believe you had confirmed
> 151.055 as TXDOT truck to truck in Palo
> Pinto and Parker County. However, to this day
> I have never heard a peep while mobile or
> base throughout Dallas, Tarrant, Collin, or
> Denton counties.
>
> Lastly, has anyone heard any recent activity on
> the Corsicana radar site frequency of 162.150
> PL 103.5?
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>
> ----------
>
>
> ----------
>
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
> Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.1/1869 - Release Date:
12/30/2008 12:06 PM
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29415. Pro-528

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 15:39:30 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 29415 Message: 29415

If anyone has one please email me offlist.

29416. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Frequency confirms

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 15:55:33 UTC
From: nd5y@yahoo.com
Topic: 29403 Message: 29416

KC5RHB wrote:
> 151.0475 (unknown) MINERAL WELLS - ACTIVE

This repeater is located at the TxDOT facility south of Gordon. The PL is 173.8. They don't use it very often.

Most of the TxDOT vehicles out here in the Mineral Wells area have both high band and low band antennas but I haven't heard anything on low band in a couple years.

Tom

29417. DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 18:51:52 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29417 Message: 29417

Perhaps a sign of the times, or an excuse to cut budgets, our area is losing our 3 most experienced local ENG pilots today. Channels 4, 5, & 11 are dropping their turbine helicopter ENG programs effective today. The 3 affected pilots have more than 40,000 hours of combined flight time. Ken Arnold, Hector Cavazos, and Scott Wallace are all looking for aviation employment. Their stations will be replacing them with Robinson R-44 helicopters operated by Sky Helicopters. Sky Helicopters has offices and hangers at Garland Heliport. I mention this because none of the stations as far as I've seen have announced any of this to their viewers and probably won't, and it will be interesting to listen in on the media channels to see how smoothly the transition goes.

To all of you on this list: Happy New Year. Let's hope for a upswing (or perception thereof) in our economy and wish those who are searching for a new career the best of luck in finding one.

Mark

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29418. Re: [dfwscan] DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 19:07:14 UTC
From: Mike Heskett
Topic: 29417 Message: 29418

At 12:51 PM 12/31/2008, Mark Colborn wrote:
>Their stations will be replacing them with Robinson R-44
>helicopters

I am not familiar will all models of the Robinson, but the ones I
have seen seemed to be underpowered and unstable.
==/===/===/===/===/===/===/
Mike Heskett
WB5QLD
Hurst, TX
O-

29419. RE: [dfwscan] DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 19:42:40 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29417 Message: 29419

Actually Mike, the R-44 is very stable and is faster than a Bell 206B3 Jet Ranger in cruise. Chip Waggoner rode in one for several months on a trial basis after the Metro Traffic contracted FOX 4 ship (Jet Ranger) went down near the Joe Pool Dam last year. He told me on the radio one day he really liked it. SKY Helicopters has equipped several R-44s with small HD broadcast quality gyro-stabilized camera systems (the exact specifications I'm not sure of) and their pictures should be nearly as good as what the bigger ships are able to take now. You might be thinking of the R-22, the small two-place training helicopter. The R-44 is a four seater with a bigger Lycoming av-gas burning engine.

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mike Heskett
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2008 1:07 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

At 12:51 PM 12/31/2008, Mark Colborn wrote:
>Their stations will be replacing them with Robinson R-44
>helicopters

I am not familiar will all models of the Robinson, but the ones I have seen seemed to be underpowered and unstable.
==/===/===/===/===/===/===/
Mike Heskett
WB5QLD
Hurst, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29420. Re: [dfwscan] DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 21:34:50 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29417 Message: 29420

Those things are a joke. Like a hobbyist's helo.
---------- Original message from Mike Heskett <mheskett@...>: ----------

> >Their stations will be replacing them with Robinson R-44
> >helicopters
>
> I am not familiar will all models of the Robinson, but the ones I
> have seen seemed to be underpowered and unstable.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29421. Re: Frequency confirms

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 21:47:37 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29403 Message: 29421

nd5y@... wrote:

> Most of the TxDOT vehicles out here in the Mineral Wells area have both high
> band and low band antennas

The ones in Denton Co. that were assisting
with the FM 2499/FM 407 project all had
low, high band, and 800 pigtails.

Blaine

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.1/1870 - Release Date: 12/31/2008 8:44 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29422. RE: [dfwscan] DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 21:53:09 UTC
From: Mike Heskett
Topic: 29417 Message: 29422

At 01:42 PM 12/31/2008, Mark Colborn wrote:
>You might be thinking of the R-22, the small
>two-place training helicopter. The R-44 is a four seater with a bigger
>Lycoming av-gas burning engine.

I think I did see the R-22.
==/===/===/===/===/===/===/
Mike Heskett
WB5QLD
Hurst, TX
O-

29423. Re: Frequency confirms

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 21:54:00 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29403 Message: 29423

Thanks for the frequency confirms
on TXDOT high band.

I used to have these programmed
in our studio scanners back when
I was doing traffic, but that was
over three years ago. Since that
time, I really haven't monitored
TXDOT that much (or what
little can be monitored).

The last time I heard any activity
on low band was around that time
as well.

Blaine

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.1/1870 - Release Date: 12/31/2008 8:44 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29424. Re: [dfwscan] DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2008-12-31 22:11:40 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29417 Message: 29424

I was wondering what was going on. I had not seen Chip up in a chopper in a long time. Guess this is why?

Jason

From: Mark Colborn <kd5elf@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2008 1:42:40 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

Actually Mike, the R-44 is very stable and is faster than a Bell 206B3 Jet Ranger in cruise. Chip Waggoner rode in one for several months on a trial basis after the Metro Traffic contracted FOX 4 ship (Jet Ranger) went down near the Joe Pool Dam last year. He told me on the radio one day he really liked it. SKY Helicopters has equipped several R-44s with small HD broadcast quality gyro-stabilized camera systems (the exact specifications I'm not sure of) and their pictures should be nearly as good as what the bigger ships are able to take now. You might be thinking of the R-22, the small two-place training helicopter. The R-44 is a four seater with a bigger Lycoming av-gas burning engine.

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Mike Heskett
Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2008 1:07 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

At 12:51 PM 12/31/2008, Mark Colborn wrote:
>Their stations will be replacing them with Robinson R-44
>helicopters

I am not familiar will all models of the Robinson, but the ones I have seen seemed to be underpowered and unstable.
==/===/===/= ==/===/== =/===/
Mike Heskett
WB5QLD
Hurst, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29425. Re: [dfwscan] DFW Media Helicopter Monitoring

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-01 15:42:01 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29417 Message: 29425

On Wednesday, December 31, 2008 15:34, daweasel@... said:
>
> Those things are a joke. Like a hobbyist's helo.

Really? I'm curious how many hours you logged in a Robinson before coming to that conclusion.

Rob

29426. DPD Calls for Service

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-02 13:47:43 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 29426 Message: 29426

A while back, I bookmarked a location for DPD "non-dispositioned calls for service".

How can I look up the outcome or current status of a DPD incident from yesterday (robbery at Albertson's; Mockingbird at Abrams)?

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29427. Re: DPD Calls for Service

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-02 13:51:20 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 29426 Message: 29427

I believe this is the link for the site you are looking for:

http://66.97.146.94/dpdpublic/

There is a link to this on the front page of the main site at:
http://www.dallaspolice.net

-Chance

--- In dfwscan@...m, Lee Shell <leebshell@...> wrote:
>
> A while back, I bookmarked a location for DPD "non-dispositioned
calls for service".
>
> How can I look up the outcome or current status of a DPD incident
from yesterday (robbery at Albertson's; Mockingbird at Abrams)?
>
> --
> Lee B Shell
> Dallas
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29428. FS RCI-2950DX

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-02 16:40:48 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 29428 Message: 29428

I have a RCI-2950DX 10/11 meters transciever for sale. I have had the
radio for a number of years but barely use it anymore. Since I moved
to North Texas over two years ago it has just been collecting dust in
the closet. The radio works perfectly although the channel knob on
the radio is loose but still works. I am sure that the channel knob
looseness can be fixed but I haven't a clue how to go about fixing it.
If you are interested drop me a line in email for more information.
I am willing to take the best offer for it.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

29429. Ham Radio Class Feb 7/21 2009

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-02 17:56:26 UTC
From: David Johnson
Topic: 29429 Message: 29429

Scannable talk in freq for this class will be 147.16

We are planning a beginner level (TECHNICIAN CLASS) ham radio
licensing class
for Feb 7/21 (two Saturdays) at Silver Creek Baptist Church in Azle.
Anyone interested, let me know!

RSVP requested.

Class times will be 8:30am to 5pm.
No charge for the class. Free parking.
Optional License Exam will be administered 3pm Feb 21 and costs $15.

Church Address:
151 Ruth Lane
Azle, Texas 76020

Directions:
Texas 199 to Azle
South on FM 730 towards Weatherford
Intersection of Ruth Lane just south of Flat Rock Road.

Bring:
1) Can-do attitude!
2) Texas Driver's License or similar government issued Photo ID (for
exam only)
3) Note-taking equipment (notepad, pencils)
4) Sack lunch, snacks, beverages

Want to study in advance?
Use any comination of the free or purchase study materials listed at
http://www.wc5c.org/HamRadio/Training/tabid/352/Default.aspx
that suits you, all optional.

Any questions let me know:
David Johnson kb5ylg@...

29430. Celina FD - Signal 27 this morning 4AM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-03 10:32:27 UTC
From: Rev. Fr. George Hoxie, S.T.L., D.D.
Topic: 29430 Message: 29430

Celina FD woke me up driving by the house this morning headed to intersection of CR 88 and Hwy2478 (Custer). Reported "car in the ditch". First called for Care Flight but quickly canceled from scene reporting a "Signal 27". They asked for the Med Examiner and help in extraction.

I can only assume signal 27 means the person was dead on scene. Is that correct? Anyone have a list of codes used out here in Collin County?

G

29431. RE: [dfwscan] Celina FD - Signal 27 this morning 4AM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-03 12:48:01 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29430 Message: 29431

George, Signal 27 has been a deceased person in Dallas for many years. Some area fire departments, EMS and police departments use this even though it may not be an "official" code in their department. From bits of information I gathered (not confirmed) about this incident a passerby spotted a crashed car in the ditch of CR 88. Inside there was a deceased person.

Sorry, but unable to help you with an official list of codes up there.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rev. Fr. George Hoxie, S.T.L., D.D.
Sent: Saturday, January 03, 2009 4:32 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Celina FD - Signal 27 this morning 4AM

Celina FD woke me up driving by the house this morning headed to intersection of CR 88 and Hwy2478 (Custer). Reported "car in the ditch". First called for Care Flight but quickly canceled from scene reporting a "Signal 27". They asked for the Med Examiner and help in extraction.

I can only assume signal 27 means the person was dead on scene. Is that correct? Anyone have a list of codes used out here in Collin County?

G

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29433. TV Switch to Digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 00:29:35 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 29433 Message: 29433

How is the audio part of the digital television signal done?

Of course, until the end of analog television signals, the audio is a FM signal receivable by ordinary radios that can receive those frequencies.

Will the audio continue to be FM, or will it be incorporated into the digital video signal?

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29434. RE: [dfwscan] TV Switch to Digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 00:50:42 UTC
From: Barry Barge
Topic: 29433 Message: 29434

Digital, usually AC3 encoded multi-channel Dolby.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Lee Shell
Sent: Saturday, January 03, 2009 6:30 PM
To: DFW Scanning List
Subject: [dfwscan] TV Switch to Digital

How is the audio part of the digital television signal done?

Of course, until the end of analog television signals, the audio is a FM signal receivable by ordinary radios that can receive those frequencies.

Will the audio continue to be FM, or will it be incorporated into the digital video signal?

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

29435. Re: [dfwscan] TV Switch to Digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 00:55:33 UTC
From: nd5y@yahoo.com
Topic: 29433 Message: 29435

There is no separate audio carrier like in analog TV.
Everything is in one data stream, which can contain multiple video and audio channels.

Tom

Lee Shell wrote:
> How is the audio part of the digital television signal done?
>
> Of course, until the end of analog television signals, the audio is a FM signal receivable by ordinary radios that can receive those frequencies.
>
> Will the audio continue to be FM, or will it be incorporated into the digital video signal?

29436. NOT - Off Topic - Anyone Listening?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 01:20:41 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 29436 Message: 29436

Q:How many Freqs can a "HAM" scan?
A:Everything!
Hide the Credit Card, find the nitro pills, don't show the kids, must be a NICE job..... Just
roll me under the Bus..... :-{
Go here to find out:
http://www.ne7x.com/New_Shack_2008.htm
'73 Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
EchoLink Node: 350616
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

29437. Re: [dfwscan] NOT - Off Topic - Anyone Listening?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 02:13:15 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 29437 Message: 29437

Fantastic setup, Charlie. Truly impressive!

Toby

At 07:20 PM 1/3/2009, Charlie Mumphrey wrote:

>Q:How many Freqs can a "HAM" scan?
>A:Everything!
>Hide the Credit Card, find the nitro pills, don't show the kids, must
>be a NICE job..... Just
>roll me under the Bus....... :-{
>Go here to find out:
><http://www.ne7x.com/New_Shack_2008.htm>http://www.ne7x.com/New_Shack_2008.htm
>'73 Charlie
>
>"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the
>Amateur that holds the license."
>
>Charles Mumphrey
>Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
>Repeater System:
>Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
>Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
>Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
>EchoLink Node: 350616
>Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
><http://www.CharliesElectronics.com>http://www.CharliesElectronics.com
>
>_

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29438. Re: Frequency confirms (CORRECTION)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 10:12:59 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29403 Message: 29438

Wanted to make a correction on what I said about Courtesy Patrol on
the TCSO system, they are actually on TC SOPS3 (8592), I looked back
at my other posts about this and also saw it updated on RR, sorry for
the wrong info, everything else was correct, I hope.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> I can only confirm these because these are programed in my radios,
> And they are active as of the last "winter road" issue we had.
>
> 151.0025 (167.9) Tarrant County SO Courtesy Patrol
> Also on FW TRS TCSO "SOPS1" (8528)
>
> 151.100 (173.8) Euless - ACTIVE but don't hear much traffic on it
>
> 151.0925 (167.9) Parker County - ACTIVE
>
> 151.0475 (unknown) MINERAL WELLS - ACTIVE
>
> 151.0775 (173.8) DECATUR - ACTIVE
>
> 151.0325 (173.8) GLEN ROSE - ACTIVE
>
> 151.055 (unknown) HILL COUNTY - ACTIVE
>
> Havent heard any traffic on the Statewide VHF low bands yet, but we
> havent had a major ice storm yet. According to the FCC these
> frequencies are still ACTIVE with new licenses. You usually here the
> sand trucks on these frequencies.
>
> 47.020 (TARRANT)
> 47.040
> 47.060
> 47.080
> 47.160
> 47.180
> 47.240
> 47.260
> 47.340
>
> The next time we have a "major" ice situation, try to listen to all

> above and see what traffic you hear.
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi all -
> >
> > Can anyone confirm any of these
> > as actually being in operation at the
> > present time?
> >
> > 151.07750 173.8 TXDOT DECATUR 151.09250 173.8 TXDOT
> > GREENVILLE/N COLLIN CO
> > 151.100 173.8 TXDOT EULESS/MID CITIES
> > 159.165 TXDOT GAINESVILLE
> >
> > Also, Tom ND5Y, I believe you had confirmed
> > 151.055 as TXDOT truck to truck in Palo
> > Pinto and Parker County. However, to this day
> > I have never heard a peep while mobile or
> > base throughout Dallas, Tarrant, Collin, or
> > Denton counties.
> >
> > Lastly, has anyone heard any recent activity on
> > the Corsicana radar site frequency of 162.150
> > PL 103.5?
> >
> > Blaine
> > http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>

> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
> > ----------
> >
> >
> > ----------
> >
> >
> > No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
> > Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.1/1869 - Release Date:
> 12/30/2008 12:06 PM
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >

>

29439. Weekly FCC grants December 28-January 03

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 11:40:05 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29439 Message: 29439

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29440. Battery holders

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 15:56:09 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29440 Message: 29440

Why is it that there are 2 types of 4, AA battery holders? The black one and the yellow one look identical. Checking with a vom indicates both are the same. I don't understand why rechargeables need a separate type battery holder.

Thanks, Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29441. RE: [dfwscan] Battery holders

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 15:59:25 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 29440 Message: 29441

The alkaline holder won't allow the radio to charge the batteries when powered externally, since charging alkalines typically results in the batteries rupturing.

I suspect the owner's manual addresses this as well.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Sarge
Sent: Sunday, January 04, 2009 09:56 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Battery holders

Why is it that there are 2 types of 4, AA battery holders? The black one and the yellow one look identical. Checking with a vom indicates both are the same. I don't understand why rechargeables need a separate type battery holder.

Thanks, Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29442. Freezing Rain Advisory for Fort Worth, TX

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-04 21:49:48 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29442 Message: 29442

Be careful in the morning on your way in to work!

Severe Weather
<http://www.weather.com/?from=twcalerts&cm_ven=CAS&cm_cat=twcalerts_l&cm_pla=BannerEmail&cm_ite=WxCom>

SEVERE WEATHER ALERT

Forecast for Sun Jan 04 04:33 PM EST
Fort Worth, TX

severe<http://image.weather.com/web/cas/webalerts/moderate_icon.gif>

Freezing Rain Advisory

View Details Below

More Weather Details
<http://www.weather.com/weather/local/76114?from=TWCAlerts8&cm_ven=CAS&cm_cat=twcalerts_l&cm_pla=moredetails&cm_ite=currcond>

<http://www.weather.com/RealMedia/ads/click_lx.ads/email.weather.com/alerts/svr/814905297/PageSpon/14965_NATIONWIDE_WEAT_29734542/14965_300x250_email_120208.html/343163613637383734393631326232 30?http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;209744195;31562359;o>
<http://www.weather.com/RealMedia/ads/adstream_lx.ads/email.weather.com/alerts/svr/814905297/PageSpon/14965_NATIONWIDE_WEAT_29734542/14965_300x250_email_120208.html/343163613637383734393631326232 30?_RM_EMPTY_&>

FREEZING RAIN ADVISORY LATE TONIGHT & INTO MON FOR PORTIONS OF NO. TX.

COLD AIR CENTERED OVER THE CNTL PLAINS WILL CONTINUE TO FILTER INTO NO. TX TONIGHT. OVERNIGHT TEMPS ARE EXPECTED TO FALL TO BELOW FREEZING GENERALLY ALONG & NW OF A GOLDTHWAITE TO PARIS LINE WITH READINGS IN THE UPPER 20S ACROSS FAR NW PARTS OF NO. TX. MOISTURE IS EXPECTED TO RAPIDLY INCREASE ACROSS THE REGION WITH AREAS OF LIGHT RAIN OR DRIZZLE DEVELOPING LATE TONIGHT. THERE IS STILL UNCERTAINTY AS TO HOW MUCH PRECIPITATION WILL DEVELOP & HOW FAR SO. THE FREEZING LINE WILL BE LOCATED. RECENT WARM WEATHER WILL MAKE IT DIFFICULT FOR GROUND TEMPS TO RAPIDLY COOL. AREAS GENERALLY ALONG AND NORTHWEST OF A GOLDTHWAITE TO PARIS LINE WILL HAVE THE GREATEST CHANCE FOR FREEZING PRECIPITATION LATE TONIGHT & INTO MONDAY.

VERY LIGHT ICE ACCUMULATIONS ARE POSSIBLE ON ELEVATED ROADS AND BRIDGES.

TXZ115-116-129-130-141-142-051000-

/O.NEW.KFWD.ZR.Y.0001.090105T0900Z-090105T1800Z/

STEPHENS-PALO PINTO-EASTLAND-ERATH-COMANCHE-MILLS-

INCLUDING THE CITIES OF.BRECKENRIDGE.MINERAL WELLS.CISCO.

EASTLAND.RANGER.GORMAN.STEPHENVILLE.DUBLIN.COMANCHE.

DE LEON.GOLDTHWAITE

332 PM CST SUN JAN 4 2009

FREEZING RAIN ADVISORY IN EFFECT FROM 3 AM TO 12 PM CST MONDAY.

THE NATL WEATHER SVC IN FORT WORTH HAS ISSUED A FREEZING RAIN ADVISORY
FOR LIGHT ICING.WHICH IS IN EFFECT FROM 3 AM TO

12 PM CST MONDAY.

AREAS OF LIGHT DRIZZLE OR RAIN ARE EXPECTED TO DEVELOP OVER SOUTHWESTERN
PORTIONS OF NO. TX LATE TONIGHT. FREEZING RAIN WILL BE POSSIBLE AS TEMPS
DROP INTO THE UPPER 20S & LWR 30S. VERY LIGHT ICE ACCUMULATIONS ARE
POSSIBLE ON ELEVATED ROADS AND BRIDGES. THE FREEZING PRECIPITATION WILL
COME TO AN END BY NOON MON AS TEMPS RISE ABOVE FREEZING.

A FREEZING RAIN ADVISORY MEANS THAT PERIODS OF FREEZING RAIN OR FREEZING
DRIZZLE WILL CAUSE TRAVEL DIFFICULTIES. SLOW DOWN AND USE CAUTION WHILE
DRIVING. BRIDGES & OVERPASSES WILL BE THE FIRST PLACES THAT ICY SPOTS
WILL DEVELOP.

$$

TXZ091>095-100>105-117>119-131>133-051000-

/O.NEW.KFWD.ZR.Y.0001.090105T1200Z-090105T1800Z/

MONTAGUE-COOKE-GRAYSON-FANNIN-LAMAR-YOUNG-JACK-WISE-DENTON-COLLIN-

HUNT-PARKER-TARRANT-DALLAS-HOOD-SOMERVELL-JOHNSON-

INCLUDING THE CITIES OF.BOWIE.NOCONA.GAINESVILLE.

SHERMAN.DENISON.BONHAM.PARIS.GRAHAM.OLNEY.JACKSBORO.

DECATUR.BRIDGEPORT.DENTON.LEWISVILLE.FLWR MOUND.

THE COLONY.PLANO.GREENVILLE.COMMERCE.WEATHERFORD.

BRIAR.FORT WORTH.ARLINGTON.DALLAS.GRANBURY.

OAK TRAIL SHORES.GLEN ROSE.CLEBURNE.BURLESON

332 PM CST SUN JAN 4 2009

FREEZING RAIN ADVISORY IN EFFECT FROM 6 AM TO 12 PM CST MONDAY.

THE NATL WEATHER SVC IN FORT WORTH HAS ISSUED A FREEZING RAIN ADVISORY
FOR LIGHT ICING.WHICH IS IN EFFECT FROM 6 AM TO 12 PM CST MONDAY.

AREAS OF LIGHT FREEZING DRIZZLE OR FREEZING RAIN ARE EXPECTED TO DEVELOP GENERALLY NW OF A GOLDTHWAITE TO PARIS LINE MONDAY MORNING AS TEMPS DROP INTO THE UPPER 20S & LWR 30S.

VERY LIGHT ICE ACCUMULATIONS ARE POSSIBLE ON ELEVATED ROADS AND BRIDGES. THE FREEZING PRECIPITATION WILL COME TO AN END BY NOON MONDAY AS TEMPS RISE ABOVE FREEZING.

A FREEZING RAIN ADVISORY MEANS THAT PERIODS OF FREEZING RAIN OR FREEZING DRIZZLE WILL CAUSE TRAVEL DIFFICULTIES. SLOW DOWN AND USE CAUTION WHILE DRIVING. BRIDGES & OVERPASSES WILL BE THE FIRST PLACES THAT ICY SPOTS WILL DEVELOP

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29443. Re:Battery holders

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-05 13:40:39 UTC
From: Edward
Topic: 29440 Message: 29443

I'm sure by now someone has informed you of the obvious. There are three tabs in the radio which contact the battery holders. There are two tabs on the battery holders. Only one tab allows voltage to flow both ways, and only one allows voltage to flow from the batteries.

You have to do what you can to make the lawyers happy regarding exploding batteries.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29445. Re: [dfwscan] Frequency confirms

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-05 15:00:19 UTC
From: David McAnally
Topic: 29403 Message: 29445

I can confirm the 151.0775 TXDOT Decatur frequency is active this morning
from Rhome.

--
Regards,
David McAnally, WD5M

On 12/30/08, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Hi all -
>
> Can anyone confirm any of these
> as actually being in operation at the
> present time?
>
> 151.07750 173.8 TXDOT DECATUR
>
151.09250 173.8 TXDOT GREENVILLE/N COLLIN CO
> 151.100 173.8 TXDOT EULESS/MID CITIES
> 159.165 TXDOT GAINESVILLE
>
> Also, Tom ND5Y, I believe you had confirmed
> 151.055 as TXDOT truck to truck in Palo
> Pinto and Parker County. However, to this day
> I have never heard a peep while mobile or
> base throughout Dallas, Tarrant, Collin, or
> Denton counties.
>
> Lastly, has anyone heard any recent activity on
> the Corsicana radar site frequency of 162.150
> PL 103.5?
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29446. Re: Frequency confirms

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-05 16:45:45 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29403 Message: 29446

David McAnally wrote:

> I can confirm the 151.0775 TXDOT Decatur frequency is active this morning
> from Rhome.

Thanks Dave.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG - http://www.avg.com
Version: 8.0.176 / Virus Database: 270.10.2/1876 - Release Date: 1/5/2009 9:44 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29447. DPD Swat Active on DPD CH8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-07 02:01:45 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 29447 Message: 29447

DPD Swat (Ch 8 -- 460-125) is active for a barricaded suspected related to an officer death.

They just announced an okay for a Code 9. Haven't heard that one before.

-Chance

29448. RE: [dfwscan] DPD Swat Active on DPD CH8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-07 02:01:11 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29447 Message: 29448

Code 9 is entry code. They have 3 in custody but are clearing the apartment. This is in reference to the shooting death of a Dallas PD officer.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Chance Lindsey
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2009 8:02 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] DPD Swat Active on DPD CH8

DPD Swat (Ch 8 -- 460-125) is active for a barricaded suspected related to an officer death.

They just announced an okay for a Code 9. Haven't heard that one before.

-Chance

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29449. Re: [dfwscan] DPD Swat Active on DPD CH8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-07 02:52:37 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 29447 Message: 29449

Dpd ch 2 is in foot chase near audelia and 635 for 2 blk males.

Sprint Mogul
Blackberry 8310 PIN 245EB043
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm
http://www.twowayshopper.com

-----Original Message-----
From: "Chance Lindsey" <chance1@...>

Date: Wed, 07 Jan 2009 02:01:45
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] DPD Swat Active on DPD CH8

DPD Swat (Ch 8 -- 460-125) is active for a barricaded suspected related
to an officer death.

They just announced an okay for a Code 9. Haven't heard that one
before.

-Chance

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29450. ICOM R20 + Optoelectronics Digital Scout FOR SALE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-07 20:35:51 UTC
From: Alexander Vickers
Topic: 29450 Message: 29450

http://cgi.ebay.com/OPTOELECTRONICS-DIGITAL-SCOUT-Digital-Bug-Detector-NEW_W0QQitemZ230293271328QQihZ013QQcategoryZ73336QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

http://cgi.ebay.com/New-ICOM-IC-R20-11-EXP-Wide-Band-Scanner-Receiver_W0QQitemZ370140771644QQihZ024QQcategoryZ4673QQssPageNameZWDVWQQrdZ1QQcmdZViewItem

They are both new. I messed with them for a few days, but never got the reaction tune cable. As you may know the Digital Scout frequency counter will instantly tune the reciever to whatever it picks up. I spent well over $1000 for both and a data cable to log frequencies to the laptop plus a few $65 antennae.... if your looking, I'm thinking of selling... contact me and we can work out a good deal

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29451. Gun Shot Victim

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-07 22:01:02 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29451 Message: 29451

I was passing through Garland on 30 when I heard fire dispatched out to a gun shot victim on Glenbrook at Travis somewhere in that area. Anyone know what happened?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29452. RE: [dfwscan] Gun Shot Victim

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-07 22:09:58 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29451 Message: 29452

> I was passing through Garland on 30 when I heard fire dispatched out to a gun shot
> victim on Glenbrook at Travis somewhere in that area. Anyone know what happened?

IPN said officer shot suspect, but that's all it said.

But dude, it's Garland! Is a shooting there even unusual anymore?

Rob

29453. RE: [dfwscan] Gun Shot Victim

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-07 22:17:05 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29451 Message: 29453

Sounds like possible suicide by cop. It's at a General Dynamics facility. Correct address is 1000 blk of Glenbrook. Police got call man was going there and was suicidal. They confronted him and ended up shooting him. He's drt in parking lot.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2009 4:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Gun Shot Victim

I was passing through Garland on 30 when I heard fire dispatched out to a gun shot victim on Glenbrook at Travis somewhere in that area. Anyone know what happened?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29454. Fallen Officer Route

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-09 02:41:36 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29454 Message: 29454

For those that want to pay your respects, avoid the traffic of wonder what's happening on the radio here's the route. I believe they leave the Potter's House Church on Kiest about 2:35.

1. LEFT onto Kiest Blvd to Spur 408

2. LEFT onto Spur 408 merging right onto N/B Loop 12 (far right lane)

3. NB Loop 12 merging Right to N/B I-35E (far right lane)

4. NB I-35E to E/B I-635

5. E/B I-635 (1 lane left) exit to Greenville Ave Ramp (far right).

6. LEFT onto Greenville Ave to Last Northern Cemetery Entrance

7. LEFT turn into cemetery - follow Restland Cemetery Guide Vehicle

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29455. Re: TV Switch to Digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-09 17:44:07 UTC
From: Bob Stewart
Topic: 29433 Message: 29455

Are there any digital scanners now,
or is it likely that there will be any in the future,
that will decode that audio portion of digital tv broadcast signals?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, nd5y@... wrote:
>
> There is no separate audio carrier like in analog TV.
> Everything is in one data stream, which can contain multiple video
and audio
> channels.
>
> Tom
>
> Lee Shell wrote:
> > How is the audio part of the digital television signal done?
> >
> > Of course, until the end of analog television signals, the audio
is a FM signal receivable by ordinary radios that can receive those
frequencies.
> >
> > Will the audio continue to be FM, or will it be incorporated into
the digital video signal?
>

29456. Re: TV Switch to Digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-09 18:35:59 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 29433 Message: 29456

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob Stewart" <bobscanfwtx@...> wrote:
> Are there any digital scanners now,
> or is it likely that there will be any in the future,
> that will decode that audio portion of digital tv broadcast signals?

I am not aware of any receivers, much less scanners, that will receive just the audio portion of the Digital TV broadcast. With all of the new portable technology, like iPhones and other video enabled devices, I think the likelihood of a device to receive just the audio is really low because of market demand.

Perhaps over the next 5 to 10 years we will see more software enabled radio receivers. So if you wanted to decode Digital TV audio streams, you would just download a plug in to your radio. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Software_radio).

So my bet is your will see a portable mainstream consumer device that will receive both the audio and video long before any scanner is capable.

-Chance

29457. Re: TV Switch to Digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-10 01:04:38 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29433 Message: 29457

You could always pick up one of these:
http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2855063
Portable DTV. I went and picked one up and it works great.

When I'm out storm spotting I would sometimes listen to the TV channels on the scanner to hear what they were saying. I have an old analog 3 inch portable color TV, but it doesn't pick up real well. My scanner picks up the analog audio better than my old portable TV.

But the portable DTV picks up a great signal. Even with the build in antenna. I wish the screen was a tad smaller, but I'm not complaining. Its pretty cool, has a lot of features on it.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chance Lindsey" <chance1@...> wrote:
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob Stewart" <bobscanfwtx@> wrote:
> > Are there any digital scanners now,
> > or is it likely that there will be any in the future,
> > that will decode that audio portion of digital tv broadcast signals?
> >
> >
> I am not aware of any receivers, much less scanners, that will
> receive just the audio portion of the Digital TV broadcast. With all
> of the new portable technology, like iPhones and other video enabled
> devices, I think the likelihood of a device to receive just the audio
> is really low because of market demand.
>
> Perhaps over the next 5 to 10 years we will see more software enabled
> radio receivers. So if you wanted to decode Digital TV audio
> streams, you would just download a plug in to your radio.
> (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Software_radio).
>
> So my bet is your will see a portable mainstream consumer device that
> will receive both the audio and video long before any scanner is
> capable.
>
> -Chance
>

29458. FWPS System I Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-10 02:52:56 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29458 Message: 29458

Hi Group,

Is anyone else noticing the problem with the public safety TRS in Fort Worth tonight (since about 8:15 PM through at least 8:50 PM, or now). There is a LOT of "interference" (for lack of a correct term) causing radio reception issues in the western part of Tarrant County for sure. The system sounds like it's about to shut down out west. The data channel is all messed up too.

I talked with a friend in the NE part of Tarrant County and they were not hearing the same thing. They had clean traffic. But after listening to FWPD's North & West Division TG's, I realize it's definitely a problem units are having too. Supposedly "the technical guys" are being called to fix it.

I'm just curious if anyone else is noticing the problem, and if so (or not), what general area you'd be monitoring from. My best guess is it is the western tower site with the very annoying problem.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29459. Re: [dfwscan] Re: TV Switch to Digital

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-10 10:18:10 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29433 Message: 29459

KC5RHB wrote:
> You could always pick up one of these:
> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2855063

Nice. Here are two others:
> http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=3324197
> http://www.bestbuy.com/site/olspage.jsp?skuId=8870046&type=product&id=1210377520598

--
Glenn Mitchell

29461. Re: Arlington Jail Operations TG

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-10 20:03:30 UTC
From: yamiam3
Topic: 29162 Message: 29461

I'm hearing South units on 54064 today. They're defintely working patrol calls on it now.

I think 58256 might be a new channel, and I'll listen more. It might be jail, but I haven't heard enough yet.

Ben Saladino

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:
>
> I finally got tired of the misidentified TGID 54064 on the Arlington systems coming up as South Patrol so changed RadioReference database to show this as "Arlington PD Jail Operations."
>
> Since I haven't been able to identify (or hear) any traffic on 54224, I left it as deleted when I removed the SouthPatrol subgroup.
>
> Two questions:
>
> 1) Anyone know where South Patrol will end up (TGID-wise)? Their substation looks pretty close to operational.
> 2) Anyone know what the actual use of 54224 is?
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29462. RE: [dfwscan] Arlington Jail Operations/South/Transportation

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-10 20:34:45 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29162 Message: 29462

Arlington Jail Ops are on 58256

Also, I caught "Station 33" HandiTran/City Transportation units on 56592 saying go to "6", and the conversation resumed on 57520.

57488 is listed as "land fill" on RR and my own site, but the traffic I'm hearing now, sounds like it's also a "Station 33" transportation channel.

Happy Scanning,

Ben

I'm hearing South units on 54064 today. They're definitely working patrol calls on it now.

I think 58256 might be a new channel, and I'll listen more. It might be jail, but I haven't heard enough yet.

Ben Saladino

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29463. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Arlington Jail Operations TG

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-11 01:45:51 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29162 Message: 29463

54224 is Arlington PD South talk around.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29464. Weekly FCC grants January 04-10

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-11 12:38:38 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29464 Message: 29464

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29465. FWFD Engine 34?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-12 15:08:43 UTC
From: tajens2001
Topic: 29465 Message: 29465

I thought I heard Fort Worth engine 34 being dispatched this morning. Engine 41 move into their new station from the temporary station. Has the temporary station they were in been moved for engine 34?

29466. Re: [dfwscan] FWFD Engine 34?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-12 15:40:42 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 29465 Message: 29466

The Fort Worth Fire Department opened Station 41, located at 11400 Willow Springs Road, on Jan 5th with E-41 and W-41.

On Jan 10, the "old, temporary Station 41" became the "new, temporary Station 34" with E-34. Their new station will not be completed until later this year / early next year, and will be located in the Sendra Ranch Addition.

On Jan 15th, Station 11, located at 1900 Texan Drive (just west of Texas Motor Speedway) will open with E-11.

That should bring everybody up to date.

Regards,
Greg Higgins

--- On Mon, 1/12/09, tajens2001 <tajens@...> wrote:

From: tajens2001 <tajens@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] FWFD Engine 34?
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Monday, January 12, 2009, 9:08 AM

I thought I heard Fort Worth engine 34 being dispatched this morning. Engine 41 move into their new station from the temporary station. Has the temporary station they were in been moved for engine 34?

Recent Activity

 2
New MembersVisit Your Group

Check out the
Y! Groups blog
Stay up to speed
on all things Groups!

Yahoo! Groups
Dog Group
Connect and share with
dog owners like you

Yahoo! Groups
Everyday Wellness Zone
Check out featured
healthy living groups.
.

29467. Lewisville TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-12 18:29:32 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 5551 Message: 29467

Anyone know if Lewisville has been having
problems lately with their trunked system
drifting into failsafe, especially in the
morning - early afternoon?. I have been
running Unitrunker lately, and its been
having the darnedest time locking
onto a control channel during this time.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090112-0, 01/12/2009
Tested on: 1/12/2009 12:29:32 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29468. RE: [dfwscan] Lewisville TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-12 18:51:50 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 5551 Message: 29468

I heard HV FD Dispatch announce some antenna work a little while ago.

Ben

>Anyone know if Lewisville has been having
problems lately with their trunked system
drifting into failsafe, especially in the
morning - early afternoon?. I have been
running Unitrunker lately, and its been
having the darnedest time locking
onto a control channel during this time.

Blaine<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29469. Re: Lewisville TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-12 19:26:36 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 5551 Message: 29469

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:

> I heard HV FD Dispatch announce some antenna work a little while ago.

Thanks Ben. Yeah, I don't know what the deal
is. Receiving control channels strong from
Carrollton, Denton Co., Denton City, and Plano
TRS's as of this time.

Still nothing on the Lewisville system on the
normal control channel frequencies that I can
hear.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090112-0, 01/12/2009
Tested on: 1/12/2009 1:26:36 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29470. Fort Wolters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-14 23:26:05 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29470 Message: 29470

A while back I noticed Fort Wolters Trainig Center here in Mineral Wells got a new tower with 2 antennas about the same time I quit hearing Range Control on 149.425. Today I found them on 149.225 PL 67.0 and 149.500 PL 67.0. Both appear to be simplex. The tower is only about 50' so they probably can't be heard much farther east than Weatherford.

Tom ND5Y

29471. Re: [dfwscan] Fort Wolters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 01:08:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29470 Message: 29471

Tom ND5Y wrote:

> Today I found them on 149.225 PL 67.0 and 149.500 PL 67.0. Both appear
> to be simplex.

Great work Tom! Thanks.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090114-0, 01/14/2009
Tested on: 1/14/2009 7:08:54 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29472. Lewisville TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 01:14:42 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 5551 Message: 29472

Dang! Reception is loud and GOOD!
As others have stated and through
some private email, looks as though
techs have been working on some coverage
and antenna alignments the last few days.
What they did (at least for my reception)
has been awesome. Sounds as though they
might be on the Water Treatment Rd. tower
(which is pretty much across the highway
from me - more or less - I live off FM 407).
Anyway, as they used to say in the old CB
days "You're blowing my doors off!!"....
If there are any techs on the list, damn good
job guys (or gals). It's like the good ole
Rockbrook days!

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090114-0, 01/14/2009
Tested on: 1/14/2009 7:14:42 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29473. LPD Activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 01:41:31 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29473 Message: 29473

LPD TAC 1 and CID 1 might be good
talkgroups for you early risers to keep
an ear to tomorrow, and throughout the
day.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090114-0, 01/14/2009
Tested on: 1/14/2009 7:41:33 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29474. LPD Radio Calls

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 02:05:31 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29474 Message: 29474

Anybody got a confirmed list of Lewisville
Police radio call numbers? If someone does,
please post to list. Private email is OK too.

Thanks.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090114-0, 01/14/2009
Tested on: 1/14/2009 8:05:31 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29475. Ft Worth Station 11

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 04:42:14 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29475 Message: 29475

Press release regarding the new Ft Worth Fire Station 11

Terry

New Fire Station Opens near TMS

FORT WORTH, TEXAS - The Fort Worth Fire Department will open its newest fire station at

8:00 am, Thursday, January 15th . Fire Station #11 is located just off Highway 114 at 1900 Texan Drive (Mapsco 642P) and sits on the far western edge of the Northwest ISD educational complex on land offered and purchased from the ISD at fair market value.

The station will greatly lower the response times to emergency calls for the nearby Harriett Creek neighborhood, Texas Motor Speedway, the Northwest ISD, and other areas that continue to grow in this far northern portion of the City of Fort Worth. Previous to this station opening, the primary fire station for the area was Fire Station #35 located several miles away at 2201 Flight Line Road on the grounds of Alliance Airport (Mapsco 7Q).

Voters approved the $2.23 million cost of the state of the art facility as part of the 2004 Capital Improvement Package. Construction on the 9,750 square foot building (5,200' living quarters and 4,550' for fire apparatus) began in November of 2007 and features sleeping quarters for up to eight firefighters, housing for three fire apparatus, a workout facility with equipment for cardio and strength training, wireless Internet capabilities, private study quarters for promotional and continuing education. It is also a "green" facility with energy efficient appliances and heating and cooling provided via geothermal control systems.

The station will initially be staffed with one fire engine and four firefighters, which in reality equals 12 firefighters assigned to the station (4 FF's per each of the three 24 hour work shifts). As the area continues to grow, the fire department will constantly monitor the area to determine if any expansion of equipment and personnel will be needed.

NOTE: No official ceremony will take place on Thursday. A public open house and dedication is being planned for later in the spring.

30

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29476. Re:Ft Worth Station 11

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 15:54:19 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 29475 Message: 29476

So does old station 11 continue to be the airpak shop? Didn't they also house one of the air trucks there too?

Bill Anderson

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29477. Re:Ft Worth Station 11

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 16:16:25 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 29475 Message: 29477

"Old" station 11 is now referred to as the "AirShop" and still houses Utility 3, 4 and 5. All air pack maintenance is still done there. The only thing that changed was the name.

Greg Higgins

--- On Thu, 1/15/09, Bill Anderson <billemtp@...> wrote:

From: Bill Anderson <billemtp@yahoo.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re:Ft Worth Station 11
To: dfwscan@...m
Date: Thursday, January 15, 2009, 9:54 AM

So does old station 11 continue to be the airpak shop? Didn't they also house one of the air trucks there too?

Bill Anderson

---------- --------- --------- --------- --------- --------- -

Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29478. 146.920

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 19:18:51 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29478 Message: 29478

Hearing N5API this morning running a bunch
of crazy tones on the .92 repeater. Sounds
like a fire dispatch. What's this guy doing?
It's annoying. Keeps kerchunking the repeater.
Is he running some net control repeater tests
or something? Keeps saying "N5API controlling".

Also, Wild Bill of LAARK, is the 147.38 repeater
still in operation?

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090115-0, 01/15/2009
Tested on: 1/15/2009 1:18:52 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29479. Commercial airliner down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 20:40:46 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29479 Message: 29479

Large commercial airliner down in Hudson River
in NYC. You can listen online
at:

http://www.thebravest.com/manhattan/manhattan.htm

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090115-0, 01/15/2009
Tested on: 1/15/2009 2:40:46 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29480. Re: [dfwscan] 146.920

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 22:34:44 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29478 Message: 29480

John is probably testing two-tone paging for ares..

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Hearing N5API this morning running a bunch
> of crazy tones on the .92 repeater. Sounds
> like a fire dispatch. What's this guy doing?
> It's annoying. Keeps kerchunking the repeater.
> Is he running some net control repeater tests
> or something? Keeps saying "N5API controlling".
>
> Also, Wild Bill of LAARK, is the 147.38 repeater
> still in operation?
>
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090115-0, 01/15/2009
> Tested on: 1/15/2009 1:18:52 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29481. Re: [dfwscan] 146.920

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 23:12:12 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29478 Message: 29481

Jay Urish wrote:

> John is probably testing two-tone paging for ares..

Thanks for the reply Jay. Just seemed weird
to hear that type of tone out on a ham repeater.
I assume this is for the SKYWARN stuff? Do the
ARES members receive this on their HT's, or do
they need a pager?

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090115-0, 01/15/2009
Tested on: 1/15/2009 5:12:12 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29482. Re: [dfwscan] 146.920

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 23:13:30 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29478 Message: 29482

Comercial HT's can probably decode it and voice pagers can as well.

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Jay Urish wrote:
>
>> John is probably testing two-tone paging for ares..
>
> Thanks for the reply Jay. Just seemed weird
> to hear that type of tone out on a ham repeater.
> I assume this is for the SKYWARN stuff? Do the
> ARES members receive this on their HT's, or do
> they need a pager?
>
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090115-0, 01/15/2009
> Tested on: 1/15/2009 5:12:12 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29483. Re: [dfwscan] 146.920

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-15 23:15:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29478 Message: 29483

Jay Urish wrote:

> Comercial HT's can probably decode it and voice pagers can as well.

Cool. Thanks again Jay.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090115-0, 01/15/2009
Tested on: 1/15/2009 5:15:57 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29484. Fatality MVA in Cleburne

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-16 01:16:48 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29484 Message: 29484

Bad wreck in Cleburne this evening. Two patients going by air.
Careflite 5 & Careflite 6 are en-route. A third helicopter
was put on standby but was canceled due to the third patient
being DOS.

The accident is on the service road to North bound US 67
where it intersects with North Main St.

Both aircraft are on the ground at this time.... 7:14 p.m.

Chris

29485. Re: Fatality MVA in Cleburne

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-16 01:36:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29484 Message: 29485

Chris Easley wrote:

> Bad wreck in Cleburne
> Both aircraft are on the ground

Thanks Chris. Heard the Careflite birds
toned out up here in Lewisville on
463.300, but too far away to hear
on-scene.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090115-0, 01/15/2009
Tested on: 1/15/2009 7:36:50 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29486. Dubya's new digs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-16 06:38:08 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29486 Message: 29486

With the former prez moving into Daria Place
in Preston Hollow, wonder what the new
security detail/CP frequency will be? or if
the local USSS office will just use their
primary of 164.650 NAC 001? Guess we
won't have too long to wait to figure it
out...:)

That'll be pretty interesting him and Laura
showing up around town...I could see
Laura hittin' the shops of Highland Park
Village...

Should also be interesting whether or
not a no-fly (P) zone will be implemented,
since it's right beside the Tollway, and
in the approach pattern for KADS.

Fun stuff ahead for monitors....

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090115-0, 01/15/2009
Tested on: 1/16/2009 12:38:09 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29487. 155.4825/DCS 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-17 14:17:34 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29487 Message: 29487

Hi Group,

Since last night, I've been getting traffic (non digital) on this frequency with the 023 PL tone indicated. It is clear enough to hear sometimes but either it is a frequency not being used much or I'm not receiving it most times. I only saw 2 State of Texas (I think one is a DPS) listings on the FCC database and they were both out of Austin. Other than this little bit of information, I have nothing else. Does anyone have any idea who the user may be? Thanks.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29489. Arrival of former POTUS?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-17 18:28:16 UTC
From: Tim Perkins
Topic: 29489 Message: 29489

Anyone know if GWB is returning to DAL on Tuesday? If so, any info about what time this might occur?

29490. Re: [dfwscan] Arrival of former POTUS?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-17 18:59:07 UTC
From: Paul Baumgardner
Topic: 29489 Message: 29490

He is going to Midland on Tuesday....

Also...in the old days....when he was TUMBLER (pre Governor and pre POTUS and new call TRAILBLAZER)...they used X-RAY 167.900 Mhz for his detail....maybe that will be the working channel for his new detail.

I don't recollect what they used for Lady Bird...but I seem to remember it was BAKER...165.7875 Mhz.

So.....more to uncover.

Paul Baumgardner

From: Tim Perkins <tim552@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 12:28:16 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Arrival of former POTUS?

Anyone know if GWB is returning to DAL on Tuesday? If so, any info about what time this might occur?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29491. Re: Arrival of former POTUS?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-17 19:38:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29489 Message: 29491

Paul Baumgardner wrote:

> they used X-RAY 167.900 Mhz for his detail
> but I seem to remember it was BAKER...165.7875 Mhz.

FWIW - I have personally heard the following
active with the local USSS field office, when
a VIP has NOT been in town:

164.650 NAC 001 Tango
165.375 NAC 001 Charlie
167.900 NAC 001 Dallas X-Ray
164.400 NAC 001 Papa - never hear
any voice, just keyups

In other words, just their everyday stuff
and investigations, surveillance, etc.

Austin office was supposed to be on
165.2125. Not sure about Houston or
San Antonio.

I would assume that protec for former prez
Bush will just become a "routine" task
(much like Bush Sr, Clinton) once he
finally gets settled here. I'm sure that
the local USSS has all the associated
freqs programmed in their radios, but
they will more than likely only use one
main channel for his detail, and for all
we know, they could just use their primary
of Tango for convenience. It'll be interesting
the codename they'll call the Daria Place
residence.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090117-0, 01/17/2009
Tested on: 1/17/2009 1:38:10 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29492. Fw: Re: [dfwscan] Arrival of former POTUS?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-17 20:11:45 UTC
From: Dale Aymond
Topic: 29492 Message: 29492

I heard he is going to Midland from DC for a welcome home party then to his ranch.
Not 100% sure of my source.

Dale

--- On Sat, 1/17/09, Tim Perkins <tim552@...> wrote:

From: Tim Perkins <tim552@yahoo.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Arrival of former POTUS?
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Saturday, January 17, 2009, 12:28 PM

Anyone know if GWB is returning to DAL on Tuesday? If so, any info
about what time this might occur?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29493. Satellite Monitoring of 121.5MHz Alerts will end Feb 01, 2009

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-17 21:47:11 UTC
From: Billy Mason
Topic: 29493 Message: 29493

Found on another list

PUBLIC NOTICE

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th St., S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20554

News Media Information 202 / 418-0500

Internet: http://www.fcc.gov

TTY: 1-888-835-5322

DA 09-33

Released: January 14, 2009

WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUREAU REMINDS AVIATORS AND MARINERS

THAT SATELLITE MONITORING OF 121.5 MHz ALERTS WILL END FEBRUARY 1, 2009

The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau reminds users of emergency locator transmitters used

on aircraft (ELTs), and emergency position-indicating radio beacons used on ships (EPIRBs), that such

beacons operating on frequency 121.5 MHz will no longer be ☐heard☐ by search and rescue satellites as of

February 1, 2009. This change results from decision by the international organization overseeing the

satellite-based search and rescue system - COSPAS/SARSAT1 - that it will terminate satellite processing

of distress signals from 121.5 MHz ELTs and EPIRBs as of that date. Consequently, users of ELTs and

EPIRBs that send distress alerts on 121.5 MHz therefore must switch to beacons that operate at 406.0-

406.1 MHz (406 MHz) if the alerts are to be detected and relayed via satellite.

COSPAS-SARSAT is an international program established by Canada, France, Russia, and the

United States to operate a satellite-based search and rescue system. The COSPAS-SARSAT satellite

system uses satellites in low-earth and geostationary orbits to detect and locate aviators, mariners, and

land-based users in distress by tracking distress alerts on 121.5 MHz and 406 MHz. In 2000,

COSPAS/SARSAT announced plans to terminate satellite processing of distress signals from 121.5 MHz

emergency beacons on February 1, 2009, and urged users to switch to 406 MHz beacons. The

Commission has prohibited the use of 121.5 MHz EPIRBs on U.S.-registered vessels,2 and is considering

a proposal3 to prohibit the use of 121.5 MHz ELTs on U.S.-registered aircraft.4 In addition, the National

Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (www.sarsat.noaa.gov), the U.S. Coast Guard

(http://www.uscg.mil/hq/cg5/cg534/), the U.S. Air Force (http://www.1af.acc.af.mil/units/afrcc/), and the

National Aeronautical and Space Administration (http://searchandrescue.gsfc.nasa.gov/), which

administer the COSPAS/SARSAT system in the United States, advise users of 121.5 MHz emergency

beacons to switch to 406 MHz beacons.

1 See www.cospas-sarsat.org.

2 See Amendment of Parts 13 and 80 of the Commission☐s Rules Concerning Maritime Communications, Report and

Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, WT Docket No. 00-48, 17 FCC Rcd 6741, 6761-62 ¶ 47

(2002).

3 See Review of Part 87 of the Commission☐s Rules Concerning the Aviation Radio Service, Second Report and

Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, WT Docket No. 01-289, 21 FCC Rcd 11582, 11608-09

¶ 43 (2006).

4 Aircraft that travel to Canada or Mexico must also be aware of those countries☐ requirements. Canada has

proposed to require any aircraft entering its airspace after February 1, 2009 to have a 406 MHz ELT installed on

board. As of July 1, 2008 all aircraft flying to Mexico must have a 406 MHz ELT although Mexico has agreed to

allow U.S.-registered aircraft to continue using existing 121.5 ELTs until July 1, 2009 or when the battery on the

existing 121.5 ELT is due to be replaced, whichever occurs first.

When disposing of an obsolete 121.5 MHz beacon, users must disable the beacon to prevent false

alerts by removing the batteries, which should be recycled or disposed of pursuant to local regulations.

The termination of satellite processing of 121.5 MHz signals does not mean the end of the use of

the frequency. Devices other than ELTs and EPIRBs (such as man-overboard systems and homing

transmitters) that operate on 121.5 MHz and do not rely on satellite detection will not be affected by the

phase-out. Nor will personal locator beacons (PLBs), which operate only on 406 MHz.

For further information, consult the websites noted above or contact Mr. Jim Shaffer, Mobility

Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at (202) 418-0687, TTY (202) 414-1255, email

James.Shaffer@....

-FCC

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29494. Weekly FCC grants January 11-17

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-18 12:20:35 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29494 Message: 29494

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29495. Parker County P25 question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-19 13:12:09 UTC
From: tajens2001
Topic: 29495 Message: 29495

I was looking on Radio Reference and saw that Parker County has a custom frequency table. Does this need to be entered if the system is P25 exclusive? I always thought this table was only for systems that were all or part analog.

29496. Re: [dfwscan] Parker County P25 question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-19 15:17:59 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29495 Message: 29496

I can answer only for the BCD396T and BCD996T: These scanners automatically fill in the information based on the data in the control channel data stream. You don't need to do anything. (There are a few military systems that don't include the info in the data stream, and for those you have to manually enter the settings, but 99% of existing P25 systems don't need it.)

Paul

From: tajens2001 <tajens@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 7:12:09 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Parker County P25 question

I was looking on Radio Reference and saw that Parker County has a custom frequency table. Does this need to be entered if the system is P25 exclusive? I always thought this table was only for systems that were all or part analog.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29497. Re: [dfwscan] Parker County P25 question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-19 15:33:16 UTC
From: Terry Jensen
Topic: 29495 Message: 29497

Thanks I should have included that I do have a BCD396T and it is set for mot...APCO25. If downloaded from RR it sets mot type 2 vhf. so I wasn't sure

TAJ

----- Original Message -----
From: Paul Opitz
To: tajens2001 ; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 9:17 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Parker County P25 question

I can answer only for the BCD396T and BCD996T: These scanners automatically fill in the information based on the data in the control channel data stream. You don't need to do anything. (There are a few military systems that don't include the info in the data stream, and for those you have to manually enter the settings, but 99% of existing P25 systems don't need it.)

Paul

From: tajens2001 <tajens@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2009 7:12:09 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Parker County P25 question

I was looking on Radio Reference and saw that Parker County has a
custom frequency table. Does this need to be entered if the system is
P25 exclusive? I always thought this table was only for systems that
were all or part analog.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29498. Re: [dfwscan] Arrival of former POTUS?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-19 21:00:14 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29492 Message: 29498

In the area so we drove by his new crib yesterday afternoon. The house and grounds need a lot of work before he moves in. Definitely a 1.2 million fixer-upper, purchased for neighborhood it's in. I figure they will be in Crawford for some time before they finally move to Dallas. Also they are talking about putting up a security fence around the front of the house. That will take a while. They are moving into a nice hood, and several neighbors have signs out welcoming them home!!! Mr. Cuban and Mr. Hicks are just a good golf swing up the street. The streets in the area by the way surprised us; they are in fairly bad repair, like streets in some south Dallas neighborhoods. Wonder if that will change in the near future also?!! Talked with some controllers at Love Tower recently; there will not be any type of special use airspace around the area.

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Dale Aymond
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 2:12 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Fw: Re: [dfwscan] Arrival of former POTUS?

I heard he is going to Midland from DC for a welcome home party then to his ranch.
Not 100% sure of my source.

Dale

--- On Sat, 1/17/09, Tim Perkins <tim552@yahoo. <mailto:tim552%40yahoo.com> com> wrote:

From: Tim Perkins <tim552@yahoo. <mailto:tim552%40yahoo.com> com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Arrival of former POTUS?
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Date: Saturday, January 17, 2009, 12:28 PM

Anyone know if GWB is returning to DAL on Tuesday? If so, any info about what time this might occur?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29499. Re: Arrival of former POTUS?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-20 02:13:02 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29489 Message: 29499

Mark Colborn wrote:

> Mr. Cuban and Mr. Hicks are
> just a good golf swing up the street.

Actually Hicks is literally in his backyard,
behind a grove of trees! And T. Boone
is a casual stroll south.

> The streets in the area by the way
> surprised us; they are in fairly bad repair

Gotta' love the parallels there. Houses
as big as hotels, yet crappy streets.
Yeah, we know where their money's
going, and it ain't to the city streets
department for improvements. Gotta'
buy that oil rig before I fix the pothole
in my cul-de-sac!

> Talked with some controllers at Love Tower recently; there will not be any
> type of special use airspace around the area.

Very interesting. I'm pretty sure the Bush Sr.
compound in Maine still has a no-fly zone
around it.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090119-0, 01/19/2009
Tested on: 1/19/2009 8:13:03 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29500. Re: Arrival of former POTUS?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-20 12:39:14 UTC
From: Edward
Topic: 29489 Message: 29500

Nothing special for Bush senior here in Houston. His neighborhood is gated with a security guard at the gate and my son has access anytime due to him being friends of one of the neighbors. Not a no-fly zone either. They travel the streets of Houston with their SS detail hardly noticeable. Forget the frequency used since it was used very seldom. May it was Baker - 165.7875

I remember something about the security gate when Bush moved in and don't remember if it was built with taxpayer money or the neighborhood paid for it. This is not like a security gate at a normal apartment complex, it's much more secure and nothing you can drive thru without stopping or follow someone else in.

Like most retired presidential housing the SS will have to have separate quarters for the 24 hr security detail and taxpayers will generally pay for the rental/purchase of those facilities. Clinton purchased a multi story building in New York and amazingly, the monthly rental for the SS facilities came out to be the same as the monthly payment for the building.......

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29501. All you fed monitoring folks

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-20 20:42:51 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29501 Message: 29501

Good lord!. Did ya'll see the new Caddy
they will be ferrying Obama around in.
Damn! It's been appropriately named
"The Beast"

"That ain't a limo....THIS is a limo"

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090120-0, 01/20/2009
Tested on: 1/20/2009 2:42:52 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29502. Re: [dfwscan] All you fed monitoring folks

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-20 21:37:42 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29501 Message: 29502

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/28521236/

---------- Original message from Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>: ----------

> Good lord!. Did ya'll see the new Caddy
> they will be ferrying Obama around in.
> Damn! It's been appropriately named
> "The Beast"
>
> "That ain't a limo....THIS is a limo"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29503. RE: [dfwscan] All you fed monitoring folks

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-20 21:54:02 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29501 Message: 29503

Typical Democrat, got to have a new ride! After all, you can sleep in your car but you can't drive your house....

Standard motto for those Obama supporters in the Southern Sector of Dallas!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2009 2:43 PM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] All you fed monitoring folks

Good lord!. Did ya'll see the new Caddy
they will be ferrying Obama around in.
Damn! It's been appropriately named
"The Beast"

"That ain't a limo....THIS is a limo"

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090120-0, 01/20/2009
Tested on: 1/20/2009 2:42:52 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29504. Government P25

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 04:21:18 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29504 Message: 29504

Did some searching today using these great files
for my GRE-500:

http://www.radioreference.com/forums/
showthread.php?t=129817

Scroll down to use latest updated search files.
The cool thing is that they are already set up
to just search out digital P25 signals in the
fed bands.

So with the MONITOR and logging function, you
can set it to log when you leave in the morning
for work, and when you come home in the evening
you can see what federal UHF and VHF digital
signals were logged, as well as the NAC codes.
Pretty cool!

Here is what I logged today:

164.400 NAC001 supposed to be USSS Papa Channel
(I have never heard voice - only keyups)
163.625 NAC001 - ICE
163.700 NAC001 - ICE DALLAS 1 RPTR.
169.1625 NAC001 - TSA DFW Arpt.
166.675 didn't have a NAC code, but logged

I highly recommend those fed monitors out
there to try out these search files this guy has
set up. With our collective scanners searching,
who knows what little "gems" we'll find in the
new digital comms era....:)

And....the most important....don't be shy.....
please POST what you find!.......Thanks!

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090120-0, 01/20/2009
Tested on: 1/20/2009 10:21:18 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29505. Saginaw Fire/Police Department

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 05:02:53 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 29505 Message: 29505

If I remember correctly, 453.675 and 453.775 are the primary frequencies used by the City of Saginaw for their police and fire departments. I spoke with a police officer over at the Saginaw COC parking lot and learned that they are on a trunked system using Talk Groups for their different departments. Does anyone know the talk groups they are using?

--
Sent from my mobile device

Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

29506. RE: [dfwscan] Saginaw Fire/Police Department

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 13:42:08 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29505 Message: 29506

Saginaw FD is dispatched by and houses Tarrant County Fire Alarm Center, and they are not on a trunked system, the PD was not on one when they moved to the new facility on Mcleroy Blvd, and we (Fire Alarm) still talk to them on their channel.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Richard Whitenight
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2009 23:03
To: DFWScan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Saginaw Fire/Police Department

If I remember correctly, 453.675 and 453.775 are the primary frequencies used by the City of Saginaw for their police and fire departments. I spoke with a police officer over at the Saginaw COC parking lot and learned that they are on a trunked system using Talk Groups for their different departments. Does anyone know the talk groups they are using?

--
Sent from my mobile device

Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29507. Scanning 2 meters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 14:20:04 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29507 Message: 29507

At different times of the day I receive tremendous man made noise on
147.44 or thereabouts. Anyone know where it's coming from?

Thanks, Sarge

In Lickskillet, Texas KE5YPB

U.S. Constitution in English

U.S. Bill of Rights in English

If you don"t want to speak English, go back to that craphole of a country
you left.

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29508. Re: Government P25

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 16:59:13 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29504 Message: 29508

tangier1401@... wrote:

> Good stuff ! I downloaded the ZIP files, extracted them and am stuck
> at the point where I should be able to import the files into WIN500
> for my PSR600. The files are not recognized...Could someone give me
> a short tutorial to make this work?

Unzip the file(s). Then use Win500 to open them respectively.
Save them both as V-Folders. For example, I have the VHF file
in V-Folder 0, and the UHF in V-Folder 1. Upload them to the
scanner as a V-Folder just to have, OR you can just open the
file(s) in Win500, and tell the program to UPLOAD TO SCANNER.
Just make sure you SAVE your present file of frequencies you
NORMALLY scan, so it doesn't overwrite them. Simple as that.

You will NOT be able to search UHF & VHF AT THE SAME TIME.
It will either be one or the other. But overall it really doesn't matter.
Just like I said, with the MONITOR logger, you can set and leave
it to log VHF one day, and UHF the other. Come back home after
work, errands, etc. and VIOLA! ....frequencies catches and NAC
codes!

> Also, am getting a very garbled signal on 406.3375 NAC482 on a
> regular basis. Does not show as ENC - is this just poor reception of
> this p25 freq or can I tweak my PSR600 digital settings to correct it?

Welcome to the wonderful world of government digital
encryption! What you are hearing and decoding is the
great and powerful poobah of USPS Inspectors. The
NAC you decoded is correct, and that is their new
frequency for DFW ops. However, they are using
encryption almost 100% of the time. On a rarity,
you might hear them in the clear (as I did when
they were testing the radios), but now, you might
as well forget it. Still good to have in the LE scan
bank though, just in case.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090121-0, 01/21/2009
Tested on: 1/21/2009 10:59:13 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29509. UHF P25 search this morning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 18:08:24 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29509 Message: 29509

415.875 NAC293 BEP - FT WORTH FACILITY SECURITY
406.3375 NAC482 USPS INSPECTORS

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090121-0, 01/21/2009
Tested on: 1/21/2009 12:08:25 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29510. ICE Repeater

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 21:09:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29510 Message: 29510

In radio tests when it first came online, this
frequency was referred to as "Dallas 1 Repeater".
In searching for NAC codes, it has displayed a
NAC of 001, as well as 031. I can confirm, again
from radio tests, that at least one of the repeaters
is at Cedar Hill, with very wide coverage. It's like
a federal smartzone kind of thing too, because
when they were testing it, I remember listening
one evening and hearing an agent in Tyler talking
to an agent in Dallas just like they were right
next to each other, loud and very clear.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090121-0, 01/21/2009
Tested on: 1/21/2009 3:09:51 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29511. 164.400

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 21:36:39 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 24654 Message: 29511

Finally heard some traffic on the 164.400
USSS Papa NAC001. All 100% encrypted.
So it seems as though it is used locally,
but for what USSS purpose, I do not
know (and I'm sure they ain't tellin'...<BG>).
Up until this point, I had only heard
an open carrier, no voice traffic.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090121-0, 01/21/2009
Tested on: 1/21/2009 3:36:39 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29512. RE: [dfwscan] Scanning 2 meters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 22:27:54 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29507 Message: 29512

Probably from a man.....

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Sarge
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:20 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Scanning 2 meters

At different times of the day I receive tremendous man made noise on 147.44 or thereabouts. Anyone know where it's coming from?

Thanks, Sarge

In Lickskillet, Texas KE5YPB

U.S. Constitution in English

U.S. Bill of Rights in English

If you don"t want to speak English, go back to that craphole of a country you left.

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29513. Re: [dfwscan] Scanning 2 meters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-21 23:50:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29507 Message: 29513

Terry wrote:

> Probably from a man.....

Sorry Sarge...but Terry you
made my day.....ROFLMAO!

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090121-0, 01/21/2009
Tested on: 1/21/2009 5:50:39 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29514. Foreign Head of State

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-22 01:30:14 UTC
From: cashfd13tx
Topic: 29514 Message: 29514

I got to see a motorcade heading from Dallas to Paris today on I-30 at Bass Pro Dr. in Garland. Large amount of DPD motorcycles, squad cars, TX DPS, a DFR Rescue, and DPS 101 Helicopter following and giving traffic reports to lead car. Officers were closing off all entrance ramps on to I-30. I was just wondering if this was normal for a foreign Head of State. I don't recall who he is, but he came through Greenville last year for a doctors visit in Paris, TX. I know he was arab, but can't recall which country he represents. I also got to see them heading back to Dallas as they passed thru Greenville, but they seemed to have scaled back the escort by then, as there were only about 10 cars mostly DPS. I did notice a unmarked Tahoe that looked like DPD SWAT in it. I thought the DFR Rescue running Code-3 was a little out of the ordinary. Just not something we get to see out here in the sticks very often. I was wondering if USSS would be in charge of something as coordinated as this, so if they come back thru I can do a better job of montioring them.

TIA,

Doug

29515. Fed P25 activity today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-22 01:48:30 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29515 Message: 29515

ALL CONFIRMED NAC CODES

VHF:

163.700 NAC 001/NAC031 ICE
164.400 NAC001/NAC013 USSS
172.900 NAC001 TSA
169.1625 NAC001/NAC013 TSA
164.650 NAC001 USSS
165.2125 NAC001 USSS
170.725 NAC653 DOJ INTERAGENCY DFW EAST
171.4375 NAC653 DOJ INTERAGENCY DFW WEST
163.625 NAC653 ICE?

UHF

406.3375 NAC482 USPS INSPECTORS
415.875 NAC293 BEP-FT WORTH

Late this afternoon caught "3603" talking to "3610",
and testing out radios clear and private on 170.725
and 171.4375 DOJ EAST & WEST.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090121-0, 01/21/2009
Tested on: 1/21/2009 7:48:30 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29516. RE: [dfwscan] Foreign Head of State

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-22 01:46:44 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29514 Message: 29516

State Dept usually handles foreign heads. Search UHF fed range and likely P-25. They are usually simplex and try really hard to sound like USSS. Usually they don't get anywhere close! I suspect you heard most of the traffic. Always remember close-call when you see such a thing.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cashfd13tx
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:30 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Foreign Head of State

I got to see a motorcade heading from Dallas to Paris today on I-30 at Bass Pro Dr. in Garland. Large amount of DPD motorcycles, squad cars, TX DPS, a DFR Rescue, and DPS 101 Helicopter following and giving traffic reports to lead car. Officers were closing off all entrance ramps on to I-30. I was just wondering if this was normal for a foreign Head of State. I don't recall who he is, but he came through Greenville last year for a doctors visit in Paris, TX. I know he was arab, but can't recall which country he represents. I also got to see them heading back to Dallas as they passed thru Greenville, but they seemed to have scaled back the escort by then, as there were only about 10 cars mostly DPS. I did notice a unmarked Tahoe that looked like DPD SWAT in it. I thought the DFR Rescue running Code-3 was a little out of the ordinary. Just not something we get to see out here in the sticks very often. I was wondering if USSS would be in charge of something as coordinated as this, so if they come back thru I can do a better job of montioring them.

TIA,

Doug

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29517. Re: Foreign Head of State

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-22 01:57:16 UTC
From: cashfd13tx
Topic: 29514 Message: 29517

Found an article from our local paper from 2007 talking about it. Guess it was someone pretty important. I am sure it was the same person again.

Greenville Police Department Sergeant Steve Holman said Pakistani President Pervez Musharraf passed through Greenville around 9:40 a.m. on his way from Dallas to Paris, Texas. His then passed through Greenville again around 3:30 p.m. on his way back to Dallas.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> State Dept usually handles foreign heads. Search UHF fed range and likely
> P-25. They are usually simplex and try really hard to sound like USSS.
> Usually they don't get anywhere close! I suspect you heard most of the
> traffic. Always remember close-call when you see such a thing.
>
> Terry
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> cashfd13tx
> Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:30 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Foreign Head of State
>
> I got to see a motorcade heading from Dallas to Paris today on I-30 at
> Bass Pro Dr. in Garland. Large amount of DPD motorcycles, squad cars,
> TX DPS, a DFR Rescue, and DPS 101 Helicopter following and giving
> traffic reports to lead car. Officers were closing off all entrance
> ramps on to I-30. I was just wondering if this was normal for a
> foreign Head of State. I don't recall who he is, but he came through
> Greenville last year for a doctors visit in Paris, TX. I know he was
> arab, but can't recall which country he represents. I also got to

see
> them heading back to Dallas as they passed thru Greenville, but
they
> seemed to have scaled back the escort by then, as there were only
about
> 10 cars mostly DPS. I did notice a unmarked Tahoe that looked like
DPD
> SWAT in it. I thought the DFR Rescue running Code-3 was a little
out of
> the ordinary. Just not something we get to see out here in the
sticks
> very often. I was wondering if USSS would be in charge of something
as
> coordinated as this, so if they come back thru I can do a better
job of
> montioring them.
>
> TIA,
>
> Doug
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

29518. Re: Foreign Head of State

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-22 02:00:42 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29514 Message: 29518

Terry wrote:

> State Dept usually handles foreign heads. Search UHF fed range and likely
> P-25. They are usually simplex and try really hard to sound like USSS.
> Usually they don't get anywhere close!

Adding to Terry's comments....

USSD used to have a repeater here in DFW
on 409.625 (PL 151.4) CH 1 & 2, repeat and
direct.

I personally have not heard it used in ages.
In fact, the last time I heard it used was a visit
from Benjamin Netanyahu several years ago.

USSD Diplomatic Security in Washington DC
has made the transition to Astro. I would
assume that would eventually be the case
nationwide with the field offices. Here are
their frequencies in DC (courtesy of Capitol
Hill Monitors):

407.600
408.600
409.0375
409.7125

I personally have not heard any activity YET
on these frequencies.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090121-0, 01/21/2009
Tested on: 1/21/2009 8:00:42 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29520. Sorry about the spam

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-23 00:07:43 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29520 Message: 29520

I got an e-mail saying that my mother had signed up on this reunion thing. It said click if you know her. So I did and it asked me to sign up to keep in contact with her. All you had to do was enter your e-mail addy. I call my mom and said you signed up for Reunion.com. She said no I did not someone from church sent that to me. I said well you sent it to me. She said oh know you think that went out to everyone on my contact list. I said I hope not cause I answered it to cause I thought it was from you. Sure enough looks like everyone on my contact list got a message.

So, that is the story. Sorry, about that. I am just going to blame it on my mother. :)

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29521. 164.400

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-23 18:04:11 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 24654 Message: 29521

Just a WAG, but I'm wondering if 164.400
will be the new frequency for George W.
Bush's protect detail. I'm start to hear
encrypted voice on a daily basis now
on this frequency. NAC001 & NAC013.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090123-0, 01/23/2009
Tested on: 1/23/2009 12:04:11 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29522. Updated P25 log

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-24 00:20:47 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29522 Message: 29522

Over the last few days, this is just an update of
what I have logged VHF & UHF P25:

164.400 NAC001 / NAC013 / NAC031 USSS
169.1625 NAC001 / NAC013 TSA
163.625 NAC032 / NAC653 ICE
168.975 NAC038 ??
163.700 NAC031 / NAC001 ICE
172.900 NAC001 TSA
164.650 NAC001 USSS

165.2125 NAC001 USSS
170.725 NAC653 DOJ EAST
171.4375 NAC653 DOJ WEST

406.3375 NAC482 USPS INSP
407.725 NAC482 USPS INSP?
415.875 NAC293 / NAC482 BEP

Obviously there is MUCH more activity on VHF
than UHF, excluding a few digital UHF trunked
(like FWNASJRB).

Some of these it will lock on the frequency on
the GRE-500 and supposedly decode the NAC,
but no audio will come through, so go figure.

Also, I'm getting different NAC code hits for
frequencies. Can't determine if these are
different repeaters, inputs, or what. Anyone
know why that might happen?

A couple of new ones to track down for a
positive ID. 168.975 NAC038 & 407.725 NAC482.
Not sure if latter is USPS Inspectors or not.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090123-0, 01/23/2009
Tested on: 1/23/2009 6:20:47 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29523. Re: 164.400

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-24 01:14:23 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 24654 Message: 29523

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Just a WAG, but I'm wondering if 164.400
> will be the new frequency for George W.
> Bush's protect detail. I'm start to hear
> encrypted voice on a daily basis now
> on this frequency. NAC001 & NAC013.
>

Extra traffic could be because Laura Bush
has been in town checking out the new place.

http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/dn/latestnews/stories/012309
dnmetlaurabush.21fbd583.html

29524. Pro 106

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-24 01:52:40 UTC
From: deliveryguydfw
Topic: 29524 Message: 29524

If anyone is looking for a 106 there is 1 available at the RS in Mansfield ..

29525. Re: Updated P25 log

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-24 03:10:32 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 29522 Message: 29525

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Some of these it will lock on the frequency on
> the GRE-500 and supposedly decode the NAC,
> but no audio will come through, so go figure.
>

I'm surprised that the GRE-500 does not have an
indicator for the type of transmission. I think
the Uniden models will show "LNK" for data
response type packets.

29526. Re: [dfwscan] Scanning 2 meters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-24 19:53:33 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 29507 Message: 29526

Probably a D-STAR repeater up there.
We have several here in the Houston area.
They are digital transmissions similar to P25 but not compatible.
There is no real "scanner" on the market that can decode D-STAR (yet).

Here is a webpage I found that talks about it.
http://www.dstarinfo.com/

Using the links, looks like you might be hearing the D-STAR repeater in
Denton on 147.450 MHz:
http://dcara.net/repeater.htm

So it looks like it is made by a man...

----- Original Message -----
From: "Sarge" <smd_1@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:20 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Scanning 2 meters

> At different times of the day I receive tremendous man made noise on
> 147.44 or thereabouts. Anyone know where it's coming from?
>
> Thanks, Sarge
>
>
>
> In Lickskillet, Texas KE5YPB
>
>
>
> U.S. Constitution in English
>
> U.S. Bill of Rights in English
>
> If you don"t want to speak English, go back to that craphole of a country
> you left.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
> Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
> Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
> <http://www.cyberdefender.com>

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

29527. Re: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-24 22:31:13 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 29487 Message: 29527

According to this,
http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Common_Public_Safety,
it is a Nationwide Mutual Aid simplex channel.
Wonder if DPS units could be using it for car-car use.
Has anyone confirmed simplex DPS car-car on any other freqs?

Myles

----- Original Message -----
From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 8:17 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023

>
>
> Hi Group,
>
>
>
> Since last night, I've been getting traffic (non digital) on this
> frequency
> with the 023 PL tone indicated. It is clear enough to hear sometimes but
> either it is a frequency not being used much or I'm not receiving it most
> times. I only saw 2 State of Texas (I think one is a DPS) listings on the
> FCC database and they were both out of Austin. Other than this little bit
> of information, I have nothing else. Does anyone have any idea who the
> user
> may be? Thanks.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------

>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

29528. (no subject)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-25 00:05:11 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29528 Message: 29528

Sorry for this OT post, Does anyone have any onfo on month to month Wireless braodband service? I have an unlocked aircard and dont want to be tied to a long contract.

THX

Reply offline to me

Ed

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29529. Weekly FCC grants January 18-24

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-25 14:16:14 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29529 Message: 29529

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29530. Re: Updated P25 log

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-25 18:54:00 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29522 Message: 29530

js_scan888 wrote:

> I'm surprised that the GRE-500 does not have an
> indicator for the type of transmission. I think
> the Uniden models will show "LNK" for data
> response type packets.

The GRE does not have any indicator that
I can tell, but for me it's no big deal.

It's probably just a case of the scanner
picking up weak audio, decoding the NAC,
but not letting the audio pass through.
Digital signals are so fickle. They
have to have the correct signal strength to
decode. They won't become scratchy or
fade in and out like analog. You just end
up not hearing anything at all
sometimes! ...:) or you end up hearing
something that sounds like a drunk R2D2.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090125-0, 01/25/2009
Tested on: 1/25/2009 12:54:00 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29531. Re: Updated P25 log

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-25 22:31:23 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 29522 Message: 29531

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> It's probably just a case of the scanner
> picking up weak audio, decoding the NAC,
> but not letting the audio pass through.
>

What I was trying to say is that those short
transmissions, which are less than a second,
are really data packets and not voice.
Your scanner is trying to output audio when
it shouldn't. (unless it was designed that way
as a method of letting the user know there is
activity on the channel). The NAC is sent for
all transmissions types, voice and data.

29532. Air Force One

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-25 22:33:25 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 13083 Message: 29532

If you've never been on AF1, here's your chance
to get an inside view.

Network: National Geographic
Title: "On Board Air Force One"
Time: Sunday Jan 25, 7:00pm

It will also be airing at other times too.

29533. Re: [dfwscan] Air Force One

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-26 03:19:24 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 13083 Message: 29533

It doesn't look like the Jack-in-the-Box commercial???

js_scan888 wrote:
> If you've never been on AF1, here's your chance
> to get an inside view.
>
> Network: National Geographic
> Title: "On Board Air Force One"
> Time: Sunday Jan 25, 7:00pm
>
> It will also be airing at other times too.
>
>

29534. Re: 155.4825/DCS 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-26 06:26:45 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 29487 Message: 29534

You would think that DPS would use one of their standard PL tones or
P25 mode. DCS isn't really DPS' thing. It must be an SO or PD.

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...> wrote:
>
> According to this,
> http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Common_Public_Safety,
> it is a Nationwide Mutual Aid simplex channel.
> Wonder if DPS units could be using it for car-car use.
> Has anyone confirmed simplex DPS car-car on any other freqs?
>
> Myles
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 8:17 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023
>
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Hi Group,
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Since last night, I've been getting traffic (non digital) on this
> > > frequency
> > > with the 023 PL tone indicated. It is clear enough to hear
sometimes but
> > > either it is a frequency not being used much or I'm not receiving
it most
> > > times. I only saw 2 State of Texas (I think one is a DPS)
listings on the
> > > FCC database and they were both out of Austin. Other than this
little bit
> > > of information, I have nothing else. Does anyone have any idea
who the
> > > user
> > > may be? Thanks.
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > Brian
> >
> > Ft. Worth, TX
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

29535. RE: [dfwscan] Re: 155.4825/DCS 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-26 22:14:58 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29487 Message: 29535

Well, I don't think it was DPS really. This was an analog transmission and I don't think DPS is using any of the newer frequencies in any non P25 modes. I'm not 100% sure though.

I looked in Oklahoma too (via the FCC database) and even across the whole country and there are very, very few listings with this frequency at all. I believe there were two listings in Texas, none in Oklahoma, and maybe four or five in the whole country. I've finally locked 155.4825 out for a little while because it became too hard to copy. But there's definitely someone using the frequency and the next time we have some good skip/ducting, I'm going to try and figure out who it is :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russell Adams
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 12:27 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: 155.4825/DCS 023

You would think that DPS would use one of their standard PL tones or P25 mode. DCS isn't really DPS' thing. It must be an SO or PD.

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...> wrote:
>
> According to this,
> http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Common_Public_Safety,
> it is a Nationwide Mutual Aid simplex channel.
> Wonder if DPS units could be using it for car-car use.
> Has anyone confirmed simplex DPS car-car on any other freqs?
>
> Myles
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 8:17 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023
>
>
>
> > >
> > >

> > Hi Group,
> >
> >
> >
> > Since last night, I've been getting traffic (non digital) on this
> > frequency
> > with the 023 PL tone indicated. It is clear enough to hear
sometimes but
> > either it is a frequency not being used much or I'm not receiving
it most
> > times. I only saw 2 State of Texas (I think one is a DPS)
listings on the
> > FCC database and they were both out of Austin. Other than this
little bit
> > of information, I have nothing else. Does anyone have any idea
who the
> > user
> > may be? Thanks.
> >
> >
> >
> > Brian
> >
> > Ft. Worth, TX
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29536. RE: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-26 22:26:52 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29487 Message: 29536

This is what I get for reading e-mails backwards (latest to previous order) :) Thanks for the information Myles. I possibly heard some 155.475 P25 DPS car-to-car traffic about six months ago, but as with a few other P25 conventional users in N. Texas, they were just a little too far away to catch everything.

I've never really noticed DPS using 155.475 (even though it's a nationwide law enforcement channel) much other than out in West Texas during the late '90's. But I've been wondering the same thing? Has anyone been catching any P25 (or analog still) DPS simplex traffic. The CLE guys are still analog around here (Fort Worth) from what I've heard, but I seldom hear them anymore too.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Myles Barkman [mailto:kg5ai@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 4:31 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; commscan
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023

According to this,
http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Common_Public_Safety,
it is a Nationwide Mutual Aid simplex channel.
Wonder if DPS units could be using it for car-car use.
Has anyone confirmed simplex DPS car-car on any other freqs?

Myles

----- Original Message -----
From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 8:17 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023

>
>
> Hi Group,
>
>
>
> Since last night, I've been getting traffic (non digital) on this
> frequency
> with the 023 PL tone indicated. It is clear enough to hear sometimes but
> either it is a frequency not being used much or I'm not receiving it most
> times. I only saw 2 State of Texas (I think one is a DPS) listings on the

> FCC database and they were both out of Austin. Other than this little bit
> of information, I have nothing else. Does anyone have any idea who the
> user
> may be? Thanks.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

29537. Re: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-26 22:43:45 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29487 Message: 29537

commscan wrote:

> The CLE guys are still analog around here (Fort Worth) from what I've heard, but I seldom hear them
> anymore too.

I can confirm that too. I don't hear
them anymore, or haven't in a very
long time. Used to be active (sometimes
on a daily basis) on 155.505 & 159.090.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090126-0, 01/26/2009
Tested on: 1/26/2009 4:43:46 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29538. Re: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-26 22:48:22 UTC
From: Robert Cross
Topic: 29487 Message: 29538

They primarily use cell phones now
Robert Cross

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>

Date: Mon, 26 Jan 2009 16:43:45
To: commscan<commscan-ftw@...>
Cc: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023

commscan wrote:

> The CLE guys are still analog around here (Fort Worth) from what I've heard, but I seldom hear them
> anymore too.

I can confirm that too. I don't hear
them anymore, or haven't in a very
long time. Used to be active (sometimes
on a daily basis) on 155.505 & 159.090.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090126-0, 01/26/2009
Tested on: 1/26/2009 4:43:46 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29539. Re: [dfwscan] Re: 155.4825/DCS 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-27 00:21:51 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 29487 Message: 29539

I've heard transmissions on the same freq/tone a few times mobile between Sherman & McKinney in the mornings. It sounded like a PD or SO to me.

Mike

----- Original Message -----
From: commscan
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 4:14 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: 155.4825/DCS 023

Well, I don't think it was DPS really. This was an analog transmission and I don't think DPS is using any of the newer frequencies in any non P25 modes. I'm not 100% sure though.

I looked in Oklahoma too (via the FCC database) and even across the whole country and there are very, very few listings with this frequency at all. I believe there were two listings in Texas, none in Oklahoma, and maybe four or five in the whole country. I've finally locked 155.4825 out for a little while because it became too hard to copy. But there's definitely someone using the frequency and the next time we have some good skip/ducting, I'm going to try and figure out who it is :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russell Adams
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 12:27 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: 155.4825/DCS 023

You would think that DPS would use one of their standard PL tones or P25 mode. DCS isn't really DPS' thing. It must be an SO or PD.

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...> wrote:
>
> According to this,
> http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Common_Public_Safety,
> it is a Nationwide Mutual Aid simplex channel.
> Wonder if DPS units could be using it for car-car use.
> Has anyone confirmed simplex DPS car-car on any other freqs?
>
> Myles

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 8:17 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023
>
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Hi Group,
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Since last night, I've been getting traffic (non digital) on this
> > > frequency
> > > with the 023 PL tone indicated. It is clear enough to hear
sometimes but
> > > either it is a frequency not being used much or I'm not receiving
it most
> > > times. I only saw 2 State of Texas (I think one is a DPS)
listings on the
> > > FCC database and they were both out of Austin. Other than this
little bit
> > > of information, I have nothing else. Does anyone have any idea
who the
> > > user
> > > may be? Thanks.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian
> > >
> > > Ft. Worth, TX
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------------------
> > >
> > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links

> >
> >
> >
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29540. Re: 155.4825/DCS 023

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-27 10:54:48 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 29487 Message: 29540

I don't think so. I've never known any OK agency to use a tone, it's all CS.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dan" <deptydog@...>
To: "Michael Bennett" <michaelbennett@...>
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 9:02 PM
Subject: Re: 155.4825/DCS 023

Could it be some OK off frequency? OK "mutual aid" is 155.490

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Bennett" <michaelbennett@...> wrote:
>
> I've heard transmissions on the same freq/tone a few times mobile between Sherman & McKinney in the mornings. It sounded like a PD or SO to me.
>
> Mike
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: commscan
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 4:14 PM
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: 155.4825/DCS 023
>
>
>
> Well, I don't think it was DPS really. This was an analog transmission and
> I don't think DPS is using any of the newer frequencies in any non P25
> modes. I'm not 100% sure though.
>
> I looked in Oklahoma too (via the FCC database) and even across the whole
> country and there are very, very few listings with this frequency at all. I
> believe there were two listings in Texas, none in Oklahoma, and maybe four
> or five in the whole country. I've finally locked 155.4825 out for a little
> while because it became too hard to copy. But there's definitely someone
> using the frequency and the next time we have some good skip/ducting, I'm

> going to try and figure out who it is :)
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
Behalf Of
> Russell Adams
> Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 12:27 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: 155.4825/DCS 023
>
> You would think that DPS would use one of their standard PL tones or
> P25 mode. DCS isn't really DPS' thing. It must be an SO or PD.
>
> Russell
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@> wrote:
> >
> > According to this,
> > http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Common_Public_Safety,
> > it is a Nationwide Mutual Aid simplex channel.
> > Wonder if DPS units could be using it for car-car use.
> > Has anyone confirmed simplex DPS car-car on any other freqs?
> >
> > Myles
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@>
> > To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Saturday, January 17, 2009 8:17 AM
> > Subject: [dfwscan] 155.4825/DCS 023
> >
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Hi Group,
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Since last night, I've been getting traffic (non digital) on this
> > > frequency
> > > with the 023 PL tone indicated. It is clear enough to hear
> sometimes but
> > > either it is a frequency not being used much or I'm not receiving
> it most
> > > times. I only saw 2 State of Texas (I think one is a DPS)
> listings on the
> > > FCC database and they were both out of Austin. Other than this

> little bit
> > > of information, I have nothing else. Does anyone have any idea
> who the
> > > user
> > > may be? Thanks.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian
> > >
> > > Ft. Worth, TX
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------------------
> > >
> > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29541. Don't Clump Up

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-27 21:20:27 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29541 Message: 29541

According to the latest bulletin by the NWS:
"...Conditions are expected to deteriorate through the evening hours. Motorists are urged to drive with extreme caution or avoid driving all together through tonight."

So, please lets leave plenty of space between each other. We don't want to be all together on our drive home, today.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29542. On Plano PD Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-28 02:40:52 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 29542 Message: 29542

I-75 is shut down in both directions in Plano at Spring Creek. 10+ car pile-up. No major injuries reported at this time.

29543. RE: [dfwscan] On Plano PD Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-28 03:45:05 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 29542 Message: 29543

Yep. Sorry, it's not in Detroit. ;-)

-----Original Message-----
From: John Mayson [mailto:john@...]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 9:44 PM
To: Mark Saum
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] On Plano PD Radio

On Wed, 28 Jan 2009, Mark Saum wrote:

> I-75 is shut down in both directions in Plano at Spring Creek. 10+
> car pile-up. No major injuries reported at this time.

US 75?

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

29544. PHI Med 8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-30 16:36:49 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 26285 Message: 29544

Has anyone heard PHI Med 8 lately? I got to thinking about it last night and realized I haven't heard the Fort Worth based EMS helicopter lately. Maybe I'm just missing traffic, but I thought I'd ask since a few on this list know about the EMS helicopter status and details.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29545. TFS Air Operations Plan & freqs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 00:20:53 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29545 Message: 29545

See my post on RR for where to get it.
<http://www.radioreference.com/forums/texas-radio-discussion-forum/133254-tfs-air-operations-plan-frequencies.html>

Tom

29546. MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 06:01:11 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 26744 Message: 29546

This was an MVA that occurred near my house. This is raw footage.

http://www.outrunproductions.com/policefire.html

Username: police
Password: fire

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29547. RE: [dfwscan] MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 07:11:16 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 26744 Message: 29547

On Saturday, January 31, 2009 00:01, "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@...> said:

> This was an MVA that occurred near my house. This is raw footage.

* What was the arsehole deputy's problem? I'd have told him to blow me.

* What was with the flight nurse/medic with the Crips do-rag on her head?

* Why is there a fat woman with short hair, in jeans and a sweatshirt at every MVA in rural America?

* Is gas really $1.74 in Texas now? I can't wait to get back to that!

Rob

29548. Re: [dfwscan] MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 12:00:58 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 26744 Message: 29548

You act like that is a good price. It was down to $1.29 about a month
ago. At least Diesel is holding its own. $1.99 to $2.05 around here.
>
> * Is gas really $1.74 in Texas now? I can't wait to get back to that!
>
> Rob
>
>

29549. Re: [dfwscan] MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 14:58:50 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 26744 Message: 29549

LOL!!!

Deputy? Every time I go somewhere it never fails. If you are not with press you need to move back. Well I am not with press but sometimes I just say yeah and oh that does not even work. I move like 5 feet back. Then you get people coming over to you and trying to talk to you while you are shooting when really they are just trying to distract you from the scene. I hate that! Anyway.

I have no idea with that flight lady. This is the country I guess things are kinda different. First time I have ever seen Lifestar on the ground to.

Best of all the fat lady with short hair. I have not a clue.

Everyone else did the video play ok? It was shot in HD then rendered. Then rendered again to flash. Would like to know how it did.

Jason

From: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 1:11:16 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] MVA

On Saturday, January 31, 2009 00:01, "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@yahoo. com> said:

> This was an MVA that occurred near my house. This is raw footage.

* What was the arsehole deputy's problem? I'd have told him to blow me.

* What was with the flight nurse/medic with the Crips do-rag on her head?

* Why is there a fat woman with short hair, in jeans and a sweatshirt at every MVA in rural America?

* Is gas really $1.74 in Texas now? I can't wait to get back to that!

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29550. Re: [dfwscan] MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 15:07:29 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 26744 Message: 29550

It was great video!

I too wondered what deputy dumbasses problem was. They can't tell you to
stop recording.

Jason Simpkins wrote:
> LOL!!!
>
> Deputy? Every time I go somewhere it never fails. If you are not with press you need to move back. Well I am not with press but sometimes I just say yeah and oh that does not even work. I move like 5 feet back. Then you get people coming over to you and trying to talk to you while you are shooting when really they are just trying to distract you from the scene. I hate that! Anyway.
>
> I have no idea with that flight lady. This is the country I guess things are kinda different. First time I have ever seen Lifestar on the ground to.
>
> Best of all the fat lady with short hair. I have not a clue.
>
> Everyone else did the video play ok? It was shot in HD then rendered. Then rendered again to flash. Would like to know how it did.
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>
> To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 1:11:16 AM
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] MVA
>
>
> On Saturday, January 31, 2009 00:01, "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@yahoo. com> said:
>
>> This was an MVA that occurred near my house. This is raw footage.
>
> * What was the arsehole deputy's problem? I'd have told him to blow me.
>
> * What was with the flight nurse/medic with the Crips do-rag on her head?
>
> * Why is there a fat woman with short hair, in jeans and a sweatshirt at every MVA in rural America?

>
> * Is gas really $1.74 in Texas now? I can't wait to get back to that!
>
> Rob
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

29551. Re: [dfwscan] MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 15:28:19 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 26744 Message: 29551

I always get told to stop recording. In the city it is like this: Sir, I am going to have to ask you to stop recording. I don't.

What was not on camera. This guy walks up and says can you not record this. He was very nice about it. Not a jerk. I said I am taking a wide shot and if it does get aired anything that NOT SAFE FOR TELEVISION will be edited out. He said ok no problem well then I will just have to ask you to step back. I said ok I can do that but I do have a 20x zoom so I will just zoom in it makes no difference. He walks off and comes back about 3 mins later and gives me the thumbs up and says it is ok to shoot it.

Correct me if I am wrong but hasn't it been that if it is in the public view it can be shot? That is the way I have always done it.

By the way this is the longest extraction, time in the ambulance to flight I have ever seen. I arrived 15 min after the call came out. Shouldn't there have been a faster extracation? Maybe using the jaws a little quicker? I am not a medic so I don't know.

Jason

From: Jay Urish <jay@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
Cc: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 9:07:29 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] MVA

It was great video!

I too wondered what deputy dumbasses problem was. They can't tell you to
stop recording.

Jason Simpkins wrote:
> LOL!!!
>
> Deputy? Every time I go somewhere it never fails. If you are not with press you need to move back. Well I am not with press but sometimes I just say yeah and oh that does not even work. I move like 5 feet back. Then you get people coming over to you and trying to talk to you while you are shooting when really they are just trying to distract you from the scene. I hate that! Anyway.
>
> I have no idea with that flight lady. This is the country I guess things are kinda different. First time I have ever seen Lifestar on the ground to.
>
> Best of all the fat lady with short hair. I have not a clue.
>
> Everyone else did the video play ok? It was shot in HD then rendered. Then rendered again to flash. Would like to know how it did.

>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> ____________ _________ _________ __
> From: "rob.davis@armynurse corps.com" <rob.davis@armynurse corps.com>
> To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@yahoo. com>
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 1:11:16 AM
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] MVA
>
>
> On Saturday, January 31, 2009 00:01, "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@ yahoo. com> said:
>
>> This was an MVA that occurred near my house. This is raw footage.
>
> * What was the arsehole deputy's problem? I'd have told him to blow me.
>
> * What was with the flight nurse/medic with the Crips do-rag on her head?
>
> * Why is there a fat woman with short hair, in jeans and a sweatshirt at every MVA in
rural America?
>
> * Is gas really $1.74 in Texas now? I can't wait to get back to that!
>
> Rob
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------ --------- --------- ------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see: http://www.radioref
erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf .net

Home)972.691. 0125 Cell)972.965. 6229

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29552. Re: [dfwscan] MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 16:36:48 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 26744 Message: 29552

Jason,

I thought the video quality was fine. However, the audio had a loud 'grinding' background noise to it. At first, I thought it was the hydraulic jaws or the generator for it. Later, I thought it might be the helicopter rotor. After the copter took off, the noise stayed, so I don't know what it was.

I found your video of a Garland laser radar trap on George Bush. It had the same background noise. Just irritating.

I thought maybe the noise was on my end, but I haven't heard anything like it before on any video I've watched. If it were on my end, this computer would be in the shop within an hour. I don't know what the noise source was, but your audio did bother me.

Toby

At 08:58 AM 1/31/2009, Jason Simpkins wrote:

>LOL!!!
>
>Deputy? Every time I go somewhere it never fails. If you are not
>with press you need to move back. Well I am not with press but
>sometimes I just say yeah and oh that does not even work. I move
>like 5 feet back. Then you get people coming over to you and trying
>to talk to you while you are shooting when really they are just
>trying to distract you from the scene. I hate that! Anyway.
>
>I have no idea with that flight lady. This is the country I guess
>things are kinda different. First time I have ever seen Lifestar on
>the ground to.
>
>Best of all the fat lady with short hair. I have not a clue.
>
>Everyone else did the video play ok? It was shot in HD then
>rendered. Then rendered again to flash. Would like to know how it did.
>
>Jason

29553. RE: [dfwscan] MVA -- and gas prices

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 16:44:56 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 26744 Message: 29553

Rob,

I filled up with regular gas at a RaceTrack station Thursday night at Trinity Mills and the Tollway. $1.62/gallon. On Friday, I passed a Chevron station at Marsh and Royal (or Walnut Hill) where regular gas was $1.99/gallon.

The price is highly variable, but Chevron and Texaco are always at the top. In the Love Field area, the Chevron at Lemmon and Mockingbird is always at least 20 cents more expensive than the QuikTrip three blocks west on Mockingbird. I can't figure out how Chevron stations can stay in business.

Toby

At 01:11 AM 1/31/2009, Rob Davis wrote:

>On Saturday, January 31, 2009 00:01, "Jason Simpkins"
><<mailto:outrun2005%40yahoo.com>outrun2005@...> said:
>
> > This was an MVA that occurred near my house. This is raw footage.
>
>* What was the arsehole deputy's problem? I'd have told him to blow me.
>
>* What was with the flight nurse/medic with the Crips do-rag on her head?
>
>* Why is there a fat woman with short hair, in jeans and a
>sweatshirt at every MVA in rural America?
>
>* Is gas really $1.74 in Texas now? I can't wait to get back to that!
>
>Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29554. Re: [dfwscan] MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 16:47:40 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 26744 Message: 29554

Toby thanks for you input. I will be send you a message. I just switched over to HD so whatever it is it needs to be fixed. I will have to review this and see what it is.

Jason

From: Toby Zidle <tobyz7@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 10:36:48 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] MVA

Jason,

I thought the video quality was fine. However, the audio had a loud 'grinding' background noise to it. At first, I thought it was the hydraulic jaws or the generator for it. Later, I thought it might be the helicopter rotor. After the copter took off, the noise stayed, so I don't know what it was.

I found your video of a Garland laser radar trap on George Bush. It had the same background noise. Just irritating.

I thought maybe the noise was on my end, but I haven't heard anything like it before on any video I've watched. If it were on my end, this computer would be in the shop within an hour. I don't know what the noise source was, but your audio did bother me.

Toby

At 08:58 AM 1/31/2009, Jason Simpkins wrote:

>LOL!!!
>
>Deputy? Every time I go somewhere it never fails. If you are not
>with press you need to move back. Well I am not with press but
>sometimes I just say yeah and oh that does not even work. I move
>like 5 feet back. Then you get people coming over to you and trying
>to talk to you while you are shooting when really they are just
>trying to distract you from the scene. I hate that! Anyway.
>
>I have no idea with that flight lady. This is the country I guess
>things are kinda different. First time I have ever seen Lifestar on
>the ground to.
>
>Best of all the fat lady with short hair. I have not a clue.
>
>Everyone else did the video play ok? It was shot in HD then

>rendered. Then rendered again to flash. Would like to know how it did.
>
>Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29555. Re: [dfwscan] MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 17:48:25 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 26744 Message: 29555

Anyone else noticing this sound?

Jason

From: Toby Zidle <tobyz7@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 10:36:48 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] MVA

Jason,

I thought the video quality was fine. However, the audio had a loud 'grinding' background noise to it. At first, I thought it was the hydraulic jaws or the generator for it. Later, I thought it might be the helicopter rotor. After the copter took off, the noise stayed, so I don't know what it was.

I found your video of a Garland laser radar trap on George Bush. It had the same background noise. Just irritating.

I thought maybe the noise was on my end, but I haven't heard anything like it before on any video I've watched. If it were on my end, this computer would be in the shop within an hour. I don't know what the noise source was, but your audio did bother me.

Toby

At 08:58 AM 1/31/2009, Jason Simpkins wrote:

>LOL!!!
>
>Deputy? Every time I go somewhere it never fails. If you are not
>with press you need to move back. Well I am not with press but
>sometimes I just say yeah and oh that does not even work. I move
>like 5 feet back. Then you get people coming over to you and trying
>to talk to you while you are shooting when really they are just
>trying to distract you from the scene. I hate that! Anyway.
>
>I have no idea with that flight lady. This is the country I guess
>things are kinda different. First time I have ever seen Lifestar on
>the ground to.
>
>Best of all the fat lady with short hair. I have not a clue.
>
>Everyone else did the video play ok? It was shot in HD then
>rendered. Then rendered again to flash. Would like to know how it did.

>
>Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29556. Re: MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 17:58:55 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 26744 Message: 29556

Jason Simpkins wrote:

> Anyone else noticing this sound?

Not here. The only thing I had as
background noise was the sound
of the power unit for the Hurst tool,
and the engine/generator for the
FD truck. Didn't bother me...Typical
sounds on an accident or fire scene.
Noise and general controlled chaos.
You get used to it in a short period
of time if you're an observer/media
person/reporter at a scene.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090130-0, 01/30/2009
Tested on: 1/31/2009 11:58:55 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29557. RE: [dfwscan] MVA -- and gas prices

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 20:29:45 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 26744 Message: 29557

On Saturday, January 31, 2009 10:44, "Toby Zidle" <tobyz7@...> said:

> Rob,
>
> I filled up with regular gas at a RaceTrack station Thursday night at
> Trinity Mills and the Tollway. $1.62/gallon. On Friday, I passed a
> Chevron station at Marsh and Royal (or Walnut Hill) where regular gas
> was $1.99/gallon.

Nice! I've been in Floridia for three weeks now, and the lowest price around here is $1.89, with the average being around $2 dollars per gallon. It was fifteen cents or more cheaper in Georgia, Alabama, Louisiana, and Mississippi. I guess this is how Floridia makes up for having no state income tax.

Rob

29559. Re: MVA -- and gas prices

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 20:59:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 26744 Message: 29559

Soooooo......can I SCAN gas prices???

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090130-0, 01/30/2009
Tested on: 1/31/2009 2:59:09 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29560. Re: [dfwscan] Re: MVA -- and gas prices

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 21:16:25 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 26744 Message: 29560

Man wait till the mod gets on here. Were all going to get yelled out.

Jason

From: John Mayson <john@...>
To: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>
Cc: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 3:08:15 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: MVA -- and gas prices

Sorry, getting off-topic. I didn't pay much attention to the list this
was going to. :-)

--
John Mayson <john@mayson. us>
Austin, Texas, USA

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29561. How can I broadcast my scanner on my webpage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-01-31 21:22:02 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29561 Message: 29561

Ok back on topic. This will make up for all my off topics:)

So, how can I broadcast my scanner online? I did it a long time ago through shoutcast but not on my own web site.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29562. Weekly FCC grants January 25-31

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 10:46:59 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29562 Message: 29562

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29563. Monitoring SWRCC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 18:24:33 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 29563 Message: 29563

I've been monitoring Cedar Hill/Desoto/Duncanville on their MPT1327 systems. They all seem to be using it, now. I see a PL of 131.8 on all the voice channels. It is it safe to say that I will catch all their transmission if I use this PL or will I be missing some of the voice transmissions? Using the PL also blocks out the data channel so I haven't had to lock it out. It's like listening to Motorola and EDACS systems in the old days without a trunked scanner. Program all the channels as conventional and block the data channels. Nights and weekends it mostly public safety only, so it's not hard to follow the conversations. Are they using this system for non-public safety, as well?

Russell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29564. Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 20:36:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29564 Message: 29564

Confirming 466.3375 DCS612 as in use
by Kohl's department store at 1001
Valley Ridge Blvd.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090201-0, 02/01/2009
Tested on: 2/1/2009 2:36:10 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29565. Re: [dfwscan] Monitoring SWRCC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 21:24:37 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 29563 Message: 29565

Cedar Hill PD, Duncanville PD, and the fire depts are still using the VHF freqs. Last i heard they refuse to use the new system due
to safety reasons. Desoto PD?is stuck with it while they try to work out a number of bugs.

-----Original Message-----
From: Russell Adams <rwadams@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, 1 Feb 2009 12:24 pm
Subject: [dfwscan] Monitoring SWRCC

I've been monitoring Cedar Hill/Desoto/Duncanville on their MPT1327 systems. They all seem to be using it, now. I see a PL of 131.8 on all the voice channels. It is it safe to say that I will catch all their transmission if I use this PL or will I be missing some of the voice transmissions? Using the PL also blocks out the data channel so I haven't had to lock it out. It's like listening to Motorola and EDACS systems in the old days without a trunked scanner. Program all the channels as conventional and block the data channels. Nights and weekends it mostly public safety only, so it's not hard to follow the conversations. Are they using this system for non-public safety, as well?

Russell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29566. RE: [dfwscan] Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 22:33:04 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 29564 Message: 29566

Whoo, I bet that's some intense monitoring. You too can find out where the latest spill or puking kid can be located!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 02:36 PM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] Kohl's Lewisville

Confirming 466.3375 DCS612 as in use
by Kohl's department store at 1001
Valley Ridge Blvd.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090201-0, 02/01/2009
Tested on: 2/1/2009 2:36:10 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29567. Re: Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 22:50:35 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29564 Message: 29567

tvsjr wrote:

> Whoo, I bet that's some intense monitoring. You too can find out where the
> latest spill or puking kid can be located!

LOL...

Hey, they're gettin' ready for the Valentine's
Day rush!. Also, I can walk to the store.
Honestly, it's much more a case of wanting
to know the frequencies of everything in my
immediate vicinity more than anything else.
I feel I'm sorta' like my buddy Matt Stone in
that regard. Plus, I figure if someone is using
a radio, it's my job to figure out what they're
using! It's the thrill of the hunt....:) And every
once in a while I find a frequency that everyone
wants me to be quiet about. Those are the best.
I seem to recall a 173.9875..... M.C. ...you out
there? ...hah, hah....

BTW - As a former Wallyworld employee, I
can tell you the only thing you'll hear on their
frequencies are arrogant managers and
supervisors who don't have one iota of a clue
how to manage or supervise! However, you
(the monitor) can sit in the parking lot and
listen to them being idiots though!...Great fun
at Xmas time!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090201-0, 02/01/2009
Tested on: 2/1/2009 4:50:35 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29568. Re: [dfwscan] Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 23:05:12 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29564 Message: 29568

I listened to Fry's one time it was bad A$$. Was on black friday. I was in the store and a dude right next to me they were watching cause they thought he was stealing a usb thing. I was like holy crap. I looked over and he had like 3 packages. I moved though. Didn't want to be close to that. So, much stealing gone on that day it was fun. Other than that it is mostly been boaring.

Jason

From: tvsjr <tvsjr@...>
To: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>; DFWSCAN Group <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 1, 2009 4:33:04 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Kohl's Lewisville

Whoo, I bet that's some intense monitoring. You too can find out where the
latest spill or puking kid can be located!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of
Blaine Brooks
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 02:36 PM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] Kohl's Lewisville

Confirming 466.3375 DCS612 as in use
by Kohl's department store at 1001
Valley Ridge Blvd.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090201-0, 02/01/2009
Tested on: 2/1/2009 2:36:10 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast. com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29569. RE: [dfwscan] Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 23:09:02 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29564 Message: 29569

On Sunday, February 1, 2009 16:50, "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...> said:

>
> you (the monitor) can sit in the parking lot and
> listen to them being idiots though!

Meh... I can't do that. I don't have the self-control to resist the urge to talk back. :D

Rob

29570. RE: [dfwscan] Monitoring SWRCC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 23:09:47 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29563 Message: 29570

On Sunday, February 1, 2009 15:24, cwrong@... said:

> Cedar Hill PD, Duncanville PD, and the fire depts are still using the VHF freqs.
> Last i heard they refuse to use the new system due
> to safety reasons. Desoto PD?is stuck with it while they try to work out a number
> of bugs.

That's great news to hear! I had stopped even trying to listen to the fire departments after the switch, believing that they had migrated to the new system. Glad to know that they are still sticking with what works!

I always find myself wondering if any administrator has ever lost his or her job after suckering the city/county/state/whatever into buying an expensive piece of crap system that didn't work as advertised. If not, they should be.

Rob

29571. RE: RE: [dfwscan] Monitoring SWRCC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-01 23:30:47 UTC
From: combinecapt804@yahoo.com
Topic: 29563 Message: 29571

Well funny you should say that about people loosing there job because just this monday there is a posting on the Texas Municipal League website where SWRCC is now all of a sudden looking for a new communications director. God forbid they should solicit feedback from people who actually use the system and talk on it instead of from vendors.

Cody

rob.davis@armynursecorps.com wrote:
> On Sunday, February 1, 2009 15:24, cwrong@... said:
>> Cedar Hill PD, Duncanville PD, and the fire depts are still using the VHF freqs.
>> Last i heard they refuse to use the new system due
>> to safety reasons. Desoto PD?is stuck with it while they try to work out a number
>> of bugs.
> That's great news to hear! I had stopped even trying to listen to the fire departments after the switch, believing that they had migrated to the new system. Glad to know that they are still sticking with what works!
> I always find myself wondering if any administrator has ever lost his or her job after suckering the city/county/ state/whatever into buying an expensive piece of crap system that didn't work as advertised. If not, they should be.
> Rob
>

29572. RE: [dfwscan] Monitoring SWRCC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-02 00:07:48 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29563 Message: 29572

On Sunday, February 1, 2009 17:30, "combinecapt804@..." <combinecapt804@...> said:

> Well funny you should say that about people loosing there job because just this
> monday there is a posting on the Texas Municipal League website where SWRCC is now
> all of a sudden looking for a new communications director.

ROFL! That's funny right there, I don't care who you are!

> God forbid they should
> solicit feedback from people who actually use the system and talk on it instead of
> from vendors.

Definitely! Welcome to the Motorola school of slick salesmanship, whose motto is, "There's a sucker born every minute!"

Thanks for the insight, Cody!

Rob

29573. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-02 00:23:59 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29564 Message: 29573

Yo Blaine, since you are mentioning your experiences at Wallyworld, I would have thought you would have been perfect for management and would be running the joint by now!!!

Hah, you asked for that one buddy!

In regards to the publicity of certain sensitive frequencies, we have had this argument numerous times in the past. It has been my experience over the years that when they become common knowledge they have a tendency to go away. Why hasten the process? I'd be more than willing to continue this conversation in the Tavern.

Now back to the Super Bowl.Go Steelers!

M.C.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 4:51 PM
To: tvsjr
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Kohl's Lewisville

tvsjr wrote:

> Whoo, I bet that's some intense monitoring. You too can find out where the
> latest spill or puking kid can be located!

LOL...

Hey, they're gettin' ready for the Valentine's Day rush!. Also, I can walk to the store. Honestly, it's much more a case of wanting to know the frequencies of everything in my immediate vicinity more than anything else. I feel I'm sorta' like my buddy Matt Stone in that regard. Plus, I figure if someone is using a radio, it's my job to figure out what they're using! It's the thrill of the hunt....:) And every once in a while I find a frequency that everyone wants me to be quiet about. Those are the best. I seem to recall a 173.9875..... M.C. ...you out there? ...hah, hah....

BTW - As a former Wallyworld employee, I can tell you the only thing you'll hear on their

frequencies are arrogant managers and
supervisors who don't have one iota of a clue
how to manage or supervise! However, you
(the monitor) can sit in the parking lot and
listen to them being idiots though!...Great fun
at Xmas time!

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29574. Re: How can I broadcast my scanner on my webpage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-02 00:25:01 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29561 Message: 29574

Jason Simpkins wrote:

> So, how can I broadcast my scanner online? I did it a long time ago through shoutcast but not on my own web site.

Like you, Jason, I used to broadcast mine
through Shoutcast. They have an FAQ, but
I need a refresher too. My older and feeble
mind can't remember all the techo stuff to
set it up. Recently, I downloaded the server,
etc., but forgot how to set up the ports, etc.
I might have had it set to not open the port
(I can't remember), but I need a refresher
on how to set up the router for it, and how
to use DynDNS again. If I remember correctly,
Melissa Rasmussen baby stepped me through
the first time I set it up, and it worked perfectly.
Maybe if she has the time, she can do that again
for us here on the list. Over time, I took my feed
down, because 1. I could not dedicate a whole
computer to an online scanner and 2. There
were just not enough consistent listeners
even when I had (or announced) it was on.
But generally 1 beat out 2.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090201-0, 02/01/2009
Tested on: 2/1/2009 6:25:01 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29575. Re: Monitoring SWRCC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-02 00:27:07 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29563 Message: 29575

rob.davis@... wrote:

> Definitely! Welcome to the Motorola school of slick salesmanship, whose motto is, "There's a sucker born every minute!"

Or the sign at the Congress Street bridge....

"Watch for bat droppings".....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090201-0, 02/01/2009
Tested on: 2/1/2009 6:27:08 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29576. Re: Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-02 00:34:30 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29564 Message: 29576

Mark Colborn wrote:

> Hah, you asked for that one buddy!

"Hah" is right. I wouldn't have taken one
of those positions even if they had held
a gun to my head. They train those
people to be jerks, and most are.

> In regards to the publicity of certain sensitive frequencies, we have had
> this argument numerous times in the past. It has been my experience over the
> years that when they become common knowledge they have a tendency to go
> away. Why hasten the process? I'd be more than willing to continue this
> conversation in the Tavern.

Nah, it's beatin' a dead horse. We'll agree to disagree.
I was just messin' with ya'....Gotta' stir the pot on here
every once in a while or it gets boring...

> Now back to the Super Bowl.Go Steelers!

There's a football game today?? Really??

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090201-0, 02/01/2009
Tested on: 2/1/2009 6:34:31 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29577. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-02 00:40:08 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29564 Message: 29577

On Sunday, February 1, 2009 18:34, "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...> said:

>
> There's a football game today?? Really??

I can tell you from experience that today is the best day of the year to be a cable company disconnector! The screams can be heard for miles! :D

Rob

29578. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-02 00:42:54 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 29564 Message: 29578

Go Commercials!

Who's playing again? Lol

Sprint Treo 800w
Blackberry 8320 PIN 247F21F9
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm
http://www.twowayshopper.com

-----Original Message-----
From: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>

Date: Sun, 1 Feb 2009 18:40:08
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Kohl's Lewisville

On Sunday, February 1, 2009 18:34, "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...> said:

>
> There's a football game today?? Really??

I can tell you from experience that today is the best day of the year to be a cable company disconnector! The screams can be heard for miles! :D

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29579. Re: [dfwscan] Re: How can I broadcast my scanner on my webpage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-02 19:30:42 UTC
From: eritzie@swbell.net
Topic: 29561 Message: 29579

Check out www.scanamerica.us

Very easy to set up and there are already a few on there from the DFW area.

Eric

---------- Original message from Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>: ----------

Jason Simpkins wrote:

> So, how can I broadcast my scanner online? I did it a long time ago through shoutcast but not on my own web site.

Like you, Jason, I used to broadcast mine
through Shoutcast. They have an FAQ, but
I need a refresher too. My older and feeble
mind can't remember all the techo stuff to
set it up. Recently, I downloaded the server,
etc., but forgot how to set up the ports, etc.
I might have had it set to not open the port
(I can't remember), but I need a refresher
on how to set up the router for it, and how
to use DynDNS again. If I remember correctly,
Melissa Rasmussen baby stepped me through
the first time I set it up, and it worked perfectly.
Maybe if she has the time, she can do that again
for us here on the list. Over time, I took my feed
down, because 1. I could not dedicate a whole
computer to an online scanner and 2. There
were just not enough consistent listeners
even when I had (or announced) it was on.
But generally 1 beat out 2.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090201-0, 02/01/2009
Tested on: 2/1/2009 6:25:01 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29580. 165.375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-04 17:46:23 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29580 Message: 29580

USSS has been active this morning on 165.375
NAC001 encrypted.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090203-1, 02/03/2009
Tested on: 2/4/2009 11:46:23 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29581. Re: How can I broadcast my scanner on my webpage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-04 19:28:19 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 29561 Message: 29581

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> Ok back on topic. This will make up for all my off topics:)
>
> So, how can I broadcast my scanner online? I did it a long time ago through shoutcast but not on my own web site.
>
> Jason

Hi Jason,

As Blaine said I would check out scanamerica.us to broadcast. I am running my Dallas FD/PD up there and have nothing but good things to say about that site. I used to run my own shoutcast server on my home machine but found that it's really more efficient to send the audio to them. The bandwidth use is minimal (I am sending out a constant 5k stream). Another good thing is especially if you don't have a fast connection is that you are not limited to how many connections you can have as everything is done on the server side. Drop me a private email and I can get you Gordon's (the gentleman who runs scanamerica) email address if you don't have it already.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

29582. Re: Kohl's Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-04 19:37:35 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 29564 Message: 29582

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> tvsjr wrote:
>
> > Whoo, I bet that's some intense monitoring. You too can find out where the
> > latest spill or puking kid can be located!
>
> LOL...
>
> Hey, they're gettin' ready for the Valentine's
> Day rush!. Also, I can walk to the store.
> Honestly, it's much more a case of wanting
> to know the frequencies of everything in my
> immediate vicinity more than anything else.
> I feel I'm sorta' like my buddy Matt Stone in
> that regard. Plus, I figure if someone is using
> a radio, it's my job to figure out what they're
> using! It's the thrill of the hunt....:) And every
> once in a while I find a frequency that everyone
> wants me to be quiet about. Those are the best.
> I seem to recall a 173.9875..... M.C. ...you out
> there? ...hah, hah....
>
> BTW - As a former Wallyworld employee, I
> can tell you the only thing you'll hear on their
> frequencies are arrogant managers and
> supervisors who don't have one iota of a clue
> how to manage or supervise! However, you
> (the monitor) can sit in the parking lot and
> listen to them being idiots though!...Great fun
> at Xmas time!
>
>
> Blaine

Ahh the thrill of the hunt. How I love thee. :) You are right Blaine, I am a total frequency hunting junkie. I probably have over 100 frequencies in my scanner right now that I have been trying for months to figure out who in the hell they are. Those don't include any of the unknown retail frequencies that I have found either.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

29583. Milair stuff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-06 20:40:06 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29583 Message: 29583

For all you milair folks:

Bunch of NORAD training today. Players
are AWACS from Tinker, DOOM B-52's from
Barksdale, and a few others I haven't
identified.

So far, a bunch of activity on NORAD
AICC - 364.2, and FWARTCC DAL
SECTOR 379.25.

Sounds like the training orbit is right
over the DFW area.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090206-0, 02/06/2009
Tested on: 2/6/2009 2:40:06 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29584. RE: [dfwscan] 165.375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-06 22:37:14 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29580 Message: 29584

Yea, and they are laughing at us (perhaps you specifically) each time they key the mic. "If those dumb a** scanner listeners had kept their mouths shut about being able to listen to us years ago we never would have been able to justify getting all that money we needed to get this new totally secure radio system. And those idiots would still be able to hear us talking about where we are going for breakfast," the USSS SA LOLs to another on his way to work!

Just to keep on topic, I don't believe encryption needs a NAC code, but that kind of thing is above my pay grade!

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2009 11:46 AM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] 165.375

USSS has been active this morning on 165.375
NAC001 encrypted.

Blaine,_._,___

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29585. Re: 165.375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-08 03:55:01 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29580 Message: 29585

Mark Colborn wrote:

> Yea, and they are laughing at us (perhaps you specifically) each time they
> key the mic. "If those dumb a** scanner listeners had kept their mouths shut
> about being able to listen to us years ago we never would have been able to
> justify getting all that money we needed to get this new totally secure
> radio system.

Oh, yeahhhh budddddy...I'm sure that's what
every special agent has on his mind when he
heads into the field office every morning...
"how can I foil those dastardly scanner
monitors and media folks from listening today..."
I'm sure that supercedes any other thoughts of their
daily investigative cases, as well as advance team
planning and protectee visits. They certainly couldn't
be more busy with those elements....Naaah, never....

I personally think that the recent use of encryption on
the new law enforcement digital systems is simply a
matter of convenience for the radio techs. Set up the
brand new P25 system with all the bells and whistles
(and associated encryption) already enabled at the base
console, and you already have the tank in motion. Set
up the new portables and mobile units with encryption
right out of the box for the agents and units, and viola!,
the radio techs can go get a cold one...Plus, also remember
that post 9/11, encryption is a new toy for many agencies
to play with...that previously may have never considered
the option ....all in the name of "national security"...whether
it's truly needed or not.....Look at all the abuse of increased
federal funding for "homeland security" post 9/11. Some
of it has been a real circus. So now you have cities across
the US (that are on new digital systems) using encryption
for the freakin' fire department and animal control!!

Just to keep on topic, I don't believe encryption needs a NAC code,
but that
> kind of thing is above my pay grade!

What? You don't like listening to the drunk R2D2?
Well, basically I posted that for those of us that
have the GRE radios that show the NAC but don't
block out encryption. And who knows? Maybe..
they might just decide to throw R2D2 to the Jawas,
and go "all clear" some day.....:)

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090207-0, 02/07/2009
Tested on: 2/7/2009 9:55:02 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29586. Possible SVR weather on Tuesday

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-08 04:23:18 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29586 Message: 29586

This is a snip from the KFWD forecast discussion this evening - Talking about the possibilty of SVR on Tuesday - The latest GFS model run I looked at shows convective initiation around Abilene to west of Wichita Falls in the early afternoon... If this play out - this could be a dangerous event. Time to get the radios set up for springtime monitoring.

Ed

THERE WILL BE A BRIEF BREAK IN THE RAIN CHANCES LATE MONDAY AND
EARLY TUESDAY...BUT THE NEXT UPPER LEVEL SYSTEM WILL BE RIGHT ON
THE HEELS OF THE FIRST. THIS SYSTEM WILL NOT HAVE A CAP TO CONTEND
WITH AND WILL TRACK MORE WEST-EAST ACROSS THE RED RIVER VALLEY AS
IT DEEPENS AND CLOSES OFF. 500 MB TEMPS IN THE CORE OF THE UPPER
LOW ARE PROGGED NEAR -30C AND WILL CONTRIBUTE TO UNSEASONABLY
HIGH INSTABILITY WITH CAPES WELL OVER 1000 J/KG. 60-70KT BULK
SHEAR...AND OVER 400 MS/S2 OF 0-3KM HELICITY WOULD SUPPORT
SUPERCELLS AND POSSIBLY TORNADOES. NAM IS MOST BULLISH ON SEVERE
WEATHER THREAT...EVEN ADVERTISING A LATE AFTERNOON DRY LINE AS THE
FOCUS FOR CONVECTION. STILL A LOT CAN CHANGE...AND WILL CONTINUE
TO MONITOR SUBSEQUENT MODEL RUNS. WILL HIGHLIGHT SEVERE THREAT IN
HWO AND INCREASE POPS TO LIKELY TUESDAY AFTERNOON AND EVENING
FOR THE CENTRAL AND EASTERN CWA.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29587. Weekly FCC grants February 01-07

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-08 14:57:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29587 Message: 29587

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29588. Re: Possible SVR weather on Tuesday

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-08 17:06:22 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29586 Message: 29588

Thanks Ed. Could see some possible strong convection and flooding issues tonight, but no SVR is in the forecast. Tues into Weds will be the one to watch as LOW#2 comes in. Hope everyone's ready. This might be an early taste of whats in store for us this spring.

And to keep this on topic, you can always hear the Tarrant County R.A.C.E.S. Skywarn Net on 146.940.

David, KC5RHB
Tarrant County R.A.C.E.S.
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/races
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...> wrote:
>
> This is a snip from the KFWD forecast discussion this evening - Talking about the possibilty of SVR on Tuesday - The latest GFS model run I looked at shows convective initiation around Abilene to west of Wichita Falls in the early afternoon... If this play out - this could be a dangerous event. Time to get the radios set up for springtime monitoring.
>
> Ed
>
>
> THERE WILL BE A BRIEF BREAK IN THE RAIN CHANCES LATE MONDAY AND
> EARLY TUESDAY...BUT THE NEXT UPPER LEVEL SYSTEM WILL BE RIGHT ON
> THE HEELS OF THE FIRST. THIS SYSTEM WILL NOT HAVE A CAP TO CONTEND
> WITH AND WILL TRACK MORE WEST-EAST ACROSS THE RED RIVER VALLEY AS
> IT DEEPENS AND CLOSES OFF. 500 MB TEMPS IN THE CORE OF THE UPPER
> LOW ARE PROGGED NEAR -30C AND WILL CONTRIBUTE TO UNSEASONABLY
> HIGH INSTABILITY WITH CAPES WELL OVER 1000 J/KG. 60-70KT BULK
> SHEAR...AND OVER 400 MS/S2 OF 0-3KM HELICITY WOULD SUPPORT
> SUPERCELLS AND POSSIBLY TORNADOES. NAM IS MOST BULLISH ON SEVERE
> WEATHER THREAT...EVEN ADVERTISING A LATE AFTERNOON DRY LINE AS THE
> FOCUS FOR CONVECTION. STILL A LOT CAN CHANGE...AND WILL CONTINUE
> TO MONITOR SUBSEQUENT MODEL RUNS. WILL HIGHLIGHT SEVERE THREAT IN
> HWO AND INCREASE POPS TO LIKELY TUESDAY AFTERNOON AND EVENING
> FOR THE CENTRAL AND EASTERN CWA.
>
>
>
> Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.
>
>
>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29589. Got my scanner online

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-09 02:09:11 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29589 Message: 29589

I got my scanner online through Scan America. Hunt County, Rockwall County, AMR, Forney FD/PD, Rockwall Police and Fire. whatever I might be listening to. Still trying to work out some bugs.

http://www.scanamerica.us

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29590. antenna tower down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-09 14:27:46 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29590 Message: 29590

I hear some media folks looking for a tower blown down in the storms, sounds like somewhere around Denton. Anyone have any more info than that to share?

Ben

29591. RE: [dfwscan] antenna tower down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-09 14:41:02 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29590 Message: 29591

CHANNEL IS SHOWING LIVE FOOTAGE, THE TOWER IS SUPPOSED TO WITHSTAND 125 MPH winds is what they are saying, KAFW alliance airport recorded 71mph winds last night!!!

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 8:28
To: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: [dfwscan] antenna tower down?

I hear some media folks looking for a tower blown down in the storms, sounds
like somewhere around Denton. Anyone have any more info than that to share?

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29592. RE: [dfwscan] antenna tower down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-09 14:45:35 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29590 Message: 29592

Here is the raw metar for you aviation folks

KAFW 090921Z 23039G62KT 190V270 5SM -RA BR SQ SCT017 BKN040 OVC060 16/14 A2981 RMK AO2 PK WND 21062/0920 PRESFR P0008

Here it is in layman's terms

METAR text:

KAFW 090921Z 23039G62KT 190V270 5SM -RA BR SQ SCT017 BKN040 OVC060 16/14 A2981 RMK AO2 PK WND 21062/0920 PRESFR P0008

Conditions at:

KAFW observed 0921 UTC 09 February 2009

Temperature:

16.0°C (61°F)

Dewpoint:

14.0°C (57°F) [RH = 88%]

Pressure (altimeter):

29.81 inches Hg (1009.6 mb)

Winds:

from the SW (230 degrees) at 45 MPH (39 knots; 20.3 m/s)
gusting to 71 MPH (62 knots; 32.2 m/s)

Visibility:

5 miles (8 km)

Ceiling:

4000 feet AGL

Clouds:

scattered clouds at 1700 feet AGL
broken clouds at 4000 feet AGL
overcast cloud deck at 6000 feet AGL

Weather:

-RA BR SQ (light rain, mist, squal)

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Renois, Daniel
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 8:41
To: Ben Saladino(YG); DFWSCAN Group
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] antenna tower down?

CHANNEL IS SHOWING LIVE FOOTAGE, THE TOWER IS SUPPOSED TO WITHSTAND 125 MPH winds is what they are saying, KAFW alliance airport recorded 71mph winds last night!!!

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@careflite.org <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 8:28
To: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: [dfwscan] antenna tower down?

I hear some media folks looking for a tower blown down in the storms, sounds
like somewhere around Denton. Anyone have any more info than that to share?

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29593. RE: [dfwscan] antenna tower down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-09 14:49:09 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29590 Message: 29593

Thanks for the info guys,

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29594. Re: [dfwscan] antenna tower down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-09 15:38:13 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29590 Message: 29594

did they find it?

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> I hear some media folks looking for a tower blown down in the storms, sounds
> like somewhere around Denton. Anyone have any more info than that to share?
>
> Ben

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

29595. Re: [dfwscan] antenna tower down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-09 17:37:06 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29590 Message: 29595

They were showing something in Denton or there abouts on the early AM news, and one shot was of a free-standing tower of some sort bent over in the middle. It was next to a steel building. The newscaster on the scene said something about the debris was arranged in a circular pattern.

---------- Original message from Jay Urish <jay@...>: ----------

> did they find it?
>
> Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> > I hear some media folks looking for a tower blown down in the storms, sounds
> > like somewhere around Denton. Anyone have any more info than that to share?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29596. Tower That Fell

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-10 15:22:17 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29596 Message: 29596

Found this today:

http://www.nbcdfw.com/news/local/Strong-Winds-Topple-Internet-Tower.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29597. Live footage of tornado OKC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-10 21:08:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29597 Message: 29597

Weather Channel showing some awesome
live footage of a tornado on the ground on
the northwest side of Edmund, OK. Looks like
the storms are building to our west. Should
be a VERY interesting afternoon and evening.
Time to fire up the weather radar software (I
personally use GRLevel3), and make sure you
got all the good SKYWARN frequencies in
the scanner. For the newbies on the list, for
my area, Denton County SKYWARN is on
146.920 (Pl 110.9).

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

29598. updating firmware

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-14 00:01:27 UTC
From: jerryblueeyes1
Topic: 29598 Message: 29598

I just got the usb cable in the mail today and when i plugged the cable into the computer it asked for a disc to download the drivers. well needless to say i have no disc and the computer found no drivers for the device. It said there was a compatibility problem with my vista home operating system. I'm about to pull my hair out.

29599. Re: [dfwscan] updating firmware

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-14 02:37:08 UTC
From: dfd896@yahoo.com
Topic: 29598 Message: 29599

I assume you are talking about the uniden USB cable and that you took off the sticker that said 'install driver before using"

go to unidens site find the USB cable page and the driver is on there somewhere. You may have to register to get it. I've slept since I did it many moons ago.

--- Original Message ---
From:"jerryblueeyes1" <jdonal8447@...>
Sent:Fri 2/13/09 6:23 pm
To:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subj:[dfwscan] updating firmware

I just got the usb cable in the mail today and when i plugged the cable
into the computer it asked for a disc to download the drivers. well
needless to say i have no disc and the computer found no drivers for
the device. It said there was a compatibility problem with my vista
home operating system. I'm about to pull my hair out.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29600. Re:Antenna Tower Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-14 03:10:43 UTC
From: Redbeard
Topic: 29600 Message: 29600

Just in case -

http://www.nbcdfw. com/news/ local/Strong- Winds-Topple- Internet- Tower.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29601. Falling Debris

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-15 22:24:55 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29601 Message: 29601

I did an interview with channel 8 earlier. I might be on the news if they air it at 5:00.

Here it is from FOX.

http://www.myfoxdfw.com/dpp/news/Satellite_Debris_Falls_from_T

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29602. Re: [dfwscan] Falling Debris

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-15 22:54:28 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 29601 Message: 29602

I'm gonna throw some rocks up on our jerk neighbor's roof during the 10 o'clock news just as they air this piece. Should be good for a laugh. Or maybe stick a bent-up, rusty piece of metal in their front yard during the night.

Jason Simpkins wrote:
> I did an interview with channel 8 earlier. I might be on the news if they air it at 5:00.
>
> Here it is from FOX.
>
> http://www.myfoxdfw.com/dpp/news/Satellite_Debris_Falls_from_T
>
>
>

29603. RE: [dfwscan] Falling Debris

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-15 23:04:58 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29601 Message: 29603

I thought I heard a Euless PD officer at 1100 say something to the effect that he saw a fire ball cross the sky from east to west, so expect some calls.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29604. Re: [dfwscan] Falling Debris

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-16 02:22:15 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29601 Message: 29604

LMFAO!!! I love it!!! Too funny!

--- On Sun, 2/15/09, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:

> From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Falling Debris
> To: "DFWSCAN" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: Sunday, February 15, 2009, 4:54 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I'm gonna throw some rocks up on our
> jerk neighbor's roof during the 10
>
> o'clock news just as they air this piece. Should be
> good for a laugh. Or
>
> maybe stick a bent-up, rusty piece of metal in their front
> yard during
>
> the night.
>
>
>
> Jason Simpkins wrote:
>
> > I did an interview with channel 8 earlier. I might be
> on the news if they air it at 5:00.
>
> >

>
> > Here it is from FOX.
>
> >
>
> > http://www.myfoxdfw
> .com/dpp/ news/Satellite_ Debris_Falls_ from_T
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

29605. FCC broadcast station info on Google Earth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-16 02:43:11 UTC
From: nd5y@yahoo.com
Topic: 29605 Message: 29605

I saw this link posed on RR the other day. This is cool!
http://www.fccinfo.com/fccinfo_google_earth.php

Tom

29606. RE: [dfwscan] Falling Debris

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-16 02:53:49 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29601 Message: 29606

I was hearing about this down south (Corsicana) today. It appears it may have been a satellite that came apart because the area of falling, burning debris seemed to cover much of the N Texas metroplex. I'm going to see if Fox 4 has anything on it in a few minutes. Somebody's new station will I'm sure...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Sunday, February 15, 2009 5:05 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Falling Debris

I thought I heard a Euless PD officer at 1100 say something to the effect that he saw a fire ball cross the sky from east to west, so expect some calls.

Ben

29607. Weekly FCC grants February 08-14

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-16 19:14:37 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29607 Message: 29607

For some reason the FCC did not update the LMP file for Thursday. If your state had any, they were put in manually at the end of the page.

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29608. Re: updating firmware

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-17 00:06:12 UTC
From: dustdevil31
Topic: 29598 Message: 29608

dfd896@... wrote:
>
> go to unidens site find the USB cable page and the driver is on there somewhere.

Not that I can find. I just got a new laptop and need that driver too, but it's not in the downloads area, nor does it turn up in using the search function of the Uniden site. Anyone know where it is? Paul?

Rob

29609. Re: [dfwscan] Re: updating firmware

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-17 00:17:06 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 29598 Message: 29609

The link for the downloads goes here:
http://www.uniden.com/my/Index.cfm?accessdenied=%2Fmy%2Fdownloads%2Ecfm
which requires you to create a log-in before you download the drivers.

This is the link to the original page. Notice it says "Download
drivers before use:. http://www.unidendirect.com/accdetail.cfm?item=USB-1

Greg Higgins

At 06:06 PM 2/16/2009, dustdevil31 wrote:

>dfd896@... wrote:
> >
> > go to unidens site find the USB cable page and the driver is on there
>somewhere.
>
>Not that I can find. I just got a new laptop and need that driver too,
>but it's not in the downloads area, nor does it turn up in using the
>search function of the Uniden site. Anyone know where it is? Paul?
>
>Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29610. Weekly FCC grants February 08-14

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-17 04:17:20 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29607 Message: 29610

For some reason the FCC did not update the LMP file for Thursday. If your state had any, they were put in manually at the end of the page.

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29611. Re: [dfwscan] FCC broadcast station info on Google Earth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-17 17:58:23 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29605 Message: 29611

Play with the tilt on Google Earth. It will show the relative tower height (red line, if I recall), and the height of any point-to-point microwave antennae. WBAP tower off I-30 and Beach is a good place to see this.
---------- Original message from John Mayson <john@...>: ----------

> > I saw this link posed on RR the other day. This is cool!
> > http://www.fccinfo.com/fccinfo_google_earth.php
>
> That is pretty neat. I used to have DOS software called Geomap (I think
> that was the name). I dumped FCC data for my county and surrounding
> counties and loaded it into Geomap so I could see where all the towers
> were. I never thought to pull that into Google Earth. Glad someone did.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29612. Bleed Over

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-18 15:21:53 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 21673 Message: 29612

Today I am picking up a lot of other stations on the radio and getting bleed over. If I am listening to Rockwall County Sheriff all the sudden it will stop and I hear another station coming in with no bars. Then an officer was talking earlier and someone walked right over him. But it was not local. Is like a skip day or something.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29613. 164.6125

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-18 17:32:07 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29613 Message: 29613

Getting encrypted voice on 164.6125 this morning.
The PSR-500 hasn't detected a NAC code yet.
Signal keeps going in and out. This time of the
morning, not sure if local or not.

Any fed monitors out there, let's get this puppy
ID'ed! ....I'm thinking BICE...but who knows?

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090217-0, 02/17/2009
Tested on: 2/18/2009 11:32:08 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29614. Re: 164.6125

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-18 17:41:52 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29613 Message: 29614

Blaine Brooks wrote:

> Getting encrypted voice on 164.6125 this morning.
> The PSR-500 hasn't detected a NAC code yet.

Just detected a NAC of 385.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090217-0, 02/17/2009
Tested on: 2/18/2009 11:41:53 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29615. Lewisville PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-18 17:53:24 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 16574 Message: 29615

Hi all -

Still looking for the unit ID breakdown for
Lewisville PD. If anyone knows these, please
forward to list, or private email. Many thanks.

I've been able to figure some of it out, but
confirmation would be great.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090217-0, 02/17/2009
Tested on: 2/18/2009 11:53:25 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29616. Dallas PD CAD Codes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-18 21:59:28 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29616 Message: 29616

Here is a recent list of DPD CAD codes. These for the most part are the same codes as used on the radio. Interesting that 62 (public service) and 66 (end tour of duty) is not on the list. There are several additions as well as changes.

Terry

04= 911 hang up/no answer

06= Disturbance

6M=Loud Music

6F=Fireworks Disturbance

6G=Random Gunfire

6X=Major Disturbance

6S=School Disturbance

07= Accident (Minor)

7X= Accident (Major)

7XF=Freeway Major Accident

08= Drunk

09= Theft

9V=UUMV

11B= Burglary of a Business

11C=Burglary of a Coin Operated Machine

11R=Burglary of a Residence

11V=Burglary of a Motor Vehicle

12= Burglar Alarm

13= Prowler

14= Cutting/Stabbing

15= Assist Officer

16= Injured Person

17= Kidnapping

18= Structure Fire

19= Shooting

20= Robbery

20R=Robbery + 1hr old

21R= Panic Alarm

21B=Hold Up Alarm

22= Animal Attack Complaint

23= Parking Violation (note this code no longer be used)

24= Abandoned Property

25= Criminal Assault

25R=Criminal Assault (72+ Hrs/ Report Only)

26= Missing Person

27= Dead Person

29= Open Building

30= Prisoner

30D=Other Agency Prisoner

31= Criminal Mischief

32= Suspicious Person

33= Prostitution

34= Suicide

35= Terrorist Activities / Bomb Threat

36= Abandoned / Found Child

37= Street Blockage

37F=Freeway Blockage

38= Meet Complainant

39= Speeding/Racing Complaint

40= Other

40-01=Other (Type 2)

41-09=Theft In Progress

41-11=Burglary in Progress

41-20=Robbery in Progress

41-25=Criminal Assault in Progress

41-40=Other in Progress

42=Vehicle Chase

43=Road Rage

33=Person in Danger

45= Response Team Activation (SWAT callout)

46=CIT Response (citizen in trouble, usually a mentally disturbance person)

50= Eat Break

51= Coffee Break

52= City Court

53= County Court

54= Escort

55= Traffic

56= Station

57= Garage

58= Routine Markout

59= Follow Up Investigation

60= Special Assignment

61= Foot Patrol

64=Radio Shop

65=Use Telephone

67=Extra Neighborhood Patrol

70=ETS (bank tags)

BCA=Bait Car Activation

DH=Drug House

PD=PD Requested by Fire

PH=Panhandler

MW=Most Wanted

SOP=Sleeping in Public

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29617. Severe Weather Awareness Week

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-19 07:35:20 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29617 Message: 29617

Just wanted to pass this along

Severe Weather Awareness Week, February 22nd through 28th

National Weather Service Severe Weather Awareness Page:
http://www.srh.noaa.gov/lub/safety/swaw/index.php

Texas Governors Division of Emergency Management:
http://www.txdps.state.tx.us/dem/pages/weather_aware_severe.htm

And to keep this on a "scanning" topic, heres a list of Amateur Radio
Frequencies for SKYWARN/RACES/ARES for DFW and surrounding counties:

146.940 Tarrant County
146.880 Dallas County
146.920 Denton County
147.180 Collin County
147.340 Cooke County
147.040 Parker County
145.470 Palo Pinto County
146.620 Wise County
146.780 North Texas Weather Group (Wise County)
145.490 Johnson County
147.080 Hood County
145.410 Ellis County

For a full list of frequencies visit the NWS Skywarn Frequency List Page:
http://www.srh.noaa.gov/fwd/repeat.html

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/races
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/skywarn_frequencies

29618. Re: Dallas PD CAD Codes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-19 11:36:29 UTC
From: nx2x50
Topic: 29616 Message: 29618

Terry :
> 33=Person in Danger

note your second "33" should be a "44"
.
.

29619. Re: Dallas PD CAD Codes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-19 16:34:06 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29616 Message: 29619

FWIW, there is a difference in CAD codes and radio signal codes. CAD "codes" are used by the calltaker to generate an incident or ticket. You wouldn't generate a call just to have someone "public service" or call someone on the telephone. That would usually just be a message sent to the dispatcher (like a pop-up window) that would basically say something like, have such and such unit call this number via public service. You also would not generate a incident or call to place a unit in or out of service. That is accomplished by use of function keys on the computer usually. same thing for enroute, on scene, available, etc.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
> Here is a recent list of DPD CAD codes. These for the most part are the
> same codes as used on the radio. Interesting that 62 (public service) and
> 66 (end tour of duty) is not on the list.

29620. Amber Alert issued

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-19 16:50:01 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29620 Message: 29620

Maxi Young is the suspect I think. Amber Alert just issued out of Plano. I am hearing this 3rd party so I don't have the details. Kidnapping, 4 year old child. Vehicle he is driving is stolen.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29621. Re: [dfwscan] Amber Alert issued

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-19 17:00:54 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29620 Message: 29621

Yeah I am hearing this all 3rd party. Rockwall County Dispatch was advising the officers that an Amber Alert was going to be issued. Then about 5 min later she came on and said the vehicle has been entered stolen and the Amber alert was just issued. But maybe there is a delay or something. I can't hear Plano from where I am so I have no idea what is going on.

Jason

_____
From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2009 10:58:24 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Amber Alert issued

Just got off the phone with the PIO, no Amber Alert has been issued yet. They are still investigating.

On Thu, Feb 19, 2009 at 10:50 AM, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:

Maxi Young is the suspect I think. Amber Alert just issued out of Plano. I am hearing this 3rd party so I don't have the details. Kidnapping, 4 year old child. Vehicle he is driving is stolen.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29622. Re: Amber Alert issued

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-19 17:06:47 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 29620 Message: 29622

National WX service does not have it up yet. They'd probably be one
of the first if one did come out.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> Yeah I am hearing this all 3rd party. Rockwall County Dispatch was
advising the officers that an Amber Alert was going to be issued.
Then about 5 min later she came on and said the vehicle has been
entered stolen and the Amber alert was just issued. But maybe there
is a delay or something. I can't hear Plano from where I am so I have
no idea what is going on.
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...>
> To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
> Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2009 10:58:24 AM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Amber Alert issued
>
> Just got off the phone with the PIO, no Amber Alert has been issued
yet. They are still investigating.
>
>
> On Thu, Feb 19, 2009 at 10:50 AM, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
wrote:
>
> Maxi Young is the suspect I think. Amber Alert just issued out of
Plano. I am hearing this 3rd party so I don't have the details.
Kidnapping, 4 year old child. Vehicle he is driving is stolen.
>
> Jason
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29623. Amber Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-19 19:28:55 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29623 Message: 29623

Just heard it was canceled and they have recovered everyone? I can't monitor Plano so I don't know what is going on.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29624. Ft. Worth Army Corp of Engineers (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-19 20:16:29 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29624 Message: 29624

I've been updating my radios and have noticed its been months since I heard any traffic on the Corp of Engineers Frequency, Fort Worth Office, Benbrook Lake, 165.050/163.4375 (162.2) and the repeater is off. Did they go to the Fed digital system? I did some looking in the forums and noticed other states Corp Of Engineers were going digital.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

29625. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas PD CAD Codes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-19 22:36:09 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29616 Message: 29625

I missed on! I was combining an old list and doing corrections from a new list. Gary is correct the "33" that is between 43 and 45 should really be:

44=Person in Danger

This one is commonly used for things like people in high water etc.

Sorry for the typo!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nx2x50
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2009 5:36 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas PD CAD Codes

Terry :
> 33=Person in Danger

note your second "33" should be a "44"
.
.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29626. White Settlement - new 800 license in the works

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-20 00:24:15 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29626 Message: 29626

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4815246
In the YP service - Trunked....

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29627. Re: White Settlement - new 800 license in the works

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-20 00:36:19 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29626 Message: 29627

Man, that's what I'm doing right now is reprogramming radios because
of agencies changing frequencies. What should of happned when Fort
Worth/Tarrant County went trunk, all the little cities should of just
jumped on board.

Ok, do we know what type of trunk? Is it digital? Cuz I'm not buying
a new scanner just for "White Settlement"...lol

Thanks Ed for the info, I will add it to my files and watch it.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...> wrote:
>
>
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4815246
> In the YP service - Trunked....
>
>
>
> Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29628. Carrollton gas leak

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-20 17:15:04 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29628 Message: 29628

Sounds like Carrollton may have a gas leak
somewhere. Haven't been able to get many
details. They do have a command set up
on Tac 2, and estimating maybe another
hour to repair.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090219-0, 02/19/2009
Tested on: 2/20/2009 11:15:04 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29629. Weekly FCC grants February 15-21

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-22 13:02:51 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29629 Message: 29629

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

P.S. A friend just started a 2m/HF/CB group. If you are interested,
check it out:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HamandCBradio/

--
Glenn Mitchell

29630. Re: Ft. Worth Army Corp of Engineers (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-22 20:49:48 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29624 Message: 29630

KC5RHB wrote:

> and have noticed its been months since I heard any traffic on the Corp of Engineers Frequency, Fort Worth
> Office, Benbrook Lake, 165.050/163.4375 (162.2) and the repeater is
> off. Did they go to the Fed digital system?

FWIW, I haven't heard activity in years from
Corps Of Engineers. Considering yourself
lucky that you heard something just a few
months ago. It got so silent for me I just took
them out of my scan list, and chalked it up to
pagers, cell phones, Blackberrys, or Nextels.
Just the way of the world in today's technology
age, much to the bane of many monitors.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090221-0, 02/21/2009
Tested on: 2/22/2009 2:49:48 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29631. Irving Scanning Question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-22 21:18:02 UTC
From: celica20gts00
Topic: 29631 Message: 29631

Is anyone else having an issue scanning irving's pd/fd? I was listening to channels in my scanner like 2-3 weeks ago turned it off then turned it back on the next day and havent been able to hear anything since. Is there something going with there system or what? all other channels work fine just cant get irving, even tried programming it into a different channel on the scanner still nothing

29632. Re: [dfwscan] Irving Scanning Question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-22 21:51:06 UTC
From: Mark Prater
Topic: 29631 Message: 29632

I am hearing all the usual police/fire department in Irving.

Mark

Is anyone else having an issue scanning irving's pd/fd? I was listening to channels in my scanner like 2-3 weeks ago turned it off then turned it back on the next day and havent been able to hear anything since. Is there something going with there system or what? all other channels work fine just cant get irving, even tried programming it into a different channel on the scanner still nothing

No virus found in this outgoing message
Checked by PC Tools AntiVirus (5.0.1.1 - 10.100.091).
http://www.pctools.com/free-antivirus/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29633. High Altitude Commercial aircraft

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 03:27:25 UTC
From: cmhteh@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 29633 Message: 29633

With the clear blue sky over North Texas the
last couple of days could not help but observe
the commercial (and maybe mil) aircraft
leaving contrails across the skies.

What frequencies on the ground in the dfw
area do these aircraft check with as they
are passing over the dfw area.

teh dfw410

29634. RE: [dfwscan] High Altitude Commercial aircraft

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 03:35:38 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29633 Message: 29634

Generally speaking, stuff flying way overhead is likely to be controlled by Fort Worth Center (ARTCC). As aircraft approach and depart airports at lower altitudes, they're handled by TRACON, and of course by the tower and ground control closer to the airports. I have some old information on my website at http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/fwartcc.htm. There are probably some more current lists out there somewhere.

Happy scanning,

Ben

>With the clear blue sky over North Texas the
last couple of days could not help but observe
the commercial (and maybe mil) aircraft
leaving contrails across the skies.

What frequencies on the ground in the dfw
area do these aircraft check with as they
are passing over the dfw area.

teh dfw410<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29635. Re: High Altitude Commercial aircraft

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 03:49:37 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29633 Message: 29635

cmhteh@... wrote:

> What frequencies on the ground in the dfw
> area do these aircraft check with as they
> are passing over the dfw area.

FWARTCC SCURRY - DALLAS HIGH SECTOR

135.75 / 379.25

There are more frequencies for Ft Worth
Center, but this is the main one for
directly overhead, and above FL180.

I have the low and high altitude frequencies
(UHF & VHF) for the closest RCAG's
(communication sites) in my frequency list
on my web page.

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

It's in an Excel file about halfway down, and I
keep the list regularly updated.

Enjoy!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090221-0, 02/21/2009
Tested on: 2/22/2009 9:49:37 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29636. RE: [dfwscan] High Altitude Commercial aircraft

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 12:28:26 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29633 Message: 29636

As Ben and Blain mentioned, there are a lot of frequencies that can be carrying the traffic. Here are a few bands that you can also search for all types of aviation traffic. There are frequencies for Ground Control and Tower (if you are close enough to monitor them) traffic, ARINC (or company) frequencies, UNICOM (used for a lot of air-to-air traffic and even by many smaller, non commercial aircraft at fields with no towers, and tons of other general aviation frequencies in the VHFG-Hi aviation band. If the commercial airlines you see flying are specifically what you're interested in, this band is what you'd be interested in. Check out:

118.000 - 136.975 MHz: VHF-Hi aviation band (AM)
225.025 - 399.975 MHz: UHF military band (AM)

The following are also good for military air-to-air traffic. Just remember that your scanner will default to the FM mode on the following two sets of frequencies so you'll need to be sure to switch to the AM mode if your scanner allows you to.

138.000 - 139.975 MHz: military air-to-air/ops traffic (AM)
138.025 - 149.975 MHz: military air-to-air/ops traffic (AM)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cmhteh@...
Sent: Sunday, February 22, 2009 9:27 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] High Altitude Commercial aircraft

With the clear blue sky over North Texas the
last couple of days could not help but observe
the commercial (and maybe mil) aircraft
leaving contrails across the skies.

What frequencies on the ground in the dfw
area do these aircraft check with as they
are passing over the dfw area.

teh dfw410

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29637. Re: [dfwscan] High Altitude Commercial aircraft

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 13:31:47 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 29633 Message: 29637

What was the shortwave one that used to be for the international flights calling in repair issues, etc...? Is it still active?

commscan wrote:
> As Ben and Blain mentioned, there are a lot of frequencies that can be
> carrying the traffic. Here are a few bands that you can also search for all
> types of aviation traffic. There are frequencies for Ground Control and
> Tower (if you are close enough to monitor them) traffic, ARINC (or company)
> frequencies, UNICOM (used for a lot of air-to-air traffic and even by many
> smaller, non commercial aircraft at fields with no towers, and tons of other
> general aviation frequencies in the VHFG-Hi aviation band. If the
> commercial airlines you see flying are specifically what you're interested
> in, this band is what you'd be interested in. Check out:
>
> 118.000 - 136.975 MHz: VHF-Hi aviation band (AM)
> 225.025 - 399.975 MHz: UHF military band (AM)
>
> The following are also good for military air-to-air traffic. Just remember
> that your scanner will default to the FM mode on the following two sets of
> frequencies so you'll need to be sure to switch to the AM mode if your
> scanner allows you to.
>
> 138.000 - 139.975 MHz: military air-to-air/ops traffic (AM)
> 138.025 - 149.975 MHz: military air-to-air/ops traffic (AM)
>
>

29638. Something to keep an ear out for

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 13:39:08 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29638 Message: 29638

Cowboys Stadium training exercise.

http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1218567.html

Anyone heard or researched anything about a radio system for the new location?

Ben

29639. RE: [dfwscan] High Altitude Commercial aircraft

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 14:29:27 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29633 Message: 29639

Hmmm...I don't know Bill. I know that many HF/shortwave MWARA (Major World Air Route Areas...i.e. Air Traffic Control on HF) and RADARA (Regional and Domestic Air Route Areas...more company oriented uses) frequencies are still used.

I haven't listened to any of the MWARA/RADARA traffic in a long time. There was an 11 MHz frequency that was used for a lot of reports that sound like what you described for a company flying out of Florida to South America that use to be real busy. But I cannot seem to remember the frequency or where it is in my HF database. If I remember correctly, a lot of traffic started becoming non English on the frequency and it seemed to have faded away by early 2001.

I'm sorry I couldn't be more help...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Monday, February 23, 2009 7:32 AM
To: DFWSCAN
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] High Altitude Commercial aircraft

What was the shortwave one that used to be for the international flights calling in repair issues, etc...? Is it still active?

commscan wrote:
> As Ben and Blain mentioned, there are a lot of frequencies that can be
> carrying the traffic. Here are a few bands that you can also search for all
> types of aviation traffic. There are frequencies for Ground Control and
> Tower (if you are close enough to monitor them) traffic, ARINC (or company)
> frequencies, UNICOM (used for a lot of air-to-air traffic and even by many
> smaller, non commercial aircraft at fields with no towers, and tons of other
> general aviation frequencies in the VHFG-Hi aviation band. If the
> commercial airlines you see flying are specifically what you're interested
> in, this band is what you'd be interested in. Check out:
>
> 118.000 - 136.975 MHz: VHF-Hi aviation band (AM)
> 225.025 - 399.975 MHz: UHF military band (AM)
>
> The following are also good for military air-to-air traffic. Just

remember
> that your scanner will default to the FM mode on the following two sets of
> frequencies so you'll need to be sure to switch to the AM mode if your
> scanner allows you to.
>
> 138.000 - 139.975 MHz: military air-to-air/ops traffic (AM)
> 138.025 - 149.975 MHz: military air-to-air/ops traffic (AM)
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29640. Re: Something to keep an ear out for

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 15:17:11 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29638 Message: 29640

It would be nice if Tarrant County R.A.C.E.S. was involved. HOPEFULLY, with the NEW Tarrant County Emergency Management, maybe that will happen when things start changing. Keep your fingers crossed.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Cowboys Stadium training exercise.
>
> http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1218567.html
>
>
> Anyone heard or researched anything about a radio system for the new
> location?
>
> Ben
>

29641. RE: [dfwscan] High Altitude Commercial aircraft

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 15:29:41 UTC
From: daweasel@swbell.net
Topic: 29633 Message: 29641

Yeah, its been a while since I had a good HF rig and a long antenna, but what I used to listen to was U.S. airline pilots reporting maintenance issues, etc... It got rather funny at times -- loose toilet seats, smells, etc... Just stumbled across it one day while tuning across the band for some English-speaking programming.
---------- Original message from "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>: ----------

>
> Hmmm...I don't know Bill. I know that many HF/shortwave MWARA (Major World
> Air Route Areas...i.e. Air Traffic Control on HF) and RADARA (Regional and
> Domestic Air Route Areas...more company oriented uses) frequencies are still
> used.
>
> I haven't listened to any of the MWARA/RADARA traffic in a long time. There
> was an 11 MHz frequency that was used for a lot of reports that sound like
> what you described for a company flying out of Florida to South America that
> use to be real busy. But I cannot seem to remember the frequency or where
> it is in my HF database. If I remember correctly, a lot of traffic started
> becoming non English on the frequency and it seemed to have faded away by
> early 2001.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29642. Re: High Altitude Commercial aircraft

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 15:44:28 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29633 Message: 29642

daweasel@... wrote:

> Yeah, its been a while since I had a good HF rig and a long antenna, but what I used to listen to was U.S. airline pilots reporting maintenance issues, etc

Not sure what the HF frequencies would be,
but domestically the ARINC frequencies are
used on VHF frequencies, and can provide
just as much entertainment.

Search from 128.825-132.0 AM 25khz spacing.

I used to keep a confirmed list from DFW of
users, but now that I am in Lewisville (and
not as close to the airport), I no longer have the
list. I think Tracy Singleton had a very good list,
and then I just expanded on that. Maybe he can
repost. Also, you'll find several airline companies
may share the same ARINC frequency for
maintenance issues. This is quite common at DFW.
Especially if, let's say, an international cargo only
comes in once or twice a week.

Also, if you're into it, there are programs to monitor
ACARS.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090223-0, 02/23/2009
Tested on: 2/23/2009 9:44:29 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29643. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Something to keep an ear out for

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 16:10:16 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29638 Message: 29643

Anyone else getting David's message multiple times? I've received it about 8 times now. Not sure if it's a yahoo problem or something on my side.

Ben

>It would be nice if Tarrant County R.A.C.E.S. was involved. HOPEFULLY, with the NEW Tarrant County Emergency Management, maybe that will happen when things start changing. Keep your fingers crossed.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocitie <http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather>
s.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Cowboys Stadium training exercise.
>
> http://www.star-
<http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1218567.html>
telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1218567.html
>
>
> Anyone heard or researched anything about a radio system for the new
> location?
>
> Ben
>

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29644. Re: Something to keep an ear out for

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 16:23:17 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29638 Message: 29644

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:

> Anyone else getting David's message multiple times? I've received it about 8
> times now. Not sure if it's a yahoo problem or something on my side.

I only got it once. I think it's those black
Chinooks over your apartment Ben, shooting
out those unearthly laser beams at your yagi...

:P

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090223-0, 02/23/2009
Tested on: 2/23/2009 10:23:17 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29645. Re: Something to keep an ear out for

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-23 19:27:36 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29638 Message: 29645

I only hit the button ONCE! I guess Yahoo really wants to get my
message out to you. It really needs to go out to certain people that
need to listen, and Ill stop there on that one.lol. Gotta love
yahoo!!" Ill keep an ear out if I hear anything on the "drill".

David, Kc5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\) <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Anyone else getting David's message multiple times? I've received it
about 8
> times now. Not sure if it's a yahoo problem or something on my side.
>
> Ben
>
> >It would be nice if Tarrant County R.A.C.E.S. was involved. HOPEFULLY,
> with the NEW Tarrant County Emergency Management, maybe that will
> happen when things start changing. Keep your fingers crossed.
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.geocitie <http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather>
> s.com/kc5rhb/weather
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com, "Ben
> Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@> wrote:
> >
> > Cowboys Stadium training exercise.
> >
> > http://www.star-
> <http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1218567.html>
> telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1218567.html
> >
> >
> > Anyone heard or researched anything about a radio system for the new
> > location?
> >
> > Ben
> >
>
>
>
> <
>
>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29646. RE: [dfwscan] High Altitude Commercial aircraft

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-24 00:09:30 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29633 Message: 29646

That should be
138.000 - 143.975 and 148.025 - 150.750
in AM and 25 kHz steps for military air traffic.

Tom

> 138.000 - 139.975 MHz: military air-to-air/ops traffic (AM)
> 138.025 - 149.975 MHz: military air-to-air/ops traffic (AM)

29647. Irving 2 Alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-24 00:53:44 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29647 Message: 29647

IPN seems to be down, so I'll share this here in case any of you media folks hadn't heard.

IFD is working a 2 alarm structure fire in the 100 blk of West Airport Freeway. It's a 2 sty motel, well involved, defensive fire, already had some collapse.

Ben

29648. Aviation Freqs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-24 14:23:23 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29648 Message: 29648

All of my stuff is still in the files section for this group. I don't know how much HF is used anymore. I'm sure it is to some point but most airlines these days have gone electronic and have their messages relayed through ACARS. You might try looking up Gander Oceanic for some freqs for the northern Atlantic routes. Remember that ARINC is not specific to one airline. Any pilot can use ARINC. It is a service that you pay to use. My list of company specific freqs are in the file section.

-Tracey

29649. Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 02:45:22 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29649 Message: 29649

Someone needs to be paying major forfeitures over this. The double standard is appallingly, yet predictably hypocritical.

==========

http://officer.com/online/article.jsp?id=45527&siteSection=1&cPage=1&OrderBy=InsertDate&Dir=ASC#post_msg

Indianapolis Officers Must Stop Profane Talk on Illegal Radios

Posted: Tuesday, February 24, 2009
Updated: February 24th, 2009 09:28 AM EDT

Story by theindychannel.com

INDIANAPOLIS --

Dozens of illicit radios were ordered removed from Indianapolis police cars after ham radio operators complained about the language officers used on radio frequencies they aren't supposed to be utilizing.

Hundreds of police officers used what they called a second radio to talk to other officers, but those radios don't have federal authorization, 6News' Jack Rinehart reported.

Officers sometimes used the extra radios for officials business, but they were also used for personal communication and biting, sometimes profane commentary about their workday.

"I've heard, basically, obscenities," said a ham radio operator who didn't want to be identified. "I've heard comments about private citizens, in general."

"I'm locking that guy up. I'll write a report, and that way, she'll want to report his a** anyway," an officer said in a conversation that the ham operator had recorded.

"F*** it, ha ha ha," exclaimed another officer.

Ham operators who found the language offensive reported it to the Federal Communications Commission, prompting the department to do a three-day inspection of cruisers and ordering everyone with an unlicensed radio to remove it.

"Apparently, there has been a problem with some language, which is a violation of the FCC regulations," said Indianapolis police Lt. Jeff Duhamell. "The chief has decided that the officers should pull them out of their vehicles."

The FCC is letting Indianapolis police handle the issue internally. Officer use of unauthorized frequencies goes back many years, Rinehart reported.

29650. Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 03:07:13 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29649 Message: 29650

rob.davis@... wrote:

> Someone needs to be paying major forfeitures over this.

I see it says "ham radio operators complained",
but it doesn't seem to say whether or not they were
actually on HAM radio frequencies.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090225-0, 02/25/2009
Tested on: 2/24/2009 9:07:13 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29651. Re: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 03:50:06 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 29649 Message: 29651

Meh.. there are hams who abuse (jam) police freqs... I guess the cops
figure what's good for the goose...

-Melissa

rob.davis@... wrote:
>
> Someone needs to be paying major forfeitures over this. The double
> standard is appallingly, yet predictably hypocritical.
>
> ==
>
> http://officer.com/online/article.jsp?id=45527&siteSection=1&cPage=1&OrderBy=InsertDate&
Dir=ASC#post_msg
> <http://officer.com/online/article.jsp?id=45527&siteSection=1&cPage=1&OrderBy=InsertDate
&Dir=ASC#post_msg>
>
> Indianapolis Officers Must Stop Profane Talk on Illegal Radios
>
> Posted: Tuesday, February 24, 2009
> Updated: February 24th, 2009 09:28 AM EDT
>
> Story by theindychannel.com
>
> INDIANAPOLIS --
>
> Dozens of illicit radios were ordered removed from Indianapolis police
> cars after ham radio operators complained about the language officers
> used on radio frequencies they aren't supposed to be utilizing.
>
> Hundreds of police officers used what they called a second radio to
> talk to other officers, but those radios don't have federal
> authorization, 6News' Jack Rinehart reported.
>
> Officers sometimes used the extra radios for officials business, but
> they were also used for personal communication and biting, sometimes
> profane commentary about their workday.
>
> "I've heard, basically, obscenities," said a ham radio operator who
> didn't want to be identified. "I've heard comments about private
> citizens, in general."
>
> "I'm locking that guy up. I'll write a report, and that way, she'll
> want to report his a** anyway," an officer said in a conversation that
> the ham operator had recorded.

>
> "F*** it, ha ha ha," exclaimed another officer.
>
> Ham operators who found the language offensive reported it to the
> Federal Communications Commission, prompting the department to do a
> three-day inspection of cruisers and ordering everyone with an
> unlicensed radio to remove it.
>
> "Apparently, there has been a problem with some language, which is a
> violation of the FCC regulations," said Indianapolis police Lt. Jeff
> Duhamell. "The chief has decided that the officers should pull them
> out of their vehicles."
>
> The FCC is letting Indianapolis police handle the issue internally.
> Officer use of unauthorized frequencies goes back many years, Rinehart
> reported.
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29652. Re: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 04:13:33 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29649 Message: 29652

On Tuesday, February 24, 2009 21:50, "km5r email lists" <lists@...> said:

> Meh.. there are hams who abuse (jam) police freqs... I guess the cops
> figure what's good for the goose...

That is exactly the point I was making. We all know what happens to those Hams when they get caught. At the very least, the same thing -- and probably more -- should happen to government officials who violate the same laws.

Rob

29653. Re: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 04:16:23 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 29649 Message: 29653

rob.davis@... wrote:
>
>
> That is exactly the point I was making. We all know what happens to
> those Hams when they get caught. At the very least, the same thing --
> and probably more -- should happen to government officials who violate
> the same laws.
>

>
>

I couldn't agree more! It's one thing to "prank" around with random 2way freqs. COMPLETELY another to interfere with emergency communications.

</soapbox>

Melissa

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29654. Re: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 04:41:05 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 29649 Message: 29654

Bah...stupid cops. Think they own the place, don't they?!

;-)

> On Tue, Feb 24, 2009 at 10:16 PM, km5r email lists <lists@...>wrote:
>
>> rob.davis@... <rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> > That is exactly the point I was making. We all know what happens to
>> > those Hams when they get caught. At the very least, the same thing --
>> > and probably more -- should happen to government officials who violate
>> > the same laws.
>> >
>>
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I couldn't agree more! It's one thing to "prank" around with random 2way
>> freqs. COMPLETELY another to interfere with emergency communications.
>>
>> </soapbox>
>>
>> Melissa
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29655. RE: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 13:16:01 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 29649 Message: 29655

ESPECIALLY when the city they work for begins with the letter "C"!!!!!!!
;^)

Bob

KE5NFF

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Gary Moseley
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 10:41 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Bah...stupid cops. Think they own the place, don't they?!

;-)

> On Tue, Feb 24, 2009 at 10:16 PM, km5r email lists
<lists@...>wrote:
>
>> rob.davis@... <rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> > That is exactly the point I was making. We all know what happens to
>> > those Hams when they get caught. At the very least, the same thing --
>> > and probably more -- should happen to government officials who violate
>> > the same laws.
>> >
>>
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I couldn't agree more! It's one thing to "prank" around with random 2way
>> freqs. COMPLETELY another to interfere with emergency communications.
>>
>> </soapbox>
>>
>> Melissa
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29656. Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 14:51:03 UTC
From: afm108
Topic: 29649 Message: 29656

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Gary Moseley <gary.moseley@...> wrote:
>
> Bah...stupid cops. Think they own the place, don't they?!
>
> ;-)
>
We do, just ask us ;-)

Just kidding for those who do not see the humor..

29657. HF Freqs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 15:04:10 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29657 Message: 29657

Fyi, just went back and looked in the file section for the group.
Somebody was asking about HF aviation freqs and they are shown on a map
in the Atlantic and Pacific pdf files in the Airline-ARINC file folder.

-Tracey

29658. RE: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 19:07:44 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29649 Message: 29658

The assumption to be made from reading this article (which is poorly written, like most newspaper articles today), is that these coppers were using ham frequencies. If they were, then they need to be jammed up for not having ham licenses. Sounds more like a case of them using police band frequencies not assigned or in-use. It's happened a lot around our area in times past, and those frequencies are generally a really good find (we just don't tell Blaine!!!). This sounds like a ham operator with a grudge against the cops who he caught using profanity. Obviously this prude hasn't listened to any LTR systems in our area that are full of wrecker and sweeper company radio system where the drivers consistently cuss on the radio like a bunch of drunken sailors! Behind the scenes, the Indy police chief probably slapped his boys and girls on the wrists while trying to maintain a straight face, had them take the radios out of the cars, ordered them back to work and to stop acting like a bunch of knuckleheads. And the good listening goes away!

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of afm108
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2009 8:51 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com, Gary Moseley <gary.moseley@...> wrote:
>
> Bah...stupid cops. Think they own the place, don't they?!
>
> ;-)
>
We do, just ask us ;-)

Just kidding for those who do not see the humor..

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29659. Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 19:17:51 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29649 Message: 29659

Mark Colborn wrote:

> and those frequencies are generally a really good find (we just
> don't tell Blaine!!!)

Yep, if I find, I WILL post. I do believe that's
what this group is for....:)

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090225-1, 02/25/2009
Tested on: 2/25/2009 1:17:52 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29660. Re: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-25 23:53:48 UTC
From: nd5y@yahoo.com
Topic: 29649 Message: 29660

Out here the Palo Pinto SO (ab)uses an Army MARS frequency (148.025) for their secret BS channel.

Tom

29661. RE: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-26 01:03:30 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29649 Message: 29661

Ah, No!

I'm almost betting these guys were on 11 meters, that's the secondary radio's that most cops have, and if that's true, the language there is something that not just cops have to worry about.

R

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Moseley
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 10:41 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Bah...stupid cops. Think they own the place, don't they?!

;-)

> On Tue, Feb 24, 2009 at 10:16 PM, km5r email lists <lists@...
<mailto:lists%40rasmussen.cx> >wrote:
>
>> rob.davis@... <mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com>
<rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> > That is exactly the point I was making. We all know what happens to
>> > those Hams when they get caught. At the very least, the same thing --
>> > and probably more -- should happen to government officials who violate
>> > the same laws.
>> >
>>
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I couldn't agree more! It's one thing to "prank" around with random 2way
>> freqs. COMPLETELY another to interfere with emergency communications.
>>
>> </soapbox>
>>
>> Melissa
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>

>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29662. Pro and Con ref Radio Shack Scanners

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-26 08:07:35 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 29662 Message: 29662

Just after I purchased a Radio Pro 97 scanner, I received my Mom's Pro 34, as she did not need it. The 97 has a 6 volt power supply, while the 34 is 9 volt. Interesting aspect is the increased reception of the 34 using my new 1/4 wave antenna. My 97 has trunking capabilities, but for some reason gets less reception on the railroad freqs. Would the 9 volt system of the 34 lead to the increased reception?

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America"

29663. DFW Milcom activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-26 18:38:31 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29663 Message: 29663

DOOM (B-52's from Barksdale) are doing practice
bombing runs at the Falcon Bombing Range (R-5601)
in Oklahoma. Primary is 356.5. Very active this
morning and very strong signal into my listening
post with details of targets, bomb release, and
coordination with (what sounds like) a
ground controlling unit.

Also, the AWACS is up and active on NORAD AICC
364.2.

344.7 AR-104 refueling track has also been very active.

Sounds like a very busy milair day, or maybe I'm just
picking up more now....:)

Finally, after a year of being in my apartment, I
attached my old Diamond Discone to my balcony
railing, clamped it down, made it nice and neat
with cable ties, got a 25' run of LMR 400 with the
PL-259 at one end, and BNC at the other from Scanner
Master, and hooked that puppy up to my Stridesberg
4 port amplifier. Not as up and "in the clear" as
when the antenna was at the house, but it's the
absolute best I can do right now with my situation.
I can now pick up Arlington TRS, McKinney, Collin
County, Northeast Tarrant, and Irving (faintly),
whereas before (with just a little mobile antenna
outside on a table), I basically heard nothing.

So long story short, I plan to aggressively get back
into milair and increased fed monitoring with the
new setup.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090225-1, 02/25/2009
Tested on: 2/26/2009 12:38:31 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29664. Add another one

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-26 18:40:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29664 Message: 29664

344.5 is secondary for the bombing range.
Very active as well.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090225-1, 02/25/2009
Tested on: 2/26/2009 12:40:10 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29665. WTB VHF UHF Duplexer (diplexer)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-26 18:56:15 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 29665 Message: 29665

Hello to the Group!
I WTB one, used, VHF UHF Duplexer (diplexer) that I can pick up locally,
for a weekend project.
Please respond direct to me with brand, model, price.
Thanks for looking and keep listening!
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the
Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
Rowlett III: 147.390 MHz + 88.5
EchoLink Node: 350616
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

29666. Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 00:04:58 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 29649 Message: 29666

There was a Thread on RR last fall on this same Topic. [Think it was the same PD]
I bet the Freq might be listed there.

Bill Dunn N1KUG
Cruise Ship Frequencies
http://home.earthlink.net/~ecps92/cruise_ships.htm
Now feeding USCG Sector Boston via Team Speak
info at http://www.scannewengland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon

----- Original Message -----
From: "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...>
To: <rob.davis@...>
Cc: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 10:07 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

> rob.davis@... wrote:
>
>> Someone needs to be paying major forfeitures over this.
>
> I see it says "ham radio operators complained",
> but it doesn't seem to say whether or not they were
> actually on HAM radio frequencies.
>
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090225-0, 02/25/2009
> Tested on: 2/24/2009 9:07:13 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

29667. Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 12:49:58 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 29649 Message: 29667

http://www.radioreference.com/forums/indiana-radio-discussion-forum/94206-impd-illegal-tac-frequencies-156mhz.html
[you might have to cut-n-paste the whole url, as yahoo hates to wrap them for us]

It was a Ham Complaining, however the PD was using Part 90 frequencies, just those that may-not have been licensed to them.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bill" <ecps92@...> wrote:
>
> There was a Thread on RR last fall on this same Topic. [Think it was the
> same PD]
> I bet the Freq might be listed there.
>
> Bill Dunn N1KUG
> Cruise Ship Frequencies
> http://home.earthlink.net/~ecps92/cruise_ships.htm
> Now feeding USCG Sector Boston via Team Speak
> info at http://www.scannewengland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...>
> To: <rob.davis@...>
> Cc: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, February 24, 2009 10:07 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies
>
>

29668. Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 16:10:14 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29649 Message: 29668

Bill wrote:

> It was a Ham Complaining, however the PD was using Part 90
> frequencies, just those that may-not have been licensed to them.

That's what I figured. Another do-gooder ham
out playing radio cop, and getting in everybody
elses business. Reminds me of the nosey old
lady that always complains to the police about
her neighbors. If they were interfering with
licensed operators on those frequencies, let
THOSE people complain to the FCC. Through
the years, I've probably heard all kinds of illegal
and unlicensed communications on my scanner,
from abusers on the marine bands in Florida, to
GMRS, to business, to folks interfering with
public safety, to even some crazy people on a
Secret Service and DEA frequency doing chit
chat. Did I report any of them. NO!!! Why?
Because it was none of my damn business.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090226-0, 02/26/2009
Tested on: 2/27/2009 10:10:15 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29669. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 16:13:10 UTC
From: Niki
Topic: 29649 Message: 29669

Everybody's a wanna-be. ;)

On Fri, Feb 27, 2009 at 10:10 AM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>wrote:

> Bill wrote:
>
> > It was a Ham Complaining, however the PD was using Part 90
> > frequencies, just those that may-not have been licensed to them.
>
> That's what I figured. Another do-gooder ham
> out playing radio cop, and getting in everybody
> elses business. Reminds me of the nosey old
> lady that always complains to the police about
> her neighbors. If they were interfering with
> licensed operators on those frequencies, let
> THOSE people complain to the FCC. Through
> the years, I've probably heard all kinds of illegal
> and unlicensed communications on my scanner,
> from abusers on the marine bands in Florida, to
> GMRS, to business, to folks interfering with
> public safety, to even some crazy people on a
> Secret Service and DEA frequency doing chit
> chat. Did I report any of them. NO!!! Why?
> Because it was none of my damn business.
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090226-0, 02/26/2009
> Tested on: 2/27/2009 10:10:15 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29670. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 20:43:48 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 29649 Message: 29670

It IS my business if my friends and family could be in danger because law enforcement cannot use their licensed frequency(ies) to protect and serve because some idiot is jamming them. It becomes VERY personal then.

-Melissa

Blaine Brooks wrote:
>
> Why?
> Because it was none of my damn business.
>
> Blaine
>
> <http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/>
>

29671. Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 21:23:42 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29649 Message: 29671

km5r email lists wrote:

> It IS my business if my friends and family could be in danger because
> law enforcement cannot use their licensed frequency(ies) to protect and
> serve because some idiot is jamming them. It becomes VERY personal then.

I can understand your concerns, and I don't
want to get into a p***ing match here. All
I'm saying is let the people who are tasked
with the job (the FCC) do their jobs. It's not
up to you to feel it's your God given right to
play radio cop just because you're an HRO.
I'm just afraid the people that go around
doing this stuff are really going to get
themselves in a bad situation some day
trying to take the law into their own hands.
If your next door neighbor was a drug
kingpin, you'd call the DEA, not try and take
them down yourself!!!....unless you were
downright stupid!...

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090227-0, 02/27/2009
Tested on: 2/27/2009 3:23:42 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29672. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 21:50:05 UTC
From: Dennis Christensen
Topic: 29649 Message: 29672

For the record, QRZ.com has a link to the Indianapolis TV station that broke the story.
The video shows the radio in the car, and it sure looks like a Kenwood TM-271.
That does make it a ham problem, doesn't it?

Dennis, N5DJC

--- On Fri, 2/27/09, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies
To: "km5r email lists" <lists@...>
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Friday, February 27, 2009, 3:23 PM

km5r email lists wrote:

> It IS my business if my friends and family could be in danger because

> law enforcement cannot use their licensed frequency(ies) to protect and

> serve because some idiot is jamming them. It becomes VERY personal then.

I can understand your concerns, and I don't

want to get into a p***ing match here. All

I'm saying is let the people who are tasked

with the job (the FCC) do their jobs. It's not

up to you to feel it's your God given right to

play radio cop just because you're an HRO.

I'm just afraid the people that go around

doing this stuff are really going to get

themselves in a bad situation some day

trying to take the law into their own hands.

If your next door neighbor was a drug

kingpin, you'd call the DEA, not try and take

them down yourself!!!. ...unless you were

downright stupid!...

Blaine

http://mysite. verizon.net/ resrjsf6/

---

avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.

Virus Database (VPS): 090227-0, 02/27/2009

Tested on: 2/27/2009 3:23:42 PM

avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.

http://www.avast. com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29673. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 22:13:23 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29649 Message: 29673

On Friday, February 27, 2009 15:23, "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...> said:
>
> I can understand your concerns, and I don't
> want to get into a p***ing match here. All
> I'm saying is let the people who are tasked
> with the job (the FCC) do their jobs. It's not
> up to you to feel it's your God given right to
> play radio cop just because you're an HRO.

I guess I don't see the analogy, Blaine. Calling them out on this is no different than calling 911 to report an emergency. Just like the cops cannot be everywhere at all times, neither can the FCC. They rely on citizen reports to find violations. Read the enforcement logs for a few minutes. 99.9 percent of all enforcement actions are the result of citizen complaints, not the FCC driving around in black antenna farms, looking for violators. I just don't see those reporting this violation as any different from you calling in a burglary to 911. It's how the system works.

Rob

29674. Arlington 58416

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 22:14:59 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29649 Message: 29674

Hearing some interesting stuff on decimal talkgroup 58416 on Arlington's trunked system. It's a new talkgroup to me. Sounds like PD special ops of some sort.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29675. Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 22:07:52 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 29649 Message: 29675

True, and for years [and still is] the Low Power [Secondary use] of various
Part 90 frequencies
is allowed for various Coveret type operations. Only as long as they don't
interfere with
the primary Part 90 users.

Here in New England some have even used Base Stations [there goes the LP]
and PD use of FD channels.

No one reported them, we all enjoyed the listening.

Bill Dunn N1KUG
Cruise Ship Frequencies
http://home.earthlink.net/~ecps92/cruise_ships.htm
Now feeding USCG Sector Boston via Team Speak
info at http://www.scannewengland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon

----- Original Message -----
From: "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...>
To: "Bill" <ecps92@...>
Cc: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 11:10 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

> Bill wrote:
>
>> It was a Ham Complaining, however the PD was using Part 90
>> frequencies, just those that may-not have been licensed to them.
>
> That's what I figured. Another do-gooder ham
> out playing radio cop, and getting in everybody
> elses business. Reminds me of the nosey old
> lady that always complains to the police about
> her neighbors. If they were interfering with
> licensed operators on those frequencies, let
> THOSE people complain to the FCC. Through
> the years, I've probably heard all kinds of illegal
> and unlicensed communications on my scanner,
> from abusers on the marine bands in Florida, to
> GMRS, to business, to folks interfering with
> public safety, to even some crazy people on a
> Secret Service and DEA frequency doing chit
> chat. Did I report any of them. NO!!! Why?
> Because it was none of my damn business.
>
>

> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
>

29676. RE: [dfwscan] Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-27 23:12:47 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29649 Message: 29676

Hear, hear! Finally, someone like me who doesn't get their shorts starched when they go to the laundry!!!!

Let the aggrieved complain if they are being aggrieved. Can't help but think the world would be a much better place in most cases if people (especially prudish hamsters) generally just minded their own business. This case is a prime example.

Mark

True, and for years [and still is] the Low Power [Secondary use] of various Part 90 frequencies
is allowed for various Coveret type operations. Only as long as they don't interfere with
the primary Part 90 users.

Here in New England some have even used Base Stations [there goes the LP] and PD use of FD channels.

No one reported them, we all enjoyed the listening.

Bill Dunn N1KUG
Cruise Ship Frequencies
http://home. <http://home.earthlink.net/~ecps92/cruise_ships.htm>
earthlink.net/~ecps92/cruise_ships.htm
Now feeding USCG Sector Boston via Team Speak
info at http://www.scannewe
<http://www.scannewengland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon>
ngland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon

----- Original Message -----
From: "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@verizon. <mailto:bbrooks68%40verizon.net>
net>
To: "Bill" <ecps92@earthlink. <mailto:ecps92%40earthlink.net> net>
Cc: <dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com>
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 11:10 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

> Bill wrote:
>
>> It was a Ham Complaining, however the PD was using Part 90
>> frequencies, just those that may-not have been licensed to them.
>
> That's what I figured. Another do-gooder ham
> out playing radio cop, and getting in everybody

> elses business. Reminds me of the nosey old
> lady that always complains to the police about
> her neighbors. If they were interfering with
> licensed operators on those frequencies, let
> THOSE people complain to the FCC. Through
> the years, I've probably heard all kinds of illegal
> and unlicensed communications on my scanner,
> from abusers on the marine bands in Florida, to
> GMRS, to business, to folks interfering with
> public safety, to even some crazy people on a
> Secret Service and DEA frequency doing chit
> chat. Did I report any of them. NO!!! Why?
> Because it was none of my damn business.
>
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite. <http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/> verizon.net/resrjsf6/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29677. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 00:16:48 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29649 Message: 29677

I'm agreeing with you; that would be like just reporting every speeder going down the highway to the cops, wouldn't it? We sure don't do that do we? (Although, there are some drivers that I think should be reported!)

R

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 10:10 AM
To: Bill
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Bill wrote:

> It was a Ham Complaining, however the PD was using Part 90
> frequencies, just those that may-not have been licensed to them.

That's what I figured. Another do-gooder ham out playing radio cop, and getting in everybody elses business. Reminds me of the nosey old lady that always complains to the police about her neighbors. If they were interfering with licensed operators on those frequencies, let THOSE people complain to the FCC. Through the years, I've probably heard all kinds of illegal and unlicensed communications on my scanner, from abusers on the marine bands in Florida, to GMRS, to business, to folks interfering with public safety, to even some crazy people on a Secret Service and DEA frequency doing chit chat. Did I report any of them. NO!!! Why? Because it was none of my damn business.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090226-0, 02/26/2009
Tested on: 2/27/2009 10:10:15 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29678. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 00:18:29 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29649 Message: 29678

Jamming - yes; Simple unlicensed use - no.

R

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of km5r email lists
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 2:44 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

It IS my business if my friends and family could be in danger because law enforcement cannot use their licensed frequency(ies) to protect and serve because some idiot is jamming them. It becomes VERY personal then.

-Melissa

Blaine Brooks wrote:
>
> Why?
> Because it was none of my damn business.
>
> Blaine
>
> <http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29679. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 00:25:19 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29649 Message: 29679

I don't know about individual County SO's or City PD's, but for State business I can guarantee you that, in the state of Texas, nobody is on TX Law1 or 2 or the DPS frequencies except those who are supposed to be there; and, if there were The FCC would be notified in about 5 seconds, along with DPS CLE.

R

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29680. Arlington Trunked System Activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 00:45:01 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 29680 Message: 29680

Maybe this is it?

http://www.star-telegram.com/804/story/1229885.html

29681. Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 00:48:50 UTC
From: Jason
Topic: 29649 Message: 29681

Lot's of folks bothered by the fact private citizens are initiating action against LEO's.

I thought LEO's were supposed to enforce the law, not break it.

Maybe I missed that memo?????????

29682. Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 00:53:31 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 29649 Message: 29682

Am I missing something here?

I don't think we are talking about simple unlicensed usage. We are talking about multiple users who willingly installed unlicensed equipment, operated on unlicensed frequencies not just one single time or even an occasional time, but on a daily basis.

More than likely they violated department policy by discussing details of incidents over the air (a no-no in any agency), and used obscenity over the air (an FCC violation in itself regardless of user for public safety and ham radio frequencies).

People seem to forget that ham radio frequencies are established not only as a way for people to enjoy radio communications as a hobby, but they themselves exist as a backup radio system in times of national emergencies. Ever heard of RACES?? Blocking one of our ham frequencies in my book is no different than if a ham blocks a public safety freq.

Bottom line is they knew what they were doing was wrong, and chose to continue doing it. The article makes it sound like the PD Chief was the one who made the officers remove the radios from their vehicles. I can assure you that this is not the case. The FCC probably threatened severe monetary punishment if they weren't removed. The officers bought these radios for one thing, power output for severe sh*t shooting.

Bottom line is this...stay on your own dang channel.

If they broke the law, they should be punished, and I would expect nothing but the same if a ham were involved.

Tracey Singleton
KC5WOI

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Russ" <kw5kw@...> wrote:
>
> Jamming - yes; Simple unlicensed use - no.
>

29683. RE: [dfwscan] Arlington Trunked System Activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 01:45:36 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29680 Message: 29683

Ahh, another successful negotiation with an empty house! Helps the stats,
99% of all call-outs result in a peaceful resolution...

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Bill Boyer
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 6:45 PM
To: DFWSCAN
Subject: [dfwscan] Arlington Trunked System Activity

Maybe this is it?

http://www.star-telegram.com/804/story/1229885.html

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29684. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 01:48:49 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29649 Message: 29684

Sure they would! How would anyone know? At least it the Dallas area you can't raise anyone there if you have legitimate communication!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russ
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 6:25 PM
To: 'Russ'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

I don't know about individual County SO's or City PD's, but for State business I can guarantee you that, in the state of Texas, nobody is on TX Law1 or 2 or the DPS frequencies except those who are supposed to be there; and, if there were The FCC would be notified in about 5 seconds, along with DPS CLE.

R

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29685. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 03:11:46 UTC
From: Joseph Evans
Topic: 29649 Message: 29685

Amateur operators police themselves and the Ham bands. Otherwise the FCC would let it become like the Citzens band. There are some appointed official observers who watch for splattering transmitters and key clicks and for any other illegal activties. There are a few rowdy places like 75 meters, but it is always been this way. Call it what you will it is better than the chaos on 11 meters. The FCC is the big stick after documentation is provided by a radio operator.

Joseph
--- On Fri, 2/27/09, rob.davis@armynursecorps.com <rob.davis@...> wrote:

From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com <rob.davis@...>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Friday, February 27, 2009, 4:13 PM

On Friday, February 27, 2009 15:23, "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@verizon. net> said:
>
> I can understand your concerns, and I don't
> want to get into a p***ing match here. All
> I'm saying is let the people who are tasked
> with the job (the FCC) do their jobs. It's not
> up to you to feel it's your God given right to
> play radio cop just because you're an HRO.

I guess I don't see the analogy, Blaine. Calling them out on this is no different than calling 911 to report an emergency. Just like the cops cannot be everywhere at all times, neither can the FCC. They rely on citizen reports to find violations. Read the enforcement logs for a few minutes. 99.9 percent of all enforcement actions are the result of citizen complaints, not the FCC driving around in black antenna farms, looking for violators. I just don't see those reporting this violation as any different from you calling in a burglary to 911. It's how the system works.

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29686. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 03:16:53 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29649 Message: 29686

I work for them.

R

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 7:49 PM
To: 'Russ'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Sure they would! How would anyone know? At least it the Dallas area you can't raise anyone there if you have legitimate communication!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
Russ
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 6:25 PM
To: 'Russ'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

I don't know about individual County SO's or City PD's, but for State business I can guarantee you that, in the state of Texas, nobody is on TX Law1 or 2 or the DPS frequencies except those who are supposed to be there; and, if there were The FCC would be notified in about 5 seconds, along with DPS CLE.

R

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2> &mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29687. Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 03:19:16 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 29649 Message: 29687

Blaine Brooks wrote:
>
> I'm just afraid the people that go around
> doing this stuff are really going to get
> themselves in a bad situation some day
> trying to take the law into their own hands.
> If your next door neighbor was a drug
> kingpin, you'd call the DEA, not try and take
> them down yourself!!!....unless you were
> downright stupid!...
>
>

I'll make one more comment to your post, Blaine, and to Russ:

Blaine: It's one thing to find the offender, document the offenses, video-record the proof, and turn it over to the FCC. It's quite another to show up at their doorstep and demand they hand over their equipment. I do the former, not the latter.

Russ:

I agree. Malicious jamming is a bigger issue than just unlicensed operation. I seldom mess with / care about someone who's chatting where he or she shouldn't be. I DO care if emergency officials aren't able to perform their duties, and keep themselves and us safe, due to some @#%#^@$^ with a mic.

Getting off my soapbox now.

:)

-Melissa

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29688. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 12:41:03 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29649 Message: 29688

I know you're a DPS dispatcher but do you actually monitor the Tx Law freq? It seems very few dispatchers do, at least in the Dallas area. My point is no one is listening, at least that what it seems, so except for scanner folks etc it's not likely "official" user would know. I would hope you'd hear and report if it were on your primary channel(s).

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russ
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 9:17 PM
To: 'Terry'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

I work for them.

R

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 7:49 PM
To: 'Russ'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Sure they would! How would anyone know? At least it the Dallas area you can't raise anyone there if you have legitimate communication!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
Russ
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 6:25 PM
To: 'Russ'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

I don't know about individual County SO's or City PD's, but for State business I can guarantee you that, in the state of Texas, nobody is on TX Law1 or 2 or the DPS frequencies except those who are supposed to be there; and, if there were The FCC would be notified in about 5 seconds, along with DPS CLE.

R

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2> &mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29689. BC785 Volume Knobs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 13:05:18 UTC
From: tachorn@dixielanddogs.com
Topic: 29689 Message: 29689

Does anyone know if you can still get volume knobs for the 785D. I have emailed Uniden but have not got an answer. I have not had time to call them. They have knobs on the website for the 780 and I think they are the same ones but not sure because I did not have the 780.

Thanks
Travis

29690. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 20:41:38 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29649 Message: 29690

Last weekend I heard a Forest Service aircraft call the DPS on TX LAW 2 several times and I never heard them answer. I guess Russ was off that day :)

Tom

29691. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 21:37:51 UTC
From: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Topic: 29649 Message: 29691

Back in my dispatching days; that's when Tx Law 1 and Tx Law 2 were called Inter-City, I used to monitor it all the time. And yes back then it was mostly a BS channel here in Region 1; but that is showing my age. I doubt any of my folks today would even know what Inter-City even is. In fact, I don't even know how it is labeled on the consoles.I do know that it _IS_ in there.

Billy

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2009 06:41
To: 'Russ'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

I know you're a DPS dispatcher but do you actually monitor the Tx Law freq?

<http://geo.yahoo.com/serv?s=97359714/grpId=11922/grpspId=1705063108/msgId=29688/stime=1235824965/nc1=4025373/nc2=5579910/nc3=4836044>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29692. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-02-28 22:07:55 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 29649 Message: 29692

Billy Mason (E-Lists) wrote:
>
> Back in my dispatching days; that's when Tx Law 1 and Tx Law 2 were called
> Inter-City, I used to monitor it all the time. And yes back then it was
> mostly a BS channel here in Region 1; but that is showing my age. I doubt
> any of my folks today would even know what Inter-City even is. In fact, I
> don't even know how it is labeled on the consoles.I do know that it _IS_ in
> there.

Billy,,,,,,remember when we were all somewhere around 37.260Mhz and 37.180Mhz??? We were still on those frequencies when I took the Chief's job in Rowlett.

Back to the subject:
Y'all take a look at the URL below. I know that some of you know its contents.

<http://tsiec.region49.org/MOU+TSICP11-5-08final.pdf>

Finally: Blaine, please study up and come to one of my Amateur Radio examinations sessions on the 4th Thursday of each month. You might be a little better of a "subject matter expert" when you play ham radio for a few years.

Be safe, y'all.
--
Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

29693. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-01 12:58:14 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29649 Message: 29693

That has to be it!!!

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2009 2:42 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Last weekend I heard a Forest Service aircraft call the DPS on TX LAW 2 several
times and I never heard them answer. I guess Russ was off that day :)

Tom

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29694. Weekly FCC grants February 22-28

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-01 13:18:48 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29694 Message: 29694

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29695. RE: Richardson - [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants February 22-28

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-01 13:28:25 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29694 Message: 29695

Looks like Richardson might be getting close to rebanding(I see lots of freqs 15Mhz lower than their current ones). Anyone up that way keeping an ear out?

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/~gmitch86/grants_tx.htm

Thanks for the license updates, Glenn.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29696. Re: Richardson - [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants February 22-28 Ok it's time for me to ask

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-01 14:48:36 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29696 Message: 29696

Ok, I know that cities will be rebanding. I read about it a few times and thought I will just wait till that happens. Well now Ben you have posted that you see Richardson has some freqs below the regular ones now that is going to affect me I am assuming. So, here is the question. What is this re-banding stuff? They just dropping a few frequencies below the regular therefore my scanner wont pick it up? I run a BCT 15 and a 796D. Can these be flashed or whatever.

I listen to Richardon a lot and would be pissed if couldn't all the sudden. I have been hearing them doing a lot of radio checks. Probaly just the norm. Chek 1 2 1 2 1 2. Stuff like that on Special.

Jason

From: Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, March 1, 2009 7:28:25 AM
Subject: RE: Richardson - [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants February 22-28

Looks like Richardson might be getting close to rebanding(I see lots of freqs 15Mhz lower than their current ones). Anyone up that way keeping an ear out?

http://gmitch86. home.comcast. net/~gmitch86/ grants_tx. htm

Thanks for the license updates, Glenn.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29697. RE: Richardson - [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants February 22-28 Ok it's time for me to ask

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-01 15:05:45 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29696 Message: 29697

Since Richardson is EDACS (which uses LCNs), it's simply a matter of reprogramming the frequencies you have in your scanner. That's assuming they don't change the order of the frequencies in the LCNs (Logical Channel Numbers).

Assuming LCN 1 is 867.2 now, it will probably be 852.2, after the rebanding.

If you have the room in your scanner, I would start listening on the 852 range frequencies that are 15Mhz lower than the current trunked system channels. When you start hearing traffic there, and stop hearing stuff on the 867 range, you know they've made the switch.

The firm updates for scanners will be for Motorola systems that use a more channel mapping. Simply updating the firmware won't reprogram the frequency changes, that's another step.

Bottom line, you're in luck with Richardson, because they are EDACS, you can simply reprogram the frequencies in the scanner.

I hope that helps and makes sense,

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

>Ok, I know that cities will be rebanding. I read about it a few times and thought I will just wait till that happens. Well now Ben you have posted that you see Richardson has some freqs below the regular ones now that is going to affect me I am assuming. So, here is the question. What is this re-banding stuff? They just dropping a few frequencies below the regular therefore my scanner wont pick it up? I run a BCT 15 and a 796D. Can these be flashed or whatever.

I listen to Richardon a lot and would be pissed if couldn't all the sudden. I have been hearing them doing a lot of radio checks. Probaly just the norm. Chek 1 2 1 2 1 2. Stuff like that on Special.

Jason<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29698. ort Worth & Forest Hill Patch

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-01 23:42:31 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29698 Message: 29698

I haven't seen much news or listened to/heard much traffic on this patch to know if there's an event going on, but Forest Hill PD's Traffic/TIU TG 21392, FW's School Liaison TG 3792, and FW's YOUTH TG 3760 have all been patched together since Friday night. I think there was some sort of sports/ball game radio traffic on the patch yesterday but I didn't get to listen too long. The patch is still up right now.

Does anyone know why this patch is up and what it might be getting used for?

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29699. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 01:29:42 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29649 Message: 29699

Yes, We (every DPS station) monitor TX Law 2 100% of the time, mandated by the Chief of Hwy Patrol.

Our station supervisor and the regional supervisor even call us on it occasionally to make sure that we've got the volume turned up. With all of the grass fire danger, that's the only way in which the Texas Forest Service can communicate with us.
R

-----Original Message-----
From: Terry [mailto:terry@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2009 6:41 AM
To: 'Russ'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

I know you're a DPS dispatcher but do you actually monitor the Tx Law freq? It seems very few dispatchers do, at least in the Dallas area. My point is no one is listening, at least that what it seems, so except for scanner folks etc it's not likely "official" user would know. I would hope you'd hear and report if it were on your primary channel(s).

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russ
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 9:17 PM
To: 'Terry'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

I work for them.

R

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 7:49 PM
To: 'Russ'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Sure they would! How would anyone know? At least it the Dallas area you can't raise anyone there if you have legitimate communication!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On

Behalf Of
Russ
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2009 6:25 PM
To: 'Russ'; 'km5r email lists'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

I don't know about individual County SO's or City PD's, but for State business I can guarantee you that, in the state of Texas, nobody is on TX Law1 or 2 or the DPS frequencies except those who are supposed to be there; and, if there were The FCC would be notified in about 5 seconds, along with DPS CLE.

R

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2> &mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29700. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 01:30:43 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29649 Message: 29700

I musta been L

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2009 2:42 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Last weekend I heard a Forest Service aircraft call the DPS on TX LAW 2 several
times and I never heard them answer. I guess Russ was off that day :)

Tom

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29701. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 01:31:59 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29649 Message: 29701

42.9 and 42.74 along with 37.18

Heck, I had to purchase a "new" scanner when DPS went to VHF Hi.

R

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of K8DNE
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2009 4:08 PM
To: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Billy Mason (E-Lists) wrote:
>
> Back in my dispatching days; that's when Tx Law 1 and Tx Law 2 were called
> Inter-City, I used to monitor it all the time. And yes back then it was
> mostly a BS channel here in Region 1; but that is showing my age. I doubt
> any of my folks today would even know what Inter-City even is. In fact, I
> don't even know how it is labeled on the consoles.I do know that it _IS_ in
> there.

Billy,,,,,,remember when we were all somewhere around 37.260Mhz and 37.180Mhz??? We were still on those frequencies when I took the Chief's job in Rowlett.

Back to the subject:
Y'all take a look at the URL below. I know that some of you know its contents.

<http://tsiec.region49.org/MOU+TSICP11-5-08final.pdf>

Finally: Blaine, please study up and come to one of my Amateur Radio examinations sessions on the 4th Thursday of each month. You might be a little better of a "subject matter expert" when you play ham radio for a few years.

Be safe, y'all.
--
Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29702. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 01:36:14 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29649 Message: 29702

Oh, I agree with you on the proper procedure and how to go about it.

If these guys want to help, they can help find the jammer on '94 during weather events, Huh?

R

I'll make one more comment to your post, Blaine, and to Russ:

Blaine: It's one thing to find the offender, document the offenses, video-record the proof, and turn it over to the FCC. It's quite another to show up at their doorstep and demand they hand over their equipment. I do the former, not the latter.

Russ:

I agree. Malicious jamming is a bigger issue than just unlicensed operation. I seldom mess with / care about someone who's chatting where he or she shouldn't be. I DO care if emergency officials aren't able to perform their duties, and keep themselves and us safe, due to some @#%#^@$^ with a mic.

Getting off my soapbox now.

:)

-Melissa

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29703. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 01:51:04 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 29649 Message: 29703

It's one of two people. Both have callsigns. You'd be surprised. Or maybe not.

Russ wrote:
>
> Oh, I agree with you on the proper procedure and how to go about it.
>
>
>
> If these guys want to help, they can help find the jammer on '94
> during weather events, Huh?
>
> R
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29704. Re: Fort Worth & Forest Hill Patch

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 04:20:16 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29698 Message: 29704

If it was in Forest Hill, I'm surprised they didnt have SWAT and
SPECENF all patched..LOL. I'm sure "whomever" at the communications
center forgot to un-patch the groups. Call the DC desk and tell them
to wake up..LOL. I'm sure they will figure it out come Monday morning.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> I haven't seen much news or listened to/heard much traffic on this
patch to
> know if there's an event going on, but Forest Hill PD's Traffic/TIU TG
> 21392, FW's School Liaison TG 3792, and FW's YOUTH TG 3760 have all been
> patched together since Friday night. I think there was some sort of
> sports/ball game radio traffic on the patch yesterday but I didn't
get to
> listen too long. The patch is still up right now.
>
>
>
> Does anyone know why this patch is up and what it might be getting
used for?
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29705. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Police Abusing Ham Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 06:29:06 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29649 Message: 29705

As soon as the OO program goes back online with gusto, there will be
video documentation. I have no love for jammers.

km5r email lists wrote:
> It's one of two people. Both have callsigns. You'd be surprised. Or
> maybe not.
>
>
> Russ wrote:
>> Oh, I agree with you on the proper procedure and how to go about it.
>>
>>
>>
>> If these guys want to help, they can help find the jammer on '94
>> during weather events, Huh?
>>
>> R
>>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

29706. Milair a/a

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 16:16:52 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29706 Message: 29706

Very strong a/a on 143.625. These guys
don't sound local. They are right on the
top of DFW headed from east to west.
Sound like F-15's or 16's.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090301-0, 03/01/2009
Tested on: 3/2/2009 10:16:52 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29707. SWAT/HOSTAGE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 20:24:57 UTC
From: tajens2001
Topic: 29707 Message: 29707

I'm making a swat/hostage bank for Tarrant County in my scanner. I've got the talkgroups that are obvious (swat/hostage/tactical)for the different systems in the county. I'm not so sure about talkgroups labeled with "TAC" (TAC-3 in Arlington is Ballpark Ops). I'm looking for SWAT talkgroups or conventional channels that might be labeled as something else and in specific the talkgroups for Arlington, Forest Hills and Colleyville.

Thanks

29708. Re: [dfwscan] SWAT/HOSTAGE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 21:51:51 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29707 Message: 29708

If there is no ball game, TAC 3 has also been used for other tactical activity (such as what you are interested in). All Arlington TAC channels are really hit/miss as far as interesting comms, go, on any particular day. Which one gets used for an "interesting event" really depends on what else might be going on on the channels at a particular time.

From: tajens2001 <tajens@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, March 2, 2009 2:24:57 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] SWAT/HOSTAGE

I'm making a swat/hostage bank for Tarrant County in my scanner. I've got the talkgroups that are obvious (swat/hostage/ tactical) for the different systems in the county. I'm not so sure about talkgroups labeled with "TAC" (TAC-3 in Arlington is Ballpark Ops). I'm looking for SWAT talkgroups or conventional channels that might be labeled as something else and in specific the talkgroups for Arlington, Forest Hills and Colleyville.

Thanks

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29709. RE: [dfwscan] SWAT/HOSTAGE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-02 22:46:35 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29707 Message: 29709

I believe Arlington Tac 4 is 58416. I heard traffic on it the other day during a stand-off.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29710. Radio Reference Homepage (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-03 02:31:17 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29710 Message: 29710

Is anyone else having problems navigating through the new RR page?
Especially with Fire Fox?

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

29711. Re: Radio Reference Homepage (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-03 14:14:44 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29710 Message: 29711

Not having any problems with RR on my end. I have tried RR
with three different browsers all running on Windows Vista
Home Premium. I tried RR with Firefox 3, Google Chrome, and Internet
Explorer 7, and so far I haven't noticed any problems.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Is anyone else having problems navigating through the new RR page?
> Especially with Fire Fox?
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
>

29712. Re: Radio Reference Homepage (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-03 15:01:43 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29710 Message: 29712

Ok, Thanks!! Must be on my end. We can end this post.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> Not having any problems with RR on my end. I have tried RR
> with three different browsers all running on Windows Vista
> Home Premium. I tried RR with Firefox 3, Google Chrome, and Internet
> Explorer 7, and so far I haven't noticed any problems.
>
> Chris
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> >
> > Is anyone else having problems navigating through the new RR page?
> > Especially with Fire Fox?
> >
> > David, KC5RHB
> > http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
> >
>

29713. New frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-03 17:23:16 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29713 Message: 29713

Getting an "in the clear" transmission on
407.500 with a NAC of 293. The signal is
very sporadic, sometimes strong enough to
break the squelch and decode, other times
it just fades off into nothing, so I don't even
know if it's local. Doesn't sound metro-wide
though if it is.

Very brief talk this morn about analyzers,
installing a new drainage, talk of air
conditioner, and power grid distribution.
Definitely maintenance somewhere. It's
that "somewhere" I don't know. Hopefully
we can ID soon.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090303-0, 03/03/2009
Tested on: 3/3/2009 11:23:17 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29714. DFW Airport - jumper

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-03 19:26:06 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 29714 Message: 29714

Media Folks,
I just heard some activity on the NE Tarrant system, decimal 32880, which is patched into the airport. The airport is responding with Grapevine to an injured person(off his meds), who jumped off an airplane for some reason. Not sure of the circumstances, but if it's a slow news day, it might be worth checking out. Sounds like PD is bringing the guy to Station 1 on the airport, so he's probably not hurt too badly.

29715. Radio programming software : Software: Radio Programming

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-03 22:44:19 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29715 Message: 29715

http://www.dxzone.com/catalog/Software/Radio_Programming/

Here is a link for computer programming many scanners. Just keep scrolling.

Sarge

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29716. Stolen Car recovery

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 00:19:27 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29716 Message: 29716

Any one have any info on the stolen car being recovered by Dallas Fire Rescue Boat 31?

29717. Re: [dfwscan] New frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 00:52:37 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 29713 Message: 29717

There is a thread about this freq under the Texas forum on RR the first of last month. The speculationw as that it might be USPS inspectors but I don't know what that was based on.

----- Original Message -----
From: Blaine Brooks
To: DFWSCAN Group
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2009 11:23 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] New frequency?

Getting an "in the clear" transmission on
407.500 with a NAC of 293. The signal is
very sporadic, sometimes strong enough to
break the squelch and decode, other times
it just fades off into nothing, so I don't even
know if it's local. Doesn't sound metro-wide
though if it is.

Very brief talk this morn about analyzers,
installing a new drainage, talk of air
conditioner, and power grid distribution.
Definitely maintenance somewhere. It's
that "somewhere" I don't know. Hopefully
we can ID soon.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090303-0, 03/03/2009
Tested on: 3/3/2009 11:23:17 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29718. Re: New frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 02:56:59 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29713 Message: 29718

I'll see if I hear it at work. Talk of drainage, power grids, etc, sounds like maintenance. If so, & if it's at the Coppell sorting facility, it ought to blow me out at work. I'm three blocks away from there.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Bennett" <michaelbennett@...> wrote:
>
> There is a thread about this freq under the Texas forum on RR the first of last month. The speculationw as that it might be USPS inspectors but I don't know what that was based on.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Blaine Brooks
> To: DFWSCAN Group
> Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2009 11:23 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] New frequency?
>
>
> Getting an "in the clear" transmission on
> 407.500 with a NAC of 293. The signal is
> very sporadic, sometimes strong enough to
> break the squelch and decode, other times
> it just fades off into nothing, so I don't even
> know if it's local. Doesn't sound metro-wide
> though if it is.
>
> Very brief talk this morn about analyzers,
> installing a new drainage, talk of air
> conditioner, and power grid distribution.
> Definitely maintenance somewhere. It's
> that "somewhere" I don't know. Hopefully
> we can ID soon.
>
> Blaine
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090303-0, 03/03/2009
> Tested on: 3/3/2009 11:23:17 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29719. Engine 2 Richardson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 03:34:35 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29719 Message: 29719

I heard Engine 2 come of the radio today and say something like testing new engine 2's radio. They get a new radio or a new engine with radio? :)

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29720. Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 09:15:09 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29649 Message: 29720

WTF happened to Dispatch Debbie on 460.575? All I am getting now is a bizarre buzzing/humming sound during dispatches. No voice or tones. Did disptach go digital, or is the dispatch computer or radio just screwed up?

Rob

29721. Frisco P25 system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 14:50:41 UTC
From: Tom Kraemer
Topic: 29721 Message: 29721

Frisco City Council approved a $7.4m contract last night with Motorola for a new P25 radio system and tower. Currently Frisco is a shared user on Plano's 800mhz analog system

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29722. Re: Frisco P25 system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 17:04:28 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 29721 Message: 29722

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Tom Kraemer <tomk@...> wrote:
>
> Frisco City Council approved a $7.4m contract last night with
> Motorola for a new P25 radio system and tower. Currently Frisco is a
> shared user on Plano's 800mhz analog system
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

Now that they approved it maybe now we will start seeing some action on that P25 that is suppose to belong to Frisco. It's been churning out a control channel for months and months with a very small smidgen of activity and most of that probably just testing.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

29723. Re: Frisco P25 system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 18:21:22 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 29721 Message: 29723

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@...> wrote:
>
> Now that they approved it maybe now we will start seeing some action on that P25 that is suppose to belong to Frisco. It's been churning out a control channel for months and months with a very small smidgen of activity and most of that probably just testing.
>

Well not exactly...
That system was only a pilot system between Frisco and EADS Secure Networks. It was funded by EADS to show off their own systems and to demo to other cities. Since EADS Secure Networks is headquarted in Frisco and has their testing lab here, you would think that they would have had the advantage over Motorola.

Top bids in millions included:
M/A-COM INC $10.53
EADS $7.52
Motorola $7.37

Motorola had the better proposal for interoperability between agencies and the required coverage. That was the main reason Motorola won the contract. They now have to put a Motorola system in place.

29724. Another new freq?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 19:26:04 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29724 Message: 29724

162.075 was very active in the noon time hour
with DES encryption. Heard a very brief in the
clear but couldn't figure out what they were
saying. Sounded simplex, and pretty faint.

Not sure if this could be an input to an FBI repeater
here or not. Since I have tone enabled on my radio,
I don't hear DES/encryption unless I'm searching.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090303-2, 03/04/2009
Tested on: 3/4/2009 1:26:05 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29725. DFR on Twitter

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-04 21:08:25 UTC
From: jonfreilich
Topic: 29725 Message: 29725

FYI, in case you haven't already seen it...

Major incidents: <http://twitter.com/dfrincidents>

Traffic runs: <http://twitter.com/dfrtraffic>

Jon

29726. Big wreck down on the south side

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 00:44:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29726 Message: 29726

Just overheard Careflite ground on aux 462.175
PL 123.0 talking about a massive wreck somewhere
in the Wilmer - Hutchins area. Three persons trapped,
at least six total to transport at this time. Ground
unit requesting for three aircraft to be launched.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090304-0, 03/04/2009
Tested on: 3/4/2009 6:44:57 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29727. Re: [dfwscan] Big wreck down on the south side

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 00:58:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29726 Message: 29727

Blaine Brooks wrote:

> Just overheard Careflite ground on aux 462.175
> PL 123.0 talking about a massive wreck somewhere
> in the Wilmer - Hutchins area.

Update - and for you media folks....

The location is going to be in Ferris. 959 Fm 664.
Three persons needing extrication. Six patients
total. At least two medevac aircraft launched,
possibly a third needed. This going to be on FM 664
just west of downtown Ferris. Nasty wreck. Hearing
all the details on 462.175 Pl 123.0.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090304-0, 03/04/2009
Tested on: 3/4/2009 6:58:39 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29728. Re: Frisco P25 system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 04:37:01 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 29721 Message: 29728

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "js_scan888" <js_scan888@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@> wrote:
> >
> > Now that they approved it maybe now we will start seeing some action
> on that P25 that is suppose to belong to Frisco. It's been churning out
> a control channel for months and months with a very small smidgen of
> activity and most of that probably just testing.
> >
>
>
> Well not exactly...
> That system was only a pilot system between Frisco and EADS Secure
> Networks. It was funded by EADS to show off their own systems and to
> demo to other cities. Since EADS Secure Networks is headquarted in
> Frisco and has their testing lab here, you would think that they would
> have had the advantage over Motorola.
>
> Top bids in millions included:
> M/A-COM INC $10.53
> EADS $7.52
> Motorola $7.37
>
> Motorola had the better proposal for interoperability between agencies
> and the required coverage. That was the main reason Motorola won the
> contract. They now have to put a Motorola system in place.

That would explain if it's just a test system why the coverage is horrible. I live just down the Tollway in West Plano and can barely get a good decode on the control channel. I am glad they are going with a P25 system and not some proprietary type digital system.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

29729. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 07:22:59 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29649 Message: 29729

Getting a constant carrier w/ a tone of 123.0. Also hearing the clicking and buzzing sounds. Haven't heard Miss Debbie yet. Sounds like a problem at the radio shop, or perhaps its sabotage! I only suggest the later because, seriously.do you really miss her? If I had to listen to her for an entire shift I'd need meds or a twelve-step program. Personally I think they should have gone with Marajel Bartlett's voice. Much more soothing and pleasant. She was the original Nurse Chappel (original series), Waxona Troy (Next Generation), and wife of the late Gene Roddenberry of Star Trek fame.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Wednesday, March 04, 2009 3:15 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie

WTF happened to Dispatch Debbie on 460.575? All I am getting now is a bizarre buzzing/humming sound during dispatches. No voice or tones. Did disptach go digital, or is the dispatch computer or radio just screwed up?

Rob

-·-'---

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29730. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco P25 system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 07:22:59 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29721 Message: 29730

Agree with Matt here. What was EADS offering, a Tetra or Tetrapol system? It appears from their web site that this is all they offer in the communications side of yet another too large mega-international corporation.

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Matthew
Sent: Wednesday, March 04, 2009 10:37 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco P25 system

> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@> wrote:
> >
> > Now that they approved it maybe now we will start seeing some action
> on that P25 that is suppose to belong to Frisco. It's been churning out
> a control channel for months and months with a very small smidgen of
> activity and most of that probably just testing.
> >
>
>
> Well not exactly...
> That system was only a pilot system between Frisco and EADS Secure
> Networks. It was funded by EADS to show off their own systems and to
> demo to other cities. Since EADS Secure Networks is headquarted in
> Frisco and has their testing lab here, you would think that they would
> have had the advantage over Motorola.
>
> Top bids in millions included:
> M/A-COM INC $10.53
> EADS $7.52
> Motorola $7.37
>
> Motorola had the better proposal for interoperability between agencies
> and the required coverage. That was the main reason Motorola won the
> contract. They now have to put a Motorola system in place.

That would explain if it's just a test system why the coverage is horrible. I live just down the Tollway in West Plano and can barely get a good decode on the control channel. I am glad they are going with a P25 system and not some proprietary type digital system.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
_·_'___

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29731. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 07:47:39 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29649 Message: 29731

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mark Colborn
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 1:23 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie

>>Getting a constant carrier w/ a tone of 123.0...

****

Hi Mark & Group,

This sounds like a good frequency (460.575) to put a PL tone lockout of 123.0 on if anyone has a Uniden scanner with the tone lockout feature. That way, you can still hear Dispatch Betty if she pops up (she doesn't use a PL tone) and any other transmission not using the 123.0 PL tone.

If nothing else, it should allow the listener the ability to still scan the frequency without locking it out...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

29732. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 09:52:28 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29649 Message: 29732

Hmmm... are you sure Dispatch Debbie has no PL? All my radios are programmed for 173.0 on 460.575. Anyhow, it's working fine tonight, but yesterday was a disaster. It did sound like a stronger signal was dead keying Dispatch Debbie, but that signal was only there when a dispatch was going out. However, I never listened with a non-PL radio to see if there was a constant signal in between dispatches.

Rob

On Thursday, March 5, 2009 01:47, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> said:

> Hi Mark & Group,
>
> This sounds like a good frequency (460.575) to put a PL tone lockout of
> 123.0 on if anyone has a Uniden scanner with the tone lockout feature. That
> way, you can still hear Dispatch Betty if she pops up (she doesn't use a PL
> tone) and any other transmission not using the 123.0 PL tone.
>
> If nothing else, it should allow the listener the ability to still scan the
> frequency without locking it out...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>

29733. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 10:30:29 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29649 Message: 29733

There is a tone on it now. For many years the primary transmitter had no tone and the backup did. I guess someone knocked the bit out her because she's back to obnoxious and understandable 50% of the time!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 3:52 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie

Hmmm... are you sure Dispatch Debbie has no PL? All my radios are programmed for 173.0 on 460.575. Anyhow, it's working fine tonight, but yesterday was a disaster. It did sound like a stronger signal was dead keying Dispatch Debbie, but that signal was only there when a dispatch was going out. However, I never listened with a non-PL radio to see if there was a constant signal in between dispatches.

Rob

On Thursday, March 5, 2009 01:47, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> said:

> Hi Mark & Group,
>
> This sounds like a good frequency (460.575) to put a PL tone lockout of
> 123.0 on if anyone has a Uniden scanner with the tone lockout feature. That
> way, you can still hear Dispatch Betty if she pops up (she doesn't use a PL
> tone) and any other transmission not using the 123.0 PL tone.
>
> If nothing else, it should allow the listener the ability to still scan the
> frequency without locking it out...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29735. MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 14:09:05 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 26744 Message: 29735

Cleburne FD is working an MVA with a tanker fire, 2 helicopters and 2 ground ambulances

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29736. Re: Frisco P25 system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 14:18:38 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 29721 Message: 29736

Make sure you go to their North America website. They accually stress P25 systems and the open standard. You can see their products and the old press release from Feb 2008 talking about the Frisco project.

Here's their website:

http://www.eads-ps.com/index.html <http://www.eads-ps.com/index.html>

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> Agree with Matt here. What was EADS offering, a Tetra or Tetrapol system? It
> appears from their web site that this is all they offer in the
> communications side of yet another too large mega-international corporation.
>
>
>
> Mark
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29738. Re: MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 16:08:46 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 26744 Message: 29738

Renois, Daniel wrote:

> Cleburne FD is working an MVA with a tanker fire, 2 helicopters and 2
> ground ambulances

Hey Dan -

Were you able to get any more details on the nasty one
down in Feriss yesterday. Head on collision, etc.?

Just curious... Suprised it didn't make the news,
but maybe I missed it.

I figured that if the news stations could run a story
about a DISD bus running over a kid, they'd at least
have a blurb on a wreck with two helos and six
injured. Maybe it was just too rural.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090305-0, 03/05/2009
Tested on: 3/5/2009 10:08:46 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29739. DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 16:11:57 UTC
From: jerryblueeyes1
Topic: 3723 Message: 29739

I'm trying to get DPS working again, went through the channels and found 2 that were locked out. I unlocked them. Channel 155.45000, while scanning will stop on that channel for a few seconds but no sound. It shows "DAT" on the right side of the screen. I have the squelch adjusted all the way up. If this channel is locked out would that be the reason for no hearing anything on DPS? I used to hear stuff on there all the time then one day nothing.

29740. RE: [dfwscan] Re: MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 19:19:29 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 26744 Message: 29740

I don't know about yesterday's news but I caught it on the Fox 4 noon news today. I tuned in about 12:04 PM and they were already into the story. I believe either 3 or 4 patients were flown to Fort Worth from the scene. No fatalities mentioned in what I heard and they did have some video too...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 10:09 AM
To: Renois, Daniel; DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: MVA

Hey Dan -

Were you able to get any more details on the nasty one down in Feriss yesterday. Head on collision, etc.?

Just curious... Suprised it didn't make the news, but maybe I missed it.

I figured that if the news stations could run a story about a DISD bus running over a kid, they'd at least have a blurb on a wreck with two helos and six injured. Maybe it was just too rural.

Blaine

29741. Story about the Cleburne wreck

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 22:21:24 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 29741 Message: 29741

http://www.cleburnetimesreview.com/breakingnews/local_story_064104411.html

Gary W5ETA

http://w5eta.blogspot.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29742. RE: [dfwscan] DPS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-05 23:55:54 UTC
From: Barry Barge
Topic: 3723 Message: 29742

What kind of scanner are you using? You know they went digital, right? You'll need one of the Uniden/GRE units capable of P25 decode (396, 996, GRE500/600, etc.) See Radio Reference for details....

-Barry
Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jerryblueeyes1
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 10:12 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] DPS

I'm trying to get DPS working again, went through the channels and found 2 that were locked out. I unlocked them. Channel 155.45000, while scanning will stop on that channel for a few seconds but no sound. It shows "DAT" on the right side of the screen. I have the squelch adjusted all the way up. If this channel is locked out would that be the reason for no hearing anything on DPS? I used to hear stuff on there all the time then one day nothing.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29743. Re: MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-06 02:32:48 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 26744 Message: 29743

Details on the Ferris accident from the Waxahachie Daily Light
http://www.thedailylight.com/articles/2009/03/05/news/doc49b0565abaa2f746439303.txt

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Renois, Daniel wrote:
>
> > Cleburne FD is working an MVA with a tanker fire, 2 helicopters and 2
> > ground ambulances
>
> Hey Dan -
>
> Were you able to get any more details on the nasty one
> down in Feriss yesterday. Head on collision, etc.?
>
> Just curious... Suprised it didn't make the news,
> but maybe I missed it.
>
> I figured that if the news stations could run a story
> about a DISD bus running over a kid, they'd at least
> have a blurb on a wreck with two helos and six
> injured. Maybe it was just too rural.
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090305-0, 03/05/2009
> Tested on: 3/5/2009 10:08:46 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

29744. RE: [dfwscan] Frisco P25 system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-06 05:48:07 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29721 Message: 29744

Snazzy web site, but still doesn't answer my question. They, as you say are "stressing a P25 system and an open standard". Sounds great, but what does that really mean? They are designing a system but suspect they are using someone else's hardware and radios? So is the system they are designing just a re-engineered TETRA or Tetrapol system, which correct me if I am wrong was originally designed by Motorola? We have had numerous companies over the years come to our shop and pitch a new product or system. The most recent was a WiFi downlink/Satellite uplink system offered by a mega-internat who less than one year ago gobbled up another small nich market company to add to their electronic company portfolio. When we asked to see the product in action the response was predictable; "Oh, we are still working on making it all work, but should be able to give you a demo soon." If my agency has to pay good money for something, it better be a proven system or give it to me for free and we will be happy to be their guinea pig!!! Apparently Frisco wasn't all that impressed. They eventually went with the proven system, which coincidently (and imagine that) happened to be the lowest bidder.

Mark

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>Agree with Matt here. What was EADS offering, a Tetra or Tetrapol system?

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of js_scan888
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 8:19 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Frisco P25 system

Make sure you go to their North America website. They accually stress P25 systems and the open standard. You can see their products and the old press release from Feb 2008 talking about the Frisco project.

Here's their website:

http://www.eads- <http://www.eads-ps.com/index.html> ps.com/index.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29745. Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-06 18:01:49 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 23839 Message: 29745

Snapped up an air to air this morning
when searching. The frequency was 320.3,
and doing some online research, looks like it
MAY belong to the VMFA-312 out of Beaufort
NAS, SC.

Anyway, talk of barrel rolls, and etc. between
the pilots. Very graphic language. Pretty funny!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090305-1, 03/05/2009
Tested on: 3/6/2009 12:01:50 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29746. Another milair air to air hit

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-06 18:43:30 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29746 Message: 29746

Got more air to air....

260.1

Squadron pilots chit chat air
to air right now. Very strong
signal. Reference weather
for Tinker and Tyndall. All
craft in the squadron have
about one hour left on fuel.
Sounds like possibly Tyndall
F-15's. Talk now of PAR
approach into Tyndall.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090305-1, 03/05/2009
Tested on: 3/6/2009 12:43:30 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29747. RE: [dfwscan] Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-06 21:42:31 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 23839 Message: 29747

Hi Blaine & Group,

Blaine, you mentioned Tyndall F-15s in your second e-mail on air-to-air today. 320.3, from this e-mail, is definitely used by Eglin F-15s (58th FS out of Florida). Their callsign is ARROW ##. I heard them many times while flying the CAP over the ranch and this was their "Prime 8" channel. 320.3 could be anyone, but with the other possible F-15s around, this would be a good candidate for the frequency/traffic you heard.

BTW, there seems to be some sort of exercise going on. I don't say that from hearing it over the radio. I say this due to the vast number of aircraft flying in and out of NASFW the past 3 or so days. And they are flying late into the night as well as very early in the morning too. This is usually a good indicator of an exercise.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Friday, March 06, 2009 12:02 PM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] Milair

Snapped up an air to air this morning
when searching. The frequency was 320.3,
and doing some online research, looks like it
MAY belong to the VMFA-312 out of Beaufort
NAS, SC.

Anyway, talk of barrel rolls, and etc. between
the pilots. Very graphic language. Pretty funny!

Blaine

29748. Re: Frisco P25 system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-07 01:06:53 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 29721 Message: 29748

Looking at the archived press releases (2006) I can see that they have an OEM agreement with Tait Electronics. So that is where the P25 Base Stations and Repeaters come from.
Press release (2007) has an agreement with Kenwood to include Kenwood P25 terminals in it's proposed offers wherever possible. So maybe some Kenwood equipment.
Yes, Motorola has the majority of TETRA patents so its up to them to have any systems like that in the US.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> Snazzy web site, but still doesn't answer my question. They, as you say are
> "stressing a P25 system and an open standard". Sounds great, but what does
> that really mean? They are designing a system but suspect they are using
> someone else's hardware and radios? So is the system they are designing just
> a re-engineered TETRA or Tetrapol system, which correct me if I am wrong was
> originally designed by Motorola? We have had numerous companies over the
> years come to our shop and pitch a new product or system. The most recent
> was a WiFi downlink/Satellite uplink system offered by a mega-internat who
> less than one year ago gobbled up another small nich market company to add
> to their electronic company portfolio. When we asked to see the product in
> action the response was predictable; "Oh, we are still working on making it
> all work, but should be able to give you a demo soon." If my agency has to
> pay good money for something, it better be a proven system or give it to me
> for free and we will be happy to be their guinea pig!!! Apparently Frisco
> wasn't all that impressed. They eventually went with the proven system,
> which coincidently (and imagine that) happened to be the lowest bidder.
>
>

>
> Mark
>
>

29749. Re: Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-07 19:27:36 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 23839 Message: 29749

commscan wrote:

> 320.3 is definitely used by Eglin F-15s (58th FS
> out of Florida). Their callsign is ARROW ##. I heard them many times while
> flying the CAP over the ranch and this was their "Prime 8" channel.

Saw on milcom list that they were enroute for DC CAP,
and also using 369.15 for a/a.

> BTW, there seems to be some sort of exercise going on. I don't say that
> from hearing it over the radio.

Well, you're seeing aircraft into the base, and I'm
hearing a crapload of stuff on the radio. Air to airs
and refueling orbits and such that activity has been
MUCH higher than usual. I would tend to agree
something is going on. Keep an ear on all the MOA
frequencies. I know Rivers MOA in Arkansas (288.3)
was very active yesterday with AWACS and fighters.
Caught DARKSTAR, SENTRY, and MADCAP callsigns.
Signal was real good into my location.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090306-0, 03/06/2009
Tested on: 3/7/2009 1:27:36 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29750. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-08 06:43:52 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 29649 Message: 29750

From my location in Far North Dallas, I used to receive DFD
5x5. Lately DFD Channel 1 has a deteriorated signal (down maybe 6
db) and has become somewhat crackly (like the squelch is cutting out
parts of the words) and 'fuzzy'-sounding. I've been wondering
whether the DFD has been 'adjusting' its system or whether my old
PRO-93 might be losing it and drifting off its frequency somewhat.

Toby

At 04:30 AM 3/5/2009, Terry wrote:

>There is a tone on it now. For many years the primary transmitter
>had no tone and the backup did. I guess someone knocked the bit out
>her because she's back to obnoxious and understandable 50% of the time!
>
>Terry
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
><mailto:rob.davis%40armynursecorps.com>rob.davis@...
>Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 3:52 AM
>To: <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Dallas FD Dispatch Debbie
>
>Hmmm... are you sure Dispatch Debbie has no PL? All my radios are
>programmed for 173.0 on 460.575. Anyhow, it's working fine tonight,
>but yesterday was a disaster. It did sound like a stronger signal
>was dead keying Dispatch Debbie, but that signal was only there when
>a dispatch was going out. However, I never listened with a non-PL
>radio to see if there was a constant signal in between dispatches.
>
>Rob
>
>On Thursday, March 5, 2009 01:47, "commscan"
><<mailto:commscan-ftw%40sbcglobal.net>commscan-ftw@...> said:
>
> > Hi Mark & Group,
> >
> > This sounds like a good frequency (460.575) to put a PL tone lockout of
> > 123.0 on if anyone has a Uniden scanner with the tone lockout feature. That
> > way, you can still hear Dispatch Betty if she pops up (she doesn't use a PL
> > tone) and any other transmission not using the 123.0 PL tone.
> >
> > If nothing else, it should allow the listener the ability to still scan the
> > frequency without locking it out...

> >
> > Brian
> > Ft. Worth, TX
> >

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29751. Weekly FCC grants March 01-07

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-08 14:19:43 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29751 Message: 29751

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29752. FW: status

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-08 18:11:29 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29752 Message: 29752

Do you guys have any info to help me with this??

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: Swartz, Jim
Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 12:59
To: Renois, Daniel
Subject: RE: status

Dan, are we doing a fly over for Ben Johnson's daughter's funeral today in Jacksonville? Please call Mike Shaw or Mark Kessler and find out if we should, and if so call Ops on Call and please make it happen. Time is of the essence...I don't know what time today the funeral is scheduled for...

James C. Swartz

President & CEO

CareFlite - Rapid Response Air

& Ground Ambulance

(972) 339 - 4201 Office

(972) 339 - 4236 Assistant

(682) 429 - 0700 Cellular

Medical Transport: (800) 442 - 6260

For Membership: (877) DFW - Care

From: Renois, Daniel
Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 8:13
To: .FixedWingMgmt
Subject: status

1CF is in service and VFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third riders, & no maintenance scheduled

3CF is in service and VFR aircraft and IFR Pilot. There are no scheduled PR events, no third riders, and no maintenance scheduled.

4CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, no third riders, and no maintenance scheduled.

5CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third riders, & no maintenance scheduled.

6CF is out of service at Methodist Mansfield and waiting on a part. There are no scheduled PR events, third riders, & no maintenance scheduled.

9CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third riders or scheduled maintenance

SCT is in service.

There are no pending flights for the fixed wing

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29753. RE: [dfwscan] FW: status

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-08 18:13:15 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29752 Message: 29753

Sorry disregard that last one

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Renois, Daniel
Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 13:11
To: Kessler, Mark; DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] FW: status

Do you guys have any info to help me with this??

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@... <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: Swartz, Jim
Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 12:59
To: Renois, Daniel
Subject: RE: status

Dan, are we doing a fly over for Ben Johnson's daughter's funeral today in Jacksonville? Please call Mike Shaw or Mark Kessler and find out if we should, and if so call Ops on Call and please make it happen. Time is of the essence...I don't know what time today the funeral is scheduled for...

James C. Swartz

President & CEO

CareFlite - Rapid Response Air

& Ground Ambulance

(972) 339 - 4201 Office

(972) 339 - 4236 Assistant

(682) 429 - 0700 Cellular

Medical Transport: (800) 442 - 6260

For Membership: (877) DFW - Care

From: Renois, Daniel
Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 8:13
To: .FixedWingMgmt
Subject: status

1CF is in service and VFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third riders, & no maintenance scheduled

3CF is in service and VFR aircraft and IFR Pilot. There are no scheduled PR events, no third riders, and no maintenance scheduled.

4CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, no third riders, and no maintenance scheduled.

5CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third riders, & no maintenance scheduled.

6CF is out of service at Methodist Mansfield and waiting on a part. There are no scheduled PR events, third riders, & no maintenance scheduled.

9CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third riders or scheduled maintenance

SCT is in service.

There are no pending flights for the fixed wing

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@... <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29754. RE: [dfwscan] FW: status

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-09 02:33:46 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 29752 Message: 29754

Even though this message was 'disregarded', I found it very interesting. I'd even be interested in seeing it at least daily (maybe in the Tavern). Now Dan is undoubtedly going to say that status reports are not public info. Shucks!

Toby

At 01:13 PM 3/8/2009, Dan Renois wrote:

>Sorry disregard that last one
>
>Dan Renois
>
>KE5UZB
>
>Flight Dispatcher
>
>CareFlite Operations Center
>
>(972) 660-2851 Office
>
>(817) 988-6729 Cell
>
>Email: <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>drenois@...
>
>For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260
>
>For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care
>
>_____
>
>From: <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
>Of Renois, Daniel
>Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 13:11
>To: Kessler, Mark; DFWSCAN Group
>Subject: [dfwscan] FW: status
>
>Do you guys have any info to help me with this??
>
>Dan Renois
>
>KE5UZB
>
>Flight Dispatcher
>

>CareFlite Operations Center
>
>(972) 660-2851 Office
>
>(817) 988-6729 Cell
>
>Email: <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>drenois@...
><mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>
>
>For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260
>
>For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care
>
>_____
>
>From: Swartz, Jim
>Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 12:59
>To: Renois, Daniel
>Subject: RE: status
>
>Dan, are we doing a fly over for Ben Johnson's daughter's funeral today
>in Jacksonville? Please call Mike Shaw or Mark Kessler and find out if
>we should, and if so call Ops on Call and please make it happen. Time is
>of the essence...I don't know what time today the funeral is scheduled
>for...
>
>James C. Swartz
>
>President & CEO
>
>CareFlite - Rapid Response Air
>
>& Ground Ambulance
>
>(972) 339 - 4201 Office
>
>(972) 339 - 4236 Assistant
>
>(682) 429 - 0700 Cellular
>
>Medical Transport: (800) 442 - 6260
>
>For Membership: (877) DFW - Care
>
>From: Renois, Daniel
>Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 8:13
>To: .FixedWingMgmt
>Subject: status
>
>1CF is in service and VFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third

>riders, & no maintenance scheduled
>
>3CF is in service and VFR aircraft and IFR Pilot. There are no scheduled
>PR events, no third riders, and no maintenance scheduled.
>
>4CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, no third
>riders, and no maintenance scheduled.
>
>5CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third
>riders, & no maintenance scheduled.
>
>6CF is out of service at Methodist Mansfield and waiting on a part.
>There are no scheduled PR events, third riders, & no maintenance
>scheduled.
>
>9CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third
>riders or scheduled maintenance
>
>SCT is in service.
>
>There are no pending flights for the fixed wing
>
>Dan Renois
>
>KE5UZB
>
>Flight Dispatcher
>
>CareFlite Operations Center
>
>(972) 660-2851 Office
>
>(817) 988-6729 Cell
>
>Email: <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>drenois@...
><mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>
>
>For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260
>
>For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29755. Re: [dfwscan] FW: status

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-09 02:43:52 UTC
From: Robert Cross
Topic: 29752 Message: 29755

Status emails are sent every 12 hrs to convey the fleet status, weather status, crew info/issues, he vfr/ifr status, maintenance issues etc to the powers that be. They are pretty boring and cookie cutter. The new "director" of the ops center has nothing to do so he typed a format that must be used to make him feel important. Those of u that lend an ear to CareFlite comms, notice how busy they are. All the good help keeps getting run off, call Jim Swartz on his cell listed below and let him know that you are concerned about the lack of staffing and the workload leading to issues. He usually is left out of the loop but does like to know and will follow up

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 8, 2009, at 9:33 PM, Toby Zidle <tobyz7@...> wrote:

> Even though this message was 'disregarded', I found it very
> interesting. I'd even be interested in seeing it at least daily
> (maybe in the Tavern). Now Dan is undoubtedly going to say that
> status reports are not public info. Shucks!
>
> Toby
>
> At 01:13 PM 3/8/2009, Dan Renois wrote:
>
> >Sorry disregard that last one
> >
> >Dan Renois
> >
> >KE5UZB
> >
> >Flight Dispatcher
> >
> >CareFlite Operations Center
> >
> >(972) 660-2851 Office
> >
> >(817) 988-6729 Cell
> >
> >Email: <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>drenois@...
> >
> >For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260
> >
> >For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care
> >
> >_____
> >

> >From: <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> >[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> >Of Renois, Daniel
> >Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 13:11
> >To: Kessler, Mark; DFWSCAN Group
> >Subject: [dfwscan] FW: status
> >
> >Do you guys have any info to help me with this??
> >
> >Dan Renois
> >
> >KE5UZB
> >
> >Flight Dispatcher
> >
> >CareFlite Operations Center
> >
> >(972) 660-2851 Office
> >
> >(817) 988-6729 Cell
> >
> >Email: <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>drenois@...
> ><mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>
> >
> >For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260
> >
> >For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care
> >
> >_____
> >
> >From: Swartz, Jim
> >Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 12:59
> >To: Renois, Daniel
> >Subject: RE: status
> >
> >Dan, are we doing a fly over for Ben Johnson's daughter's funeral
> today
> >in Jacksonville? Please call Mike Shaw or Mark Kessler and find out
> if
> >we should, and if so call Ops on Call and please make it happen.
> Time is
> >of the essence...I don't know what time today the funeral is
> scheduled
> >for...
> >
> >James C. Swartz
> >
> >President & CEO
> >
> >CareFlite - Rapid Response Air

> >
> >& Ground Ambulance
> >
> >(972) 339 - 4201 Office
> >
> >(972) 339 - 4236 Assistant
> >
> >(682) 429 - 0700 Cellular
> >
> >Medical Transport: (800) 442 - 6260
> >
> >For Membership: (877) DFW - Care
> >
> >From: Renois, Daniel
> >Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 8:13
> >To: .FixedWingMgmt
> >Subject: status
> >
> >1CF is in service and VFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third
> >riders, & no maintenance scheduled
> >
> >3CF is in service and VFR aircraft and IFR Pilot. There are no
> scheduled
> >PR events, no third riders, and no maintenance scheduled.
> >
> >4CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, no third
> >riders, and no maintenance scheduled.
> >
> >5CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third
> >riders, & no maintenance scheduled.
> >
> >6CF is out of service at Methodist Mansfield and waiting on a part.
> >There are no scheduled PR events, third riders, & no maintenance
> >scheduled.
> >
> >9CF is in service and IFR. There are no scheduled PR events, third
> >riders or scheduled maintenance
> >
> >SCT is in service.
> >
> >There are no pending flights for the fixed wing
> >
> >Dan Renois
> >
> >KE5UZB
> >
> >Flight Dispatcher
> >
> >CareFlite Operations Center
> >

> >(972) 660-2851 Office
> >
> >(817) 988-6729 Cell
> >
> >Email: <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>drenois@...
> ><mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>
> >
> >For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260
> >
> >For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29756. American flight declaring emergency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-09 21:49:14 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29756 Message: 29756

125.025

American 1086 declaring in flight emergency.
Overweight and requesting full emergency
response on field when they land. Took off
from DFW and returning. Direct to 17C.
Currently descending from 11,000'.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090308-0, 03/08/2009
Tested on: 3/9/2009 4:49:14 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29757. American 1086

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-09 22:01:33 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29757 Message: 29757

Primary contact will be 135.7 once the aircraft
gets on the ground. On approach right now to
17C.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090308-0, 03/08/2009
Tested on: 3/9/2009 5:01:33 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29758. Re: [dfwscan] American 1086

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-10 00:07:44 UTC
From: David Chaney
Topic: 29757 Message: 29758

I suppose it made it?

On Mon, Mar 9, 2009 at 5:01 PM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:

> Primary contact will be 135.7 once the aircraft
> gets on the ground. On approach right now to
> 17C.
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090308-0, 03/08/2009
> Tested on: 3/9/2009 5:01:33 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

--
"A government big enough to give you everything you want, is big enough to
take
away everything you have." - Thomas Jefferson
Sent from: Haltom City Texas United States.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29759. American 1086

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-10 00:29:36 UTC
From: Bob Tickner
Topic: 29757 Message: 29759

Here is the link to the flight American 1086. Looks like they did a big circle to the northeast before coming back. MD-83
http://flightaware.com/live/flight/AAL1086/history/20090309/2118Z/KDFW/KDFW

Bob Tickner
Denton,TX
btickner@...

-- David Chaney <david.chaney@...> wrote:
I suppose it made it?

On Mon, Mar 9, 2009 at 5:01 PM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:

> Primary contact will be 135.7 once the aircraft
> gets on the ground. On approach right now to
> 17C.
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090308-0, 03/08/2009
> Tested on: 3/9/2009 5:01:33 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

--
"A government big enough to give you everything you want, is big enough to take
away everything you have." - Thomas Jefferson
Sent from: Haltom City Texas United States.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29760. Re: American 1086

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-10 00:38:56 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29757 Message: 29760

On 3/9/2009 7:07 PM, David Chaney wrote:

> I suppose it made it?

Yeah, I followed it all the way to landing.
Landed on 17C. Rolled emergency trucks.
Never heard what the outcome was. All I
caught on center was that they had some
kind of warning light come on, and the
captain said "our ops (as in the folks they
contact on ARINC) told us to return."

So they were over Atoka, and turned back.
MD-83. They declared an inflight because
they were fully loaded with fuel (over 100K),
and had 236 minutes of flight left. They were
heading to Philly. I just consider myself lucky
that I caught the traffic on the scanner.
Sometimes you get some gems. Just gotta be
listening at the right place and the right time.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090309-0, 03/09/2009
Tested on: 3/9/2009 7:38:56 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29761. Parker County Project 25 Change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-10 17:08:15 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 29761 Message: 29761

This past week North Parker County Fire 154.2500 pl162.2 has finally change to the P25 TRS, their talkgroup new is 4133. I no longer hear them on their old repeater. Also, Parker County SO Animal Control is now heard on 4105

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

29762. Re: Parker County Project 25 Change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-10 21:23:36 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29761 Message: 29762

Are they still re-broadcasting County Fire on 154.340 (162.2)? I havent listened to them in a while. I just got through reprogramming radios so I guess I'll take the 154.250 and 154.725 out. Are they still using any type of conventional either VHF or 800, or has everything gone P25?

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Stuart" <aa5st@...> wrote:
>
> This past week North Parker County Fire 154.2500 pl162.2 has
> finally change to the P25 TRS, their talkgroup new is 4133. I no
> longer hear them on their old repeater. Also, Parker County SO
> Animal Control is now heard on 4105
>
> Michael Stuart - AA5ST
> Azle, Texas - Parker County
>

29763. Programming

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-10 21:36:07 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 29763 Message: 29763

Can a Radio Shack pro 2053 and 2151 be programmed using Win95? I'm trying to get all my radios programmed the same and don't want to develop carpal tunnel syndrome programming one at a time manually.....
If not is there a program available to program them?

Bill Anderson

29764. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Parker County Project 25 Change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-10 22:23:30 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29761 Message: 29764

As far as I know...
154.34 is only for the pagers. They don't appear to simulcast everything.
All I have heard on 800 is DPS and Aledo VFD using it for talkaround
Willow park PD and FD are still on their own VHF repeaters
Weatherford PD still has

----- Original Message ----
> From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2009 4:23:36 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Parker County Project 25 Change
>
> Are they still re-broadcasting County Fire on 154.340 (162.2)? I havent
> listened to them in a while. I just got through reprogramming radios so I guess
> I'll take the 154.250 and 154.725 out. Are they still using any type of
> conventional either VHF or 800, or has everything gone P25?
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
terms/

29765. Re: Parker County Project 25 Change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-10 22:32:42 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29761 Message: 29765

Part of my message got chopped off.
Weatherford PD still has 155.61 for backup.
Everything else is on the P25 system.
Somebody correct me if any of that is wrong.

Tom

----- Original Message ----
> From: Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2009 5:23:30 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Parker County Project 25 Change
>
>
> As far as I know...
> 154.34 is only for the pagers. They don't appear to simulcast everything.
> All I have heard on 800 is DPS and Aledo VFD using it for talkaround
> Willow park PD and FD are still on their own VHF repeaters
> Weatherford PD still has

29766. Re: Parker County Project 25 Change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-10 22:35:40 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29761 Message: 29766

Thats probably why on 154.340 I would only heat the county dispatch or "tone out" a call and that was it. Never heard anymore traffic. I guess I will go ahead and take them out of the radios. I guess I should slowly start a search for a digital scanner. Im sure it wont be long (years) before Fort Worth and Tarrant COunty start to head that way. Thanks Tom,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
>
>
> As far as I know...
> 154.34 is only for the pagers. They don't appear to simulcast everything.
> All I have heard on 800 is DPS and Aledo VFD using it for talkaround
> Willow park PD and FD are still on their own VHF repeaters
> Weatherford PD still has
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message ----
> > From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2009 4:23:36 PM
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Parker County Project 25 Change
> >
> > Are they still re-broadcasting County Fire on 154.340 (162.2)? I havent
> > listened to them in a while. I just got through reprogramming radios so I guess
> > I'll take the 154.250 and 154.725 out. Are they still using any type of
> > conventional either VHF or 800, or has everything gone P25?
> >
> > David, KC5RHB
> > http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather
> terms/
>

29767. Johnson County SD/ PD unit numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-11 01:52:29 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 29767 Message: 29767

Hello,

Does anybody have a list of the Johnson County SD/PD unit numbers?

Thanks.

Scott

29768. Re: Johnson County SD/ PD unit numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-11 03:24:07 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29767 Message: 29768

I dont know how current this is:

100-Sheriff

101-Chief Deputy

102-Jail Captain

103-Patrol Lt

104-CID Lt

105-108 other admin

109 CID Sgt

110-119 CID (Detectives)

120 1st shift Sgt

121-129 1st shift patrol

130 2nd shift Sgt

131-139 2nd shift patrol

140 3rd shift Sgt

141-149 3rd shift deputies

150's transport

160-179 reserves

180's jail, transport, court

190's SRO's

199 EOC Officer

200 Cleburne PD

300 Burleson PD

400 Alvarado PD

500 Keene PD

600 Various county agencies including wreckers

700-749 Venus PD

750-800 Rio Vista PD

800-849 Joshua PD

900-910 Grandview PD

975 Godley PD

6305 DPS Sgt

6150-6158 DPS Waco troopers

1100 Precinct 1 constable

2200 Precinct 2 constable

3300 Precinct 3 constable

4400 Precinct 4 constable

Burleson PD uses their ID numbers as unit numbers, 690 units in Burleson are the City Marshals, 200 units are COPS

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scott" <bender_s@...> wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> Does anybody have a list of the Johnson County SD/PD unit numbers?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Scott
>

29769. Re:Programming

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-11 15:17:41 UTC
From: Jeff Mullowney
Topic: 29763 Message: 29769

ScanCat-Lite and ScanCat-Lite Plus! work with the PRO-2051 and several others from RS, Uniden, etc. I've programmed my 2051s and PRO-97s with the Plus! version. Go to www.scancat.com for info.

Jeff Mullowney

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29770. follow up to accident on 664 in Ferris last week

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-12 20:58:56 UTC
From: Russell
Topic: 29770 Message: 29770

Blaine...here's a follow up on that bad accident we listened to on 664 in Ferris last week...the one that used several helos an boxes...
Russell

FERRIS ☐ Alexis Hope Bridges, 9, of Red Oak, died from injuries sustained in a three-vehicle accident east of Ferris Wednesday, March 4.

She was a passenger in an east-bound white 97 Ford Taurus which was stopped on FM 664 getting ready to turn left into Covenant Family Fellowship for evening services.

The vehicle, occupied by five other passengers, was rear-ended by a Dodge Ram pickup driven by Johnathan Lopez of Glenn Heights, forcing the car into oncoming traffic.

The car was then hit broadside by a west-bound Chevy Avalanche by a unidentified female.

The white 97 Ford Taurus was totaled on the scene.

Bridges was transported by CareFlite and never regained consciousness after the accident.

Her sisters Kayla and Kassidy, were taken to Children's Medical with minor injuries and were released later that evening.

The two adults were taken by ambulance to Baylor Medical Center.

One of the adults, Sarah Nolan, was treated and released. She suffered a fractured skull and bleeding on the brain.

"She [Sarah] will definitely need some therapy," said Jessica Wise, the owner of the totaled vehicle.

Hope, who was a 4th grade student at WedgeWorth Elementary in Waxahachie, family has donated her organs for transplants helping about 60 children including her heart, just hours after she passed away Saturday.

Born on April 15, 1999, she passed away on March 6, 2009.

She is survived by her mother; Karen Bridges of Waxahachie, father; James Bridges of Morton, MS, step-father; Travis Roper of Red Oak, Brothers; Tyler Jackson, Jamie Bridges, Kasey Bridges and Tre Roper, Sisters; Kayla and Kassidy Roper and Brandi Bridges, grandparents; Mike McCord of San Antonio and Virginia Roper of Grand Prairie, too many aunts, uncles and extended family to list.

Services were held at 2 p.m., March 11 at the Trinity Church, 1231 E. Pleasant Run Road, Cedar Hill with Pastor Lisa Swayze officiating.

Private interment was in Grove Hill Memorial Park, Dallas.

Memorials may be made to: First National Bank, 2010 N. Hampton, Desoto, TX 75115 for the Hope Bridges Memorial Fund.

29771. Anybody got this one?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-13 19:31:23 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29771 Message: 29771

250.25

Possible new a/a discrete or ops freq
for SKULL & TUFF B-52's out of
Barksdale. Talk of coordinating AAR
activity in North Texas.

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090312-0, 03/12/2009
Tested on: 3/13/2009 2:31:23 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29772. Lewisville chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-14 00:58:20 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29772 Message: 29772

Big chase just passed by my apartment
complex on southbound 35 at FM 407.
At least eight police cars in chase.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090313-0, 03/13/2009
Tested on: 3/13/2009 7:58:20 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29773. Re: [dfwscan] Lewisville chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-14 01:18:44 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 29772 Message: 29773

You sure? Maybe the Hot, Fresh, Now sign was on at Krappy Kreme.

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Big chase just passed by my apartment
> complex on southbound 35 at FM 407.
> At least eight police cars in chase.
>
> Blaine
>
>
>

29774. Re: [dfwscan] Lewisville chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-14 01:37:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29772 Message: 29774

Bill Boyer wrote:

> You sure? Maybe the Hot, Fresh, Now sign was on at Krappy Kreme.

Yeah, I think the suspect threw several bags of donuts
out of the vehicle.

Seriously, it was a goodie...came out of Denton I believe,
went southbound 35 to Valley Ridge, then back nb on
the service rd. Got back on the freeway at Garden ridge,
and they finally stopped him at Hickory Creek. Had
the freeway shut down for a little while. Hispanic male.
Fought with officers but they finally subdued him. I have
no idea what he was wanted for.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090313-0, 03/13/2009
Tested on: 3/13/2009 8:37:42 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29775. Weekly FCC grants March 08-14

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-15 11:18:21 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29775 Message: 29775

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29776. Re: White Settlement - new 800 license in the works

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-15 12:10:35 UTC
From: yamiam3
Topic: 29626 Message: 29776

Looks like more recent activity on the White Settlement license.

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/licenseFreqSum.jsp?licKey=3085950

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...> wrote:
>
> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=4815246
> In the YP service - Trunked....
>
>
>
> Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29777. ICE Activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-15 16:29:36 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 16893 Message: 29777

Sounds like some pretty good activity today on the
BICE Dallas 1 repeater 163.700 NAC 031. Possibly
a raid day at several hot spots.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090314-0, 03/14/2009
Tested on: 3/15/2009 11:29:37 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29778. Icom F70T Upgrade

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-15 20:43:04 UTC
From: Bill Davis
Topic: 29778 Message: 29778

Anyone know what it takes to upgrade an Icom F70T to a F70DT? Also, where to get the programming cable and software?

Thanks,

Bill

29779. Frankford/Midway

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-16 03:19:16 UTC
From: Niki
Topic: 29779 Message: 29779

I have helicopters and a heavy police presence at my apt. building here.
Anyone know who they're looking for? Thanks!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29780. Re: Frankford/Midway

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-16 08:19:14 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 29779 Message: 29780

2 BMA's ran from traffic stop. One was knicked straight away, the other eluded officers on foot. Most likely ran into an apartment.

Some unsolicited advice, MOVE as soon as your lease runs out!!!

MC

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Niki <nikilovesdogs@...> wrote:
>
> I have helicopters and a heavy police presence at my apt. building here.
> Anyone know who they're looking for? Thanks!
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29781. DFW Repeaters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-16 05:25:07 UTC
From: jared4301
Topic: 29781 Message: 29781

I'm trying to reprogram my F121S radio and I'm looking for the most active 2 meter amateur radio repeaters in the Fort Worth area. I am located in West Fort Worth, but I'm mobile all over Fort Worth on a daily basis. I only have a few openings (about 8)in my radio, so I am only looking for the very active repeaters.

Thanks,
Jared K.
Benbrook, TX
DFW242
KE5VLJ

29782. Shuttle-Space Station Flyby Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-16 20:25:06 UTC
From: Charles Mumphrey Kc5ozh
Topic: 29782 Message: 29782

Hello Group and Friends of the Kc5ozh Repeater System!
I will be streaming NASA audio, (on/off), of the Space Station/Shuttle docking tomorrow, on our Rowlett II Repeater for your listening pleasure. Also, the NASA channel on Internet and TV will have a video feed of this event.
Had to pass the following on.....a night-time viewing experience for all us young people and little harmonics out there....IMHO....following....MAYBE the Amateur Radio Operator Astronauts will be talking to us on HAM Radio during their flyby?!
Thanks for looking/listening!
Charlie

"It is not the class of license the Amateur holds, but the class of the Amateur that holds the license."

Charles Mumphrey
Amateur Radio Station Kc5ozh
Repeater System:
Rowlett Main: 441.325 MHz + 162.2
Dallas: 441.950 MHz + 162.2
Rowlett II: 441.950 MHz + 110.9
Rowlett III: 147.390 + 85.4
KC5OZH-R EchoLink Node: 350616
K5FER-L EchoLink Node: 394575
Rowlett R.A.C.E.S. Unit 808 ARO-Equipment
http://www.CharliesElectronics.com

-------- Original Message --------

Space Weather News for March 16, 2009
http://spaceweather.com

FLYBY ALERT: In a twilight launch of stunning beauty, space shuttle Discovery left Earth last night on a two week construction mission to the International Space Station (ISS). Discovery is now approaching the ISS for docking on March 17th. The timing of this mission favors sky watchers in North America and Europe who will be able to see the two spacecraft flying over many towns and cities in the evenings ahead. Tonight, March 16th, is extra-special because the not-yet-docked duo will appear as distinct points of bright light flying one after the other through the twilight sky--a rare "double flyby." Check the Simple Satellite Tracker for flyby times: http://spaceweather.com/flybys .

29783. Re: [dfwscan] DFW Repeaters

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-16 21:36:50 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29781 Message: 29783

146.94, 146.76, and 145.33 in Ft Worth and 147.14 in Arlington are probably the most active in Tarrant County.

Tom ND5Y

jared4301 wrote:
> I'm trying to reprogram my F121S radio and I'm looking for the most active 2 meter amateur radio repeaters in the Fort Worth area. I am located in West Fort Worth, but I'm mobile all over Fort Worth on a daily basis. I only have a few openings (about 8)in my radio, so I am only looking for the very active repeaters.
>
> Thanks,
> Jared K.
> Benbrook, TX
> DFW242
> KE5VLJ

29784. Skip/Ducting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 13:29:34 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29784 Message: 29784

It's been a real decent night and it seems like a real decent morning for some VHF skip. I've been listening to/confirming a few new PL tones this morning. Wichita Co. SO 155.79 PL 123.0 is booming in, Hewitt PD/FD on 154.115 PL 123.0, is pretty clear, and the Texas Department of Criminal Justice 153.905 PL 100.0 statewide common channel has been active too. I haven't checked any other bands besides the VHF-Hi band.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29785. Battery packs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 14:58:32 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29785 Message: 29785

Has anyone tried making their own scanner battery packs from rechargeable batteries? Will the soldering hurt the battery chemicals or burn a hole in the case?

Thanks, Sarge

In Lickskillet, Texas KE5YPB

U.S. Constitution in English

U.S. Bill of Rights in English

If you don"t want to speak English, go back to that

craphole of a country you left.

"To announce that there must be no criticism of the president, or that we are to stand by the president right or wrong, is not only unpatriotic and servile, but is morally treasonable to the American public." --Teddy Roosevelt

"The beauty of the second amendment is that it will not be needed until they try to take it." - Thomas Jefferson

Politicians are the lowest form of life on earth. Liberal Democrats are the lowest form of politician."--Patton

"..It does not require a majority to prevail, but rather an irate,

tireless minority keen to set brush fires in people's minds.."

--Samuel Adams

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29786. Lewisville SWAT action?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 15:00:47 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29786 Message: 29786

Sounds like possible Lewisville SWAT action.
At the very least some CID action, on CID 1
channel. Street blocked off. ICE action too.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090316-0, 03/16/2009
Tested on: 3/17/2009 10:00:48 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29787. Re: [dfwscan] Lewisville SWAT action?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 15:16:27 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 29786 Message: 29787

Subject in custody 10:15

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Sounds like possible Lewisville SWAT action.
> At the very least some CID action, on CID 1
> channel. Street blocked off. ICE action too.
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090316-0, 03/16/2009
> Tested on: 3/17/2009 10:00:48 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL Life Member Denton County ARRL VEC
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

29788. Re: Lewisville SWAT action?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 15:21:29 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29786 Message: 29788

Jay Urish wrote:

> Subject in custody 10:15

Looks like this was part of a metrowide
ICE roundup....(see my previous post a
day or two ago about ICE activity)

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090317-0, 03/17/2009
Tested on: 3/17/2009 10:21:30 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29789. 154.3775/DCS 654 Wise County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 18:58:37 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29789 Message: 29789

Hi Group,

I've been keeping an ear on a few frequencies I heard this morning during the skip. 154.3775 with a DPL of 654 is an image/simulcast of 154.445. The FCC database lists the frequency with Cottondale VFD in Wise County. Springtown, Reno, Alvord, Boyd, and other Parker/Wise County fire agencies are all working a grass fire and now it sounds like a structure fire. All traffic is the same on 154.3775/DPL 654 and 154.445/CTCSS 136.2. The regular Wise County Fire channel 154.445 is stronger and this is not an image. RR.com has nothing on Cottondale VFD. Before I send an update to RR.com I'd like to confirm if anyone else can confirm the 154.3775 traffic too.

If so, please post a reply. Thanks.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29790. RE: [dfwscan] Skip/Ducting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 19:23:25 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29784 Message: 29790

On Tuesday, March 17, 2009 08:29, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> said:

>
> It's been a real decent night and it seems like a real decent morning for
> some VHF skip.

I'm glad you said something. I briefly heard a fire department in Florida on VHF last night (can't remember whos local channel it was) and thought maybe I was just hearing things!

Rob

29791. Re: [dfwscan] 154.3775/DCS 654 Wise County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 19:32:56 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29789 Message: 29791

commscan wrote:

> All traffic is the same on 154.3775/DPL 654 and 154.445/CTCSS 136.2.
> image. Before I send an update to RR.com I'd like to confirm if anyone else can confirm the 154.3775 traffic
> too.

Hey Brian -

This was a new license for Wise County that Gene Mitchell posted in one of his license updates from about a week or so ago. If you're hearing simulcast on 154.445 as well, I would not be surprised with the trend in narrowbanding if this is not the new channel for Wise Co. FD, and they are just keeping the old VHF channel until all the volunteer depts around the county make the switchover. Just a WAG. Thanks for the confirmation of activity and tone. Yeah, I'd alert the update team at RR.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090317-0, 03/17/2009
Tested on: 3/17/2009 2:32:57 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29792. RE: [dfwscan] 154.3775/DCS 654 Wise County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 21:30:23 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 29789 Message: 29792

I have 131.8 as the PL to the 154.445 Wise County Channel but you're showing 136.2? Also this morning (around 7:30AM) during the skip I heard another county or FD being dispatched on the Wise County channel. I always keep CTCSS enabled so this must have been someone else who uses the same frequency/PL combination. I don't know who it was but they were definitely out in the country somewhere. Maybe we'll get some more tomorrow morning...

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 2:33 PM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] 154.3775/DCS 654 Wise County

commscan wrote:

> All traffic is the same on 154.3775/DPL 654 and 154.445/CTCSS 136.2.
> image. Before I send an update to RR.com I'd like to confirm if anyone else can confirm the 154.3775 traffic
> too.

Hey Brian -

This was a new license for Wise County that Gene Mitchell posted in one of his license updates from about a week or so ago. If you're hearing simulcast on 154.445 as well, I would not be surprised with the trend in narrowbanding if this is not the new channel for Wise Co. FD, and they are just keeping the old VHF channel until all the volunteer depts around the county make the switchover. Just a WAG. Thanks for the confirmation of activity and tone. Yeah, I'd alert the update team at RR.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090317-0, 03/17/2009
Tested on: 3/17/2009 2:32:57 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29793. Re: [dfwscan] Battery packs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-17 22:28:17 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29785 Message: 29793

Unless the batteries you are using came with tabs you probably can't solder to them. They are usually made of stainless steel or something that won't solder. Years ago I tried that with nicads and the heat ruined them.

Sarge wrote:
> Has anyone tried making their own scanner battery packs from rechargeable
> batteries? Will the soldering hurt the battery chemicals or burn a hole in
> the case?
>
> Thanks, Sarge

29794. I NEED SOME HELP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 02:43:16 UTC
From: BARRY RANSBERGER
Topic: 29794 Message: 29794

HELLO

guys we are getting some narrowband frequencies and I need to know a moderately priced scanner that will pick these frequencies.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29795. RE: [dfwscan] Skip/Ducting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 04:57:57 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29784 Message: 29795

Hi Rob & Group,

I remember picking up a VHHF-Hi agency out of Tennessee on 156.09 or 159.09 in the 1980's when I lived in Houston. I actually have a cassette tape somewhere of that very irregular reception night. I listened all night long to the agency.

It seems like tonight is another good DX'ing night too (other than the fact I'm tired and need to go to bed).

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 2:23 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Skip/Ducting

On Tuesday, March 17, 2009 08:29, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> said:

>
> It's been a real decent night and it seems like a real decent morning for
> some VHF skip.

I'm glad you said something. I briefly heard a fire department in Florida on VHF last night (can't remember whos local channel it was) and thought maybe I was just hearing things!

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29796. RE: [dfwscan] 154.3775/DCS 654 Wise County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 05:04:31 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29789 Message: 29796

My mistake...you're right Brandon. It is 131.8. Sorry about that little typo...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Brandon Thetford [mailto:thetford569@...]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 4:30 PM
To: 'Blaine Brooks'; 'commscan'
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] 154.3775/DCS 654 Wise County

I have 131.8 as the PL to the 154.445 Wise County Channel but you're showing 136.2? Also this morning (around 7:30AM) during the skip I heard another county or FD being dispatched on the Wise County channel. I always keep CTCSS enabled so this must have been someone else who uses the same frequency/PL combination. I don't know who it was but they were definitely out in the country somewhere. Maybe we'll get some more tomorrow morning...

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 2:33 PM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] 154.3775/DCS 654 Wise County

commscan wrote:

> All traffic is the same on 154.3775/DPL 654 and 154.445/CTCSS 136.2.
> image. Before I send an update to RR.com I'd like to confirm if anyone else can confirm the 154.3775 traffic
> too.

Hey Brian -

This was a new license for Wise County that Gene Mitchell posted in one of his license updates from about a week or so ago. If you're hearing simulcast on 154.445 as well, I would not be surprised with the trend in narrowbanding if this is not the new channel for Wise Co. FD, and they are just keeping the old VHF channel until all the volunteer depts around the county make the switchover. Just a WAG.
Thanks for the confirmation of activity and tone. Yeah, I'd

alert the update team at RR.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090317-0, 03/17/2009
Tested on: 3/17/2009 2:32:57 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29797. Re: 154.3775/DCS 654 Wise County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 10:44:11 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 29789 Message: 29797

I hate to be dumb, but what do the terms DPL and CT CSS mean?

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America and all Men & Women in Uniform"

29798. Re: [dfwscan] Re: 154.3775/DCS 654 Wise County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 12:54:24 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 29789 Message: 29798

>I hate to be dumb, but what do the terms DPL and CT CSS mean?<
Good question.

What are Squelch, CTCSS, DCS, DPL, PL, CG, DCG, and QC tones?
These subaudible(we can't hear them, but they're there) tones are used on some radio systems to eliminate interference and limit access to channels. In radio systems featuring tones, transmitters encode the subaudible tone, and receivers decode the tone. If it matches what is programmed into the radio the speaker is unmuted. If the tone does not match, then the speaker stays muted, thus preventing noise from interference and other users using the same frequency, but not part of the group of radios intended to be heard. Usually the encode and decode tones are the same, but they can be different. This makes it possible for a frequency to be used by more than one group of people without hearing the other group's traffic. If two people from different groups transmit at the same time, there may still be problems, but often there is enough distance and difference in time, that a single frequency can be used effectively by more than one group. Many repeaters use tones to limit access as well. Only transmissions with the proper tone encode will be passed through the repeater.

For us listening on the scanner, it is helpful to know the tones a particular group uses on their radios, so we can identify and filter out channels for ourselves. The Uniden BC780XLT, BC785D, BC250D, BC296D, BC796D and some other scanners will search for squelch tones, and then if you want, you can choose to use those tones to hear only transmissions on a frequency with that tone. It helps to keep interference and transmission from other users from coming through on the channel you're interested in.
DCS=Digital Coded Squelch
CTCSS=Continous Tone Coded Squelch System
PL=Private Line(Motorola's brand)
DPL=Digital Private Line (Motorola's brand)
QC=Quiet Call
CG=Channel Guard (Ericsson/GE brand)
DCG=Digital Channel Guard(Ericsson/GE)

Ben
http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29799. Skip

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 14:48:18 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 23749 Message: 29799

As Brian Scott and a couple others have been pointing
out, skip has been great recently, especially early morning
and into the late night hours, so everybody enjoy, and take
advantage of it. And make sure to post what you hear!...:)

This morning I am hearing the controller side of the
discrete UHF frequency (351.85) for Ft. Worth Center
on their Ardmore comm site. This from my discone on
my 2nd floor balcony. Not bad.

This frequency is used very heavily for military aircraft
just north of the metro.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090317-0, 03/17/2009
Tested on: 3/18/2009 9:48:19 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29800. West Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 16:24:17 UTC
From: afm108
Topic: 29800 Message: 29800

Does anyone have information about Montgomery and University Streets (not sure but cross street is possibly I-30) being shut down by FWPD?

Someone called our PD (No not FWPD) and asked about it but I have not heard anything in monitoring FWPD West or Central (though I started monitoring after we got the call) nor have I seen anything come across FW's pager system.

Thanks and Stay safe all..
Randy

29801. Re: [dfwscan] West Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 16:28:25 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 29800 Message: 29801

It might be further south at the zoo. 5616/CWPS-1 has been active with parking traffic, and it sounds like it's pretty it's a zoo at the zoo.

Ben

>
Does anyone have information about Montgomery and University Streets (not sure but cross street is possibly I-30) being shut down by FWPD?

Someone called our PD (No not FWPD) and asked about it but I have not heard anything in monitoring FWPD West or Central (though I started monitoring after we got the call) nor have I seen anything come across FW's pager system.

Thanks and Stay safe all..
Randy

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29802. (no subject)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 16:33:04 UTC
From: kd5kdf@gmail.com
Topic: 29802 Message: 29802

They have 30 closed going west.
Sent from my Sprint® BlackBerry

29803. Re: [dfwscan] West Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 16:47:12 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 29800 Message: 29803

Zoo traffic? Apparently spring break brings lots of visitors.

Does anyone have information about Montgomery and University Streets (not sure but cross street is possibly I-30) being shut down by FWPD?

Someone called our PD (No not FWPD) and asked about it but I have not heard anything in monitoring FWPD West or Central (though I started monitoring after we got the call) nor have I seen anything come across FW's pager system.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29804. FW traffic congestion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 18:26:05 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 29804 Message: 29804

From FWPD:

Due to an extremely large amount of Fort Worth Zoo traffic, I30 both directions is backed up. I30 West bound is backed up to I35. The Zoo has advised their parking lots are full and to seek alternative routes and parking for the zoo. The back up is causing significant amounts of minor traffic accident and is creating a safety hazard. It is requested radio stations broadcast this information as quickly as possible to help eliminate traffic congestion.
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

29805. Re: [dfwscan] FW traffic congestion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 18:29:20 UTC
From: Mel's lists
Topic: 29804 Message: 29805

I went past the exit to the Dallas zoo earlier this afternoon - the southbound exit was backed up a half mile, slowing freeway traffic to a crawl.

29806. Sanger - possible airplane (Cesna 152) crash

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 20:38:33 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29806 Message: 29806

Denton County is toning out a possible airplane crash (Cesna 152), north of the Wal-Mart distribution center. No confirmations yet.

Ben

29807. RE: [dfwscan] Sanger - possible airplane (Cesna 152) crash

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 20:43:21 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29806 Message: 29807

M672 on Emergency landing, plane intact (sp?), no injuries, no fire

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29808. [dfwscan] ADMS-2G software

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-18 21:30:28 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 29789 Message: 29808

Does anybody locally have the ADMS-2G software and serial number they can share or sell me.

I need to update my FT-7100 and the last time I saw my software was 5 years ago when the radio went into the truck. LOL

Thanks.

Sprint Treo 800w

Blackberry 8320 PIN 247F21F9

PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile

Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.

http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm

http://www.twowayshopper.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29809. RE: [dfwscan] West Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-19 20:24:07 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29800 Message: 29809

I was hearing this too yesterday. The traffic sounded horrendous. I didn't check to see (online) but I was wondering what in the world would've been going on at the Zoo to cause so much road congestion and traffic?

I also noticed Commander 103 was involved with the CWPS-1/5616 ops. I don't think I've ever heard a Commander (Lt.) during the days on FWPD's radios. These guys have always been working from 8-9 PM at night until around 5 AM from my listening experiences. I wonder if this is something new that FWPD is doing or if they've always had Commanders during the day but I just never heard them?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of groups@...
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2009 11:28 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; afm108
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] West Fort Worth

It might be further south at the zoo. 5616/CWPS-1 has been active with parking traffic, and it sounds like it's pretty it's a zoo at the zoo.

Ben

>
Does anyone have information about Montgomery and University Streets (not sure but cross street is possibly I-30) being shut down by FWPD?

Someone called our PD (No not FWPD) and asked about it but I have not heard anything in monitoring FWPD West or Central (though I started monitoring after we got the call) nor have I seen anything come across FW's pager system.

Thanks and Stay safe all..
Randy

<

29810. Severe weather possible early next week.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 00:09:32 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29810 Message: 29810

Looks like Monday/Tuesday could have signifigant episode of SVR WX in and close to NC Texas. The latest model data I looked at shows a powerful upper level low and favorable thermodynamics/winds. It is still early and subject to change of course but I am getting my radio stuff ready.

Ed C.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29811. RE: [dfwscan] Battery packs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 02:40:51 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 29785 Message: 29811

Thanks Joseph,

ARRL membership in works. Following your tips I now have successfully soldered my first battery pack together. I didn't use tabs, just soldered wires to the cases following the original polarity and plenty of flux. I checked carefully and found no ill effects either mechanically or electrically.

Thanks again for the info.

Sarge

From: Joseph Evans [mailto:jt376@...]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 9:16 PM
To: Sarge
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Battery packs

I have soldered some together for my Pro-90. They were radio shack nicads. It was a bit difficult this second time around. I used the original tabs and you have to maintain the shape and polarity of the original pack. I wrapped it in 2 layers of electrical tape. I also made a little pack for the cordless phone. They appear to be good solid joints. I believe I used a 40w iron.

Have you joined the ARRL yet? You can browse old articles on their webpage and attached article is about repairing nicads. The author says not to solder directly to the cell. I guess you can buy cells with a tab already attached.

Joseph KD5RFC

Chief Fuse Blower

--- On Tue, 3/17/09, Sarge <smd_1@...> wrote:

From: Sarge <smd_1@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Battery packs
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2009, 9:58 AM

Has anyone tried making their own scanner battery packs from rechargeable batteries? Will the soldering hurt the battery chemicals or burn a hole in the case?

Thanks, Sarge

In Lickskillet, Texas KE5YPB

U.S. Constitution in English

U.S. Bill of Rights in English

If you don"t want to speak English, go back to that

craphole of a country you left.

"To announce that there must be no criticism of the president, or that we are to stand by the president right or wrong, is not only unpatriotic and servile, but is morally treasonable to the American public." --Teddy Roosevelt

"The beauty of the second amendment is that it will not be needed until they try to take it." - Thomas Jefferson

Politicians are the lowest form of life on earth. Liberal Democrats are the lowest form of politician." --Patton

"..It does not require a majority to prevail, but rather an irate,

tireless minority keen to set brush fires in people's minds.."

--Samuel Adams

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdef ender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com/>
<http://www.cyberdef <http://www.cyberdefender.com/> ender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

CyberDefender has scanned this email for potential threats.
Version 2.0 / Build 4.03.29.01
Get free PC security at http://www.cyberdefender.com
<http://www.cyberdefender.com>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29812. 158.805 PL 131.8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 07:36:18 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29812 Message: 29812

Hi Group,

I know Wise Co SO uses this frequency and PL tone but so does another agency that I pick up on skip nights. Does anyone know which agency, besides WCSO, uses the same frequency with the same PL.? I bet the Wise Co. SO units know :-)

Thanks for any help.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29813. RE: [dfwscan] 158.805 PL 131.8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 08:57:47 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29812 Message: 29813

Here's a secondary question in regards to WCSO's use on 158.805/131.8. While listening tonight, I noticed that when the channel is closed for emergency traffic (i.e. unit out on an open door) there is a "beep" about every 2 seconds over the air until the channel is opened back up. I've never heard this in any other transmissions before. I'm guessing the SO units aren't hearing it. I wouldn't want to be walking up to an open door on an alarm call with my radio going "beep" every 2 seconds.

Has anyone ever noticed this or have any idea what this periodic beep may be? It has to be using the 131.8 PL tone for me to be hearing it so I'm not sure how it'd be muted by a real radio (or if it even would be).

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 2:36 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] 158.805 PL 131.8

Hi Group,

I know Wise Co SO uses this frequency and PL tone but so does another agency that I pick up on skip nights. Does anyone know which agency, besides WCSO, uses the same frequency with the same PL.? I bet the Wise Co. SO units know :-)

Thanks for any help.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29814. RE: [dfwscan] 158.805 PL 131.8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 09:20:31 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29812 Message: 29814

> I know Wise Co SO uses this frequency and PL tone but so does another agency
> that I pick up on skip nights. Does anyone know which agency, besides WCSO,
> uses the same frequency with the same PL.? I bet the Wise Co. SO units know
> :-)

I used to work for Wise County, although it was many years ago. As I would get into Tarrant County, Cedar Hill would overpower Wise County on 158.805. Since then, Lancaster has taken over that frequency from Cedar Hill, and that is certainly within your earshot, but they are using a PL of 136.5, so that probably wouldn't be what you are talking about. There is no other Texas licensee showing on RadioReference using 131.8 besides Wise County.

As for the beeps, I rarely get up north to hear Wise County anymore, so that is news to me. I agree, that I sure hope that the beep is not audible to the deputies! That would be as bad as Watauga, where their idiotic CWID blasts every half hour, and used to be audible in the field.

Rob

29815. RE: [dfwscan] 158.805 PL 131.8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 13:11:29 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29812 Message: 29815

Thanks for the info Rob. I recently created a new System for my 396D of the new Watauga frequencies (they were new to me...I hadn't been keeping up with them since their 1 frequency days). I now see how the CWID does not even get heard many times by agencies. They do not use the PL tone when broadcasting the CWID. So units don't even hear it I guess (since they are using the tones). I remember in the 1980's where everybody was ID'ing on Intercity every half our or so. It's funny thinking back on that because nobody does it anymore (well, very, very few).

Yes, I definitely ruled out Lancaster and I have found nobody in Texas or Oklahoma thru the FCC database and RR.com that fits the profile of the other agency with the same frequency and PL tone as Wise County. I've been looking for this user for at least a year or three. I only get them when the skip/ducting is good. After my e-mail to the group, it probably wasn't even an hour later and I wasn't picking them up very well anymore. I'm really starting to think they're an Oklahoma agency but it's still pure speculation with no real hard facts.

Thanks for the help Ron...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 4:21 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] 158.805 PL 131.8

> I know Wise Co SO uses this frequency and PL tone but so does another agency
> that I pick up on skip nights. Does anyone know which agency, besides WCSO,
> uses the same frequency with the same PL.? I bet the Wise Co. SO units know
> :-)

I used to work for Wise County, although it was many years ago. As I would get into Tarrant County, Cedar Hill would overpower Wise County on 158.805. Since then, Lancaster has taken over that frequency from Cedar Hill, and that is certainly within your earshot, but they are using a PL of 136.5, so that probably wouldn't be what you are talking about. There is no other Texas licensee showing on RadioReference using 131.8 besides Wise County.

As for the beeps, I rarely get up north to hear Wise County anymore, so that is news to me. I agree, that I sure hope that the beep is not audible to

the deputies! That would be as bad as Watauga, where their idiotic CWID blasts every half hour, and used to be audible in the field.

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29816. RE: [dfwscan] 158.805 PL 131.8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 15:37:49 UTC
From: Rob Winters
Topic: 29812 Message: 29816

Las Vegas uses that beep system. It lets all users know that the channel is closed and avoids what you hear with Ft Worth ("Channel open?") In reality most officers entering an open door turn their radio down a little bit, but there is still traffic on it. I like what Mansfield does. Instead of closing the primary channel, they will close channel 2 and all of the officers on the incident in question will go to channel 2. A lot less chance for extraneous traffic coming through.

While listening tonight, I noticed that when the channel is closed for emergency traffic (i.e. unit out on an open door) there is a "beep" about every 2 seconds over the air until the channel is opened back up.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29817. Re: 158.805 PL 131.8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 16:31:13 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29812 Message: 29817

On 3/20/2009 10:37 AM, Rob Winters wrote:

> Las Vegas uses that beep system. It lets all users know that the channel is
> closed

I will concur with Rob, in that, other cities I've lived in,
some agencies will do that. Much better than having the
dispatcher having to say to every unaware officer trying
to get an NCIC check "emergency traffic only - this
channel is closed!" every two or three seconds.

> I like what Mansfield does. Instead of
> closing the primary channel, they will close channel 2 and all of the
> officers on the incident in question will go to channel 2. A lot less
> chance for extraneous traffic coming through.

Lewisville PD will do that procedure a lot, freeing up the
primary dispatch channel. Units will switch to NCIC channel
or CID 1. However, on the chase the other night, everything was
on main dispatch. And I think the reason for that was, if other
agencies were involved that had 800 radios, more than likely,
all they might have was the main dispatch channel, and not the
tacts, etc.

For fire though, everyone around here has everybody else's
fireground channels, etc. I have to congratulate the FD's in
southern Denton County...they have their s**t together!!...
They help each other out...teamwork...name of the game...
on any large incident....I'm am very proud to be protected by
the firefighters and medics here in southern Denco....many
personal friends, and people on this list from my area....you
guys (and a few gals) get my utmost respect and appreciation
for what you do..., and you do it damn good.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090319-0, 03/19/2009
Tested on: 3/20/2009 11:31:13 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29818. Re: Frankford/Midway

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 17:25:34 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 29779 Message: 29818

I used to live in Addison, very close to that area. Is it really that bad over there now, or is it just that complex?

Mark

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "letarotor" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> 2 BMA's ran from traffic stop. One was knicked straight away, the other eluded officers on foot. Most likely ran into an apartment.
>
> Some unsolicited advice, MOVE as soon as your lease runs out!!!
>
> MC

29819. RE: [dfwscan] 158.805 PL 131.8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 20:16:08 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29812 Message: 29819

Thanks for all of the on-list and off-list replies. Everyone has pretty much said the same thing. One off list officer mentioned some agencies allow the dispatcher to control the beep (whether or not to use it). So, if there is a situation where the officer may need the quietness (I'm not sure if the radio's volume controls the beep or not) the dispatcher could use the common "channel is closed" method. It's interesting to me that in the almost 30 years of listening this is the first time I've heard this. Thanks for the feedback everyone.

Also, it sounds like Mansfield does what Fort Worth does once an incident turns into an ongoing ordeal. Fort Worth PD will move units to their respective division's Talk 1 channel. And when there are multiple incidents, they will use either Talk 2 or 3. And when the incident requires a dedicated dispatcher the PD assigns a "Monitor" to the channel. It really works well I think. They'll still close the Patrol channel during a pursuit or whatever is going on, but if it looks like it could take a while, they'll almost always move to a Talk channel.

This reminds me of one other thing I saw within the last year. Since Tarrant Co SO has changed their channel names and radio lineups for their patrol units, they've added the Fort Worth PD division Patrol channels and each division's Talk 1 to one of their radio zones (like a bank or system we switch to in our scanners). Even though TCSO seldom comes up on a FWPD channel, they can when they need to. And if the incident needs to be moved to Talk 1 they can switch with the other units. I think this was a smart move on somebody's part at the SO.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Rob Winters
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2009 10:38 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] 158.805 PL 131.8

Las Vegas uses that beep system. It lets all users know that the channel is closed and avoids what you hear with Ft Worth ("Channel open?") In reality most officers entering an open door turn their radio down a little bit, but there is still traffic on it. I like what Mansfield does. Instead of closing the primary channel, they will close channel 2 and all of the officers on the incident in question will go to channel 2. A lot less chance for extraneous traffic coming through.

While listening tonight, I noticed that when the channel is closed for emergency traffic (i.e. unit out on an open door) there is a "beep" about every 2 seconds over the air until the channel is opened back up.

29820. RE: [dfwscan] West Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 20:38:54 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29800 Message: 29820

The issue is the exit to University from West bound I-30. There is no room for ANY medium to high volume traffic to get to the exit without clogging up the entire right hand lane of I-30. When people get stuck in that lane not intending to exit, they have no choice but to jump out in other lanes causing slow downs and backups. That exit just wasn't designed to absorb the influx of illegal immigrants and the other plethora of 3rd world people flooding Fort Worth and the white house...

--- On Thu, 3/19/09, commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

> From: commscan <commscan-ftw@sbcglobal.net>
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] West Fort Worth
> To: Groups@..., dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "'afm108'" <afm108@...>
> Date: Thursday, March 19, 2009, 3:24 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I was hearing this too yesterday. The
> traffic sounded horrendous. I didn't
>
> check to see (online) but I was wondering what in the world
> would've been
>
> going on at the Zoo to cause so much road congestion and
> traffic?
>
>
>
> I also noticed Commander 103 was involved with the
> CWPS-1/5616 ops. I don't
>

> think I've ever heard a Commander (Lt.) during the days
> on FWPD's radios.
>
> These guys have always been working from 8-9 PM at night
> until around 5 AM
>
> from my listening experiences. I wonder if this is
> something new that FWPD
>
> is doing or if they've always had Commanders during the
> day but I just never
>
> heard them?
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com] On Behalf Of
>
> groups@bensware.
> com
>
> Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2009 11:28 AM
>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com; afm108
>
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] West Fort Worth
>
>
>
> It might be further south at the zoo. 5616/CWPS-1 has been
> active with
>
> parking traffic, and it sounds like it's pretty
> it's a zoo at the zoo.
>
>
>

> Ben
>
>
>
> >
>
> Does anyone have information about Montgomery and
> University Streets (not
>
> sure but cross street is possibly I-30) being shut down by
> FWPD?
>
>
>
> Someone called our PD (No not FWPD) and asked about it but
> I have not heard
>
> anything in monitoring FWPD West or Central (though I
> started monitoring
>
> after we got the call) nor have I seen anything come across
> FW's pager
>
> system.
>
>
>
> Thanks and Stay safe all..
>
> Randy
>
>
>
> <
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

29821. Re: 158.805 PL 131.8

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-20 22:32:15 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 29812 Message: 29821

In case anyone is curious, the proper name for
"the beeping tone on a closed channel" is a Marker Tone.

Its console-generated and the dispatcher(s) have a button they can hit to
start it up when they close the channel for emergency traffic and stop it
when the channel is reopened.. assuming the button doesn't get stuck.

-b-

29822. Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 00:51:37 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29822 Message: 29822

Listening to the scanner here. Kaufman County VF is reporting an explosion with persons on fire.

154.875 I think

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29823. Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 00:52:33 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29822 Message: 29823

151.0625

FM 2727

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29824. Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 01:31:39 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 29822 Message: 29824

Kaufman East Texas Truck 930 just advised that careflite is off the ground with one to childrens

--- On Sat, 3/21/09, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:

> From: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Explosion
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Saturday, March 21, 2009, 7:52 PM
> 151.0625
>
> FM 2727
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29825. Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 02:15:30 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29822 Message: 29825

Dang I had a phone call come in. What happened?

Jason

From: Justin Lentz <justinlentz@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 8:31:39 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

Kaufman East Texas Truck 930 just advised that careflite is off the ground with one to childrens

--- On Sat, 3/21/09, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@yahoo. com> wrote:

> From: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@yahoo. com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Explosion
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> Date: Saturday, March 21, 2009, 7:52 PM
> 151.0625
>
> FM 2727
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29826. RE: [dfwscan] Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 02:16:18 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29822 Message: 29826

Teens using alcohol and a torch to light a fire when it flashed. Heard reports on a 16 yr old with 60% and a 15 yr old with 20% burns. Busy night, another helo from an unrelated event went to Baylor saying 5 helos were inbound to parkland from this and other scenes.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Justin Lentz
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 8:32 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

Kaufman East Texas Truck 930 just advised that careflite is off the ground with one to childrens

--- On Sat, 3/21/09, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:

> From: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Explosion
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Saturday, March 21, 2009, 7:52 PM
> 151.0625
>
> FM 2727
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29827. Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 02:51:53 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 29822 Message: 29827

The parents were able to scramble out of a window, but four children
died as a result of the explosion and fire.

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America and all Men & Women in Uniform"

29828. Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 02:55:26 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29822 Message: 29828

This the explosion in Kaufman County? 4 died?

Jason

From: Richard Whitenight <rwhitenight2004@...>
To: DFWScan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 9:51:53 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

The parents were able to scramble out of a window, but four children
died as a result of the explosion and fire.

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America and all Men & Women in Uniform"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29829. Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 04:51:33 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29822 Message: 29829

I don't have an exact time but about 15 min before my first post is when the call was given out.

Jason

From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...>
To: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 11:49:57 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

And this has not been paged on IPN....how long ago did it happen?

On Sat, Mar 21, 2009 at 9:55 PM, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
> This the explosion in Kaufman County? 4 died?
>
> Jason
>
> ---
> From: Richard Whitenight <rwhitenight2004@...>
> To: DFWScan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 9:51:53 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Explosion
>
> The parents were able to scramble out of a window, but four children
> died as a result of the explosion and fire.
>
> --
> Regards,
> Richard Whitenight
> Arlington, Texas
> "God Bless America and all Men & Women in Uniform"
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29830. Weekly FCC grants March 15-21

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 11:20:10 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29830 Message: 29830

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29831. RE: [dfwscan] Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 11:26:23 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29822 Message: 29831

I could be wrong but I suspect you got your stories mixed up. The 2 kids transported were seriously burned but not near death. I talked to someone tonight that knew someone that was there when it occurred and there were no mentions of death, just the two injured.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Richard Whitenight
Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 9:52 PM
To: DFWScan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

The parents were able to scramble out of a window, but four children died as a result of the explosion and fire.

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America and all Men & Women in Uniform"

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29832. Re: [dfwscan] Explosion

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 16:11:13 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 29822 Message: 29832

Found this article but could not find anything on 4 deaths in an explosion
and fire.
http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/city/ellis/stories/032209dnmetexplosion.5b726443.html

----- Original Message -----
From: "Terry" <terry@...>
To: "'Richard Whitenight'" <rwhitenight2004@...>;
<DFWScan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 22, 2009 6:26 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Explosion

>I could be wrong but I suspect you got your stories mixed up. The 2 kids
> transported were seriously burned but not near death. I talked to someone
> tonight that knew someone that was there when it occurred and there were
> no
> mentions of death, just the two injured.
>
> Terry
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of
> Richard Whitenight
> Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 9:52 PM
> To: DFWScan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Explosion
>
> The parents were able to scramble out of a window, but four children
> died as a result of the explosion and fire.
>
> --
> Regards,
> Richard Whitenight
> Arlington, Texas
> "God Bless America and all Men & Women in Uniform"

29833. Jumper @ Loop 820 & Lake Worth bridge

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 14:29:24 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 29833 Message: 29833

Did anyone hear anything about a jumper at this location, occured Friday the 20th?

Only heard a few things about it from people but never heard anything on the news or here.

29834. RE: [dfwscan] Jumper @ Loop 820 & Lake Worth bridge

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 17:26:44 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29833 Message: 29834

I did hear something on an unknown user (by me) simplex channel but I didn't know where the location was. It was late night/early morning I remember and I was searching the VHF band listening to skip. I came across 154.5725 where there were 3 or 4 mobile units (wrecker drivers, taxi drivers, police, I have no clue) on Loop 820 passing through the White Settlement and Saginaw areas. They were cussing like sailors and were not using a PL tone. I wasn't 100% sure I heard them right when they said it was a jumper, and even still, I had no idea what or where they were talking about.

This is probably not any help on details but I did hear it mentioned.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cltx11
Sent: Sunday, March 22, 2009 9:29 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Jumper @ Loop 820 & Lake Worth bridge

Did anyone hear anything about a jumper at this location, occured Friday the 20th?

Only heard a few things about it from people but never heard anything on the news or here.

29835. Careflight Frequencies?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 17:52:59 UTC
From: laughingman2003
Topic: 29835 Message: 29835

What are the frequencies for careflight? I just moved here and I would like to know what the are.

29836. RE: [dfwscan] Jumper @ Loop 820 & Lake Worth bridge

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 19:32:03 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29833 Message: 29836

This was a suicide, and an odd one. A Fort Worth officer driving by North bound over the Lake Worth Bridge on loop 820 see's a man with birthday balloons standing near the edge of the bridge overlooking the water. The officer stopped and pulled back towards the man and witnessed the man jump off the bridge feet first into the water. Air one could find nothing, Fire Dept. dive team found the body within the hour of the jump near the shore.

--- On Sun, 3/22/09, commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

> From: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Jumper @ Loop 820 & Lake Worth bridge
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Sunday, March 22, 2009, 12:26 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I did hear something on an unknown user (by me) simplex
> channel but I didn't
>
> know where the location was. It was late night/early
> morning I remember and
>
> I was searching the VHF band listening to skip. I came
> across 154.5725
>
> where there were 3 or 4 mobile units (wrecker drivers, taxi
> drivers, police,
>
> I have no clue) on Loop 820 passing through the White

> Settlement and Saginaw
>
> areas. They were cussing like sailors and were not using a
> PL tone. I
>
> wasn't 100% sure I heard them right when they said it
> was a jumper, and even
>
> still, I had no idea what or where they were talking
> about.
>
>
>
> This is probably not any help on details but I did hear it
> mentioned.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com] On Behalf Of
>
> cltx11
>
> Sent: Sunday, March 22, 2009 9:29 AM
>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com
>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Jumper @ Loop 820 & Lake Worth
> bridge
>
>
>
> Did anyone hear anything about a jumper at this location,
> occured Friday the
>
> 20th?
>
>

>
> Only heard a few things about it from people but never
> heard anything on the
>
> news or here.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

29837. RE: [dfwscan] Careflight Frequencies?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-22 20:51:29 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29835 Message: 29837

In addition to the frequencies at the link below. I also monitor 454.075 and 463.3 which are LTR channels. You'll occasionally hear more than Careflite there, but it's not too bad. 464.1 is probably the best single frequency to monitor CareFlite, but you'll probably want to monitor PHI as well, since they do a lot of business in the area too.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=1581

Happy Scanning

Ben

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/

>What are the frequencies for careflight? I just moved here and I would like to know what the are.<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29838. Re: Jumper @ Loop 820 & Lake Worth bridge

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 03:44:50 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 29833 Message: 29838

Yes, that is the one, the guy with the balloons. Odd that I never heard anything about it other then a few people. Of those only one could confirm it, his uncle works at the TC-ME office.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "producer 5,6,10" <nats2sound@...> wrote:
>
>
> This was a suicide, and an odd one. A Fort Worth officer driving by North bound over the Lake Worth Bridge on loop 820 see's a man with birthday balloons standing near the edge of the bridge overlooking the water. The officer stopped and pulled back towards the man and witnessed the man jump off the bridge feet first into the water. Air one could find nothing, Fire Dept. dive team found the body within the hour of the jump near the shore.

29839. Denton house fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 03:48:26 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29839 Message: 29839

Heavy fire in the attic of a house somewhere in City Of
Denton. Primary channel is FD Tac 3. Didn't page on IPN
because I didn't know the location.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090322-0, 03/22/2009
Tested on: 3/22/2009 10:48:27 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29840. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Jumper @ Loop 820 & Lake Worth bridge

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 04:07:35 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29833 Message: 29840

We don't cover suicides and that is why it wasn't a media story. The responding resources went to West tlk 1 as soon as it was obvious it was going to be a recovery mission.

--- On Sun, 3/22/09, cltx11 <cltx11@...> wrote:

> From: cltx11 <cltx11@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Jumper @ Loop 820 & Lake Worth bridge
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Sunday, March 22, 2009, 10:44 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yes, that is the one, the guy with the
> balloons. Odd that I never heard anything about it other
> then a few people. Of those only one could confirm it, his
> uncle works at the TC-ME office.
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com, "producer 5,6,10" <nats2sound@
> ...> wrote:
>
> >
>
> >
>
> > This was a suicide, and an odd one. A Fort Worth
> officer driving by North bound over the Lake Worth Bridge on
> loop 820 see's a man with birthday balloons standing

> near the edge of the bridge overlooking the water. The
> officer stopped and pulled back towards the man and
> witnessed the man jump off the bridge feet first into the
> water. Air one could find nothing, Fire Dept. dive team
> found the body within the hour of the jump near the shore.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

29841. DPD : bye, bye code

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 09:07:20 UTC
From: nx2x50
Topic: 29841 Message: 29841

...
Dallas Police Department dropping police code for plain English
By ERIC AASEN / The Dallas Morning News

Catch a cop show over the past several decades and these strings of numbers barked over police radios might sound familiar:

"1-Adam-12, a 4-15 fight." ..."We have a 9-11. Armed robbery in progress."

Or in the words of the bumbling Barney Fife: "Mayberry Unit No. 1 over and, uh, Roger. Roger. Out and under. 10-40. Bye."

But many real-life police departments are ditching the digits, replacing the lingo with plain English.
In Dallas, that means so long "7," hello "minor accident."

Today, the Dallas Police Department moves to a new plain-language system that's supposed to make communications more universal and less complicated. No more of those distinctive radio codes or signals.

The department says it's following a nationwide trend, but some call it the end of an era.

Others say the switch is no big deal. Many Dallas police dispatches already include plain language because it's simple.

"It's a no-brainer," Dallas Police Senior Cpl. Herb Ebsen said. "It's just common sense. If we start speaking in codes, you have a real chance for a problem or misinterpretation."

The switch became more prevalent after Sept. 11, 2001. During the terrorist attacks, different agencies had problems communicating because they used different codes or their signals had varying meanings.

Federal officials require that plain language be used during major disasters and exercises that involve several agencies or jurisdictions, according to a 2006 government alert.

"There simply is little or no room for misunderstanding in an emergency situation," the alert stated.

Dallas has used a signal system for several decades.

A minor disturbance is a 6, while a major disturbance is a 6X. A major accident is a 7X. An officer wanting to grab something to eat? That's a 50.

Got that? 10-4. (Understood.)

Dallas police acknowledge there could be a slight learning curve for some officers and dispatchers. But they don't anticipate issues, especially because the department already has practice using plain language.

When Dallas housed Hurricane Katrina evacuees, several agencies used the same radio system. So, the departments "had to take care to use terminology that we would understand," Dallas Police Lt. Chris Aulbaugh said.

In a crisis, the codes tend to go out the window, Ebsen said.

"Officers who have been there a while learn pretty quickly that if something big is going on, just talk plainly to say what's going on," he said.

Michael Calhoun, a Dallas police dispatcher, said there will be some other benefits to dropping the signals: Rookies probably won't have to memorize all those codes.

"It'll make their lives a whole lot easier," he said.

So, let's put Calhoun on the spot. What does 22 mean?

Animal incident, he said, without hesitating.

How about 34?

Suicide.

And 11?

Burglary.

In the 1930s, so-called 10-codes were used to relay details quickly because police radios had only one channel, according to the International Association of Chiefs of Police.

Through the years, departments developed their own unique codes or signals that were different from even neighboring agencies. So one department's 10-13 ("officer in trouble") was another department's "request wrecker."

Police officers also have different ways of saying things.

When East Coast officers say "collar," they mean arrest, but in Nevada, officers say "rip," said Tim Dees, a retired police officer and senior editor for lawofficer.com, a law enforcement Web site. With many agencies working together, "if you use the wrong word in the wrong context, people will look at you funny," he said.

As hard as agencies try to scrub out codes and signals, it will be difficult to extinguish something that's been ingrained for decades in police forces, Dees said.

"It becomes a matter of pride to use that and to know what those things mean," he said. "There's always going to be an element of jargon there. I expect you'll hear it occasionally creep back.

"That's the way that cops talk."

29842. Car in building in downtown Fort Worth?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 13:47:13 UTC
From: Brian Rodgers
Topic: 29833 Message: 29842

Was anyone doing any scanning Friday night? I was driving down Houston (I'm pretty sure it was Houston) near the convention center, and came up on a big ruckus. Turns out there was a tow truck pulling a car out of one of the shops there along the side of the street. This car had to have been moving, too, because it was completely inside the business. I kept an eye out for it on the news, but I never saw anything, so I was wondering if anyone else had any info on it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29843. [dfwscan] Mic for Yaesu FT-8100 / FT-7100

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 14:30:29 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 29833 Message: 29843

Does anybody locally have a spare mic they can sell me. It can be a standard mic, not a DTMF one. Needed for Yaesu FT-7100 / 8100 mobile.

I was testing my radio on GMRS this weekend and noticed the mic cord is going bad.

Thanks.

_____

Sprint Treo 800w

Blackberry 8320 PIN 247F21F9

PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile

Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.

http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm

http://www.twowayshopper.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29844. New scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 17:35:27 UTC
From: Mark
Topic: 29844 Message: 29844

Was at Radio shack, and found a Triple Trunk pro-164, the price is $200.00. I was wondering if anyone has heard or tried this scanner, if it's digital, and what would be comparable to it.

Thanks in advanse for the help.

Mark

29845. Re: [dfwscan] New scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 22:04:24 UTC
From: S S
Topic: 29844 Message: 29845

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2970547&tab=techSpecs

Looks like it does trunking, no LTR, not digital.

On Mon, Mar 23, 2009 at 12:35 PM, Mark <safet33@...> wrote:

> Was at Radio shack, and found a Triple Trunk pro-164, the price is $200.00.
> I was wondering if anyone has heard or tried this scanner, if it's digital,
> and what would be comparable to it.
>
> Thanks in advanse for the help.
>
> Mark
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

--

The rifle itself has no moral stature, since it has no will of its own.
Naturally, it may be used by evil men for evil purposes, but there are more
good men than evil, and while the latter cannot be persuaded to the path of
righteousness by propaganda, they can certainly be corrected by good men
with rifles.

?Jeff Cooper, The Art of the Rifle

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29846. RE: [dfwscan] New scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 23:43:16 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29844 Message: 29846

Definitely does do LTR. It's GRE's replacement model for the Radio Shack PRO-97. It will handle re-banded systems and also includes the 700MHz band (which without digital is worthless but more a gimmick). I bought one about 9-months ago and really like it. It will not do digital however, so keep that in mind. But for the sale price of $169. that is not a bad price for a modern handheld conventional scanner with alpha tags, CTCSS and DCS tone code decoding and encoding, and excellent sound quality. You can also use the WIN97 program by Don Starr. The comparable model made by Uniden for Radio Shack, the PRO-162 is a good scanner also, but there are only two programs available for programming it, and both are severely deficient.

MC

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of S S
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2009 5:04 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] New scanner

http://www.radioshack.com/product/index.jsp?productId=2970547&tab=techSpecs

Looks like it does trunking, no LTR, not digital.

On Mon, Mar 23, 2009 at 12:35 PM, Mark <safet33@...> wrote:

> Was at Radio shack, and found a Triple Trunk pro-164, the price is $200.00.
> I was wondering if anyone has heard or tried this scanner, if it's digital,
> and what would be comparable to it.
>
> Thanks in advanse for the help.
>
> Mark
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>

--

The rifle itself has no moral stature, since it has no will of its own.
Naturally, it may be used by evil men for evil purposes, but there are more
good men than evil, and while the latter cannot be persuaded to the path of
righteousness by propaganda, they can certainly be corrected by good men
with rifles.

-Jeff Cooper, The Art of the Rifle

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29847. Re: New scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-23 20:44:54 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 29844 Message: 29847

This scanner does not do digital. Take a look at the wiki for all of the different Radio Shack models and what their specs are:

http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Radio_Shack_Scanners

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark" <safet33@...> wrote:
>
> Was at Radio shack, and found a Triple Trunk pro-164, the price is $200.00. I was wondering if anyone has heard or tried this scanner, if it's digital, and what would be comparable to it.
>
> Thanks in advanse for the help.
>
> Mark
>

29848. Re:DPD : bye, bye code

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-24 00:55:28 UTC
From: Paul
Topic: 29841 Message: 29848

Unfortunately plain English is not all that easy to understand sometimes. There are many accents that cause the words to sound different than what they are supposed to be. I am saying this from being on the side of the radio "enroute" to the call, and trying to figure out what they were saying. Fortunately we went back to using the "DPS 10 codes".
Paul N5XMV

29849. Arlington PD/FD System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-24 01:04:51 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29849 Message: 29849

Is anyone else having problems hearing them lately? They seem to have lost a LOT of power. I live in Arlington, and I have trouble receiving them recently, even close to their transmitter site. No other system I monitor seems to sound any differently, so I don't think it is my antennae or radios.

Rob

29850. RE: [dfwscan] Arlington PD/FD System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-24 01:23:10 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 29849 Message: 29850

Last night I listened to some of the apartment fire traffic on my scanner and I live just north east of down town dallas. I don't monitor them much but they sounded about the same to me.

-----Original Message-----
From: rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...>
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2009 8:04 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Arlington PD/FD System

Is anyone else having problems hearing them lately? They seem to have lost a LOT of power. I live in Arlington, and I have trouble receiving them recently, even close to their transmitter site. No other system I monitor seems to sound any differently, so I don't think it is my antennae or radios.

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29851. RE: [dfwscan] Re:DPD : bye, bye code

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-24 01:55:11 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29841 Message: 29851

On Monday, March 23, 2009 19:55, "Paul" <pmunsel@...> said:

> Unfortunately plain English is not all that easy to understand
> sometimes. There are many accents that cause the words to sound
> different than what they are supposed to be.

Numbers are just as difficult to understand as words are. And codes don't replace individual words. They replace entire phrases, which are less easily mistaken. I'm curious what phrase you find to be so consistently difficult to understand that it requires a special code.

Rob

29852. RE: [dfwscan] DPD : bye, bye code

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-25 00:37:34 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 29841 Message: 29852

Isn't it just a little ironic that they are moving away from codes because "Federal officials require that plain language be used . blah blah blah" but these agencies still can't or won't talk on each other's radio systems. There was a time when everybody had intercity regardless of the band their agency used. In some cases that required two radios in the cars. Until every officer has ready access to common channels this will continue to be a problem no matter what language is used.

The Austin area has a system that cost $60 million, initially, and many more millions to add Williamson county. They are all on the same radio system and still won't talk directly to each other.

Russell

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nx2x50
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2009 04:07 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] DPD : bye, bye code

...
Dallas Police Department dropping police code for plain English
By ERIC AASEN / The Dallas Morning News

Catch a cop show over the past several decades and these strings of numbers barked over police radios might sound familiar:

"1-Adam-12, a 4-15 fight." ..."We have a 9-11. Armed robbery in progress."

Or in the words of the bumbling Barney Fife: "Mayberry Unit No. 1 over and, uh, Roger. Roger. Out and under. 10-40. Bye."

But many real-life police departments are ditching the digits, replacing the lingo with plain English.
In Dallas, that means so long "7," hello "minor accident."

Today, the Dallas Police Department moves to a new plain-language system that's supposed to make communications more universal and less complicated. No more of those distinctive radio codes or signals.

The department says it's following a nationwide trend, but some call it the end of an era.

Others say the switch is no big deal. Many Dallas police dispatches already include plain language because it's simple.

"It's a no-brainer," Dallas Police Senior Cpl. Herb Ebsen said. "It's just common sense. If we start speaking in codes, you have a real chance for a

problem or misinterpretation."

The switch became more prevalent after Sept. 11, 2001. During the terrorist attacks, different agencies had problems communicating because they used different codes or their signals had varying meanings.

Federal officials require that plain language be used during major disasters and exercises that involve several agencies or jurisdictions, according to a 2006 government alert.

"There simply is little or no room for misunderstanding in an emergency situation," the alert stated.

Dallas has used a signal system for several decades.

A minor disturbance is a 6, while a major disturbance is a 6X. A major accident is a 7X. An officer wanting to grab something to eat? That's a 50.

Got that? 10-4. (Understood.)

Dallas police acknowledge there could be a slight learning curve for some officers and dispatchers. But they don't anticipate issues, especially because the department already has practice using plain language.

When Dallas housed Hurricane Katrina evacuees, several agencies used the same radio system. So, the departments "had to take care to use terminology that we would understand," Dallas Police Lt. Chris Aulbaugh said.

In a crisis, the codes tend to go out the window, Ebsen said.

"Officers who have been there a while learn pretty quickly that if something big is going on, just talk plainly to say what's going on," he said.

Michael Calhoun, a Dallas police dispatcher, said there will be some other benefits to dropping the signals: Rookies probably won't have to memorize all those codes.

"It'll make their lives a whole lot easier," he said.

So, let's put Calhoun on the spot. What does 22 mean?

Animal incident, he said, without hesitating.

How about 34?

Suicide.

And 11?

Burglary.

In the 1930s, so-called 10-codes were used to relay details quickly because police radios had only one channel, according to the International Association of Chiefs of Police.

Through the years, departments developed their own unique codes or signals that were different from even neighboring agencies. So one department's 10-13 ("officer in trouble") was another department's "request wrecker."

Police officers also have different ways of saying things.

When East Coast officers say "collar," they mean arrest, but in Nevada, officers say "rip," said Tim Dees, a retired police officer and senior editor for lawofficer.com, a law enforcement Web site. With many agencies working together, "if you use the wrong word in the wrong context, people will look at you funny," he said.

As hard as agencies try to scrub out codes and signals, it will be difficult to extinguish something that's been ingrained for decades in police forces, Dees said.

"It becomes a matter of pride to use that and to know what those things mean," he said. "There's always going to be an element of jargon there. I expect you'll hear it occasionally creep back.

"That's the way that cops talk."

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29853. Re: DPD : bye, bye code

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-25 15:04:06 UTC
From: Jeff Mullowney
Topic: 29841 Message: 29853

I know. I live in Richardson, and it amazes me that if an RPD officer needs to talk to Plano or Garland, the officer has to rely on the dispatcher making a phone call to relay the message. Yet the three cities jointly operate a police academy.

The FD's of each city, on the other hand, are a different matter. There are (at least) daily radio checks between Plano and Richardson, and the morning station radio checks for Richardson include Garland Stations 3 and 6, while Garland's include Richardson Stations 4 and 6 (I think, could possibly be 5). Yet they still don't use the interagency unit numbers. It's "Richardson Engine 1" instead of "Engine 401". But this is another example of plain English.

As for the codes going away, I agree. I remember a posting here some time ago with a link to a story in the news (Star-T?) about confusion over the 10 codes used by different agencies. The example was 10-13. In a couple of towns, it meant "assist motorist". Everywhere else it meant "officer down".

Jeff Mullowney

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29854. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPD : bye, bye code

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-25 15:32:57 UTC
From: Tim Billingham
Topic: 29841 Message: 29854

FWIW Garland backed out of the joint academy several years ago

---
From: Jeff Mullowney <b17g1630@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 10:04:06 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: DPD : bye, bye code

I know. I live in Richardson, and it amazes me that if an RPD officer needs to talk to Plano or Garland, the officer has to rely on the dispatcher making a phone call to relay the message. Yet the three cities jointly operate a police academy.

The FD's of each city, on the other hand, are a different matter. There are (at least) daily radio checks between Plano and Richardson, and the morning station radio checks for Richardson include Garland Stations 3 and 6, while Garland's include Richardson Stations 4 and 6 (I think, could possibly be 5). Yet they still don't use the interagency unit numbers. It's "Richardson Engine 1" instead of "Engine 401". But this is another example of plain English.

As for the codes going away, I agree. I remember a posting here some time ago with a link to a story in the news (Star-T?) about confusion over the 10 codes used by different agencies. The example was 10-13. In a couple of towns, it meant "assist motorist". Everywhere else it meant "officer down".

Jeff Mullowney

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29855. Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-26 02:16:24 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 29855 Message: 29855

Various law enforcement units working an excaped max. security prisoner at this time in Weatherford.

Comms on Parker County P25 system.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29856. Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-26 17:47:37 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 29855 Message: 29856

They caught the fugitive, dont know where though.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...> wrote:
>
> Various law enforcement units working an excaped max. security prisoner at this time in Weatherford.
>
> Comms on Parker County P25 system.
>
> Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29857. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-26 18:15:35 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29855 Message: 29857

As incredible as it may seem, the tattooed fugitive with no shirt on, made it to a home last night on tin top road where he made contact with a home owner telling them he had been on a work crew and was left behind needing to get back home to Dallas. The people told him they would take him to Arlington but that is as far as they could go. They actually took him to Collins and I-20 where they let him out and it wasn't until they saw news coverage that they realized that was the fugitive. The ending of the story hasn't been released yet but is being written as we speak. Personally I would have let smith and wesson talk to this guy if he showed up at my home like that.

--- On Thu, 3/26/09, KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...> wrote:

> From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Thursday, March 26, 2009, 12:47 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> They caught the fugitive, dont know where
> though.
>
>
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> http://www.geocitie
> s.com/kc5rhb/ weather
>
>
>

```
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@ ...> wrote:
>
> >
>
> > Various law enforcement units working an excaped max.
> security prisoner at this time in Weatherford.
>
> >
>
> > Comms on Parker County P25 system.
>
> >
>
> > Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control
> over it.
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

29858. Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-26 18:26:46 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29855 Message: 29858

producer 5,6,10 wrote:

>The ending of the story hasn't been released yet but is being written as we speak.

So please enlighten us as to who you work for, as personally,
I've never seen you on here until recently. Just curious, since
it seems to be you're on the pulse, so to speak...

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090325-0, 03/25/2009
Tested on: 3/26/2009 1:26:46 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29859. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-26 20:12:15 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 29855 Message: 29859

Amazingly apropos! Figured he had made it out of the area, but that a fellow banger had picked him up. When you are taught from as early as the crib how to lie; and lie, cheat, and steal as a general course throughout life, it is easy to put on an excellent performance and convince the unsuspecting to believe you. I've seen seasoned cops fooled by these guys, present company not excepted!!! This guy was probably no exception. I can't wait to hear the rest of the story!

If I were a Ranger I'd have some hard hitting questions about how this MS-13 character got away from these guys at this private transport company called Emerald Correctional Corporation. The driver was apparently inside the store ostensively paying for gas when the banger got away. If he had a partner, wonder where he was? Obviously, nobody was minding the van!

MC

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of producer 5,6,10
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 1:16 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; KC5RHB
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

As incredible as it may seem, the tattooed fugitive with no shirt on, made it to a home last night on tin top road where he made contact with a home owner telling them he had been on a work crew and was left behind needing to get back home to Dallas. The people told him they would take him to Arlington but that is as far as they could go. They actually took him to Collins and I-20 where they let him out and it wasn't until they saw news coverage that they realized that was the fugitive. The ending of the story hasn't been released yet but is being written as we speak. Personally I would have let smith and wesson talk to this guy if he showed up at my home like that._,_._,___

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29860. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-26 21:32:24 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 29855 Message: 29860

I can verify this story.

R

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of producer 5,6,10
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 1:16 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; KC5RHB
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

As incredible as it may seem, the tattooed fugitive with no shirt on, made it to a home last night on tin top road where he made contact with a home owner telling them he had been on a work crew and was left behind needing to get back home to Dallas. The people told him they would take him to Arlington but that is as far as they could go. They actually took him to Collins and I-20 where they let him out and it wasn't until they saw news coverage that they realized that was the fugitive. The ending of the story hasn't been released yet but is being written as we speak. Personally I would have let smith and wesson talk to this guy if he showed up at my home like that.

--- On Thu, 3/26/09, KC5RHB <kc5rhb@... <mailto:kc5rhb%40yahoo.com> > wrote:

> From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@... <mailto:kc5rhb%40yahoo.com> >
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Date: Thursday, March 26, 2009, 12:47 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> They caught the fugitive, dont know where
> though.
>
>
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> http://www.geocitie
> s.com/kc5rhb/ weather
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@ ...> wrote:
>
> >
>
> > Various law enforcement units working an excaped max.
> security prisoner at this time in Weatherford.
>
> >
>
> > Comms on Parker County P25 system.
>
> >
>
> > Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control
> over it.
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29861. Cooke Co FD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 01:59:06 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29861 Message: 29861

Hearing some good radio traffic on Cooke County
FD 154.295 PL 192.8 with this supercell
thunderstorm. At least one tornado spotted.
Moving towards Muenster and Callisburg.
Muenster is setting off the sirens at this time.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090326-0, 03/26/2009
Tested on: 3/26/2009 8:59:07 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29862. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 11:34:19 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29855 Message: 29862

What gets me about this whole deal is the fact ALL media stations claimed he might be a gang member. There was absolutely no doubt by Weatherford PD, DPS, or PCSO that this guy was an MS-13 Level III gang member. Personally, with the reputation the name "MS-13" has associated with it (they are one of the worst gangs stemming from El Salvador's war torn country), I'd think that would be worth mentioning on the news.

I know every media outlet in the country has to worry about being politically correct and everyone is an alleged drunk after drinking a bottle of whiskey and then killing a family of four driving down the freeway. Still, it's a little frustrating when they have to say "alleged" when it is truly "confirmed".

I don't know why Parker County's PIO wouldn't mention this guy was a high level MS-13 member, and knowing Parker County, they probably did mention it to the press/media. But watching the 4, 5, 8, & 11 news reports on this story yesterday really made me sick and tired of hearing "he may be a gang member too". There was no "may be" to it.

This was an interesting event to listen to. The search for this guy in Parker County didn't give up (in their county) until 12 hours after it began almost. He escaped around 8:20 PM and the search went on until 7:55 AM. It's been a long while since I've heard a real manhunt with numerous tracking dog teams. I enjoy it when Parker County gets involved in something. They don't fool around and it's a bit like going back 20 years and listening to a real incident where they exhaust every option. Parker County (Weatherford too of course) even did the door to door checks.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of producer 5,6,10
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 1:16 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; KC5RHB
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

As incredible as it may seem, the tattooed fugitive with no shirt on, made it to a home last night on tin top road where he made contact with a home owner telling them he had been on a work crew and was left behind needing to get back home to Dallas. The people told him they would take him to Arlington but that is as far as they could go. They actually took him to Collins and I-20 where they let him out and it wasn't until they saw news coverage that they realized that was the fugitive. The ending of the story hasn't been released yet but is being written as we speak. Personally I

would have let smith and wesson talk to this guy if he showed up at my home like that.

--- On Thu, 3/26/09, KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...> wrote:

> From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Thursday, March 26, 2009, 12:47 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> They caught the fugitive, dont know where
> though.
>
>
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> http://www.geocitie
> s.com/kc5rhb/ weather
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@ ...> wrote:
>
> >
>
> > Various law enforcement units working an excaped max.
> security prisoner at this time in Weatherford.
>
> >
>
> > Comms on Parker County P25 system.
>

> >
>
> > Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control
> over it.
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29863. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 11:57:26 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29855 Message: 29863

I don't know if any of you have ever watched the "Gangland" series on (The History Channel I think) or not. It's interesting insight if you despise the gangbanger lifestyle and mentality the way I do. I remember two years ago, when it was a new series, they had an episode on some of the California prison gangs. They described how these inmates can take pieces of paper and unlock handcuffs. At first I was thinking "give me a break". But since then, I've learned this really is true in many, many cases. Heck, they can even make spears/knives out of paper that can actually kill or severely injure people.

One thing the media did not mention is the fact that the driver was inside paying for the gas while the tattooed MS-13 banger was fighting with a transport guard. That's how he lost his shirt and had on his pants and black tennis shoes only.

I'm just dumbfounded that (1) somebody would open their door to this guy and (2) they'd give him a ride to Arlington. They're lucky the escapee didn't have a weapon (must not have been any newspapers thrown yet :)) or flat out kill or carjack them for their car anyway.

Even though the escapee didn't have a "violent history" in his crimes (they were probably all deferred adjudication type deals), there's always a first. The fact that he was even with MS-13 is proof he's committed violent acts even if he wasn't caught for them. Just his mug shot alone causes the brain to pop into "avoid this person" gear I'd think.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Mark Colborn
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 3:12 PM
To: 'producer 5,6,10'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

If I were a Ranger I'd have some hard hitting questions about how this MS-13 character got away from these guys at this private transport company called Emerald Correctional Corporation. The driver was apparently inside the store ostensively paying for gas when the banger got away. If he had a partner, wonder where he was? Obviously, nobody was minding the van!

MC

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of producer 5,6,10
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 1:16 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; KC5RHB
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

As incredible as it may seem, the tattooed fugitive with no shirt on, made it to a home last night on tin top road where he made contact with a home owner telling them he had been on a work crew and was left behind needing to get back home to Dallas. The people told him they would take him to Arlington but that is as far as they could go. They actually took him to Collins and I-20 where they let him out and it wasn't until they saw news coverage that they realized that was the fugitive. The ending of the story hasn't been released yet but is being written as we speak. Personally I would have let smith and wesson talk to this guy if he showed up at my home like that._,_._,___

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29864. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 12:50:24 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29855 Message: 29864

Lets be completely clear about some of the reasons why certain details are broadcast as FACTS, and some at the time of broadcast are ALLEGED. I'll leave out the argument over whether you like or dislike the process because it's subjective and legally irrelevant.

Random Example: "One man is dead, and 3 are injured in an alleged drunk driving accident in Dallas tonight."

We can KNOW we have one deceased, and we can KNOW 3 are injured, but there has yet to be developed an instantaneous, on site, defendable in court, way of determining that a person's blood alcohol level would enable you to change a script from "ALLEGEDLY driving while intoxicated," to "was determined to be DRUNK at the time of the crash." Another analogy could be that I could sit here and ACCUSE you of being ignorant for not knowing the legalities and reasoning behind why a script is read the way it is on TV, when in FACT you simply may not completely understand. Not understanding a process does not make you an idiot. Make sense ?

In regards to the escape story, if I'm not mistaken everyone mentioned ties to being a gang member, but it would be anywhere from irresponsible, to completely ignorant to mention the "MS-13," gang name. These little rodents WANT the publicity, thats why they get the tattoo's, it's why they throw the specific gang signs, it's why they "tag," (spray paint) the gangs name all over the place etc, and an INTELLIGENT and RESPONSIBLE local media outlet would never give them the satisfaction of mentioning the name of the gang on "TV."

I too wish we could all get on the air and say how we really feel and tell people exactly how WE see it backed up with our "opinions". Ratings would go through the roof. But that wouldn't be news, that would be a talk show.

--- On Fri, 3/27/09, commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

> From: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "'KC5RHB'" <kc5rhb@...>
> Date: Friday, March 27, 2009, 6:34 AM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> What gets me about this whole deal is the fact ALL media
> stations claimed he
>
> might be a gang member. There was absolutely no doubt by
> Weatherford PD,
>
> DPS, or PCSO that this guy was an MS-13 Level III gang
> member. Personally,
>
> with the reputation the name "MS-13" has
> associated with it (they are one of
>
> the worst gangs stemming from El Salvador's war torn
> country), I'd think
>
> that would be worth mentioning on the news.
>
>
>
> I know every media outlet in the country has to worry about
> being
>
> politically correct and everyone is an alleged drunk after
> drinking a bottle
>
> of whiskey and then killing a family of four driving down
> the freeway.
>
> Still, it's a little frustrating when they have to say
> "alleged" when it is
>
> truly "confirmed".
>
>
>
> I don't know why Parker County's PIO wouldn't
> mention this guy was a high
>
> level MS-13 member, and knowing Parker County, they
> probably did mention it
>
> to the press/media. But watching the 4, 5, 8, & 11
> news reports on this

>
> story yesterday really made me sick and tired of hearing
> "he may be a gang
>
> member too". There was no "may be" to it.
>
>
>
> This was an interesting event to listen to. The search for
> this guy in
>
> Parker County didn't give up (in their county) until 12
> hours after it began
>
> almost. He escaped around 8:20 PM and the search went on
> until 7:55 AM.
>
> It's been a long while since I've heard a real
> manhunt with numerous
>
> tracking dog teams. I enjoy it when Parker County gets
> involved in
>
> something. They don't fool around and it's a bit
> like going back 20 years
>
> and listening to a real incident where they exhaust every
> option. Parker
>
> County (Weatherford too of course) even did the door to
> door checks.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com] On Behalf Of
>
> producer 5,6,10
>
> Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2009 1:16 PM
>

> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com; KC5RHB
>
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker
> County.
>
>
>
> As incredible as it may seem, the tattooed fugitive with no
> shirt on, made
>
> it to a home last night on tin top road where he made
> contact with a home
>
> owner telling them he had been on a work crew and was left
> behind needing to
>
> get back home to Dallas. The people told him they would
> take him to
>
> Arlington but that is as far as they could go. They
> actually took him to
>
> Collins and I-20 where they let him out and it wasn't
> until they saw news
>
> coverage that they realized that was the fugitive. The
> ending of the story
>
> hasn't been released yet but is being written as we
> speak. Personally I
>
> would have let smith and wesson talk to this guy if he
> showed up at my home
>
> like that.
>
>
>
> --- On Thu, 3/26/09, KC5RHB <kc5rhb@yahoo.
> com> wrote:
>
>
>
> > From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@yahoo.
> com>
>
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker
> County.
>

> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups
> .com
>
> > Date: Thursday, March 26, 2009, 12:47 PM
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> > They caught the fugitive, dont know where
>
> > though.
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >

>
> > David, KC5RHB
>
> >
>
> > http://www.geocitie
>
> > s.com/kc5rhb/ weather
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups
>
> > .com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@ ...>
> wrote:
>
> >
>
> > >
>
> >
>
> > > Various law enforcement units working an excaped
> max.
>
> > security prisoner at this time in Weatherford.
>
> >
>
> > >
>
> >
>
> > > Comms on Parker County P25 system.
>
> >
>
> >
>
> > >
>
> >
>
> > > Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no
> control
>
> > over it.
>

> >
>
> > >
>
> >
>
> > >
>
> >
>
> > >
>
> >
>
> > >
>
> >
>
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been
> removed]
>
> >
>
> > >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >

>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
>
>
> ------------ --------- --------- ------
>
>
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications
> see:
>
> http://www.radioref
> erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2

```
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

29865. Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 12:56:45 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29855 Message: 29865

producer 5,6,10 wrote:

> Lets be completely clear about some of the reasons why certain details are broadcast as FACTS

So again I ask, who the bloody hell do you
work for? , since you suddenly appeared
recently on here to suddenly tell us all
how things in the world should be... Again,
please enlighten us. Thank you.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090326-0, 03/26/2009
Tested on: 3/27/2009 7:56:45 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29866. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 13:00:05 UTC
From: Niki
Topic: 29855 Message: 29866

Again with the pissing contest? I assure you that the general public is not concerned with the guy being a gang member. That he was behind bars in prison and was suddenly free caused enough concern for Joe Public to be on the lookout. The media reported the story. I don't wear a badge, and I have much respect for those who do, but I fail to see why these conversations tend toward childishness when opinions vary. Grow up.

On Fri, Mar 27, 2009 at 7:56 AM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>wrote:

> producer 5,6,10 wrote:
>
> > Lets be completely clear about some of the reasons why certain details
> are broadcast as FACTS
>
> So again I ask, who the bloody hell do you
> work for? , since you suddenly appeared
> recently on here to suddenly tell us all
> how things in the world should be... Again,
> please enlighten us. Thank you.
>
> Blaine
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090326-0, 03/26/2009
> Tested on: 3/27/2009 7:56:45 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29867. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 14:45:30 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29855 Message: 29867

Niki I find this group to be for the most part above this sort of thing, but in all large groups of people there will be one or two who seem to have this animosity or pent up anger that often gets magnified and more intense on the internet. If you try to engage in a dialogue with someone who has this affliction or even to just try pointing out how ridiculous it is in an effort to stop it, you'll probably be left shaking your head. For me, I just ignore the 800 pound gorilla in the room and eventually it will drive him nuts and transform an otherwise annoying experience into a comedy routine..

--- On Fri, 3/27/09, Niki <nikilovesdogs@...> wrote:

> From: Niki <nikilovesdogs@...>
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Friday, March 27, 2009, 8:00 AM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Again with the pissing contest? I assure you
> that the general public is not
>
> concerned with the guy being a gang member. That he was
> behind bars in
>
> prison and was suddenly free caused enough concern for Joe
> Public to be on
>
> the lookout. The media reported the story. I don't wear
> a badge, and I have
>
> much respect for those who do, but I fail to see why these

> conversations
>
> tend toward childishness when opinions vary. Grow up.
>
>
>
> On Fri, Mar 27, 2009 at 7:56 AM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@verizon.
> net>wrote:
>
>
>
> > producer 5,6,10 wrote:
>
> >
>
> > > Lets be completely clear about some of the
> reasons why certain details
>
> > are broadcast as FACTS
>
> >
>
> > So again I ask, who the bloody hell do you
>
> > work for? , since you suddenly appeared
>
> > recently on here to suddenly tell us all
>
> > how things in the world should be... Again,
>
> > please enlighten us. Thank you.
>
> >
>
> > Blaine
>
> >
>
> > ---
>
> > avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
>
> > Virus Database (VPS): 090326-0, 03/26/2009
>
> > Tested on: 3/27/2009 7:56:45 AM
>
> > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
>
> > http://www.avast. com
>

> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

29868. Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 15:05:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29855 Message: 29868

Niki wrote:

> Again with the pissing contest?
> tend toward childishness when opinions vary. Grow up.

Niki, I couldn't give a rats about the details of the prisoner.
Truth be told, I couldn't care if 100 prisoners were
on the loose out there. It's not near my house, so I
could care less. I just want to know what media outlet
this person works out of, simple as that. They are new
to the list, and I would like to know where they hang their
hat professional wise, that's all. I think that is a fair request
since I used to be in media. Perhaps I know them.

There are numerous media people current and former on
the list, but this person seems to want to hide behind
the "producer 5, 6, 10 namesake" and not identify themselves,
when giving the details of a NEWS story.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090326-0, 03/26/2009
Tested on: 3/27/2009 10:05:04 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29869. Arlington FD testing system at new stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 15:16:31 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29869 Message: 29869

Someone at Arlington FD (or maybe even DFW Comms) is at the new Cowboys Stadium testing walkie talkie performance at various locations. They're calling out exactly where they are in the stadium as they transmit on AFD channel 8. Not terribly exciting, but interesting to see how well it's going to work.

Rob

29870. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 15:29:45 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29855 Message: 29870

I'm pretty disappointed that you would interpret Blaine's question as a "pissing contest". He simply asked the guy -- for the second time -- who he was. And Blaine is not the only one wondering. It's not an attack on the guy, or any kind of pissing contest. It's just curiosity. Many on this forum are pretty tight knit, especially those of us who are or were in the news media. None of us have had any problem identifying ourselves in the past, so it is just a little unusual that our new friend here would. It just makes me wonder if he really is hiding his identity, or simply trying to piss Blaine off, lol.

Regardless, both had their say on the escapee matter, and both made good points. I don't see a problem with that. Let them hash it out. Nobody needs you to referee things here, or to tell anyone to grow up. It just creates more drama. Let it go.

Rob

On Friday, March 27, 2009 08:00, "Niki" <nikilovesdogs@...> said:

> Again with the pissing contest? I assure you that the general public is not
> concerned with the guy being a gang member. That he was behind bars in
> prison and was suddenly free caused enough concern for Joe Public to be on
> the lookout. The media reported the story. I don't wear a badge, and I have
> much respect for those who do, but I fail to see why these conversations
> tend toward childishness when opinions vary. Grow up.

29871. Re: Escaped prisoner - Parker County.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 16:07:41 UTC
From: bigdon22
Topic: 29855 Message: 29871

Would everyone be so kind as to continue this discussion in the Tavern? (dfwscan-tavern)

Thank you.

29872. My frequency list

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 21:27:11 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29872 Message: 29872

Hello all -

I was recently advised by a very good scanner
friend of mine that he was not able to download
my frequency list from my website because it was
just showing gibberish. My sincere apologies to
those that have tried to do that recently. I have no
clue why it was doing that, so I'll blame it on Verizon.
Anyway, it has been fixed, and the file has an .xls
extension, so should work great with Excel, or
Open Office (like I use).

So anyway, download away ...and enjoy.. my scanning
comrades!!

Here's my webpage link:

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

(yeah, it's a crazy one because it's free, and I
don't want to pay for a commercial site - I'm
cheap...:)......

Go halfway down for the link to the frequency database

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090327-0, 03/27/2009
Tested on: 3/27/2009 4:27:11 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29873. Some new freqs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-27 21:34:06 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29873 Message: 29873

Discovered these the other day while searching
225-400mhz....

252.8 GATOR 59 calling Gator Ops? Any idea where
these guys are from? I at first thought Robbins,
but read VMF-142 was shut down. Obviously,
GATOR I'm thinking maybe Florida - Jacksonville?
If it is, I'd say yesterday was a darn good day for
reception!

239.575 CHECK 1 in contact with another craft in the area
of Waco, Tx. Asked other craft about what college
was in Waco re: TSTC.....Any idea who uses this freq?

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090327-0, 03/27/2009
Tested on: 3/27/2009 4:34:07 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29874. Unusual traffic on Oncor system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-28 01:29:31 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29874 Message: 29874

The past few days with the storms and wind I have been picking up a bunch of new talkgroups on the Oncor system here in Mineral Wells.

Usually the only talkgroups I hear are 196, 195 and 168 with the local and West Texas traffic and 129 and 130 from Tarrant County.

Now in addition I am hearing talkgroups 86 and 89 with Dallas and East Texas trafffic, 224 with Killeen and Round Rock traffic, and 140, 141, 145, and 160 that aren't in the RadioReference database and I haven't figured out their locations yet.

From what little I know about wide area EDACS systems, the only way I should hear a talkgroup is
if a radio on the local tower near me is tuned to that talkgroup. Is that correct? Can EDACS radios scan multiple talkgroups and make them all come out on my local site?

I thought maybe they set something up so that certain talkgroups come out at all sites, but then I noticed the only other site that I can hear, Jacksboro, has none of this traffic on it.

Does anybody have any idea why this is happening?

Tom ND5Y

Ignore Yahoo spam below this line:

29875. Re: Some new freqs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-28 15:37:13 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29873 Message: 29875

> Your 252.800 has two best choices. Based on what you heard, it could be
> the 12FTW at Randolph AFB or may have been one of the SCW-1 E-6B
> Squadrons (VQ-3/4). Both have used the Gator callsign in the past. This
> freq is now assigned as a USAF C2 nationwide, so my best guess is Randolph.

Thanks Larry

> Your 239.525, is one of my spectrum holes.

Actually it is 239.575, but perhaps that was a typo on your part.
I'll definitely keep an eye on it. If it was associated with TSTC,
I wonder why the pilot was asking a/a about the college in Waco.
Seems like he would know what facility he was flying out of if it
was indeed associated with it. So my guess is a discrete air to
air for some squadron. Who? is the question...;)

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090327-0, 03/27/2009
Tested on: 3/28/2009 10:37:14 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29876. possible drowning @ grapevine

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-28 22:14:12 UTC
From: jerryblueeyes1
Topic: 29876 Message: 29876

Two canoest without lifejackets capsized one made it to shore, other missing. Searching now

29877. Weekly FCC grants March 22-28

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-29 11:39:17 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29877 Message: 29877

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29878. RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants March 22-28

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-29 12:17:57 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29877 Message: 29878

Interesting license activity this week including.

Southlake license for 854.0125, and it looks like it will be an additional frequency for the Northeast Tarrant system. Can anyone else confirm?

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3089397

Dallas County 858.0875

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/licenseFreqSum.jsp?freqType=E
<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/licenseFreqSum.jsp?freqType=E&lic
Key=1174395&pageNumToReturn=1> &licKey=1174395&pageNumToReturn=1

I don't have that one in my frequency list. Anyone know what it's used for?

Dallas Garland & Northeastern Railroad Co.

Several frequencies

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=1918814

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dallas,_Garland_and_Northeastern_Railroad

That's probably just a renewal, but I don't recall ever hearing of that railroad before. Since they use DART lines, it might be worth keeping the frequencies handy.

Prosper

854.1625 and 854.1875

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3089365

Anyone know what those will be used for?

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29879. RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants March 22-28

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-29 12:25:16 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29877 Message: 29879

DGNO is a short line railroad.
http://www.uprr.com/customers/shortline/lines/dgno.shtml They do a lot of local deliveries in the Dallas area. Dallas Co has some conventional 800 channels for jail ops and that would be my guess on that.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2009 7:18 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants March 22-28

Interesting license activity this week including.

Southlake license for 854.0125, and it looks like it will be an additional frequency for the Northeast Tarrant system. Can anyone else confirm?

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3089397

Dallas County 858.0875

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/licenseFreqSum.jsp?freqType=E
<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/licenseFreqSum.jsp?freqType=E&licKey=1174395&pageNumToReturn=1> &licKey=1174395&pageNumToReturn=1

I don't have that one in my frequency list. Anyone know what it's used for?

Dallas Garland & Northeastern Railroad Co.

Several frequencies

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=1918814

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dallas,_Garland_and_Northeastern_Railroad

That's probably just a renewal, but I don't recall ever hearing of that railroad before. Since they use DART lines, it might be worth keeping the frequencies handy.

Prosper

854.1625 and 854.1875

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3089365

Anyone know what those will be used for?

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29880. NE Tarrant system new channel?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-29 15:22:01 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29880 Message: 29880

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3089397
This is licensed to Southlake but the repeaters are located at the 3 sites of
the NE Tarrant County trunked system so I think it is a new channel for that system.

Tom

29881. Re: Weekly FCC grants March 22-28

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-29 17:41:43 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29877 Message: 29881

On 3/29/2009 6:39 AM, Glenn Mitchell wrote:

> http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

This my favorite:

PW WQKC243
ABILENE, TX POLICE DEPARTMENT
[AUDIO & DATA LINK FOR REMOTE BOMB
ROBOT USED BY POLICE DEPT FOR PUBLIC
SAFETY AND TO DIFFUSE TERRORIST THREATS
FOR HOMELAND SECURITY.]
Control Point 1 - 555 WALNUT STREET, ABILENE
TX 325-676-6549 (link)
03/25/2009 License Issued
1 - ABILENE (TAYLOR) TX 40.0 km radius around
center point (map)
453.25 MO 5p 10e 11K2F1E
458.25 MO 15p 30e 9K30F1D

I think Dubya took a trip out West!!.....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090328-0, 03/28/2009
Tested on: 3/29/2009 12:41:44 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29882. Re: Weekly FCC grants March 22-28

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-30 11:16:13 UTC
From: nx2x50
Topic: 29877 Message: 29882

...
>Interesting license activity this week

For the analog non-trunked frequencies listed, is there info on the sub-audible tone hidden in there somewhere?

for example...

457.525 MO 2p 2e 20K0F1D 20K0F3E 11K0F1D 11K0F3E
457.55 MO 2p 2e 20K0F1D 20K0F3E 11K0F1D 11K0F3E
457.575 MO 2p 2e 20K0F1D 20K0F3E 11K0F1D 11K0F3E

.
..
...
....
.....
......

29883. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Weekly FCC grants March 22-28

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-30 11:37:41 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29877 Message: 29883

No, sub-tones are not regulated and that information isn't included.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of nx2x50
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2009 6:16 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Weekly FCC grants March 22-28

...
>Interesting license activity this week

For the analog non-trunked frequencies listed, is there info on the sub-audible tone hidden in there somewhere?

for example...

457.525 MO 2p 2e 20K0F1D 20K0F3E 11K0F1D 11K0F3E
457.55 MO 2p 2e 20K0F1D 20K0F3E 11K0F1D 11K0F3E
457.575 MO 2p 2e 20K0F1D 20K0F3E 11K0F1D 11K0F3E

.
..
...
....
.....
......

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29884. Base antena

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-31 16:39:30 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 29884 Message: 29884

Where in the DFW area can you find base antennas other than Rip O'shack?
Hutton doesn't show anything, and I'm blank on anyone else.

Bill Anderson

29885. Bizarre Traffic on Regional Fire 1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-31 23:17:15 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29885 Message: 29885

Just now (Tuesday, 1812 hours), someone on Regional Fire channel 1 said, "I am gonna sue their ass!"

Anyone know what the Hell that was all about? I was scanning quite a few channels, so I don't know if I missed anything leading up to that or not. I think I may have heard some other unintelligible, unofficial transmissions there in the same time frame though. Is there a pirate on their channel?

Rob

29886. Re: [dfwscan] Bizarre Traffic on Regional Fire 1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-03-31 23:39:45 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29885 Message: 29886

On Tuesday, March 31, 2009 18:29, "William Campbell" <willied53@...> said:

> Probably has to do with the POS radio system they bought!

ROFL! Good point! They ought to either be suing the crooks that sold it to them, or firing the idiot that bought it. Or both! Even the conventional channels sound absolutely horrible, like every person transmitting is in a WWI airplane with no windows.

But back to reality, every now and then, I am hearing the same voice yelling something unintelligible on the same channel (155.925). Now I'm wondering if this isn't a fluke of propagation with a co-channel user with the same PL somewhere.

Rob

29887. Re: Base antena

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-01 00:05:40 UTC
From: Tom - K5TPK
Topic: 29884 Message: 29887

Try Texas Towers in Plano. I must add, though, that the RS VHF/UHF discone antenna works really well.

Tom

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Anderson" <billemtp@...> wrote:
>
> Where in the DFW area can you find base antennas other than Rip O'shack?
> Hutton doesn't show anything, and I'm blank on anyone else.
>
>
> Bill Anderson
>

29888. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Base antena

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-01 00:09:46 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 29884 Message: 29888

Until you get a strong breeze and find the rods laying all over your yard.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf
Of Tom - K5TPK
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 7:06 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Base antena

Try Texas Towers in Plano. I must add, though, that the RS VHF/UHF discone
antenna works really well.

Tom

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bill Anderson" <billemtp@...> wrote:
>
> Where in the DFW area can you find base antennas other than Rip O'shack?
> Hutton doesn't show anything, and I'm blank on anyone else.
>
>
> Bill Anderson
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29889. RE: [dfwscan] Bizarre Traffic on Regional Fire 1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-01 15:44:09 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29885 Message: 29889

I know Westover Hills uses 155.925 (if that's the frequency in question) too with a 123.0 PL tone. They aren't on a repeater though and I'm not sure it would've been them either...?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 6:17 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Bizarre Traffic on Regional Fire 1

Just now (Tuesday, 1812 hours), someone on Regional Fire channel 1 said, "I am gonna sue their ass!"

Anyone know what the Hell that was all about? I was scanning quite a few channels, so I don't know if I missed anything leading up to that or not. I think I may have heard some other unintelligible, unofficial transmissions there in the same time frame though. Is there a pirate on their channel?

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29890. Optoelectronics Digital Scout

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-03 02:01:15 UTC
From: Alexander Vickers
Topic: 29890 Message: 29890

I have a Digital Scout Signal strength meter and a few extras. for CHEAP.

I have never used it. Bought an Icom R20 to go with it and never really used it either.... should've got something that would go trunked as I live in Dallas..... Paid $600 or so for the Digital Scout, FM filter, antennae and datalogger software for the laptop. Make me an offer ALL offers concidered.

onelightmind@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29891. Mass Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-03 16:28:36 UTC
From: nats2sound
Topic: 29891 Message: 29891

Binghamton, NY: 8 subjects Shot. 20+ being held Hostage. CNN reports. The numbers are changing by the minute. American Civic Association building. A liberal left wing socialist experiment facility.

29892. Re: [dfwscan] Mass Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-03 16:40:44 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29891 Message: 29892

CNN reporting multiple deaths and some degree of premeditation (based on the shooter blocking an exit with his vehicle)
Shooter described as Asian.

Obviously a fruitloop, but with all the new left wing agenda in America you can certainly expect more and more and more of this type of carnage from Americans completely fed up, and or 3rd world immigrants to whom this type of behavior is just typical day to day problem solving.

--- On Fri, 4/3/09, nats2sound <nats2sound@...> wrote:

> From: nats2sound <nats2sound@yahoo.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Mass Shooting
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Friday, April 3, 2009, 11:28 AM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Binghamton, NY: 8 subjects Shot. 20+ being
> held Hostage. CNN reports. The numbers are changing by the
> minute. American Civic Association building. A liberal left
> wing socialist experiment facility.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

29893. Re: Mass Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-03 17:18:15 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 29891 Message: 29893

Latest update is 15 dead, possibly as many as 41 people still in the building.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "producer 5,6,10" <nats2sound@...> wrote:
>
>
> CNN reporting multiple deaths and some degree of premeditation (based on the shooter blocking an exit with his vehicle)
> Shooter described as Asian.
>
> Obviously a fruitloop, but with all the new left wing agenda in America you can certainly expect more and more and more of this type of carnage from Americans completely fed up, and or 3rd world immigrants to whom this type of behavior is just typical day to day problem solving.
>
> --- On Fri, 4/3/09, nats2sound <nats2sound@...> wrote:
>
> > From: nats2sound <nats2sound@...>
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Mass Shooting
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Date: Friday, April 3, 2009, 11:28 AM
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Binghamton, NY: 8 subjects Shot. 20+ being
> > held Hostage. CNN reports. The numbers are changing by the
> > minute. American Civic Association building. A liberal left
> > wing socialist experiment facility.
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

29894. body recovered at Lake Grapevine

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-03 22:03:44 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29894 Message: 29894

G-FIRE3, decimal 13136 on the NE Tarrant System

It sounds like they may have recovered the body of the guy who drowned last Saturday evening in the canoe accident. If I heard them correctly, they were just discussing where to bring the ME in. The dive team's been out there all week searching.

Ben

29895. Re: [dfwscan] body recovered at Lake Grapevine

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-04 01:06:42 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 29894 Message: 29895

Appears you're correct. Here the press release:

Trevor Rotzoll (W/M 26 years of age of Grapevine) was located and recovered at about 4:52 pm today near the breakwater at Silverlake Marina (Grapevine Lake) by Grapevine Fire Department Divers. He was found by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Wardens using sonar equipment.

Rotzoll was reported missing and believed to have drowned on Saturday, 3/29/09 at 4:48 pm after his canoe capsized near the marina.

His remains were transported to the Tarrant County Medical Examiners Office. Rotzoll was positively identified by TCME Investigators. There are no indications of foul play.

Terry

------Original Message------
From: Ben Saladino\(YG\)
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Apr 3, 2009 16:03
Subject: [dfwscan] body recovered at Lake Grapevine

G-FIRE3, decimal 13136 on the NE Tarrant System

It sounds like they may have recovered the body of the guy who drowned last
Saturday evening in the canoe accident. If I heard them correctly, they were
just discussing where to bring the ME in. The dive team's been out there
all week searching.

Ben

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

29896. TMS FWPD officer in accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-04 16:04:19 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 29896 Message: 29896

FWPD is requesting Medstar for a motorcycle officer down, due to accident, I think at 3400 114@Championship
decimal tg 21136

4/4/2009 11:04

29897. RE: [dfwscan] TMS FWPD officer in accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-04 16:17:50 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29896 Message: 29897

Yeah we were going to fly him out and Dr Ganzel at the Infield Care Center said he is going by ground.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of groups@...
Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2009 11:04
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] TMS FWPD officer in accident

FWPD is requesting Medstar for a motorcycle officer down, due to accident, I
think at 3400 114@Championship
decimal tg 21136

4/4/2009 11:04

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29898. Re: [dfwscan] TMS FWPD officer in accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-04 16:36:20 UTC
From: cas112882@gmail.com
Topic: 29896 Message: 29898

We had PHI on standby and a ground unit coming from north of town TMS Medical Branch advised to stand down air support the pt was going by ground to Harris

Cody
MedStar EMS
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "Renois, Daniel" <drenois@...>

Date: Sat, 4 Apr 2009 11:17:50
To: <Groups@...>; DFWScan<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] TMS FWPD officer in accident

Yeah we were going to fly him out and Dr Ganzel at the Infield Care Center said he is going by ground.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of groups@...
Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2009 11:04
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] TMS FWPD officer in accident

FWPD is requesting Medstar for a motorcycle officer down, due to accident, I
think at 3400 114@Championship
decimal tg 21136

4/4/2009 11:04

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29899. RE: [dfwscan] TMS FWPD officer in accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-04 16:42:12 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29896 Message: 29899

Ok no problem, the original call came in to us that they were transporting the Officer to the Infield Care Center and we were going to fly him out, but then Dr. Ganzel was the one that said no go by ground. Also we have a dedicated aircraft Aircraft at TMS all day today, We had another aircraft in to take that one's place but when they cancelled the trip we just air aborted the second aircraft.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: cas112882@... [mailto:cas112882@...]
Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2009 11:36
To: Renois, Daniel; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Groups@...
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] TMS FWPD officer in accident

We had PHI on standby and a ground unit coming from north of town TMS Medical Branch advised to stand down air support the pt was going by ground to Harris

Cody
MedStar EMS

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: "Renois, Daniel"
Date: Sat, 4 Apr 2009 11:17:50 -0500
To: <Groups@...>; DFWScan<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] TMS FWPD officer in accident

Yeah we were going to fly him out and Dr Ganzel at the Infield Care Center said he is going by ground.

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@... <mailto:drenois%40careflite.org>

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
Behalf
Of groups@... <mailto:groups%40bensware.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2009 11:04
To: DFWScan
Subject: [dfwscan] TMS FWPD officer in accident

FWPD is requesting Medstar for a motorcycle officer down, due to
accident, I
think at 3400 114@Championship
decimal tg 21136

4/4/2009 11:04

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29900. Lewisville Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-04 17:54:35 UTC
From: laughingman2003
Topic: 29900 Message: 29900

There is a working 2 alarm fire. I did not here the location. responding departments are Lewisville, Lake Cities, Coppell, Highland Village so far

29901. Lewisville fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-04 17:59:14 UTC
From: laughingman2003
Topic: 29901 Message: 29901

It is now a 5 alarm fire.

29902. RE: [dfwscan] Lewisville fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-04 18:23:42 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29901 Message: 29902

650 Leora Ln is the address...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of laughingman2003
Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2009 12:59 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Lewisville fire

It is now a 5 alarm fire.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29903. RE: [dfwscan] Lewisville fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-04 18:29:13 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 29901 Message: 29903

They put us on standby earlier for an injured firefighter, but then they cancelled us!

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2009 13:24
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Lewisville fire

650 Leora Ln is the address...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
laughingman2003
Sent: Saturday, April 04, 2009 12:59 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Lewisville fire

It is now a 5 alarm fire.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29904. Brush fires in N Tarrant or Wise County?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-05 02:12:07 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29904 Message: 29904

Was driving west on FM 407 in Lewisville this early evening and noticed a HUGE smoke cloud on the western horizon. Just wondering what it was from, or if anyone had heard anything - fire department wise?. Figured it was a gigantic brush fire burning somewhere, but I never got a page on IPN, if it was that significant.

Speaking of fires - rarely does Lewisville get a 5 alarmer. That was a doozy of one this morning. Good job on all the LFD crews and many mutual aid out there getting that baby knocked down, cause it was a smoky mess! Had considered going to the scene, but stayed home like a good boy. Got plenty enough action on the scanner!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090404-0, 04/04/2009
Tested on: 4/4/2009 9:12:07 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29905. Re: [dfwscan] Brush fires in N Tarrant or Wise County?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-05 02:38:33 UTC
From: thetford569@grandecom.net
Topic: 29904 Message: 29905

that is a 1000 acre fire in Jack County. It was severely threatening the town
of Joplin as of 20:00 hours and they were evacuating the town. One occupied
structure had already been lost with 12-18 others threatened. This fire
started on 4/2 and was 80% contained today at 200-300 acres. It broke
containment about 1600 this afternoon and grew into the large fire you saw...
Wise County has sent some units to assist in defending Joplin. Haven't heard
much in about an hour...can't actually hear Jack County from here.

Brandon

Quoting Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>:

> Was driving west on FM 407 in Lewisville this early evening
> and noticed a HUGE smoke cloud on the western horizon.
> Just wondering what it was from, or if anyone had heard
> anything - fire department wise?. Figured it was a gigantic
> brush fire burning somewhere, but I never got a page on
> IPN, if it was that significant.
>
> Speaking of fires - rarely does Lewisville get a 5 alarmer.
> That was a doozy of one this morning. Good job on all the
> LFD crews and many mutual aid out there getting that baby
> knocked down, cause it was a smoky mess! Had considered
> going to the scene, but stayed home like a good boy. Got
> plenty enough action on the scanner!
>
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090404-0, 04/04/2009
> Tested on: 4/4/2009 9:12:07 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

29906. Re: [dfwscan] Brush fires in N Tarrant or Wise County?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-05 02:45:27 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29904 Message: 29906

You probably saw the huge fire in SE Jack County.
There were several forest service aircraft working on 122.925, 151.385, 154.265 and 154.280 until the sun went down.
Also heard them bring in a heavy air tanker from Alamogordo, NM and some Texas Forest Service trucks on 159.285 passing through town on their way up there.

TFS has a cool Google Earth file that shows active and recent fires:
http://tfsfrp.tamu.edu/wildfires/FireActivity.kml

Tom ND5Y

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Was driving west on FM 407 in Lewisville this early evening
> and noticed a HUGE smoke cloud on the western horizon.
> Just wondering what it was from, or if anyone had heard
> anything - fire department wise?. Figured it was a gigantic
> brush fire burning somewhere, but I never got a page on
> IPN, if it was that significant.
>
> Speaking of fires - rarely does Lewisville get a 5 alarmer.
> That was a doozy of one this morning. Good job on all the
> LFD crews and many mutual aid out there getting that baby
> knocked down, cause it was a smoky mess! Had considered
> going to the scene, but stayed home like a good boy. Got
> plenty enough action on the scanner!
>
>
>
> Blaine

29907. Re: Brush fires in N Tarrant or Wise County?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-05 03:50:28 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29904 Message: 29907

thetford569@... wrote:

> that is a 1000 acre fire in Jack County. It was severely threatening the town
> of Joplin as of 20:00 hours and they were evacuating the town. One occupied
> structure had already been lost with 12-18 others threatened.

Many thanks to Tom and Brandon for the info. Yeah,
like Brandon, we are just too far away to probably
hear the good stuff, but it sounds like you, Tom,
were able to get the lowdown.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090404-0, 04/04/2009
Tested on: 4/4/2009 10:50:28 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29908. Weekly FCC grants March 29-April 04

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-05 11:13:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29908 Message: 29908

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29909. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Brush fires in N Tarrant or Wise County?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-05 12:20:38 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29904 Message: 29909

Wow, just heard a Lewisville brush truck going mutual aid on this one. You going Brandon?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29910. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Brush fires in N Tarrant or Wise County?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-05 13:44:55 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 29904 Message: 29910

I'm off today and was notified at 2:20 AM of the Denton County task force callout. My volunteer department (Double Oak) sent a Brush truck with 3 personnel. Also going was Lewisville, Flower Mound, and 3 other brush trucks and a tender from FM along with the Denton County Fire Marshals Office. Last word is the fire is now 2000 acres. I have not heard anything on how the town of Joplin fared overnight. Our units were supposed to meet in Denton at 7:30 AM and respond as a group so they should definitely be there by now and are supposed to remain out there all day.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Sunday, April 05, 2009 7:21 AM
To: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Brush fires in N Tarrant or Wise County?

Wow, just heard a Lewisville brush truck going mutual aid on this one. You going Brandon?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29911. Six Flags Over Texas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-05 20:24:50 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 29911 Message: 29911

I was out at Six Flags Over Texas yesterday and I was able to confirm that they are still use all 7 of the frequencies they been licensed. From what I can tell channel 4 they will switch to when they want to get off one of the 3 main channels. Channel 5, 6 & 7 are rarely used it seems as channel 4. Yesterday there was a power failure at the park and my friend and I just happen to be going up the hill on the Texas Giant. We ended up getting stuck halfway up the first hill and eventually had to let us out roller coaster car and make us walk down the hill.

Six Flags Over Texas
457.55000 PL 107.2 Operations 7
461.20000 DCS664 Rides Maintenance 3
461.57500 PL 107.2 Security & Prkng 1
461.70000 DCS532 Maintenance/Rides Operations 2
463.65000 DCS565 Operations 4
464.38750 DCS343 Operations 6
464.42500 PL 67.0 Operations 5

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

29912. SWAT?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 03:24:08 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29912 Message: 29912

Im hearing what sounds like tactical chatter on 453.875 which is Dallas FD. It keeps clicking on and going out, but then I did catch "to the units up front, as soon as we give the go ahead we're gonna let her come on out and then you...(cut off)....she's coming out, she's got health issues."

Any idea what this is or was? Heard @ 22:23 April 5th

29913. Re: SWAT?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 04:37:54 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29912 Message: 29913

Based on some comments from 660 (Dallas Fire Dispatch), that appears to be bleedover from the SWRCC trunked system, MPT-1327, which included Duncanville, Desoto, & Cedar Hill. Several of their frequencies are shoe-horned in amongst, or right next to, DFR, DPD, & other, area dept's assignments:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155

Duncanville is working a possible barricaded suspects (plural intentional) incident at a Dollar General store, Clark & Danieldale Rds. Possibly a couple of suspects from a robbery attempt inside.
http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa090405_mo_standoff.a86c0c91.html

There has been a little activity on their old vhf frequencies, but most of it apparently on the new system. Dallas, I believe, has a voter receiver on the communications tower at fire station 12, not far from the site.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Im hearing what sounds like tactical chatter on 453.875 which is
> Dallas FD. It keeps clicking on and going out, but then I did catch
> "to the units up front, as soon as we give the go ahead we're gonna
> let her come on out and then you...(cut off)....she's coming out,
> she's got health issues."
>
> Any idea what this is or was? Heard @ 22:23 April 5th
>

29914. RE: [dfwscan] Re: SWAT?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 05:27:22 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29912 Message: 29914

Speaking of Duncanville PD's 158.79 VHF frequency, I heard them using it this morning. It had a long, single, continuous tone on it for a while and was really messed up sounding. Before I tuned away they were talking on it.

I guess I haven't paid much attention and noticed they were on the SWRCC system. So they don't use 158.79 much anymore?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hiegtx
Sent: Sunday, April 05, 2009 11:38 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: SWAT?

Based on some comments from 660 (Dallas Fire Dispatch), that appears to be bleedover from the SWRCC trunked system, MPT-1327, which included Duncanville, Desoto, & Cedar Hill. Several of their frequencies are shoe-horned in amongst, or right next to, DFR, DPD, & other, area dept's assignments:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155

Duncanville is working a possible barricaded suspects (plural intentional) incident at a Dollar General store, Clark & Danieldale Rds. Possibly a couple of suspects from a robbery attempt inside.
http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa090405_mo_standoff.a86c0c91.html

There has been a little activity on their old vhf frequencies, but most of it apparently on the new system. Dallas, I believe, has a voter receiver on the communications tower at fire station 12, not far from the site.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Im hearing what sounds like tactical chatter on 453.875 which is
> Dallas FD. It keeps clicking on and going out, but then I did catch
> "to the units up front, as soon as we give the go ahead we're gonna
> let her come on out and then you...(cut off)....she's coming out,
> she's got health issues."
>
> Any idea what this is or was? Heard @ 22:23 April 5th
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29915. RE: [dfwscan] Re: SWAT?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 05:33:55 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29912 Message: 29915

...and BTW, "this morning" in the previous message refers to about 8 AM Sunday morning. I wasn't paying attention to the time and just noticed it's already tomorrow (I think you know what I mean) :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 12:27 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: SWAT?

Speaking of Duncanville PD's 158.79 VHF frequency, I heard them using it this morning. It had a long, single, continuous tone on it for a while and was really messed up sounding. Before I tuned away they were talking on it.

I guess I haven't paid much attention and noticed they were on the SWRCC system. So they don't use 158.79 much anymore?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hiegtx
Sent: Sunday, April 05, 2009 11:38 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: SWAT?

Based on some comments from 660 (Dallas Fire Dispatch), that appears to be bleedover from the SWRCC trunked system, MPT-1327, which included Duncanville, Desoto, & Cedar Hill. Several of their frequencies are shoe-horned in amongst, or right next to, DFR, DPD, & other, area dept's assignments:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155

Duncanville is working a possible barricaded suspects (plural intentional) incident at a Dollar General store, Clark & Danieldale Rds. Possibly a couple of suspects from a robbery attempt inside.
http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa090405_mo_standoff.a86c0c91.html

There has been a little activity on their old vhf frequencies, but most of it apparently on the new system. Dallas, I believe, has a voter receiver on the communications tower at fire station 12, not far from the site.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Im hearing what sounds like tactical chatter on 453.875 which is
> Dallas FD. It keeps clicking on and going out, but then I did catch
> "to the units up front, as soon as we give the go ahead we're gonna
> let her come on out and then you...(cut off)....she's coming out,
> she's got health issues."
>
> Any idea what this is or was? Heard @ 22:23 April 5th
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29916. 155.100 PL 100.0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 06:08:30 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29916 Message: 29916

Hi Group,

I've been trying to confirm who uses 155.100 MHz with a PL of 100.0 for over a year. I don't usually hear this agency too often but I am tonight. I have cross-referenced RR.com data with the FCC database and my own PL tone database too. Here's what I have confirmed through the years in Texas myself on 155.100. There's no doubt some of this data is going to be outdated and old I'm sure! Actually, I just deleted a few entries I know are no longer good.

Cute & Paste:

155.1000 Angleton PD/EMS [Dispatch]

155.1000 Bastrop Co. SO Ch. 2

155.1000 Bastrop PD Ch. 2

155.1000 Burnet Co. SO [City Dispatch]

155.1000 Claude [Public Works]

155.1000 Hays Co. SO

155.1000 Navarro Co. SO

155.1000 Palo Pinto Co. Civil Defense/EOC

155.1000 Tyler ISD [buses/schools]

155.1000 White Settlement FD/EMS Ch. 01

155.1000 Wichita Co. [County Commissioners] [WQH459]

And here's what I have PL tone wise

Cut & Paste:

155.1000 100.0 ?

155.1000 123.0 ?

155.1000 156.7 White Settlement FD/EMS Ch. 01

155.1000 82.5 Navarro Co. SO

155.1000 103.5 Venus ISD

155.1000 162.2 Palo Pinto Co. EOC/Civil Defense

No telling if this cut & paste data will turn out looking right when this hits the group. I think it will and hope it does.

There's also another user (I'm not hearing tonight) on the frequency I haven't tracked down that uses the PL tone 123.0.

Does anyone know who either user (the 100.0 or 123.0 users) may be?

Thanks.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29917. DTMF bursts on 154.385

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 08:58:29 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29917 Message: 29917

Does anyone know the source of the annoying bursts of DTMF tones that are transmitted occasionally on 154.385? I forget the name of the bursts, but they consist of about ten DTMF tones firing off within a one second period. Some sort of telemetry, I'm sure.

The users I show on 154.385 are Waxahachie Fire, Murphy Fire, and North Hood County Fire. Waxahachie is the only one I can actually receive here in Mansfield. And the DTMF bursts come in with a little static, so they're not terribly close to me.

While we're talking tone bursts, does anyone have a link to that website that has all the recordings of different digital signalling, with descriptions of what they are? I lost that in the last crash of this crap-arse Mac.

Rob

29918. Re: DTMF bursts on 154.385

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 15:28:06 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29917 Message: 29918

rob.davis@... wrote:

> While we're talking tone bursts, does anyone have a link to that website that has all the recordings of different digital signalling, with descriptions of what they are?

Here 'ya go:

http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090406-0, 04/06/2009
Tested on: 4/6/2009 10:28:07 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29919. Richardson Down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 16:10:15 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 29919 Message: 29919

I'm not hearing the Richardson Radio System today. Nothing on the DATA frequency either.

Reband or Broken?

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@...
KE5FMS

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29920. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson Down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 16:12:17 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29919 Message: 29920

Try programming the same frequencies 15Mhz lower. I heard a rumor they were rebanding this week.

Ben

>I'm not hearing the Richardson Radio System today. Nothing on the DATA frequency either.

Reband or Broken?

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net> net
KE5FMS<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29921. Re: [dfwscan] Richardson Down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 16:51:45 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 29919 Message: 29921

Someone just posted on Radio Reference at 11:45 this morning. Looks
like they rebanded. I load it up and see what happens.

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@...
KE5FMS

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
>
> Try programming the same frequencies 15Mhz lower. I heard a rumor they
> were
> rebanding this week.
>
> Ben
>
> >I'm not hearing the Richardson Radio System today. Nothing on the DATA
> frequency either.
>
> Reband or Broken?
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> 972-467-0478
> jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net> net
> KE5FMS<
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29922. Stereo headphone output

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 17:16:08 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29922 Message: 29922

I'm sure there is a simple solution for this, but
I'm just stuck as to what adapter or cable I
need. I want to branch off the mono audio from
my PSR-500 into STEREO audio. Right now, I
have it hooked up to the sound card on my
computer but only have audio on ONE speaker.
I'd like it on BOTH. I'm pretty sure I have a
STEREO cable, but the output from the radio
is MONO.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090406-0, 04/06/2009
Tested on: 4/6/2009 12:16:08 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29923. Re: Stereo headphone output

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 17:26:40 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 29922 Message: 29923

Hey Blaine, you just need to make a quick stop to Radio Shack. You are looking for a Mono to Stereo adapter. It is an inline adapter that plugs into the mono socket of your scanner. It is about an inch high. You then plug you stereo connection into that.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> I'm sure there is a simple solution for this, but
> I'm just stuck as to what adapter or cable I
> need. I want to branch off the mono audio from
> my PSR-500 into STEREO audio. Right now, I
> have it hooked up to the sound card on my
> computer but only have audio on ONE speaker.
> I'd like it on BOTH. I'm pretty sure I have a
> STEREO cable, but the output from the radio
> is MONO.
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090406-0, 04/06/2009
> Tested on: 4/6/2009 12:16:08 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

29924. Re: Stereo headphone output

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 17:34:28 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29922 Message: 29924

Chance Lindsey wrote:

> Hey Blaine, you just need to make a quick stop to Radio Shack. You are looking for a Mono to Stereo adapter.

Many thanks Chance. I knew it was a simple solution, but
darn if I could figure it out. Didn't have enough caffeine
this morning/afternoon ! Thanks Bud!...

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090406-0, 04/06/2009
Tested on: 4/6/2009 12:34:28 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29925. Baker channel active

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 17:58:44 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29925 Message: 29925

For the FIRST time here in DFW I heard USSS
Baker channel active P25 digital NAC001 in
the clear. Maybe related to Bush at The
Ballpark. Just heard one agent talking to
another asking if he has his phone on.
Nothing further heard.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090406-0, 04/06/2009
Tested on: 4/6/2009 12:58:45 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29926. Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 18:47:05 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 29919 Message: 29926

It works. And the volume is lower. Great!! It was low before. Now
even worse.

Anyway if you have Richardson in your scanner, you need to update. Looks
like an old trunking scanner might still be able to pick this up as the
spacing is 25Mhz and on the even numbers. My BCD396 Works with it.
I'll have to try my BC246.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=65

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@...
KE5FMS

Jay Pinkerton wrote:
>
> Someone just posted on Radio Reference at 11:45 this morning. Looks
> like they rebanded. I load it up and see what happens.
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> 972-467-0478
> jay@... <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net>
> KE5FMS
>
> Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> >
> > Try programming the same frequencies 15Mhz lower. I heard a rumor they
> > were
> > rebanding this week.
> >
> > Ben
> >
> > >I'm not hearing the Richardson Radio System today. Nothing on the DATA
> > frequency either.
> >
> > Reband or Broken?
> >
> > Jay Pinkerton
> > 972-467-0478
> > jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net> net
> > KE5FMS<
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29927. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 19:07:13 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 29919 Message: 29927

Lets hope the new freqs work on my 780 in the truck.

Sprint Treo 800w

Blackberry 8310 PIN 245EB043

PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile

Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.

http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm

http://www.twowayshopper.com

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jay Pinkerton
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 1:47 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson Rebanded this morning.

It works. And the volume is lower. Great!! It was low before. Now even worse.

Anyway if you have Richardson in your scanner, you need to update. Looks like an old trunking scanner might still be able to pick this up as the spacing is 25Mhz and on the even numbers. My BCD396 Works with it. I'll have to try my BC246.

http://www.radioref <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=65> erence.com/apps/db/?sid=65

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net> net
KE5FMS

Jay Pinkerton wrote:
>
> Someone just posted on Radio Reference at 11:45 this morning. Looks
> like they rebanded. I load it up and see what happens.
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> 972-467-0478
> jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net> net

<mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net>
> KE5FMS
>
> Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> >
> > Try programming the same frequencies 15Mhz lower. I heard a rumor they
> > were
> > rebanding this week.
> >
> > Ben
> >
> > >I'm not hearing the Richardson Radio System today. Nothing on the DATA
> > frequency either.
> >
> > Reband or Broken?
> >
> > Jay Pinkerton
> > 972-467-0478
> > jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net> net
> > KE5FMS<
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29928. New talkgroups in Arlington and Ballpark

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 19:13:42 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29928 Message: 29928

Here's what I've got for new talkgroups heard during the Rangers opening day

58352 heard a 6## unit calling for someone, but didn't hear a response
58448 "F1" traffic control
58480 "F2" traffic control
58512 I'm guessing "F3" - VIP motorcade/escort
58928 Fire/EMS ops

On the Ballpark's LTR system I heard a couple of new talkgroups, at least
they were in my scanner
1-19-070 - talked about "six shooters", fireworks, etc. I believe this is an
entertainment type talkgroup.

1-11-010 - sounded like video camera production stuff, I imagine for the in

- stadium jumbotron an TVs

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=4394

Ben

29929. RE: [dfwscan] New talkgroups in Arlington and Ballpark

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 19:31:42 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29928 Message: 29929

I forgot 58544 "F4" - also traffic, I believe

Here's the best I could do on a photo of the B1 flyover. I almost missed it.

http://www.bensware.com/photos/aviation/images/B1BallParkFlyoverD20_1750.jpg

This was a great day to try out the new Uniden 396XT. I love temporary lockout and system hold.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29930. Re: [dfwscan] Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 20:40:33 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 29919 Message: 29930

Will this work on my BCT15? Richardson pretty much most of my monitoring when I am over there.

Jason

From: Patrick - yahoo <pwrigg@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 6, 2009 2:07:13 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Lets hope the new freqs work on my 780 in the truck.

Sprint Treo 800w

Blackberry 8310 PIN 245EB043

PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile

Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.

http://www.capstone wirelessllc. com/Handsetrepai r.htm

http://www.twowayshopper.com

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Jay Pinkerton
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 1:47 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson Rebanded this morning.

It works. And the volume is lower. Great!! It was low before. Now even worse.

Anyway if you have Richardson in your scanner, you need to update. Looks like an old trunking scanner might still be able to pick this up as the spacing is 25Mhz and on the even numbers. My BCD396 Works with it. I'll have to try my BC246.

http://www.radioref <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=65> erence.com/apps/ db/?sid=65

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay% 40jaypinkerton. net> net

KE5FMS

Jay Pinkerton wrote:
>
> Someone just posted on Radio Reference at 11:45 this morning. Looks
> like they rebanded. I load it up and see what happens.
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> 972-467-0478
> jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay% 40jaypinkerton. net> net
<mailto:jay% 40jaypinkerton. net>
> KE5FMS
>
> Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> >
> > Try programming the same frequencies 15Mhz lower. I heard a rumor they
> > were
> > rebanding this week.
> >
> > Ben
> >
> > >I'm not hearing the Richardson Radio System today. Nothing on the DATA
> > frequency either.
> >
> > Reband or Broken?
> >
> > Jay Pinkerton
> > 972-467-0478
> > jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay% 40jaypinkerton. net> net
> > KE5FMS<
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29931. RE: [dfwscan] Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 20:45:59 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29919 Message: 29931

One of the few things I like about EDACS, is that rebanding doesn't require anything other than reprogramming (in the right order) the frequencies in your scanner. It's the Motorola systems that require firmware updates on scanners that support it.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29932. Re: Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 20:49:26 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 29919 Message: 29932

If am not mistaken it's only Motorola systems that
will not work in older scanner, EDACS should be OK.

Hope that helps

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> Will this work on my BCT15? Richardson pretty much most of my monitoring when I am over there.
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: Patrick - yahoo <pwrigg@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, April 6, 2009 2:07:13 PM
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Richardson Rebanded this morning.
>
>
> Lets hope the new freqs work on my 780 in the truck.
>
> ---------- --------- --------- -------
>
> Sprint Treo 800w
>
> Blackberry 8310 PIN 245EB043
>
> PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
>
> Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
>
> http://www.capstone wirelessllc. com/Handsetrepai r.htm
>
> http://www.twowayshopper.com
>
> _____
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of
> Jay Pinkerton
> Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 1:47 PM

> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson Rebanded this morning.
>
> It works. And the volume is lower. Great!! It was low before. Now
> even worse.
>
> Anyway if you have Richardson in your scanner, you need to update. Looks
> like an old trunking scanner might still be able to pick this up as the
> spacing is 25Mhz and on the even numbers. My BCD396 Works with it.
> I'll have to try my BC246.
>
> http://www.radioref <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=65>
> erence.com/apps/ db/?sid=65
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> 972-467-0478
> jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay% 40jaypinkerton. net> net
> KE5FMS
>
> Jay Pinkerton wrote:
> >
> > Someone just posted on Radio Reference at 11:45 this morning. Looks
> > like they rebanded. I load it up and see what happens.
> >
> > Jay Pinkerton
> > 972-467-0478
> > jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay% 40jaypinkerton. net> net
> <mailto:jay% 40jaypinkerton. net>
> > KE5FMS
> >
> > Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> > >
> > > Try programming the same frequencies 15Mhz lower. I heard a rumor they
> > > were
> > > rebanding this week.
> > >
> > > Ben
> > >
> > > >I'm not hearing the Richardson Radio System today. Nothing on the DATA
> > > frequency either.
> > >
> > > Reband or Broken?
> > >
> > > Jay Pinkerton
> > > 972-467-0478
> > > jay@jaypinkerton. <mailto:jay% 40jaypinkerton. net> net
> > > KE5FMS<
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >

> > >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29933. Re: Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 20:56:23 UTC
From: jason carr
Topic: 29919 Message: 29933

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
> Looks
> like an old trunking scanner might still be able to pick this up as > the
> spacing is 25Mhz and on the even numbers. My BCD396 Works with
> it.
> I'll have to try my BC246.

My old 245xlt made the trip just fine, loaded the new freqs using the old bc245int freeware.

The bc246t took the new freqs directly from FreeSCAN. I had applied the new firmware but I can't say that it was needed for this particular rebanding.

29934. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 21:32:22 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 29919 Message: 29934

Hey, my old Radio Shack Pro 95 works on Richardson's new rebanded frequencies. So if this old thing works, then most trunk tracking scanners should work. If they worked before, they should work now.

Jay

jason carr wrote:
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, Jay
> Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
> > Looks
> > like an old trunking scanner might still be able to pick this up as
> > the
> > spacing is 25Mhz and on the even numbers. My BCD396 Works with
> > it.
> > I'll have to try my BC246.
>
> My old 245xlt made the trip just fine, loaded the new freqs using the
> old bc245int freeware.
>
> The bc246t took the new freqs directly from FreeSCAN. I had applied
> the new firmware but I can't say that it was needed for this
> particular rebanding.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29935. Re: [dfwscan] DTMF bursts on 154.385

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 21:39:16 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29917 Message: 29935

Mineral Wells and Hurst both use bursts of DTMF tones to control the outdoor warning sirens. I don't know what kind of system it is but every few days the one here polls the sirens or something.

Tom

rob.davis@... wrote:
> Does anyone know the source of the annoying bursts of DTMF tones that are transmitted occasionally on 154.385? I forget the name of the bursts, but they consist of about ten DTMF tones firing off within a one second period. Some sort of telemetry, I'm sure.
>
> The users I show on 154.385 are Waxahachie Fire, Murphy Fire, and North Hood County Fire. Waxahachie is the only one I can actually receive here in Mansfield. And the DTMF bursts come in with a little static, so they're not terribly close to me.
>
> While we're talking tone bursts, does anyone have a link to that website that has all the recordings of different digital signalling, with descriptions of what they are? I lost that in the last crash of this crap-arse Mac.
>
> Rob

29936. Re: DTMF bursts on 154.385

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 21:39:42 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 29917 Message: 29936

If this is very rapid fire DTMF with either no PL or a 118.8 PL on it, you are hearing the transmitter for the emergency sirens up in Lewisville.

The Whelen controller does a self test poll every day very early in the AM (2-4 AMish) and you'll hear the same thing on the first Wednesday of the month around 1 PM during the monthly siren test.

As far as I know the transmitter doesn't identify itself but the call sign is KWF636 and historically this was the VHF frequency Lewisville FD used back in the pre 800 days. When they brought up the 800 system it stuck around at first for pagers and today is only telemetry for the warning sirens.

-b-

29937. Ballpark/B-1's

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 22:08:04 UTC
From: NSAWC1
Topic: 29919 Message: 29937

Did not have a radio at the time but the B-1's orbited low level in tight formation near Joe Pool Lake for almost an hour prior to the game.
Regards

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29938. Re: DTMF bursts on 154.385

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-06 22:50:43 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29917 Message: 29938

On Monday, April 6, 2009 16:39, "Brent Willcox" <bwillcox@...> said:

> If this is very rapid fire DTMF with either no PL or a 118.8 PL on it,
> you are hearing the transmitter for the emergency sirens
> up in Lewisville.
>
> The Whelen controller does a self test poll every day very early in the
> AM (2-4 AMish) and you'll hear the same thing on the first
> Wednesday of the month around 1 PM during the monthly siren test.

I think this must be it. The timing is right, as it is always in the middle of then night. And the distance is about right for the reception strength I am getting. Hust would be a possibility. Mineral Wells would be too far away. The bursts go on for a full minute or two before subsiding. I guess it is polling each siren controller individually.

Thanks!

Rob

29939. Re: SWAT?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-07 01:53:42 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 29912 Message: 29939

Duncanville, occasionally, will have PD traffic on the Vhf channels. During the early part of the incident last night, they were using both of their Vhf PD channels sporadically. Also will hear Cedar Hill on their old Vhf, 154.725 from time to time. Apparently, at least some of those radios are still in the units or as hand helds. I can't remember the last time I heard Desoto PD on Vhf. I think they were the first of the three to move to the new TRS.

The fire departments are still on Vhf, though. I've heard the tone you mention. Yep, a pain in the backside, especially if it kicks in the middle of the night.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
> Speaking of Duncanville PD's 158.79 VHF frequency, I heard them using it
> this morning. It had a long, single, continuous tone on it for a while and
> was really messed up sounding. Before I tuned away they were talking on it.
>
> I guess I haven't paid much attention and noticed they were on the SWRCC
> system. So they don't use 158.79 much anymore?
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> hiegtx
> Sent: Sunday, April 05, 2009 11:38 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: SWAT?
>
> Based on some comments from 660 (Dallas Fire Dispatch), that appears to be
> bleedover from the SWRCC trunked system, MPT-1327, which included
> Duncanville, Desoto, & Cedar Hill. Several of their frequencies are
> shoe-horned in amongst, or right next to, DFR, DPD, & other, area dept's
> assignments:
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=5155
>
> Duncanville is working a possible barricaded suspects (plural intentional)
> incident at a Dollar General store, Clark & Danieldale Rds. Possibly a
> couple of suspects from a robbery attempt inside.
> http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa090405_mo_
> standoff.a86c0c91.html
>
> There has been a little activity on their old vhf frequencies, but most of
> it apparently on the new system. Dallas, I believe, has a voter receiver on
> the communications tower at fire station 12, not far from the site.

>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@> wrote:
> >
> > Im hearing what sounds like tactical chatter on 453.875 which is
> > Dallas FD. It keeps clicking on and going out, but then I did catch
> > "to the units up front, as soon as we give the go ahead we're gonna
> > let her come on out and then you...(cut off)....she's coming out,
> > she's got health issues."
> >
> > Any idea what this is or was? Heard @ 22:23 April 5th
> >
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

29940. Hood County Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-07 10:07:06 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29940 Message: 29940

I have been monitoring a multi-alarm apartment fire at "The Cliffs" on Lake Granbury this morning. Every department in the county was either on the scene, or called to cover the rest of the county. They took fireground comms to "Indian Harbor Tac". Pecan Plantation, Cresson, and Granbury have their own frequencies listed at RR.com, but no Indian Harbor. Does anyone know of other fire frequencies in use in Hood County? Does anyone have a list of the unit number assignments for the Hood County fire departments?

Rob

29941. Re: 155.100 PL 100.0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-07 13:58:14 UTC
From: Brad Low
Topic: 29916 Message: 29941

I know it is not Tyler. They use DPL 365 for 155.100.
Brad, K5BDL
Jacksonville, TX
Portable ops at The University of Texas at Tyler }:8

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Group,
>
>
>
> I've been trying to confirm who uses 155.100 MHz with a PL of 100.0 for over
> a year. I don't usually hear this agency too often but I am tonight. I
> have cross-referenced RR.com data with the FCC database and my own PL tone
> database too. Here's what I have confirmed through the years in Texas
> myself on 155.100. There's no doubt some of this data is going to be
> outdated and old I'm sure! Actually, I just deleted a few entries I know
> are no longer good.
>
>
>
> Cute & Paste:
>
>
>
> 155.1000 Angleton PD/EMS [Dispatch]
>
> 155.1000 Bastrop Co. SO Ch. 2
>
> 155.1000 Bastrop PD Ch. 2
>
> 155.1000 Burnet Co. SO [City Dispatch]
>
> 155.1000 Claude [Public Works]
>
> 155.1000 Hays Co. SO
>
> 155.1000 Navarro Co. SO
>
> 155.1000 Palo Pinto Co. Civil Defense/EOC
>
> 155.1000 Tyler ISD [buses/schools]
>
> 155.1000 White Settlement FD/EMS Ch. 01
>

> 155.1000 Wichita Co. [County Commissioners] [WQH459]
>
>
>
> And here's what I have PL tone wise
>
>
>
> Cut & Paste:
>
>
>
> 155.1000 100.0 ?
>
> 155.1000 123.0 ?
>
> 155.1000 156.7 White Settlement FD/EMS Ch. 01
>
> 155.1000 82.5 Navarro Co. SO
>
> 155.1000 103.5 Venus ISD
>
> 155.1000 162.2 Palo Pinto Co. EOC/Civil Defense
>
>
>
> No telling if this cut & paste data will turn out looking right when this
> hits the group. I think it will and hope it does.
>
>
>
> There's also another user (I'm not hearing tonight) on the frequency I
> haven't tracked down that uses the PL tone 123.0.
>
>
>
> Does anyone know who either user (the 100.0 or 123.0 users) may be?
>
>
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

29942. Re: [dfwscan] Hood County Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-07 21:41:40 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29940 Message: 29942

rob.davis@... wrote:
> have their own frequencies listed at RR.com, but no Indian Harbor.

They have 154.400 simplex licensed but nobody ever confirmed and submitted it on RR and I can't hear it from here.
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPHC282

Sorry, I can't help with any of the other info.

Tom ND5Y

29943. Re: Hood County Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-07 23:13:00 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 29940 Message: 29943

Rob, Tom, & group,

Indian Harbor uses the same 'TAC' channel as Pecan Plantation,
154.130 PL 151.4

In addition to Cresson & Granbury, there are a few other 'TAC'
channels that are used. 'Station 70 TAC' is one, and I think
that Lipan VFD has a 'TAC' channel. And I believe that
'North Hood County VFD has a 'TAC' channel as well.

I don't have frequencies for any of those, but would like them
if some one has them.

Pecan Plantation's medic unit is Medic 80 I think ? Not sure of
the other departments. Geez, you would think I would now, seeing as
how I monitor Hood County all the time..... :)

Chris

-- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
>
>
> rob.davis@... wrote:
> > have their own frequencies listed at RR.com, but no Indian Harbor.
>
> They have 154.400 simplex licensed but nobody ever confirmed and submitted it on
> RR and I can't hear it from here.
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPHC282
>
> Sorry, I can't help with any of the other info.
>
> Tom ND5Y
>

29944. RE: [dfwscan] Hood County Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-07 23:42:08 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29940 Message: 29944

Hi Rob, Tom, & Group,

I have Indian Springs VFD confirmed on 154.370 but I have no PL tone for them. I confirmed this a few years ago when down in the Granbury lake area. I can't verify that this is the case down there today but it definitely was approximately 4 (+/-) years ago.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2009 4:42 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Hood County Fire

rob.davis@... wrote:
> have their own frequencies listed at RR.com, but no Indian Harbor.

They have 154.400 simplex licensed but nobody ever confirmed and submitted it on
RR and I can't hear it from here.
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPHC282

Sorry, I can't help with any of the other info.

Tom ND5Y

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29945. RE: [dfwscan] Re: 155.100 PL 100.0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-08 00:10:08 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29916 Message: 29945

Thanks Brad. I had another off list reply telling me the same thing about Tyler ISD. The agency in question no doubt serves in the McClellan County/Waco area. In one call I heard on 3/20/09, there was an auto/ped at 4400 Harrison Ave, at the La Vega Primary School (all confirmed as correct information via the Internet), and the dispatcher is the only party heard. I do not hear mobiles. This one is unlisted on the FCC site (for down in the area mentioned) and RR.com definitely doesn't have it either.

I posted my message to the CenTexComm list and have been receiving some off-list replies. I still have a few I haven't been able to read just yet. But so far, there's been no joy on locating this user. Bellmeade, TX seems to be the closest city to this PD (I don't think it's an SO) that also dispatches for the FD/EMS. There were no tone outs for the auto/ped (kid with a broken leg) call. The dispatcher was heard saying something like "toning out EMS for an auto/ped..." She may have just been letting the PD/law enforcement users know what was going on.

I'll get this sucker tracked down eventually. Hopefully sooner than later...:)

I'm going to try to read some of the other off-list replies I got from CenTexComm's Yahoo Group members before my supper is finished cooking. I'll pass along the user if I can get them confirmed (and this is the 100.0, not 123.0 PL user...haven't heard a word on the 123.0 PL user).

Thanks for the feedback and reply Brad...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brad Low
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2009 8:58 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: 155.100 PL 100.0

I know it is not Tyler. They use DPL 365 for 155.100.
Brad, K5BDL
Jacksonville, TX
Portable ops at The University of Texas at Tyler }:8

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Group,
>
>

>
> I've been trying to confirm who uses 155.100 MHz with a PL of 100.0 for
over
> a year. I don't usually hear this agency too often but I am tonight. I
> have cross-referenced RR.com data with the FCC database and my own PL tone
> database too. Here's what I have confirmed through the years in Texas
> myself on 155.100. There's no doubt some of this data is going to be
> outdated and old I'm sure! Actually, I just deleted a few entries I know
> are no longer good.
>
>
>
> Cute & Paste:
>
>
>
> 155.1000 Angleton PD/EMS [Dispatch]
>
> 155.1000 Bastrop Co. SO Ch. 2
>
> 155.1000 Bastrop PD Ch. 2
>
> 155.1000 Burnet Co. SO [City Dispatch]
>
> 155.1000 Claude [Public Works]
>
> 155.1000 Hays Co. SO
>
> 155.1000 Navarro Co. SO
>
> 155.1000 Palo Pinto Co. Civil Defense/EOC
>
> 155.1000 Tyler ISD [buses/schools]
>
> 155.1000 White Settlement FD/EMS Ch. 01
>
> 155.1000 Wichita Co. [County Commissioners] [WQH459]
>
>
>
> And here's what I have PL tone wise
>
>
>
> Cut & Paste:
>
>
>
> 155.1000 100.0 ?
>

> 155.1000 123.0 ?
>
> 155.1000 156.7 White Settlement FD/EMS Ch. 01
>
> 155.1000 82.5 Navarro Co. SO
>
> 155.1000 103.5 Venus ISD
>
> 155.1000 162.2 Palo Pinto Co. EOC/Civil Defense
>
>
>
> No telling if this cut & paste data will turn out looking right when this
> hits the group. I think it will and hope it does.
>
>
>
> There's also another user (I'm not hearing tonight) on the frequency I
> haven't tracked down that uses the PL tone 123.0.
>
>
>
> Does anyone know who either user (the 100.0 or 123.0 users) may be?
>
>
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29946. RE: [dfwscan] Hood County Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-08 04:31:16 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29940 Message: 29946

Brian,

Just for clarification, did you mean Indian Harbor, or is there another department in Hood County called Indian Springs?

Rob

On Tuesday, April 7, 2009 18:42, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> said:

>
> Hi Rob, Tom, & Group,
>
> I have Indian Springs VFD confirmed on 154.370 but I have no PL tone for
> them. I confirmed this a few years ago when down in the Granbury lake area.
> I can't verify that this is the case down there today but it definitely was
> approximately 4 (+/-) years ago.

29947. Four Alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-09 22:44:20 UTC
From: Todd
Topic: 29947 Message: 29947

Four Alarm Apt Fire - 5100 River Valley Blvd - River Ranch Apts - Fort Worth

29948. 159.42/151.46/122.425 TSF Fire Help

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 00:01:00 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29948 Message: 29948

Granbury Base and Bravo 5 (as well as other assets) from the Texas State Forestry working 159.24/PL 225.7 and 151.46/PL 94.8. One is a repeater and one is the input I believe, but it's really hard to tell to be honest. It doesn't seem to be working this way. 122.425 was just passed to an air asset for communications with ground and other air assets on the fires.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29949. Re: [dfwscan] 159.42/151.46/122.425 TSF Fire Help

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 00:41:41 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29948 Message: 29949

Brian that is correct except for the typo.
TFS has two repeaters in the area on 159.42/151.16
Whitt 159.420 225.7 out 151.160 127.3 in
Granbury 159.420 225.7 out 151.160 94.8 in

Just heard Tanker 00 (Lockheed P3 Orion water bomber) on 122.425 I didn't hear what fire it was going to.

Tom ND5Y

commscan wrote:
>
>
> Granbury Base and Bravo 5 (as well as other assets) from the Texas State
> Forestry working 159.24/PL 225.7 and 151.46/PL 94.8. One is a repeater and
> one is the input I believe, but it's really hard to tell to be honest. It
> doesn't seem to be working this way. 122.425 was just passed to an air
> asset for communications with ground and other air assets on the fires.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX

29950. Re: [dfwscan] 159.42/151.46/122.425 TSF Fire Help

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 00:46:33 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 29948 Message: 29950

I went through Granbury on Sunday and heard 159.420/225.7. Also heard two simplex units on 151.160 however they were both using different tones (94.7/127.3)

They are assisting with grass fires in Western Hamilton County today as well.

On Thu Apr 9 19:01 , "commscan" sent:

>
>
>
>Granbury Base and Bravo 5 (as well as other assets) from the Texas State
>
>Forestry working 159.24/PL 225.7 and 151.46/PL 94.8. One is a repeater and
>
>one is the input I believe, but it's really hard to tell to be honest. It
>
>doesn't seem to be working this way. 122.425 was just passed to an air
>
>asset for communications with ground and other air assets on the fires.
>
>
>
>Brian
>
>
>
>Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

29951. Texas Forestry Service Fire Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 04:46:30 UTC
From: Charles
Topic: 29951 Message: 29951

Been trying to find all the TFS channel listings. I have Fire 1, 2, and 3, but while in Wise Co ,today they kept saying Fire channel 4. Any idea where I might get this, or all the freq's would be great.

Charles G

29952. Re: Texas Forestry Service Fire Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 07:01:29 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 29951 Message: 29952

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Charles" <chastxboy22@...> wrote:
>
> Been trying to find all the TFS channel listings. I have Fire 1, 2, and 3, but while in Wise Co ,today they kept saying Fire channel 4. Any idea where I might get this, or all the freq's would be great.
>
> Charles G
>
7
I. COMMUNICATIONS (FREQ) PLAN
FREQUENCY (MHz)
RX TX Band DISPATCH CENTER\ FUNCTION
155.340 155.340 N MEDICAL AIR TO AIR
122.925 122.925 N PRIMARY MEDIA CONTACT
159.375\94.8 159.375\94.8 N WOODVILLE DISPATCH
159.420\100 159.420\100 N CONROE DISPATCH
159.375\110.9 159.375\110.9 N LINDEN DISPATCH
159.420\123.0 159.420\123.0 N HENDERSON DISPATCH
159.405\225.7 151.310\123.0 N Amarillo Repeater
159.330\225.7 151.385\173.8 N Abilene Repeater
159.420\225.7 151.160\94.8 N Granbury Repeater
159.420/225.7 151.160\127.3 N Witt Repeater (Granbury North)
159.225\225.7 151.160\151.4 N Greenville Repeater
159.330\225.7 151.385\110.9 N San Angelo Repeater
159.225\225.7 151.160\210.7 N Waco Repeater
159.330\127.3 151.2125\127.3 N Fredericksburg Repeater
159.360\118.8 151.190\118.8 N Sulpher Springs Repeater
159.405\225.7 151.310\131.8 N Ft. Davis Repeater
159.330\186.2 151.310\186.2 N Bastrop Repeater
159.330\186.2 151.310\179.9 N LaGrange Repeater
159.405\127.3 151.310\127.3 W Midland Repeater
159.240\127.3 159.240\127.3 W Childress Repeater
159.300 \127.3 159.300\127.3 W AIR TO GROUND (PRIMARY)
151.385\127.3 151.385\127.3 W AIR TO GROUND (SECONDARY)
164.825 164.825 \123.0 W USFS Air to Ground
168.625 168.625 \110.9 N AIR GUARD
168.650 168.650 \110.9 N NATIONAL FLIGHT FOLLOW
159.435\114.8 159.435\114.8 W TFS TACTICAL CHANNEL 7
151.475\114.8 151.475\114.8 W TFS TACTICAL CHANNEL 8
159.315\114.8 159.315\114.8 W TFS TACTICAL CHANNEL 9
159.435\127.3 159.435\127.3 W TFS TACTICAL CHANNEL 10
151.475\127.3 151.475\127.3 W TFS TACTICAL CHANNEL 11
159.315\127.3 159.315\127.3 W TFS TACTICAL CHANNEL 12
159.285 159.285 W State Compact (Not for A/G use)
154.280 154.280\127.3 W Texas Fire 1

154.265 154.265\127.3 W Texas Fire 2
154.295 154.295\127.3 W Texas Fire 3
154.950 154.950 W Texas Law 1
155.370 154.950\127.3 W Texas Law 2(Secondary Flight Following)
171.475 171.475\100.0 N ANGELINA N.F. & LUFKIN
170.575 170.575\114.8 N DAVY CROCKETT N.F. & LUFKIN
171.500 171.500\114.8 N SABINE N.F. & LUFKIN
171.500 171.500\110.9 N SAM HOUSTON N.F. & LUFKIN
171.475 171.475\114.8 N DECATUR/ GRASSLANDS
171.475\114.8 172.225\114.8 N DECATUR/GRASSLANDS REPEATER
166.900 166.900\146.2 N NPS-BIG THICKET

Also, check out files section under TFS folder for map of aerial ops boundaries.
MC

29953. RE: [dfwscan] Texas Forestry Service Fire Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 11:33:10 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29951 Message: 29953

Here they are on RadioReference.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=87

I also have 159.45 listed as Tac 4 from previous fires. There are several lists out there with lots of frequencies, but it's not clear to me what's the most up to date and accurate.

Ben

>Been trying to find all the TFS channel listings. I have Fire 1, 2, and 3, but while in Wise Co ,today they kept saying Fire channel 4. Any idea where I might get this, or all the freq's would be great.

Charles G<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29954. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Texas Forestry Service Fire Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 14:25:02 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29951 Message: 29954

TFS quit putting the daily Incident Action Plans on their public web site so as far as I can tell the current frequency list is the one Mark posted which probably came from here:
<http://tfsfrp.tamu.edu/toolbox/Download.aspx?ACT=FILE&NAME=G%3a%5cFileTransfers%5cWest+Texas%5c01-30-09+Air+Ops+Plan.pdf>
and the last IAP that I saw a couple months ago had the same ground and air-to-air channels plan that was used last year which is at the top of the RadioReference page that Ben posted.

Tom ND5Y

29955. ACM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 17:20:49 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29955 Message: 29955

Mystery frequency - 246.8

Several air units doing ACM, bombing runs, laser targeting...
very interesting.....thing is...I have no idea who uses this
frequency...signal good into North Texas....

Haven't heard any ID's....definitely a/a, and VERY INFORMAL..

Any help on a user greatly appreciated.

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Texas

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090409-0, 04/09/2009
Tested on: 4/10/2009 12:20:49 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29956. Re: [dfwscan] ACM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 19:22:21 UTC
From: Jeffrey Smith
Topic: 29955 Message: 29956

Blane,
I show that freq as an Air to Air freq from the USN VT-21 squadron from
Kingsville NAS.

KINGSVILLE NAS VT-21'FIGHTING RED HAWKS'(T-45A/C) A-A

Jeffrey
N5TIT

On Fri, Apr 10, 2009 at 12:20 PM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>wrote:

>
>
> Mystery frequency - 246.8
>
> Several air units doing ACM, bombing runs, laser targeting...
> very interesting.....thing is...I have no idea who uses this
> frequency...signal good into North Texas....
>
> Haven't heard any ID's....definitely a/a, and VERY INFORMAL..
>
> Any help on a user greatly appreciated.
>
> Blaine Brooks
> Lewisville, Texas
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090409-0, 04/09/2009
> Tested on: 4/10/2009 12:20:49 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29957. Re: Texas Forestry Service Fire Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 19:58:55 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29951 Message: 29957

There is a new air-to-air frequency 127.700 in use at the Cement Mountain fire near Graham. I haven't seen this one before.

Tom ND5Y

29958. Re: Texas Forestry Service Fire Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 20:16:21 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 29951 Message: 29958

Yes, Tom is right, that is where the frequency list came from. The Department of Homeland inSecurity last year apparently started cracking down on subservient government organizations because it could be theorized afterall that young men between the ages of 18 and 45 with head coverings and possibly affiliated with groups like Al Ka Hey Duuuuhh are hiding behind every tree in the forest attempting to disrupt communications at fire scenes!!! You will see statements like the following in all the recent communications sections of Wildland Fire Ops Plans like this:
"Due to BLM Instruction Memorandum No FA IM-2008-006, and The Department of the Interior Radio Handbook, that states unauthorized interception, divulgence, or publication of radio communications is
prohibited and consistent with the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986, Public Law 99-508, October 21, 1986. Due to this policy all frequencies have been removed from the Web Document. If you come to Durango, the hard copy will have all frequencies listed."

They must keep the paranoia level elevated folks so those federal dollars keep rolling in!
MC

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
>
>
> TFS quit putting the daily Incident Action Plans on their public web site so as
> far as I can tell the current frequency list is the one Mark posted which
> probably came from here:
> <http://tfsfrp.tamu.edu/toolbox/Download.aspx?ACT=FILE&NAME=G%3a%5cFileTransfers%5cWest+Texas%5c01-30-09+Air+Ops+Plan.pdf>
> and the last IAP that I saw a couple months ago had the same ground and
> air-to-air channels plan that was used last year which is at the top of the
> RadioReference page that Ben posted.
>
> Tom ND5Y
>

29959. Re: Texas Forestry Service Fire Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 20:51:30 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29951 Message: 29959

On 4/10/2009 3:16 PM, letarotor wrote:

> with head coverings and possibly affiliated with groups like Al Ka Hey Duuuuhh are hiding behind every tree in the forest attempting to disrupt communications at fire scenes!!!

Yep. Gotta watch out for those damn terrorists out there with AK's
and ski masks hiding behind the pine trees in the back woods of
Texas. Hell..., when are they gonna figure it out??? That ain't Al Queda.
That's bubba, his friend, and bubba's cousin he just married, going
for a Sunday stroll, and target practice in the woods...as they try and
protect their whiskey still, or marijuana patch. Wait...I hear Dueling
Banjos....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090410-0, 04/10/2009
Tested on: 4/10/2009 3:51:31 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29960. Re: Texas Forestry Service Fire Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-10 20:52:21 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29951 Message: 29960

On 4/10/2009 2:58 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:

> There is a new air-to-air frequency 127.700 in use at the Cement Mountain fire
> near Graham. I haven't seen this one before.

Thanks Tom. Duly noted. And good searching!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090410-0, 04/10/2009
Tested on: 4/10/2009 3:52:22 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29961. web fire mapper

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-11 14:09:44 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 29961 Message: 29961

I found a cool web site that maps fires apparently by using satellite data. I haven't read it all so I don't know exactly what it will show.
http://maps.geog.umd.edu/firms/default.asp

The TFS Google Earth file I posted earlier now has layers from the above web site
http://tfsfrp.tamu.edu/wildfires/FireActivity.kml

Tom

29962. Weekly FCC grants April 05-11

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-12 14:26:50 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29962 Message: 29962

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29963. Denton City TRS frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-13 15:32:49 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29963 Message: 29963

Anyone else monitor Denton City's TRS?

I had not until recently with a new Uniden BC396XT, which pulls it in, where my other scanners did not. Anyway, I noticed the frequencies on RadioReference, and wonder if the 3 I have marked "not heard" below, have been heard by others as part of their system. Also, in one of Glenn Mitchell's recent weekly FCC license updates there were a couple of new frequencies. I haven't heard them yet.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=22

The following 11 frequencies, I've heard as part of the system with talkgroup activity.
858.3125 control/voice
857.3125 control/voice
859.3125 control/voice
860.3125 control/voice
856.3125 voice
855.1875 voice
855.3625 voice
855.8625 voice
855.8875 voice
856.5875 voice
858.5875 voice

These 2 are new licenses, but I haven't heard them yet.
854.2375 new license, not heard yet
854.4875 new license, not heard yet

These 3 are old licenses and listed on RadioReference as part of the system, but I haven't heard them as part of the system.
853.0625 not heard
853.9125 not heard
854.5625 not heard

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ - In Bedford

29964. Re: Denton City TRS frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-13 16:42:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29963 Message: 29964

On 4/13/2009 10:32 AM, Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:

> Anyone else monitor Denton City's TRS?

Hey Ben -

I monitor the City Of Denton system consistently, but
just FD. And with my GRE all I need to worry about
is putting the 4 control channel frequencies in, as
you have with your 396. Honestly, I have no idea if
those new frequencies are being used or not, as I
don't even keep tabs anymore with all the frequencies
of a system.

I'll try and run Unitrunker and see what it shows.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090413-0, 04/13/2009
Tested on: 4/13/2009 11:42:41 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29965. Re: [dfwscan] ACM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-13 17:02:52 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29955 Message: 29965

On 4/10/2009 2:22 PM, Jeffrey Smith wrote:

> I show that freq as an Air to Air freq from the USN VT-21 squadron from
> Kingsville NAS.
>
>
> KINGSVILLE NAS VT-21'FIGHTING RED HAWKS'(T-45A/C) A-A

Many thanks.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090413-0, 04/13/2009
Tested on: 4/13/2009 12:02:53 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29966. New 854Mhz Grants -> RE: [dfwscan] Denton City TRS frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-13 18:20:59 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 29963 Message: 29966

I've noticed that there are several new 854mhz grants in (mostly) 2 channel pairs in Glenn's grant messages. Quite a few in the past two months and they all say "Law Enforcement" in the notes. Anybody know what's going on?

Prosper, City of WQKC298 854.1625, 854.1875

Bosque County WQKC304 854.3625, 854.3875

McLennan County WQKA978 854.0375, 854.0625

Falls County WQKD513 854.2125, 854.2375

Freestone County WQKD514 854.1625, 854.1875

Waxahachie, City of WQJY865 854.1625, 854.2375, 854.4875

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 10:33 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Denton City TRS frequencies

Anyone else monitor Denton City's TRS?

I had not until recently with a new Uniden BC396XT, which pulls it in, where my other scanners did not. Anyway, I noticed the frequencies on RadioReference, and wonder if the 3 I have marked "not heard" below, have been heard by others as part of their system. Also, in one of Glenn Mitchell's recent weekly FCC license updates there were a couple of new frequencies. I haven't heard them yet.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=22

The following 11 frequencies, I've heard as part of the system with talkgroup activity.
858.3125 control/voice
857.3125 control/voice
859.3125 control/voice
860.3125 control/voice
856.3125 voice
855.1875 voice
855.3625 voice
855.8625 voice
855.8875 voice
856.5875 voice
858.5875 voice

These 2 are new licenses, but I haven't heard them yet.
854.2375 new license, not heard yet
854.4875 new license, not heard yet

These 3 are old licenses and listed on RadioReference as part of the system,
but I haven't heard them as part of the system.
853.0625 not heard
853.9125 not heard
854.5625 not heard

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ - In Bedford

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29967. RE: New 854Mhz Grants -> RE: [dfwscan] Denton City TRS frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-13 19:19:42 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29963 Message: 29967

My guess is it probably has to do with rebanding/shuffling of frequencies.

Ben

>I've noticed that there are several new 854mhz grants in (mostly) 2 channel pairs in Glenn's grant messages. Quite a few in the past two months and they all say "Law Enforcement" in the notes. Anybody know what's going on?

Prosper, City of WQKC298 854.1625, 854.1875

Bosque County WQKC304 854.3625, 854.3875

McLennan County WQKA978 854.0375, 854.0625

Falls County WQKD513 854.2125, 854.2375

Freestone County WQKD514 854.1625, 854.1875

Waxahachie, City of WQJY865 854.1625, 854.2375, 854.4875<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29968. Re: Denton City TRS frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-13 22:10:34 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29963 Message: 29968

Ran Unitrunker for most of the day today:

Frequencies being used by City Of Denton:

855.1875, 855.3625 , 855.8625 , 855.8875,
856.3125, 856.5875, 857.3125, 858.3125,
858.5875, 859.3125, 860.3125

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090413-0, 04/13/2009
Tested on: 4/13/2009 5:10:35 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29969. RE: New 854Mhz Grants -> RE: [dfwscan] Denton City TRS frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-13 22:51:15 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 29963 Message: 29969

I figure that, too, but several of these new licenses are for counties that are currently on VHF like Bosque, Falls, Freestone, etc.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 02:20 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: New 854Mhz Grants -> RE: [dfwscan] Denton City TRS frequencies

My guess is it probably has to do with rebanding/shuffling of frequencies.

Ben

>I've noticed that there are several new 854mhz grants in (mostly) 2 channel pairs in Glenn's grant messages. Quite a few in the past two months and they all say "Law Enforcement" in the notes. Anybody know what's going on?

Prosper, City of WQKC298 854.1625, 854.1875

Bosque County WQKC304 854.3625, 854.3875

McLennan County WQKA978 854.0375, 854.0625

Falls County WQKD513 854.2125, 854.2375

Freestone County WQKD514 854.1625, 854.1875

Waxahachie, City of WQJY865 854.1625, 854.2375, 854.4875<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29970. Re: New 854Mhz Grants -> RE: [dfwscan] Denton City TRS frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-13 23:57:03 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 29963 Message: 29970

I have the new Prosper freq's in my scanner at work in McKinney but so far I haven't heard anything.

Mike

----- Original Message -----
From: Russell Adams
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 1:20 PM
Subject: New 854Mhz Grants -> RE: [dfwscan] Denton City TRS frequencies

I've noticed that there are several new 854mhz grants in (mostly) 2 channel pairs in Glenn's grant messages. Quite a few in the past two months and they all say "Law Enforcement" in the notes. Anybody know what's going on?

Prosper, City of WQKC298 854.1625, 854.1875

Bosque County WQKC304 854.3625, 854.3875

McLennan County WQKA978 854.0375, 854.0625

Falls County WQKD513 854.2125, 854.2375

Freestone County WQKD514 854.1625, 854.1875

Waxahachie, City of WQJY865 854.1625, 854.2375, 854.4875

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 10:33 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Denton City TRS frequencies

Anyone else monitor Denton City's TRS?

I had not until recently with a new Uniden BC396XT, which pulls it in, where my other scanners did not. Anyway, I noticed the frequencies on RadioReference, and wonder if the 3 I have marked "not heard" below, have been heard by others as part of their system. Also, in one of Glenn Mitchell's recent weekly FCC license updates there were a couple of new frequencies. I haven't heard them yet.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=22

The following 11 frequencies, I've heard as part of the system with talkgroup activity.
858.3125 control/voice
857.3125 control/voice

859.3125 control/voice
860.3125 control/voice
856.3125 voice
855.1875 voice
855.3625 voice
855.8625 voice
855.8875 voice
856.5875 voice
858.5875 voice

These 2 are new licenses, but I haven't heard them yet.
854.2375 new license, not heard yet
854.4875 new license, not heard yet

These 3 are old licenses and listed on RadioReference as part of the system,
but I haven't heard them as part of the system.
853.0625 not heard
853.9125 not heard
854.5625 not heard

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ - In Bedford

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29971. Plane crash in Arlington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 01:59:31 UTC
From: Officer LeClair
Topic: 29971 Message: 29971

I was going home and passing Lake Arlington Golf course when I saw the red and blue lights, with a fire engine on scene at the field on the north west field from Green Oaks and Pioneer Parkway (303), and was attracted like a moth to a light. Here is what I found.

Statement given by Lt. Chad Gann of APD:
At about 7:00pm, APD and AFD were dispatched to a downed aircraft in the field at the corner of Green Oaks and Pioneer Parkway. When units arrived on scene, the pilot was walking around and fully alert to what had happened. There was no hazmat situation to be concerned about. The pilot was quoted as stating this aircraft to be a "experimental" plane and was flying south, presumebly to Arlington Municipal Airport. The pilot, a mid 40's male, was later transported by ambulance, to John Peter Smith hospital with minor injuries. The FAA has been contacted an an investigation is possible. The pilot made his emergency landing and flipped the aircraft. Authorities on scene are awaiting the contact of a representitive from the Arlington Municipal Airport.

Nothing further at this time, keep an eye on your local news, I think Ch.11 will have the best coverage, they were first on scene and conducted the actual interview. Ch.5 and I think 8 were third and second on scene, and 4 was last to arrive on. The plane had a sticker on the tail that said "The United States of Texas" and the plane ID is N6DJ.

29972. RE: [dfwscan] Plane crash in Arlington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 02:10:31 UTC
From: Rob Winters
Topic: 29971 Message: 29972

According to FAA, it's an RV-6. It's probably the most popular kit plane out there. I see them all the time. They're quite fast and a lot of pilots get behind the airplane.

>N6DJ.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29973. Re: Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 16:05:15 UTC
From: jason carr
Topic: 29919 Message: 29973

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
>
> It works. And the volume is lower. Great!! It was low before. Now
> even worse.
>>

[second attempt at posting this. It is curious that searching our messages for "richardson rebanded this morning" doesn't pull up any hits even though that's the title of the threadlet.]

Am I imagining things, or is the volume back up?

I use ScanRecord w/vox to tape CORichardson while I am away from the scanner, and the it seems louder and the waveform looks stronger.

Anyone else seeing this?

29974. Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 16:21:07 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29974 Message: 29974

The past few weeks Ive noticed that Dallas is not sending MICUs on medical calls. They send an engine, but no ambulance. They used to do this on welfare checks due to the high probability of it being nothing. But now they are sending engine only on things like injured persons, strokes, heart attacks & suicides. The rescue doesnt even get dispatched until the engine is on scene and requests it. The caller has already waited 3 minutes for the engine to arrive, two minutes for them to make an assessment, and then the ambulance gets dispatched. Then it is another 5-10 minutes because the ambulance sent is never the first due. Engine 40 is on a stroke call right now, they came on and requested the ambulance and got Rescue 15 from the house. Why did they start this policy?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29975. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 16:36:38 UTC
From: Dale Aymond
Topic: 29974 Message: 29975

They are only doing this in a couple of select areas as a test. The engines that are involved in this have paramedics on that engine. They will stabilize the patient and start treating them while waiting on the rescue.

The real reason for this is that our rescues are running themselves to death and a high percentage of their calls do not require transport to a hospital.
Dale

--- On Wed, 4/15/09, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:

From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire No Rescues
To: "Scanner DFW" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Wednesday, April 15, 2009, 11:21 AM

The past few weeks Ive noticed that Dallas is not sending MICUs on medical calls. They send an engine, but no ambulance. They used to do this on welfare checks due to the high probability of it being nothing. But now they are sending engine only on things like injured persons, strokes, heart attacks & suicides. The rescue doesnt even get dispatched until the engine is on scene and requests it. The caller has already waited 3 minutes for the engine to arrive, two minutes for them to make an assessment, and then the ambulance gets dispatched. Then it is another 5-10 minutes because the ambulance sent is never the first due. Engine 40 is on a stroke call right now, they came on and requested the ambulance and got Rescue 15 from the house. Why did they start this policy?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29976. Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 16:41:11 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29974 Message: 29976

On 4/15/2009 11:21 AM, Andrew Tucker wrote:

> The past few weeks Ive noticed that Dallas is not sending MICUs on medical
> calls. They send an engine, but no ambulance.

Aren't most firefighters in the metro area trained
as FIREFIGHTER/PARAMEDICS/EMT? In other words,
can't the on scene engine handle a low priority med
call "first in unit", rather than having to dispatch a
MICU immediately? Personally, I don't see a problem
not rolling a box unless it is actually NEEDED for transport
of a patient. If the guys and gals on the engine can treat
and most importantly, evaluate the NEED FOR TRANSPORT,
I say more power to 'em...but of course, that is just my
opinion....:) The difference between rolling a box for a
nosebleed or headache, as opposed to a stabbing,
shooting, or MVA with serious injuries.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090415-0, 04/15/2009
Tested on: 4/15/2009 11:41:12 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29977. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 17:20:15 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 29974 Message: 29977

Part of it hinges on the desires of the Medical Director and the City Council. If they say "transport 'em all" then no matter what, that citizen will get transported, medical necessity or not (read Fort Worth).

--- On Wed, 4/15/09, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:

From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues
To: "Andrew Tucker" <dfw333@...>
Cc: "Scanner DFW" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Wednesday, April 15, 2009, 11:41 AM

On 4/15/2009 11:21 AM, Andrew Tucker wrote:

> The past few weeks Ive noticed that Dallas is not sending MICUs on medical
> calls. They send an engine, but no ambulance.

Aren't most firefighters in the metro area trained
as FIREFIGHTER/ PARAMEDICS/ EMT? In other words,
can't the on scene engine handle a low priority med
call "first in unit", rather than having to dispatch a
MICU immediately? Personally, I don't see a problem
not rolling a box unless it is actually NEEDED for transport
of a patient. If the guys and gals on the engine can treat
and most importantly, evaluate the NEED FOR TRANSPORT,
I say more power to 'em...but of course, that is just my
opinion....:) The difference between rolling a box for a
nosebleed or headache, as opposed to a stabbing,
shooting, or MVA with serious injuries.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090415-0, 04/15/2009
Tested on: 4/15/2009 11:41:12 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast. com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29978. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson Rebanded this morning.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 18:05:22 UTC
From: steve@liese.us
Topic: 29919 Message: 29978

I didn't notice the volume being low so much as what seemed like low signal strength. Sitting right in the middle of Richardson, I was only getting partial transmissions and they were cutting out. It seems like it has gotten better, but I don't think it as good as before the rebranding. You are right, volume has always been lower on Richardson.
Steve

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Richardson Rebanded this morning.
From: "jason carr" <fratermus+yahoo@...>
Date: Wed, April 15, 2009 11:05 am
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
>
> It works. And the volume is lower. Great!! It was low before. Now
> even worse.
>>

[second attempt at posting this. It is curious that searching our messages for "richardson rebanded this morning" doesn't pull up any hits even though that's the title of the threadlet.]

Am I imagining things, or is the volume back up?

I use ScanRecord w/vox to tape CORichardson while I am away from the scanner, and the it seems louder and the waveform looks stronger.

Anyone else seeing this?

29979. Arlington FD on channel 6

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 21:21:25 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29979 Message: 29979

Anyone know what AFD and probably PD are doing at River Legacy? They're operating on channel 6, and said they'd be at the Unified Command Post for some sort of demonstration.

Ben

29980. Re: [dfwscan] Arlington FD on channel 6

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 21:27:28 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29979 Message: 29980

"Tea party," demonstration.

--- On Wed, 4/15/09, Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...> wrote:

> From: Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Arlington FD on channel 6
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Wednesday, April 15, 2009, 4:21 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Anyone know what AFD and probably PD are doing at
> River Legacy? They're
>
> operating on channel 6, and said they'd be at the
> Unified Command Post for
>
> some sort of demonstration.
>
>
>
> Ben
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

29981. Re: Arlington FD on channel 6

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 21:27:54 UTC
From: kd5tvf
Topic: 29979 Message: 29981

```
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Anyone know what AFD and probably PD are doing at River Legacy? They're
> operating on channel 6, and said they'd be at the Unified Command Post for
> some sort of demonstration.
>
> Ben
>

Arlington TEA Party
```

29982. RE: [dfwscan] Arlington FD on channel 6

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-15 21:29:19 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29979 Message: 29982

Oh, that kind of demonstration. I thought maybe they were demonstrating equipment.
Thanks,
Ben

>"Tea party," demonstration.
<

29983. Re: Arlington FD on channel 6

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-16 01:54:43 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 29979 Message: 29983

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Oh, that kind of demonstration. I thought maybe they were demonstrating
> equipment.
> Thanks,
> Ben
>
> >"Tea party," demonstration.
> <
>

Gotta keep an eye on all the right wing extremists...or "terrorists" as Obama has called us while making sure to avoid the term when referring to Al-qaeda and call them instead "enemy combatants."

29984. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-16 04:51:24 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 29974 Message: 29984

My feeling is that this test area is quite limited. One has simply
to look at "Dallas Fire-Rescue Active Incidents" (
http://www.firerescuephotos.com/fa/) to check medical calls with no
Rescue unit assigned. At this hour of evening, only two incidents
have no Rescue assigned. Both of those are vehicle fires.

Toby

At 11:36 AM 4/15/2009, Dale Aymond wrote:

>They are only doing this in a couple of select areas as a test. The
>engines that are involved in this have paramedics on that
>engine. They will stabilize the patient and start treating them
>while waiting on the rescue.
>
>The real reason for this is that our rescues are running themselves
>to death and a high percentage of their calls do not require
>transport to a hospital.
>Dale
>
>--- On Wed, 4/15/09, Andrew Tucker
><<mailto:dfw333%40gmail.com>dfw333@...> wrote:
>
>From: Andrew Tucker <<mailto:dfw333%40gmail.com>dfw333@...>
>Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire No Rescues
>To: "Scanner DFW" <<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Date: Wednesday, April 15, 2009, 11:21 AM
>
>The past few weeks Ive noticed that Dallas is not sending MICUs on medical
>calls. They send an engine, but no ambulance. They used to do this on
>welfare checks due to the high probability of it being nothing. But now they
>are sending engine only on things like injured persons, strokes, heart
>attacks & suicides. The rescue doesnt even get dispatched until the engine
>is on scene and requests it. The caller has already waited 3 minutes for the
>engine to arrive, two minutes for them to make an assessment, and then the
>ambulance gets dispatched. Then it is another 5-10 minutes because the
>ambulance sent is never the first due. Engine 40 is on a stroke call right
>now, they came on and requested the ambulance and got Rescue 15 from the
>house. Why did they start this policy?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29985. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-16 10:55:44 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 29974 Message: 29985

There are some differences. One huge one is why send a $500K fire engine with 3 or 4 firefighters when $120K ambulance with two guys would work. Not only can they handle the emergency but transport if needed as well. Also some of those mundane calls like victim is sweaty and says he doesn't fell well could very likely turn into a heart attack call. Not a big deal unless it's you or someone you care about.

Also in Dallas firefighters I know have let their medical certifications lapse as soon as they can. It's to only way to ensure they don't get stuck on the ambulance so there are not as many trained (certified) paramedics as you might think.

Hopefully that will help you see the problem.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2009 11:41 AM
To: Andrew Tucker
Cc: Scanner DFW
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues

On 4/15/2009 11:21 AM, Andrew Tucker wrote:

> The past few weeks Ive noticed that Dallas is not sending MICUs on medical
> calls. They send an engine, but no ambulance.

Aren't most firefighters in the metro area trained
as FIREFIGHTER/PARAMEDICS/EMT? In other words,
can't the on scene engine handle a low priority med
call "first in unit", rather than having to dispatch a
MICU immediately? Personally, I don't see a problem
not rolling a box unless it is actually NEEDED for transport
of a patient. If the guys and gals on the engine can treat
and most importantly, evaluate the NEED FOR TRANSPORT,
I say more power to 'em...but of course, that is just my
opinion....:) The difference between rolling a box for a
nosebleed or headache, as opposed to a stabbing,
shooting, or MVA with serious injuries.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090415-0, 04/15/2009

Tested on: 4/15/2009 11:41:12 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

29986. Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-16 17:57:55 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29974 Message: 29986

On 4/16/2009 5:55 AM, Terry wrote:

> There are some differences. One huge one is why send a $500K fire engine
> with 3 or 4 firefighters when $120K ambulance with two guys would work.

> Also in Dallas firefighters I know have let their medical certifications
> lapse as soon as they can.

I can see both sides of the issue, so that's why I'm
remaining pretty neutral in my thoughts on this issue.

St. Louis had a problem with firefighters and first responders
many years ago. The firefighters just wanted to do fires. They
had absolutely NO desire to be EMT's as well, and shunned the
training the city wanted them to have. I knew firefighters, batt
chiefs, and EMS workers and admin when I lived there, and let
me tell you, it was like listening to battle plans between Lee &
Grant. It was a real battle between the firefighters union, the
ems folks (which was a separate division), and city hall.
Eventually, after many salvos back and forth over a couple
years, FD & EMS merged.

I don't want to get into a pissing match with anyone on the list
except to say that I am comforted that the city that protects me
(Lewisville), the firefighters know how to give me CPR and treat
a serious laceration, etc., as well as pour water on my house or
apartment that's burning down.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090416-0, 04/16/2009
Tested on: 4/16/2009 12:57:56 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29987. 2 alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-17 17:16:10 UTC
From: Todd
Topic: 29987 Message: 29987

Fort Worth Fire- 2 alarm residential structure fire - 2800 blk of Travis Ave - channel 2

29988. Dallas 1 Alarm Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-17 17:33:25 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 29988 Message: 29988

anyone know what is going on at 4808 Ridgeside Dr? I just heard command ask for relief companies at 13:30

29989. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas 1 Alarm Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-17 20:00:05 UTC
From: Dale Aymond
Topic: 29988 Message: 29989

Lighting strike pretty much burned up the house.
Dale

--- On Fri, 4/17/09, Justin Lentz <justinlentz@...> wrote:

From: Justin Lentz <justinlentz@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas 1 Alarm Fire
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Friday, April 17, 2009, 12:33 PM

anyone know what is going on at 4808 Ridgeside Dr? I just heard command ask for relief companies at 13:30

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29990. Allen PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-17 20:56:45 UTC
From: redarrow06
Topic: 11329 Message: 29990

I picked up a radio shack triple truking scanner- handheld- shouldnt I be able to turn that on and it pick up the broadcasts in my area? I look and see this HEX and DEC stuff- what happened to just entering 460.850 and that was all- does anyone have some info that can help me out- Is there anothe scanner I should buy- or will this one pick up Allen Police and Fire.....???

29991. Re: [dfwscan] Allen PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-17 21:21:55 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 11329 Message: 29991

While not specific to that scanner there are a couple of articles about modern trunked radio systems in the "Other References" section of http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/ScannerManuals. In particular "But I Just Want to Listen To The Police" explains why you cannot just put in a frequency nowadays.

Allen operates primarily on the Plano Motorola Type II system (documented at: http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=63). Any triple trunker will follow that system today. However systems nationwide are being rebanded (to operate using a different channel scheme). Eventually the Plano system will move ot the new scheme...whether your scanner will work after rebanding depends on the specific model. Look it up in the wiki on the RadioReference site...all models are flagged as to whether they will or won't work after rebanding.

Paul

From: redarrow06 <redarrow06@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2009 3:56:45 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Allen PD

I picked up a radio shack triple truking scanner- handheld- shouldnt I be able to turn that on and it pick up the broadcasts in my area? I look and see this HEX and DEC stuff- what happened to just entering 460.850 and that was all- does anyone have some info that can help me out- Is there anothe scanner I should buy- or will this one pick up Allen Police and Fire.....???

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29992. Arlington TRS "F" channels

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-17 22:23:23 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29992 Message: 29992

Just heard an on-air tutorial for a PD officer changing to the new channels used for events. They mentioned that you can change from "Channel F1 through 16".

Decimal talkgroup 58448 is "F1". I've confirmed F2, 3, and 4 as 58480, 58512, and 58544. Continue to add 32 for each channel, that puts 58928 as F16, which is what fire/EMS operations have been using at baseball games.

Ben

29993. Baker channel active

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-18 19:02:28 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 29925 Message: 29993

165.3750 NAC 001 was active the next few days after the First Pitch
but it was the former VP Detail [Cheney] at/around the Anatole Hilton.

Bill Dunn N1KUG
Cruise Ship Frequencies
http://home.earthlink.net/~ecps92/cruise_ships.htm
Now feeding USCG Sector Boston via Team Speak
info at http://www.scannewengland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon

----- Original Message -----
From: "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...>
To: "DFWSCAN Group" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2009 1:58 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Baker channel active

> For the FIRST time here in DFW I heard USSS
> Baker channel active P25 digital NAC001 in
> the clear. Maybe related to Bush at The
> Ballpark. Just heard one agent talking to
> another asking if he has his phone on.
> Nothing further heard.
>
>
> Blaine
>

29994. Weekly FCC grants April 12-18

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-19 11:02:46 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 29994 Message: 29994

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

29995. Irving Tac channels

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-19 15:39:37 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29995 Message: 29995

Sounds like a drill, but there's lots of activity on Irving PD and FD channels. Sounds like the scenario involves a train, bad guys, hostages, FD going in to check for hazmat.

Tac1 (305), Sniper 1 (303), and FD Training 8 (547)

4/19/2009 10:30AM

29996. Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-20 15:59:59 UTC
From: csna172plt
Topic: 29996 Message: 29996

Ok guys, I have read the articles, checked out RR, and did my research, but I still want to hear it from the experts here on the list. Due to the rebanding, it appears that my pro-95 and pro-97 are pretty much obsolete? My primary listening areas are Richardson, Plano, and southeast Colin county. Next question. What do you guys recommended I pick up to continue my scanning?

Thanks for the help.

Tom

29997. FBI Repeater

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-20 16:38:43 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29997 Message: 29997

Found an FBI repeater extremely close to my apartment
this morning using Close Call on the PSR-500, possibly
on the Rockbrook tower, but definitely in Lewisville on
167.3875 PL 167.9 . I have this as A-3, and oftentimes
simulcast with 173.8125.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090419-0, 04/19/2009
Tested on: 4/20/2009 11:38:44 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

29998. RE: [dfwscan] Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-20 17:01:19 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 29996 Message: 29998

Although the Pro 95 and Pro 97 may not be upgradeable, they both should still work on Richardson's EDACS system, which has already been rebanded. Just reprogram the frequencies, 15Mhz lower. I believe you're out of luck for any Motorola trunked systems.

Ben

>Ok guys, I have read the articles, checked out RR, and did my research, but I still want to hear it from the experts here on the list. Due to the rebanding, it appears that my pro-95 and pro-97 are pretty much obsolete? My primary listening areas are Richardson, Plano, and southeast Colin county. Next question. What do you guys recommended I pick up to continue my scanning?

Thanks for the help.

Tom

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

29999. Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-20 19:56:09 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29996 Message: 29999

Those are still great scanners...just not for Motorola trunktracking post-rebanding.

I'd have to recommend either the BC346XT (analog) or BCD396XT (digital). Because I'd be fired if I didn't. :)

Seriously, the BC346XT is the only dynamic-memory TT3 out there, so I really can't compare it to anything else. The competition (RS and GRE) still offer only banked scanners for analog trunking...and that is now becoming quite old tech. If you opt for digital, both GRE and RS offer alternatives...and they work great...but even without my inherent bias I think I'd still find the operation and use of the BCD396XT superior.

Paul

From: csna172plt <csna172plt@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2009 10:59:59 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Rebanding

Ok guys, I have read the articles, checked out RR, and did my research, but I still want to hear it from the experts here on the list. Due to the rebanding, it appears that my pro-95 and pro-97 are pretty much obsolete? My primary listening areas are Richardson, Plano, and southeast Colin county. Next question. What do you guys recommended I pick up to continue my scanning?

Thanks for the help.

Tom

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30000. Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-20 20:11:11 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 29996 Message: 30000

Hey Tom and the group,

The reason Paul is so biased, in case you could not tell, is because he works for Uniden. In fact he is the product manager of the Uniden scanner product line. Since Paul is local, you might expect to find him on this list. But the truth is Paul is on many different lists, as well as RadioReference.com, constantly listening and interacting with members of the scanning community. To me, that is what makes Uniden great and sets them apart. Many of the features (bells and whistles) that are in their latest product offerings are because of the fact that Uniden is listening to the people who actualy use their products. Can't say that about most companies.

-Chance

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:
>
> Those are still great scanners...just not for Motorola trunktracking post-rebanding.
>
> I'd have to recommend either the BC346XT (analog) or BCD396XT (digital). Because I'd be fired if I didn't. :)
>
> Seriously, the BC346XT is the only dynamic-memory TT3 out there, so I really can't compare it to anything else. The competition (RS and GRE) still offer only banked scanners for analog trunking...and that is now becoming quite old tech. If you opt for digital, both GRE and RS offer alternatives...and they work great...but even without my inherent bias I think I'd still find the operation and use of the BCD396XT superior.
>
> Paul
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: csna172plt <csna172plt@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, April 20, 2009 10:59:59 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Rebanding
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Ok guys, I have read the articles, checked out RR, and did my research, but I still want to hear it from the experts here on the list. Due to the rebanding, it appears that my pro-95 and pro-97 are pretty much obsolete? My primary listening areas are Richardson, Plano, and southeast Colin county. Next question. What do you guys recommended I pick up to continue my scanning?

>
> Thanks for the help.
>
> Tom
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30001. Re: Irving Tac channels

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-20 21:02:45 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 29995 Message: 30001

Correct again Ben IFD, IFD, DART Police, Trinity Railway Express, held a drill at the TRE yard. Terrorists took over a TRE train and exploded an unknown type of CBRNE device killing 8 passengers and wounding 16 others. Irving Citizens Fire Acadamy Alumni furnished victims and the Irving Police Acadamy Alumni furnished hostages for a SWAT rescue. Many lessons learned, a good barbeque lunch afterwards, and a good time was had by all.

Wally Banks

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Sounds like a drill, but there's lots of activity on Irving PD and FD
> channels. Sounds like the scenario involves a train, bad guys, hostages, FD
> going in to check for hazmat.
>
> Tac1 (305), Sniper 1 (303), and FD Training 8 (547)
>
> 4/19/2009 10:30AM
>

30002. Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-20 21:35:25 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 29974 Message: 30002

DFR is looking for ways to not send an ambulance because they run out of boxes and because of historical no transport patients on ambulance calls. DFR members for the last several years now have to sign on as a paramedic for life prior to rookie school. Since DFR has so many paramedics I think they should follow Irving's lead and make every engine a paramedic engine....DFR has the paramedics they just need to add the ALS equipment to the engine. A paramedic engine can identify a dead person for a ME response and not a transport, handle historically high no transport calls (see criteria in item 2 below) AND GIVE ALS TREATMENT FASTER THAN A BOX COMING FROM A FURTHER DISTANCE WHICH IS WORTH MORE THAN THE GOLDEN HOUR, YOU GET THE PLATINUM 10 MINUTES.

Here are some things DFR is doing.

1. The ALS engine procedure is up and running as of April 7th. An EMS call in the first alarm area of Eng 5, 9, 12, 16, & 40 will have only the ALS engine respond. This adds 3 paramedic engines to DFR to the existing PE 9 & PE 12.

2. The Rapid Response Unit test started April 10th to serve on Fridays from noon to 0300 and Saturdays from noon to 0300. Any aggravated assault call, injured person call, animal bite, back pain, 3rd party call of a MVC, or a 3rd party call of a man down that is within 8 minutes response time of station 37 or 6 will have Medic 1 or Medic 2 respond instead of a box.

3. Most active EMS run report for DFR:

January of 2009 ☐ top six runners are 33, 06, 37, 25, 38, and 53.

February of 2009 ☐ top seven runners are 33, 06, 23, 25, 38, 46, and 26.

All local fire departments deliver quality service and we are blessed to have them. These are the real heroes and not the millionaire professional athletes and movie stars that are deified.

Wally Banks

p.s. Ben if you want to use this information on your web site it will save me an e-mail to you, just edit out my personal opinions. wb

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Terry" <terry@...> wrote:
>
> There are some differences. One huge one is why send a $500K fire engine
> with 3 or 4 firefighters when $120K ambulance with two guys would work. Not
> only can they handle the emergency but transport if needed as well. Also
> some of those mundane calls like victim is sweaty and says he doesn't fell
> well could very likely turn into a heart attack call. Not a big deal unless
> it's you or someone you care about.
>
> Also in Dallas firefighters I know have let their medical certifications

> lapse as soon as they can. It's to only way to ensure they don't get stuck
> on the ambulance so there are not as many trained (certified) paramedics as
> you might think.
>
> Hopefully that will help you see the problem.
>
> Terry
>
>

30003. Another New Wise County Frequency (for Me)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-21 00:10:33 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30003 Message: 30003

I was just tracking down the user of 154.310/DSC 245 on the FCC database site and RR.com when the confirmation came through in the radio traffic. This is Bridgeport FD/Medic's dispatch channel. The FCC database shows Bridgeport VFD listed but RR.com doesn't have it there.

Anyone know if this is "new" for Bridgeport to be using this frequency? The license was filed in 2004 I believe but this one is a "first time heard" catch for me.

I'm wondering if Wise County is doing some updating of frequencies in the city/county agencies. I recently heard another frequency (154.3775/DCS 654) that was a new one for Wise County Fire channel it appears. Even though Cottondale VFD is listed with this frequency and they're in Wise County, this frequency is a simulcast of the well known Wise County Fire channel 154.445.

Bridgeport just toned out another call (residential structure fire) a few seconds ago. And Engine 1's siren is ear piercing over the air. Even the dispatch is having problems hearing. It sounds like Bridgeport's having a few problems (maybe still being set up???) them selves on the frequency.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30004. Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-21 00:11:59 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 29996 Message: 30004

For what it is worth, I reprogrammed my PRO-95 with the new Richardson frequencies. It seems to work OK, but I am not close to the transmitter for a good test. I am near Mockingbird and Abrams, using the antenna on the handheld.

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

From: Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>
To: csna172plt <csna172plt@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2009 12:01:19 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Rebanding

Although the Pro 95 and Pro 97 may not be upgradeable, they both should still work on Richardson's EDACS system, which has already been rebanded. Just reprogram the frequencies, 15Mhz lower. I believe you're out of luck for any Motorola trunked systems.

Ben

>Ok guys, I have read the articles, checked out RR, and did my research, but I still want to hear it from the experts here on the list. Due to the rebanding, it appears that my pro-95 and pro-97 are pretty much obsolete? My primary listening areas are Richardson, Plano, and southeast Colin county. Next question. What do you guys recommended I pick up to continue my scanning?

Thanks for the help.

Tom

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30005. Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-21 02:31:29 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 29974 Message: 30005

Just heard the first radio goof related to this new policy.

Engine 16 on scene of diabetic emergency, requests a rescue.

660: Received Enging 16, heavy rescue assignment will be sent.

They caught it pretty quick, but it made me laugh.

30006. New Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-21 03:05:26 UTC
From: mdbrown7321
Topic: 30006 Message: 30006

Anyone close enough to the new stadium to hear any operations other than construction companys.

Thanks,

Mike B

30007. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-21 03:14:07 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29974 Message: 30007

ROFL! Maybe it wasn't a goof. I've carried a lot of really large diabetics in my day, and sometimes you need all the help you can get!

Rob

On Monday, April 20, 2009 21:31, "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333" <dfw333@...> said:

> Just heard the first radio goof related to this new policy.
>
> Engine 16 on scene of diabetic emergency, requests a rescue.
>
> 660: Received Enging 16, heavy rescue assignment will be sent.
>
> They caught it pretty quick, but it made me laugh.

30008. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Fire No Rescues

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-21 06:29:43 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29974 Message: 30008

On Thursday, April 16, 2009 12:57, "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...> said:

> I don't want to get into a pissing match with anyone on the list
> except to say that I am comforted that the city that protects me
> (Lewisville), the firefighters know how to give me CPR and treat
> a serious laceration, etc., as well as pour water on my house or
> apartment that's burning down.

Might you not actually be even more comforted if those responding to your potentially life-and-death medical emergencies were people who chose medicine as their profession because the healing arts were just the most intellectually stimulating and emotionally fulfilling commitment they could make of their life, instead of just someone who saw it as nothing more than a temporary hoop to jump through in order to get hired as a fireman?

I sure would.

Rob

30009. Control channel??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-21 12:27:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30009 Message: 30009

Anybody else getting a strong control channel signal on
the DPS CLE channel 155.505 this morning? Blasting me
away up here in Lewisville...

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090420-0, 04/20/2009
Tested on: 4/21/2009 7:27:38 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30010. Interesting tidbit

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-21 21:09:27 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 25830 Message: 30010

If you□re looking for something different to listen to on your scanner!

Terry

http://www.wired.com/politics/security/news/2009/04/fleetcom

CAMPINAS, Brazil □ On the night of March 8, cruising 22,000 miles above the Earth, U.S. Navy communications satellite FLTSAT-8 suddenly erupted with illicit activity. Jubilant voices and anthems crowded the channel on a junkyard's worth of homemade gear from across vast and silent stretches of the Amazon: Ronaldo, a Brazilian soccer idol, had just scored his first goal with the Corinthians.

It was a party that won't soon be forgotten. Ten days later, Brazilian Federal Police swooped in on 39 suspects in six states in the largest crackdown to date on a growing problem here: illegal hijacking of U.S. military satellite transponders.

"This had been happening for more than five years," says Celso Campos, of the Brazilian Federal Police. "Since the communication channel was open, not encrypted, lots of people used it to talk to each other."

The practice is so entrenched, and the knowledge and tools so widely available, few believe the campaign to stamp it out will be quick or easy.

Much of this country's geography is remote, and beyond the reach of cellphone coverage, making American satellites an ideal, if illegal, communications option. The problem goes back more than a decade, to the mid-1990s, when Brazilian radio technicians discovered they could jump on the UHF frequencies dedicated to satellites in the Navy's Fleet Satellite Communication system, or FLTSATCOM. They've been at it ever since.

Truck drivers love the birds because they provide better range and sound than ham radios. Rogue loggers in the Amazon use the satellites to transmit coded warnings when authorities threaten to close in. Drug dealers and organized criminal factions use them to coordinate operations.

Today, the satellites, which pirates called "Bolinha" or "little ball," are a national phenomenon.

"It's impossible not to find equipment like this when we catch an organized crime gang," says a police officer involved in last month's action.

The crackdown, called "Operation Satellite," was Brazil's first large-scale enforcement against the problem. Police followed coordinates provided by the U.S. Department of Defense and confirmed by Anatel, Brazil's FCC. Among those charged were university professors, electricians, truckers and

farmers, the police say. The suspects face up to four years and jail, but are more likely to be fined if convicted.

First lofted into orbit in the 1970s, the FLTSATCOM bird was at the time a major advance in military communications. Their 23 channels were used by every branch of the U.S. armed forces and the White House for encrypted data and voice, typically from portable ground units that could be quickly unpacked and put to use on the battlefield.

As the original FLTSAT constellation of four satellites fell out of service, the Navy launched a more advanced UFO satellite (for Ultra High Frequency Follow-On) to replace them. Today, there are two FLTSAT and eight UFO birds in geosynchronous orbit. Navy contractors are working on a next-generation system called Mobile User Objective System beginning in September 2009.

Until then, the military is still using aging FLTSAT and UFO satellites ☐ and so are a lot of Brazilians. While the technology on the transponders still dates from the 1970s, radio sets back on Earth have only improved and plummeted in cost ☐ opening a cheap, efficient and illegal backdoor.

To use the satellite, pirates typically take an ordinary ham radio transmitter, which operates in the 144- to 148-MHZ range, and add a frequency doubler cobbled from coils and a varactor diode. That lets the radio stretch into the lower end of FLTSATCOM's 292- to 317-MHz uplink range. All the gear can be bought near any truck stop for less than $500. Ads on specialized websites offer to perform the conversion for less than $100. Taught the ropes, even rough electricians can make Bolinha-ware.

"I saw it more than once in truck repair shops," says amateur radio operator Adinei Brochi (PY2ADN) "Nearly illiterate men rigged a radio in less than one minute, rolling wire on a coil."

Brochi, who assembled his first radio set from spare parts at 12, has been tracking the <http://www.py2adn.com/artigos/Satelite-Bolinha.pdf> Brazilian satellite hacking problem (.pdf) for years.

Brochi says the Pentagon's concerns are obvious.

"If a soldier is shot in an ambush, the first thing he will think of doing will be to send a help request over the radio," observes Brochi. "What if he's trying to call for help and two truckers are discussing soccer? In an emergency, that soldier won't be able to remember quickly how to change the radio programming to look for a frequency that's not saturated."

When real criminals use these frequencies, it's easy to tell they're hiding something, but it's nearly impossible to know what it is. In one intercepted conversation <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TUrYwMK2V5s> posted to YouTube, a man alerts a friend that he should watch out, because things are getting "crispy" and "strong winds" are on their way.

Sometimes loggers refer to the approach of authorities by saying, "Santa Claus is coming," says Brochi.

When the user's location is stable, the signal can be triangulated. That's how the Defense Department got the coordinates to feed Brazilian authorities in March's raids.

While Brazil may be the world capital of FLTSATCOM hijacking, there have been cases in other countries ☐ even in the United States. In February of last year, FCC investigators used a mobile direction-finding vehicle to trace rogue transmissions to a Brazilian immigrant in New Jersey. When the investigators inspected his radio gear, they found a transceiver programmed to a FLTSAT frequency, connected to an antenna in the back of his house. Joaquim Barbosa was hit with a $20,000 fine.

A technician with Anatel, speaking on condition of anonymity, says the chief problem with ending the satellite abuse in this country is that U.S. and Brazilian authorities simply waited too long to start. Thousands of users are believed to have the know-how to use the system. After a bust, the airwaves always go quiet for a while, but the hijackers always return.

One week after the "Operation Satellite," Brochi met with Wired.com at a gathering of amateur radio enthusiasts in a bucolic square in Campinas, about 60 miles north of Sao Paulo. Brochi switches on his UHF receiver and scans through the satellite frequencies.

It's relatively quiet now on the satellite underground, except for the static-like sound of encrypted military traffic. But eventually, a lone creaky voice cuts through. It's a man in Porto Velho, the capital of Rondônia, a day's drive north into the upper Amazon basin. He's making small talk with a friend in Portuguese. The satellite pirates are creeping back on the air.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30011. CareFlite working in Arlington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-22 18:32:47 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30011 Message: 30011

Anyone know the scoop on CareFlite going to MCA? Sounds like a rescue of some sort, probably from a roof or other high angle. I think it went out as an industrial accident.

Ben

30012. RE: [dfwscan] CareFlite working in Arlington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-22 21:53:15 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30011 Message: 30012

On Wednesday, April 22, 2009 13:32, groups@... said:

> Anyone know the scoop on CareFlite going to MCA? Sounds like a rescue of
> some sort, probably from a roof or other high angle. I think it went out as
> an industrial accident.

Hmmm... must be just a transfer of a rescued patient from the ER to a trauma centre. As far as I know, CareFlite is prohibited by policy from participating in any aerial rescue activities.

Rob

30013. Venus FD/E

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 02:21:07 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30013 Message: 30013

I think I typed my whole message in the subject line and probably need to say nothing else :-)

I am receiving Venus FD/EMS tonight on the same frequency (155.100) and PL tone (156.7) that White Settlement FD uses. I am 100% sure this is accurate. There was even a Morse code callsign WNQM851 (Venus, City of) that was broadcast after an EMS call too.

I know Venus ISD has used this frequency with a 103.5 PL for years. It's a low power/watt site (as is this current one from the transmission it appears), but they are using it now with their FD/EMS and the 156.7 PL.

I realize Johnson County has been revamping a few frequencies and making some changes countywide since they elected to drop the Passport LTR system. I'm wondering three things. (1) Is this one of those new changes in JC, (2) is this a change that Venus made without realizing WSFD uses this same frequency and PL tone, and/or (3) has White Settlement gone to the new TRS Tom posted the link to the 800 frequencies on a not long ago.

Anyway you look at it, Venus FD/EMS is using 155.100 with a PL of 156.7 now and this is exactly what White Settlement FD has been using for eons?

Anyone have any ideas on this???

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30014. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 03:28:46 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29996 Message: 30014

Hello Group,

IMHO, you are 100% right Chance! It's great to have the Product Manager of the best scanner (again, IMHO) made so active in the groups and forums seeing what people say and want. I know he must put up with a lot of insults from the less tactful people I've seen posting on RR.com's forum, but he keeps on answering questions and keeping us updated regardless.

Paul, does Uniden know they have such a great employee? You don't need to answer that one :)

Secondary to Paul being a part of the hobby/communities we're all in, the Uniden scanners, especially since the Dynamic Memory models, blow away the GRE and Radio Shack competition I feel. Uniden doesn't just have bells and whistles you never use (maybe a few...they all do). They have very useful bells and whistles that make their scanners superior to the other products I named. And the way the Uniden scanners are set up make it so easy to organize your data in the scanner that it is the much better choice I believe.

I'm not trying to criticize GRE or even RS products, so I'm definitely not trying to start any arguments hear. This is strictly my opinion (and the opinions of many other scanner listeners I personally know).

And don't forget...Paul was also the reason the first digital scanner, the Radios Shack/GRE Pro-96, was made. He was the Pro-96 Product Manager back then. And the Pro-96 can be flashed for rebanding too. So you first generation, digital GRE/RS fans can still be thankful for Mr. Opitz commitment even if you don't use or own a Uniden scanner :)

I'd really love to try out a GRE PSR-500. I know it's a great scanner in many ways. But until it becomes a little more (organized in structure) than a huge 20 bank scanner, I'm not even considering it. Again, this is a personal preference/observation and in no way an insult to the GRE PSR-500 or similar RS/GRE models.

And there's one last thing before I shut up I'd like to say. I work for neither RS, GRE, nor Uniden; and this isn't a butt kissing reply message either. I've bragged on Bearcat/Uniden products since my first programmable Bearcat 100XLT 100 channel handheld scanner I bought in the 1980's. I'd used Regency and Radio Shack products prior to the Uniden scanner. So when I say, "Thanks Paul", it's a message from years of use and experience with Bearcat/Uniden scanners and the incredible evolution I've seen in Uniden products since Paul joined them...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Chance Lindsey
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2009 3:11 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

Hey Tom and the group,

The reason Paul is so biased, in case you could not tell, is because he works for Uniden. In fact he is the product manager of the Uniden scanner product line. Since Paul is local, you might expect to find him on this list. But the truth is Paul is on many different lists, as well as RadioReference.com, constantly listening and interacting with members of the scanning community. To me, that is what makes Uniden great and sets them apart. Many of the features (bells and whistles) that are in their latest product offerings are because of the fact that Uniden is listening to the people who actualy use their products. Can't say that about most companies.

-Chance

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:
>
> Those are still great scanners...just not for Motorola trunktracking post-rebanding.
>
> I'd have to recommend either the BC346XT (analog) or BCD396XT (digital). Because I'd be fired if I didn't. :)
>
> Seriously, the BC346XT is the only dynamic-memory TT3 out there, so I really can't compare it to anything else. The competition (RS and GRE) still offer only banked scanners for analog trunking...and that is now becoming quite old tech. If you opt for digital, both GRE and RS offer alternatives...and they work great...but even without my inherent bias I think I'd still find the operation and use of the BCD396XT superior.
>
> Paul
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: csna172plt <csna172plt@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, April 20, 2009 10:59:59 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Rebanding
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Ok guys, I have read the articles, checked out RR, and did my research,

but I still want to hear it from the experts here on the list. Due to the rebanding, it appears that my pro-95 and pro-97 are pretty much obsolete? My primary listening areas are Richardson, Plano, and southeast Colin county. Next question. What do you guys recommended I pick up to continue my scanning?
>
> Thanks for the help.
>
> Tom
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30015. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 03:38:03 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29996 Message: 30015

On Wednesday, April 22, 2009 22:28, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> said:

> Uniden scanners, especially since the Dynamic Memory models, blow away the
> GRE and Radio Shack competition I feel. Uniden doesn't just have bells and
> whistles you never use (maybe a few...they all do).

I have the opposite complaint with Uniden. They don't make a simple, non-dynamic memory scanner without all those bells and whistles anymore. They don't make a single, simple, direct-entry mobile scanner that I can use in my vehicle. It's either one of these expensive, complicated, inconvenient, dynamic-memory monstrosities at one end, or the no-keyboard BC350c at the other end, with nothing in-between. WTF? To get anything from Uniden that I can even use for direct entry, I have to buy a handheld, which is completely impractical for mobile use.

I love Uniden, and they are putting out the best product out there. But their product line has zero diversity and needs a serious overhaul.

Rob

30016. Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 03:56:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 29996 Message: 30016

commscan wrote:

> I'd really love to try out a GRE PSR-500. I know it's a great scanner in
> many ways.

It is. But a software program such as Win500
or PSRedit make it SOOOO much easier to program.
Love the NAC decode too.

> I say, "Thanks Paul", it's a message from years of use and experience with
> Bearcat/Uniden scanners and the incredible evolution I've seen in Uniden
> products since Paul joined them...

Here, Here....I have used Uniden, Bearcat, and Radio Shack
over many years in this hobby, and have been pleased with
all.

The fact that "U Man" shows up at our get togethers shows his
dedication to the hobbyist. He's "one of us", and that's what the
communications industry needs.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090422-0, 04/22/2009
Tested on: 4/22/2009 10:56:28 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30017. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 05:23:00 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29996 Message: 30017

Hi Rob,

I can understand the frustration associated with learning the new Uniden Dynamic Memory scanner methods of programming (and especially the other brand methods), but I really think that once people learn the great resources and ease of use in programming the scanner, they will soon change their minds. And if anyone thinks the Uniden scanners are difficult to learn, Lord have mercy if you are playing with the RS/GRE scanners made in the last few years. I haven't owned one of the PSR-500/Pro-106 scanners but I've got friends with a few and I even have a few newer (last 2-3 years) RS/GRE scanners. Those are much more difficult to program in the field in my opinion.

Rob, if you have the frequencies (conventional) handy and you want to program them into a current or new Uniden scanner System, try this. It is very easy also once you do it a few times.

Let's say you want to create a System for area fire departments using conventional frequencies and be able to enter the frequencies (especially new ones found) quickly (no alpha tags, just the frequencies like the older scanners allowed). We'll say you want to enter 154.1. 154.37, 154.205, and 460.525 (just some random frequencies in this example). All you need to do is press the "Menu" button on the side of the radio, choose "Program System", and use the rotator knob on top or keypad and go back one turn to "New System". Press "E" (or Enter) and choose to make it a "Conventional" system. There are a lot of other options you have at this point such as naming the System, etc; but we won't get distracted by those options in this example. So, for the sake of making it quick and easy to create a System for putting in a conventional channel/frequency, just hit the "Hold" button after creating the "Conventional" System (even though you could enter each frequency from where you're at if you wanted).

What you just did was create a conventional system with no frequency information entered yet. The default name given to this System will be "System ##" (depending on how many other trunk and/or conventional systems you already have entered). You can change the name if you want to. BTW, in case you don't already know this, what we call a "System" nowadays would for most practical purposes have been called a "Bank" in the older scanner models.

What I've described thus far may sound difficult as a person is learning it, but it really isn't. And once you've done this, the "hard part" is over.

Next, (and keeping in mind you've just created a new, empty System to store your frequencies) you'd take your first frequency you want to enter (154.1 in this case) and type in 154.1, press the "E" key, and you're asked if you

want to save this in the "Quick Save" System. Press the decimal (".") to answer "No" and then use the scrolling knob or keypad to choose the System you want to save the entry into (i.e. the new System you created) and then press "E". After this, decide if you want to choose any other options on the screen (i.e. PL tones, Delay, Hold time, etc, etc), and if not, just press the "Hold" or key again and it's in there and you are parked on 154.1 listening to it. Just repeat this for any conventional frequency you want to enter. When you're done, you'll have all of your entries in the System you created.

The method I just described is not the only way or even the easiest way to enter the frequencies to your scanner while mobile/in the field. But once you see how it is done this way, you can enter any new frequency you want very quickly and without going through the menu steps in the manual that seem to take longer. Everyone has their own methods I'm sure, but I think this one sounds like it describes what you may be wanting which is an easy, fast way to enter a conventional frequency and nothing else into a System while driving or sitting around manually programming your scanner.

Sure, it's not as simple as hitting "Manual", "5" (a random channel I'm picking to enter a frequency into on an older scanner), "Manual", "154.1", and then "E" to enter a frequency, but it really isn't much harder. And it becomes almost just as quick once you get use to it. I can promise you that once a person gets use to using the new Dynamic Memory scanners they will never look back. It is that much greater.

But I get your point too on having an easy, fast, older scanner handy for mobile use. There's nothing today that makes programming as easy as it use to be, but again, I really feel like the extra few seconds (after getting the process down) makes it where people never look back at the way it was before.

I've always wanted to be able to program my scanner in the field and not have to rely on software to do it. I definitely use the software a lot, but I have to be able to make entries and changes when I'm not hooked up to a PC too. It sort of becomes useless in many ways if you cannot do this. And with all new scanners I know of today (with the exception of the PC implanted scanners), you can program them in the field. It's just takes a little getting use to and it really comes along quick once you do it a few times. And this is why I'm a Uniden fan myself. They've incorporated the most useful features into a scanner that is the easiest (in new radios) to be able to program and keep organized while on the go or at home with time to spare.

With Uniden's scanners, if you can program it with their software, you can program it in the field while on the go.

And one last thing that you might find helpful if you do not already know it is this. It doesn't matter if you're on a trunk system or a conventional system with the Dynamic Memory scanners. If you press "Hold", enter a frequency, and "Hold" again, you are monitoring that frequency. You haven't entered it into the scanners memory but you are able to instantly monitor

it. And if you want to enter it into a conventional System in the scanner, just follow the steps mentioned above.

It can be intimidating as you learn it at first, but man, what an eye opening pleasure it is once you really learn how to use it the way you want too. Like I said, I don't think there is any turning back once a person sees and understands how it works (and to their benefit).

I hope this helps some and doesn't confuse you more :)...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Wednesday, April 22, 2009 10:38 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

On Wednesday, April 22, 2009 22:28, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> said:

> Uniden scanners, especially since the Dynamic Memory models, blow away the
> GRE and Radio Shack competition I feel. Uniden doesn't just have bells and
> whistles you never use (maybe a few...they all do).

I have the opposite complaint with Uniden. They don't make a simple, non-dynamic memory scanner without all those bells and whistles anymore. They don't make a single, simple, direct-entry mobile scanner that I can use in my vehicle. It's either one of these expensive, complicated, inconvenient, dynamic-memory monstrosities at one end, or the no-keyboard BC350c at the other end, with nothing in-between. WTF? To get anything from Uniden that I can even use for direct entry, I have to buy a handheld, which is completely impractical for mobile use.

I love Uniden, and they are putting out the best product out there. But their product line has zero diversity and needs a serious overhaul.

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30018. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 05:38:16 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 29996 Message: 30018

Ooops...that dang ole "Send All" button! I need some new glasses.

Sorry, I didn't mean to publish my own "how to program a frequency while in the field" manual to the list :). I was just trying to reply to Rob.

It's a good thing I didn't say something I'd be sorry for later (just kidding)...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2009 12:23 AM
To: rob.davis@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

Hi Rob,

I can understand the frustration associated with learning the new Uniden Dynamic Memory scanner methods of programming (and especially the other brand methods), but ....., blah, blah, bah, ...

30019. FS: Scanners and Ham Radios

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 09:34:41 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 30019 Message: 30019

Just wanted to pass this along in case anyone was interested.

http://dallas.craigslist.org/ftw/ele/1135928537.html

Thanks,

David, KC5RHB

30020. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 12:44:11 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29996 Message: 30020

I think the BCT8 fits the definition (it is a banked, triple-trunker using "old school" memory).

From: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 22, 2009 10:38:03 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

On Wednesday, April 22, 2009 22:28, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@ sbcglobal. net> said:

> Uniden scanners, especially since the Dynamic Memory models, blow away the
> GRE and Radio Shack competition I feel. Uniden doesn't just have bells and
> whistles you never use (maybe a few...they all do).

I have the opposite complaint with Uniden. They don't make a simple, non-dynamic memory scanner without all those bells and whistles anymore. They don't make a single, simple, direct-entry mobile scanner that I can use in my vehicle. It's either one of these expensive, complicated, inconvenient, dynamic-memory monstrosities at one end, or the no-keyboard BC350c at the other end, with nothing in-between. WTF? To get anything from Uniden that I can even use for direct entry, I have to buy a handheld, which is completely impractical for mobile use.

I love Uniden, and they are putting out the best product out there. But their product line has zero diversity and needs a serious overhaul.

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30021. Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 12:25:28 UTC
From: Ken Kirkpatrick
Topic: 29996 Message: 30021

Brian says: "Ooops...that dang ole "Send All" button! I need some new glasses.

Sorry, I didn't mean to publish my own "how to program a frequency while in the field" manual to the list :). I was just trying to reply to Rob.

It's a good thing I didn't say something I'd be sorry for later (just kidding)..."

Ken says:

_Actually we need more posts like this!!! Thanks!!!
_

30022. not even on the air yet--DPD Irving PD..Robbery suspects..

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 16:01:32 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30022 Message: 30022

car chase southbound 35e at empire central.. Irving PD is involved.

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
N5ERS VP/Trustee
Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

30023. Re: [dfwscan] not even on the air yet--DPD Irving PD..Robbery suspects..

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 16:10:23 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 30022 Message: 30023

Thanks for emailing the list Jay. I didn't wana do it while driving.

When the caddy passed me I held my breath, he got to close for my comfort while he was weaving thru traffic.

Dpd superevisors are adamit that no units get involved unless they bail out.

Sprint Treo 800w
Blackberry 8320 PIN 247F21F9
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm
http://www.twowayshopper.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Jay Urish <jay@...>

Date: Thu, 23 Apr 2009 11:01:32
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] not even on the air yet--DPD Irving PD..Robbery suspects..

car chase southbound 35e at empire central.. Irving PD is involved.

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
N5ERS VP/Trustee
Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30024. Re: [dfwscan] not even on the air yet--DPD Irving PD..Robbery suspects..

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 16:14:37 UTC
From: Patrick - yahoo
Topic: 30022 Message: 30024

Update. DPD ch 3. 460.5 has air 1 updating DPD and irving.

Jim miller and lake june now.

_____
Sprint Treo 800w
Blackberry 8320 PIN 247F21F9
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm
http://www.twowayshopper.com

-----Original Message-----
From: "Patrick - yahoo" <pwrigg@...>

Date: Thu, 23 Apr 2009 16:10:23
To: Jay Urish<jay@...>; <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] not even on the air yet--DPD Irving PD..Robbery suspects..

Thanks for emailing the list Jay. I didn't wana do it while driving.

When the caddy passed me I held my breath, he got to close for my comfort while he was weaving thru traffic.

Dpd superevisors are adamit that no units get involved unless they bail out.

_____
Sprint Treo 800w
Blackberry 8320 PIN 247F21F9
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.
http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm
http://www.twowayshopper.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Jay Urish <jay@...>

Date: Thu, 23 Apr 2009 11:01:32
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] not even on the air yet--DPD Irving PD..Robbery suspects..

car chase southbound 35e at empire central.. Irving PD is involved.

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
N5ERS VP/Trustee

Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30025. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-23 18:29:02 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 29996 Message: 30025

On Thursday, April 23, 2009 07:44, "Paul Opitz" <pmanu44@...> said:

> I think the BCT8 fits the definition (it is a banked, triple-trunker using "old
> school" memory).

DOH! Thanks! I didn't even realise that the BCT-8 was available anymore. The Uniden online catalogue is so disjointed and difficult to navigate that it's escaped me up to now. The BCT-8 is listed as a "base" scanner, but not as a mobile scanner. Since I'm not in the market for a base scanner, I never clicked there. It is listed under "public safety" scanners too, but only if you are lucky enough to figure out that there is a second page of scanners there, because it's not listed on the first page. Why Uniden couldn't fit more than ten, one-inch product thumbnails on a full page is beyond me.

Go to the online website. Find the scanners page, and click on the "base" link. You get such "base scanners" as the BC346XT. Go figure. Yet, if you click on the "mobile" link (which is a thumbnail of the BC898T BASE scanner), you get, wait for it... "Base Scanners" again. Someone screwed up big time. It's a wonder anyone can ever find anything there.

And while I'm complaining about the website, that race car sound effect has got to go!

The BCT-8 does indeed fit the general requirement. It has one of the best feature layouts of any scanner ever. Amazing that it doesn't have PL though, considering how much earlier mobile Uniden scanners already had it, at least as a plug-in option.

Does anyone know the differences between the BC92 and BC95 handhelds? Only difference I can tell is PC programmability and DND Close Call. Is there anything else?

Rob

30026. Grand Prairie Exercise

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-24 02:28:08 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 30026 Message: 30026

School takeover exercise on TAC2.

30027. Another Disaster Drill - Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-24 11:43:28 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30027 Message: 30027

Seems like it must be disaster training season lately. This sounds like a pretty big one, and I bet offers a good chance of finding some new channels.

http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1336909.html

Ben

30028. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-24 13:02:37 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 29996 Message: 30028

The BC95XLT is also dark blue.

Thanks for the notes on the web site. I have a (very) little ability to affect it and will do what I can to get things to categorize correctly. I also hate the sound effects and have complained about it from the first day. We are discussing now some needed improvements and upgrades to the site...but it will be quite a while before anything major can be done to it.

From: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2009 1:29:02 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding

On Thursday, April 23, 2009 07:44, "Paul Opitz" <pmanu44@yahoo. com> said:

> I think the BCT8 fits the definition (it is a banked, triple-trunker using "old
> school" memory).

DOH! Thanks! I didn't even realise that the BCT-8 was available anymore. The Uniden online catalogue is so disjointed and difficult to navigate that it's escaped me up to now. The BCT-8 is listed as a "base" scanner, but not as a mobile scanner. Since I'm not in the market for a base scanner, I never clicked there. It is listed under "public safety" scanners too, but only if you are lucky enough to figure out that there is a second page of scanners there, because it's not listed on the first page. Why Uniden couldn't fit more than ten, one-inch product thumbnails on a full page is beyond me.

Go to the online website. Find the scanners page, and click on the "base" link. You get such "base scanners" as the BC346XT. Go figure. Yet, if you click on the "mobile" link (which is a thumbnail of the BC898T BASE scanner), you get, wait for it... "Base Scanners" again. Someone screwed up big time. It's a wonder anyone can ever find anything there.

And while I'm complaining about the website, that race car sound effect has got to go!

The BCT-8 does indeed fit the general requirement. It has one of the best feature layouts of any scanner ever. Amazing that it doesn't have PL though, considering how much earlier mobile Uniden scanners already had it, at least as a plug-in option.

Does anyone know the differences between the BC92 and BC95 handhelds? Only difference I can tell is PC programmability and DND Close Call. Is there anything else?

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30029. Richardson Fire station 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-24 21:37:11 UTC
From: steve@liese.us
Topic: 30029 Message: 30029

For those of you interested.

Open house for the newly rebuilt Fire Station #2, located at Waterview and Arapaho, will be April 28 at 5:30 p.m. The new station includes many upgrades, including male and female sleeping quarters. A slide has replaced the firemen's pole to provide rapid egress from the second floor.

Best Regards,
Steve

30030. Re: Control channel??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-25 14:31:10 UTC
From: Eric Ritzie
Topic: 30009 Message: 30030

** Thought I would re-post this here in case anyone else has something to add **

Eric Ritzie wrote:

>> Yeah, almost a year ago. See the thread that starts with msg #28231

>Thanks Eric. I just noticed that. Totally forgot about it.
>Sorry. Definitely a control channel though. Not P25
>transmission.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Anybody else getting a strong control channel signal on
> the DPS CLE channel 155.505 this morning? Blasting me
> away up here in Lewisville...
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090420-0, 04/20/2009
> Tested on: 4/21/2009 7:27:38 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

30031. Re: Control channel??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-25 16:41:32 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30009 Message: 30031

I don't currently have it loaded, but I have been able to receive 155.505, fairly consistently, as a control channel as well during my commute and at work (Coppell area). Usually start hearing it on 114 while driving west towards Belt Line. It got stronger as you go farther towards the airport (that's more or less up hill). Seemed to get the strongest signal around Freeport & 114. It gets a little weaker as I go back to the north to my office around Freeport & Bethel Rd in Coppell, but still a good signal there. I loaded it as a P25 system for about a week, listened for any activity while at work, never got any voice, at least not yet.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Eric Ritzie" <w5esr@...> wrote:
>
> ** Thought I would re-post this here in case anyone else has something to add **
>
> Eric Ritzie wrote:
>
> >> Yeah, almost a year ago. See the thread that starts with msg #28231
> >
> >
> > >Thanks Eric. I just noticed that. Totally forgot about it.
> > >Sorry. Definitely a control channel though. Not P25
> > >transmission.
> >
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Anybody else getting a strong control channel signal on
> > > the DPS CLE channel 155.505 this morning? Blasting me
> > > away up here in Lewisville...
> > >
> > >
> > > Blaine
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> > > Virus Database (VPS): 090420-0, 04/20/2009
> > > Tested on: 4/21/2009 7:27:38 AM
> > > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> > > http://www.avast.com
> > >
>

30032. Re: Control channel??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-25 18:00:20 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30009 Message: 30032

On 4/25/2009 11:41 AM, hiegtx wrote:

> I don't currently have it loaded, but I havebeen able to receive 155.505, fairly consistently, as a control channel
as well during my commute and at work (Coppell area). Usually start hearing it on 114 while driving west towards Belt Line. It got stronger as you go farther towards the airport (that's more or less up hill). Seemed to get the strongest signal around Freeport& 114. It gets a little weaker as I go back to the north to my office around Freeport& Bethel Rd in Coppell, but still a good signal there. I loaded it as a P25 system for about a week, listened for any activity while at work, never got any voice, at least not yet.

Ok, so we've tracked it down to the DFW airport area possibly. Melissa? Bizarre that if this IS a Moto system (as it sounds like) none of us can get a sys ID. And it is definitely NOT the buzzsaw typical of P25. Has anyone confirmed any recent activity from CLE on 155.505 or 159.090. Both frequencies have been completely dead for me over almost the last year. Both used to be extremely active, almost on a daily basis with ground and air surveillance. Has anyone confirmed CLE/Rangers/etc. here in DFW on any of the new SPLINTER frequencies??

Could it possibly be Moto Turbo? I have no idea what these systems sound like, but would DPS/CLE be testing this?

WAG?

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090425-0, 04/25/2009
Tested on: 4/25/2009 1:00:20 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30033. Re: Control channel??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-25 18:37:19 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30009 Message: 30033

Blaine,

If I've found the right scribbled notes, I was getting a sysid of 007. I had a 98% decode at my office, sitting in my truck, outside, using just a whip antenna (on a BCD396T). Office is across from the old Minyard's distribution center & Hard Eight BBQ, if that narrows it for you. Did not have a laptop to run any sort of trunking sys analyzer at that time, and no way I'd leave one, running, unobserved out in the truck now that I do have a laptop. It does read (on the 396) as P25.

I'll see what kind of readings I get on the PSR-500 this next week.

There have been some notes on 155.505, on Radio Reference, still being used as CLE, conventionally in other areas, towards Greenville and to our northeast (Paris, Bonham). I have all the listed state wide DPS/CLE/Rangers/etc frequencies that I've run across (old & new) programmed in both the 396 (now the XT version instead of the original) and PSR-500. Nothing on any of them except this control channel & occasional electronics interference on entries which I've set to tone/code or NAC search. No voice traffic at all. Either they are using Nextel, or on some new frequencies we've overlooked somehow.

Steve
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> On 4/25/2009 11:41 AM, hiegtx wrote:
>
> > I don't currently have it loaded, but I have been able to receive 155.505, fairly consistently, as a control channel
> as well during my commute and at work (Coppell area). Usually start
> hearing it on 114 while driving west towards Belt Line. It got stronger
> as you go farther towards the airport (that's more or less up hill).
> Seemed to get the strongest signal around Freeport& 114. It gets a
> little weaker as I go back to the north to my office around Freeport&
> Bethel Rd in Coppell, but still a good signal there. I loaded it as a
> P25 system for about a week, listened for any activity while at work,
> never got any voice, at least not yet.
>
> Ok, so we've tracked it down to the DFW airport area possibly. Melissa?
> Bizarre that if this IS a Moto system (as it sounds like) none of us can
> get a sys ID. And it is definitely NOT the buzzsaw typical of P25.
> Has anyone confirmed any recent activity from CLE on 155.505
> or 159.090. Both frequencies have been completely dead for me
> over almost the last year. Both used to be extremely active, almost
> on a daily basis with ground and air surveillance. Has anyone confirmed
> CLE/Rangers/etc. here in DFW on any of the new SPLINTER frequencies??
>
> Could it possibly be Moto Turbo? I have no idea what these systems
> sound like, but would DPS/CLE be testing this?

>
> WAG?
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090425-0, 04/25/2009
> Tested on: 4/25/2009 1:00:20 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

30034. Drowning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 04:00:33 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30034 Message: 30034

23:00

The FD cavalry is going to a possible drowning at Lewisville Lake in front of Sneaky Pete's. The drunkards and spring / summer drowning season has begun for 2009. Wonder how many we'll have this year? Tis' a shame....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090425-0, 04/25/2009
Tested on: 4/25/2009 11:00:34 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30035. RE: [dfwscan] Drowning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 05:24:01 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30034 Message: 30035

Hi Blaine & Group,

I just heard a Lake Granbury victim being brought into Harris or JPS around 11:30 PM or so. I imagine the hotter it starts getting the more we'll be hearing these types of calls. And we're probably all familiar with the July 4th, heat, alcohol, and whatever induced drownings. It never fails on the 4th and Memorial Day...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Saturday, April 25, 2009 11:01 PM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] Drowning

23:00

The FD cavalry is going to a possible drowning at Lewisville Lake in front of Sneaky Pete's. The drunkards and spring / summer drowning season has begun for 2009. Wonder how many we'll have this year? Tis' a shame....

Blaine

30036. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Control channel??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 05:30:18 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30009 Message: 30036

Hello All,

I posted something to this list years ago about hearing a control channel on 155.505 from the west Fort Worth area. I was hearing it for a while back then. I have to admit, I've sort of forgotten about it until I saw this posting. I haven't heard the control channel sounding data on this frequency for many years now.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of hiegtx
Sent: Saturday, April 25, 2009 11:42 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Control channel??

I don't currently have it loaded, but I have been able to receive 155.505, fairly consistently, as a control channel as well during my commute and at work (Coppell area). Usually start hearing it on 114 while driving west towards Belt Line. It got stronger as you go farther towards the airport (that's more or less up hill). Seemed to get the strongest signal around Freeport & 114. It gets a little weaker as I go back to the north to my office around Freeport & Bethel Rd in Coppell, but still a good signal there. I loaded it as a P25 system for about a week, listened for any activity while at work, never got any voice, at least not yet.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Eric Ritzie" <w5esr@...> wrote:
>
> ** Thought I would re-post this here in case anyone else has something to add **
>
> Eric Ritzie wrote:
>
> >> Yeah, almost a year ago. See the thread that starts with msg #28231
> >
> >
> > >Thanks Eric. I just noticed that. Totally forgot about it.
> > >Sorry. Definitely a control channel though. Not P25
> > >transmission.
> >
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Anybody else getting a strong control channel signal on

> > the DPS CLE channel 155.505 this morning? Blasting me
> > away up here in Lewisville...
> >
> >
> > Blaine
> >
> >
> > ---
> > avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> > Virus Database (VPS): 090420-0, 04/20/2009
> > Tested on: 4/21/2009 7:27:38 AM
> > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> > http://www.avast.com
> >
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30037. Re: Drowning

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 06:01:05 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30034 Message: 30037

On 4/26/2009 12:24 AM, commscan wrote:

> I imagine the hotter it starts getting the more we'll be
> hearing these types of calls.

Oh definitely. You can bank on at least a couple
each season on Lewisville Lake, either boating
accident, or drunk overboard. This lake has claimed
a sh**load of people. Luckily this one had
a good ending, well semi-good. At least the poor
sap didn't have to be drug up and carted off in a
body bag. They recovered him, had hypothermia,
looks like he fell off the party boat from Pier 121
(probably drunk). Right in front of Sneaky Petes.
So the party animals for a Saturday night had some
excitement. Oooooh flashing red lights, dude....LOL

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090425-0, 04/25/2009
Tested on: 4/26/2009 1:01:06 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30038. Re: Control channel??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 06:14:15 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30009 Message: 30038

On 4/25/2009 1:37 PM, hiegtx wrote:

> I was getting a sysid of 007. I had a 98% decode at my office. Office is across from the old Minyard's distribution center& Hard Eight BBQ, if that narrows it for you.

Anybody want to track this puppy down?. I don't have the equipment.
Interesting how it's sporadic though, and not constant.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090425-0, 04/25/2009
Tested on: 4/26/2009 1:14:15 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30039. Careflite

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 08:20:49 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 6353 Message: 30039

Anyone got the location on the MVA in Greenville? Single vehicle w/ extrication, one patient came in by Lifestar the other on Careflite 1. Lifestar's patient is critical.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30040. Weekly FCC grants April 19-25

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 10:46:16 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30040 Message: 30040

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30041. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Control channel??

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 12:49:02 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30009 Message: 30041

If it is intermittent is it possible that it is skip from someplace
else? I haven't heard it out here.

Tom ND5Y

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> On 4/25/2009 1:37 PM, hiegtx wrote:
>
>> I was getting a sysid of 007. I had a 98% decode at my office. Office is across from the old Minyard's distribution center& Hard Eight BBQ, if that narrows it for you.
>
> Anybody want to track this puppy down?. I don't have the equipment.
> Interesting how it's sporadic though, and not constant.
>
> Blaine
>

30042. RE: [dfwscan] Another Disaster Drill - Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 15:34:57 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30027 Message: 30042

The training drill is going on now, so far I've heard the following

AFD14/ decimal tg 53936 seems to be used by Arlington PD tac teams for training lately

F1/decimal tg 58448 "traffic"

F12/decimal tg 58800 not sure

F14/ decimal tg 58864 "echo 1", sounds like Hazmat group

F16/decimal tg 58928 "Fire/Rescue Ops"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30043. Terrell MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 20:14:25 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 30043 Message: 30043

Terrell curently working MVFA on SH 34 1 miles north of CR 322. I helo enroute, extriction in progress. 155.640, ETMC turnked M/A Able Springs.
If someone wants to page this on IPN

Bill Anderson

30044. Re: [dfwscan] Terrell MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 20:32:47 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30043 Message: 30044

That is 10 min from me.

Jason

From: Bill Anderson <billemtp@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, April 26, 2009 3:14:25 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Terrell MVA

Terrell curently working MVFA on SH 34 1 miles north of CR 322. I helo enroute, extriction in progress. 155.640, ETMC turnked M/A Able Springs.
If someone wants to page this on IPN

Bill Anderson

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30045. P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 21:02:00 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30045 Message: 30045

There's a P25 trunking control channel active on 155.3025. On my scanner it reads 155.3000, but I think its really 155.3025. I get this on two different brands of scanners, so it's not an image freq. It sounds like its coming from far West Dallas County. I'm on the other side of the County, in the NE corner. If anyone has a P25 scanner that can received it, give it a try. It sounds like it is active, and I've heard it most of the day so I think it is local and not skip from a different area.

WACN = 1
SYSID = 7

Now I thought in Texas it was required to use the FCC callsign to compute the WACN and SYSID. This worked fine for the VHF P25 system in Parker County, or the test Frisco system that is now inactive. I was going to use the RAD50 formula and take the WACN and SYSID and reverse the process to obtain the FCC callsign but the WACN is only 1. Maybe this is a new system and they don't have it setup yet. I currently get: space space space B V W, when converting back.

Perhaps it is related to the P25 control channel people were hearing on 155.505. Maybe it moved to a different freq.

30046. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 21:19:16 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30045 Message: 30046

That's the same WACN & SYSID I was getting on 155.505, near the Las Colinas/DFW Airport area. If it's western Dallas County, I may be able to hear it. I'm s/w of downtown. I'll throw it in a little later, both on a whip, & try one of the scanners on an external antenna.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "js_scan888" <js_scan888@...> wrote:
>
> There's a P25 trunking control channel active on 155.3025. On my scanner it reads 155.3000, but I think its really 155.3025. I get this on two different brands of scanners, so it's not an image freq. It sounds like its coming from far West Dallas County. I'm on the other side of the County, in the NE corner. If anyone has a P25 scanner that can received it, give it a try. It sounds like it is active, and I've heard it most of the day so I think it is local and not skip from a different area.
>
> WACN = 1
> SYSID = 7
>
> Now I thought in Texas it was required to use the FCC callsign to compute the WACN and SYSID. This worked fine for the VHF P25 system in Parker County, or the test Frisco system that is now inactive. I was going to use the RAD50 formula and take the WACN and SYSID and reverse the process to obtain the FCC callsign but the WACN is only 1. Maybe this is a new system and they don't have it setup yet. I currently get: space space space B V W, when converting back.
>
> Perhaps it is related to the P25 control channel people were hearing on 155.505. Maybe it moved to a different freq.
>

30047. Just shot video of the scene

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 21:43:29 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30047 Message: 30047

Bad wreck.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30048. This should say enough about the scene right now.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 22:12:10 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30048 Message: 30048

I will get video later.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30049. Accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 22:55:17 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30049 Message: 30049

Well here is 2 photos that I uploaded but since they are in flash they do not look very good. I will have to fix this. I will get the video up in the mean time.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30050. Here are the pics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-26 23:08:57 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30050 Message: 30050

http://www.outrunproductions.com/photos.html

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30051. Lockesley Dr, Flower Mound

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 00:59:37 UTC
From: bjones5682
Topic: 30051 Message: 30051

Anybdoy know whats going on in Flower Mound in the 2100 block of Lockesley Dr? Crime scene tape around the entire front yard of the house, and detectives walking in and out.

Robert

30052. Re: Lockesley Dr, Flower Mound

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 01:20:49 UTC
From: Doug
Topic: 30051 Message: 30052

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "bjones5682" <bjones5682@...> wrote:
>
> Anybdoy know whats going on in Flower Mound in the 2100 block of Lockesley Dr? Crime scene tape around the entire front yard of the house, and detectives walking in and out.
>
> Robert
>

Homeowner found dead this afternoon by girlfriend. Owner has had medical problems in the past. Cause of death must not be easy to determine as 3 detectives are on site.

30053. Video is up

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 02:38:11 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30053 Message: 30053

http://www.outrunproductions.com/video.html
Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30054. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 13:12:54 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30045 Message: 30054

By the way, this P25 system is running in Implicit Mode. There are no Identifier Update messages. The channel and frequency info is programmed into the service radios. A person would need to create a custom table for their P25 scanner in order to know which freq to switch to for the voice traffic. Good luck with that.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
> That's the same WACN & SYSID I was getting on 155.505, near the Las Colinas/DFW Airport area. If it's western Dallas County, I may be able to hear it. I'm s/w of downtown. I'll throw it in a little later, both on a whip, & try one of the scanners on an external antenna.
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "js_scan888" <js_scan888@> wrote:
> >
> > There's a P25 trunking control channel active on 155.3025. On my scanner it reads 155.3000, but I think its really 155.3025. I get this on two different brands of scanners, so it's not an image freq. It sounds like its coming from far West Dallas County. I'm on the other side of the County, in the NE corner. If anyone has a P25 scanner that can received it, give it a try. It sounds like it is active, and I've heard it most of the day so I think it is local and not skip from a different area.
> >
> > WACN = 1
> > SYSID = 7
> >
> > Now I thought in Texas it was required to use the FCC callsign to compute the WACN and SYSID. This worked fine for the VHF P25 system in Parker County, or the test Frisco system that is now inactive. I was going to use the RAD50 formula and take the WACN and SYSID and reverse the process to obtain the FCC callsign but the WACN is only 1. Maybe this is a new system and they don't have it setup yet. I currently get: space space space B V W, when converting back.
> >
> > Perhaps it is related to the P25 control channel people were hearing on 155.505. Maybe it moved to a different freq.
> >
>

30055. Frequency ranges for fast food mics & bluetooth headsets?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 15:02:47 UTC
From: sheepmaniac
Topic: 30055 Message: 30055

I've been playing with my scanner and was wondering what frequency range Bluetooth handsets use? Also what frequency range is for fast food drive through operators?

Any other good ranges besides ham, police, air, cb, weather, marine, gmrs/fms ?? I already have those programmed.

Thanks guys!

Adrian

30056. Re: Frequency ranges for fast food mics & bluetooth headsets?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 16:33:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30055 Message: 30056

On 4/27/2009 10:02 AM, sheepmaniac wrote:

> I've been playing with my scanner and was wondering what frequency range Bluetooth handsets use? Also what frequency range is for fast food drive through operators?

I'm pretty sure Bluetooth is encrypted, but I don't use
it on my phone so I don't know. I think it's sorta weird
looking even though it's the "in" thing...makes you look
like a Borg...:)

Fast food headsets various tremendously. Many are on
the itinerant frequencies, many in UHF band. For instance,
Taco Bell up on Main St close to me uses 457.5125. Obviously
range coverage is very low.

So I'd search between 150-160mhz, and 451-470mhz. Best bet
is using Close Call if you have it on your scanner.

> Any other good ranges besides ham, police, air, cb, weather, marine, gmrs/fms ??

Maybe milair if you're interested....225-400mhz am mode.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090426-0, 04/26/2009
Tested on: 4/27/2009 11:33:03 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30057. Re: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 16:36:57 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 30045 Message: 30057

Makes it start to sound like a military system (those are about the only ones I've seen that use Implicit...).

From: js_scan888 <js_scan888@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 8:12:54 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

By the way, this P25 system is running in Implicit Mode. There are no Identifier Update messages. The channel and frequency info is programmed into the service radios. A person would need to create a custom table for their P25 scanner in order to know which freq to switch to for the voice traffic. Good luck with that.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
> That's the same WACN & SYSID I was getting on 155.505, near the Las Colinas/DFW Airport area. If it's western Dallas County, I may be able to hear it. I'm s/w of downtown. I'll throw it in a little later, both on a whip, & try one of the scanners on an external antenna.
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "js_scan888" <js_scan888@ > wrote:
> >
> > There's a P25 trunking control channel active on 155.3025. On my scanner it reads 155.3000, but I think its really 155.3025. I get this on two different brands of scanners, so it's not an image freq. It sounds like its coming from far West Dallas County. I'm on the other side of the County, in the NE corner. If anyone has a P25 scanner that can received it, give it a try. It sounds like it is active, and I've heard it most of the day so I think it is local and not skip from a different area.
> >
> > WACN = 1
> > SYSID = 7
> >
> > Now I thought in Texas it was required to use the FCC callsign to compute the WACN and SYSID. This worked fine for the VHF P25 system in Parker County, or the test Frisco system that is now inactive. I was going to use the RAD50 formula and take the WACN and SYSID and reverse the process to obtain the FCC callsign but the WACN is only 1. Maybe this is a new system and they don't have it setup yet. I currently get: space space space B V W, when converting back.
> >
> > Perhaps it is related to the P25 control channel people were hearing on 155.505. Maybe it moved to a different freq.
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30058. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 16:50:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30045 Message: 30058

On 4/27/2009 11:36 AM, Paul Opitz wrote:

> Makes it start to sound like a military system (those are about the only ones I've seen that use Implicit...).

Hmmm...now I'm excited....the plot thickens....

and centered around KDFW (the airport, not the TV station)
....hmmmmmm....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090426-0, 04/26/2009
Tested on: 4/27/2009 11:50:57 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30059. Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 17:17:56 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 23839 Message: 30059

Blaine,

Since you mentioned the milair stuff. I know that this has probably been asked before, heck I know it has.
But I am going to ask anyway. What are some good freqs to start off with? I have scanned the milair band and found a few things here and there, but just some good basic freqs to get started with.

Gary
W5ETA

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30060. Re: Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-27 17:25:10 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 23839 Message: 30060

On 4/27/2009 12:17 PM, Gary Moseley wrote:

> Since you mentioned the milair stuff. What are some good freqs to start off with?

You can go to:

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/sitebuildercontent/sitebuilderfiles/freqdatabase.xls

That's the download for my frequency list off my website.
Plenty of milair stuff to choose from, and I try to keep it
as updated as possible.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090426-0, 04/26/2009
Tested on: 4/27/2009 12:25:10 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30061. RE: [dfwscan] Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 10:31:33 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 23839 Message: 30061

Hi Gary & Group,

The MilAir fever must be hitting lately. I too haven't been listening for a while but I've been programming an AOR AR8000 up just over the last few days for MilAir. I've become spoiled by the easy PC file building method, where things can be organized later after entering the data. Now I'm back to having to use my head and organize things before I manually program them :) My head is hurting :)

Here are some quick, always keep programmed frequencies I've already entered into the AR8000 so far and I still have about 900+ channels to go.

291.775 NASFW Base Ops
269.325 NAS FW Tower (UHF Primary)
254.325 NAS FW Ground (UHF Primary)
120.950 NASFW Tower (VHF)
164.400 NASFW Ground (VHF)
342.550 NASFW Metro (Weather)
371.875 NASFW GCA (UHF Primary)
128.775 NASFW GCA (used a lot/poss. VHF Primary)
132.225 NASFW GCA (used a lot/poss. VHF Primary)
257.950 Regional A/D (NASFW Primary)
363.150 Regional A/D (used frequently be NASFW)
306.950 Regional A/D (used frequently be NASFW)
379.900 Regional A/D (used frequently be NASFW)
341.000 ARTCC (Dublin/Brownwood MOA areas)
354.050 ARTCC (Dublin/Brownwood MOA areas)
317.700 Brownwood MOA (HORNET Range)
346.300 Brownwood MOA (TOMCAT Range)
282.200 Brownwood MOA (LOON Range)
356.600 FALCON Range (Primary)
344.500 FALCON Range (Secondary)
276.800 Hood Range
288.300 Rivers MOA
283.725 Snyder Bomb Plot
121.500 GUARD (VHF)
255.400 GUARD (UHF) (never heard an emergency in 30 years)
239.800 Metro (Common)
255.400 FSS (Common)
303.000 Thirty-Thirty/WINCHESTER (Common air-to-air)
342.500 Metro (Common)
344.600 Metro (Common)
372.200 Base Ops/PTD (Common)
375.200 Base Ops/PTD (Common...not so much around d here anymore)
139.950 RAYMOND 24 (ACC CP @ Tinker AFB)
141.650 RAYMOND 24 (ACC CP @ Tinker AFB)

305.600 RAYMOND 24 (ACC CP @ Tinker AFB)
364.200 AICC Primary
228.200 AICC Secondary
381.300 ACC Command Posts (Common)
265.400 NORAD BLUE 14 (a CAP Primary) CAP: Combat Air Patrol, not Civil AP
271.000 NORAD BLUE 15 (a CAP Primary)
328.000 NORAD BLUE 28 (Refueling Tankers a/a)
252.000 NORAD WHITE 01 (a CAP Primary)
149.300 NORAD (CAP VHF B/U)
228.450 465th ARS Ops/SOONER Ops (used by Tinker a lot in CAPs)
291.850 301st ARFC SPAD Ops (NASFW F-16s) (U-01)
143.750 301st ARFC SPAD Ops (V-01)
149.125 301st AFRC
140.175 301st AFRC
149.050 301st AFRC
140.275 301st AFRC
140.200 301st AFRC
142.600 301st AFRC
138.950 301st AFRC
139.825 301st AFRC
139.850 301st AFRC
141.675 301st AFRC???
140.125 301st AFRC
141.850 301st AFRC
142.700 301st AFRC (V-07)
53.675 301st AFRC (V-10) (FM headsets w/ ground crew)
252.525 VMFA 112 Ch. 18 (NASFW USMC F-18s)
318.650 VMFA 112 Ch. 19
318.600 VMFA 112 Ch. 20
226.900 181st AS/TANG and/or ROPER Ops (NASFW TXArNG C-130 a/c)
300.750 181st AS RAFHA Ops (not heard used in long time)
389.100 181st AS ROPER Ops
233.900 VMGR-234 RANGER Ops (NASFW USMC KC-130 a/c)
289.800 VMGR-234 RANGER Ops
355.400 VMGR-234 RANGER Ops
384.500 VR-59 LONESTAR Ops (NASFW USN C-9/B-40 a/c)
284.100 Lockheed U-01 (OVERRIDE 01)
292.500 Lockheed U-02 (OVERRIDE 02)
349.725 Lockheed U-10 (UHF Backup)
123.575 Lockheed V-01 (VHF Primary)
123.400 Lockheed V-02 (VHF Secondary)
123.150 Lockheed V-10 (VHF Backup)

This isn't really even scratching the surface on what's out there. I ALWAYS recommend an outside antenna for MilAir monitoring. While it's not a requirement, it'll make a world of difference in what you hear.

A lot of people may not realize that you can hear the B-52 bombers from Barksdale, the B-1B bombers from Dyess, tons of stuff from other states, aerial refueling routes being worked, Sheppard AFB (tons of T-38 squadrons with tons of frequencies), Regional Approach/Departure and ARTCC (Air

Traffic Control) frequencies, etc, etc, etc. Don't be fooled by thinking "Tinker AFB or Sheppard AFB are too far away" and believe you cannot hear traffic from them. Sure, you aren't going to hear their Tower or Ground Control from DFW. But their aircraft are up flying almost every day, most with their air-to-air and squadron/ops frequencies being used and many others frequencies too.

There would be no way to post everything into an e-mail to the group on what can and has been heard. There's just way, way too much.

Most of the frequencies above are one's I'd personally keep in and scanning. You may hear little at times on some. It's not like a PD or FD channel where they use the frequencies every day. But when they are used, you'll be glad you have them programmed in.

I've been a MilAir buff for 20+ years but have been out of the loop on actually listening too much over the last 3 years or so. And a lot has changed. Just like the TRS' that are re-banding and the counties (i.e. Johnson County) that are restructuring their frequencies, the military does the same every once in a while. That's why I haven't labeled the 301st FW channels with the exception of a few I've definitely confirmed the channel number for (i.e. V-07) lately. It's intimidating trying to start back up and decide how to manually program in things the way you want too. It takes planning and time. And way too many internet sources have old, outdated information to really rely on them very much. But there are definitely some great, current ones out there too.

It takes a real interest in hearing the MilAir traffic and time to learn it if you want to understand it. But then again, so does learning to use a TrunkTracker scanner too if you are use to the conventional days of programming scanners. But it is fun (in my opinion) and worth the effort it takes. In short, it's just like anything else in the radio hobby. It takes time to figure out some stuff, but if you enjoy it, then you're bound to find out that you need or want with a little work.

The frequencies I posted should be good for hearing ACM (Air Combat Maneuvers or air-to-air combat practice) and a lot of other cool (and boring too) stuff for the DFW area. And as much as I "enjoy" putting together radio programming for MilAir, I'm dreading the amount of time it's going to take before I finish up on the AR8000 wide range receiver too (unless I'm able to get the PC cable, CIV type box, and software to speed up the process).

I want to mention one last thing for those interested in scanning for MilAir traffic. These three frequency ranges blow are your most important search ranges for MilAir traffic. And just like the frequencies listed above (with the 53.675 FM exception...yep in the Ham band), these ranges and frequencies are all in the AM mode with 25 kHz spacing steps when you program them in.

SEARCH RANGES:
1. VHF-Hi 1: 137.000 MHz to 144.000 MHZ AM (25 kHz steps)
2. VHF-Hi 2: 148.000 MHz to 150.750 MHZ AM (25 kHz steps)

3. UHF MilAir: 225.025 MHz to 399.975 MHZ AM (25 kHz steps)

While I have a hard time keeping up with a lot of e-mail today, I'll try to keep an eye on this thread for replies. And if anyone else knows the answers and feels like answering any possible questions that may be asked, please do!!! I don't purposely (in most cases) ignore e-mails; I just have a terrible time keeping caught up :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Moseley
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 12:18 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Milair

Blaine,

Since you mentioned the milair stuff. I know that this has probably been asked before, heck I know it has.
But I am going to ask anyway. What are some good freqs to start off with? I have scanned the milair band and found a few things here and there, but just some good basic freqs to get started with.

Gary
W5ETA

30062. RE: [dfwscan] Milair 2.0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 10:46:02 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 23839 Message: 30062

If things look screwed up with the frequency list alignment, I have no idea why. It looks great in the "Send" window but gets messed up when I receive what's been sent. This happens a lot in Yahoo Group messages and I don't know why...sorry...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

****

ORIGINAL POST:

Hi Gary & Group,

The MilAir fever must be hitting lately. I too haven't been listening for a while but I've been programming an AOR AR8000 up just over the last few days for MilAir. I've become spoiled by the easy PC file building method, where things can be organized later after entering the data. Now I'm back to having to use my head and organize things before I manually program them :) My head is hurting :)

Here are some quick, always keep programmed frequencies I've already entered into the AR8000 so far and I still have about 900+ channels to go.

291.775 NASFW Base Ops
269.325 NAS FW Tower (UHF Primary)
254.325 NAS FW Ground (UHF Primary)
120.950 NASFW Tower (VHF)
164.400 NASFW Ground (VHF)
342.550 NASFW Metro (Weather)
371.875 NASFW GCA (UHF Primary)
128.775 NASFW GCA (used a lot/poss. VHF Primary)
132.225 NASFW GCA (used a lot/poss. VHF Primary)
257.950 Regional A/D (NASFW Primary)
363.150 Regional A/D (used frequently be NASFW)
306.950 Regional A/D (used frequently be NASFW)
379.900 Regional A/D (used frequently be NASFW)
341.000 ARTCC (Dublin/Brownwood MOA areas)
354.050 ARTCC (Dublin/Brownwood MOA areas)
317.700 Brownwood MOA (HORNET Range)
346.300 Brownwood MOA (TOMCAT Range)
282.200 Brownwood MOA (LOON Range)
356.600 FALCON Range (Primary)
344.500 FALCON Range (Secondary)
276.800 Hood Range
288.300 Rivers MOA
283.725 Snyder Bomb Plot

121.500 GUARD (VHF)
255.400 GUARD (UHF) (never heard an emergency in 30 years)
239.800 Metro (Common)
255.400 FSS (Common)
303.000 Thirty-Thirty/WINCHESTER (Common air-to-air)
342.500 Metro (Common)
344.600 Metro (Common)
372.200 Base Ops/PTD (Common)
375.200 Base Ops/PTD (Common...not so much around d here anymore)
139.950 RAYMOND 24 (ACC CP @ Tinker AFB)
141.650 RAYMOND 24 (ACC CP @ Tinker AFB)
305.600 RAYMOND 24 (ACC CP @ Tinker AFB)
364.200 AICC Primary
228.200 AICC Secondary
381.300 ACC Command Posts (Common)
265.400 NORAD BLUE 14 (a CAP Primary) CAP: Combat Air Patrol, not Civil AP
271.000 NORAD BLUE 15 (a CAP Primary)
328.000 NORAD BLUE 28 (Refueling Tankers a/a)
252.000 NORAD WHITE 01 (a CAP Primary)
149.300 NORAD (CAP VHF B/U)
228.450 465th ARS Ops/SOONER Ops (used by Tinker a lot in CAPs)
291.850 301st ARFC SPAD Ops (NASFW F-16s) (U-01)
143.750 301st ARFC SPAD Ops (V-01)
149.125 301st AFRC
140.175 301st AFRC
149.050 301st AFRC
140.275 301st AFRC
140.200 301st AFRC
142.600 301st AFRC
138.950 301st AFRC
139.825 301st AFRC
139.850 301st AFRC
141.675 301st AFRC???
140.125 301st AFRC
141.850 301st AFRC
142.700 301st AFRC (V-07)
53.675 301st AFRC (V-10) (FM headsets w/ ground crew)
252.525 VMFA 112 Ch. 18 (NASFW USMC F-18s)
318.650 VMFA 112 Ch. 19
318.600 VMFA 112 Ch. 20
226.900 181st AS/TANG and/or ROPER Ops (NASFW TXArNG C-130 a/c)
300.750 181st AS RAFHA Ops (not heard used in long time)
389.100 181st AS ROPER Ops
233.900 VMGR-234 RANGER Ops (NASFW USMC KC-130 a/c)
289.800 VMGR-234 RANGER Ops
355.400 VMGR-234 RANGER Ops
384.500 VR-59 LONESTAR Ops (NASFW USN C-9/B-40 a/c)
284.100 Lockheed U-01 (OVERRIDE 01)
292.500 Lockheed U-02 (OVERRIDE 02)
349.725 Lockheed U-10 (UHF Backup)

123.575 Lockheed V-01 (VHF Primary)
123.400 Lockheed V-02 (VHF Secondary)
123.150 Lockheed V-10 (VHF Backup)

This isn't really even scratching the surface on what's out there. I ALWAYS recommend an outside antenna for MilAir monitoring. While it's not a requirement, it'll make a world of difference in what you hear.

A lot of people may not realize that you can hear the B-52 bombers from Barksdale, the B-1B bombers from Dyess, tons of stuff from other states, aerial refueling routes being worked, Sheppard AFB (tons of T-38 squadrons with tons of frequencies), Regional Approach/Departure and ARTCC (Air Traffic Control) frequencies, etc, etc, etc. Don't be fooled by thinking "Tinker AFB or Sheppard AFB are too far away" and believe you cannot hear traffic from them. Sure, you aren't going to hear their Tower or Ground Control from DFW. But their aircraft are up flying almost every day, most with their air-to-air and squadron/ops frequencies being used and many others frequencies too.

There would be no way to post everything into an e-mail to the group on what can and has been heard. There's just way, way too much.

Most of the frequencies above are one's I'd personally keep in and scanning. You may hear little at times on some. It's not like a PD or FD channel where they use the frequencies every day. But when they are used, you'll be glad you have them programmed in.

I've been a MilAir buff for 20+ years but have been out of the loop on actually listening too much over the last 3 years or so. And a lot has changed. Just like the TRS' that are re-banding and the counties (i.e. Johnson County) that are restructuring their frequencies, the military does the same every once in a while. That's why I haven't labeled the 301st FW channels with the exception of a few I've definitely confirmed the channel number for (i.e. V-07) lately. It's intimidating trying to start back up and decide how to manually program in things the way you want too. It takes planning and time. And way too many internet sources have old, outdated information to really rely on them very much. But there are definitely some great, current ones out there too.

It takes a real interest in hearing the MilAir traffic and time to learn it if you want to understand it. But then again, so does learning to use a TrunkTracker scanner too if you are use to the conventional days of programming scanners. But it is fun (in my opinion) and worth the effort it takes. In short, it's just like anything else in the radio hobby. It takes time to figure out some stuff, but if you enjoy it, then you're bound to find out that you need or want with a little work.

The frequencies I posted should be good for hearing ACM (Air Combat Maneuvers or air-to-air combat practice) and a lot of other cool (and boring too) stuff for the DFW area. And as much as I "enjoy" putting together radio programming for MilAir, I'm dreading the amount of time it's going to take before I finish up on the AR8000 wide range receiver too (unless I'm

able to get the PC cable, CIV type box, and software to speed up the process).

I want to mention one last thing for those interested in scanning for MilAir traffic. These three frequency ranges blow are your most important search ranges for MilAir traffic. And just like the frequencies listed above (with the 53.675 FM exception...yep in the Ham band), these ranges and frequencies are all in the AM mode with 25 kHz spacing steps when you program them in.

SEARCH RANGES:
1. VHF-Hi 1: 137.000 MHz to 144.000 MHZ AM (25 kHz steps)
2. VHF-Hi 2: 148.000 MHz to 150.750 MHZ AM (25 kHz steps)
3. UHF MilAir: 225.025 MHz to 399.975 MHZ AM (25 kHz steps)

While I have a hard time keeping up with a lot of e-mail today, I'll try to keep an eye on this thread for replies. And if anyone else knows the answers and feels like answering any possible questions that may be asked, please do!!! I don't purposely (in most cases) ignore e-mails; I just have a terrible time keeping caught up :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Moseley
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 12:18 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Milair

Blaine,

Since you mentioned the milair stuff. I know that this has probably been asked before, heck I know it has.
But I am going to ask anyway. What are some good freqs to start off with? I have scanned the milair band and found a few things here and there, but just some good basic freqs to get started with.

Gary
W5ETA

30063. Re: [dfwscan] Frequency ranges for fast food mics & bluetooth headsets?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 11:08:51 UTC
From: Robert Thompson
Topic: 30055 Message: 30063

Bluetooth is not what I'd call scanner-accessible... It's got an average spectrum width of 100 MHz @ 2.4 GHz, an (intentionally) EXTREMELY low average power density, and uses techniques that the military used to call "low probability of intercept". These days, it's called "low probability of interference" or maybe "avoid jamming the wifi" ;)

In your average scanner (assuming you're very close to the source), it will sound like digital noise no matter where you tune in the 2.4 GHz spectrum.

A lot of detail is available from Wikipedia:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bluetooth

From http://www.mobileinfo.com/Bluetooth/FAQ.htm#t2 (and elsewhere, via Google):

Bluetooth is a Frequency-Hopping Spread Spectrum technology. Each "piconet" selects a pseudorandom hop pattern covering the 79 1 MHz channels starting at 2.402 GHz The hop rate is 1600 hops/sec. The base data transfer rate is 721 kilobits per second. The keying is Gaussian Frequency Shift Keying (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/GFSK). The common power levels are 1, 2.5, and 100 mW (into fairly ineficcient antennas).

For those of you who find pictures more effective, here's what it looks like in a waterfall:
http://www.metageek.net/category/wi-spy-recording-tags/bluetooth . Note that the waterfalls shown are around 100 MHz wide. The top half is time, the bottom half is a power-averaging view.

A nice picture showing the channel allocation can be found at
http://blog.tayx.co.uk/2008/03/15/bluetooth-frequency-spectrum/
A nice picture showing a near-instantaneous snapshot of the 2.4 GHz ISM band during a bluetooth handshake is here:
http://www.mwrf.com/Files/30/5486/Figure_01.gif

--
Regards, Robert Thompson

~~~~~~
~ Concise, Complete, Correct: Pick Two
~ Faster, Cheaper, Better: Pick Two
~ Pervasive, Powerful, Trustworthy: Pick One
~~~~~~

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30064. Digital Signal Convertor

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 11:27:03 UTC
From: Roy Hodgens
Topic: 30064 Message: 30064

I don't recall if I saw the message on this Group or another, but a retired engineer that formerly worked for E-Systems in Garland was working on a converter, somewhat like those being utilized for digital broadcast television, for use with non-digital broadcast receiving scanners.

I was just wondering if anybody has heard if he has completed his task and is it available yet or did the scanner manufacturers buy him off so they can sell very expensive scanners able to receive digital signals.

If I remember correctly he used his Amateur Radio Call Sign as a User Name.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30065. Fort Worth NAS JRB Air Show

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 14:16:41 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 30065 Message: 30065

For all you Aviation and Milair Junkies,

The FWNAS JRB Air Show is May 16 and 17. Here's a link I found.
http://www.airshows.aero/OrgProfile/878

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.geocities.com/kc5rhb/weather

30066. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 15:06:19 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30045 Message: 30066

I did find one freq for this system. It's 155.6025 NAC 007 (my scanner shows it as 155.6000). Maybe someone can listen on this freq for a while and determine who it is. I sent a group message about this yesterday but it never showed up, so I'm resending the info.

--- In dfwscan@...m, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> On 4/27/2009 11:36 AM, Paul Opitz wrote:
>
> > Makes it start to sound like a military system (those are about the only ones I've seen that use Implicit...).
>
> Hmmm...now I'm excited....the plot thickens....
>
> and centered around KDFW (the airport, not the TV station)
> ....hmmmmmm....
>
>

30067. RE: [dfwscan] Digital Signal Convertor

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 15:09:54 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 30064 Message: 30067

Something like that may already be mooted. If you're interested in decoding various digital signals, I would look into Software Defined Radio. If you're already a bit of a Linux hacker and/or programmer there's a ton of fun stuff you can do with those toys. Plus, many of them have flat spectrums without the "cell phone holes" that are required on US made scanners.

Keep in mind, if you write your own software it might be cost effective. If you buy software for it, it's going to cost more than one of those "expensive scanners". (You could always build your own.) I've been looking at several of the products in this space, and I think I might pick one up soon.

BTW, I love my expensive Uniden BCD396T. I was well worth the money.

Mark

_____
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Roy Hodgens [DallasPD2566@...]
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 6:27 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Digital Signal Convertor

I don't recall if I saw the message on this Group or another, but a retired engineer that formerly worked for E-Systems in Garland was working on a converter, somewhat like those being utilized for digital broadcast television, for use with non-digital broadcast receiving scanners.

I was just wondering if anybody has heard if he has completed his task and is it available yet or did the scanner manufacturers buy him off so they can sell very expensive scanners able to receive digital signals.

If I remember correctly he used his Amateur Radio Call Sign as a User Name.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30068. RE: [dfwscan] Milair 2.0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 16:54:06 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 23839 Message: 30068

Correction to your NASFW Ground VHF Frequency...it is 126.4. Think you had a typo there.... :)

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 5:46 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Milair 2.0

If things look screwed up with the frequency list alignment, I have no idea why. It looks great in the "Send" window but gets messed up when I receive what's been sent. This happens a lot in Yahoo Group messages and I don't know why...sorry...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

****

ORIGINAL POST:

Hi Gary & Group,

The MilAir fever must be hitting lately. I too haven't been listening for a while but I've been programming an AOR AR8000 up just over the last few days for MilAir. I've become spoiled by the easy PC file building method, where things can be organized later after entering the data. Now I'm back to having to use my head and organize things before I manually program them :) My head is hurting :)

Here are some quick, always keep programmed frequencies I've already entered into the AR8000 so far and I still have about 900+ channels to go.

291.775 NASFW Base Ops
269.325 NAS FW Tower (UHF Primary)
254.325 NAS FW Ground (UHF Primary)
120.950 NASFW Tower (VHF)
164.400 NASFW Ground (VHF)
342.550 NASFW Metro (Weather)
371.875 NASFW GCA (UHF Primary)
128.775 NASFW GCA (used a lot/poss. VHF Primary)
132.225 NASFW GCA (used a lot/poss. VHF Primary)
257.950 Regional A/D (NASFW Primary)
363.150 Regional A/D (used frequently be NASFW)

306.950 Regional A/D (used frequently be NASFW)
379.900 Regional A/D (used frequently be NASFW)
341.000 ARTCC (Dublin/Brownwood MOA areas)
354.050 ARTCC (Dublin/Brownwood MOA areas)
317.700 Brownwood MOA (HORNET Range)
346.300 Brownwood MOA (TOMCAT Range)
282.200 Brownwood MOA (LOON Range)
356.600 FALCON Range (Primary)
344.500 FALCON Range (Secondary)
276.800 Hood Range
288.300 Rivers MOA
283.725 Snyder Bomb Plot
121.500 GUARD (VHF)
255.400 GUARD (UHF) (never heard an emergency in 30 years)
239.800 Metro (Common)
255.400 FSS (Common)
303.000 Thirty-Thirty/WINCHESTER (Common air-to-air)
342.500 Metro (Common)
344.600 Metro (Common)
372.200 Base Ops/PTD (Common)
375.200 Base Ops/PTD (Common...not so much around d here anymore)
139.950 RAYMOND 24 (ACC CP @ Tinker AFB)
141.650 RAYMOND 24 (ACC CP @ Tinker AFB)
305.600 RAYMOND 24 (ACC CP @ Tinker AFB)
364.200 AICC Primary
228.200 AICC Secondary
381.300 ACC Command Posts (Common)
265.400 NORAD BLUE 14 (a CAP Primary) CAP: Combat Air Patrol, not Civil AP
271.000 NORAD BLUE 15 (a CAP Primary)
328.000 NORAD BLUE 28 (Refueling Tankers a/a)
252.000 NORAD WHITE 01 (a CAP Primary)
149.300 NORAD (CAP VHF B/U)
228.450 465th ARS Ops/SOONER Ops (used by Tinker a lot in CAPs)
291.850 301st ARFC SPAD Ops (NASFW F-16s) (U-01)
143.750 301st ARFC SPAD Ops (V-01)
149.125 301st AFRC
140.175 301st AFRC
149.050 301st AFRC
140.275 301st AFRC
140.200 301st AFRC
142.600 301st AFRC
138.950 301st AFRC
139.825 301st AFRC
139.850 301st AFRC
141.675 301st AFRC???
140.125 301st AFRC
141.850 301st AFRC
142.700 301st AFRC (V-07)
53.675 301st AFRC (V-10) (FM headsets w/ ground crew)
252.525 VMFA 112 Ch. 18 (NASFW USMC F-18s)

318.650 VMFA 112 Ch. 19
318.600 VMFA 112 Ch. 20
226.900 181st AS/TANG and/or ROPER Ops (NASFW TXArNG C-130 a/c)
300.750 181st AS RAFHA Ops (not heard used in long time)
389.100 181st AS ROPER Ops
233.900 VMGR-234 RANGER Ops (NASFW USMC KC-130 a/c)
289.800 VMGR-234 RANGER Ops
355.400 VMGR-234 RANGER Ops
384.500 VR-59 LONESTAR Ops (NASFW USN C-9/B-40 a/c)
284.100 Lockheed U-01 (OVERRIDE 01)
292.500 Lockheed U-02 (OVERRIDE 02)
349.725 Lockheed U-10 (UHF Backup)
123.575 Lockheed V-01 (VHF Primary)
123.400 Lockheed V-02 (VHF Secondary)
123.150 Lockheed V-10 (VHF Backup)

This isn't really even scratching the surface on what's out there. I ALWAYS recommend an outside antenna for MilAir monitoring. While it's not a requirement, it'll make a world of difference in what you hear.

A lot of people may not realize that you can hear the B-52 bombers from Barksdale, the B-1B bombers from Dyess, tons of stuff from other states, aerial refueling routes being worked, Sheppard AFB (tons of T-38 squadrons with tons of frequencies), Regional Approach/Departure and ARTCC (Air Traffic Control) frequencies, etc, etc, etc. Don't be fooled by thinking "Tinker AFB or Sheppard AFB are too far away" and believe you cannot hear traffic from them. Sure, you aren't going to hear their Tower or Ground Control from DFW. But their aircraft are up flying almost every day, most with their air-to-air and squadron/ops frequencies being used and many others frequencies too.

There would be no way to post everything into an e-mail to the group on what can and has been heard. There's just way, way too much.

Most of the frequencies above are one's I'd personally keep in and scanning. You may hear little at times on some. It's not like a PD or FD channel where they use the frequencies every day. But when they are used, you'll be glad you have them programmed in.

I've been a MilAir buff for 20+ years but have been out of the loop on actually listening too much over the last 3 years or so. And a lot has changed. Just like the TRS' that are re-banding and the counties (i.e. Johnson County) that are restructuring their frequencies, the military does the same every once in a while. That's why I haven't labeled the 301st FW channels with the exception of a few I've definitely confirmed the channel number for (i.e. V-07) lately. It's intimidating trying to start back up and decide how to manually program in things the way you want too. It takes planning and time. And way too many internet sources have old, outdated information to really rely on them very much. But there are definitely some great, current ones out there too.

It takes a real interest in hearing the MilAir traffic and time to learn it if you want to understand it. But then again, so does learning to use a TrunkTracker scanner too if you are use to the conventional days of programming scanners. But it is fun (in my opinion) and worth the effort it takes. In short, it's just like anything else in the radio hobby. It takes time to figure out some stuff, but if you enjoy it, then you're bound to find out that you need or want with a little work.

The frequencies I posted should be good for hearing ACM (Air Combat Maneuvers or air-to-air combat practice) and a lot of other cool (and boring too) stuff for the DFW area. And as much as I "enjoy" putting together radio programming for MilAir, I'm dreading the amount of time it's going to take before I finish up on the AR8000 wide range receiver too (unless I'm able to get the PC cable, CIV type box, and software to speed up the process).

I want to mention one last thing for those interested in scanning for MilAir traffic. These three frequency ranges blow are your most important search ranges for MilAir traffic. And just like the frequencies listed above (with the 53.675 FM exception...yep in the Ham band), these ranges and frequencies are all in the AM mode with 25 kHz spacing steps when you program them in.

SEARCH RANGES:
1. VHF-Hi 1: 137.000 MHz to 144.000 MHZ AM (25 kHz steps)
2. VHF-Hi 2: 148.000 MHz to 150.750 MHZ AM (25 kHz steps)
3. UHF MilAir: 225.025 MHz to 399.975 MHZ AM (25 kHz steps)

While I have a hard time keeping up with a lot of e-mail today, I'll try to keep an eye on this thread for replies. And if anyone else knows the answers and feels like answering any possible questions that may be asked, please do!!! I don't purposely (in most cases) ignore e-mails; I just have a terrible time keeping caught up :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gary Moseley
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 12:18 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Milair

Blaine,

Since you mentioned the milair stuff. I know that this has probably been asked before, heck I know it has.
But I am going to ask anyway. What are some good freqs to start off with? I have scanned the milair band and found a few things here and there, but just some good basic freqs to get started with.

Gary
W5ETA

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4038 (20090427) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4038 (20090427) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30069. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 22:07:10 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30045 Message: 30069

I think this is complete now after finding another freq and doing the math to get the base and spacing freqs.

155.3025 Mhz WACN: 1 SYSID: 7 Channel 0x030 = 48 decimal (control)
155.4525 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x048 = 72 decimal
155.6025 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x060 = 96 decimal

Base Freq: 155.0025 Mhz
Spacing: 6.25 Khz

My scanner rounds to 155.3000, 155.4500, 155.6000.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "js_scan888" <js_scan888@...> wrote:
>
>
> I did find one freq for this system. It's 155.6025 NAC 007 (my scanner shows it as 155.6000). Maybe someone can listen on this freq for a while and determine who it is. I sent a group message about this yesterday but it never showed up, so I'm resending the info.
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@> wrote:
> >
> > On 4/27/2009 11:36 AM, Paul Opitz wrote:
> >
> > > Makes it start to sound like a military system (those are about the only ones I've seen that use Implicit...).
> >
> > Hmmm...now I'm excited....the plot thickens....
> >
> > and centered around KDFW (the airport, not the TV station)
> > ....hmmmmmm....
> >
> >
>

30070. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 22:22:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30045 Message: 30070

On 4/28/2009 5:07 PM, js_scan888 wrote:

> I think this is complete now after finding another freq and doing the math to get the base and spacing freqs.
>
> 155.3025 Mhz WACN: 1 SYSID: 7 Channel 0x030 = 48 decimal (control)
> 155.4525 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x048 = 72 decimal
> 155.6025 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x060 = 96 decimal
>
> Base Freq: 155.0025 Mhz
> Spacing: 6.25 Khz

DPS P25 trunked conventional? WTF?

How would we enter this in to track it, since I have yet to enter into my scanner any CONVENTIONAL trunked VHF systems...

Everything for me has been 800 stuff....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/28/2009 5:22:41 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30071. Fed encrypted

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 22:23:58 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30071 Message: 30071

Very strong signal on 163.2125 NAC100. No clear voice yet.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/28/2009 5:23:58 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30072. Re: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 23:04:00 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 30045 Message: 30072

Since it is an implicit mode P25 system, you'll just need to enter the band plan (like you would for a Motorola VHF). Otherwise, programmed the same as an 800 MHz P25 system would be programmed.

From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>
To: js_scan888 <js_scan888@...>
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 5:22:41 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

On 4/28/2009 5:07 PM, js_scan888 wrote:

> I think this is complete now after finding another freq and doing the math to get the base and spacing freqs.
>
> 155.3025 Mhz WACN: 1 SYSID: 7 Channel 0x030 = 48 decimal (control)
> 155.4525 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x048 = 72 decimal
> 155.6025 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x060 = 96 decimal
>
> Base Freq: 155.0025 Mhz
> Spacing: 6.25 Khz

DPS P25 trunked conventional? WTF?

How would we enter this in to track it, since I have yet to
enter into my scanner any CONVENTIONAL trunked VHF
systems...

Everything for me has been 800 stuff....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/28/2009 5:22:41 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast. com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30073. RE: [dfwscan] Lockesley Dr, Flower Mound

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 23:11:53 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30051 Message: 30073

Things are not always what they seem. About fifteen years ago, I planted some winter grass seed in my yard. And since the neighbourhood brats tended to not care anything about whose lawn they would trample, I put up yellow tape around my freshly plowed and seeded yard that said, "Police Line - Do Not Cross". I awoke the next morning to find about a dozen people and cars in front of my house, all just staring and inventing stories about what must have happened there! One woman, when she found out that I wasn't dead, was so pissed off that she got into my face screaming that her son had nightmares because of seeing the yellow "crime scene" tape at my house. I told her, "No, it wasn't the tape that gave him nightmares. It was you driving up with him and staring at it, saying 'oh my God! Look at that! There must have been a horrible murder there!'"

Stupid people.

Rob

On Sunday, April 26, 2009 19:59, "bjones5682" <bjones5682@...> said:

> Anybdoy know whats going on in Flower Mound in the 2100 block of Lockesley Dr?
> Crime scene tape around the entire front yard of the house, and detectives walking
> in and out.
>
> Robert
>
>

30074. Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 23:12:53 UTC
From: cas112882@gmail.com
Topic: 30074 Message: 30074

Triple shooting boca canyon ft worth
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

30075. Re: [dfwscan] Lockesley Dr, Flower Mound

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 23:14:21 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30051 Message: 30075

taken to the tavern---

rob.davis@... wrote:
> Things are not always what they seem. About fifteen years ago, I planted some winter grass seed in my yard. And since the neighbourhood brats tended to not care anything about whose lawn they would trample, I put up yellow tape around my freshly plowed and seeded yard that said, "Police Line - Do Not Cross". I awoke the next morning to find about a dozen people and cars in front of my house, all just staring and inventing stories about what must have happened there! One woman, when she found out that I wasn't dead, was so pissed off that she got into my face screaming that her son had nightmares because of seeing the yellow "crime scene" tape at my house. I told her, "No, it wasn't the tape that gave him nightmares. It was you driving up with him and staring at it, saying 'oh my God! Look at that! There must have been a horrible murder there!'"
>
> Stupid people.
>
> Rob
>
>
> On Sunday, April 26, 2009 19:59, "bjones5682" <bjones5682@...> said:
>
>> Anybdoy know whats going on in Flower Mound in the 2100 block of Lockesley Dr?
>> Crime scene tape around the entire front yard of the house, and detectives walking
>> in and out.
>>
>> Robert
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member

N5ERS VP/Trustee
Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

30076. Re: [dfwscan] Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 23:20:52 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 30074 Message: 30076

Only 2 hits. Suspect in custody. Only news in that area is when more than 6 are hit.

--- On Tue, 4/28/09, cas112882@... <cas112882@...> wrote:

> From: cas112882@... <cas112882@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Shooting
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Tuesday, April 28, 2009, 6:12 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Triple shooting boca canyon ft worth
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

30077. Re: [dfwscan] Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 23:22:45 UTC
From: cas112882@gmail.com
Topic: 30074 Message: 30077

Well I was just putting out the info we had from the multiple calls
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "producer 5,6,10" <nats2sound@yahoo.com>

Date: Tue, 28 Apr 2009 16:20:52
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>; <cas112882@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Shooting

Only 2 hits. Suspect in custody. Only news in that area is when more than 6 are hit.

--- On Tue, 4/28/09, cas112882@gmail.com <cas112882@gmail.com> wrote:

> From: cas112882@gmail.com <cas112882@gmail.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Shooting
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Tuesday, April 28, 2009, 6:12 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Triple shooting boca canyon ft worth
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

30078. Re: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 23:23:45 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 30045 Message: 30078

Yep - 155.4525 was heard around DFW airport yesterday afternoon with a NAC of 007 on my PSR500. Sounded like techs working on the system.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Tue, 4/28/09, js_scan888 <js_scan888@...> wrote:

From: js_scan888 <js_scan888@yahoo.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Tuesday, April 28, 2009, 5:07 PM

I think this is complete now after finding another freq and doing the math to get the base and spacing freqs.

155.3025 Mhz WACN: 1 SYSID: 7 Channel 0x030 = 48 decimal (control)
155.4525 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x048 = 72 decimal
155.6025 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x060 = 96 decimal

Base Freq: 155.0025 Mhz
Spacing: 6.25 Khz

My scanner rounds to 155.3000, 155.4500, 155.6000.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "js_scan888" <js_scan888@...> wrote:
>
>
> I did find one freq for this system. It's 155.6025 NAC 007 (my scanner shows it as 155.6000). Maybe someone can listen on this freq for a while and determine who it is. I sent a group message about this yesterday but it never showed up, so I'm resending the info.
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@> wrote:
> >
> > On 4/27/2009 11:36 AM, Paul Opitz wrote:
> >
> > > Makes it start to sound like a military system (those are about the only ones I've seen that use Implicit...).
> >
> > Hmmm...now I'm excited....the plot thickens....
> >
> > and centered around KDFW (the airport, not the TV station)
> > ....hmmmmmm....
> >
> >
> >
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30079. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-28 23:58:25 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30045 Message: 30079

On 4/28/2009 6:04 PM, Paul Opitz wrote:

> Since it is an implicit mode P25 system, you'll just need to enter the band plan (like you would for a Motorola VHF). Otherwise, programmed the same as an 800 MHz P25 system would be programmed.

Thanks Paul. Well, if it is indeed DPS then they are DEFINITELY
going to have to tweak this baby up with more power for metro
wide coverage, if that is their intention. The control channels
barely break the squelch up here in Lewisville with an outside
discone.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/28/2009 6:58:25 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30080. Re: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 01:26:39 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 30045 Message: 30080

I did a search of the FCC database today... I noticed TAIT communications has a license for Trunking in the freq region we are discussing... I wonder if this is a demo system?

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Tue, 4/28/09, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...t> wrote:

From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025
To: "Paul Opitz" <pmanu44@yahoo.com>, "DFWSCAN Group" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Tuesday, April 28, 2009, 6:58 PM

On 4/28/2009 6:04 PM, Paul Opitz wrote:

> Since it is an implicit mode P25 system, you'll just need to enter the band plan (like you would for a Motorola VHF). Otherwise, programmed the same as an 800 MHz P25 system would be programmed.

Thanks Paul. Well, if it is indeed DPS then they are DEFINITELY
going to have to tweak this baby up with more power for metro
wide coverage, if that is their intention. The control channels
barely break the squelch up here in Lewisville with an outside
discone.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/28/2009 6:58:25 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30081. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 03:15:59 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30045 Message: 30081

In the NE corner of Dallas County, here are my signal estimates:
155.3025 good (after finding the exact indoor antenna location)
155.6025 poor to good
155.4525 very poor almost nothing

It seems like the system is being put into a testing phase. With the 155.6025 voice channel being very active at night. It transmits for about 20 seconds then turns off, and then repeats again. Tonight is the same as last night, where it transmits over and over. Can anyone with a P25 scanner confirm that this is a test voice announcement being played ?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...> wrote:
>
> I did a search of the FCC database today... I noticed TAIT communications has a license for Trunking in the freq region we are discussing... I wonder if this is a demo system?
>
>
> --- On Tue, 4/28/09, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
>
> From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025
> To: "Paul Opitz" <pmanu44@...>, "DFWSCAN Group" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: Tuesday, April 28, 2009, 6:58 PM
>
>
> On 4/28/2009 6:04 PM, Paul Opitz wrote:
>
> > Since it is an implicit mode P25 system, you'll just need to enter the band plan (like you would for a Motorola VHF). Otherwise, programmed the same as an 800 MHz P25 system would be programmed.
>
> Thanks Paul. Well, if it is indeed DPS then they are DEFINITELY
> going to have to tweak this baby up with more power for metro
> wide coverage, if that is their intention. The control channels
> barely break the squelch up here in Lewisville with an outside
> discone.
>
>
> Blaine
>

30082. RE: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 04:32:22 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 30045 Message: 30082

North Arlington, getting lots of hits on 155.4525 with my 996T tonight. Sounds like a buzz saw with an occasional P25 decode of voice, but not long enough to figure out what the woman is saying. I must be right on the fringe of reception!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of js_scan888
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 10:16 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

In the NE corner of Dallas County, here are my signal estimates:
155.3025 good (after finding the exact indoor antenna location)
155.6025 poor to good
155.4525 very poor almost nothing

It seems like the system is being put into a testing phase. With the 155.6025 voice channel being very active at night. It transmits for about 20 seconds then turns off, and then repeats again. Tonight is the same as last night, where it transmits over and over. Can anyone with a P25 scanner confirm that this is a test voice announcement being played ?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30083. Re: [dfwscan] Lockesley Dr, Flower Mound

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 04:34:17 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30051 Message: 30083

One halloween I put crime scene tape around my porch and front door and drew a chalk outline on the porch. Strangely, we got very few trick-or-treaters that year.

> Things are not always what they seem. About fifteen years ago, I planted some winter grass seed in my yard. And since the neighbourhood brats tended to not care anything about whose lawn they would trample, I put up yellow tape around my freshly plowed and seeded yard that said, "Police Line - Do Not Cross". I awoke the next morning to find about a dozen people and cars in front of my house, all just staring and inventing stories about what must have happened there! One woman, when she found out that I wasn't dead, was so pissed off that she got into my face screaming that her son had nightmares because of seeing the yellow "crime scene" tape at my house. I told her, "No, it wasn't the tape that gave him nightmares. It was you driving up with him and staring at it, saying 'oh my God! Look at that! There must have been a horrible murder there!'"

>

>

30084. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 10:33:11 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30045 Message: 30084

If it happens again double check the NAC. The 007 NAC seems to be the trunking system. There is a strong DPS conventional system that uses 128 NAC which I believe is the DPS Garland system.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:
>
> North Arlington, getting lots of hits on 155.4525 with my 996T tonight.
> Sounds like a buzz saw with an occasional P25 decode of voice, but not long
> enough to figure out what the woman is saying. I must be right on the fringe
> of reception!
>
>

30085. Re: Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 15:51:45 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 23839 Message: 30085

Hi Brian and group:

Just a couple of corrections & questions from your list:

356.6 Falcon Range primary has been replaced by 363.7 as
the primary, with 342.3 as the Backup. The former Backup of
344.5 is still being used however. I confirmed this about a
month or so ago, when air assets were using this frequency
for a nighttime sortie and laser targeting. I think it was the
B-52's out of Barksdale.

You have 255.4 as military Guard frequency. I have always
had this as 243.0, however, I have never heard any emergencies
on this frequency, military related. The only brief transmissions
I have ever heard have been radio checks, and they have been
few and far between.

You have 341.0 as an FWARTCC freq at Dublin. Is this a discrete?

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/29/2009 10:51:45 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30086. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 16:04:08 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 23839 Message: 30086

255.4 is Military Flight Service Station and Guard is indeed 243.0. The
others you posted are correct as well for the Falcon Range Blaine. I don't
have 341.0 programmed but I just put it in to see if I get any hits there.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Blaine Brooks
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 10:52 AM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Milair

Hi Brian and group:

Just a couple of corrections & questions from your list:

356.6 Falcon Range primary has been replaced by 363.7 as
the primary, with 342.3 as the Backup. The former Backup of
344.5 is still being used however. I confirmed this about a
month or so ago, when air assets were using this frequency
for a nighttime sortie and laser targeting. I think it was the
B-52's out of Barksdale.

You have 255.4 as military Guard frequency. I have always
had this as 243.0, however, I have never heard any emergencies
on this frequency, military related. The only brief transmissions
I have ever heard have been radio checks, and they have been
few and far between.

You have 341.0 as an FWARTCC freq at Dublin. Is this a discrete?

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/29/2009 10:51:45 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4041 (20090428) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4041 (20090428) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30087. Mobile Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 16:15:11 UTC
From: Wayne
Topic: 30087 Message: 30087

Does Anyone have an 800 MgZ cap. Scanner in which they are willing to part with? Mine had an untimley end to it's long life due to a 6 year old and a Dr. Pepper. You can fill in the blanks. A lot of Experlitive Language was expeled and and old fat man turned into what was described
by witnesses as "the biggest tomato on the planet earth".Any help would be thankful.

30088. What's Going on in Garland?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 16:50:25 UTC
From: Jeff Mullowney
Topic: 30088 Message: 30088

A friend of mine called me at 10:30 this morning. Said there was PD, FD, and Careflite at a house on/near Arapaho and Jupiter, and there was a hole in the back yard fence and a tree down.

I'm in Richardson, and there was no activitiy on the scanner, which was on during the phone call. So it had to be on the Garland side.

Anybody know what's going on?

Jeff

30089. Re: Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 17:32:11 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 23839 Message: 30089

Which frequency do I listen to for advance warning that Air Force one is going to buzz a major metropolitan area? LOL

--- In dfwscan@...m, "Brandon Thetford" <thetford569@...> wrote:
>
> 255.4 is Military Flight Service Station and Guard is indeed 243.0. The
> others you posted are correct as well for the Falcon Range Blaine. I don't
> have 341.0 programmed but I just put it in to see if I get any hits there.
>
> Brandon
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> Blaine Brooks
> Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 10:52 AM
> To: DFWSCAN Group
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Milair
>
> Hi Brian and group:
>
> Just a couple of corrections & questions from your list:
>
> 356.6 Falcon Range primary has been replaced by 363.7 as
> the primary, with 342.3 as the Backup. The former Backup of
> 344.5 is still being used however. I confirmed this about a
> month or so ago, when air assets were using this frequency
> for a nighttime sortie and laser targeting. I think it was the
> B-52's out of Barksdale.
>
> You have 255.4 as military Guard frequency. I have always
> had this as 243.0, however, I have never heard any emergencies
> on this frequency, military related. The only brief transmissions
> I have ever heard have been radio checks, and they have been
> few and far between.
>
> You have 341.0 as an FWARTCC freq at Dublin. Is this a discrete?
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
> Tested on: 4/29/2009 10:51:45 AM

> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
> _____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature
> database 4041 (20090428) _____
>
> The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.
>
> http://www.eset.com
>
>
>
>
> _____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature
> database 4041 (20090428) _____
>
> The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.
>
> http://www.eset.com
>

30090. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 18:06:58 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 23839 Message: 30090

And how much taxpayer money did that stunt waste for a few up-to-date photos?

Which frequency do I listen to for advance warning that Air Force one is going to buzz a major metropolitan area? LOL

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30091. Re: Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 18:37:37 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 23839 Message: 30091

On 4/29/2009 1:06 PM, Bill Boyer wrote:

> And how much taxpayer money did that stunt waste for a few up-to-date photos?

What I think is funny is how everyone freaked, and
didn't realize it was an Air Force One backup. I mean
"helooooooooo" ....big blue and white 747 with United
States Of America on the side....DUHHHHHHH......
and honestly, do they really believe that if it WAS a
hijacked terrorist plane, that an escorting F-16 would
allow it to get that close to buildings?....Come on!....

All they should have done was issued a press advisory
to radio, tv, and newspapers that it was being done, and
at what time. The people that didn't like it..., tough s**t!!

And these photo ops are nothing new. There are two
distinct pictures of the SAM/AF 1 craft over Mt. Vernon
and Mt. Rushmore....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/29/2009 1:37:38 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30092. FWARTCC Discrete?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 20:26:35 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30092 Message: 30092

323.3

Several DOOM and SKULL flights on this frequency throughout the early afternoon contacting Fort Worth Center. Don't have this one listed. I'm thinking it's "possibly" associated with the Ardmore site or maybe the Cumby RCAG sites, as one of the flights switched to 317.75 after this one.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/29/2009 3:26:35 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30093. Re: [dfwscan] FWARTCC Discrete?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 23:23:37 UTC
From: Jeffrey Smith
Topic: 30092 Message: 30093

CUMBY RCAG 132.025 317.750
ZFW26 Low
Egress from DFW Tracon
MillAir 323.300 AM

Foxxy 11 flight of 4 push 269.275
MilComm Southwest MillAir 323.300 AM

LUMP 01 T-38 - Single T-38, will have 4 solo pilots 15 minutes behind
MilComm Southwest MillAir 323.300 AM

RIVER 11 F/A-18/VFA-204 - Check in DIXIE 67 KC-135R/106th ARS - ARw/MONEY
01 F-15C/95th FS tail # 790072
MilComm Southwest MillAir 323.300 AM

SLOB 01 unknown fighter type @ 280
MilComm Southwest

On Wed, Apr 29, 2009 at 3:26 PM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>wrote:

>
>
> 323.3
>
> Several DOOM and SKULL flights on this frequency
> throughout the early afternoon contacting Fort Worth
> Center. Don't have this one listed. I'm thinking it's
> "possibly" associated with the Ardmore site or
> maybe the Cumby RCAG sites, as one of the flights
> switched to 317.75 after this one.
>
> Blaine
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
> Tested on: 4/29/2009 3:26:35 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30094. Re: [dfwscan] FWARTCC Discrete?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 23:25:34 UTC
From: Jeffrey Smith
Topic: 30092 Message: 30094

Sorry, Sent the info in errant. The 317.75 is the UHF pair for the Outbound traffic out of the DFW TRACON. The 323.3 Does have a few hits on the MilCom SW mailing list but not real info. I will look at the ATA-100 data and see if there is something new.

Jeffrey

On Wed, Apr 29, 2009 at 6:23 PM, Jeffrey Smith <n5tit.tx@...> wrote:

>
>
>
> CUMBY RCAG 132.025 317.750
> ZFW26 Low
> Egress from DFW Tracon
> MillAir 323.300 AM
>
>
> Foxxy 11 flight of 4 push 269.275
> MilComm Southwest MillAir 323.300 AM
>
>
> LUMP 01 T-38 - Single T-38, will have 4 solo pilots 15 minutes behind
> MilComm Southwest MillAir 323.300 AM
>
>
> RIVER 11 F/A-18/VFA-204 - Check in DIXIE 67 KC-135R/106th ARS - ARw/MONEY
> 01 F-15C/95th FS tail # 790072
> MilComm Southwest MillAir 323.300 AM
>
>
> SLOB 01 unknown fighter type @ 280
> MilComm Southwest
>
>
>
> On Wed, Apr 29, 2009 at 3:26 PM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> 323.3
>>
>> Several DOOM and SKULL flights on this frequency
>> throughout the early afternoon contacting Fort Worth
>> Center. Don't have this one listed. I'm thinking it's
>> "possibly" associated with the Ardmore site or

>> maybe the Cumby RCAG sites, as one of the flights
>> switched to 317.75 after this one.
>>
>> Blaine
>>
>> ---
>> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
>> Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
>> Tested on: 4/29/2009 3:26:35 PM
>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
>> http://www.avast.com
>>
>>
>>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30095. Re: FWARTCC Discrete?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-29 23:37:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30092 Message: 30095

On 4/29/2009 6:25 PM, Jeffrey Smith wrote:

> The 323.3 Does have a few hits
> on the MilCom SW mailing list but not real info. I will look at the
> ATA-100 data and see if there is something new.

Thanks Jeff. I wouldn't rely very much on the ATA-100
data unless you have an genuine FAA account, and access
to data within the last month or so. The same goes for DOD
access to updated (now classified) IFR supplement material.
Stuff that has been posted online for FREE download has been
generally inaccurate with what is currently being used in many
areas. I have found many new frequencies just from searching
225-400 mhz, and listening to hand offs from aircraft, such as
"Foxy 11 push 323.3". The same hold true for many of the VHF
center frequencies as well, however, since these are much more
heavily used, don't change as much.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090429-0, 04/29/2009
Tested on: 4/29/2009 6:37:39 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30096. Funny

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-30 03:21:15 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 26420 Message: 30096

Just heard Denton FD dispatched to a residence for a man with a large "knot" on his head. What happened? Well, apparently his son hit him over the head with a large skillet, and has left the scene. Too funny....

I hear strains of "Dueling Banjos"....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090429-0, 04/29/2009
Tested on: 4/29/2009 10:21:15 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30097. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-30 15:18:57 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 30045 Message: 30097

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "js_scan888" <js_scan888@...> wrote:
>
>
> I think this is complete now after finding another freq and doing the math to get the base and spacing freqs.
>
> 155.3025 Mhz WACN: 1 SYSID: 7 Channel 0x030 = 48 decimal (control)
> 155.4525 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x048 = 72 decimal
> 155.6025 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x060 = 96 decimal
>
> Base Freq: 155.0025 Mhz
> Spacing: 6.25 Khz
>
> My scanner rounds to 155.3000, 155.4500, 155.6000.
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "js_scan888" <js_scan888@> wrote:
> >
> >
> > I did find one freq for this system. It's 155.6025 NAC 007 (my scanner shows it as 155.6000). Maybe someone can listen on this freq for a while and determine who it is. I sent a group message about this yesterday but it never showed up, so I'm resending the info.

I was finally able to hear the control channel for this system last night out here in West Plano. I tried to see if I could get it to decode but alas it was way too weak.

Matt
http://www.dfwscanning.net/
http://twitter.com/dfwscanning

30098. Some loggings

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-04-30 19:15:05 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30098 Message: 30098

Earlier this morning about 11 AM CDT:

Caught two aircraft a/a on 233.6. Never
gave any ID's. Also, heard 141.075 a/a.
Also no ID's.

Also caught encrypted green comms on
367.725. Anyone have this as a possible
JSTARS or AWACS freq?

Thanks.

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx. (DFW)

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090429-0, 04/29/2009
Tested on: 4/30/2009 2:15:05 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30099. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 01:10:17 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30045 Message: 30099

Okay, thanks for trying. I know I had to move my little discone antenna around the room in order to receive a good signal. Finally found a small spot about 7.5 feet off the floor.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@...> wrote:
>
>
> I was finally able to hear the control channel for this system last night out here in West Plano. I tried to see if I could get it to decode but alas it was way too weak.
>
> Matt
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
> http://twitter.com/dfwscanning
>

30100. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 02:04:21 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 23839 Message: 30100

Hi Blaine & List,

Man, I even proofread my e-mail too :) I must be getting sloppy. Thanks for the corrections Blaine. I definitely messed up if I put 255.4 (Flight Service Stations/FSS) in as UHF Guard. I was thinking 243.0. I've heard plenty of transmissions over the years on 243.0, but never any emergency (which is of course its intended use) traffic. Coming up on this frequency is part of a checklist I think the JSTARS and AWACS aircraft go through before an exercise. They'll do a quick radio test and that's it. I do hear Navy Fort Worth JRB and Ft. Worth Center every morning doing their morning test as the airfield opens on 243.0 too. I'll also get an occasional EPIRB (Emergency Position Indication Radio Beacon = EPIRB I believe)going off due to some malfunction or accidental set off.

Thanks for the newer FALCON Range frequencies too. I'd seen you post not to long ago Blaine but forgot to check it out for myself and update my own records. I've reprogrammed the newer frequencies in now.

On my last typo, the 341.0 frequency, I was trying to type 314.0. This is an ARTCC and it's used around the Brownwood MOA a lot. The ground transmitter is located in Dublin, TX.

I'm glad you and Brandon caught the typos in frequencies. I completely missed them, and other than the 243.0 frequency, they're definitely important changes for catching some good MilAir traffic. 243.0 is a take it or leave it frequency to me. I just added it because it's nationwide. If I had all the memory or channels I needed to program it in, I'd take it (or add it). But if I had to limit the amount of data I could enter into a scanner, I'd leave it (out) since it really isn't used for its intended purpose of "Emergency" from my listening experience.

See everyone later...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 10:52 AM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Milair

Hi Brian and group:

Just a couple of corrections & questions from your list:

356.6 Falcon Range primary has been replaced by 363.7 as
the primary, with 342.3 as the Backup. The former Backup of
344.5 is still being used however. I confirmed this about a
month or so ago, when air assets were using this frequency
for a nighttime sortie and laser targeting. I think it was the
B-52's out of Barksdale.

You have 255.4 as military Guard frequency. I have always
had this as 243.0, however, I have never heard any emergencies
on this frequency, military related. The only brief transmissions
I have ever heard have been radio checks, and they have been
few and far between.

You have 341.0 as an FWARTCC freq at Dublin. Is this a discrete?

Blaine

30101. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 02:05:57 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 23839 Message: 30101

It should've been 314.0...sorry...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brandon Thetford
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 11:04 AM
To: 'Blaine Brooks'; 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Milair

255.4 is Military Flight Service Station and Guard is indeed 243.0. The others you posted are correct as well for the Falcon Range Blaine. I don't have 341.0 programmed but I just put it in to see if I get any hits there.

Brandon

30102. RE: [dfwscan] FWARTCC Discrete?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 02:17:02 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30092 Message: 30102

This is one of the frequencies you will hear the STRACOM EAM (Emergency Action Messages) on. The USN SCW-1 E-6B aircraft out of Tinker AFB can be heard on it if they're within monitoring range. They'll simulcast the EAM over 11.175 (and other HF frequencies) as well. I've never heard any Barksdale B-52 (DOOM/SKULL) traffic on it. Interesting...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 3:27 PM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] FWARTCC Discrete?

323.3

Several DOOM and SKULL flights on this frequency throughout the early afternoon contacting Fort Worth Center. Don't have this one listed. I'm thinking it's "possibly" associated with the Ardmore site or maybe the Cumby RCAG sites, as one of the flights switched to 317.75 after this one.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090428-0, 04/28/2009
Tested on: 4/29/2009 3:26:35 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30103. Re: FWARTCC Discrete?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 02:46:58 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30092 Message: 30103

On 4/30/2009 9:17 PM, commscan wrote:

> This is one of the frequencies you will hear the STRACOM EAM (Emergency
> Action Messages) on. The USN SCW-1 E-6B aircraft out of Tinker AFB can be
> heard on it if they're within monitoring range.

Yeah, another milcom monitor from the northeast said
this was an old navy freq too. But this was definitely
a Ft. Worth Center frequency as the aircraft said
"Ft. Worth center, DOOM XX, flight level XX..."
I'm not sure where the RCAG is for it, but I was thinking
Cumby out to the east. Maybe an auxilliary/discrete
for that site.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090430-0, 04/30/2009
Tested on: 4/30/2009 9:46:58 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30104. RE: [dfwscan] FWARTCC Discrete?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 02:54:30 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30092 Message: 30104

Let's see if I can mess up one more frequency and confuse everyone :)

I was referring to 323.8 when talking about the SCW-1 EAM traffic in the last e-mail. I missed that Blaine mentioned 323.3. I've never determined where this ARTCC transmitter (323.3) is either. I know it's used in East Texas a lot but that's about it.

Sorry for any confusion...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 9:17 PM
To: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] FWARTCC Discrete?

This is one of the frequencies you will hear the STRACOM EAM (Emergency Action Messages) on. The USN SCW-1 E-6B aircraft out of Tinker AFB can be heard on it if they're within monitoring range. They'll simulcast the EAM over 11.175 (and other HF frequencies) as well. I've never heard any Barksdale B-52 (DOOM/SKULL) traffic on it. Interesting...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 3:27 PM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] FWARTCC Discrete?

323.3

Several DOOM and SKULL flights on this frequency
throughout the early afternoon contacting Fort Worth
Center. Don't have this one listed. I'm thinking it's
"possibly" associated with the Ardmore site or
maybe the Cumby RCAG sites, as one of the flights
switched to 317.75 after this one.

Blaine

30105. BANDIT Callsign

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 03:32:44 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30105 Message: 30105

Hearing BANDIT 23 calling BANDIT on 303.0 common
talking about storms over Kingfisher, Oklahoma that
might be affecting going into Tulsa. I'm assuming this
is the 138th FW OK ANG flying the F-16's.

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090430-0, 04/30/2009
Tested on: 4/30/2009 10:32:44 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30106. Re: [dfwscan] BANDIT Callsign

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 03:53:44 UTC
From: thetford569@grandecom.net
Topic: 30105 Message: 30106

BANDIT is usually VFC-111 F-5s out of NAS Key West, FL

Brandon

Quoting Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>:

> Hearing BANDIT 23 calling BANDIT on 303.0 common
> talking about storms over Kingfisher, Oklahoma that
> might be affecting going into Tulsa. I'm assuming this
> is the 138th FW OK ANG flying the F-16's.
>
> Blaine Brooks
> Lewisville, Tx.
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090430-0, 04/30/2009
> Tested on: 4/30/2009 10:32:44 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

30107. RE: [dfwscan] BANDIT Callsign

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 14:35:13 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30105 Message: 30107

BANDIT is also an AETC (Air Education Training Command) callsign out of Sheppard AFB. Their an AETC base with many, many callsigns and a group of T-38 aircraft from there use BANDIT sometimes...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of thetford569@...
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 10:54 PM
To: Blaine Brooks
Cc: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] BANDIT Callsign

BANDIT is usually VFC-111 F-5s out of NAS Key West, FL

Brandon

Quoting Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>:

> Hearing BANDIT 23 calling BANDIT on 303.0 common
> talking about storms over Kingfisher, Oklahoma that
> might be affecting going into Tulsa. I'm assuming this
> is the 138th FW OK ANG flying the F-16's.
>
> Blaine Brooks
> Lewisville, Tx.
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090430-0, 04/30/2009
> Tested on: 4/30/2009 10:32:44 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30108. MilAir

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 15:33:57 UTC
From: Tim Johnson
Topic: 30105 Message: 30108

Roper 93 up on 226.900

Tim

Murphy, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30109. RE: [dfwscan] MilAir

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 15:49:42 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30105 Message: 30109

Hi Tim & List,

This is their primary ops fre3quency nowadays. These are the Texas Air National Guard (I think I called them Texas Army National Guard a few days ago) C-130 aircraft. I don't see so well nowadays, but if they're still painted the way they were years back, they have a camoflauge green paint scheme on the. 226.9 is a good frequency to hear them on because they do a lot of practice approach runs, as do their KC-130 USMC aerial refueling friends (VMGR-235/RANGER ##) too.

I'd plug in the Navy GCA/PAR (Ground Control Approach/Precision Approach Radar) frequencies used at the base if you are close enough to hear them. They (the GCA/PAR stuff) may get boring to listen to after a while, but they use these frequencies all of the time. In fact, many times in the past I've missed flights coming into the base because I had all of the GCA/PAR frequencies locked out. They just skip using the Tower frequencies sometimes.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tim Johnson
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 10:34 AM
To: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: [dfwscan] MilAir

Roper 93 up on 226.900

Tim

Murphy, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30110. Goofy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 16:59:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30110 Message: 30110

143.55

Crazy milair units playing rock and roll - and now country music - on their air to air freq. Loud and clear at my listening post. Pretty funny.

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090430-0, 04/30/2009
Tested on: 5/1/2009 11:59:28 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30111. Re: MilAir

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 17:41:07 UTC
From: David Dusse
Topic: 30105 Message: 30111

Air-to-air chatter on 246.900. Haven't caught any callsigns.

Dave

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tim Johnson" <timjohnson53@...> wrote:
>
> Roper 93 up on 226.900
>
>
>
> Tim
>
> Murphy, TX
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30112. MARS Operators in Ft. Worth Area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 18:46:24 UTC
From: aeromonitor
Topic: 30112 Message: 30112

I have been thinking about possibly becoming a MARS operator and would like some additional information about what is required.

I currently do not hold any license, but am currently working on my technician class license. Does a MARS operator require a general class license? What equipment is recommended?

I'm just starting this process, so I'm not even sure what else I should be asking. Any feedback/suggestions about how I should proceed would be greatly appreciated.

BRGDS
sward

30113. Another Careflite Frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 20:38:18 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 30113 Message: 30113

I been listening to 462.1750 PL 123.0 today and it definitely sounds like they are some type of ambulance. I did a search on the FCC and a license for North Central Texas Services shows up on that frequency which they are also licensed for 464.1000 down in Cedar Hill. Doing an address search for the licensee's address shows up as belonging to Careflite out of Grand Prairie. So I am guessing this could be a frequency maybe for Ellis County? Maybe someone else can confirm what I am hearing.

Matt
http://www.dfwscanning.net/
http://twitter.com/dfwscanning

30114. RE: [dfwscan] Another Careflite Frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 20:41:02 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 30113 Message: 30114

That is our Ellis County channel, it is on the same tower as CERN 464.100 on that big tower in cedar hill, you should hear us talking to medic 811 the Ferris truck

Dan Renois

KE5UZB

Flight Dispatcher

CareFlite Operations Center

(972) 660-2851 Office

(817) 988-6729 Cell

Email: drenois@...

For Medical Transports: (800) 442-6260

For Membership Information: (877) DFW-Care

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Matthew
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2009 15:38
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Another Careflite Frequency?

I been listening to 462.1750 PL 123.0 today and it definitely sounds like they are some type of ambulance. I did a search on the FCC and a license for North Central Texas Services shows up on that frequency which they are also licensed for 464.1000 down in Cedar Hill. Doing an address search for the licensee's address shows up as belonging to Careflite out of Grand Prairie. So I am guessing this could be a frequency maybe for Ellis County? Maybe someone else can confirm what I am hearing.

Matt
http://www.dfwscanning.net/
http://twitter.com/dfwscanning

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30115. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 20:41:27 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 30045 Message: 30115

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "js_scan888" <js_scan888@...> wrote:
>
> Okay, thanks for trying. I know I had to move my little discone antenna around the room in order to receive a good signal. Finally found a small spot about 7.5 feet off the floor.

That's pretty much what I had to do just to get any type of signal out this way. I am still hearing it out here right now but it's still very weak. Hopefully the conditions improve so I can get a decode running for a bit.

Matt
http://www.dfwscanning.net/
http://twitter.com/dfwscanning

30116. Re: [dfwscan] Another Careflite Frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 20:43:32 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30113 Message: 30116

On 5/1/2009 3:38 PM, Matthew wrote:

> I been listening to 462.1750 PL 123.0 today and it definitely sounds like they are some type of ambulance. I did a search on the FCC and a license for North Central Texas Services shows up on that frequency which they are also licensed for 464.1000 down in Cedar Hill. Doing an address search for the licensee's address shows up as belonging to Careflite out of Grand Prairie. So I am guessing this could be a frequency maybe for Ellis County? Maybe someone else can confirm what I am hearing.

Hi Matt and group -

Yeah, myself and a few others posted activity on the frequency a while back. It is the Ellis Co. Ops frequency for Careflight ground down there. I believe its 811 that is the medic, maybe more. But, it was heavily used between rescue and the birds on a very serious accident there not too long ago. Definitely a good one to keep in the scanner bank if you like monitoring Ellis Co. If something bad goes down, you'll most assuredly hear some activity here.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090501-0, 05/01/2009
Tested on: 5/1/2009 3:43:32 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30117. Re: [dfwscan] Another Careflite Frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-01 21:26:01 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 30113 Message: 30117

What is the one that you can hear the truck that they send to cover
Ferris when medic 811 is on calls?

Blaine Brooks wrote:
>
>
> On 5/1/2009 3:38 PM, Matthew wrote:
>
> > I been listening to 462.1750 PL 123.0 today and it definitely sounds
> like they are some type of ambulance. I did a search on the FCC and a
> license for North Central Texas Services shows up on that frequency
> which they are also licensed for 464.1000 down in Cedar Hill. Doing an
> address search for the licensee's address shows up as belonging to
> Careflite out of Grand Prairie. So I am guessing this could be a
> frequency maybe for Ellis County? Maybe someone else can confirm what
> I am hearing.
>
> Hi Matt and group -
>
> Yeah, myself and a few others posted activity on the frequency a
> while back. It is the Ellis Co. Ops frequency for Careflight ground
> down there. I believe its 811 that is the medic, maybe more. But,
> it was heavily used between rescue and the birds on a very serious
> accident there not too long ago. Definitely a good one to keep in
> the scanner bank if you like monitoring Ellis Co. If something bad
> goes down, you'll most assuredly hear some activity here.
>
> Blaine
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090501-0, 05/01/2009
> Tested on: 5/1/2009 3:43:32 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com <http://www.avast.com>
>
>

30118. Re: [dfwscan] BANDIT Callsign

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 01:04:30 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30105 Message: 30118

On 5/1/2009 9:35 AM, commscan wrote:

> BANDIT is also an AETC (Air Education Training Command) callsign out of
> Sheppard AFB. Their an AETC base with many, many callsigns and a group of
> T-38 aircraft from there use BANDIT sometimes...

Thanks Brian.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090501-0, 05/01/2009
Tested on: 5/1/2009 8:04:31 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30119. Re: P25 Trunking Control Channel 155.3025

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 01:50:11 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30045 Message: 30119

The control channel changed over to 155.6025 this evening. Now I can hardly hear the control channel, but the voice channel on 155.3025 is very active right now 8:50 pm Friday.

30120. Re: Goofy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 01:42:56 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30110 Message: 30120

I caught a little bit of "Hootie and the Blowfish" after you mentioned it.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> 143.55
>
> Crazy milair units playing rock and roll - and now country
> music - on their air to air freq. Loud and clear at my
> listening post. Pretty funny.
>
>
> Blaine Brooks
> Lewisville, Tx.
>
>

30121. Re: Another Careflite Frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 02:05:52 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 30113 Message: 30121

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
> Hi Matt and group -
>
> Yeah, myself and a few others posted activity on the frequency a
> while back. It is the Ellis Co. Ops frequency for Careflight ground
> down there. I believe its 811 that is the medic, maybe more. But,
> it was heavily used between rescue and the birds on a very serious
> accident there not too long ago. Definitely a good one to keep in
> the scanner bank if you like monitoring Ellis Co. If something bad
> goes down, you'll most assuredly hear some activity here.
>
>
> Blaine

Hey Blaine and Daniel,

Thanks for the confirmation. I am guessing I should pay more attention to the group. Hehe. Looks like I will have to put this one in my radio as well and keep a listen out on it.

Matt
http://www.dfwscanning.net/
http://twitter.com/dfwscanning

30122. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Goofy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 02:18:12 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30110 Message: 30122

On 5/1/2009 8:42 PM, js_scan888 wrote:

> I caught a little bit of "Hootie and the Blowfish" after you mentioned it.

Yeah, the fly guys went through my entire playlist
of my radio history in about five minutes. Flashback!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090501-0, 05/01/2009
Tested on: 5/1/2009 9:18:12 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30123. Dallas Police Site

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 05:10:29 UTC
From: nolimit2004042004
Topic: 30123 Message: 30123

Im on the Dallas Police site and saw these codes and was wondering what they mean!!

DAEF-Dist Armed Encounter Foot

DASF-Dist Active Shooter Foot

30124. FWARTCC Discrete?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 16:54:46 UTC
From: NSAWC1
Topic: 30092 Message: 30124

323.300 is KZFW not sure where in NE Tx it is located
317.750 is Cumby paired w/132.065
141.075 is used by the TBirds.

Cheers

Let's see if I can mess up one more frequency and confuse everyone :)

I was referring to 323.8 when talking about the SCW-1 EAM traffic in the last e-mail. I missed that Blaine mentioned 323.3. I've never determined where this ARTCC transmitter (323.3) is either. I know it's used in East Texas a lot but that's about it.

Sorry for any confusion...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2009 9:17 PM
To: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] FWARTCC Discrete?

This is one of the frequencies you will hear the STRACOM EAM (Emergency Action Messages) on. The USN SCW-1 E-6B aircraft out of Tinker AFB can be heard on it if they're within monitoring range. They'll simulcast the EAM over 11.175 (and other HF frequencies) as well. I've never heard any Barksdale B-52 (DOOM/SKULL) traffic on it. Interesting. ..

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2009 3:27 PM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] FWARTCC Discrete?

323.3

Several DOOM and SKULL flights on this frequency
throughout the early afternoon contacting Fort Worth
Center. Don't have this one listed. I'm thinking it's
"possibly" associated with the Ardmore site or
maybe the Cumby RCAG sites, as one of the flights

switched to 317.75 after this one.

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30125. Re: Another Careflite Frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 17:48:06 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30113 Message: 30125

On 5/1/2009 4:26 PM, Justin Lentz wrote:

> What is the one that you can hear the truck that they send to cover
> Ferris when medic 811 is on calls?

Justin -

Are you referring to the FREQUENCY or the UNIT
NUMBER of the engine?

I personally do not monitor Ellis County on a
continuous basis. I'm much more concerned
with the MVA or 2 alarmer in Lewisville, Highland
Village, or Flo Mo... than Waxahachie....LOL....

Plus, I gotta make sure my bud Brandon doesn't
get pig flu (excuse me H1N1) so he can drive the
Quint and protect all the fine folks out there in
Loserville - translation - ME!! ....:)

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090501-0, 05/01/2009
Tested on: 5/2/2009 12:48:07 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30126. DCFD Ops 1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 19:56:13 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30126 Message: 30126

Just heard a fire unit say they were on scene at some location
with at least three victims, all struck by lightning.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090502-0, 05/02/2009
Tested on: 5/2/2009 2:56:14 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30127. Re: [dfwscan] MARS Operators in Ft. Worth Area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 20:22:47 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30112 Message: 30127

I used to be in Air Force MARS about 15 years ago.
They may have changes some things since then but as far as I know
You have to have a ham license (any class).
You have to have HF capability.
You have to participate a certain number of hours each quarter.

There are 3 MARS branches, Army, Air Force, and Navy-Marine Corps.
For more info see their web sites:
http://www.netcom.army.mil/mars
http://www.txarmymars.org
http://public.afca.af.mil/library/factsheets/factsheet.asp?fsID=7037
http://www.qsl.net/aga4dy
http://www.navymars.org
Maybe somebody who is currently a member can help you more.

Tom

----- Original Message ----
> From: aeromonitor <aeromonitor@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 1, 2009 1:46:24 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] MARS Operators in Ft. Worth Area?
>
> I have been thinking about possibly becoming a MARS operator and would like some
> additional information about what is required.
>
> I currently do not hold any license, but am currently working on my technician
> class license. Does a MARS operator require a general class license? What
> equipment is recommended?
>
> I'm just starting this process, so I'm not even sure what else I should be
> asking. Any feedback/suggestions about how I should proceed would be greatly
> appreciated.
>
> BRGDS
> sward
ahoo.com/info/terms/

30128. Two confirmed structure fires

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 20:43:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30128 Message: 30128

Little Elm working two structure fires in their city.
Not sure where first one is, the other is at 1908
Bradford Pear, with units enroute. Haven't paged
out on IPN because I need more details.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090502-0, 05/02/2009
Tested on: 5/2/2009 3:43:42 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30129. Cover on Valley Ranch training facility collapsed from storm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 21:11:05 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 30129 Message: 30129

No more big grey top!

30130. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Another Careflite Frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 21:32:02 UTC
From: Justin Lentz
Topic: 30113 Message: 30130

I am referring to the frequency that the cover unit uses

Blaine Brooks wrote:
>
>
> On 5/1/2009 4:26 PM, Justin Lentz wrote:
>
> > What is the one that you can hear the truck that they send to cover
> > Ferris when medic 811 is on calls?
>
> Justin -
>
> Are you referring to the FREQUENCY or the UNIT
> NUMBER of the engine?
>
> I personally do not monitor Ellis County on a
> continuous basis. I'm much more concerned
> with the MVA or 2 alarmer in Lewisville, Highland
> Village, or Flo Mo... than Waxahachie....LOL....
>
> Plus, I gotta make sure my bud Brandon doesn't
> get pig flu (excuse me H1N1) so he can drive the
> Quint and protect all the fine folks out there in
> Loserville - translation - ME!! ....:)
>
> Blaine
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090501-0, 05/01/2009
> Tested on: 5/2/2009 12:48:07 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com <http://www.avast.com>
>
>

30131. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Another Careflite Frequency?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-02 21:49:07 UTC
From: Robert Cross
Topic: 30113 Message: 30131

Depends on who covers it. Typically it's a Dallas ground unit
operating on CareFlite ltr passport system (500 series unit)

Sent from my iPhone

Robert Cross
(817) 832-1700

On May 2, 2009, at 16:32, Justin Lentz <justinlentz@...>
wrote:

>
>
> I am referring to the frequency that the cover unit uses
>
> Blaine Brooks wrote:
> >
> >
> > On 5/1/2009 4:26 PM, Justin Lentz wrote:
> >
> > > What is the one that you can hear the truck that they send to
> cover
> > > Ferris when medic 811 is on calls?
> >
> > Justin -
> >
> > Are you referring to the FREQUENCY or the UNIT
> > NUMBER of the engine?
> >
> > I personally do not monitor Ellis County on a
> > continuous basis. I'm much more concerned
> > with the MVA or 2 alarmer in Lewisville, Highland
> > Village, or Flo Mo... than Waxahachie....LOL....
> >
> > Plus, I gotta make sure my bud Brandon doesn't
> > get pig flu (excuse me H1N1) so he can drive the
> > Quint and protect all the fine folks out there in
> > Loserville - translation - ME!! ....:)
> >
> > Blaine
> >
> > ---
> > avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> > Virus Database (VPS): 090501-0, 05/01/2009
> > Tested on: 5/2/2009 12:48:07 PM
> > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.

> > http://www.avast.com <http://www.avast.com>
> >
> >
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30132. Weekly FCC grants April 26-May 02

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-03 11:12:31 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30132 Message: 30132

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30133. SCCA race at TMS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 03:05:57 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 30133 Message: 30133

The SCCA Texas Region used the following freqs for the races at TMS this weekend:

151.625(PL 136.5) Net A--Corners/Race Control
151.925(PL 136.5) Net B--Race Stewards/Admin
464.425---TMS frequency--used for wreckers

Thanks.

Scott

30134. Bluemound/820 area

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 04:46:54 UTC
From: Michael Huyck
Topic: 30134 Message: 30134

hello group im in from Houston off the 4900 block of bluemound just seen a red ladder truck headed north on Bluemound towards 820 was wondering whats going on im in Ft worths area and they are white and blue stripes never seen this ladder before and weres it from ???? thanks for the help Michael Huyck Fedex Driver Houston texas/ ex/Firefighter Volunteer.
713-816-9110

30135. RE: [dfwscan] Bluemound/820 area

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 16:41:50 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30134 Message: 30135

Both Blue Mound and Saginaw in that immediate area have red apparatus. It wouldn't be unusual to see either of them there.

Rob

On Sunday, May 3, 2009 23:46, "Michael Huyck" <hootxcoordn@...> said:

> hello group im in from Houston off the 4900 block of bluemound just seen a red
> ladder truck headed north on Bluemound towards 820 was wondering whats going on
> im in Ft worths area and they are white and blue stripes never seen this ladder
> before and weres it from ???? thanks for the help Michael Huyck Fedex Driver
> Houston texas/ ex/Firefighter Volunteer.
> 713-816-9110
>
>

30136. RE: [dfwscan] Bluemound/820 area

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 17:13:50 UTC
From: Renois, Daniel
Topic: 30134 Message: 30136

Blue Mound does not have an aerial, Saginaw does

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com on behalf of rob.davis@...
Sent: Mon 5/4/2009 11:41 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Bluemound/820 area

Both Blue Mound and Saginaw in that immediate area have red apparatus. It wouldn't be unusual to see either of them there.

Rob

On Sunday, May 3, 2009 23:46, "Michael Huyck" <hootxcoordn@...> said:

> hello group im in from Houston off the 4900 block of bluemound just seen a red
> ladder truck headed north on Bluemound towards 820 was wondering whats going on
> im in Ft worths area and they are white and blue stripes never seen this ladder
> before and weres it from ???? thanks for the help Michael Huyck Fedex Driver
> Houston texas/ ex/Firefighter Volunteer.
> 713-816-9110
>
>

30137. T-Birds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 19:49:13 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 24808 Message: 30137

141.075 Thunderbirds A/A - "Thunderbird O1"
129.75 Thunderbird 01 calling Fort Worth Alliance FBO

now sound like they are making a stop at FWNASJRB

Not sure where they are headed to.

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090504-0, 05/04/2009
Tested on: 5/4/2009 2:49:14 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30138. Digital Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 21:34:58 UTC
From: megofishn2004
Topic: 30138 Message: 30138

Hello group.

Getting ready to make the big switch from my Pro-90'S scanners to Digital and hope I did not miss a topic on which model is the best and most popular?
Digital is new to me but I have had the Prp97 since it came out and started back with the Pro-82??.

Thanks in advance,

30139. RE: [dfwscan] Digital Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 21:49:29 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30138 Message: 30139

My current favorites are the Uniden BCD396XT for a handheld and the BCD996T
for a mobile/base.

Both are available for the best price I've seen at
http://www.usascan.com/files/scanners.html

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/

> Hello group.

Getting ready to make the big switch from my Pro-90'S scanners to Digital
and hope I did not miss a topic on which model is the best and most popular?
Digital is new to me but I have had the Prp97 since it came out and started
back with the Pro-82??.

Thanks in advance,

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30140. Re: Digital Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 21:56:16 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30138 Message: 30140

On 5/4/2009 4:34 PM, megofishn2004 wrote:

> Getting ready to make the big switch to Digital and which model is the best and most popular?

A matter of personal preference, but the choice of many
on this list are either the BC396/BC996 or the GRE PSR500 & 600.

And don't forget Uniden is coming out with a new scanner
the 996XT.

Of course, if ya got the dough....the best "radio" is a Motorola
XTS-5000....:)

Be prepared to have plenty of extra money to make the
transition. These radios aren't cheap. Personally, I have
the GRE PSR-500 and love it. Great digital decoding, and
NAC decoding & search.

Also, be prepared to spend extra cash on a software program
to input frequencies, etc.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090504-0, 05/04/2009
Tested on: 5/4/2009 4:56:17 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30141. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Digital Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 22:04:02 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30138 Message: 30141

Cool! I love the 396XT, and want to upgrade the old 780's with the 996XT.

The extra software Blaine mentioned is a good point. However, there's a great freeware program, that you'll want to make a donation for, after using.

http://www.scannow.org/

Ben

> Note that for the base we just announced new models (but they are still several months away).

http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/WhatsNew

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30142. Re: [dfwscan] Digital Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 22:06:44 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 30138 Message: 30142

Note that for the base we just announced new models (but they are still several months away).

http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/WhatsNew

_____
From: Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>
To: megofishn2004 <megofishn@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2009 4:49:29 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Digital Scanner

My current favorites are the Uniden BCD396XT for a handheld and the BCD996T for a mobile/base.

Both are available for the best price I've seen at http://www.usascan. com/files/ scanners. html

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/

> Hello group.

Getting ready to make the big switch from my Pro-90'S scanners to Digital and hope I did not miss a topic on which model is the best and most popular? Digital is new to me but I have had the Prp97 since it came out and started back with the Pro-82??.

Thanks in advance,

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30143. RE: [dfwscan] Digital Scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-04 22:17:22 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 30138 Message: 30143

You'll probably find there's two schools of thought, Uniden or GRE. The Unidens seem to make more sense in the way they program. If you're looking at a handheld I'd go the 396XT. The 996 XT is coming out in a few months and I would wait for it rather than getting the current 996. Not a lot of differences from the non-XT but NAC decode would be worth it for me.

I have 4 of the 996 and one 396. I've also recommended these for a couple of TV stations in the area. FOX 4 has bought these for their news units and are running them on the assignment desk as well with good luck. I have one sitting on my desk now waiting to be programmed for another news project.

Another plus is Paul Opitz is on this list and several others. He's a production manager for Uniden and gets input from users first hand. Uniden also includes the software and programming cable with their products.

Bottom line is both companies make good products. The way the user interfaces with the radio is very different (at least to me!).

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of megofishn2004
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2009 4:35 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Digital Scanner

Hello group.

Getting ready to make the big switch from my Pro-90'S scanners to Digital and hope I did not miss a topic on which model is the best and most popular? Digital is new to me but I have had the Prp97 since it came out and started back with the Pro-82??.

Thanks in advance,

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30144. Re: Fort Worth NAS JRB Air Show

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-05 04:27:55 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30065 Message: 30144

On 4/28/2009 9:16 AM, KC5RHB wrote:

> For all you Aviation and Milair Junkies,
>
> The FWNAS JRB Air Show is May 16 and 17. Here's a link I found.

Here's the main web page for the show:

http://www.airpowerexpo.com/3101.html

Attending
? Ed Hamill
? Gordon Bowman-Jones
? Halcones Acrobatic Squadron
? Indy Boys fna Speed for Hire
? Jan Collmer Airshows
? Trojan Phlyers
? USAF Academy Wings of Blue
? USAF F-16 West Viper Demo
? USAF Heritage Flight
? USN F/A-18F Super Hornet West Demo

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090504-1, 05/04/2009
Tested on: 5/4/2009 11:27:55 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30145. Scanner Repair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-05 07:19:38 UTC
From: Bill Anderson
Topic: 11809 Message: 30145

Anyone know where I can get two old Regency Hi/Lo Monitor Radios repaired?

Bill Anderson

30146. 353.65 GCA/ATC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-05 11:55:29 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30146 Message: 30146

I'm receiving an ARTCC or Regional Approach/Departure transmitter this morning on 353.65, one of the Navy Fort Worth GCA/PAR frequencies. I know 981.6 is another GCA/PAR frequency this happens on occasionally, but this is the first time I've heard it on 353.65. The 353.65 site is too far off to be heard clearly out here by the base, but nevertheless, it can be heard for the most part.

If anyone else should plug in this frequency and receive the air traffic control tower from their location, please let me/the group know what general vicinity you hear it in. I'd be interested in knowing what area it may cover.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30147. RE: [dfwscan] 353.65 GCA/ATC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-05 12:04:06 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30146 Message: 30147

Ahhhhh!...how about 381.6 instead of 981.6 in the below message...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2009 6:55 AM
To: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: [dfwscan] 353.65 GCA/ATC

I'm receiving an ARTCC or Regional Approach/Departure transmitter this morning on 353.65, one of the Navy Fort Worth GCA/PAR frequencies. I know 981.6 is another GCA/PAR frequency this happens on occasionally, but this is the first time I've heard it on 353.65. The 353.65 site is too far off to be heard clearly out here by the base, but nevertheless, it can be heard for the most part.

If anyone else should plug in this frequency and receive the air traffic control tower from their location, please let me/the group know what general vicinity you hear it in. I'd be interested in knowing what area it may cover.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30148. Re: 353.65 GCA/ATC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-05 13:15:35 UTC
From: David Dusse
Topic: 30146 Message: 30148

I'm getting regional departure on 353.95 from Flower Mound, but nothing heard on 353.65 so far.

Dave

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
> I'm receiving an ARTCC or Regional Approach/Departure transmitter this
> morning on 353.65, one of the Navy Fort Worth GCA/PAR frequencies. I know
> 981.6 is another GCA/PAR frequency this happens on occasionally, but this is
> the first time I've heard it on 353.65. The 353.65 site is too far off to
> be heard clearly out here by the base, but nevertheless, it can be heard for
> the most part.
>
>
>
> If anyone else should plug in this frequency and receive the air traffic
> control tower from their location, please let me/the group know what general
> vicinity you hear it in. I'd be interested in knowing what area it may
> cover.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30149. Re: [dfwscan] Scanner Repair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-05 14:21:52 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 11809 Message: 30149

Bill Anderson wrote:
>
> Anyone know where I can get two old Regency Hi/Lo Monitor Radios repaired?

Bill, give Charlie a call. I do not know if he works on these radios,
but he can fix anything else.

<http://www.charlieselectronics.com/>

--
Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

W H Reynolds, K8DNE
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

30150. TGID being cleared for Dallas Local Gov (MOT IIi Hybrid)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-05 14:51:55 UTC
From: douggtpp
Topic: 30150 Message: 30150

Can anyone tell me why the TGID for Dallas City Local Gov get cleared every time I upload them to my 396XT. It does it both in Proscan & Freescan. Its the only system that this happens to.

30151. RE: [dfwscan] Re: 353.65 GCA/ATC

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-05 16:31:39 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30146 Message: 30151

Thanks David. I'm guessing that from Flower Mound you probably would hear the DFW International Airport sites so it might be the Alliance site being heard on 353.65 this morning. I get the Alliance site good sometimes and about a scratchy as I was getting 353.65 this morning at other times. Sometimes, I don't hear the Alliance site at all. I'm not sure where many of the DFW Regional A/D sites may be, but for my area 257.95 is the primary and strongest and is paired with 125.8. Most all inbound and outbound traffic from the base uses one of the two frequencies.

Thanks for the report David...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of David Dusse
Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2009 8:16 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: 353.65 GCA/ATC

I'm getting regional departure on 353.95 from Flower Mound, but nothing heard on 353.65 so far.

Dave

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
> I'm receiving an ARTCC or Regional Approach/Departure transmitter this
> morning on 353.65, one of the Navy Fort Worth GCA/PAR frequencies. I know
> 981.6 is another GCA/PAR frequency this happens on occasionally, but this
is
> the first time I've heard it on 353.65. The 353.65 site is too far off to
> be heard clearly out here by the base, but nevertheless, it can be heard
for
> the most part.
>
>
>
> If anyone else should plug in this frequency and receive the air traffic
> control tower from their location, please let me/the group know what
general
> vicinity you hear it in. I'd be interested in knowing what area it may
> cover.
>
>

>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30152. Northstar MUX

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-06 17:39:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30152 Message: 30152

Getting aircraft burning GEP site on 370.1 and
350.0 WFM this lunch hour. Some LSB on 370.1
but I don't have that on my BC 780. No ID's so
far.

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090505-0, 05/05/2009
Tested on: 5/6/2009 12:39:50 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30153. Two MilAir Unknowns - 141.9 & 290.5

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-06 20:17:07 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30153 Message: 30153

Hi Group,

I was listening a little earlier this afternoon to some aerial refueling (AR) work on 141.900 AM. I☐ve never confirmed who this is and I☐ve periodically heard the frequency used (as well as some other VHF-Hi AM freqs.) over the years for AR work. In 8/2003, I was able to confirm this frequency, 141.900 AM, as a backup for the Rivers MOA. It☐s also Ellington☐s F-16 squadron☐s Push 07-V. Has anyone else ever caught anything on this frequency that may help determine who☐s using it for AR work?

Also, I was hearing traffic from an aircraft on 290.5. It☐s possible this is Kansas City Center (ARTCC), but I don☐t think that☐s what I was hearing today. The last time I noted traffic on this frequency was 9/5/03. So it☐s been 5 ½ years since I☐ve heard anything on it (a lot is due to my lack of monitoring MilAir lately I☐m sure) and I could never find a listing for the frequency other than in the USIFR Supplement (wasn☐t in the USVFR Supplement). And since 2006, these publications aren☐t as easily obtainable as they use to be. Any ideas on 290.5 anyone?

Thanks

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30154. Re: Two MilAir Unknowns - 141.9 & 290.5

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-06 20:36:42 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30153 Message: 30154

On 5/6/2009 3:17 PM, commscan wrote:

> I was listening a little earlier this afternoon to some aerial refueling
> (AR) work on 141.900 AM.

Hi Brian and group -

FWIW - I have heard activity on both of these frequencies
but I don't have confirms either. I believe 290.5 "may" be in
use up around Wichita Falls, but not sure. Seems that if it
was, it would be too close in frequency to the Abilene RCAG
on 290.55. But I haven't heard that freq active recently, so
maybe it's changed?

The 141.9 is anyone's guess right now. Honestly, just from
searching I have come up with so many a/a hits on UHF &
VHF, it's hard to track them down. Usually I have the
PRO-2006 scanning the "known" freqs, and the BC 780
"searching" and sometimes I can correlate the two with
what I'm hearing on the "known" freqs and vice versa.

Although I didn't post it because it was rather brief, 278.5
a FWARTCC freq was extremely active yesterday with refueling
training over Wichita Falls. Never got any aircraft callsigns, but
it was fun listening to the newbies get evaluated.

Earlier today I do know 288.3 for Rivers MOA was active,
but again, didn't get any callsigns. Not sure if what you heard
is related or not.

Also, lately, I have been listening to Whiteman AFB online on
the Proscan server. Pretty cool stuff. A ton of callsigns as well
as the B-2's. Very fun listening. I usually have that going in the
background on the speakers.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/6/2009 3:36:42 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30155. RE: Two MilAir Unknowns - 141.9 & 290.5

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-06 22:39:14 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30153 Message: 30155

Hello All,

Thanks for the feedback Blaine. I too heard practice AR work yesterday. It appeared that TURBO ## (McConnell AFB 22nd ARW/931st ARG KC-135R aircraft) had some newbies onboard and they practiced refueling two Lockheed F-16s (ROCKET 07 & 17). I heard the actual AR work being conducted on 284.1, which is Lockheed's U-01 Primary channel. They also periodically switched to 290.3 (at the time I was thinking Ft. Worth Center but I see now it was Amarillo's Regional A/D). I never did catch the Center's frequency being used for the ATC traffic but I bet it was 278.5, the frequency you were listening to Blaine. I imagine Lockheed wasn't the only refueling aircraft during the training yesterday but I bet the AR track was probably used a few times.

The more I create, add new data, and organize my MilAir information for reprogramming the AOR AR8000, the more I realize how much PC programming helps!!! I'm starting to wonder how in the world we programmed 1000 channel radios with alpha tags and all the modes, step sizes, etc back 10 years ago :) If all works out well, I should be able to reprogram the AR8000 by PC before too long. But I still cannot stop programming stuff in via the keypad either.

Have radio, will program... :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:bbrooks68@...]
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2009 3:37 PM
To: commscan
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Two MilAir Unknowns - 141.9 & 290.5

On 5/6/2009 3:17 PM, commscan wrote:

> I was listening a little earlier this afternoon to some aerial refueling
> (AR) work on 141.900 AM.

Hi Brian and group -

...Although I didn't post it because it was rather brief, 278.5
a FWARTCC freq was extremely active yesterday with refueling
training over Wichita Falls. Never got any aircraft callsigns, but
it was fun listening to the newbies get evaluated...

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/6/2009 3:36:42 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30156. RE: Two MilAir Unknowns - 141.9 & 290.5

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 02:07:21 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30153 Message: 30156

Hi Group,

I just got finished doing a little research in the last published USIFR Supplement (the one you could get before they "classified" or whatever they did to the publication) pdf document. I realized I'd been thinking correctly on 290.3 all along. I guess I'd just forgotten to update my own records. 290.3 serves as a Fort Worth ARTCC Abilene/Brownwood low altitude discrete transmitter site and also as Regional A/D control for the same areas too. It is an air traffic control frequency as well as a regional approach and departure frequency.

So, I guess the AR work I heard yesterday with the two Lockheed F-16s was being conducted in the Brownwood MOA area and not up around Wichita Falls where Blaine heard some more AR training being done.

I have in my own personal database 290.3 listed with Amarillo International Airport and as Amarillo A/D Control. I have a sneaky feeling that I meant to type Abilene when I typed Amarillo.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: commscan [mailto:commscan-ftw@...]
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2009 5:39 PM
To: 'Blaine Brooks'; 'dfwscan@yahoogroups.com'
Subject: RE: Two MilAir Unknowns - 141.9 & 290.5

Hello All,

Thanks for the feedback Blaine. I too heard practice AR work yesterday. It appeared that TURBO ## (McConnell AFB 22nd ARW/931st ARG KC-135R aircraft) had some newbies onboard and they practiced refueling two Lockheed F-16s (ROCKET 07 & 17). I heard the actual AR work being conducted on 284.1, which is Lockheed's U-01 Primary channel. They also periodically switched to 290.3 (at the time I was thinking Ft. Worth Center but I see now it was Amarillo's Regional A/D). I never did catch the Center's frequency being used for the ATC traffic but I bet it was 278.5, the frequency you were listening to Blaine. I imagine Lockheed wasn't the only refueling aircraft during the training yesterday but I bet the AR track was probably used a few times.

The more I create, add new data, and organize my MilAir information for reprogramming the AOR AR8000, the more I realize how much PC programming helps!!! I'm starting to wonder how in the world we programmed 1000 channel radios with alpha tags and all the modes, step sizes, etc back 10 years ago :) If all works out well, I should be able to reprogram the AR8000 by PC

before too long. But I still cannot stop programming stuff in via the
keypad either.

Have radio, will program... :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:bbrooks68@...]
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2009 3:37 PM
To: commscan
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Two MilAir Unknowns - 141.9 & 290.5

On 5/6/2009 3:17 PM, commscan wrote:

> I was listening a little earlier this afternoon to some aerial refueling
> (AR) work on 141.900 AM.

Hi Brian and group -

...Although I didn't post it because it was rather brief, 278.5
a FWARTCC freq was extremely active yesterday with refueling
training over Wichita Falls. Never got any aircraft callsigns, but
it was fun listening to the newbies get evaluated...

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/6/2009 3:36:42 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30157. Re: TGID being cleared for Dallas Local Gov (MOT IIi Hybrid)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 02:23:47 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30150 Message: 30157

I'm assuming that you have the system set up as a hybrid, with the fleet map, and all your talk groups are in the Type I format (003-01) as opposed to Type II (1664).

I just tried reading back my 396XT into FreeScan to see what I get. I had a few leftover talkgroups (three to be exact) in Type I format. That's required if you set the system up correctly as a a hybrid. These were Fair Park related, & uploaded to the software as zeros, so they did not transfer correctly. Is that what you're seeing?

Quite some time back, I edited the system & converted it to a straight Motorola Type II 800mhz system. With the exception of the stray talkgroups mentioned (which did not work anyway after conversion, but I had not gone back & deleted them), all talkgroups in this system in the 396XT (as well as the 396T, 330T, etc) are entered in the Type II format. Those all downloaded to the scanner correctly, & upload back up into FreeScan correctly for me. (I haven't tried ProScan, so can't help you there.)

There are only a few talkgroups that are still Type I.
Looking at the system: http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18
Besides the three for Fair Park, you see some under Public Works. But, in fact, a number of those have apparently been replaced by Type II TGID's listed below the Type I's. If you move the system to a Type II, you won't miss much of consequence.

If my guess on your error is correct, you might want to pass the problem on to the software authors. There are separate dedicated threads for each program on RadioReference in the software forum:
http://www.radioreference.com/forums/scanner-programming-software/

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "douggtpp" <dougg@...> wrote:
>
> Can anyone tell me why the TGID for Dallas City Local Gov get cleared every time I upload them to my 396XT. It does it both in Proscan & Freescan. Its the only system that this happens to.
>

30158. Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 18:59:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30158 Message: 30158

Lockheed was up not too long ago on 123.575
doing some testing, and just got an IPN page
of a very large explosion heard in the area of
North Tarrant and Eagle Mtn. lake, a known
operating area for them. Hmmmm......

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/7/2009 1:59:57 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30159. RE: [dfwscan] Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 19:16:17 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30158 Message: 30159

Hello All,

You hit the head on the nail! It appears it was a sonic boom. Navy Tower just told Air 1 that it was an F-16 that created the boom and they were going to make another pass. The first pass shook the shingles off my house (not really) and now that I know what it was, it was COOL! I hope they do it again...:)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 2:00 PM
To: DFWSCAN Group
Subject: [dfwscan] Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Lockheed was up not too long ago on 123.575
doing some testing, and just got an IPN page
of a very large explosion heard in the area of
North Tarrant and Eagle Mtn. lake, a known
operating area for them. Hmmmm......

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/7/2009 1:59:57 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30160. Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 19:40:12 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30158 Message: 30160

On 5/7/2009 2:16 PM, commscan wrote:

> You hit the head on the nail! It appears it was a sonic boom.

So, I wonder who gets the ass kickin' at Lockheed
for making all these sonic booms quite frequently
over a populated area? or if it's just of case of "Oh,
we're so sorry..."

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/7/2009 2:40:13 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30161. Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 19:42:18 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30158 Message: 30161

Maybe it's practice for the next Scareforce One sighting HAHAHAHA

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> On 5/7/2009 2:16 PM, commscan wrote:
>
> > You hit the head on the nail! It appears it was a sonic boom.
>
> So, I wonder who gets the ass kickin' at Lockheed
> for making all these sonic booms quite frequently
> over a populated area? or if it's just of case of "Oh,
> we're so sorry..."
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
> Tested on: 5/7/2009 2:40:13 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

30162. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 19:43:44 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 30158 Message: 30162

I know they do "supersonic runs" on purpose with the new F-16s as part of the test flights before they deliver them although generally that is not done in that area. I think it's done further north towards the Red River over the less populated areas and at a higher altitude. I know in the past Northwestern Denton County has received the same kinds of calls of explosions that turned out to be Lockheed. In this case it might have been that they just got a little fast without realizing it.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 2:40 PM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

On 5/7/2009 2:16 PM, commscan wrote:

> You hit the head on the nail! It appears it was a sonic boom.

So, I wonder who gets the ass kickin' at Lockheed
for making all these sonic booms quite frequently
over a populated area? or if it's just of case of "Oh,
we're so sorry..."

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/7/2009 2:40:13 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4054 (20090505) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4054 (20090505) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30163. RE: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 20:05:39 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30158 Message: 30163

I've been listening to the SPADs (local F-16s) and the Lockheed traffic too today, but I never did hear Lockheed mention the boom. On Lockheed's V-02 (123.4) there have been some foreigners from who knows where flying and chatting for almost 2 hours. I've heard them say "Lockheed" and a few other words that are in English, but I have no idea what else they're saying. If I had to guess, I'd bet one of these guys were the sonic culprits. It's probably normal wherever they're from :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:bbrooks68@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 2:40 PM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

On 5/7/2009 2:16 PM, commscan wrote:

> You hit the head on the nail! It appears it was a sonic boom.

So, I wonder who gets the ass kickin' at Lockheed
for making all these sonic booms quite frequently
over a populated area? or if it's just of case of "Oh,
we're so sorry..."

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/7/2009 2:40:13 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30164. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 20:11:11 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30158 Message: 30164

Lockheed's normal sonic boom (and other flight test runs) track is VR-118.
It runs between just west of Fort Worth and the Abilene area. I'm sure they
have other areas they do runs in too, but their "normal" track is VR-118.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Brandon Thetford [mailto:thetford569@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 2:44 PM
To: 'Blaine Brooks'; 'commscan'
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

I know they do "supersonic runs" on purpose with the new F-16s as part of
the test flights before they deliver them although generally that is not
done in that area. I think it's done further north towards the Red River
over the less populated areas and at a higher altitude. I know in the past
Northwestern Denton County has received the same kinds of calls of
explosions that turned out to be Lockheed. In this case it might have been
that they just got a little fast without realizing it.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Blaine Brooks
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 2:40 PM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

On 5/7/2009 2:16 PM, commscan wrote:

> You hit the head on the nail! It appears it was a sonic boom.

So, I wonder who gets the ass kickin' at Lockheed
for making all these sonic booms quite frequently
over a populated area? or if it's just of case of "Oh,
we're so sorry..."

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/7/2009 2:40:13 PM

avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4054 (20090505) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4054 (20090505) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30165. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 20:32:01 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 30158 Message: 30165

Many times I hear them getting clearance into "Lockheed North" and then they RTB via the "VR-118 Recovery". I didn't hear where they were today but Lockheed North looks like it runs basically from West of Ft. Worth north to the Red River and West towards Wichita Falls. There is also a Lockheed South that overruns part of the Brownwood MOA. I never knew where these airspaces were until I found them today on the SUA Map page - http://sua.faa.gov/sua/Welcome.do - If you pull up the unscheduled airspaces they are shown on the map and I've only ever looked at scheduled airspaces before. Interestingly enough there is also a "Dubie Orbit" and a "Castor Orbit" airspace that runs East to West directly over the metroplex and farther west. I've never heard any traffic related to that but I wonder what it's for.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: commscan [mailto:commscan-ftw@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 3:11 PM
To: 'Brandon Thetford'; 'Blaine Brooks'
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Lockheed's normal sonic boom (and other flight test runs) track is VR-118. It runs between just west of Fort Worth and the Abilene area. I'm sure they have other areas they do runs in too, but their "normal" track is VR-118.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Brandon Thetford [mailto:thetford569@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 2:44 PM
To: 'Blaine Brooks'; 'commscan'
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

I know they do "supersonic runs" on purpose with the new F-16s as part of the test flights before they deliver them although generally that is not done in that area. I think it's done further north towards the Red River over the less populated areas and at a higher altitude. I know in the past Northwestern Denton County has received the same kinds of calls of explosions that turned out to be Lockheed. In this case it might have been that they just got a little fast without realizing it.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 2:40 PM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

On 5/7/2009 2:16 PM, commscan wrote:

> You hit the head on the nail! It appears it was a sonic boom.

So, I wonder who gets the ass kickin' at Lockheed
for making all these sonic booms quite frequently
over a populated area? or if it's just of case of "Oh,
we're so sorry..."

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/7/2009 2:40:13 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4054 (20090505) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4054 (20090505) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4054 (20090505) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4054 (20090505) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30166. RE: [dfwscan] Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 20:36:23 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30158 Message: 30166

Used to hear those all the time in El Paso when I was a kid. Shook the houses.

--- On Thu, 5/7/09, commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

You hit the head on the nail! It appears it was a sonic boom. Navy Tower
just told Air 1 that it was an F-16 that created the boom and they were
going to make another pass. The first pass shook the shingles off my house
(not really) and now that I know what it was, it was COOL! I hope they do
it again...:)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30167. Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 20:56:13 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30158 Message: 30167

On 5/7/2009 3:32 PM, Brandon Thetford wrote:

> Interestingly enough there is also a "Dubie Orbit" and a "Castor
> Orbit" airspace that runs East to West directly over the metroplex and
> farther west. I've never heard any traffic related to that but I wonder
> what it's for.

WAG, but "usually" orbits are associated with refueling tracks.
I know there is quite a bit of training going on at times on the
refueling tracks around here, with a lot of it going on right
above our heads. I would assume that Dubie and Castor are
holding or training tracks. Personally I heard never heard them
referenced, but I do know that the UHF freq for the Dallas RCAG
sector above us (379.25) and the Ardmore RCAG site further
north (351.85) are active on an almost daily basis with high
altitude craft transiting the area, and oftentimes on refueling
tracks and training.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/7/2009 3:56:13 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30168. RE: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 23:16:21 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 30158 Message: 30168

Story about the sonic boom from the Ft. Worth Star Telegram

http://www.star-telegram.com/804/story/1364171.html

From this description it sounds like they were indeed inside the Lockheed North airspace. I have never gotten to hear one of these despite how regularly it seems to happen (I think it was 3 or 4 times last year). I wish I could hear one!

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:bbrooks68@...]
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 3:56 PM
To: Brandon Thetford
Cc: 'commscan'; 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

On 5/7/2009 3:32 PM, Brandon Thetford wrote:

> Interestingly enough there is also a "Dubie Orbit" and a "Castor
> Orbit" airspace that runs East to West directly over the metroplex and
> farther west. I've never heard any traffic related to that but I wonder
> what it's for.

WAG, but "usually" orbits are associated with refueling tracks.
I know there is quite a bit of training going on at times on the refueling tracks around here, with a lot of it going on right above our heads. I would assume that Dubie and Castor are holding or training tracks. Personally I heard never heard them referenced, but I do know that the UHF freq for the Dallas RCAG sector above us (379.25) and the Ardmore RCAG site further north (351.85) are active on an almost daily basis with high altitude craft transiting the area, and oftentimes on refueling tracks and training.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090506-0, 05/06/2009
Tested on: 5/7/2009 3:56:13 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4061 (20090507) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4061 (20090507) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30169. APCO 25 Setup for Pro-2096

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-07 23:51:45 UTC
From: Bill Davis
Topic: 30169 Message: 30169

Anyone willing to help a newby set up a PRO-2096 for APCO 25 and the Weatherford PD and Parker Co. Sherriff freqs?? Or better yet, willing to share their .p96 file if they already have one set up.

I upgraded my Pro-97 to a 2096 and I want to listen to Weatherford PD - Fire - and Parker Co. Sherriff

Thanks,
Bill
kg5ie

30170. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-08 12:21:31 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30158 Message: 30170

Hi Brandon & Group,

Lockheed has numerous routes they use, but in the past anyways, they weren't supposed to do supersonic runs except in VR-118 due to the low populations out west. I saw your posted link to the Fort Worth Star-Telegram story and it looks like they were running in Lockheed North yesterday though. I still think it was the foreign pilots (still don't know what language they were speaking) on V-02 that did it. I imagine they were not aware they couldn't do it where they did. The part about "humidity in the air" may be true, but it sounds a little fishy to me and more like an excuse for an unintended incident :) But that's all just a guess.

Thanks for the great SUA link. I've been trying to find these maps online for years (at least a few years ago). I'm glad they haven't "classified" these.

Here's a quick question I've wondered about. The DoD/NOAA US IFR and US VFR Supplements that we can no longer get online were nothing more than a pilot/scanner enthusiast's frequency and aviation detailed (landing instructions, NDB, VOR, etc data...the same stuff you can get on AirNav.com) directory. Why they became unavailable is beyond me. But can we, the general public, still buy these hard copy publications from NOAA? And if not, can a pilot buy or get them?

I've got volumes of the printed publications for the entire world in storage. I use to get the old hard copies from an NASFW friend (1999 era) when the new, updated publications were received (more or less every 6 months I'd get their old copies). It's been a little over three years since the IFR & VFR Supplement were pulled offline and we could no longer download the pdf supplements because of the perceived "security threat". But the purpose of these publications is for a pilot's use. So can any pilot with a license get them or are they strictly banned from anyone unauthorized (except military pilots maybe, I don't know) today?

Does anyone know...I'm wondering who the publications are for today? Thanks...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brandon Thetford
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2009 3:32 PM
To: 'commscan'
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Many times I hear them getting clearance into "Lockheed North" and then they RTB via the "VR-118 Recovery". I didn't hear where they were today but Lockheed North looks like it runs basically from West of Ft. Worth north to the Red River and West towards Wichita Falls. There is also a Lockheed South that overruns part of the Brownwood MOA. I never knew where these airspaces were until I found them today on the SUA Map page - http://sua.faa.gov/sua/Welcome.do - If you pull up the unscheduled airspaces they are shown on the map and I've only ever looked at scheduled airspaces before. Interestingly enough there is also a "Dubie Orbit" and a "Castor Orbit" airspace that runs East to West directly over the metroplex and farther west. I've never heard any traffic related to that but I wonder what it's for.

Brandon

30171. Re: Another sonic boom from Lockheed?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-08 15:33:31 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30158 Message: 30171

On 5/8/2009 7:21 AM, commscan wrote:

> US IFR and US VFR Supplements. Why they became unavailable is beyond me.

Well, the theory is, from the government, in matters like this, that small bits and pieces of information would not hurt anything, but accumulated pieces of data and reference compiled over time, could threaten and hurt national security....it's that "compartmentalized" deal. I don't buy a lot of it, but you can believe what you want to believe. Just general paranoia in many cases, IMHO. But I don't want to get into a p***ing contest, so I'll stop there.

> And if not, can a pilot buy or get them?

Best bet is to ask the pilots here on the list. I know there are several. I know that you have to establish an account now with DOD to access that stuff online. But I'm not sure if you can special order through pilot shops or not. I am taking a WAG that somehow you have to prove to DOD that you are military or a contractor in order to access that data. Thing is though, probably 90% or more of the data is in the public domain. It has to be for aviation safety. For instance, let's take Sheppard AFB. Well, it is an Air Force base, but it is also the regional airport for Wichita Falls with commercial and civilian aviation flying in and out on a daily basis. So you just can't say "Oh, were not going to give any of our information to this Delta Connection flight because we are a joint Air Force base". That's ridiculous!. So primarily I guess they are trying to keep under wraps the discrete squadron frequencies, I suppose.

> I've got volumes of the printed publications for the entire world in
> storage.

When I lived in Tampa Bay, I'd go over to the FSS office at St. Pete Clearwater airport, and ask them if they had any recently outdated IFR Supplement they could give me. There were always a few laying around that they hadn't shredded yet, and they were kind enough to give them to me. I initially had to explain my intentions, as they were a tad nervous giving them out. But at the time, I just told them I was an aviation enthusiast, that also liked to listen to the military aircraft out of Macdill on my scanners and radios, and once I explained that, they were cool about it. Do that nowadays,

and all the terror paranoia nutcases at an FSS would probably
call the FBI on you!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090507-0, 05/07/2009
Tested on: 5/8/2009 10:33:31 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30172. West Metro Emergency Services Dispatch?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-09 22:04:13 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30172 Message: 30172

I noticed there are some new talkgroups on the Carrollton TRS listed on RadioReference for "West Metro Emergency Services "

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=12

Does anyone have any info they can share? I haven't heard of that agency or group before.

Ben

30173. Re: West Metro Emergency Services Dispatch?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-10 03:14:04 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30172 Message: 30173

Ben,

There was a news story on WFAA-Ch8 back in March, and also a brief thread about the same subject over on Radio Reference. Basically, the the story was that Carrollton, Farmers Branch, and Addison would be more proactive in sharing certain resources between their police departments. The fire departments already work together via automatic assist, dispatching the closest equipment regardless of city. Dallas also participates, and you'll often hear Carrollton or Addison units on DFR channels responding. That's been in place for years. Apparently, the new agreement will expand cooperation among the three cities police departments. I would guess (guess, since I have no direct, inside knowledge) that the new talkgroups may possibly be one way to formalize that cooperation with a standardized set of radio id's.
Link to the story on WFAA:
http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa090325_wz_threepd.6cbcc71d.html

The very short lived thread in RadioRef:
http://www.radioreference.com/forums/texas-radio-discussion-forum/139708-addison-carrollton-farmers-branch-creating-tri-cities-police-consortium.html

I added these groups to my Carrollton trunked system file when I noticed the update on RR, but so far, I have not caught any activity on any of them. I may leave a scanner on log/record to see if I pick up anything during a weekday.
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> I noticed there are some new talkgroups on the Carrollton TRS listed on
> RadioReference for "West Metro Emergency Services "
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=12
>
> Does anyone have any info they can share? I haven't heard of that agency or
> group before.
>
> Ben
>

30174. Hand held Ham Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-10 03:47:18 UTC
From: Randy Rogers
Topic: 30174 Message: 30174

I am taking David Johnson's Ham Radio Class and am now looking to get a hand held ham radio, can anyone on the list recommend any good ones as well as decent replacement rubber duck antenna's?

And for those that do not have their license, David's classes are top notch and free....and no I get no compensation from him... ;-)
Thanks and stay safe all..
Randy

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30175. Re: [dfwscan] Hand held Ham Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-10 06:29:37 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30174 Message: 30175

Randy Rogers wrote:
> I am taking David Johnson's Ham Radio Class and am now looking to get a hand
> held ham radio, can anyone on the list recommend any good ones as well as
> decent replacement rubber duck antenna's?
>
> And for those that do not have their license, David's classes are top notch
> and free....and no I get no compensation from him... ;-)
> Thanks and stay safe all..
> Randy
>

The Yaesu FT-60 is the best bang for the buck. ANLI makes some
inexpensive replacement antennas as well..

Check www.eham.net for reviews.

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
N5ERS VP/Trustee
Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

30176. Weekly FCC grants May 03-09

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-10 12:13:07 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30176 Message: 30176

The FCC ULS is down right now.

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30177. Re: [dfwscan] Two MilAir Unknowns - 141.9 & 290.5

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-10 17:01:24 UTC
From: SuperSonicTran
Topic: 30153 Message: 30177

Closest freq to 290.5 is FW center Dublin site on 290.55, at least that is what they have in chart cycle 0905 eff May 7th.

Super SonicTran

--- On Wed, 5/6/09, commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

From: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Two MilAir Unknowns - 141.9 & 290.5
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, May 6, 2009, 3:17 PM

Hi Group,

I was listening a little earlier this afternoon to some aerial refueling (AR) work on 141.900 AM. I've never confirmed who this is and I've periodically heard the frequency used (as well as some other VHF-Hi AM freqs.) over the years for AR work. In 8/2003, I was able to confirm this frequency, 141.900 AM, as a backup for the Rivers MOA. It's also Ellington's F-16 squadron's Push 07-V. Has anyone else ever caught anything on this frequency that may help determine who's using it for AR work?

Also, I was hearing traffic from an aircraft on 290.5. It's possible this is Kansas City Center (ARTCC), but I don't think that's what I was hearing today. The last time I noted traffic on this frequency was 9/5/03. So it's been 5 ½ years since I've heard anything on it (a lot is due to my lack of monitoring MilAir lately I'm sure) and I could never find a listing for the frequency other than in the USIFR Supplement (wasn't in the USVFR Supplement). And since 2006, these publications aren't as easily obtainable as they use to be. Any ideas on 290.5 anyone?

Thanks…

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30178. Article about new unit FWNAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-11 11:43:08 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30178 Message: 30178

"Fleet Logistics Support Squadron 46, informally known as the Eagles, moved into an empty hangar at Naval Air Station Fort Worth about two weeks ago and began flying a small number of missions worldwide." More of the article at...

http://www.star-telegram.com/metro_news/story/1368787.html

30179. 290.5

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-11 21:24:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30179 Message: 30179

Confirmed one of our mystery frequencies this afternoon...

290.5 is a Sheppard AFB approach frequency. Heard two
aircraft in the pattern calling approach for landing
instructions.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090511-0, 05/11/2009
Tested on: 5/11/2009 4:24:39 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30180. DPD chase on 460.42500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-11 21:34:58 UTC
From: willie_brux
Topic: 30180 Message: 30180

Hard for me to keep up with details because other things in the house, but there were also one or two individuals bailed and the driver is still running.
Just a heads up.

30181. Re: [dfwscan] DPD chase on 460.42500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-11 21:45:39 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30180 Message: 30181

2 suspects bailed and were arrested. The other two fled in car and after a while they bailed and are in custody. 3 H/M and 1 H/F robbery suspects, all in custody.

Suspect you'll see some of this on TV. Dispatcher was warning officers media was there.

Terry

------Original Message------
From: willie_brux
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: May 11, 2009 16:34
Subject: [dfwscan] DPD chase on 460.42500

Hard for me to keep up with details because other things in the house, but there were also one or two individuals bailed and the driver is still running.
Just a heads up.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

30182. RE: [dfwscan] DPD chase on 460.42500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-11 22:37:54 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 30180 Message: 30182

Here's the press release for those that tuned in:

At approximately 4:05 p.m., patrol officers ran the plate of a vehicle that came back stolen in an Aggravated Robbery on April 25, 2009 (Service #115781W). The vehicle was occupied by four (4) suspects, 1 L/F and 3 L/Ms. The officers followed the stolen vehicle until additional officers arrived. At approximately 4:14 p.m., the officers turned on their red lights at I30 and McCarthy at which time the suspects began to flee. Midway during the pursuit, 2 suspects (L/F and L/M) exited the vehicle and attempted to flee on foot while the other two suspects continued to flee. The officers apprehended those suspects without incident. At approximately 4:40 p.m., the other two suspects exited the vehicle and attempted to flee on foot. The suspects were subsequently apprehended a short time thereafter. There were no reported injuries thus far during this incident.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of terry@...
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 4:46 PM
To: willie_brux; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPD chase on 460.42500

2 suspects bailed and were arrested. The other two fled in car and after a while they bailed and are in custody. 3 H/M and 1 H/F robbery suspects, all in custody.

Suspect you'll see some of this on TV. Dispatcher was warning officers media was there.

Terry

------Original Message------
From: willie_brux
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: May 11, 2009 16:34
Subject: [dfwscan] DPD chase on 460.42500

Hard for me to keep up with details because other things in the house, but there were also one or two individuals bailed and the driver is still running.
Just a heads up.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30183. Re: [dfwscan] DPD chase on 460.42500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-11 22:42:18 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30180 Message: 30183

> Suspect you'll see some of this on TV. Dispatcher was warning officers media was
> there.

They might as well just broadcast, "No more beating the suspects. The public is watching!"

That's what they really mean.

Rob

30184. RE: [dfwscan] DPD chase on 460.42500

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-11 22:50:34 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 30180 Message: 30184

Hey, you know how it is. The adrenalin is flowing, brains cells are frozen and stuff happens. At least the dispatcher was thinking! Pretty decent chase. I can't believe they didn't crash considering the time of day and traffic. Really nice they got all 4 suspects. Bet they see some serious time, like a month or two!!!

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2009 5:42 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPD chase on 460.42500

> Suspect you'll see some of this on TV. Dispatcher was warning officers media was
> there.

They might as well just broadcast, "No more beating the suspects. The public is watching!"

That's what they really mean.

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30185. BCD996T Owners In My Area?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-13 15:46:20 UTC
From: craig.cool@att.net
Topic: 30185 Message: 30185

Looking for BCD996T owners in McKinney, Allen, Plano, Richardson, Texas area...

Please let me introduce myself... My name is Craig Cool, I'm retired (age 61) and live in a seniors only apartment community in Allen, Texas. Been scanning for some 20 years now. Last January I sold my 5 scanners to finance a new BCD996T. It works great and has firmware version 2.00.06 which I wish to upgrade to 3.02.00.

I'm running an Apple iMac 20" Intel on Leopard 10.5.6 and have downloaded all the necessary files including the V3 Key onto a CD-R disc. Of course none of these files can be opened or used on a Mac.

I'm looking for someone in the area that has a BCD996T, a Windows XP machine with a DB9 serial port, and the knowledge of how to do this rather complex update.

Would you be willing to help me and whereabouts do you live? Thanks in advance for your response.

Craig Cool
972-727-1388
Allen, Texas

30186. DART switching to OpenSky

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-13 16:17:37 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30186 Message: 30186

http://www.macom-wireless.com/macom_prodnews.asp?ID=1671

Tom ND5Y

30187. Re: [dfwscan] DART switching to OpenSky

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-13 16:35:25 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30186 Message: 30187

Proven technology platform? Right. Anyone want to bet that this will be a bigger boondoggle than the DFR edacs system? Or the Pennsylvania Opensky system?

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...>

Date: Wed, 13 May 2009 09:17:37
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] DART switching to OpenSky

http://www.macom-wireless.com/macom_prodnews.asp?ID=1671

Tom ND5Y

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30188. Re: [dfwscan] DART switching to OpenSky

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-13 19:48:05 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30186 Message: 30188

On Wednesday, May 13, 2009 11:35, tvsjr@... said:

> Proven technology platform? Right. Anyone want to bet that this will be a bigger
> boondoggle than the DFR edacs system?

While I certainly agree with your prediction, I must have been out of the loop during the DFR/EDACS fiasco. I've seen a lot of DRF fisacos in the last thirty years, but I guess I missed that one. When was this?

Rob

30189. Re: [dfwscan] DART switching to OpenSky

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-14 01:41:04 UTC
From: Music
Topic: 30186 Message: 30189

Or the southwest regional dispatch center?

Sent from my iPod

On May 13, 2009, at 11:35, tvsjr@... wrote:

Proven technology platform? Right. Anyone want to bet that this will be a bigger boondoggle than the DFR edacs system? Or the Pennsylvania Opensky system?

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...>

Date: Wed, 13 May 2009 09:17:37
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] DART switching to OpenSky

http://www.macom-wireless.com/macom_prodnews.asp?ID=1671

Tom ND5Y

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30190. Re: [dfwscan] DART switching to OpenSky

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-14 01:59:07 UTC
From: Music
Topic: 30186 Message: 30190

Or the southwest regional dispatch center?

Sent from my iPod

On May 13, 2009, at 11:35, tvsjr@... wrote:

>
>
> Proven technology platform? Right. Anyone want to bet that this will
> be a bigger boondoggle than the DFR edacs system? Or the
> Pennsylvania Opensky system?
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...>
>
> Date: Wed, 13 May 2009 09:17:37
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] DART switching to OpenSky
>
> http://www.macom-wireless.com/macom_prodnews.asp?ID=1671
>
> Tom ND5Y
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30191. Re: DART switching to OpenSky

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-14 02:32:40 UTC
From: steve_gordon_2000
Topic: 30186 Message: 30191

I've been meaning to ask, did the Garland mesh system ever get used? The little pods are still all over town.
Steve

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> http://www.macom-wireless.com/macom_prodnews.asp?ID=1671
>
>
> Tom ND5Y
>

30192. Air Boss 121.675

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-15 16:00:15 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30192 Message: 30192

Navy FTW Air Show's Air Boss frequency used right now is 121.675.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30193. Re: Air Boss 121.675

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-15 16:03:34 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30192 Message: 30193

On 5/15/2009 11:00 AM, comm scan wrote:

> Navy FTW Air Show's Air Boss frequency used right now is 121.675.

Thanks Brian. Great catch. I would assume there would be
a UHF equivalent, but maybe not.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090514-0, 05/14/2009
Tested on: 5/15/2009 11:03:34 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30194. Dallas Hazmat

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-15 19:45:55 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 29122 Message: 30194

Anyone have any info on what is happening @ Field & Griffin? The CAD shows this entry:

Hazardous Materials N Field St / N Griffin St 187506 810 BC03 EN03 EN18 HM03 RE03

Thanks

30195. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Hazmat

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-15 19:53:58 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 29122 Message: 30195

Acid leaking from a truck in a parking lot.

Andrew Tucker wrote:
>
>
> Anyone have any info on what is happening @ Field & Griffin? The CAD
> shows this entry:
>
> Hazardous Materials N Field St / N Griffin St 187506 810 BC03 EN03
> EN18 HM03 RE03
>
> Thanks
>
> _

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30196. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Hazmat

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-15 19:59:25 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 29122 Message: 30196

Muriatic acid approaching storm drain. Not IN storm drain yet.

--- On Fri, 5/15/09, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:

From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Hazmat
To: "Scanner DFW" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Friday, May 15, 2009, 2:45 PM

Anyone have any info on what is happening @ Field & Griffin? The CAD

shows this entry:

Hazardous Materials N Field St / N Griffin St 187506 810 BC03 EN03

EN18 HM03 RE03

Thanks

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30197. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas Hazmat

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-15 20:06:08 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 29122 Message: 30197

Hopefully it's at a typical pool acid concentration, which is quite low. If so, that sounds like a job for a baking soda and water solution. It's not quite as hazardous once it's turned into salt...

I worked in a plant that regularly had 55 gallon drums of 70% HF acid sitting around in concrete floored areas. I'm glad I didn't work there very long. (The worst part was that they actually piped it around the plant from a 250 gallon tank.)

You definitely don't begrudge high salaries for the pipe fitters at a facility like that.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of producer 5,6,10
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 2:59 PM
To: Scanner DFW; Andrew Tucker
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Hazmat

Muriatic acid approaching storm drain. Not IN storm drain yet.

--- On Fri, 5/15/09, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...<mailto:dfw333%40gmail.com>> wrote:

From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...<mailto:dfw333%40gmail.com>>
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Hazmat
To: "Scanner DFW" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>>
Date: Friday, May 15, 2009, 2:45 PM

Anyone have any info on what is happening @ Field & Griffin? The CAD

shows this entry:

Hazardous Materials N Field St / N Griffin St 187506 810 BC03 EN03

EN18 HM03 RE03

Thanks

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30198. Re: [dfwscan] Air Boss 121.675

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-16 01:56:29 UTC
From: Justin
Topic: 30192 Message: 30198

Any other frequency I should program before I should head out there? How do you guys find new frequencies at air shows? I have a Uniden BC246T I planned on having it search and store while I am there. Thanks in advance.

On Fri, May 15, 2009 at 11:00 AM, commscan <commscan-ftw@...>wrote:

>
>
> Navy FTW Air Show's Air Boss frequency used right now is 121.675.
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30199. Re: Air Boss 121.675

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-16 02:09:04 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30192 Message: 30199

On 5/15/2009 8:56 PM, Justin wrote:

> Any other frequency I should program before I should head out there?

NAS GROUND 126.400000 AM
NAS GROUND 254.325000 AM
NAS GROUND 279.575000 AM
NAS TWR 120.950000 AM
NAS TWR 269.325000 AM
NAS TWR 284.725000 AM
NAS APP/DEP 125.800000 AM
NAS APP/DEP 257.950000 AM
NAS ANG OPS 226.900000 AM
NAS BASE OPS 291.775000 AM
LOCK OPS 292.500000 AM
LOCK OPS 284.100000 AM
LOCK OPS 123.575000 AM
AF WINGS BLUE 121.950000 AM
AF WINGS BLUE 407.500000 NFM
F-16 DEMO 376.025000 AM
F-16 DEMO 384.550000 AM
ED HAMMIL 123.150000 AM

> you guys find new frequencies at air shows? I have a Uniden BC246T

I can't remember if the 246 has Close call or not. if
it does, that is an excellent function, and I HIGHLY
recommend it. I will be using Spectrum Sweeper on
my PSR-500 as well as the above list.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090515-0, 05/15/2009
Tested on: 5/15/2009 9:09:04 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30200. Re: Air Boss 121.675

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-16 02:13:55 UTC
From: Justin
Topic: 30192 Message: 30200

Thanks I will program those tonight. The 246 has the close call feature so I
can let it to a close call search and store while I'm walking around.

On Fri, May 15, 2009 at 9:09 PM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>wrote:

> On 5/15/2009 8:56 PM, Justin wrote:
>
> Any other frequency I should program before I should head out there?
>>
>
> NAS GROUND 126.400000 AM
> NAS GROUND 254.325000 AM
> NAS GROUND 279.575000 AM
> NAS TWR 120.950000 AM
> NAS TWR 269.325000 AM
> NAS TWR 284.725000 AM
> NAS APP/DEP 125.800000 AM
> NAS APP/DEP 257.950000 AM
> NAS ANG OPS 226.900000 AM
> NAS BASE OPS 291.775000 AM
> LOCK OPS 292.500000 AM
> LOCK OPS 284.100000 AM
> LOCK OPS 123.575000 AM
> AF WINGS BLUE 121.950000 AM
> AF WINGS BLUE 407.500000 NFM
> F-16 DEMO 376.025000 AM
> F-16 DEMO 384.550000 AM
> ED HAMMIL 123.150000 AM
>
> you guys find new frequencies at air shows? I have a Uniden BC246T
>>
>
> I can't remember if the 246 has Close call or not. if
> it does, that is an excellent function, and I HIGHLY
> recommend it. I will be using Spectrum Sweeper on
> my PSR-500 as well as the above list.
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090515-0, 05/15/2009
> Tested on: 5/15/2009 9:09:04 PM

>
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30201. Grayson Co MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-16 06:18:36 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30201 Message: 30201

Lifestar enroute to Parkland, adv they are 1 of 3 helos coming from a scene in Grayson County. Any one heard a location?

30202. RE: Air Boss 121.675

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-17 04:43:16 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30192 Message: 30202

This is my first chance to catch up a little since I posted to Air Boss frequency. Unfortunately, events have kept me from listening or attending the air show so I haven't heard anything other than a B-52 doing a flyby Saturday. I imagine the weather kept the crowd pretty small too.

I don't know if there was a UHF Air Boss frequency or not. I don't recall ever hearing a UHF Air Boss channel at an airshow, but I wouldn't be a bit surprised if there was one.

I look forward to seeing any reports of any new frequencies that might have been found when I get caught up on e-mail.

BTW, 284.725 was being used (Tower Backup to 269.325) the day before the airshow. I believe it was used during the military personnel airshow given on Friday (or whichever day the pre-open house show for military personnel and their families was given).

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:bbrooks68@...]
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 11:04 AM
To: commscan; DFWSCAN Group
Subject: Re: Air Boss 121.675

On 5/15/2009 11:00 AM, comm scan wrote:

> Navy FTW Air Show's Air Boss frequency used right now is 121.675.

Thanks Brian. Great catch. I would assume there would be
a UHF equivalent, but maybe not.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090514-0, 05/14/2009
Tested on: 5/15/2009 11:03:34 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30203. Weekly FCC grants May 10-16

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-17 12:48:20 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30203 Message: 30203

The FCC ULS is down right now. Again.

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30204. Re: Air Boss 121.675

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-18 18:54:25 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30192 Message: 30204

On 5/16/2009 11:43 PM, commscan wrote:

> I imagine the weather kept the crowd pretty small too.

My daughter and I attended Saturday after the rains died down. It was actually pretty pleasant. Little light breeze and the rain definitely cooled things down.

The crowd size had definitely diminshed, or so it seemed, but it was also later in the day. And honestly, I really didn't have anything to compare the crowd size to as that was the first time I had attended the display/show at NAS. I must say that while I enjoyed it, the Alliance Show just kicks ass, and is MUCH better. BUT, when you have the financial funding of the Perots behind you, well, you know the rest of that story!

As usual, it was just more and more difficult to see and copy down or photograph the 20 channel preset cards in many of the craft that I was interested in...i.e. the Navy F-18's, the 301 F-16's and even the TX ANG C-130's. All of these were on display but either had the cockpits closed, or you could only do walk arounds, and not view the all important cockpits and radios for frequency and use confirmation. There were a couple Blackhawks from Nellis, and they had their preset cards in full view, but honestly, I could care less about those...LOL... I was hoping those had been from Hood, but they were not.

I'd love to get up into the cockpit of a B-52, but every airshow I have ever attended (and there have been MANY), the pilots always have the little airstair to the cockpit down, but do not allow folks up into it to check it out. It would definitely be cramped, but still fun. They were allowing a look into the cockpit of a C-17 but I declined after looking at the enormous line that stretched to the back of the aircraft. My daughter did get to sit in one of the Blackhawks, and sit in the pilots seat of a C-9, but that was about it.

> I don't know if there was a UHF Air Boss frequency or not.

Sometimes they use one, sometimes they don't. Oftentimes, they just override the ground frequency (VHF and UHF) and use that as air boss, since the field is closed for takeoffs and landings anyway because of the show. It SEEMED for this show, secondary tower freq of 284.725 was being used. All in all, during the actual demos, I really did not hear much of anything, as in SILENCE... Quite unusual. The activity on the airwaves didn't even hold a candle to the craziness at the Alliance show, where everything

is hoppin'...from GMRS to FRS, to vendors, to air band, to CAP,
and the list goes on and on...

Needless to say, I'm anxiously awaiting the Alliance show....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090517-0, 05/17/2009
Tested on: 5/18/2009 1:54:25 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30205. 140.800 AM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-18 23:39:45 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30205 Message: 30205

Anyone know who uses this frequency in our semi-N. Texas area? I've been hearing traffic on it (AM modulation) all day but have been too busy to pay much attention. They're on it even now (6:40 PM). I thought SCALP was a callsign they were using, and it may be? But if so, then this should be Barksdale B-52 bombers. But they do not usually use the VHF-Hi band for too much traffic.

If anyone can catch the user or any clues, please post it! I have to run.thanks.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30206. Re: [dfwscan] 140.800 AM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-19 00:08:13 UTC
From: thetford569@grandecom.net
Topic: 30205 Message: 30206

Could the callsign have been SHEP? I am at work and don't have my
list handy but this looks like a familiar frequency and if they were
using SHEP I believe it belongs to the 89th FTS "Banshees" at Sheppard
AFB. They are flying both the T-6A and T-37 with the T-37 being
phased out this year and I think they only use SHEP for the T-6
flights. I'll check tomorrow and see if I can confirm my suspicion...

Brandon

Quoting commscan <commscan-ftw@...>:

>
>
> Anyone know who uses this frequency in our semi-N. Texas area? I've been
> hearing traffic on it (AM modulation) all day but have been too busy to pay
> much attention. They're on it even now (6:40 PM). I thought SCALP was a
> callsign they were using, and it may be? But if so, then this should be
> Barksdale B-52 bombers. But they do not usually use the VHF-Hi band for too
> much traffic.
>
>
>
> If anyone can catch the user or any clues, please post it! I have to
> run.thanks.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

30207. RE: [dfwscan] 140.800 AM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-19 03:49:18 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30205 Message: 30207

Hi Brandon & List,

I don't think it was the SHEP c/s, but I could be wrong. Thanks for the additional information Brandon. I didn't know the USAF Air Education Training Command (AETC) was phasing out the old T-37 model aircraft. They've been around for a long, long time.

I may need to check, but aren't the T-6 aircraft a much larger framed aircraft? They're sort of a cross between a small passenger (10+ people I'd guess) plane and a cargo plane I was thinking. I know Randolph AFB (San Antonio) has a bunch of AETC T-6A aircraft they fly and they frequently pass overhead DFW. A lot of times you can hear them calling Randolph Dispatch on 372.2. I may be wrong about this and I may be thinking of the T-43 AETC aircraft? It's been too long since I've paid attention :)

On 140.800 AM I was pretty certain they were using SCALP (Barksdale 93rd BW B-52 aircraft) or possibly even SCOUT (970th AACS E-3 AWACS out of Tinker...USAF Reserve squadron). It may have been SCOUT that was being used, but I doubt this was the AWACS using it. They use it in the front end of the aircraft for contact with ATC and wouldn't be as likely to use it to talk back and forth. Since the callsigns are generally picked by the squadrons and are not really regulated by the military, there could be 50 different users of SCOUT (or SCALP for that matter).

It was quiet a few years ago that I heard some Barksdale B-52s using a 138 MHz AM frequency for air-to-air chat. I only heard them two or three times. The other thought I had was this could be Tinker E-3 AWACS planes possibly. Since they don't go to their (the Air Combat Command) own frequencies when playing out battle exercises like they use to, but instead, they switch to the frequency (like an air-to-air for instance) of whomever they're playing with nowadays, this could've been the case here. Still, I don't think they would've been using the ATC callsign used by the front end (cockpit) though.

I've plugged in the frequency now and plan on seeing what I can catch on it. I'll be sure to post anything I find out for those interested if someone else doesn't beat me to it first.

Thanks for the help and the update on Sheppard's plans Brandon...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: thetford569@... [mailto:thetford569@...]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 7:08 PM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'

Subject: Re: [dfwscan] 140.800 AM

Could the callsign have been SHEP? I am at work and don't have my
list handy but this looks like a familiar frequency and if they were
using SHEP I believe it belongs to the 89th FTS "Banshees" at Sheppard
AFB. They are flying both the T-6A and T-37 with the T-37 being
phased out this year and I think they only use SHEP for the T-6
flights. I'll check tomorrow and see if I can confirm my suspicion...

Brandon

Quoting commscan <commscan-ftw@...>:

>
>
> Anyone know who uses this frequency in our semi-N. Texas area? I've been
> hearing traffic on it (AM modulation) all day but have been too busy to
pay
> much attention. They're on it even now (6:40 PM). I thought SCALP was a
> callsign they were using, and it may be? But if so, then this should be
> Barksdale B-52 bombers. But they do not usually use the VHF-Hi band for
too
> much traffic.
>
>
>
> If anyone can catch the user or any clues, please post it! I have to
> run.thanks.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>

30208. RE: [dfwscan] 140.800 AM

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-19 12:31:17 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 30205 Message: 30208

You are indeed thinking of the T-43. The T-6A Texan II is a single engine high performance propeller driven aircraft with a student and instructor seat. Even though it is a propeller driven airplane it's performance is close to that of a jet and the T-37 so it is being used as the primary flight trainer in both the Air Force and Navy.

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: commscan [mailto:commscan-ftw@...]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 10:49 PM
To: thetford569@...
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] 140.800 AM

Hi Brandon & List,

I don't think it was the SHEP c/s, but I could be wrong. Thanks for the additional information Brandon. I didn't know the USAF Air Education Training Command (AETC) was phasing out the old T-37 model aircraft. They've been around for a long, long time.

I may need to check, but aren't the T-6 aircraft a much larger framed aircraft? They're sort of a cross between a small passenger (10+ people I'd guess) plane and a cargo plane I was thinking. I know Randolph AFB (San Antonio) has a bunch of AETC T-6A aircraft they fly and they frequently pass overhead DFW. A lot of times you can hear them calling Randolph Dispatch on 372.2. I may be wrong about this and I may be thinking of the T-43 AETC aircraft? It's been too long since I've paid attention :)

On 140.800 AM I was pretty certain they were using SCALP (Barksdale 93rd BW B-52 aircraft) or possibly even SCOUT (970th AACS E-3 AWACS out of Tinker...USAF Reserve squadron). It may have been SCOUT that was being used, but I doubt this was the AWACS using it. They use it in the front end of the aircraft for contact with ATC and wouldn't be as likely to use it to talk back and forth. Since the callsigns are generally picked by the squadrons and are not really regulated by the military, there could be 50 different users of SCOUT (or SCALP for that matter).

It was quiet a few years ago that I heard some Barksdale B-52s using a 138 MHz AM frequency for air-to-air chat. I only heard them two or three times. The other thought I had was this could be Tinker E-3 AWACS planes possibly. Since they don't go to their (the Air Combat Command) own frequencies when playing out battle exercises like they use to, but instead, they switch to the frequency (like an air-to-air for instance) of whomever they're playing with nowadays, this could've been the case here. Still, I don't think they would've been using the ATC callsign used by the front end (cockpit) though.

I've plugged in the frequency now and plan on seeing what I can catch on it. I'll be sure to post anything I find out for those interested if someone else doesn't beat me to it first.

Thanks for the help and the update on Sheppard's plans Brandon...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: thetford569@... [mailto:thetford569@...]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 7:08 PM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] 140.800 AM

Could the callsign have been SHEP? I am at work and don't have my list handy but this looks like a familiar frequency and if they were using SHEP I believe it belongs to the 89th FTS "Banshees" at Sheppard AFB. They are flying both the T-6A and T-37 with the T-37 being phased out this year and I think they only use SHEP for the T-6 flights. I'll check tomorrow and see if I can confirm my suspicion...

Brandon

Quoting commscan <commscan-ftw@...>:

>
>
> Anyone know who uses this frequency in our semi-N. Texas area? I've been
> hearing traffic on it (AM modulation) all day but have been too busy to
pay
> much attention. They're on it even now (6:40 PM). I thought SCALP was a
> callsign they were using, and it may be? But if so, then this should be
> Barksdale B-52 bombers. But they do not usually use the VHF-Hi band for
too
> much traffic.
>
>
>
> If anyone can catch the user or any clues, please post it! I have to
> run.thanks.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4086 (20090519) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4086 (20090519) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30209. Heavy VHF Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-19 17:17:33 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30209 Message: 30209

Right now:

140.800

138.375 - BEAST ## possibly (new 301st FW channel?)

142.400

143.975

140.350

140.850

All of these, and probably more, are active right now (12:15 PM) and all in AM mode.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30210. New P25 fed freq

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-19 19:41:47 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30210 Message: 30210

14:30 CDT

410.800 NAC201 P25 digital transmission in the clear....

New digital frequency for Federal Protective Service
here in Dallas - 900 Commerce security...ID's as
"Denver Control" - which is where all FPS officers
are dispatched out of now - like CBP and Charlie
100 Orlando control...

Blaine
Lewisville, Tx.
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090518-0, 05/18/2009
Tested on: 5/19/2009 2:41:47 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30211. Re: Heavy VHF Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-19 20:00:23 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30209 Message: 30211

On 5/19/2009 12:17 PM, commscan wrote:

> 140.800
> 138.375 - BEAST ## possibly (new 301st FW channel?)
> 142.400
> 143.975
> 140.350
> 140.850

> All of these, and probably more, are active right now (12:15 PM) and all in
> AM mode.

Well, that new AR-8000 you got must be pulling in
some faint s**t, or else they just stopped chatting.
I went into search mode after you posted, and
haven't heard a damn thing. Oh well....:)

You got the 8000 connected to the outside antenna?
I'm so jealous. That, the PRO-43, the Yupi, and well,
I DO have a PRO-2006, are all kick ass for milcom...:)
And yes, contrary to some others thoughts, I think the
BC780 does a damn good job too!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090518-0, 05/18/2009
Tested on: 5/19/2009 3:00:23 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30212. RE: Heavy VHF Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-19 21:14:54 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30209 Message: 30212

Hi Blaine & Group,

They're up again right now on a few frequencies. I went outside and cut the grass, so for the last 2+ hours or so I haven't been listening. But there is definitely activity on some of the channels now.

I'm using my BC780XLT and BCD396T to pull in the signals (with outside antennas). I have the AOR hooked up but I've decided to await a PC cable/box to continue programming it. It'd be my luck to get all 1000 channels finalized and then have to reset it for some reason (as I did with my Yupiteru MVT9000M a few years ago) :)

On 142.4 AM, SNAKE 01 and SNAKE 02 are the primary talkers right now. SNAKE is probably the most common callsign out there. Every squadron and their brother's squadron use this callsign it seems. I'm guessing it is the Buckley ANGB T-38 aircraft I've heard using the callsign through the years. They come down and play at various times. Back in the day, when I could see stuff much better, I confirmed a SNAKE ## T-38 with "CO" on the tail visually at NASFW. This was one of the Buckley birds. But it could be anybody.

I'm going to scan through the ARTCC frequencies and see if I can hear them checking in so as to get an idea where they are playing.

One last thing I probably should mention. I don't remember if the Buckley T-38 aircraft are the AT-38 (Attack Trainer = AT) or not, but these guys sound like their doing the ACM combat stuff so if it is the Buckley birds they probably are AT-38 aircraft.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:bbrooks68@...]
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2009 3:00 PM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN Group'
Subject: Re: Heavy VHF Milair

On 5/19/2009 12:17 PM, commscan wrote:

> 140.800
> 138.375 - BEAST ## possibly (new 301st FW channel?)
> 142.400
> 143.975
> 140.350
> 140.850

> All of these, and probably more, are active right now (12:15 PM) and all
in
> AM mode.

Well, that new AR-8000 you got must be pulling in
some faint s**t, or else they just stopped chatting.
I went into search mode after you posted, and
haven't heard a damn thing. Oh well....:)

You got the 8000 connected to the outside antenna?
I'm so jealous. That, the PRO-43, the Yupi, and well,
I DO have a PRO-2006, are all kick ass for milcom...:)
And yes, contrary to some others thoughts, I think the
BC780 does a damn good job too!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090518-0, 05/18/2009
Tested on: 5/19/2009 3:00:23 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30213. More Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-19 21:33:19 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30213 Message: 30213

149.4 is also being used. I thought I heard WIZARD 02 or GIZZARD 02.

If anyone's interested in searching and listening to the milair stuff, and if you have a scanner that'll allow you to program a few search bands, add these:

137.000 - 144.000 MHZ (AM)

148.000 - 150.750 MHz (AM)

225.000 - 399.975 MHZ (AM)

The first two bands are where I'm catching the action today.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30214. Heavy VHF Milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-20 14:33:40 UTC
From: NSAWC1
Topic: 30209 Message: 30214

Also, monitored much traffic last night. Several SHEP flights,254.300, transiting from Wichita Falls to Paris where they would do a few approaches then RTB 140.800 was used.

Also several TUFF, SCALP and SKULL - B-52 flights
311.000 GASSER calling PUBLISHER 30 minutes out
154.950 DPS 101 Helo w/manhunt in the Longview area. (2 escapees) Were later apprehended.

Regards,

NE TX

--- On Tue, 5/19/09, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...t> wrote:

From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Heavy VHF Milair
To: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
Cc: "'DFWSCAN Group'" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Tuesday, May 19, 2009, 1:00 PM

On 5/19/2009 12:17 PM, commscan wrote:

> 140.800
> 138.375 - BEAST ## possibly (new 301st FW channel?)
> 142.400
> 143.975
> 140.350
> 140.850

> All of these, and probably more, are active right now (12:15 PM) and all in
> AM mode.

Well, that new AR-8000 you got must be pulling in
some faint s**t, or else they just stopped chatting.
I went into search mode after you posted, and
haven't heard a damn thing. Oh well....:)

You got the 8000 connected to the outside antenna?
I'm so jealous. That, the PRO-43, the Yupi, and well,
I DO have a PRO-2006, are all kick ass for milcom...:)
And yes, contrary to some others thoughts, I think the
BC780 does a damn good job too!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090518-0, 05/18/2009
Tested on: 5/19/2009 3:00:23 PM

avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast. com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30215. Mil air 318.650

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-20 14:46:56 UTC
From: steve_gordon_2000
Topic: 30215 Message: 30215

COWBOY 11 training COWBOY 12 on 318.650 right now. Twenty miles south of Red River heading north.
Steve

30216. MilAir near Wichita Falls

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-20 19:26:05 UTC
From: Jim Theisen
Topic: 30216 Message: 30216

Along 287 on Sunday between CHico and Bowie my PRO-97 picked up traffic on 140.175. Callsign COBRA. There must've been a controller working with 'em, too, because the voice giving instrctions to COBRA came through louder and clearer than the two COBRA pilots.

Anybody know who / what type COBRA is?

30217. Re: MilAir near Wichita Falls

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-20 20:12:01 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30216 Message: 30217

On 5/20/2009 2:26 PM, Jim Theisen wrote:

> Along 287 on Sunday between CHico and Bowie my PRO-97 picked up traffic on 140.175. Callsign COBRA. There must've been a controller working with 'em, too, because the voice giving instrctions to COBRA came through louder and clearer than the two COBRA pilots.
>
> Anybody know who / what type COBRA is?

Wouldn't be surprised if it was some squadron playing in the Washita MOA's around Sheppard. What squadron is the question though. The fact that you were "relatively" close to Shep, and hearing a possible ground controller leads credence to it being some kind of possible ACM training channel for the T-38's, but that is just a WAG. Who uses the COBRA callsign? I don't have a clue. Shep changes their callsigns quite a bit. They have some commons, but they still change.

Might have been even units in the Falcon Range up in OK.

Myself and Brian have been picking up almost daily transmissions of aircraft throughout the mil am hi band, so it literally could have been ANY squadron.

I'd love to get a preset list of all the units from Sheppard, Vance, Altus, Dyess, Barksdale, Ellington, and all the bases down San Antone way. Heck, with those, at least we might even know who we were listening too. I try to get them at air shows, but the jet birds, it's near impossible without climbing in the cockpit, which... forget that!!...:)

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090519-0, 05/19/2009
Tested on: 5/20/2009 3:12:01 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30218. Re: [dfwscan] Re: MilAir near Wichita Falls

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-20 20:37:24 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30216 Message: 30218

Sounds like I need to get a good, tall VHF antenna in the air again.

> Along 287 on Sunday between CHico and Bowie my PRO-97 picked up traffic on
140.175. Callsign COBRA. There must've been a controller working with
'em, too, because the voice giving instrctions to COBRA came through louder
and clearer than the two COBRA pilots.
>
> Anybody know who / what type COBRA is?

Wouldn't be surprised if it was some squadron playing in the
Washita MOA's around Sheppard. What squadron is the question
though. The fact that you were "relatively" close to Shep, and
hearing
a possible ground controller leads credence to it being some
kind of possible ACM training channel for the T-38's, but that
is just a WAG. Who uses the COBRA callsign? I don't have a clue.
Shep changes their callsigns quite a bit. They have some
commons, but they still change.

Might have been even units in the Falcon Range up in OK.

Myself and Brian have been picking up almost daily transmissions
of aircraft throughout the mil am hi band, so it literally could
have been ANY squadron.

I'd love to get a preset list of all the units from Sheppard, Vance,
Altus, Dyess, Barksdale, Ellington, and all the bases down San
Antone way. Heck, with those, at least we might even know who
we were listening too. I try to get them at air shows, but the jet
birds, it's near impossible without climbing in the cockpit, which...
forget that!!...:)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30219. Re: [dfwscan] Re: MilAir near Wichita Falls

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-20 20:39:31 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30216 Message: 30219

I just hear stuff on 3 freqs that I had heard in the past but not enough to ID.

I wasn't paying attention to what frequency it was (140.??), but some pilot was complaining about his radio being all messed up. They sounded like FWNAS F-16s heading to the Brownwood MOA.
He asked another pilot for the frequency for "Ops" which was 143.750
Then he called "Ops" on 143.750 and asked what frequency "Victor 17" was and it is 138.950.
Then I heard him calling "Regional" on 269.150. (probably DFW TRACON)
I never caught the callsigns because they talk too fast.

Tom

Blaine Brooks wrote:

> I'd love to get a preset list of all the units from Sheppard, Vance,
> Altus, Dyess, Barksdale, Ellington, and all the bases down San
> Antone way. Heck, with those, at least we might even know who
> we were listening too. I try to get them at air shows, but the jet
> birds, it's near impossible without climbing in the cockpit, which...
> forget that!!...:)
>
>
> Blaine

30220. Did someone recently post something about Six Flags?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-22 18:26:07 UTC
From: Jon
Topic: 30220 Message: 30220

I thought I saw something about Six Flags being posted on here recently... but I cannot find it anywhere.

I just want to make sure I have the most up to date frequencies since I work very near by.

I have the security and the rides channel but I thought there were more.

Thanks,

Jon B

30221. Re: Did someone recently post something about Six Flags?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-22 19:30:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30220 Message: 30221

On 5/22/2009 1:26 PM, Jon wrote:

> I thought I saw something about Six Flags being posted on here recently... but I cannot find it anywhere.
> I just want to make sure I have the most up to date frequencies since I work very near by.
> I have the security and the rides channel but I thought there were more.

Try these Jon -

457.55000 PL 107.2 Six Flags Over Texas - Operations 7 (Arlington)
464.38750 DCS343 Six Flags Over Texas - Operations 6 (Arlington)
464.42500 PL 67.0 Six Flags Over Texas - Operations 5 (Arlington)
461.2000 DCS664 Six Flags Over Texas - Rides Maintenance 3 (Arlington)
461.5750 PL 107.2 Six Flags Over Texas - Parking & Security 1 (Arlington)
461.7000 DCS532 Six Flags Over Texas - Maintenance & Rides Operations 2 (Arlington)
463.65000 DCS565 Six Flags Over Texas - Operations 4 (Arlington)

courtesy of Matt Stone and his web page http://www.dfwscanning.net/

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090521-0, 05/21/2009
Tested on: 5/22/2009 2:30:38 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30222. Weekly FCC grants May 17-23

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-24 11:24:31 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30222 Message: 30222

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30223. Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-24 17:43:30 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 30223 Message: 30223

Does anyone know the frequencies for the Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol? Thanks!

Greg Higgins

30224. batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-24 22:49:11 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30224 Message: 30224

What is everyones opinion on AA NiMH rechargeables?

Which brand is best right now? How about rapid chargers?

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
N5ERS VP/Trustee
Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

30225. Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-24 22:57:07 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 30223 Message: 30225

I don't know how much of the lake is Fort Worth now vs. County, but if Fort Worth patrols it, they are on MAR2, just like Lake Worth. So it's either going to be FW, County, or TPWD, which they use TC County radios and their VHF channel. I don't think the Trinity River Authority has their own lake patrol. And don't know if Wise County has any play in this even though only a little bit of the lake goes into Wise. All my years out there I have never seen a lake patrol boat, unlike Lake Worth and PK. If you see one, see what kind of antenna they have on the boat, if they even have one. They might be using HT's.

Good luck,

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Greg Higgins" <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the frequencies for the Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol? Thanks!
>
> Greg Higgins
>

30226. Re: batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-24 23:03:46 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 30224 Message: 30226

I've been using the Energizers for a while for my camera and scanner. I actually made a rechargeable battery pack out of those batteries using the existing pack from my Uniden scanner. It was cheaper than paying $20 for a new pack. But so far I have had no problems regarding memory, charging, or dying too quickly.

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:
>
> What is everyones opinion on AA NiMH rechargeables?
>
> Which brand is best right now? How about rapid chargers?
>
>
> --
> Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
> ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
> N5ERS VP/Trustee
> Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
> Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5
>

30227. Re: [dfwscan] batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-24 23:06:13 UTC
From: jason carr
Topic: 30224 Message: 30227

On Sun, May 24, 2009 at 5:49 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

> How about rapid chargers?

I don't like rapid chargers. I use a decent quality (MAHA) 500mAh
charger which works great.

--
Jason Carr

30228. Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-24 23:30:48 UTC
From: afm108
Topic: 30223 Message: 30228

I was in a law enforcement marine course a couple of weeks ago with several officers that patrol various lakes in the Metroplex.

Eagle Mountain is patrolled by the Tarrant Regional Water District Police and yes they have several nice patrol boats.

Fort Worth Marshals patrol Lake Worth and also work Marine Creek Lake occasionally.

Brazos River Authority patrols Lake Granbury, Possum Kingdom and several others.

Stay safe all...
Randy

30229. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 00:27:04 UTC
From: wrpra@aol.com
Topic: 30223 Message: 30229

Does anyone know frequencies for the Tarrant Regional Water District Police. Walt22

In a message dated 5/24/2009 6:31:03 P.M. Central Daylight Time, afm108@... writes:

I was in a law enforcement marine course a couple of weeks ago with several officers that patrol various lakes in the Metroplex.

Eagle Mountain is patrolled by the Tarrant Regional Water District Police and yes they have several nice patrol boats.

Fort Worth Marshals patrol Lake Worth and also work Marine Creek Lake occasionally.

Brazos River Authority patrols Lake Granbury, Possum Kingdom and several others.

Stay safe all...
Randy

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

**********An Excellent Credit Score is 750. See Yours in Just 2 Easy Steps!
(http://pr.atwola.com/promoclk/100126575x1221322948x1201367184/aol?redir=http://www.freecreditreport.com/pm/default.aspx?sc=668072&hmpgID=62&bcd=MayExcfooterNO62)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30230. Re: [dfwscan] batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-24 23:05:06 UTC
From: Justin
Topic: 30224 Message: 30230

I use these energizer ones in my digicam and they work great.

http://www.greenbatteries.com/echfmwb-4.html

On Sun, May 24, 2009 at 5:49 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

> What is everyones opinion on AA NiMH rechargeables?
>
> Which brand is best right now? How about rapid chargers?
>
>
> --
> Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
> ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
> N5ERS VP/Trustee
> Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
> Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30231. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 00:50:19 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30223 Message: 30231

I would assume the lake patrol is on the Fort Worth trunked system but they have one conventional repeater pair licensed at several sites.
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPBE486
I don't know what this is used for.
I never heard anything on it when I live in Tarrant County.

Tarrant Regional Water District also has a whole bunch of non-voice flood waring system licenses on 406.125.

Tom ND5Y

wrpra@... wrote:
> Does anyone know frequencies for the Tarrant Regional Water District
> Police. Walt22
>

30232. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 00:52:44 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30223 Message: 30232

Forgot this link
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?action=fle&stid=48&en=TARRANT%20REGIONAL%20WATER%20DISTRICT

Tom ND5Y

Tom ND5Y wrote:
> I would assume the lake patrol is on the Fort Worth trunked system but they have one conventional repeater pair licensed at several sites.
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPBE486
> I don't know what this is used for.
> I never heard anything on it when I live in Tarrant County.
>
>
> Tarrant Regional Water District also has a whole bunch of non-voice flood waring system licenses on 406.125.

30233. Re: [dfwscan] batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 03:08:40 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30224 Message: 30233

I HATE ENERGIZER!!!

As blunt as it is that is what they get from me. Over the past 5 years I have had my run of batteries and I can tell you what works best for me in a scanner, camera, and my mouse. (This is my own experience) Believe it or not for the longest time I was using just 4 Rayovacs rechargeable with the charger. They worked great for almost 2 years with a lot of use. But I needed to get some new batteries when I bought a new scanner. I bought a 4 pack of energizers and after charging them and using them just a few hours my scanner went dead. I was mad. I thought I had a bad batch or something so I just kept them and went and bought some more energizer rechargables as they were all that walmart had at the time on display or something. Well same thing. After a charge they would just die out. So, I changed chargers. Same problem. So, then I went out and bought some Duracells. All I can say is what a difference. No problems at all. I ended up getting a total of 8 of them. Then i got the Maha batteries after this and they also work great.

So, I did a check on these energizer bats and after just one charge by morning they were almost drained just sitting on the counter. So, forget putting them in your scanner. I called energizer about this and they refused to give my money back, didn't care about my complaint and wanted me to write a letter and all this hassle when all I wanted to know was why I was having this problem and get my money back.

Also I found a Duracell bat laying around the other day that was over a year old that I have not used. It was in my shed. I checked the charge on it and it was just under 1.2. That sells me on them right there. I was so mad at Energizer that I was going to make a youtube video of the problem. However I don't think that is fair to them cause it could come down to how they are charged or something like that.

But anyway yeah if you want some good batteries I would say duracell for sure and the maha's. Also Rayovac has worked real good for me. Over 2 years and still going.

Jason

Jason

From: jason carr <fratermus+yahoo@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 4:06:13 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] batteries

On Sun, May 24, 2009 at 5:49 PM, Jay Urish <jay@unixwolf. net> wrote:

> How about rapid chargers?

I don't like rapid chargers. I use a decent quality (MAHA) 500mAh
charger which works great.

--
Jason Carr

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30234. Re: [dfwscan] batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 03:11:49 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30224 Message: 30234

Does anybody have an 0pinion on the POWEREX cells?

Currently my BR330 has some GP industrial cells and they really suck.
Run time is under 4 hours.

Jason Simpkins wrote:
> I HATE ENERGIZER!!!
>
> As blunt as it is that is what they get from me. Over the past 5
> years I have had my run of batteries and I can tell you what works
> best for me in a scanner, camera, and my mouse. (This is my own
> experience) Believe it or not for the longest time I was using just 4
> Rayovacs rechargeable with the charger. They worked great for almost
> 2 years with a lot of use. But I needed to get some new batteries
> when I bought a new scanner. I bought a 4 pack of energizers and
> after charging them and using them just a few hours my scanner went
> dead. I was mad. I thought I had a bad batch or something so I just
> kept them and went and bought some more energizer rechargables as
> they were all that walmart had at the time on display or something.
> Well same thing. After a charge they would just die out. So, I
> changed chargers. Same problem. So, then I went out and bought some
> Duracells. All I can say is what a difference. No problems at all. I
> ended up getting a total of 8 of them. Then i got the Maha batteries
> after this and they also work great.
>
> So, I did a check on these energizer bats and after just one charge
> by morning they were almost drained just sitting on the counter. So,
> forget putting them in your scanner. I called energizer about this
> and they refused to give my money back, didn't care about my
> complaint and wanted me to write a letter and all this hassle when
> all I wanted to know was why I was having this problem and get my
> money back.
>
> Also I found a Duracell bat laying around the other day that was over
> a year old that I have not used. It was in my shed. I checked the
> charge on it and it was just under 1.2. That sells me on them right
> there. I was so mad at Energizer that I was going to make a youtube
> video of the problem. However I don't think that is fair to them
> cause it could come down to how they are charged or something like
> that.
>
> But anyway yeah if you want some good batteries I would say duracell
> for sure and the maha's. Also Rayovac has worked real good for me.
> Over 2 years and still going.
>

> Jason
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____ From: jason carr
> <fratermus+yahoo@...> To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com"
> <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com> Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 4:06:13 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] batteries
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, May 24, 2009 at 5:49 PM, Jay Urish <jay@unixwolf. net> wrote:
>
>
>> How about rapid chargers?
>
> I don't like rapid chargers. I use a decent quality (MAHA) 500mAh
> charger which works great.
>

--
Jay Urish CCNA Network Engineer
http://jay.unixwolf.net
Home)972.691.0125 Cell)972.965.6229

30235. Re: [dfwscan] batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 03:15:44 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30224 Message: 30235

I'll stick with the Powerex batteries and the MaHa charger.

Jason Simpkins wrote:
> I HATE ENERGIZER!!!
>
> As blunt as it is that is what they get from me. Over the past 5 years I have had my run of batteries and I can tell you what works best for me in a scanner, camera, and my mouse. (This is my own experience) Believe it or not for the longest time I was using just 4 Rayovacs rechargeable with the charger. They worked great for almost 2 years with a lot of use. But I needed to get some new batteries when I bought a new scanner. I bought a 4 pack of energizers and after charging them and using them just a few hours my scanner went dead. I was mad. I thought I had a bad batch or something so I just kept them and went and bought some more energizer rechargables as they were all that walmart had at the time on display or something. Well same thing. After a charge they would just die out. So, I changed chargers. Same problem. So, then I went out and bought some Duracells. All I can say is what a difference. No problems at all. I ended up getting a total of 8 of
> them. Then i got the Maha batteries after this and they also work great.
>
> So, I did a check on these energizer bats and after just one charge by morning they were almost drained just sitting on the counter. So, forget putting them in your scanner. I called energizer about this and they refused to give my money back, didn't care about my complaint and wanted me to write a letter and all this hassle when all I wanted to know was why I was having this problem and get my money back.
>
> Also I found a Duracell bat laying around the other day that was over a year old that I have not used. It was in my shed. I checked the charge on it and it was just under 1.2. That sells me on them right there. I was so mad at Energizer that I was going to make a youtube video of the problem. However I don't think that is fair to them cause it could come down to how they are charged or something like that.
>
> But anyway yeah if you want some good batteries I would say duracell for sure and the maha's. Also Rayovac has worked real good for me. Over 2 years and still going.
>
>

30236. Re: batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 03:29:19 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 30224 Message: 30236

WOW, thats almost as bad as the American Idol verdict. I guess the Energizers I have must be Duracells in disguise..lol. Glad to hear you found batteries that work for you.

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> I HATE ENERGIZER!!!
>
> As blunt as it is that is what they get from me. Over the past 5 years I have had my run of batteries and I can tell you what works best for me in a scanner, camera, and my mouse. (This is my own experience) Believe it or not for the longest time I was using just 4 Rayovacs rechargeable with the charger. They worked great for almost 2 years with a lot of use. But I needed to get some new batteries when I bought a new scanner. I bought a 4 pack of energizers and after charging them and using them just a few hours my scanner went dead. I was mad. I thought I had a bad batch or something so I just kept them and went and bought some more energizer rechargables as they were all that walmart had at the time on display or something. Well same thing. After a charge they would just die out. So, I changed chargers. Same problem. So, then I went out and bought some Duracells. All I can say is what a difference. No problems at all. I ended up getting a total of 8 of
> them. Then i got the Maha batteries after this and they also work great.
>
> So, I did a check on these energizer bats and after just one charge by morning they were almost drained just sitting on the counter. So, forget putting them in your scanner. I called energizer about this and they refused to give my money back, didn't care about my complaint and wanted me to write a letter and all this hassle when all I wanted to know was why I was having this problem and get my money back.
>
> Also I found a Duracell bat laying around the other day that was over a year old that I have not used. It was in my shed. I checked the charge on it and it was just under 1.2. That sells me on them right there. I was so mad at Energizer that I was going to make a youtube video of the problem. However I don't think that is fair to them cause it could come down to how they are charged or something like that.
>
> But anyway yeah if you want some good batteries I would say duracell for sure and the maha's. Also Rayovac has worked real good for me. Over 2 years and still going.
>
> Jason
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____

> From: jason carr <fratermus+yahoo@...>
> To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 4:06:13 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] batteries
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, May 24, 2009 at 5:49 PM, Jay Urish <jay@unixwolf. net> wrote:
>
> > How about rapid chargers?
>
> I don't like rapid chargers. I use a decent quality (MAHA) 500mAh
> charger which works great.
>
> --
> Jason Carr
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30237. Re: [dfwscan] Re: batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 03:36:06 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30224 Message: 30237

Yeah I don't mean to be so harsh on them but my experience was just horrible. Nothing like going somewhere and it just starts beeping on a fresh set of batteries. Next thing you know I have to pull off and buy a set of batteries at the store just to get me by. I just assume it comes down to the charger or something.

Also I think I am using PowerX batts not Maha's can't even remember. They are in my scanner out in the truck.

Jason

From: KC5RHB <kc5rhb@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 8:29:19 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: batteries

WOW, thats almost as bad as the American Idol verdict. I guess the Energizers I have must be Duracells in disguise..lol. Glad to hear you found batteries that work for you.

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@ ...> wrote:
>
> I HATE ENERGIZER!!!
>
> As blunt as it is that is what they get from me. Over the past 5 years I have had my run of batteries and I can tell you what works best for me in a scanner, camera, and my mouse. (This is my own experience) Believe it or not for the longest time I was using just 4 Rayovacs rechargeable with the charger. They worked great for almost 2 years with a lot of use. But I needed to get some new batteries when I bought a new scanner. I bought a 4 pack of energizers and after charging them and using them just a few hours my scanner went dead. I was mad. I thought I had a bad batch or something so I just kept them and went and bought some more energizer rechargables as they were all that walmart had at the time on display or something. Well same thing. After a charge they would just die out. So, I changed chargers. Same problem. So, then I went out and bought some Duracells. All I can say is what a difference. No problems at all. I ended up getting a total of 8
of
> them. Then i got the Maha batteries after this and they also work great.
>
> So, I did a check on these energizer bats and after just one charge by morning they were almost drained just sitting on the counter. So, forget putting them in your scanner. I called energizer about this and they refused to give my money back, didn't care about my complaint and wanted me to write a letter and all this hassle when all I wanted to know was why I was having this problem and get my money back.
>
> Also I found a Duracell bat laying around the other day that was over a year old that I

have not used. It was in my shed. I checked the charge on it and it was just under 1.2. That sells me on them right there. I was so mad at Energizer that I was going to make a youtube video of the problem. However I don't think that is fair to them cause it could come down to how they are charged or something like that.
>
> But anyway yeah if you want some good batteries I would say duracell for sure and the maha's. Also Rayovac has worked real good for me. Over 2 years and still going.
>
> Jason
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> From: jason carr <fratermus+yahoo@ ...>
> To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups .com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups .com>
> Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 4:06:13 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] batteries
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, May 24, 2009 at 5:49 PM, Jay Urish <jay@unixwolf. net> wrote:
>
> > How about rapid chargers?
>
> I don't like rapid chargers. I use a decent quality (MAHA) 500mAh
> charger which works great.
>
> --
> Jason Carr
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30238. RE: [dfwscan] batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 03:39:15 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 30224 Message: 30238

I like the Duracell batteries. The Duracell charger, not so much. It cooks the batteries and I had a set explode.

The Maha chargers however are great. I have 3 of them that stay loaded 24/7 with Duracell batteries. They just work!

It's been a while but the last charger came from this place and they seemed decent in price and service.

http://www.thomas-distributing.com/index.htm

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 10:16 PM
To: DFWSCAN
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] batteries

I'll stick with the Powerex batteries and the MaHa charger.

Jason Simpkins wrote:
> I HATE ENERGIZER!!!
>
> As blunt as it is that is what they get from me. Over the past 5 years I have had my run of batteries and I can tell you what works best for me in a scanner, camera, and my mouse. (This is my own experience) Believe it or not for the longest time I was using just 4 Rayovacs rechargeable with the charger. They worked great for almost 2 years with a lot of use. But I needed to get some new batteries when I bought a new scanner. I bought a 4 pack of energizers and after charging them and using them just a few hours my scanner went dead. I was mad. I thought I had a bad batch or something so I just kept them and went and bought some more energizer rechargables as they were all that walmart had at the time on display or something. Well same thing. After a charge they would just die out. So, I changed chargers. Same problem. So, then I went out and bought some Duracells. All I can say is what a difference. No problems at all. I ended up getting a total of 8 of
> them. Then i got the Maha batteries after this and they also work great.
>
> So, I did a check on these energizer bats and after just one charge by morning they were almost drained just sitting on the counter. So, forget putting them in your scanner. I called energizer about this and they refused to give my money back, didn't care about my complaint and wanted me to write a letter and all this hassle when all I wanted to know was why I was having this problem and get my money back.
>

> Also I found a Duracell bat laying around the other day that was over a year old that I have not used. It was in my shed. I checked the charge on it and it was just under 1.2. That sells me on them right there. I was so mad at Energizer that I was going to make a youtube video of the problem. However I don't think that is fair to them cause it could come down to how they are charged or something like that.
>
> But anyway yeah if you want some good batteries I would say duracell for sure and the maha's. Also Rayovac has worked real good for me. Over 2 years and still going.
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30239. Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 05:11:24 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 30223 Message: 30239

It's been a year or two since I listen to the lake
patrol but they use to be on 156.8000 on the marine
band. When something happens on the Azle side you
can hear them on Azle PD channel 155.3100 sometimes
but haven't heard anything this year.

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Greg Higgins" <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the frequencies for the Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol? Thanks!
>
> Greg Higgins
>

30240. RE: [dfwscan] Re: batteries

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 11:56:45 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 30224 Message: 30240

I use nothing but Energizer's myself.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of KC5RHB
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 10:29 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: batteries

WOW, thats almost as bad as the American Idol verdict. I guess the Energizers I have must be Duracells in disguise..lol. Glad to hear you found batteries that work for you.

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> I HATE ENERGIZER!!!
>
> As blunt as it is that is what they get from me. Over the past 5 years I have had my run of batteries and I can tell you what works best for me in a scanner, camera, and my mouse. (This is my own experience) Believe it or not for the longest time I was using just 4 Rayovacs rechargeable with the charger. They worked great for almost 2 years with a lot of use. But I needed to get some new batteries when I bought a new scanner. I bought a 4 pack of energizers and after charging them and using them just a few hours my scanner went dead. I was mad. I thought I had a bad batch or something so I just kept them and went and bought some more energizer rechargables as they were all that walmart had at the time on display or something. Well same thing. After a charge they would just die out. So, I changed chargers. Same problem. So, then I went out and bought some Duracells. All I can say is what a difference. No problems at all. I ended up getting a total of 8 of
> them. Then i got the Maha batteries after this and they also work great.
>
> So, I did a check on these energizer bats and after just one charge by morning they were almost drained just sitting on the counter. So, forget putting them in your scanner. I called energizer about this and they refused to give my money back, didn't care about my complaint and wanted me to write a letter and all this hassle when all I wanted to know was why I was having this problem and get my money back.
>
> Also I found a Duracell bat laying around the other day that was over a year old that I have not used. It was in my shed. I checked the charge on it and it was just under 1.2. That sells me on them right there. I was so mad at Energizer that I was going to make a youtube video of the problem. However I don't think that is fair to them cause it could come down to how

they are charged or something like that.
>
> But anyway yeah if you want some good batteries I would say duracell for
sure and the maha's. Also Rayovac has worked real good for me. Over 2 years
and still going.
>
> Jason
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: jason carr <fratermus+yahoo@...>
> To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> "
<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> >
> Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2009 4:06:13 PM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] batteries
>
>
>
>
>
> On Sun, May 24, 2009 at 5:49 PM, Jay Urish <jay@unixwolf. net> wrote:
>
> > How about rapid chargers?
>
> I don't like rapid chargers. I use a decent quality (MAHA) 500mAh
> charger which works great.
>
> --
> Jason Carr
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30241. FW City Council Item

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 12:08:56 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30241 Message: 30241

Fairly boring, but I'll put it out there for those interested. It describes a contract with Haltom City regarding radio system usage.

http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=11790&councildate=5/26/2009

or

http://tiny.cc/ZHLA4

Ben

30242. Space Shuttle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 13:48:40 UTC
From: tajens2001
Topic: 2681 Message: 30242

If the Space Shuttle came back by way of FWNAS about how many days would it be before it arrives? What frequencies do they use? And how long ahead of time does NASA make the decision on the route it takes?

30243. Re: Space Shuttle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 14:13:16 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 2681 Message: 30243

And what is the tail number for flightaware?????

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Prater" <mark@...> wrote:
>
> Receiving both of those frequencies here in Irving. 442.000 is stronger.
> Thanks Dave for the freqs.
>
> Mark
> N5ORU
>
>
>
>
> > I'm listening to it locally on 442.000 and 442.575
> >
> > Dave
> > KC5CGX
> >
>

30244. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Space Shuttle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 14:26:41 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 2681 Message: 30244

According to Wikipedia (which is sometimes right) there are two. N905NA
and N911NA, with the latter being the newest of the two.

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shuttle_Carrier_Aircraft)

Don Teague
donteague.com

Bob wrote:
> And what is the tail number for flightaware?????
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Prater" <mark@...> wrote:
>> Receiving both of those frequencies here in Irving. 442.000 is stronger.
>> Thanks Dave for the freqs.
>>
>> Mark
>> N5ORU
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> I'm listening to it locally on 442.000 and 442.575
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> KC5CGX
>>>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

30245. F-16's over Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 17:36:04 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30245 Message: 30245

Just got blasted by two F-16's going full tilt over Lewisville
and headed northwest. Prob Memorial Day flyover somewhere.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090525-0, 05/25/2009
Tested on: 5/25/2009 12:36:05 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30246. Re: [dfwscan] F-16's over Lewisville

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 17:38:36 UTC
From: Justin
Topic: 30245 Message: 30246

I heard them down here in Balch Springs, sounded good!

On Mon, May 25, 2009 at 12:36 PM, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>wrote:

> Just got blasted by two F-16's going full tilt over Lewisville
> and headed northwest. Prob Memorial Day flyover somewhere.
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090525-0, 05/25/2009
> Tested on: 5/25/2009 12:36:05 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30247. RE: [dfwscan] Space Shuttle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 18:22:27 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 2681 Message: 30247

In an article at http://apnews.excite.com/article/20090525/D98D5C2G0.html
that I read yesterday, was this

"NASA officials said it will take about a week to prepare Atlantis for its
ferry flight back to Kennedy Space Center atop a NASA Boeing 747."

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

>If the Space Shuttle came back by way of FWNAS about how many days would it
be before it arrives? What frequencies do they use? And how long ahead of
time does NASA make the decision on the route it takes?

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30248. Plano FD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 20:37:48 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 5734 Message: 30248

Plano FD just dispatch to a bldg collapse, 2040 USA Drive. Channel 5 assigned.

30249. EdgeRift Emergency Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 20:56:36 UTC
From: Larry Lorance
Topic: 5734 Message: 30249

For those of you who have an Ipod Touch, the EdgeRift Emergency Radio application is a great application.

With Emergency Radio, listen to over 900 live police, fire, EMS, and other emergency frequencies. Tune in to everything from the New York Fire Department (FDNY) to the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD). Follow the conversations with ease using the built-in police and emergency scanner code list. Conveniently locate nearby frequencies using the Nearby feature. And quickly access your favorite frequencies with the Favorites list.

A great application where you have wireless access!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30250. Re: EdgeRift Emergency Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 20:58:27 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 5734 Message: 30250

I know in many states & cities (NYC being one of them) it is illegal to have a scanner capable of receiving police freqs on your person...could this be away around those laws?

30251. Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 21:50:26 UTC
From: yamiam3
Topic: 30223 Message: 30251

I just saw a news story on NBC 5 about "game wardens" patrolling Eagle Mountain.

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Greg Higgins" <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the frequencies for the Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol? Thanks!
>
> Greg Higgins
>

30252. Re: EdgeRift Emergency Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-25 21:51:26 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 5734 Message: 30252

"There was of course no way of knowing whether you were being watched at any given moment. How often, or on what system, the Thought Police plugged in on any individual wire was guesswork. It was even conceivable that they watched everybody all the time. But at any rate they could plug in your wire whenever they wanted to. You had to live□did live, from habit that became instinct□in the assumption that every sound you made was overheard, and, except in darkness, every movement scrutinized." - George Orwell, 1984

1984 came and went paranoia is unnecessary! Who is going to know or even cares what you are listening to on your iPOD?

--- In dfwscan@...m, "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333" <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> I know in many states & cities (NYC being one of them) it is illegal to have a scanner capable of receiving police freqs on your person...could this be away around those laws?
>

30253. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 00:03:31 UTC
From: wrpra@aol.com
Topic: 30223 Message: 30253

I did too--wonder what freqs they operate on?

In a message dated 5/25/2009 4:51:00 P.M. Central Daylight Time,
groups@... writes:

I just saw a news story on NBC 5 about "game wardens" patrolling Eagle
Mountain.

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Greg Higgins" <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the frequencies for the Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol?
Thanks!
>
> Greg Higgins
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

**********An Excellent Credit Score is 750. See Yours in Just 2 Easy
Steps!
(http://pr.atwola.com/promoclk/100126575x1221823249x1201398664/aol?redir=http://www.freecr
editreport.com/pm/default.aspx?sc=668072&hmpgID=62&bcd=May
ExcfooterNO62)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30254. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 03:24:24 UTC
From: David Perez
Topic: 30223 Message: 30254

The Game Warden (TPWD) operate on thier VHF frequencies and they have Tarrant County Radios (FW TRS) for those Officers that work in the county, I dont know what call sign they use on the TRS, but they have access to it. There are like 2 or 3 TPWD repeaters I have heard in the area and they will also get on the VHF talk around frequencies. And you can find those on RR.RHB

--- On Mon, 5/25/09, wrpra@... <wrpra@...> wrote:

From: wrpra@... <wrpra@...>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol
To: groups@..., dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Monday, May 25, 2009, 7:03 PM

I did too--wonder what freqs they operate on?

In a message dated 5/25/2009 4:51:00 P.M. Central Daylight Time,

groups@bensware. com writes:

I just saw a news story on NBC 5 about "game wardens" patrolling Eagle

Mountain.

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, "Greg Higgins" <gs.higgins@ ...> wrote:

>

> Does anyone know the frequencies for the Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol?

Thanks!

>

> Greg Higgins

>

------------ --------- --------- ------

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:

http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2

Yahoo! Groups Links

********** **An Excellent Credit Score is 750. See Yours in Just 2 Easy

Steps!

(http://pr.atwola. com/promoclk/ 100126575x122182 3249x1201398664/ aol?redir= http://www. freecreditreport .com/pm/default. aspx?sc=668072& hmpgID=62& bcd=May

ExcfooterNO62)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30255. neat sounding hostage situation on Lewisville PS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 05:00:08 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30255 Message: 30255

Police are trying to talk a suicidal woman out of shooting herself in
the head. They are on PD NCIC TG25136
--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
N5ERS VP/Trustee
Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-88.5 927.875 PL-103.5

30256. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 11:04:51 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30223 Message: 30256

I know they use Azle PD's channel to talk to them at times. I missed the news story. What did it talk about?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of yamiam3
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2009 4:50 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol

I just saw a news story on NBC 5 about "game wardens" patrolling Eagle Mountain.

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Greg Higgins" <gs.higgins@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the frequencies for the Eagle Mountain Lake Patrol? Thanks!
>
> Greg Higgins
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30257. RE: [dfwscan] Re: EdgeRift Emergency Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 15:57:54 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 5734 Message: 30257

Isn't having an amateur license a defense to prosecution?

Of course the fact that this is in conflict with the Communications Act doesn't seem to bother NYC either...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30258. DFW Milcom

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 16:12:13 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30258 Message: 30258

Approx. 10:45 CDT local

TULSA 81 flight (F-16C/D - 125th FS OK ANG Tulsa IAP)
w/ Ft. Worth Center on 379.25. A/A on 149.625.

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx.
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090525-0, 05/25/2009
Tested on: 5/26/2009 11:12:14 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30259. Shooting @ North Dallas Dairy Queen

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 19:32:23 UTC
From: Rosalyn
Topic: 30259 Message: 30259

http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa090525_mo_dairyqueenshooting.18bb299d.html

I was listening to the DPD NC channel on this yesterday evening right after it happened and they were discussing the suspects and their cars, yet for some reason they did not release this info to the media. Is this normal? I'm a radio noob btw. You would think they would have at least released the suspect descriptions to possibly get them caught sooner. They mentioned 4 young black males all wearing red bandanas and maybe shirts? One was seen carrying a semi-automatic weapon. Why would they withhold this from people in that area (especially since the suspects fled to a nearby apartment complex) when it could put them in danger if they didn't know what to look out for?

Thanks for any help or info!

30260. Re: [dfwscan] Shooting @ North Dallas Dairy Queen

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 20:38:04 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30259 Message: 30260

The media have scanners also. However, they typically get their 'publicly distributable' info from a public information officer so they don't harm the investigation or search.

http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa090525_mo_dairyqueenshooting.18bb299d.html

I was listening to the DPD NC channel on this yesterday evening right after it happened and they were discussing the suspects and their cars, yet for some reason they did not release this info to the media. Is this normal? I'm a radio noob btw. You would think they would have at least released the suspect descriptions to possibly get them caught sooner. They mentioned 4 young black males all wearing red bandanas and maybe shirts? One was seen carrying a semi-automatic weapon. Why would they withhold this from people in that area (especially since the suspects fled to a nearby apartment complex) when it could put them in danger if they didn't know what to look out for?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30261. HF SWL

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 20:47:35 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 30261 Message: 30261

I borrowed a HF rig from my brother to try to listen to the hurricane nets this year. Anyhting else out there to listen to right now? Anything you listen to on a reguler basis?

Thanks Mike...

30262. Re: [dfwscan] Shooting @ North Dallas Dairy Queen

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-26 22:34:48 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30259 Message: 30262

Rosalyn, information on the radio while usually correct is not always confirmed. When I go to a scene even if I have heard the description or what happened is obvious I get the info for broadcast from an officer/PIO. It just needs to be on the record.

There are times you may hear details police don't want released to the public (caliber of gun, something unusal about a vehicle etc). Some of that makes sense.

In this case you have to factor in the minority issue. Media in general will not release a description involving a minority unless it's extremly detailed. This is a sensitive area! Bottom line is if you see a report that just says " 4 men ....." You can assume they're not white. We don't have to be as sensitive to non-minorities.

I've seen press releases put out by police warning the public of a serial rapest or other such dangerous crimes and race not reported due to description being to vague. This is policy in every newsroom I've been in.

And yes, after all these years cops are finally noticing shows like America's Most Wanted work. We do get a lot more pictires and information a lot sooner than in the past. You're very correct when you say the public should know and could be on the lookout.

Bottom line is if you want to know what's going on listening to your radio is the best option.

Terry
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "Rosalyn" <missrosalyn@yahoo.com>

Date: Tue, 26 May 2009 19:32:23
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Shooting @ North Dallas Dairy Queen

http://www.wfaa.com/sharedcontent/dws/wfaa/latestnews/stories/wfaa090525_mo_dairyqueenshooting.18bb299d.html

I was listening to the DPD NC channel on this yesterday evening right after it happened and they were discussing the suspects and their cars, yet for some reason they did not release this info to the media. Is this normal? I'm a radio noob btw. You would think they would have at least released the suspect descriptions to possibly get them caught sooner. They mentioned 4 young black males all wearing red bandanas and maybe shirts? One was seen carrying a semi-automatic weapon. Why would they withhold this from people in that area (especially since the suspects fled to a nearby apartment complex) when it could put them in danger if they didn't know what to look out for?

Thanks for any help or info!

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30263. RE: EdgeRift Emergency Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-27 14:12:43 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 5734 Message: 30263

I worked on Wall Street for a while. Every day I saw the "whale teams", the heavily armed police with machine guns and dogs that regularly patrol the area. They need machine guns, body armor and gas masks, but it's a felony for me to carry a .38 snubbie.

I was there during the Republican convention. I saw part of that operation when I had to go to the West side to do some work. I saw the helicopters, the surveillance blimp, 20 cops per intersection, etc. I heard stories of people arrested for simply walking down to the bodega at the wrong time.

I wouldn't put anything past NYPD. New York City is a whole different country.

_____
From: notify@yahoogroups.com [notify@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Fred [poppafred@...]
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2009 4:19 PM
To: Mark Saum
Subject: Re: EdgeRift Emergency Radio

Only if the radio in question is a ham radio. Possession of the license is not a defense for a police scanner.

Yeah, I can see it now, NYC cops stopping everyone walking down Wall Street to see if they are listening to police chatter. Ya never now about those stock broker types. Bernie Madoff taught us that!.

This is all typed with tongue firmly in cheek.

Fred
Charleston, AR
"The New Guy This Week"

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mark Saum <msaum@...> wrote:
>
> Isn't having an amateur license a defense to prosecution?
>
> Of course the fact that this is in conflict with the Communications Act doesn't seem to bother NYC either...
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30264. RE: EdgeRift Emergency Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-28 04:30:15 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 5734 Message: 30264

> Isn't having an amateur license a defense to prosecution?

> Of course the fact that this is in conflict with the Communications Act doesn't
> seem to bother NYC either...

The times I have been in NYC, I always had a scanner in my pocket. Stopped and talked to cops on the street. Even had my picture taken with some of them a couple times, and none ever said anything about the scanner (or the handgun either, but they couldn't see that!). Ignorance is bliss, because I had no idea that scanners were illegal at the time, lol.

Rob

30265. Re: [dfwscan] Shooting @ North Dallas Dairy Queen

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-28 18:34:43 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 30259 Message: 30265

Rosalyn it is important to understand the difference between scanner chatter and what is reportable as fact. But having said that it is also important to understand the pressure put on media outlets to not describe the race of the "suspects," in a crime from black and hispanic groups. Despite the interests of the public in general, these groups have agendas that do not include the protection of the public at large coupled with the political power to pressure media to stop the practice of reporting to the public the race of the offending suspects. Some media outlets have succombed to this pressure, others have not. Welcome to the liberal left wing "New America."

--- On Tue, 5/26/09, Rosalyn <missrosalyn@...> wrote:

From: Rosalyn <missrosalyn@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Shooting @ North Dallas Dairy Queen
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2009, 2:32 PM

http://www.wfaa. com/sharedconten t/dws/wfaa/ latestnews/ stories/wfaa0905
25_mo_dairyqueen shooting. 18bb299d. html

I was listening to the DPD NC channel on this yesterday evening right after it happened and they were discussing the suspects and their cars, yet for some reason they did not release this info to the media. Is this normal? I'm a radio noob btw. You would think they would have at least released the suspect descriptions to possibly get them caught sooner. They mentioned 4 young black males all wearing red bandanas and maybe shirts? One was seen carrying a semi-automatic weapon. Why would they withhold this from people in that area (especially since the suspects fled to a nearby apartment complex) when it could put them in danger if they didn't know what to look out for?

Thanks for any help or info!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30266. Win 96 program virus?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-28 23:28:12 UTC
From: Lee
Topic: 30266 Message: 30266

I downloaded the Win96 software today from Don's web site, and when I unzipped it, my anti-virus threw a flag on the win96.exe file with the following trojan - "TR/Agent.806912.I"

My purpose in this post is two fold, 1) to see if anyone else has experienced this issue, and to see if there are any published fixes, and 2) to bring to light that this file seems to be infected with a trojan in case nobody else has experienced it before.

I suppose while I'm at it, I'd like to make sure Don knows about this so that he can look into it as well.

Anyone have any ideas?

Thanks,
-Lee

30267. Dallas Engine 10

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 02:51:30 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30267 Message: 30267

Well Engine 10s quarters are pretty tore up due to the construction now. The entire north half the apparatus has been torn down leaving the engine without a place to park. Where are they staying in the meantime?

30268. Any updates?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 03:10:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30268 Message: 30268

So...since the time we discovered this system...
Any talkgroups? Is this a test system? Any guesses?

155.3025 Mhz WACN: 1 SYSID: 7 Channel 0x030 = 48 decimal (control)
155.4525 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x048 = 72 decimal
155.6025 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x060 = 96 decimal

Base Freq: 155.0025 Mhz
Spacing: 6.25 Khz

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090528-0, 05/28/2009
Tested on: 5/28/2009 10:10:55 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30269. Re: Any updates?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 12:35:38 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30268 Message: 30269

The system stopped transmitting on May 11, and hasn't been heard since. The times when the voice channel was very active at night, no one was talking. It was just silence and probably an automatic test stream being sent. So it sounds like they were just testing the system.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> So...since the time we discovered this system...
> Any talkgroups? Is this a test system? Any guesses?
>
> 155.3025 Mhz WACN: 1 SYSID: 7 Channel 0x030 = 48 decimal (control)
> 155.4525 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x048 = 72 decimal
> 155.6025 Mhz NAC: 007 Channel: 0x060 = 96 decimal
>
> Base Freq: 155.0025 Mhz
> Spacing: 6.25 Khz
>
>
> Blaine
>
>

30270. Re: Dallas Engine 10

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 13:13:20 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30267 Message: 30270

Ok, finally had a chance to get a good look into the bay this morning, apparently they have enough room to park both the rescue and engine in the southern bay. I didnt think they had enough room to do that.

30271. Re: Dallas Engine 10

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 13:24:57 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30267 Message: 30271

Ok, I thought everyone knew about this. Guess not. For those that dont, Dallas is renovating Station 10 to include a Truck Company. Im not sure exactly what type it will be, Ive heard it will be a 100' rear mount.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Ok, finally had a chance to get a good look into the bay this morning,
> apparently they have enough room to park both the rescue and engine in
> the southern bay. I didnt think they had enough room to do that.
>

30272. Re: Dallas Engine 10

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 13:44:28 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30267 Message: 30272

It will be a brand new truck. There are too many highrises in Station 7's district, and there is also the problem of Truck 20's response time as the second due truck to far North Dallas.

The info was in an interview with Fire Chief Eddie Burns, Sr in Firehouse magazine a few months ago.

Also on Bensware.com from back in 2006:

Dallas - City council reviewed the 2006 November bond packet. The Fire department is seeking $53,950,489 for the following fire station additions and improvements.

Replacement of fire stations 6,27,32,37 and 44

Expansion of fire station 10
Adding a truck company to station 10
New fire station at 5400 Keeneland Pkwy / Walton Walker
New Engine, Ladder and Rescue
17 personnel for the new station Fire Station
Land Acquisitions located in the areas of 6700 W Kiest Blvd, 7800 Camp Wisdom Rd and 4300 Mountain Creek Parkway

Engine 33's new quarters are also up, I believe it opened in September 2008.

Will they be moving Truck 7 up there, moving it somewhere else, or just
be adding Truck 10?

In general, where do you learn this info? DFR's stations pages on their
web site stay hopelessly out of date.

30273. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Engine 10

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 13:30:24 UTC
From: steve@liese.us
Topic: 30267 Message: 30273

Will they be moving Truck 7 up there, moving it somewhere else, or just be adding Truck 10?

In general, where do you learn this info? DFR's stations pages on their web site stay hopelessly out of date.

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Engine 10
From: "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333" <dfw333@...>
Date: Fri, May 29, 2009 8:24 am
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com

Ok, I thought everyone knew about this. Guess not. For those that dont, Dallas is renovating Station 10 to include a Truck Company. Im not sure exactly what type it will be, Ive heard it will be a 100' rear mount.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Ok, finally had a chance to get a good look into the bay this morning,
> apparently they have enough room to park both the rescue and engine in
> the southern bay. I didnt think they had enough room to do that.
>

30274. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Engine 10

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 13:56:08 UTC
From: Tim Billingham
Topic: 30267 Message: 30274

Truck 10 has been delayed indefinitely due to budget cuts
Sent from my BlackBerry®

-----Original Message-----
From: "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333" <dfw333@gmail.com>

Date: Fri, 29 May 2009 13:44:28
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Engine 10

It will be a brand new truck. There are too many highrises in Station 7's district, and there is also the problem of Truck 20's response time as the second due truck to far North Dallas.

The info was in an interview with Fire Chief Eddie Burns, Sr in Firehouse magazine a few months ago.

Also on Bensware.com from back in 2006:

Dallas - City council reviewed the 2006 November bond packet. The Fire department is seeking $53,950,489 for the following fire station additions and improvements.

Replacement of fire stations 6,27,32,37 and 44

Expansion of fire station 10
Adding a truck company to station 10
New fire station at 5400 Keeneland Pkwy / Walton Walker
New Engine, Ladder and Rescue
17 personnel for the new station Fire Station
Land Acquisitions located in the areas of 6700 W Kiest Blvd, 7800 Camp Wisdom Rd and 4300 Mountain Creek Parkway

Engine 33's new quarters are also up, I believe it opened in September 2008.

Will they be moving Truck 7 up there, moving it somewhere else, or just
be adding Truck 10?

In general, where do you learn this info? DFR's stations pages on their
web site stay hopelessly out of date.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30275. Re: Any updates?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 14:01:16 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30268 Message: 30275

On 5/29/2009 7:35 AM, js_scan888 wrote:

> The system stopped transmitting on May 11

Thanks for the info.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090528-0, 05/28/2009
Tested on: 5/29/2009 9:01:16 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30276. Signal 27, Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-29 23:38:28 UTC
From: Justin
Topic: 30276 Message: 30276

Overheard a signal 27 while scanning DPD around 6:35pm didn't look at the scanner in time to catch the channel or location. Did anyone else here it?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30277. Re: Signal 27, Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-30 03:31:45 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30276 Message: 30277

I believe it was Engine 10 calling it. I did not look at the CAD page because they made a request for PD thus indicating it was not an incident where PD is normally assigned (MVA, shooting etc). Most likely one of the many "Unconscious Person" calls that turn out to be dead people.

30278. Weekly FCC grants May 24-30

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-05-31 10:55:23 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30278 Message: 30278

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30279. Space Shuttle Ferry

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-01 18:28:48 UTC
From: Mike Heskett
Topic: 30279 Message: 30279

I guess we will miss out on the return trip.
From a NASA Blog:

Space shuttle Atlantis' ferry flight is under way! The modified Boeing 747 Shuttle Carrier Aircraft carrying Atlantis took off from Edwards Air Force Base in California at 11:06 a.m. EDT. The Shuttle Carrier Aircraft is heading to Biggs Army Air Field in El Paso, Texas, and is expected to arrive about 1:10 p.m. EDT. The shuttle and the ferry flight team will stay at Biggs overnight and then continue on tomorrow morning to NASA's Kennedy Space Center.
It looks like it has already arrived at Biggs:
http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911

==/===/===/===/===/===/===/
Mike Heskett
WB5QLD
Hurst, TX
O-

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30280. Re: Space Shuttle Ferry

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-01 19:03:07 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 30279 Message: 30280

Correct me if I'm wrong, but I think the only reason it landed at the NAS is becasue of weather related issues to the east. Didnt it also make one more stop east of here before it went to Florida?

But I know its rare for it to land at the NAS unless there is some kind of issue.

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike Heskett <mheskett@...> wrote:
>
> I guess we will miss out on the return trip.
> From a NASA Blog:
>
> Space shuttle Atlantis' ferry flight is under way! The modified
> Boeing 747 Shuttle Carrier Aircraft carrying Atlantis took off from
> Edwards Air Force Base in California at 11:06 a.m. EDT. The Shuttle
> Carrier Aircraft is heading to Biggs Army Air Field in El Paso,
> Texas, and is expected to arrive about 1:10 p.m. EDT. The shuttle and
> the ferry flight team will stay at Biggs overnight and then continue
> on tomorrow morning to NASA's Kennedy Space Center.
> It looks like it has already arrived at Biggs:
> http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911
>
>
> ==/===/===/===/===/===/===/
> Mike Heskett
> WB5QLD
> Hurst, TX
> O-
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30281. Carrollton Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-02 17:26:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30281 Message: 30281

Carrollton working a fire on CFD Tac 3. I haven't
been able to get a location or nature of fire, thus
I did not page out on IPN. Sounds like it's under
control and knocked down though. However, quite
a few units are still on-scene.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090601-0, 06/01/2009
Tested on: 6/2/2009 12:26:41 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30282. DFW Milcom

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-02 18:11:20 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30258 Message: 30282

13:00 LOCAL CDT

235.1 / 132.975/
128.1 SOONER 21 (465th ARS Tinker)/RAZZ 99
on AR-112H
SOONER 21 is dir Tinker
228.45 SOONER 21 with 465th ARS ops 20 min out
349.725 ROCKET 1 on Lockheed Ops Push 10

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, TX.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090601-0, 06/01/2009
Tested on: 6/2/2009 1:11:21 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30283. I DID NOT SEND YOU PICS!! PLEASE DELETE EMAIL FROM TAGGED!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-04 16:39:26 UTC
From: Bill Manning
Topic: 30283 Message: 30283

Hello Everyone,

Please delete any emails you receive from TAGGED or from me saying that I sent you pics on TAGGED.

I did NOT send you pics. This thing got a hold of my in box and sent it out to everyone!

Sorry for the inconvenience.

Bill Manning

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30284. FWFD Bomb Squad

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-04 21:51:34 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30284 Message: 30284

Sounds like FWFD Bomb Squad is working a suspicious device or package. Not sure where or any other details, other than they're on FDINV12 on the trunked system. 16:51. I think it's on the northside.

30285. Denton Air Show, Sat June 20th. Denton Muncipal Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-05 03:23:48 UTC
From: Bob Tickner
Topic: 30285 Message: 30285

PRESS RELEASE
June 3, 2009<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />
MEDIA CONTACT:
Amanda Addington, Marketing Director
Phone: (940) 484-1603
Airshow Flies Into Denton on June 20
DENTON ? High-flying airshow action comes to North Texas when the Denton Airshow takes off at the Denton Airport on June 20. The Denton Airport, City of Denton and the Denton Air Fair Committee have teamed up with David Schultz Airshows LLC to present this year?s show. Admission is only $5 for adults and children age 6 and older; children under 6 get in free. Gates open at 10 a.m. and opening ceremonies will begin at 1 p.m.
Headlining the performances will be the Trojan Phlyers Aerobatic Team and the US Navy F/A-18C Hornet West Coast Demonstration Team.
Other performers include Mike ?Spanky? Galloway in his Pitts S2C, the Texas Twisters Formation Team, the Flight of the Phoenix Escadrille Team, and many others. The Flagship Detroit DC-3 will make an appearance, and Longhorn Helicopter will be selling helicopter rides. There will be static aircraft displays, vendors, a children?s play area and diaper changing station, and plenty of food and drinks.
A special attraction this year is Glenn McDuffie, the sailor from the iconic WWII photograph of a sailor kissing a nurse in Times Square on V-J Day in 1945. The iconic image of that kiss, immortalized by the photographer Alfred Eisenstaedt in ?Life? magazine, became the symbol of a joyful nation?s victory. McDuffie, who was featured on ?Good Morning America? in 2007, will be signing copies of the photo during the airshow.
The Denton Airport is located 4 miles west of I-35E in Denton. Traffic flow to the show will be improved this year with the addition of more parking areas and shuttle buses sponsored by Roadrunner Charters running to and from the gates.
Proceeds from the airshow benefit Cumberland Presbyterian Children?s Home in Denton. Sponsorship and volunteer opportunities are still available. For more information, go to http://denton.schultzairshows.com or call (940) 484-1603.
Scanner Frequencies for listening in.
Denton Tower 119.95000
DentonGround 123.95000
Denton Asos 119.32500
DTO Unicom 122.95000
Air Boss Freq TBD

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30286. ARC250 mem file for DFW area

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-06 15:42:36 UTC
From: D Osborne
Topic: 30286 Message: 30286

Does anyone have a current ARC250 mem file for the DFW area they would be willing to share ?

30287. More new Arlington tgs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-06 18:51:43 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30287 Message: 30287

I'm hearing some new talkgroups with event traffic for the George Straight concert at the new Cowboys stadium.

58960
58992
59024
59056

Ben

30288. RE: [dfwscan] More new Arlington tgs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-06 19:29:59 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30287 Message: 30288

Media folks: You might do a story about bogus tickets being sold for parking lot 15 which isn't open yet. Sounds like someone was selling them on eBay.

OK, seems like they added G1 through G16, similar to the recently added "F1-F16" talkgroups.

Maybe F channels will be for the Ballpark events and G for Cowboys? Then what would they do on dueling events? (Thinking out loud about this).

TGs G1-4, are PD traffic. Last one, G16, 59440 is FD/EMS traffic.

G1 58960 - event command

G2 58992 - talk 1?

G3 59024 - traffic command

G4 59056 - talkaround

G5 59088

G6 59120

G7 59152

G8 58184

G9 59216

G10 59248

G11 59280

G12 59312

G13 59344

G14 59376

G15 59408

G16 59440 EMS/FD

I haven't confirmed G5 through G15.

I haven't been able to scan the stadium's LTR system from Bedford.

Ben Saladino

>

I'm hearing some new talkgroups with event traffic for the George Straight concert at the new Cowboys stadium.

58960
58992
59024
59056

Ben

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30289. Re: More new Arlington tgs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-06 19:53:29 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30287 Message: 30289

On 6/6/2009 2:29 PM, Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:

> Media folks: You might do a story about bogus tickets being sold for parking
> lot 15 which isn't open yet. Sounds like someone was selling them on eBay.

Probably some young guy in a van with a light bar
on top and lettering that says "professional storm
chaser"....

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090605-0, 06/05/2009
Tested on: 6/6/2009 2:53:30 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30290. RE: [dfwscan] More new Arlington tgs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-06 22:43:00 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 30287 Message: 30290

Just heard someone go to channel 14: 59376

They are using 59088 for an escort channel, arriving now at the Coach Jerry Shrine!

59344 sounds like First Aid, heard them ordering up more tampons and over the counter meds earlier!!!

Interesting listening, 59440 is very busy with George Straight fans dropping out like flies!

MC

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Saturday, June 06, 2009 2:30 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] More new Arlington tgs

Media folks: You might do a story about bogus tickets being sold for parking lot 15 which isn't open yet. Sounds like someone was selling them on eBay.

OK, seems like they added G1 through G16, similar to the recently added "F1-F16" talkgroups.

Maybe F channels will be for the Ballpark events and G for Cowboys? Then what would they do on dueling events? (Thinking out loud about this).

TGs G1-4, are PD traffic. Last one, G16, 59440 is FD/EMS traffic.

G1 58960 - event command

G2 58992 - talk 1?

G3 59024 - traffic command

G4 59056 - talkaround

G5 59088

G6 59120

G7 59152

G8 58184

G9 59216

G10 59248

G11 59280

G12 59312

G13 59344

G14 59376

G15 59408

G16 59440 EMS/FD

I haven't confirmed G5 through G15.

I haven't been able to scan the stadium's LTR system from Bedford.

Ben Saladino

>

I'm hearing some new talkgroups with event traffic for the George Straight
concert at the new Cowboys stadium.

58960
58992
59024
59056

Ben

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30291. RE: [dfwscan] More new Arlington tgs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-07 00:09:27 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30287 Message: 30291

Confirmed EMS going to 13, 59344.

Ben

> Just heard someone go to channel 14: 59376

They are using 59088 for an escort channel, arriving now at the Coach Jerry Shrine!

59344 sounds like First Aid, heard them ordering up more tampons and over the counter meds earlier!!!

Interesting listening, 59440 is very busy with George Straight fans dropping out like flies!

MC<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30292. RE: [dfwscan] More new Arlington tgs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-07 00:41:35 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30287 Message: 30292

Found another one, tg 57392 is in the public works range, and seems to be related to parking. They mentioned sweeping(as in checking, not using a broom or cleaning) lots and towing cars. Actually, it might be code enforcement, so maybe it is parking violators they are going after tonight. They also talked about a demolition site without any barricades.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30293. Weekly FCC grants May 31-June 06

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-07 12:47:02 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30293 Message: 30293

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30294. McKinney Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-11 01:32:07 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30294 Message: 30294

McKinney FD working a structure fire on FG 1.
Fire thru the roof. Haven't paged out to IPN because
I haven't heard the location.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090610-0, 06/10/2009
Tested on: 6/10/2009 8:32:07 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30295. 149.625

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-11 22:44:43 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30295 Message: 30295

Recently I have been hearing a lot of milair traffic on 149.625 AM.
I haven't heard any IDs yet. Not sure if this is Lockheed or 301st or what.
There used to be a Texas State Guard repeater in the metroplex on this frequency with PL 162.2. I don't know if it still exists.

Tom ND5Y

30296. Re: 149.625

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-11 23:30:43 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30295 Message: 30296

On 6/11/2009 5:44 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:

> Recently I have been hearing a lot of milair traffic on 149.625 AM.

149.625 125TH FS OK ANG TULSA IAP "TULSA" A/A

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090611-0, 06/11/2009
Tested on: 6/11/2009 6:30:43 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30297. Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 12:17:09 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 30297 Message: 30297

Hey to any of the TV station engineers out there....

Are there any plans to do something special for the final sign off of analog TV? Should I dust off the VCR and capture the last Analog TV moments? Or will it be as uneventful as a simple pulling of the plug in the middle of a late night show?

Thanks,

--Chance

30298. Re: [dfwscan] Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 15:42:45 UTC
From: Mel's lists
Topic: 30297 Message: 30298

http://www.ustream.tv/channel/795522

Streaming live from my cell phone.
> ._,___

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30299. Re: Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 15:51:57 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 30297 Message: 30299

Thanks Mel for the special inside view. As always, you are awesome!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Mel's lists <lists@...> wrote:
>
> http://www.ustream.tv/channel/795522
>
> Streaming live from my cell phone.
> > ._,___
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30300. Re: [dfwscan] Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 16:17:57 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 30297 Message: 30300

From a FaceBook entry: "1 hour til the switch to DTV -- Channel 8 is running the close they ran in the 1970's to end their broadcast day. Should be cool!"

--- On Fri, 6/12/09, Chance Lindsey <chance1@...> wrote:

From: Chance Lindsey <chance1@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Friday, June 12, 2009, 7:17 AM

Hey to any of the TV station engineers out there....

Are there any plans to do something special for the final sign off of analog TV? Should I dust off the VCR and capture the last Analog TV moments? Or will it be as uneventful as a simple pulling of the plug in the middle of a late night show?

Thanks,

--Chance

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30301. Re: [dfwscan] Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 16:43:33 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30297 Message: 30301

What, no waving flags and F-15 flyovers? One of the stations used to end their broadcasts that way. Or the Indian test pattern.

http://www.ustream.tv/channel/795522

Streaming live from my cell phone.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30302. RE: [dfwscan] Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 16:48:24 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 30297 Message: 30302

Don't remember witch station it was. The engineer that originally installed the analog system is coming out of retirement to turn it off.

-----Original Message-----
--- On Fri, 6/12/09, Chance Lindsey <chance1@...> wrote:

From: Chance Lindsey <chance1@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Friday, June 12, 2009, 7:17 AM

Hey to any of the TV station engineers out there....

Are there any plans to do something special for the final sign off of analog TV? Should I dust off the VCR and capture the last Analog TV moments? Or will it be as uneventful as a simple pulling of the plug in the middle of a late night show?

Thanks,

--Chance

30303. Police Scanners at ham com

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 17:05:35 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30303 Message: 30303

Do they have many?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30304. RE: [dfwscan] Police Scanners at ham com

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 17:46:02 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 30303 Message: 30304

Yeah, there will likely be at least one or two vendors with Uniden and RS stuff. I'll be there tomorrow with a pile of Astro Spectras, a Kenwood VHF P25 portable, and several Motorola MW800 mobile computers if anyone's interested.

Terry

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 12:06 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Police Scanners at ham com

Do they have many?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30305. RE: [dfwscan] Police Scanners at ham com

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 17:52:14 UTC
From: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Topic: 30303 Message: 30305

National Communications
996T or 396XT $480 cash

-----Original Message-----
From: Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 12:05
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Police Scanners at ham com

Do they have many?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30306. Re: Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 18:06:34 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 30297 Message: 30306

> Are there any plans to do something special for the final sign off of analog TV?"

How about bringing back the DFW Airport camera? If Ch 39 can afford to have 5 digital stations, surely WFAA can add one more. :)

On a side note, can we expect more added digital channels other than what have been added already? (I re-scanned last night and found no newbies).

Also, I wonder since all of these powerful analog stations will be powered down, will that have any effect on our scanner reception in general...hmmm.

-Tracey

30307. Residential fire in Plano

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 21:33:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30307 Message: 30307

Big house fire in Plano 1400 block of Amherst.
All units have evacuated because of conditions
and heavy fire. Two bedridden patients were
reported trapped, however, the latest traffic
that I heard was that firefighters could not get
into that bedroom because of conditions.
Hopefully no DOA's on this scene, but it doesn't
look good.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090612-0, 06/12/2009
Tested on: 6/12/2009 4:33:10 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30308. Closure due to fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 21:38:52 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30308 Message: 30308

15th St / Norman Whitsitt Pkwy at Edgefield is
closed because of this structure fire. Careflite
is landing. This is just northwest of Collin Creek
Mall.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090612-0, 06/12/2009
Tested on: 6/12/2009 4:38:53 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30309. Re: [dfwscan] Residential fire in Plano

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 21:41:42 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30307 Message: 30309

On 6/12/2009 4:33 PM, Blaine Brooks wrote:

> Big house fire in Plano 1400 block of Amherst.

Careflite contact on Fireground CH 6.
Scene command on Fireground CH 5.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090612-0, 06/12/2009
Tested on: 6/12/2009 4:41:43 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30310. Re: [dfwscan] Residential fire in Plano

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 22:29:04 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30307 Message: 30310

Press release:

Please be advised that there is a major structure fire in the 1400 block of Amherst Drive. Two residents lived in the home -- one person has been transported in critical condition to Parkland Hospital. A second resident has been found deceased inside home.

Terry

------Original Message------
From: Blaine Brooks
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: DFWSCAN Group
Sent: Jun 12, 2009 16:41
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Residential fire in Plano

On 6/12/2009 4:33 PM, Blaine Brooks wrote:

> Big house fire in Plano 1400 block of Amherst.

Careflite contact on Fireground CH 6.
Scene command on Fireground CH 5.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090612-0, 06/12/2009
Tested on: 6/12/2009 4:41:43 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

30311. RE: [dfwscan] Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 22:30:12 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30297 Message: 30311

On Friday, June 12, 2009 11:48, "Mike Hutchins" <m-hutchins@...> said:

> Don't remember witch station it was. The engineer that originally installed
> the analog system is coming out of retirement to turn it off.

Oh man, now that is ultra cool! I hope it happened! And I hope it gets posted to YouTube!

Rob

30312. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Any special plans for Analog TV sign-off?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 22:33:02 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30297 Message: 30312

On Friday, June 12, 2009 13:06, "Tracey W. Singleton" <tracey.singleton@flightlogix.net> said:

> Also, I wonder since all of these powerful analog stations will be powered down,
> will that have any effect on our scanner reception in general...hmmm.

It couldn't get any worse. There are several areas of the public safety spectrum where I just can't hear crap because of the heavy TV bleedover.

Rob

30313. Re: Residential fire in Plano

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-12 22:37:45 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30307 Message: 30313

On 6/12/2009 5:29 PM, terry@... wrote:

> A second resident has been found deceased inside home.

Sad news, but thanks for the info, Terry.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090612-0, 06/12/2009
Tested on: 6/12/2009 5:37:45 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30314. Tarrant County Races

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-13 21:47:46 UTC
From: Theodore Quiroz
Topic: 30314 Message: 30314

While listening to the FW Repeater the other night during bad weather,there was a guy who kept interrupting the Weather Spotters.he was talking alot of trash.never heard that happen before.don't they have ways of tracking this individual ? and taking some action against him.

30315. Re: Tarrant County Races

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-13 21:54:54 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30314 Message: 30315

Yes, they do. But I think it needs to be a repetitive happening, they dont have the equipment set up at all times on all frequencies so it's hard to find someone with just one isolated occurence. If he keeps doing it though, he will get caught and there will be hell to pay.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Theodore Quiroz" <theo115@...> wrote:
>
> While listening to the FW Repeater the other night during bad weather,there was a guy who kept interrupting the Weather Spotters.he was talking alot of trash.never heard that happen before.don't they have ways of tracking this individual ? and taking some action against him.
>

30316. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County Races

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-13 22:00:17 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30314 Message: 30316

On Saturday, June 13, 2009 16:54, "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333" <dfw333@...m> said:

> Yes, they do. But I think it needs to be a repetitive happening, they dont have
> the equipment set up at all times on all frequencies so it's hard to find someone
> with just one isolated occurence. If he keeps doing it though, he will get caught
> and there will be hell to pay.

They've never caught me! ;)

30317. Re: Tarrant County Races

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-13 22:42:53 UTC
From: ae5fy
Topic: 30314 Message: 30317

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> On Saturday, June 13, 2009 16:54, "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333" <dfw333@...> said:
>
> > Yes, they do. But I think it needs to be a repetitive happening, they dont have
> > the equipment set up at all times on all frequencies so it's hard to find someone
> > with just one isolated occurence. If he keeps doing it though, he will get caught
> > and there will be hell to pay.
>
> They've never caught me! ;)
>
Been happening for years. Even if one would get caught another would step in to take its place. Just a minor nuisance to the net as it keeps getting the job done.

Jim
AE5FY
Saginaw, Texas
United States of America

30318. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County Races

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-13 22:52:42 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30314 Message: 30318

Been through the same thing with CB. The only solution we found was to track them down to their casa and pay them a visit -- ie pin through coax, antenna yanked down, etc...

Best one was pulling up in front of the house after spending an hour tracking down a dead key with the TV playing in the background. As we pulled up, we heard a screen door slam and someone say "oh sh*t", followed by dead air. Never had a problem with that one person again.

You'd think the FCC would take more interest in this since their games are interfering with public safety.

>>
>>
>>> Yes, they do. But I think it needs to be a repetitive happening, they dont have
>>> the equipment set up at all times on all frequencies so it's hard to find someone
>>> with just one isolated occurence. If he keeps doing it though, he will get caught
>>> and there will be hell to pay.
>>>
>> They've never caught me! ;)
>>
>>
> Been happening for years. Even if one would get caught another would step in to take its place. Just a minor nuisance to the net as it keeps getting the job done.
>
>

30319. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County Races

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-13 23:24:54 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 30314 Message: 30319

I can give you names, AND callsigns if you want... I know who it is. Even the repeater trustee knows who it is and won't do a damn thing about it (makes me furious) It's HIS license after all. But I live/work downtown Dallas... and when storms come, I'm usually stuck working through them. So to load up the DF equipment, drive over to Fort Worth, and document things is just a pain especially when the trustee has this guy's back. But I may just get irate enough to do it - and turn them BOTH in. (after sending certified letter w/ proof to trustee so he can't say he didn't know)

Ask me how I really feel.

-Melissa
km5r

ae5fy wrote:
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
> >
> > On Saturday, June 13, 2009 16:54, "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333"
> <dfw333@...> said:
> >
> > > Yes, they do. But I think it needs to be a repetitive happening,
> they dont have
> > > the equipment set up at all times on all frequencies so it's hard
> to find someone
> > > with just one isolated occurence. If he keeps doing it though, he
> will get caught
> > > and there will be hell to pay.
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30320. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County Races

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-14 12:25:48 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30314 Message: 30320

I think the guy's name, callsign, and address, and a photo ID should be put out for the general (scanner/radio) public! Whoever he is, he is a real jerk. Has he ever been repotted for this?

I heard him the other night and I've heard him in the past too. He sounds like a drunken jerk wanting attention. I like the way the storm chasers just ignore him but I'd also like to hear of him getting caught too.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of km5r email lists
Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 6:25 PM
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County Races

I can give you names, AND callsigns if you want... I know who it is. Even the repeater trustee knows who it is and won't do a damn thing about it (makes me furious) It's HIS license after all. But I live/work downtown Dallas... and when storms come, I'm usually stuck working through them. So to load up the DF equipment, drive over to Fort Worth, and document things is just a pain especially when the trustee has this guy's back. But I may just get irate enough to do it - and turn them BOTH in. (after sending certified letter w/ proof to trustee so he can't say he didn't know)

Ask me how I really feel.

-Melissa
km5r

ae5fy wrote:
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
> >
> > On Saturday, June 13, 2009 16:54, "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333"
> <dfw333@...> said:
> >
> > > Yes, they do. But I think it needs to be a repetitive happening,
> they dont have
> > > the equipment set up at all times on all frequencies so it's hard
> to find someone
> > > with just one isolated occurence. If he keeps doing it though, he

> will get caught
> > > and there will be hell to pay.
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30321. Sunday Morning Skip/Ducting From San Antonio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-14 12:31:09 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30321 Message: 30321

Hello All,

I just walked into the radio room this morning and sat down to catch up on some e-mails when I ran across an FD on 154.250 with a PL of 156.7. I heard "? County Fire Alarm" called and then "Hollywood Park FD" talking. After a quick FCC & MSN Maps search, I saw this is Bexar County Fire Alarm. Lots of Bexar County agencies are listed with the frequency too.

It appears there's some pretty decent skip this morning.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30322. Weekly FCC grants June 07-13

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-14 13:54:54 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30322 Message: 30322

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30323. Any Texas/Oklahoma Border Listeners?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-14 14:47:23 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30323 Message: 30323

Hi Again All,

I've been raking in some new ones with the skip this morning. I confirmed one that I'd asked about here earlier this year. McGregor PD, 158.8125/146.2, is booming in loud and clear.

I also just confirmed (for myself anyways) the PL 173.8 on 154.755 as being used by Marshall County Fire (Oklahoma). I've had this frequency confirmed for many years with Marshall Co SO but I never had their PL tone. When looking at RR.com, it still has Marshall Co SO listed too (and with the 173.8 PL). The FCC site shows only one trunk license on 154.755 for the whole state of Oklahoma and it's not Marshall County.

Do any of the northern DFW listeners or possibly Oklahoma members know if the SO still uses this frequency? It definitely being used now by Marshall County Fire and I'm just wondering if their SO is still on the same frequency too. I seldom hear anything out of Oklahoma anymore. I chalk it up to the trunk systems up there. They probably say the same thing about us down here :-)

Thanks for any additional feedback.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30324. Re: [dfwscan] Any Texas/Oklahoma Border Listeners?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-14 15:38:05 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30323 Message: 30324

>
> I noticed some skip on broadcast FM the other morning as well. Strong enough it was interferring with local stations. Kinda cool when you can hear stations from a long ways off.
>

>
>
>
> I've been raking in some new ones with the skip this morning. I confirmed
> one that I'd asked about here earlier this year. McGregor PD,
> 158.8125/146.2, is booming in loud and clear.
>
>
>
> I also just confirmed (for myself anyways) the PL 173.8 on 154.755 as being
> used by Marshall County Fire (Oklahoma). I've had this frequency confirmed
> for many years with Marshall Co SO but I never had their PL tone. When
> looking at RR.com, it still has Marshall Co SO listed too (and with the
> 173.8 PL). The FCC site shows only one trunk license on 154.755 for the
> whole state of Oklahoma and it's not Marshall County.
>
>
>
> Do any of the northern DFW listeners or possibly Oklahoma members know if
> the SO still uses this frequency? It definitely being used now by Marshall
> County Fire and I'm just wondering if their SO is still on the same
> frequency too. I seldom hear anything out of Oklahoma anymore. I chalk it
> up to the trunk systems up there. They probably say the same thing about us
> down here :-)
>
>

30325. RE: [dfwscan] Any Texas/Oklahoma Border Listeners?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-14 18:29:04 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30323 Message: 30325

I've always loved DX'ing and catching new stations. This morning was pretty good too. I kept trying to search between (more or less) 151 MHz and 160 MHz. I never made it past 155 MHz without finding something new to start trying to confirm :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Sunday, June 14, 2009 10:38 AM
To: DFWSCAN
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Any Texas/Oklahoma Border Listeners?

>
> I noticed some skip on broadcast FM the other morning as well. Strong enough it was interferring with local stations. Kinda cool when you can hear stations from a long ways off.
>

>
>
>
> I've been raking in some new ones with the skip this morning. I confirmed
> one that I'd asked about here earlier this year. McGregor PD,
> 158.8125/146.2, is booming in loud and clear.
>
>
>
> I also just confirmed (for myself anyways) the PL 173.8 on 154.755 as being
> used by Marshall County Fire (Oklahoma). I've had this frequency confirmed
> for many years with Marshall Co SO but I never had their PL tone. When
> looking at RR.com, it still has Marshall Co SO listed too (and with the
> 173.8 PL). The FCC site shows only one trunk license on 154.755 for the
> whole state of Oklahoma and it's not Marshall County.
>
>
>
> Do any of the northern DFW listeners or possibly Oklahoma members know if
> the SO still uses this frequency? It definitely being used now by Marshall
> County Fire and I'm just wondering if their SO is still on the same
> frequency too. I seldom hear anything out of Oklahoma anymore. I chalk

it
> up to the trunk systems up there. They probably say the same thing about
us
> down here :-)
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30326. For Sale: Uniden BCD396T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-14 18:47:42 UTC
From: Tracey W. Singleton
Topic: 30326 Message: 30326

I don't remember if this is off-topic or not, but before I place it on eBay I am selling my Uniden BCD396T hand-held, and I wanted to make it available to the group. Very gently used, no dings/scratches, and it has only left the house twice.

Includes scanner, AC power supply/charger, stock antenna, manual, programming software and cable. Will throw in scanner headset.

Will program a few cities for you if you ask nicely. (grin)

Must be willing to pick it up or meet me halfway somewhere between Mesquite and Greenville on I-30. I can send pictures to verify condition and mail it if you really want it.

I love this radio, but am selling to buy amateur mobile rig.

$400.00 Flat.

-Tracey

30327. Friday Night MVA Dallas Tollway@Frankford

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-14 23:35:11 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30327 Message: 30327

There was a pretty impressive wreck on Dallas Tollway @ Frankford on Friday around midnight. Car was on its side wedged into a toll booth. I forgot to take my camera, but while I was there I did see what appeared to be someone with the media recording it. I never saw what channel he was with and I havent been able to find it on any of the websites. Does anyone know of any pictures of this scene?

Thanks
Andrew

30328. FWPS Radio System users mentioned in council agenda

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-15 00:07:21 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30328 Message: 30328

Several users are mentioned in the June 16th city council agenda, relating to rebanding costs, including BNSF Railroad, US Bureau of Printing and Engraving, FWISD, and UNT Health Science Center. I know BSNF has at least one talkgroup, and UNTHSC has 4. I'm not sure about US B of P&E or FWISD. They may only have access to Tarrant1 or something like that, but if you hear some new talkgroups that sound like they might match, please share the info here.

Happy Scanning,
Ben Saladino

http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/create_council_agenda.asp

http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=11867&councildate=6/16/2009

http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=11868&councildate=6/16/2009

http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=11866&councildate=6/16/2009

30329. Re: Any Texas/Oklahoma Border Listeners?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-15 00:57:31 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30323 Message: 30329

On 6/14/2009 9:47 AM, commscan wrote:

> I seldom hear anything out of Oklahoma anymore. I chalk it
> up to the trunk systems up there. They probably say the same thing about us
> down here :-)

Brian and group -

FWIW .....

I know and can confirm that 800 hasn't gone into
Southern Oklahoma for primary dispatches. I frequently
travel between DFW and the Pauls Valley/Wynnewood
area, and can tell you all the S.O.'s , PD's and FD's up
there are VHF Hi, and the repeaters (although rural)
carry quite a distance. The only digital that I confirmed
was Chickasaw NRA. So far, I have heard nothing state
related. OHP Ardmore supposedly has not made the
transition to 800 yet, and is still on Lo Band. I made
a search of the 800 band, and never found any decent
control channels. In all my trips over the last couple
of months, I have NEVER seen an OHP vehicle.
However, I am waiting with dread to see the Timmay
Command vehicle at Ponders in Ardmore. What a great
way to ruin a fantastic small town meal......

Also, confirmed the FAA RCAG Ardmore site from the
top of Arbuckle Mtn. - very loud and clear - on 132.975.
If you're ever in Turner Falls make sure to tune it in if
you're a aviation buff. That's the High Altitude site.
Arbuckle Mtn is a visual landmark for us scanner and
radio tower buffs as well. It's sprinkled with numerous
towers on top, and can be seen for miles near Hwy 77
and Interstate 35. There are a couple of real pretty
vistas and pull-offs where you can DX for many miles.

Overall, RR data has been right on the money from this area.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090614-0, 06/14/2009
Tested on: 6/14/2009 7:57:31 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30330. FWFD working something on Lake Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-15 20:05:21 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30330 Message: 30330

I missed the initial dispatch, but it sounds like the dive teams are working something on Lake Worth. I also heard FWNAS and Lake Patrol involved.
6/15/2009 15:04

30331. Re: [dfwscan] FWFD working something on Lake Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-15 22:23:04 UTC
From: Dan Renois
Topic: 30330 Message: 30331

2217 Andover in Samson park just got back. I was on utility 13

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 15, 2009, at 15:05, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...>
wrote:

>
>
> I missed the initial dispatch, but it sounds like the dive teams are
> working
> something on Lake Worth. I also heard FWNAS and Lake Patrol involved.
> 6/15/2009 15:04
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30332. Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 13:24:46 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30332 Message: 30332

Hi Group,

There is some excellent long range reception today. It's probably the best I've ran across in years. I'm listening agencies as far south (and probably farther.I just don't know Spanish) as Corpus Christi. Even as I type this message, I'm listening to Liberty County SO in SE Texas and they sound as if they're in my backyard (155.835/PL146.3). Back in the day, when I lived in the Houston area and there were no TRS, I use to hear Liberty Co. fairly regularly. That's what I love about VHF-Hi conventional frequencies. Unlike 800 MHz, and most times the 453/460 MHz frequencies, there is a lot more skip and range these VHF-Hi band frequencies give. And the whole VHF-Hi band range is much more often good for skip because the MUF (maximum useable frequency) usually falls short of the 400 and 800 MHz bands before it all gone.

Just a heads up for anyone interested in long range reception today.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30333. Re: [dfwscan] Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 16:09:36 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 30332 Message: 30333

Another idea as far as ducting goes... turn on your old ANALOG TV, and scan the lower VHF channels (2, 6, 7) - each market has a "nightlight" station on analog - and who knows what cities you may see. We just got a QSL report Friday night from our San Antonio station KCWX (which didn't turn off analog till 11:59:59pm) from some guy in Ohio.

commscan wrote:
>
>
> Hi Group,
>
> There is some excellent long range reception today. It's probably the best
> I've ran across in years. I'm listening agencies as far south (and
> probably
> farther.I just don't know Spanish) as Corpus Christi. Even as I type this
> message, I'm listening to Liberty County SO in SE Texas and they sound
> as if
> they're in my backyard (155.835/PL146.3). Back in the day, when I lived in
> the Houston area and there were no TRS, I use to hear Liberty Co. fairly
> regularly. That's what I love about VHF-Hi conventional frequencies.
> Unlike 800 MHz, and most times the 453/460 MHz frequencies, there is a lot
> more skip and range these VHF-Hi band frequencies give. And the whole
> VHF-Hi band range is much more often good for skip because the MUF
> (maximum
> useable frequency) usually falls short of the 400 and 800 MHz bands before
> it all gone.
>
> Just a heads up for anyone interested in long range reception today.
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30334. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County Races

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 16:11:24 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 30314 Message: 30334

There are several people doing this, not just one. It'd be nice if some
of the Ft Worth guys (not meaning you Brian) would actually go DF them.
- the newbies to the hobby seem to have forgotten what happened many
years ago when a ham got busted for this sort of thing.

commscan wrote:
>
>
>
> I think the guy's name, callsign, and address, and a photo ID should
> be put
> out for the general (scanner/radio) public! Whoever he is, he is a real
> jerk. Has he ever been repotted for this?
>
> I heard him the other night and I've heard him in the past too. He sounds
> like a drunken jerk wanting attention. I like the way the storm chasers
> just ignore him but I'd also like to hear of him getting caught too.
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of
> km5r email lists
> Sent: Saturday, June 13, 2009 6:25 PM
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County Races
>
> I can give you names, AND callsigns if you want... I know who it is.
> Even the repeater trustee knows who it is and won't do a damn thing
> about it (makes me furious) It's HIS license after all. But I live/work
> downtown Dallas... and when storms come, I'm usually stuck working
> through them. So to load up the DF equipment, drive over to Fort Worth,
> and document things is just a pain especially when the trustee has this
> guy's back. But I may just get irate enough to do it - and turn them
> BOTH in. (after sending certified letter w/ proof to trustee so he can't
> say he didn't know)
>
> Ask me how I really feel.
>
> -Melissa
> km5r
>

> ae5fy wrote:
> >
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>,
> > "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
> > >
> > > On Saturday, June 13, 2009 16:54, "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333"
> > <dfw333@...> said:
> > >
> > > > Yes, they do. But I think it needs to be a repetitive happening,
> > they dont have
> > > > the equipment set up at all times on all frequencies so it's hard
> > to find someone
> > > > with just one isolated occurence. If he keeps doing it though, he
> > will get caught
> > > > and there will be hell to pay.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30335. New Lockheed Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 16:13:49 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30335 Message: 30335

Hi Group,

This is two firsts in one for me. Lockheed is using 159.885/PL 69.3 for Lockheed Mobile (the white station wagon that runs out to the runway when a test flight is getting ready to fly). I've never heard anyone with the PL 69.3 too.

This frequency is one I've looked for 10+ years. I finally came to the conclusion that Lockheed Mobile just used the same frequency as the aircraft did but that they never really came up and talked.

This frequency is listed with Lockheed but out of Travis County (http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2983195 <http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2983195&__newWindow=false> &__newWindow=false). This frequency sounds a lot like the old 149.205 Transient Alert frequency the base use to use. I even programmed 149.205 into another radio to see if they came up at the same time and nothing but 159.885 was carrying traffic. The transient alert frequency, 149.205, has disappeared from use I believe and this is now on the Navy TRS.

The4 audio can be very low at times on this frequency, 159.885, but I think it depends on the radio transmitting.

Navy Tower is also here talking to Lockheed. I may not be 100% correct on my diagnosis of what this frequency is for, but it is one I've never heard, seen mentioned, etc.

If any of you can hear this from any other locations, please reply and let the group know. This does not appear to be an image frequency or anything like that. I'll keep an ear on it to try and see what I can learn.

BTW, the skip/ducting I mentioned earlier this morning has pretty much disappeared fast and is not ongoing anymore.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30336. RE: [dfwscan] Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 16:26:03 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30332 Message: 30336

I didn't even think of something like that. That's a pretty cool QSL. As luck would have it, until I receive my new smart card from my satellite provider, I'm without any channel excerpt the "Free This Month" Science Channel (and two other that aren't worth watching though). I had to disconnect my outside antenna going to my satellite box in May of last year. I really hope to get it hooked back up soon. I like to have it just for those occasions where the storms knock out satellite reception and also for reception on the weather (and other additional channels) channels such as 4.1, 8.1, 8.2, etc the local stations offer. Thanks for the idea Melissa...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of km5r email lists
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 11:10 AM
To: commscan
Cc: 'DFWSCAN'
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Super Skip/Ducting Today

Another idea as far as ducting goes... turn on your old ANALOG TV, and scan the lower VHF channels (2, 6, 7) - each market has a "nightlight" station on analog - and who knows what cities you may see. We just got a QSL report Friday night from our San Antonio station KCWX (which didn't turn off analog till 11:59:59pm) from some guy in Ohio.

commscan wrote:
>
>
> Hi Group,
>
> There is some excellent long range reception today. It's probably the best
> I've ran across in years. I'm listening agencies as far south (and
> probably
> farther.I just don't know Spanish) as Corpus Christi. Even as I type this
> message, I'm listening to Liberty County SO in SE Texas and they sound
> as if
> they're in my backyard (155.835/PL146.3). Back in the day, when I lived in
> the Houston area and there were no TRS, I use to hear Liberty Co. fairly
> regularly. That's what I love about VHF-Hi conventional frequencies.
> Unlike 800 MHz, and most times the 453/460 MHz frequencies, there is a lot
> more skip and range these VHF-Hi band frequencies give. And the whole
> VHF-Hi band range is much more often good for skip because the MUF
> (maximum
> useable frequency) usually falls short of the 400 and 800 MHz bands before

> it all gone.
>
> Just a heads up for anyone interested in long range reception today.
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30337. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Tarrant County Races

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 16:44:29 UTC
From: Jonathan Kelley
Topic: 30314 Message: 30337

Let me take a wild guess and assume you guys are speaking about the 146.94 repeater?

If so, I don't even participate on this repeater anymore because of this idiocy. I've taken the repeater out of my radio. There's too much crap that gets put over that system by morons who must be mentally retarded with a disregard for Part 97.

Thankfully if D*STAR ever gains momentum it'll be easy to ban callsigns of unruly people.

I just couldn't put up with it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30338. Re: [dfwscan] Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 17:10:49 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30332 Message: 30338

Ducting (not to be confused with spring/summer sporadic E skip) can be just as good on UHF and 800 MHz as VHF, if not better.

You won't see it on a scanner because scanners have poor sensitivity that gets worse on UHF and 800 MHz, and the average scanner user doesn't have a high gain antenna up above treetop level or low loss hardline.

There are a number of hams that work long distances all the time on 432, 1296, 2304 MHz and 10 GHz mainly using SSB and high gain antennas with a clear view of the horizon.

Another good ducting indicator is weather radar. when you start seeing large AP blobs around the radar site (if you are looking at a web site that doesn't filter it out) you know conditions are good.

Tom ND5Y

30339. RE: [dfwscan] Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 17:28:45 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 30332 Message: 30339

Several years ago when I worked at a 2-way shop in Garland, we bought some used radios out of a small dept in Arkansas.

I powered them up and was able to RX their 800 trunking system for several hours one hot summer day.

Of course having an 800 BDA (Bi-Directional Amplifier) with an antenna on the roof about 20 or so feet in the air helped. But that was the first and only time I was able to hear a far away 800 system. I didn't think it was possible until it happened.

Never the less it was still really cool.

Sprint Treo 800w

Blackberry 8320 PIN 247FA6E9

PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Win. Mobile

Motorola 2-Way Service and Tech. info.

http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm

http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/Treo800w/

http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/Treo850/

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 12:11 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Super Skip/Ducting Today

Ducting (not to be confused with spring/summer sporadic E skip) can be just as good on UHF and 800 MHz as VHF, if not better.

You won't see it on a scanner because scanners have poor sensitivity that gets worse on UHF and 800 MHz, and the average scanner user doesn't have a high gain antenna up above treetop level or low loss hardline.

There are a number of hams that work long distances all the time on 432, 1296, 2304 MHz and 10 GHz mainly using SSB and high gain antennas with a clear view of the horizon.

Another good ducting indicator is weather radar. when you start seeing large AP blobs around the radar site (if you are looking at a web site that doesn't filter it out) you know conditions are good.

Tom ND5Y

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30340. Re: New Lockheed Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 17:38:35 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30335 Message: 30340

On 6/16/2009 11:13 AM, commscan wrote:

> This is two firsts in one for me. Lockheed is using 159.885/PL 69.3 for
> Lockheed Mobile

Great catch Brian!

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090615-0, 06/15/2009
Tested on: 6/16/2009 12:38:36 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30341. Re: New Lockheed Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-16 23:39:23 UTC
From: ae5fy
Topic: 30335 Message: 30341

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Group,
> This is two firsts in one for me. Lockheed is using 159.885/PL 69.3 for
> Lockheed Mobile (the white station wagon that runs out to the runway when a
> test flight is getting ready to fly). I've never heard anyone with the PL

Super find Brian. Its going right into the data base. I am assuming you had to be very near the base to hear any activity on that freq.

Jim
Saginaw

30342. IPN Coolness

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-17 21:46:15 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30342 Message: 30342

Nothing particularly significant here, but I thought it was cool. NRH fire had been working on a hazmat situation for probably half an hour or more, but I wasn't paying too much attention and didn't have any details. Then when they requested mutual aid, I got enough info to go ahead and send it out on IPN. I dispatched it on IPN at 1640. At 1642, the NRHFD dispatcher told the incident commander, "We're getting calls from the media now". I just thought that was pretty cool how fast they acted on my IPN page! Almost feels like being a reporter again!

Rob

30343. Re: [dfwscan] IPN Coolness

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-18 01:12:02 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 30342 Message: 30343

Remember that media pays for the service so it's interesting to know there was an incident 30 or more minutes old and not being paid attention to before it was paged. At that time of day the desk is buried and it's important to get what is paid for. Thanks for the information.

--- On Wed, 6/17/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...> wrote:

From: rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] IPN Coolness
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2009, 4:46 PM

Nothing particularly significant here, but I thought it was cool. NRH fire had been working on a hazmat situation for probably half an hour or more, but I wasn't paying too much attention and didn't have any details. Then when they requested mutual aid, I got enough info to go ahead and send it out on IPN. I dispatched it on IPN at 1640. At 1642, the NRHFD dispatcher told the incident commander, "We're getting calls from the media now". I just thought that was pretty cool how fast they acted on my IPN page! Almost feels like being a reporter again!

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30344. Re: [dfwscan] IPN Coolness

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-18 01:22:42 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30342 Message: 30344

Glad to hear y'all found it worthy. I try to keep my IPN pages relevant, and not pad my numbers with traffic advisories and junk. Even though it was half an hour old, it certainly sounded like it was a newsworthy situation.

Media apparently showed up pretty quickly after the page, and the fire guys were freaking out on the radio, as if they had never seen news media before. Calling for a PIO. Calling for cops. Talking about having the media "run off". Idiots. If half the effort were put into homeland security that is put into hassling the media in this country, there would have been no 9/11.

Rob

On Wednesday, June 17, 2009 20:12, "producer 5,6,10" <nats2sound@...> said:

> Remember that media pays for the service so it's interesting to know there was an
> incident 30 or more minutes old and not being paid attention to before it was
> paged. At that time of day the desk is buried and it's important to get what is
> paid for. Thanks for the information.

30345. Re: [dfwscan] IPN Coolness

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-18 01:29:05 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 30342 Message: 30345

Indeed.

--- On Wed, 6/17/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@armynursecorps.com> wrote:

From: rob.davis@... <rob.davis@armynursecorps.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] IPN Coolness
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, June 17, 2009, 8:22 PM

Glad to hear y'all found it worthy. I try to keep my IPN pages relevant, and not pad my numbers with traffic advisories and junk. Even though it was half an hour old, it certainly sounded like it was a newsworthy situation.

Media apparently showed up pretty quickly after the page, and the fire guys were freaking out on the radio, as if they had never seen news media before. Calling for a PIO. Calling for cops. Talking about having the media "run off". Idiots. If half the effort were put into homeland security that is put into hassling the media in this country, there would have been no 9/11.

Rob

On Wednesday, June 17, 2009 20:12, "producer 5,6,10" <nats2sound@yahoo. com> said:

> Remember that media pays for the service so it's interesting to know there was an

> incident 30 or more minutes old and not being paid attention to before it was

> paged. At that time of day the desk is buried and it's important to get what is

> paid for. Thanks for the information.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30346. Denton Air Show, Sat June 20th. Denton Muncipal Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-18 23:45:13 UTC
From: Bob Tickner
Topic: 30285 Message: 30346

Airshow Flies Into Denton This Saturday June 20th http://denton.schultzairshows.com
Scanner Frequencies for listening in.
Denton Tower 119.95
DentonGround 123.95
Denton Asos 119.325
DTO Unicom 122.95
Air Boss Freq 135.65
Air show Ground 132.95
FBO Business Air 131.22500
On the Denton City Trunking System, talk groups
Denton Fire, Fire 5, patch to Fire 6(Event-A)
Denton Police, PD Event 1
Air Show Committee Event-A
Air Show Parking Event-B
Air Show Practice, Friday afternoon at 2 pm. F-18 to practice at 2:05, others to follow.
See show details at the website or below.
DENTON ? High-flying airshow action comes to North Texas when the Denton Airshow takes off at the Denton Airport on June 20. The Denton Airport, City of Denton and the Denton Air Fair Committee have teamed up with David Schultz Airshows LLC to present this year?s show.
Admission is only $5 for adults and children age 6 and older; children under 6 get in free. Gates open at 10 a.m. and opening ceremonies will begin at 1 p.m. <?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />
Headlining the performances will be the Trojan Phlyers Aerobatic Team and the US Navy F/A-18C Hornet West Coast Demonstration Team.
Other performers include Mike ?Spanky? Galloway in his Pitts S2C, the Texas Twisters Formation Team, the Flight of the Phoenix Escadrille Team, and many others. The Flagship Detroit DC-3 will make an appearance, and Longhorn Helicopter will be selling helicopter rides. There will be static aircraft displays, vendors, a children?s play area and diaper changing station, and plenty of food and drinks.
A special attraction this year is Glenn McDuffie, the sailor from the iconic WWII photograph of a sailor kissing a nurse in Times Square on V-J Day in 1945. The iconic image of that kiss, immortalized by the photographer Alfred Eisenstaedt in ?Life? magazine, became the symbol of a joyful nation?s victory. McDuffie, who was featured on ?Good Morning America? in 2007, will be signing copies of the photo during the airshow.
The Denton Airport is located 4 miles west of I-35E in Denton. Follow Airport Road,FM1515 signs. Traffic flow to the show will be improved this year with the addition of more parking areas and shuttle buses sponsored by Roadrunner Charters running to and from the gates.
Proceeds from the airshow benefit Cumberland Presbyterian Children?s Home in Denton.
Sponsorship and volunteer opportunities are still available. For more information, go to http://denton.schultzairshows.com or call (940) 484-1603.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30347. Amateur Radio action next weekend

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 02:20:45 UTC
From: David Johnson
Topic: 30347 Message: 30347

Courtesy invitation:

Scanner frequencies to be monitoring for local ham radio traffic:
147.040MHz, 146.940MHz, 147.160MHz, 146.720MHz, 146.880MHz, many others.

Jun 27 1pm to Jun 28 1pm, full 24 hours of non-stop on-the-air action by Ham Radio teams across the DFW Metroplex. If you like to know how things work, if you like to serve your community while pursuing an awesome technical hobby, then check out these Ham Radio teams, there's bound to be one near you.

Use the link below and plug in your zip code where it asks for your location, and you'll see!

No charge, this is all for public display and visitors are encouraged to check it out.

http://www.arrl.org/contests/announcements/fd/locator.php

These teams will be looking forward to showing you what they do to provide emergency and disaster relief communications, and they only get good at it by practicing, so your participation by just showing up for a look helps them get better.

There are more than 60 amateur radio clubs around this area, and several are going on air Saturday Jun 27. In fact this is a nationwide event, so there will be many thousands of stations participating.

The Tri-County Amateur Radio Club (Tarrant, Parker, Wise counties) has a website at http://www.wc5c.org

Hope you get the chance to see this!

David J.
Azle Texas

30348. RE: [dfwscan] Re: New Lockheed Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 07:19:28 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30335 Message: 30348

Hi Jim & List,

I was over in Lake Worth when I was hearing it. I don't think it is a simplex frequency but I'm not sure. I had a stock rubber ducky antenna on the radio and it was coming in clear on both 159.8850 and 159.8925. The reason I decided .885 was the right frequency was due to the Lockheed listing on that frequency on the FCC site. The FCC site messed up and has it in "Travis" County and at the Grant Street address (Lockheed's Fort Worth HQ). I guess it was a typo that slipped through.

I am curious as to how far out this signal can be heard. There was no breaking up or choppy transmissions so I'm wondering if it may be a repeater. I always forget the correct FCC text indicating if it's a repeater or not... :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of ae5fy
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 6:39 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: New Lockheed Frequency

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Group,
> This is two firsts in one for me. Lockheed is using 159.885/PL 69.3 for
> Lockheed Mobile (the white station wagon that runs out to the runway when a
> test flight is getting ready to fly). I've never heard anyone with the PL

Super find Brian. Its going right into the data base. I am assuming you had to be very near the base to hear any activity on that freq.

Jim
Saginaw

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30349. RE: [dfwscan] Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 07:33:04 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30332 Message: 30349

Hi Tom & Group,

I've never really tried monitoring the ham bands up in the 1296 or 2304 MHz range Tom. Is there any local use up there in the DFW area?

I wish I had a real radio (like the new Fort Worth XTS-5000) to monitor some trunk systems on. I know the real radios I've seen and heard blow scanners out of the water all together.

I'm not sure if anyone's been searching the Fort Worth PS1 TRS lately, but there are some new changes (talkgroups) that have popped up in recent weeks. So far, it's the Zero Tolerance TGs. They're patched to new 22000's numbered TGs now. It might be a good time to start using the "Search" feature on our scanners because there will be a lot more changing sooner or later.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Tuesday, June 16, 2009 12:11 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Super Skip/Ducting Today

Ducting (not to be confused with spring/summer sporadic E skip) can be just as good on UHF and 800 MHz as VHF, if not better.

You won't see it on a scanner because scanners have poor sensitivity that gets worse on UHF and 800 MHz, and the average scanner user doesn't have a high gain antenna up above treetop level or low loss hardline.

There are a number of hams that work long distances all the time on 432, 1296, 2304 MHz and 10 GHz mainly using SSB and high gain antennas with a clear view of the horizon.

Another good ducting indicator is weather radar. when you start seeing large AP blobs around the radar site (if you are looking at a web site that doesn't filter it out) you know conditions are good.

Tom ND5Y

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30350. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New Lockheed Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 12:26:25 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30335 Message: 30350

The license is for mobiles (40W) and hand helds (5W) no base or repeaters
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQIG908
There are also several other frequencies.

Tom

commscan wrote:
> Hi Jim & List,
>
> I was over in Lake Worth when I was hearing it. I don't think it is a
> simplex frequency but I'm not sure. I had a stock rubber ducky antenna on
> the radio and it was coming in clear on both 159.8850 and 159.8925. The
> reason I decided .885 was the right frequency was due to the Lockheed
> listing on that frequency on the FCC site. The FCC site messed up and has
> it in "Travis" County and at the Grant Street address (Lockheed's Fort Worth
> HQ). I guess it was a typo that slipped through.
>
> I am curious as to how far out this signal can be heard. There was no
> breaking up or choppy transmissions so I'm wondering if it may be a
> repeater. I always forget the correct FCC text indicating if it's a
> repeater or not... :)
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX

30351. Re: [dfwscan] Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 13:03:01 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30332 Message: 30351

I never got into microwaves or had any equipment for higher than 450 MHz FM.

There are a lot of microwave ham bands but they aren't widely used.
<http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/bandplan.html>

There is a microwave ham club in N Texas. <http://www.ntms.org> They have a list of beacons here <http://www.ntms.org/Beacons.php> I assume the beacons are in CW so you would need a SSB/CW receiver to hear them. I don't know how current the list is.

There are several 1.2 GHz FM ham repeaters listed in the metroplex.

1291.00 Richland Hills
1292.00 Mesquite
1292.20 Richardson
1292.30 Dallas
1292.60 Dallas
1292.70 Rockwall D-STAR
1292.78 Fort Worth
1292.90 Fort Worth
1292.98 Venus
1293.00 Dallas D-STAR
1293.40 Denton D-STAR

1292.78 in Fort Worth used to be linked to the owner's other repeaters (443.975, 224.78, 29.66) and I could hear it on my old PRO-95 in Hurst. I don't know if it still is and I don't know how active any of the others are, or if they really exist.

Tom ND5Y

commscan wrote:
> Hi Tom & Group,
>
>
> I've never really tried monitoring the ham bands up in the 1296 or 2304 MHz
> range Tom. Is there any local use up there in the DFW area?

30352. Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 16:18:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30332 Message: 30352

On 6/19/2009 2:33 AM, commscan wrote:

> I wish I had a real radio (like the new Fort Worth XTS-5000) to monitor some
> trunk systems on. I know the real radios I've seen and heard blow scanners
> out of the water all together.

Both (commercial radios and scanners) have their advantages
and disadvantages. For simple variety and usefulness of the
spectrum, I'd still stick with scanners. Commercial radios are
fantastic if you only want to listen to one thing. That is why
their sensitivity and performance is so good. They are catered
to a specific band and frequencies, and are optimized. But the
fact that you may be limited to let's say, 16 talkgroups per system,
doesn't seem enough. Of course if you got the wallet size, go
for it!!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090618-0, 06/18/2009
Tested on: 6/19/2009 11:18:51 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30353. Fire Fatality

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 18:14:04 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30353 Message: 30353

Looks like a fatality on the Rosemeade 2 alarmer
this early afternoon. M.E. requested.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090618-0, 06/18/2009
Tested on: 6/19/2009 1:14:04 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30354. Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 19:50:03 UTC
From: jared4301
Topic: 30332 Message: 30354

I have been looking for a 800mhz Motorola Trunking Radio, but I talked to a guy at Hamcom and he told me that there was no way to program any type of radio to Fort Worth's Trunking System unless I was a firefighter, police officer, etc. Does anybody know if there is any truth to this?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> On 6/19/2009 2:33 AM, commscan wrote:
>
> > I wish I had a real radio (like the new Fort Worth XTS-5000) to monitor some
> > trunk systems on. I know the real radios I've seen and heard blow scanners
> > out of the water all together.
>
> Both (commercial radios and scanners) have their advantages
> and disadvantages. For simple variety and usefulness of the
> spectrum, I'd still stick with scanners. Commercial radios are
> fantastic if you only want to listen to one thing. That is why
> their sensitivity and performance is so good. They are catered
> to a specific band and frequencies, and are optimized. But the
> fact that you may be limited to let's say, 16 talkgroups per system,
> doesn't seem enough. Of course if you got the wallet size, go
> for it!!
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090618-0, 06/18/2009
> Tested on: 6/19/2009 11:18:51 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

30355. Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 20:30:10 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 30332 Message: 30355

That is a respectable answer that most people trying to sell a used Motorola radio won't tell you. And from all practical and "legal" stand points it is the truth.

First problem: Finding someone to program it for. No reputable shop is going to do it. If you do it yourself you need to find a bootleg copy of the programming software a RIB box and an interface cable. And for the older radios (MTX8000/MTS2000) a 486 computer with DOS.

Second problem: Site key. Each trunked radio system has a key that is required to program a radio. Only the people authorized to program radios for a system should be in possession of the key. Of course there are ways around it, check out BatLabs website.

Third problem: Making sure the radio is in a receive only mode. There really is no sure way to make it receive only except for maybe a hardware modification. Yes, with the "LAB" version of RSS, you can disable the PTT button, but there is no way to get the "LAB" version legally.

Fourth problem: Finding an ID to program the radio with. Every radio programed on a Trunked Radio system is issued an ID. Do you "steal" or copy and exisintg id? Make one up? Theoretically the site admins can disable the radio by sending a kill command. I don't think Fort Worth does this, but it is known to happen.

I could probably add 5, 6, 7 and so own, but I think you get the point that it is not a simple thing to do.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jared4301" <jaredkoester@...> wrote:
>
> I have been looking for a 800mhz Motorola Trunking Radio, but I talked to a guy at Hamcom and he told me that there was no way to program any type of radio to Fort Worth's Trunking System unless I was a firefighter, police officer, etc. Does anybody know if there is any truth to this?

30356. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 20:36:05 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30332 Message: 30356

yes, Fort Worth can kill a radio. When they are lost / stolen / misplaced they are disabled.

Theoretically the site admins can disable the radio by sending a kill command. I don't think Fort Worth does this, but it is known to happen.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30357. RE: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-20 09:19:17 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30332 Message: 30357

Hi Blaine & Group,

I agree with a lot of what you said Blaine. There is no replacing all of the great extras a scanner provides and a real radio doesn't. However, in the few "real radios" (more commercial than PS types) I have, I can use them like scanners in many ways. They scan, I can lockout/unlock channels of interest, and they even have "banks/systems" (or zones in real radio terms) that can be used in different ways. The sensitivity and selectivity is really super on them too. Mine are all older style radios and none are Motorola XTSxxxx (or even LTXxxxx...I think these would be commercial grade). I use my scanners all of the time. I use one of the real radios when I want to listen to local stuff (conventional or LTR) or someone like DPD when I'm mobile in Fort Worth. I program mine not to transmit to avoid any accidents, but they definitely out perform scanners as far as getting a farther out, weaker signal. And the audio is about 10 times louder that a scanner's audio too. If I had to choose between a scanner and a real radio, I'd pick the scanner any day just because of what they can do (especially today). If you'd asked me 10 - 15 years ago, you'd have probably gotten a different answer. Still, I'd have to have my scanners for milair, searching, capturing PL tones, etc, etc...

They both have their pluses and minuses in the long run :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:bbrooks68@...]
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 11:19 AM
To: commscan
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

On 6/19/2009 2:33 AM, commscan wrote:

> I wish I had a real radio (like the new Fort Worth XTS-5000) to monitor some
> trunk systems on. I know the real radios I've seen and heard blow scanners
> out of the water all together.

Both (commercial radios and scanners) have their advantages and disadvantages. For simple variety and usefulness of the spectrum, I'd still stick with scanners. Commercial radios are fantastic if you only want to listen to one thing. That is why their sensitivity and performance is so good. They are catered to a specific band and frequencies, and are optimized. But the

fact that you may be limited to let's say, 16 talkgroups per system,
doesn't seem enough. Of course if you got the wallet size, go
for it!!

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090618-0, 06/18/2009
Tested on: 6/19/2009 11:18:51 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30358. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-20 09:28:30 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30332 Message: 30358

Hi Again All,

Can't a person program a Motorola radio for (for instance) the FW Public Safety TRS just to listen without worry of being shut down? Is the System Key a requirement to program in for listening purposes only? I didn't think it was illegal to program one unless of course you broke some law to do it (I'm not sure if there are any) or you do something foolish like program it to transmit and you do so.

The radio ID won't affiliate to a TRS unless it's set to transmit, right?

I may be completely wrong, and if that's the case, I sold my few real radios yesterday :) Seriously, I have no 800 MHZ radios other than scanners but I didn't think it was illegal to program a real radio to just listen.

If anyone has a for sure answer I'd be interested...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 3:36 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

yes, Fort Worth can kill a radio. When they are lost / stolen / misplaced they are disabled.

Theoretically the site admins can disable the radio by sending a kill command. I don't think Fort Worth does this, but it is known to happen.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30359. Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-20 13:18:32 UTC
From: Jonathan S.
Topic: 30332 Message: 30359

Hi Brian
Question 1- No. Any radio programmed onto a Moto Trunk system can be zapped whether it ever transmits or not. It simply listens to the control channel and follows instructions.
Question 2 - Yes, a system key is required for programming onto the system. There is no setting in the software that designates a Moto trunk radio for "receive only".
Question 3 - Wrong. The system can poll radios and they will respond. Radios with unknown IDs or affiliations on talkgroups where they don't belong (FD radio on PD CID) are instantly targeted. The only guaranteed way to prevent a radio from transmitting is to remove the actual transmitter finals.

And here's an interesting article that Jared and others should read first before programming a radio onto a trunk system:
http://www.waltontribune.com/story.lasso?ewcd=cd44ce9e77a457e5
Note that authorities even agreed that these guys didn't have "any malicious intent but [their actions] severely compromised the security of Walton County's radio system".

Happy scanning
Jonathan

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>
> Hi Again All,
>
> Can't a person program a Motorola radio for (for instance) the FW Public
> Safety TRS just to listen without worry of being shut down? Is the System
> Key a requirement to program in for listening purposes only? I didn't think
> it was illegal to program one unless of course you broke some law to do it
> (I'm not sure if there are any) or you do something foolish like program it
> to transmit and you do so.
>
> The radio ID won't affiliate to a TRS unless it's set to transmit, right?
>
> I may be completely wrong, and if that's the case, I sold my few real radios
> yesterday :) Seriously, I have no 800 MHZ radios other than scanners but I
> didn't think it was illegal to program a real radio to just listen.
>
> If anyone has a for sure answer I'd be interested...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> Bill Boyer

> Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 3:36 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today
>
> yes, Fort Worth can kill a radio. When they are lost / stolen / misplaced
> they are disabled.
>
>
> Theoretically the site admins can disable the radio by sending a kill
> command. I don't think Fort Worth does this, but it is known to happen.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

30360. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-20 15:07:18 UTC
From: thetford569@grandecom.net
Topic: 30332 Message: 30360

This doesn't have much to do with 800 MHz but here is a little story about real radios vs. scanners.

This last fire season when Montague County had the big wildfires I was deployed with my FD to Montague to assist in the firefighting efforts. Being a local Metroplex FD we didn't have VHF radios to communicate with the local agencies in Montague and communications between our task force were done on one of the Regional Mutual Aid 800 channels (old NPSPAC). I brought my BCD396T with me on the deployment since I already had all of the Texas Forest Service and Montague County VHF frequencies programmed in. Long story short we got more solid information from listening to the scanner than we had on our own radios because it took so long to relay information to us from VHF to the command post and then from the command post to our Chief and then out to us on our channel.

One instance where the scanner really saved the day was the second day we were deployed. We were in the St. Jo area on a fire and we were holding a fireline along a roadway where the forest service had started backburning. We were basically patrolling the road and putting out fires as they got close to the road. Our mission was to make sure it didn't cross the road. A TXFS spotter aircraft was overhead along with several helicopters and we could hear them on the scanner. A few times we were alerted to water drops because of the scanner. Towards dark we thought we had the roadway held but the spotter aircraft started talking about a new spot fire that had jumped the road. This was a long road and several agencies were patrolling. He began describing the vehicles that were closest to the spot fire and I realized that he was talking about us! We took off in the direction of the spot fire and we could hear him saying "Yes, keep going South and curve back to the right...you're getting to the area now." We found the fire and we were able to extinguish it. A couple of minutes later we got the message on our 800 radio but we had aleady been there. Without the scanner that spot fire could have grown even further and would have been more difficult for us to stop.

Brandon

Quoting "Jonathan S." <jonathan@...>:

> Hi Brian
> Question 1- No. Any radio programmed onto a Moto Trunk system can be
> zapped whether it ever transmits or not. It simply listens to the
> control channel and follows instructions.
> Question 2 - Yes, a system key is required for programming onto the
> system. There is no setting in the software that designates a Moto

> trunk radio for "receive only".
> Question 3 - Wrong. The system can poll radios and they will
> respond. Radios with unknown IDs or affiliations on talkgroups
> where they don't belong (FD radio on PD CID) are instantly targeted.
> The only guaranteed way to prevent a radio from transmitting is to
> remove the actual transmitter finals.
>
> And here's an interesting article that Jared and others should read
> first before programming a radio onto a trunk system:
> http://www.waltontribune.com/story.lasso?ewcd=cd44ce9e77a457e5
> Note that authorities even agreed that these guys didn't have "any
> malicious intent but [their actions] severely compromised the
> security of Walton County's radio system".
>
>
> Happy scanning
> Jonathan
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Hi Again All,
>>
>> Can't a person program a Motorola radio for (for instance) the FW Public
>> Safety TRS just to listen without worry of being shut down? Is the System
>> Key a requirement to program in for listening purposes only? I didn't think
>> it was illegal to program one unless of course you broke some law to do it
>> (I'm not sure if there are any) or you do something foolish like program it
>> to transmit and you do so.
>>
>> The radio ID won't affiliate to a TRS unless it's set to transmit, right?
>>
>> I may be completely wrong, and if that's the case, I sold my few real radios
>> yesterday :) Seriously, I have no 800 MHZ radios other than scanners but I
>> didn't think it was illegal to program a real radio to just listen.
>>
>> If anyone has a for sure answer I'd be interested...
>>
>> Brian
>> Ft. Worth, TX
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>> Bill Boyer
>> Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 3:36 PM
>> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

>>
>> yes, Fort Worth can kill a radio. When they are lost / stolen / misplaced
>> they are disabled.
>>
>>
>> Theoretically the site admins can disable the radio by sending a kill
>> command. I don't think Fort Worth does this, but it is known to happen.
>>
>>
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

30361. Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-20 17:54:19 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30332 Message: 30361

On 6/20/2009 10:07 AM, thetford569@... wrote:

> This doesn't have much to do with 800 MHz but here is a little story
> about real radios vs. scanners.

> Without the scanner that spot fire could have grown even
> further and would have been more difficult for us to stop.

Excellent story Brandon, literally from the front lines!

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090619-0, 06/19/2009
Tested on: 6/20/2009 12:54:19 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30362. milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-20 19:45:53 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30362 Message: 30362

I was in Wichta Falls yesterday and spent a while searching for milair. I found several freqs that I have not seen before. I couldn't ID who was using most of them.

140.100 ?
140.800 ?
141.700 ?
148.875 ground targets. Might be Falcon Range. Same type traffic on 363.7 and 141.85

236.825 Sheppard appr/dep
269.250 ?
285.700 ?
305.350 ?
314.000 ?
316.075 Sheppard appr/dep
321.050 ?
338.900 Sheppard ?

Tom

30363. Hand Held Scanner mounting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-20 19:49:35 UTC
From: deliveryguydfw
Topic: 30363 Message: 30363

if you Go to Radio Shack and get Part # Model: GPS-725
Catalog #: 20-203
You can use this as a Mobile Handheld scanner mount ! works great with all RS and Uniden scanners. Make sure you take off the Beltclip...If you have any Questions send Me a E mail to Hammerdown@... ,I can send you a photo to show you how it works.

30364. Denton County Teletouch system (Little Elm)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-21 01:10:27 UTC
From: csna172plt
Topic: 30364 Message: 30364

Quick question that I can not seem to answer. A friend has been given an older scanner that does not trunk. He lives in Little Elm and wants to monitor LEPD. I have told him according to radio reference he can not. But is there a way to monitor like a "dispatch" channel? And if so, what would the freq be? I am not familiar with this system. Thanks in advance.

30365. Re: Denton County Teletouch system (Little Elm)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-21 13:41:53 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 30364 Message: 30365

Little Elm PD and FD are on the Denton County trunked system, which is a Moto Type 2 trunked system
and not the Teletouch system.

The PD are on talkgroup 592 (Patrol 2) and FD is on 8528 (Little Elm Fire Ops 10)

I would suggest that your friend get a trunking scanner to reduce his/her frustration with monitoring the system.

You don't need digital (yet anyway) to monitor the system, so a basic Pro 164, GRE PSR 300,or a BCT246T will work well.
but he/she might as well get the digital capable scanner to be future proof.

30366. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Denton County Teletouch system (Little Elm)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-21 18:08:26 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30364 Message: 30366

On Sunday, June 21, 2009 08:41, "Brent Willcox" <bwillcox@...> said:

> I would suggest that your friend get a trunking scanner to reduce
> his/her frustration with monitoring the system.

It's funny that he seems to think the opposite of what the reality is. He seems to think that, since it is not a trunking scanner, he could still listen to just a dispatch channel. The reality is that, since he does not have a trunking scanner, he must either listen to everything or nothing, and cannot single out any channel. And that doesn't mean everything in Little Elm. That means everything in Denton County! He'll put up with that for less than a day.

Denton County is one of the very few counties anywhere where a conventional scanner is next to useless. Most counties still have some fire traffic on conventional channels at least. Forget it in DenCo.

Rob

30367. Re: Denton County Teletouch system (Little Elm)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-21 21:29:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30364 Message: 30367

> Denton County is one of the very few counties anywhere where a conventional scanner is next to useless. Most counties still have some fire traffic on conventional channels at least. Forget it in DenCo.

Denco still has VHF dispatch for pagers and
some departments on 155.835 (pl 118.8), so
all is not lost....YET....:)

I would still HIGHLY recommend a digital
capable trunking scanner. Pricey?...yes....
future prepared? .....definitely....

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090621-0, 06/21/2009
Tested on: 6/21/2009 4:29:27 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30368. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Denton County Teletouch system (Little Elm)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-21 21:14:49 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 30364 Message: 30368

If he's sold on that idea tell him to listen to the county fire/ems page out on 155.835. That's about all he's going to pick up.

----- Original Message -----
From: rob.davis@...
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 21, 2009 1:08 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Denton County Teletouch system (Little Elm)

On Sunday, June 21, 2009 08:41, "Brent Willcox" <bwillcox@...> said:

> I would suggest that your friend get a trunking scanner to reduce
> his/her frustration with monitoring the system.

It's funny that he seems to think the opposite of what the reality is. He seems to think that, since it is not a trunking scanner, he could still listen to just a dispatch channel. The reality is that, since he does not have a trunking scanner, he must either listen to everything or nothing, and cannot single out any channel. And that doesn't mean everything in Little Elm. That means everything in Denton County! He'll put up with that for less than a day.

Denton County is one of the very few counties anywhere where a conventional scanner is next to useless. Most counties still have some fire traffic on conventional channels at least. Forget it in DenCo.

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30369. Re: milair

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-21 21:57:26 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30362 Message: 30369

On 6/20/2009 2:45 PM, Tom ND5Y wrote:

> I was in Wichta Falls yesterday

> 148.875 ground targets. Might be Falcon Range. Same type traffic on 363.7

363.7 is Falcon range primary.

> 269.250 ?

This is a FWARTCC frequency in the area, as I have heard craft
contact "Ft. Worth Center" on it. Poss discrete High Alt.

> 305.350 ?

I have this as 559th FTS Randolph AFB JPATS a/a

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090621-0, 06/21/2009
Tested on: 6/21/2009 4:57:26 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30370. Want to trade a Uniden 396T for a 996T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 12:45:56 UTC
From: Rev. Fr. George Hoxie, S.T.L., D.D.
Topic: 30370 Message: 30370

Hi,

I am looking for someone to trade a 996 for my 396. Long commute to work every day and I just want something in the car all the time.

I have all the goodies for mine and want the 996 to have everything as well. I will even add a set of rechargeable batteries to the deal.

you can reply direct to frgeorgeh@... or n0jrj@...

Thanks,

George, N0JRJ

30371. Weekly FCC grants June 14-20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 17:11:14 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30371 Message: 30371

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30372. Very interesting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 17:41:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 23807 Message: 30372

Can anyone add any insight to this?
Control point is at County EOC.

From Glenn Mitchell's FCC Grants:

DENTON COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES
[POLICE, FIRE, EMERGENCY SERVICES/
HOMELAND SECURITY]

155.0175 FB2
154.755 FB
154.3475 FB
158.775 FB

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090621-0, 06/21/2009
Tested on: 6/22/2009 12:41:31 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30373. Re: Very interesting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 19:10:20 UTC
From: afm108
Topic: 23807 Message: 30373

Blaine,

Not sure what your asking but that is the Denton Co. Fire Marshal's office off of Teasley Lane.

They have one heck of an operation out there as I have taken several police and fire classes out there.

This is their website: http://dentoncounty.com/dept/main.asp?Dept=71

Sorry if that did not answer your question...

Randy Rogers

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Can anyone add any insight to this?
> Control point is at County EOC.
>
> From Glenn Mitchell's FCC Grants:
>
> DENTON COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES
> [POLICE, FIRE, EMERGENCY SERVICES/
> HOMELAND SECURITY]
>
> 155.0175 FB2
> 154.755 FB
> 154.3475 FB
> 158.775 FB
>
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>
>

30374. Re: Very interesting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 19:54:43 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 23807 Message: 30374

On 6/22/2009 2:10 PM, afm108 wrote:

> Not sure what your asking but that is the Denton Co. Fire Marshal's office off of Teasley Lane.

Yes, I was aware of that. That is also the location of
the county EOC. And yes, they have a VERY IMPRESSIVE
operation there. The main problem though, is that
even with all that technology, the building is basically
a huge storage shed (like an old aircraft hangar). There
are no hardened underground facilities at all (or even
above ground facilities for that matter). The building and
operations would NEVER stand a direct hit from a tornado.
If a tornado hit it, it would take out the two mobile command
vehicles too. Not a good thing. Also, if god forbid, the tower
adjacent would ever topple it would take out a big chunk of
amateur and emergency communications needed in a disaster.
Hopefully, none of those things ever happen, or it truly would
be a disaster. Thankfully, there is county dispatch, everyone
could go portable and generator, and there are other towers
for public safety and amateur.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090621-0, 06/21/2009
Tested on: 6/22/2009 2:54:44 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30375. Barnes A-10's in TX?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 20:08:08 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30375 Message: 30375

236.4 mhz

JAVA 6 and 7 (A-10 131st FS/104th FW MA ANG
Barnes ANGB) Working together a/a ref: residential
targets, lakes, a causeway...unknown where they
are/were, but my signal strength meter was pegging,
so somewhere pretty darn close to DFW.

Anybody else heard this freq active before? TIA.

Blaine Brooks
Lewisville, Tx.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090621-0, 06/21/2009
Tested on: 6/22/2009 3:08:08 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30376. A Little OT (But Not Completely)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 20:50:48 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30376 Message: 30376

I□ve been noticing the ATSC abbreviation when looking at TVs lately. I wasn□t really sure what it referred to, and in another sense, still am not completely sure. Here□s a small clip from Wikipedia.com on ATSC:

ATSC is a set of standards developed by the Advanced Television Systems Committee for digital television transmission that replaced the analog NTSC television system[1] on June 12, 2009 in the United States[2][3] and will replace NTSC by August 31, 2011 in Canada[4] and December 31, 2021 in Mexico.

The high definition television standards defined by the ATSC produce wide screen 16:9 images up to 1920×1080 pixels in size □ more than six times the display resolution of the earlier standard. However, many different image sizes are also supported, so that up to six standard-definition "subchannels" can be broadcast on a single 6 MHz TV channel.

Here□s what I□m wondering. I know the PAL (European) format is 25 frames per second (fps), the NTSC (American format) is 29.97 fps, and there is a PC format that is 30 fps. Now all PCs I□ve ever tried watching PAL, NTSC, or any other format on always play the DVDs I□ve used. However, my DVD player in the living room or any other room will only play the American NTSC format. This is normal.

I□ve converted plenty of DVDs from PAL to NTSC and from NTSC to Pal for friends in England througho9ut the years. But what is the deal with ATSC? Does anyone know if this will be different frames per second rating or am I even thinking clearly in understanding what these formats are all about? Is the NTSC format going away?

And BTW, to keep this thread on topic a little, it looks like we□re going to have to receive Mexican TV analog skip from now on. They still have 12 years to comply with the digital mandate it looks like wow!

Unless anyone finds this thread of interest and is curious about ATSC too, I□d appreciate any feedback be e-mailed to me so we don□t have to be told it□s off topic :-)

Thanks in advance

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30377. Re: A Little OT (But Not Completely)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 20:57:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30376 Message: 30377

On 6/22/2009 3:50 PM, commscan wrote:

> And BTW, to keep this thread on topic a little, it looks like we?re going to
> have to receive Mexican TV analog skip from now on. They still have 12
> years to comply with the digital mandate it looks like?wow!

Wonder if we'll be able to DX old re-runs of
Speedy Gonzales...damn, I miss him!!....:)

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090621-0, 06/21/2009
Tested on: 6/22/2009 3:57:42 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30378. Flower Mound Working Fire?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 21:34:42 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30376 Message: 30378

Grapevine just dispatched an engine to fill in at FM station 3. What does FM have working? It's not on IPN.

I can barely hear Grapevine from here in Mansfield. No way can I hear Lewisville/FM.

Rob

30379. Re: Flower Mound Working Fire?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-22 22:36:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30376 Message: 30379

On 6/22/2009 4:34 PM, rob.davis@... wrote:

> What does FM have working? It's not on IPN.

It was paged out on Denton County - IPN.
FM was m/a along with Lewisville on a
residential fire in Highland Village.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090622-0, 06/22/2009
Tested on: 6/22/2009 5:36:41 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30380. A little DX

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 03:34:39 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30380 Message: 30380

Hearing Marshall Co. Oklahoma SO this
evening pretty loud and clear from my listening
post. (154.755 PL 173.8).

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090622-0, 06/22/2009
Tested on: 6/22/2009 10:34:40 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30381. Re: Very Interesting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 15:30:23 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 30381 Message: 30381

Blaine et al,

The new licenses are for a VHF repeater at the Denco EOC plus some portable channels for simplex use.

The Emergency Ops folks want it in place for interoperability/back channel use normally, and to give some backup capability if the 800 system gets overloaded or dies.

There's no repeater installed for that yet, but plug that FB2 frequency into your radios as it eventually will come up as the repeater output once the repeater is in place.

Brent

30382. Re: Very Interesting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 16:00:46 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30381 Message: 30382

On 6/23/2009 10:30 AM, Brent Willcox wrote:

> The new licenses are for a VHF repeater at the Denco EOC plus some
> portable channels for
> simplex use.

> There's no repeater installed for that yet, but plug that FB2 frequency
> into your radios

Thanks Brent.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090623-0, 06/23/2009
Tested on: 6/23/2009 11:00:47 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30383. Arlington cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 23:09:44 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30383 Message: 30383

Just heard PHI dispatched for an officer hit in a
wreck somewhere in Arlington. Did not get a location.
Haven't seen it on IPN Dispatch screen either.
Just a heads up for you folks down that way.

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090623-0, 06/23/2009
Tested on: 6/23/2009 6:09:44 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30384. Re: Arlington cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 23:19:19 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30383 Message: 30384

There's two from IPN been covering Arlington most of the afternoon, neither of them have heard anything about it.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...> wrote:
>
> Just heard PHI dispatched for an officer hit in a
> wreck somewhere in Arlington. Did not get a location.
> Haven't seen it on IPN Dispatch screen either.
> Just a heads up for you folks down that way.
>
> Blaine
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090623-0, 06/23/2009
> Tested on: 6/23/2009 6:09:44 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>

30385. RE: [dfwscan] Arlington cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 23:20:43 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30383 Message: 30385

I'm monitoring Arlington EMS and Fire and have heard nothing. Weird.

There were two shootings in Arlington about an hour ago. Maybe it's for someone already in the hospital. Although, even then they dispatch FD for LZ command. Hmmm...

On Tuesday, June 23, 2009 18:09, "Blaine Brooks" <bbrooks68@...> said:

> Just heard PHI dispatched for an officer hit in a
> wreck somewhere in Arlington. Did not get a location.
> Haven't seen it on IPN Dispatch screen either.
> Just a heads up for you folks down that way.
>
> Blaine

30386. Re: Arlington cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 23:32:32 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30383 Message: 30386

On 6/23/2009 6:19 PM, deltafoxtrotwhiskey333 wrote:

> There's two from IPN been covering Arlington most of the afternoon, neither of them have heard anything about it.

Hmmm, strange.

It was on the PHI 159.9675 freq.

I coulda' sworn they said for an officer involved
accident - responding to Arlington...but maybe
not....maybe it WAS related to the earlier incidents,
I don't know...

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090623-0, 06/23/2009
Tested on: 6/23/2009 6:32:32 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30387. Re: Arlington cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 23:37:48 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30383 Message: 30387

I haven't heard anything about it either nor is anything showing up on the CAD.

I don't think PHI was responding to the shooting vics, both seemed to be ok and made it to the hospital by ground. As Rob said, FD would have been dispatched to set up LZ....

30388. NRH PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 23:42:10 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30388 Message: 30388

Just a heads up North Richland Hills PD has a new SWAT armored veh. Its a Ford Super Duty chassis decked out, it is very nice.

I bet that thing weighs a ton, the doors are very heavy, takes a bit of strength to open and close them.

Outfitted with some neat stuff, I bet they are glad to get the upgrade even though they don't have to use it. They will also use it for mutual aid for the usual nearby cities.

30389. Re: Arlington cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 23:44:03 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30383 Message: 30389

Here it is

06/23/09
18:37
Dallas | Officer Down | 460.425 | I-20 & Hwy 67 | off-duty Lancaster PD officer in uniform involved in crash. Officer transported in stable con. | DFW156

30390. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Arlington cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-23 23:57:22 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 30383 Message: 30390

found on fox 4 website:

ARLINGTON, Texas - A motorcycle rider was killed on Monday in a crash that sent him plummeting from an overpass onto Interstate 20.

The incident happened around 2 p.m. when 41-year-old Douglas Trimm of Arlington wrecked his bike on the Highway 360 overpass and was thrown in to the westbound lanes of I-20 below, police said.

A CareFlite helicopter transported him from the scene. He later died at a hospital.

The crash forced the closing of I-20 westbound and officials diverted traffic from the freeway

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30391. Re: Arlington cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-24 00:01:58 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30383 Message: 30391

Today is Tuesday, that happened yesterday. I am guessing Blaine had just heard it before asking the group.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, cwrong@... wrote:
>
> found on fox 4 website:
>
>
> ARLINGTON, Texas - A motorcycle rider was killed on Monday in a crash that sent him plummeting from an overpass onto Interstate 20.
>
> The incident happened around 2 p.m. when 41-year-old Douglas Trimm of Arlington wrecked his bike on the Highway 360 overpass and was thrown in to the westbound lanes of I-20 below, police said.
>
> A CareFlite helicopter transported him from the scene. He later died at a hospital.
>
> The crash forced the closing of I-20 westbound and officials diverted traffic from the freeway
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30392. Scan Conditions / Tower Erectors

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-24 00:08:25 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30392 Message: 30392

Is it just me, or are scanning conditions horrible today? Most of the conventional systems I uaually get loud and clear are extremely weak and scratchy. Lancaster, Hill County, Johnson County, all hardly readable. 800 reception seems a little better than usual though.

Along that train of thought, can anyone recommend a good, reasonable tower erector? I'm about tired of this and am ready to get it up there about 60 feet.

30393. Re: [dfwscan] Scan Conditions / Tower Erectors

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-24 00:14:25 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30392 Message: 30393

Check your local ordinances. Many cities prohibit antennas over a
certain height unless you are an amateur radio operator.

rob.davis@... wrote:
>
> Along that train of thought, can anyone recommend a good, reasonable tower erector? I'm about tired of this and am ready to get it up there about 60 feet.
>
>

30394. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Arlington cop

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-24 01:09:06 UTC
From: cas112882@gmail.com
Topic: 30383 Message: 30394

It was cancelled shortly after dispatch sounded like some information got mixed up we have a dedicated radio in here in the comm center that goes straight to phi I heard the dispatch and the cancellation

Cody
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>

Date: Tue, 23 Jun 2009 18:32:32
To: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333<dfw333@...>
Cc: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Arlington cop

On 6/23/2009 6:19 PM, deltafoxtrotwhiskey333 wrote:

> There's two from IPN been covering Arlington most of the afternoon, neither of them have heard anything about it.

Hmmm, strange.

It was on the PHI 159.9675 freq.

I coulda' sworn they said for an officer involved
accident - responding to Arlington...but maybe
not....maybe it WAS related to the earlier incidents,
I don't know...

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090623-0, 06/23/2009
Tested on: 6/23/2009 6:32:32 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30395. Carrollton MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-24 18:39:38 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 7782 Message: 30395

Nasty one up in Carrollton, 18-wheeler rolled over and at least two other cars are involved. Not sure if the truck went off a bridge or not. Two critically injured. Heard some PD supervisors talking and one mentioned a witness reported 18-wheeler was swerving across lanes while the driver was lighting a cigarette. One patient by careflite.

30396. Web site

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 05:31:42 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28858 Message: 30396

Hi list -

Just wanted to let everyone know I revamped my personal
web page in case anyone is interested. Did some housecleaning
of the various scanner and radio related links, and updated
some stuff. I'm now calling it the Lewisville Listening Post
(formerly The Flower Mound Scanner). Enjoy!

Blaine Brooks
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/id8.html

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090624-0, 06/24/2009
Tested on: 6/25/2009 12:31:42 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30397. Re: Web site

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 06:12:56 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28858 Message: 30397

Oops, let's try this one:

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/index.html

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090624-0, 06/24/2009
Tested on: 6/25/2009 1:12:56 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30398. Re: Web site

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 09:37:28 UTC
From: nx2x50
Topic: 28858 Message: 30398

...
Blaine Brooks :
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/index.html

Blaine, a little tip for ya' ... you'll get much better reception if you turn your scanners "ON" ;-)

.
..
...
....
.....
......

30399. Re: Web site

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 10:03:26 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 28858 Message: 30399

You sure about that? I dont think that data is entirely accurate. We should put in for a grant from the government to study this. Shouldnt cost more than $7.6 Billion to determine whether turning a scanner on will improve reception. Obama will sign it in a heart beat...the way he spends money you'd think being elected President is the same as being cast as the lead in Brewster's Millions.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "nx2x50" <gnichols@...> wrote:
>
> ...
> Blaine Brooks :
> > http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/index.html
>
> Blaine, a little tip for ya' ... you'll get much better reception if you turn your scanners "ON" ;-)
>
>
> .
> ..
> ...
> ....
> .....
> ......
>

30400. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Web site

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 14:15:29 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 28858 Message: 30400

On Thu, Jun 25, 2009 at 5:03 AM, deltafoxtrotwhiskey333<dfw333@...> wrote:
> You sure about that? I dont think that data is entirely accurate. We should put in for a grant from the government to study this. Shouldnt cost more than $7.6 Billion to determine whether turning a scanner on will improve reception. Obama will sign it in a heart beat...the way he spends money you'd think being elected President is the same as being cast as the lead in Brewster's Millions.

Yes, but it's take another $13.2 billion to solve why the scanners are
turned on, but not working, only to learn that must also be plugged
in. :-)

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

30401. Dallas PD frequencies/channels

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 14:27:52 UTC
From: davidhammdallas
Topic: 30401 Message: 30401

It has been a while since I have been scanning (a hobby I have definitely missed). I am now listening again and have noticed some changes in Dallas PD...

1) Using alpha-numerics with their beat/element numbers
2) Channel 7 seems to no longer be 'Traffic'

I am sure someone can help.
Here is what I have from the past:

1-Cental
2-Northeast
3-Southeast
4-Northwest
5-Southwest
6-Northcentral
7-Traffic
8-Tact
9-Open (events)
10-Open / Car to Car
11-?
12-?

Thanks in advance,

~ D

30402. Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-19 20:53:54 UTC
From: jared4301
Topic: 30332 Message: 30402

So, basically there is no easy way to program a Motorola Radio to a few talk group ID's out of Fort Worth's Trunking System, even on "Receive only"?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
> yes, Fort Worth can kill a radio. When they are lost / stolen / misplaced they are disabled.
>
>
> Theoretically the site admins can disable the radio by sending a kill command. I don't think Fort Worth does this, but it is known to happen.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30403. Re: Dallas PD frequencies/channels

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 14:45:25 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30401 Message: 30403

Hope this helps:

1- Central
2- NE
3- SE
4- NW
5- SW
6- N Central
7- S Central
8- SWAT
9- Citywide
10- CID/NARCs
11- SPCL Events/Fair Park
12- SWAT Simplex

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "davidhammdallas" <davidhammdallas@...> wrote:
>
> It has been a while since I have been scanning (a hobby I have definitely missed). I am now listening again and have noticed some changes in Dallas PD...
>
> 1) Using alpha-numerics with their beat/element numbers
> 2) Channel 7 seems to no longer be 'Traffic'
>
> I am sure someone can help.
> Here is what I have from the past:
>
> 1-Cental
> 2-Northeast
> 3-Southeast
> 4-Northwest
> 5-Southwest
> 6-Northcentral
> 7-Traffic
> 8-Tact
> 9-Open (events)
> 10-Open / Car to Car
> 11-?
> 12-?
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> ~ D
>

30404. Re: Super Skip/Ducting Today

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 18:13:17 UTC
From: Chance Lindsey
Topic: 30332 Message: 30404

Correct. Programming a two-way radio versus programming a scanner is a whole other ballgame. As good as it may sound or as neat as it would be to do, I would just leave it alone.

-Chance

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jared4301" <jaredkoester@...> wrote:
>
> So, basically there is no easy way to program a Motorola Radio to a few talk group ID's out of Fort Worth's Trunking System, even on "Receive only"?

30405. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Web site

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 19:03:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 28858 Message: 30405

On 6/25/2009 4:37 AM, nx2x50 wrote:

> Blaine, a little tip for ya' ... you'll get much better reception if you turn your scanners "ON" ;-)

LOL. Honestly, I never noticed I had 'em all off in that pic.
I'll have to revamp the pic too, apparently....:)

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090625-0, 06/25/2009
Tested on: 6/25/2009 2:03:28 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30406. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas PD frequencies/channels

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-25 19:47:19 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 30401 Message: 30406

Actually, the current usage is;

1- Central
2- NE
3- SE
4- NW
5- SW
6- N Central
7- S Central
8- SWAT
9- Accident Investigators (A&Is), DWI, Traffic
10- Citywide
11- CID, PES, etc.

12-SPCL Events/Fair Park

Russell

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2009 09:45 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas PD frequencies/channels

Hope this helps:

1- Central
2- NE
3- SE
4- NW
5- SW
6- N Central
7- S Central
8- SWAT
9- Citywide
10- CID/NARCs
11- SPCL Events/Fair Park
12- SWAT Simplex

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , "davidhammdallas" <davidhammdallas@...> wrote:
>
> It has been a while since I have been scanning (a hobby I have definitely missed). I am now listening again and have noticed some changes in Dallas PD...
>
> 1) Using alpha-numerics with their beat/element numbers

> 2) Channel 7 seems to no longer be 'Traffic'
>
> I am sure someone can help.
> Here is what I have from the past:
>
> 1-Cental
> 2-Northeast
> 3-Southeast
> 4-Northwest
> 5-Southwest
> 6-Northcentral
> 7-Traffic
> 8-Tact
> 9-Open (events)
> 10-Open / Car to Car
> 11-?
> 12-?
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> ~ D
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30407. Fwd: (CARMA) Radio Shack PRO-97 is being closed-out at $58.00!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 02:33:47 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 30407 Message: 30407

FYI...

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Peter Laws <plaws0@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Jun 25, 2009 at 9:24 PM
Subject: Fwd: (CARMA) Radio Shack PRO-97 is being closed-out at $58.00!
To: SCAN-L@...

Like the man says, I don't know if this close-out extends past this
guy's store or not, but probably worth a call to your local RS ...

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Joe Jesson <jjesson@...>
Date: Thu, Jun 25, 2009 at 20:29
Subject: (CARMA) Radio Shack PRO-97 is being closed-out at $58.00!
To: carmachicago@yahoogroups.com

For you bargain hunters, the Radio Shack PRO-97 is being closed-out at
$58.00!... I now live on the East Coast so not sure is this deal is
available in Chicago...

Joe Jesson

--
Peter Laws | N5UWY | plaws plaws net | Travel by Train!

--
FAQ: http://plaws.net/scan/scan-l.txt | NO COMMERCIAL POSTS!
Signoff: send 'unsub SCAN-L' to LISTSERV@...
  This LISTSERV(tm) facility provided free of charge by the
                  University of Arkansas
         and is subject to termination without notice

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

30408. Green Laser

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 02:43:21 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30408 Message: 30408

Interesting transmission of the night...

Just heard an EMS helo call into Arlington FD to have them ask PD to check out someone with a green laser pointing it at aircraft near the lake. 21:41

Ben

30409. Re: [dfwscan] Green Laser

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 02:52:06 UTC
From: Justin
Topic: 30408 Message: 30409

Here is a cool video, sure does look blinding.
http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=bd3_1245182005&c=1

On Thu, Jun 25, 2009 at 9:43 PM, Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>wrote:

> Interesting transmission of the night...
>
> Just heard an EMS helo call into Arlington FD to have them ask PD to check
> out someone with a green laser pointing it at aircraft near the lake. 21:41
>
> Ben
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30410. RE: [dfwscan] Green Laser

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 03:02:13 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30408 Message: 30410

On Thursday, June 25, 2009 21:43, "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...> said:

> Interesting transmission of the night...
>
> Just heard an EMS helo call into Arlington FD to have them ask PD to check
> out someone with a green laser pointing it at aircraft near the lake.

What channel / talk group?

30411. RE: [dfwscan] Green Laser

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 03:05:32 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30408 Message: 30411

The helo called in on AFD3/53584, but I haven't tuned in to the police side.
I'm about to shut em down for the night. I imagine they would be on west.

Ben

>What channel / talk group?

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30412. RE: [dfwscan] Green Laser

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 03:07:12 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30408 Message: 30412

Yeah, I was wondering the channel you heard them on, because I've been monitoring AFD all night and never heard that.

On Thursday, June 25, 2009 22:05, "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...> said:

> The helo called in on AFD3/53584, but I haven't tuned in to the police side.
> I'm about to shut em down for the night. I imagine they would be on west.
>
> Ben

30413. Radio bench

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 03:58:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30413 Message: 30413

Found this on the 'net, and thought it was really cool.
This guy shows his new radio room desk, tells how
to construct it, and supplies needed. A very nice setup.

http://www.theradioroom.org/Radio/RadioBench/radiobench.htm

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090625-0, 06/25/2009
Tested on: 6/25/2009 10:58:50 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30414. Re: [dfwscan] Radio bench

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 04:45:43 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30413 Message: 30414

WooHoo! a Radio Shack DX-160. Tracked down and sold the last 15 or 20 of
those to one customer here in DFW back in the late 70's or early 80's.

> Found this on the 'net, and thought it was really cool.
> This guy shows his new radio room desk, tells how
> to construct it, and supplies needed. A very nice setup.
>
> http://www.theradioroom.org/Radio/RadioBench/radiobench.htm
>
>

30415. Federal exercise this weekend

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 16:33:37 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30415 Message: 30415

Got this from a good friend in the northeast:
****

There will be a FTX [Field Training Exercise] this
weekend [Fri-Sun] over in College Park with 406-420
P25 activity for HHS, NDMS and possibly other Federal
Assetts.

Different teams from East to West coasts converging.

It might be worth passing along the info to your
Favorite Federal Searchers/Monitors to see what they
can Find.../ Search.... / CloseCall ?

Not sure what "College Park" this is....on Google
Maps I've only been able to find one in Mesquite,
and another in southeast Dallas. Any help?

Blaine

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090625-0, 06/25/2009
Tested on: 6/26/2009 11:33:37 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30416. Re: [dfwscan] Federal exercise this weekend

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-26 19:36:16 UTC
From: thetford569@grandecom.net
Topic: 30415 Message: 30416

If you got this from someone in the Northeast there is a College Park,
MD that is near Washington DC. I don't think we'll be able to monitor
that from here.

Brandon

Quoting Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>:

> Got this from a good friend in the northeast:
> **
>
> There will be a FTX [Field Training Exercise] this
> weekend [Fri-Sun] over in College Park with 406-420
> P25 activity for HHS, NDMS and possibly other Federal
> Assetts.
>
> Different teams from East to West coasts converging.
>
> It might be worth passing along the info to your
> Favorite Federal Searchers/Monitors to see what they
> can Find.../ Search.... / CloseCall ?
>
>
> Not sure what "College Park" this is....on Google
> Maps I've only been able to find one in Mesquite,
> and another in southeast Dallas. Any help?
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 090625-0, 06/25/2009
> Tested on: 6/26/2009 11:33:37 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>

> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

30417. Scanner Repair / Tower Erection

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-27 02:06:52 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30417 Message: 30417

Is there any person or shop out there -- local or otherwise -- that works on old, out of production scanners anymore?

Also, still looking for a good reasonable tower erector in DFW, if anyone has any recommendations.

Thanks!

Rob

30418. Re: Scanner Repair / Tower Erection

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-27 02:30:42 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30417 Message: 30418

On 6/26/2009 9:06 PM, rob.davis@... wrote:

> Is there any person or shop out there -- local or otherwise -- that works on old, out of production scanners anymore?

http://www.charlieselectronics.com/

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090626-0, 06/26/2009
Tested on: 6/26/2009 9:30:43 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30419. Re: Scanner Repair / Tower Erection

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-27 02:33:33 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30417 Message: 30419

On 6/26/2009 9:06 PM, rob.davis@... wrote:

> Also, still looking for a good reasonable tower erector in DFW, if anyone has any recommendations.

Not sure if this guy is still in operation or not:

Rick's Tower Service, of Flower Mound, Texas.
Rick Bowden may be reached by telephone at
(972)-342-1784 or email at rick@...

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 090626-0, 06/26/2009
Tested on: 6/26/2009 9:33:33 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

30420. Weekly FCC grants June 21-27

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-28 10:25:49 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30420 Message: 30420

Now with expanded location data (when used).
http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30421. police term

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-28 16:49:35 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30421 Message: 30421

Anyone know what the term "N.O.R." means? I've been monitoring FWPD a lot lately, trying to figure out some new channels, and noticed the term being used quite a bit.

If anyone wants to share the new channels, please email me off list.

Thanks and happy scanning,
Ben

30422. Re: [dfwscan] police term

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-28 17:05:04 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 30421 Message: 30422

Ben,

In
<http://www.acronymattic.com/NOR.html>http://www.acronymattic.com/NOR.html
there are some 76 possible meanings of N.O.R. The most likely
meanings are Notice of R----- or Name of Resident. It all depends on
context so maybe you can find something appropriate at the link above.

Toby

At 11:49 AM 6/28/2009, Ben Saladino wrote:

>Anyone know what the term "N.O.R." means? I've been monitoring FWPD a lot
>lately, trying to figure out some new channels, and noticed the term being
>used quite a bit.
>
>If anyone wants to share the new channels, please email me off list.
>
>Thanks and happy scanning,
>Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30423. RE: [dfwscan] police term

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-28 17:22:25 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30421 Message: 30423

Thanks Toby. Someone else suggested, "no offense report", which probably
fits the context well. Some the ones on the link you suggested could be fun.

Ben

>Ben,

In
<http://www.acronyma <http://www.acronymattic.com/NOR.html>
ttic.com/NOR.html>http://www.acronyma <http://www.acronymattic.com/NOR.html>
ttic.com/NOR.html
there are some 76 possible meanings of N.O.R. The most likely
meanings are Notice of R----- or Name of Resident. It all depends on
context so maybe you can find something appropriate at the link above.

Toby

At 11:49 AM 6/28/2009, Ben Saladino wrote:

>Anyone know what the term "N.O.R." means? I've been monitoring FWPD a lot
>lately, trying to figure out some new channels, and noticed the term being
>used quite a bit.
>
>If anyone wants to share the new channels, please email me off list.
>
>Thanks and happy scanning,
>Ben<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30424. Re: [dfwscan] police term

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-28 17:26:01 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 30421 Message: 30424

In Fort Worth relinquishing a call NOR means: No Report.

--- On Sun, 6/28/09, Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...> wrote:

From: Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] police term
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Sunday, June 28, 2009, 11:49 AM

Anyone know what the term "N.O.R." means? I've been monitoring FWPD a lot

lately, trying to figure out some new channels, and noticed the term being

used quite a bit.

If anyone wants to share the new channels, please email me off list.

Thanks and happy scanning,

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30425. Re: [dfwscan] police term

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-28 17:40:50 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30421 Message: 30425

In Fort Worth slang, it means No Offense Report -- ie they responded to the call but did not have to file a report pertaining to it.

You may also hear RTF -- which means Report To Follow. The offense was such that a report needed to be written, but the next call is more urgent than the report, so it will be submitted at a later time during the shift.

Ben Saladino(YG) wrote:
> Anyone know what the term "N.O.R." means? I've been monitoring FWPD a lot
> lately, trying to figure out some new channels, and noticed the term being
> used quite a bit.
>
>

30426. Re: Federal exercise this weekend

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-28 20:41:13 UTC
From: David Dusse
Topic: 30415 Message: 30426

I'm up in the College Park, MD area quite often and there's certainly no shortage of government entities in that particular area being so close to D.C. So I wouldn't be surprised to see exercises going on around there.

Dave

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, thetford569@... wrote:
>
> If you got this from someone in the Northeast there is a College Park,
> MD that is near Washington DC. I don't think we'll be able to monitor
> that from here.
>
> Brandon
>
> Quoting Blaine Brooks <bbrooks68@...>:
>
> > Got this from a good friend in the northeast:
> > **
> >
> > There will be a FTX [Field Training Exercise] this
> > weekend [Fri-Sun] over in College Park with 406-420
> > P25 activity for HHS, NDMS and possibly other Federal
> > Assetts.
> >
> > Different teams from East to West coasts converging.
> >
> > It might be worth passing along the info to your
> > Favorite Federal Searchers/Monitors to see what they
> > can Find.../ Search.... / CloseCall ?
> >
> >
> > Not sure what "College Park" this is....on Google
> > Maps I've only been able to find one in Mesquite,
> > and another in southeast Dallas. Any help?
> >
> >
> > Blaine
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> > Virus Database (VPS): 090625-0, 06/25/2009
> > Tested on: 6/26/2009 11:33:37 AM

> > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2009 ALWIL Software.
> > http://www.avast.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

30427. Re: police term

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-28 20:45:25 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30421 Message: 30427

This is correct

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
> In Fort Worth slang, it means No Offense Report -- ie they responded to
> the call but did not have to file a report pertaining to it.
>
> You may also hear RTF -- which means Report To Follow. The offense was
> such that a report needed to be written, but the next call is more
> urgent than the report, so it will be submitted at a later time during
> the shift.
>

30428. BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 00:15:23 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30428 Message: 30428

I've been experiencing a problem reliably receiving trunking on my BCD996s and BCD396 for a good while now, and I'm curious if anyone else notices this problem, and what they may have done about it.

In a nutshell, what is happening is that I am missing entire conversations on trunking systems, both analog and digital. The scanner is happily scanning away, but simply never picks up the conversation or stops to monitor it. I'll hear people checking enroute to or on scene of a fire that I never heard them dispatched to.

For a long time, I just wrote it off to it being me not listening closely enough, but a couple weeks ago it became clear that this was not the case. I was sitting in my car with the scanners going, and another scannist walked over to my car with a handheld (non-Uniden) scanner. He was listening to Arlington FD responding to a major accident just down the road from us. Nothing was coming across my scanner, and my scanner was dedicated to ONLY the AFD system, so it could not have been a scan rate problem. We listened to several AFD transmissions that never came across my 996.

Unfortunately, I have a feeling that this is happening to a lot of people, but they simply don't know it. After all, you don't know what you don't hear. And most people are listening to so many channels and systems at once that missing something doesn't surprise them. But I usually have each scanner dedicated to only one or two systems at a time, so that isn't a factor with my problem.

Any thoughts or other such reports?

Rob

30429. Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 00:18:48 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30428 Message: 30429

Go through all your freqs manually. You'll probably find one got locked out. Happened to me a few weeks ago, scanner still tracked trunking systems but I missed several transmissions. And on days that the control channel happened to be assigned to that frequency, it said it was scanning but never picked up anything. It's a good bet this is the problem.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> I've been experiencing a problem reliably receiving trunking on my BCD996s and BCD396 for a good while now, and I'm curious if anyone else notices this problem, and what they may have done about it.
>
> In a nutshell, what is happening is that I am missing entire conversations on trunking systems, both analog and digital. The scanner is happily scanning away, but simply never picks up the conversation or stops to monitor it. I'll hear people checking enroute to or on scene of a fire that I never heard them dispatched to.
>
> For a long time, I just wrote it off to it being me not listening closely enough, but a couple weeks ago it became clear that this was not the case. I was sitting in my car with the scanners going, and another scannist walked over to my car with a handheld (non-Uniden) scanner. He was listening to Arlington FD responding to a major accident just down the road from us. Nothing was coming across my scanner, and my scanner was dedicated to ONLY the AFD system, so it could not have been a scan rate problem. We listened to several AFD transmissions that never came across my 996.
>
> Unfortunately, I have a feeling that this is happening to a lot of people, but they simply don't know it. After all, you don't know what you don't hear. And most people are listening to so many channels and systems at once that missing something doesn't surprise them. But I usually have each scanner dedicated to only one or two systems at a time, so that isn't a factor with my problem.
>
> Any thoughts or other such reports?
>
> Rob
>

30430. Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 00:20:30 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30428 Message: 30430

Oops, forgot about the alternative: You might have skipped a freq when you programmed the system in, in which case all of them will be unlocked in the scanner. So you should also compare the freqs programmed in to what's shown on RR.coms database.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> I've been experiencing a problem reliably receiving trunking on my BCD996s and BCD396 for a good while now, and I'm curious if anyone else notices this problem, and what they may have done about it.
>
> In a nutshell, what is happening is that I am missing entire conversations on trunking systems, both analog and digital. The scanner is happily scanning away, but simply never picks up the conversation or stops to monitor it. I'll hear people checking enroute to or on scene of a fire that I never heard them dispatched to.
>
> For a long time, I just wrote it off to it being me not listening closely enough, but a couple weeks ago it became clear that this was not the case. I was sitting in my car with the scanners going, and another scannist walked over to my car with a handheld (non-Uniden) scanner. He was listening to Arlington FD responding to a major accident just down the road from us. Nothing was coming across my scanner, and my scanner was dedicated to ONLY the AFD system, so it could not have been a scan rate problem. We listened to several AFD transmissions that never came across my 996.
>
> Unfortunately, I have a feeling that this is happening to a lot of people, but they simply don't know it. After all, you don't know what you don't hear. And most people are listening to so many channels and systems at once that missing something doesn't surprise them. But I usually have each scanner dedicated to only one or two systems at a time, so that isn't a factor with my problem.
>
> Any thoughts or other such reports?
>
> Rob
>

30431. Re: [dfwscan] BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 02:32:52 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30428 Message: 30431

Andrew and Paul B,

Thanks for your suggestions! I double checked my programming just now. I have all four control channels that are listed at RR.com programmed in for Arlington, so that doesn't seem to be the problem. I don't lose them for hours at a time, just random conversations and transmissions here and there.

Is there any reason I would need anything other than the control channels programmed in?

Rob

30432. Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 02:40:11 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30428 Message: 30432

You say you got the four control channels, what about the others? The only thing I can think of is one of the voice channels is missing or locked out. Since the transmissions are assigned to freqs at random in a trunk system, missing one would cause you to miss transmissions at random. I dont see any other explanation for it. Unless there is some phenomenon around your house that just randomly shuts out radio waves from the area for a few seconds at a time.

Sorry cant be of more help. Maybe someone else has some ideas?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> Andrew and Paul B,
>
> Thanks for your suggestions! I double checked my programming just now. I have all four control channels that are listed at RR.com programmed in for Arlington, so that doesn't seem to be the problem. I don't lose them for hours at a time, just random conversations and transmissions here and there.
>
> Is there any reason I would need anything other than the control channels programmed in?
>
> Rob
>

30433. Re: [dfwscan] BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 02:42:27 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 30428 Message: 30433

Rob, have you checked your antenna? Loose connection? Bad solder?

Toby

At 09:32 PM 6/28/2009, rob.davis wrote:

>Andrew and Paul B,
>
>Thanks for your suggestions! I double checked my programming just
>now. I have all four control channels that are listed at RR.com
>programmed in for Arlington, so that doesn't seem to be the problem.
>I don't lose them for hours at a time, just random conversations and
>transmissions here and there.
>
>Is there any reason I would need anything other than the control
>channels programmed in?
>
>Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30434. Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 02:46:56 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30428 Message: 30434

Wait a sec...I just reread your email and your last question caught my eye:

"Is there any reason I would need anything other than the control
channels programmed in?"

Please dont tell me you're trying to track this system with only 4 freqs programmed in. There's 12 total that all need to be programmed, any one of them could be assigned a voice transmission at random. The control channels rotate out between the four freqs assigned for that purpose.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Toby Zidle <tobyz7@...> wrote:
>
> Rob, have you checked your antenna? Loose connection? Bad solder?
>
> Toby
>
>
> At 09:32 PM 6/28/2009, rob.davis wrote:
>
>
> >Andrew and Paul B,
> >
> >Thanks for your suggestions! I double checked my programming just
> >now. I have all four control channels that are listed at RR.com
> >programmed in for Arlington, so that doesn't seem to be the problem.
> >I don't lose them for hours at a time, just random conversations and
> >transmissions here and there.
> >
> >Is there any reason I would need anything other than the control
> >channels programmed in?
> >
> >Rob
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30435. Re: [dfwscan] Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 02:45:45 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30428 Message: 30435

You dodn't have to have all the channels, just the control channels.

One issue I could see happening is interference from another user on the same voice frequency. We've had a lot of ducting over the last few weeks and with 75 mile seperation on re-use of frequencies this is possible. Highland Park has had issues in the past from the Waco system's control channel.

Terry

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333" <dfw333@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, 29 Jun 2009 02:40:11
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

You say you got the four control channels, what about the others? The only thing I can think of is one of the voice channels is missing or locked out. Since the transmissions are assigned to freqs at random in a trunk system, missing one would cause you to miss transmissions at random. I dont see any other explanation for it. Unless there is some phenomenon around your house that just randomly shuts out radio waves from the area for a few seconds at a time.

Sorry cant be of more help. Maybe someone else has some ideas?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> Andrew and Paul B,
>
> Thanks for your suggestions! I double checked my programming just now. I have all four control channels that are listed at RR.com programmed in for Arlington, so that doesn't seem to be the problem. I don't lose them for hours at a time, just random conversations and transmissions here and there.
>
> Is there any reason I would need anything other than the control channels programmed in?
>
> Rob
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30436. RE: [dfwscan] Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 04:01:57 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30428 Message: 30436

On Sunday, June 28, 2009 21:46, "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333" <dfw333@...> said:

> Please dont tell me you're trying to track this system with only 4 freqs
> programmed in. There's 12 total that all need to be programmed, any one of them
> could be assigned a voice transmission at random. The control channels rotate out
> between the four freqs assigned for that purpose.

Well, at this point I am open to any possible explanation, but I don't believe you are correct on this one. Do you use either of these scanners? Because it is my understanding that all current generation Uniden scanners use Control Channel Only TrunkTracking.

http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Control_Channel_Only

"CCO programming is recommended for use in selected RS scanners starting with the Pro-93 in bands where Motorola trunking is supported and this includes all P25 trunking bands for the Pro-96/2096 and the GRE PSR-500/600 scanners. It enables the user to trunk a system by programming in only those channels that are utilized as a control channel, instead of having to utilize memory to program the entire system."

30437. Re: [dfwscan] BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 04:05:43 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30428 Message: 30437

On Sunday, June 28, 2009 21:42, "Toby Zidle" <tobyz7@...> said:

> Rob, have you checked your antenna?

Yes sir. And it happens on the house antenna, the car antennae, and on the rubber duck too. Best I can tell, I miss nothing on conventionals, and I monitor a lot of conventional channels.

Again, when it happened to me while someone was standing right next to my car with a non-Uniden handheld scanner that was NOT missing the transmissions I was missing, it became clear that it was a problem specific to all of my 396/996s.

30438. Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 04:06:30 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30428 Message: 30438

Yeah, but I dont rely on it. Remember, innovation just means no one knows how to fix it when it breaks.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> On Sunday, June 28, 2009 21:46, "deltafoxtrotwhiskey333" <dfw333@...> said:
>
> > Please dont tell me you're trying to track this system with only 4 freqs
> > programmed in. There's 12 total that all need to be programmed, any one of them
> > could be assigned a voice transmission at random. The control channels rotate out
> > between the four freqs assigned for that purpose.
>
> Well, at this point I am open to any possible explanation, but I don't believe you are correct on this one. Do you use either of these scanners? Because it is my understanding that all current generation Uniden scanners use Control Channel Only TrunkTracking.
>
> http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Control_Channel_Only
>
> "CCO programming is recommended for use in selected RS scanners starting with the Pro-93 in bands where Motorola trunking is supported and this includes all P25 trunking bands for the Pro-96/2096 and the GRE PSR-500/600 scanners. It enables the user to trunk a system by programming in only those channels that are utilized as a control channel, instead of having to utilize memory to program the entire system."
>

30439. Re: [dfwscan] Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 04:13:18 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 30428 Message: 30439

You do not need to program any frequencies other than the control channels. The scanner calculates the voice channel frequencies based on the info on the control channel. I listen to Arlington a lot, and haven't noticed the symptoms being described.

From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333 <dfw333@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2009 9:46:56 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Wait a sec...I just reread your email and your last question caught my eye:

"Is there any reason I would need anything other than the control
channels programmed in?"

Please dont tell me you're trying to track this system with only 4 freqs programmed in. There's 12 total that all need to be programmed, any one of them could be assigned a voice transmission at random. The control channels rotate out between the four freqs assigned for that purpose.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Toby Zidle <tobyz7@...> wrote:
>
> Rob, have you checked your antenna? Loose connection? Bad solder?
>
> Toby
>
>
> At 09:32 PM 6/28/2009, rob.davis wrote:
>
>
> >Andrew and Paul B,
> >
> >Thanks for your suggestions! I double checked my programming just
> >now. I have all four control channels that are listed at RR.com
> >programmed in for Arlington, so that doesn't seem to be the problem.
> >I don't lose them for hours at a time, just random conversations and
> >transmissions here and there.
> >
> >Is there any reason I would need anything other than the control
> >channels programmed in?
> >
> >Rob
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30440. Re: [dfwscan] BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 04:21:53 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 30428 Message: 30440

Rob, I didn't mean simply are you using the right antenna. Have you opened the case to check whether the in-case connection is still intact? If the case has been dropped at some time in the past, the vibrations of daily transportation and use may have finally weakened the antenna's internal connection to the point where it's no longer sensitive to the 800 Mhz frequencies of the trunk systems. With conventional systems, the longer wavelength of the transmission may make a deteriorated signal less apparent. Perhaps even there, the antenna is not providing reception as strong as it used to, but the problem has manifested itself more quickly on the trunking frequencies.

Maybe my analysis (such as it is) is wrong, but it's probably worth opening the case to check.

Toby

At 11:05 PM 6/28/2009, rob.davis wrote:

>On Sunday, June 28, 2009 21:42, "Toby Zidle"
><<mailto:tobyz7%40comcast.net>tobyz7@...> said:
>
> > Rob, have you checked your antenna?
>
>Yes sir. And it happens on the house antenna, the car antennae, and
>on the rubber duck too. Best I can tell, I miss nothing on
>conventionals, and I monitor a lot of conventional channels.
>
>Again, when it happened to me while someone was standing right next
>to my car with a non-Uniden handheld scanner that was NOT missing
>the transmissions I was missing, it became clear that it was a
>problem specific to all of my 396/996s.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30441. Re: [dfwscan] BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 05:12:05 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30428 Message: 30441

On Sunday, June 28, 2009 23:21, "Toby Zidle" <tobyz7@...> said:

> Rob, I didn't mean simply are you using the right antenna. Have you
> opened the case to check whether the in-case connection is still
> intact?

Ah, okay. I thought you meant the solder in my coax connexions, as I have certainly had that problem before! Thanks!

Rob

30442. RE: [dfwscan] Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 11:35:21 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30428 Message: 30442

Rob,

Where are you monitoring Arlington from? I get pretty spotty reception of the Arlington system in Bedford.

Also, did you double check to make sure Control Channel only is enabled for the system? Menu, Program System, choose Arlington, Edit Sys Option, scroll to Set C-Ch Only, and make sure it's enabled.

Is it only the Arlington system that you have trouble with?

Good luck,

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30443. RE: [dfwscan] Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 14:23:51 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30428 Message: 30443

On Monday, June 29, 2009 06:35, "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...> said:

> Where are you monitoring Arlington from? I get pretty spotty reception of
> the Arlington system in Bedford.

I live less than a mile from their tower on 287 at fire station 13.

> Also, did you double check to make sure Control Channel only is enabled for
> the system? Menu, Program System, choose Arlington, Edit Sys Option, scroll
> to Set C-Ch Only, and make sure it's enabled.

Hmmm... sure enough, it was not enabled for Arlington! Although I have not checked all the other systems yet, so far it appears that Arlington was the only one with that not enabled. And, as far as I know, Arlington is indeed the only system I was having problems with.

I think that may do it! Thanks, Ben! I'll let you know if that takes care of it.

Rob

30444. pursuit

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 21:55:40 UTC
From: Russ
Topic: 30428 Message: 30444

What, nobody posted anything about the big pursuit today?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30445. RE: [dfwscan] pursuit

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 22:32:08 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 30428 Message: 30445

It was on IPN I believe.

Check out dpd ch 2 right now.

Air one following grey crown vic with broken back window. Guy took a plasma tv from the target at skillman and abrams.

He knew he was being folowed and started blowing red lights. Dpd supervisor said no chase. So he continues to blow red lights while air 1 updates the officers stuck at red lights.

-----Original Message-----
From: Russ <kw5kw@...>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 4:55 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] pursuit

What, nobody posted anything about the big pursuit today?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30446. Re: [dfwscan] Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-29 23:16:04 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 30428 Message: 30446

I live in Station 13's zone, as well!

Turning on C-Ch is going to fix it (Andrew's email this morning should have given me a clue, but it was too early in the morning for that to click...).

I love a story that has a happy ending. :)

Paul

From: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2009 9:23:51 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: BCD996 and 396 Trunking Problems

On Monday, June 29, 2009 06:35, "Ben Saladino(YG) " <groups@bensware. com> said:

> Where are you monitoring Arlington from? I get pretty spotty reception of
> the Arlington system in Bedford.

I live less than a mile from their tower on 287 at fire station 13.

> Also, did you double check to make sure Control Channel only is enabled for
> the system? Menu, Program System, choose Arlington, Edit Sys Option, scroll
> to Set C-Ch Only, and make sure it's enabled.

Hmmm... sure enough, it was not enabled for Arlington! Although I have not checked all the other systems yet, so far it appears that Arlington was the only one with that not enabled. And, as far as I know, Arlington is indeed the only system I was having problems with.

I think that may do it! Thanks, Ben! I'll let you know if that takes care of it.

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30447. PD Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-30 10:20:21 UTC
From: Officer LeClair
Topic: 30447 Message: 30447

From what info I have, chase started in irving, female driver, tires been spiked, currently moving eastbound on 30 in dallas. No other info. Care to share for those that have anything?

30448. Re: PD Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-30 12:01:56 UTC
From: nx2x50
Topic: 30447 Message: 30448

"Officer LeClair" :
> From what info I have, chase started in irving,
>female driver, tires been spiked, currently moving
>eastbound on 30 in dallas.
>Care to share for those that have anything?

...
Officer LeClair :
> From what info I have, chase started in irving, female driver,
> tires been spiked, currently moving eastbound on 30 in dallas.
> Care to share for those that have anything?

Irving PD pursuing vehicle from Airport Freeway in Irving ...
down Carpenter Freeway into Dallas ...
onto Stemmons (I-35) ...
suspect vehicle driving on rims ...
speed 10-15 mph...
eastbound on I-30 ... crossing Lamar ...
suspect has a history... including previous fleeing police
female driver appears intoxicated...
5:21:30 coming into Mesquite ... all flat tires
5:26:37 service road coming up to St. Francis
5:32:50 n/b Buckner passing Peavy
5:34:55 n/b Buckner under Ferguson
5:40:51 n/b Bucker at Garland Rd MAPSCO 38-J
Dallas Sheriff's Dept is doing the chase now

chase started at 04:00 ... 1500 Union Bower ... as a traffic stop
chase ENDS at 05:44 ... suspect in custody
Buckner @ Poppy ... MAPSCO 38-J

30449. Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-30 13:54:50 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 1986 Message: 30449

The clock on the wall says we are way overdue for a group get-together, don't you think? Let's kick the Summer off with one, and then try again at the end of the Summer.

I'm thinking sometime soon after the July 4th holiday. If we can come to a consensus on the best particular night of the week, that'd be a good start, and we can work from there to find a venue and solid date.

Rather than trash the listserver with this, if you'll e-mail me any day, time, and date preferences, as well as days, times, and dates you absolutely cannot do, I'll start trying to work this thing out.

Let's get the party started!

Rob

30450. Denton County PURSUIT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-30 22:31:34 UTC
From: Jeffrey Smith
Topic: 30450 Message: 30450

Little Elm PD is in Pursuit on FM 423 south from Wood lake Dr.

On the Denton CO Trunk Group 0250

1730 Local Time

Jeffrey

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30451. Denton County PURSUIT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-30 22:36:32 UTC
From: Jeffrey Smith
Topic: 30450 Message: 30451

Little Elm PD is in Pursuit on FM 423 south from Wood lake Dr.

On the Denton CO Trunk Group 0250

1730 Local Time
1736 NB 423 heading to US 380

Jeffrey

30452. Re: Denton County PURSUIT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-30 23:56:03 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30450 Message: 30452

Sounds like it turned into a stand off....is it still on-going?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jeffrey Smith" <n5tit.tx@...> wrote:
>
> Little Elm PD is in Pursuit on FM 423 south from Wood lake Dr.
>
> On the Denton CO Trunk Group 0250
>
> 1730 Local Time
> 1736 NB 423 heading to US 380
>
> Jeffrey
>

30453. Uniden shipping...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-06-30 23:58:13 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30453 Message: 30453

How come I cannot just drop off my Uniden for repairs?

I live 5 mins away yet I am charged over $8.00 just to ship it blocks away.

30454. Re: [dfwscan] Uniden shipping...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-01 00:01:19 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30453 Message: 30454

...And why do I have to talk to someone in India about sending my MP3 player to BFE Oklahoma for warranty repair?

Most repair centers don't allow walk-up customers because they don't what the hassle of someone going postal over repair fees or warranty status. Been that way for years in many industries.

cltx11 wrote:
> How come I cannot just drop off my Uniden for repairs?
>
> I live 5 mins away yet I am charged over $8.00 just to ship it blocks away.
>

30455. Re: Uniden shipping...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-01 00:04:10 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30453 Message: 30455

Everything is pretty much a set price..... $50.00 repair, unless its really jacked up then yes, you would be charged a little extra.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
> ...And why do I have to talk to someone in India about sending my MP3
> player to BFE Oklahoma for warranty repair?
>
> Most repair centers don't allow walk-up customers because they don't
> what the hassle of someone going postal over repair fees or warranty
> status. Been that way for years in many industries.
>
> cltx11 wrote:
> > How come I cannot just drop off my Uniden for repairs?
> >
> > I live 5 mins away yet I am charged over $8.00 just to ship it blocks away.
> >
>

30456. Is it me or is Yahoo slow today?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-01 00:04:21 UTC
From: Jeffrey Smith
Topic: 30456 Message: 30456

I have been posting via the Yahoo groups webpage and vis pop3 and some of my posts are late and some are not getting there at all??

Jeffrey

30457. Re: [dfwscan] Uniden shipping...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-01 00:34:41 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 30453 Message: 30457

On Tue, Jun 30, 2009 at 6:58 PM, cltx11<cltx11@...> wrote:
> How come I cannot just drop off my Uniden for repairs?
>
> I live 5 mins away yet I am charged over $8.00 just to ship it blocks away.

Because it goes via Memphis, silly. :-)

I bought a computer from Dell that shipped from a few miles away. I
think I decided I paid nearly $30 per mile.

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

30458. Denton County PURSUIT: Subject is in Custody

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-01 00:38:02 UTC
From: Jeffrey Smith
Topic: 30458 Message: 30458

1736 NB 423 heading to US 380
1743 Spikes deployed again FM720 & US380. Missed. Tried to hit the officers again.
1745 NB US377 from US380.
1750 Leaving Aubrey on US377. Throwing something out of the vehicle. Also has a loaded .357
1754 Entering Grayson Co.
1756 Suspects neighbor states that subject is not going back to jail and will shoot at officers.
1800 Officer states that there is a child in the vehicle.
1805 Turning WB on FM922 from Collinsville.
1806 Entering Cooke Co.
1808 NB FM 3164, Passing CO RD 296, WB CO RD 2134,
1811 Stopped on 2134, Standoff in progress.
1831 Staging EMS and DPS101 (Helo) is on scene.
1850 All units moved to TAC 1 (Group ID: 112)
1900 SWAT Has been paged out.
1917 Subject exited the vehicle and is in custody.

Jeffrey

30459. Re: [dfwscan] Uniden shipping...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-01 02:43:16 UTC
From: Thomas Hines
Topic: 30453 Message: 30459

Let me add my two cents to all the postings about Uniden repairs.

It sounds like that some of you are already aware that there is
a Uniden shop in the DFW area.

Specifically, it is located at 4700 Amon Carter Blvd
                                        Fort Worth, TX   76155
                                        817-858-3300
This is in the industrial district directly south of DFW
airport.

A year or so ago, I purchased a Uniden hand held from Frey's.
Prior to that I had been a "a very loyal" Shack customer
because it was easy to take a repair to the local Shack
store and one week later, the repaired item was back at that
same Shack store.

I told that story to the floor salesman at Frey's and he told
about the Uniden shop above.

On my first visit I went to the Uniden main entrance on
Amon Carter Blvd. When I tried the front door, it was locked!!
I noticed a squawk box installed near the front door and pushed
the button on the box. In a couple of seconds, a receptionist
sounding voice ask if she could help me. I told her that I had
a Uniden scanner that needed repair. She gave me instructions
to go down to the end of the building and go through the gate
and enter a door at the top of the step on my left. I followed
those instructions and a security guard let me in and told
me that the receptionist notified him that I was on my way back.
The security guard, in turned, call a scanner tech and he
can up to the security desk. He looked over the scanner, did
some paper work and a gave me a copy and said it would
be ready in three to five days.

I went my way and in four days went back and paid with a
Am Ex card and I was happy camper.

Sorry, some of you are having a bad experience in getting
your repairs done. Good luck on getting them repaired!

Hope some or all of the above will help!

Tommy Hines
DFW 410
--- On Tue, 6/30/09, John Mayson <john@mayson.us> wrote:

From: John Mayson <john@...>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Uniden shipping...
To: "DFW Scan" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Tuesday, June 30, 2009, 7:34 PM

On Tue, Jun 30, 2009 at 6:58 PM, cltx11<cltx11@gmail. com> wrote:
> How come I cannot just drop off my Uniden for repairs?
>
> I live 5 mins away yet I am charged over $8.00 just to ship it blocks away.

Because it goes via Memphis, silly. :-)

I bought a computer from Dell that shipped from a few miles away. I
think I decided I paid nearly $30 per mile.

--
John Mayson <john@mayson. us>
Austin, Texas, USA

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30460. Re: [dfwscan] Denton County PURSUIT: Subject is in Custody

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-01 02:55:49 UTC
From: Thomas Hines
Topic: 30458 Message: 30460

This evening about the time all this was going down, I was on my
way to Sanger on State Highway 455. As I crossed the Ray Roberts dam,
two local cops (I guess Sanger) passed me at a high rate of speed
without lights and siren going toward Pilot Point (Hwy 377).

A couple of miles pass the dam, I met two Texas DPS units
at a high rate of speed using their lights and siren headed toward
Pilot Point.

Just assumed they were going over to Pilot Point to get in the parade!

Tommy Hines
DFW 410

--- On Tue, 6/30/09, Jeffrey Smith <n5tit.tx@...> wrote:

From: Jeffrey Smith <n5tit.tx@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Denton County PURSUIT: Subject is in Custody
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Tuesday, June 30, 2009, 7:38 PM

1736 NB 423 heading to US 380
1743 Spikes deployed again FM720 & US380. Missed. Tried to hit the officers again.
1745 NB US377 from US380.
1750 Leaving Aubrey on US377. Throwing something out of the vehicle. Also has a loaded .357
1754 Entering Grayson Co.
1756 Suspects neighbor states that subject is not going back to jail and will shoot at
officers.
1800 Officer states that there is a child in the vehicle.
1805 Turning WB on FM922 from Collinsville.
1806 Entering Cooke Co.
1808 NB FM 3164, Passing CO RD 296, WB CO RD 2134,
1811 Stopped on 2134, Standoff in progress.
1831 Staging EMS and DPS101 (Helo) is on scene.
1850 All units moved to TAC 1 (Group ID: 112)
1900 SWAT Has been paged out.
1917 Subject exited the vehicle and is in custody.

Jeffrey

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30461. Question on Burleson LTR

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-01 19:55:22 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 30461 Message: 30461

I listen to Burleson and I have every thing from Radio Reference programed. when I am scanning the system new ID's pop up and I was wondering if anyone had a full list of the ID's for Burleson so I can tag them.

Thanks in advance...

Don Newman

30462. Dallas Engine 20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-02 00:04:31 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30462 Message: 30462

Engine 20 is on a welfare check call, just requested a Truck, Rescue & Battalion Chief. That's a pretty odd combination of units unless someone is trapped or the engine was involved in an MVA. CAD has updated to show the new units, but still says welfare check for call type. Anyone have an idea of what's going on?

Thanks,
Andrew

30463. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Engine 20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-02 00:05:34 UTC
From: cas112882@gmail.com
Topic: 30462 Message: 30463

What's the address
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...>

Date: Wed, 1 Jul 2009 19:04:31
To: Scanner DFW<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Engine 20

Engine 20 is on a welfare check call, just requested a Truck, Rescue &
Battalion Chief. That's a pretty odd combination of units unless
someone is trapped or the engine was involved in an MVA. CAD has
updated to show the new units, but still says welfare check for call
type. Anyone have an idea of what's going on?

Thanks,
Andrew

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30464. Re: Dallas Engine 20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-02 00:16:11 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30462 Message: 30464

3976 Cedar Bayou Dr

660 told the Rescue it will be a signal 27.

Maybe the truck & battalion chief because forcible entry was going to be necessary. They usually don't dispatch a BC for forcible entry, but occasionally one is sent if there are neighbors/relatives on scene requesting a supervisor (pure guess here as to why in this case).

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, cas112882@... wrote:
>
> What's the address
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...>
>
> Date: Wed, 1 Jul 2009 19:04:31
> To: Scanner DFW<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Engine 20
>
>
> Engine 20 is on a welfare check call, just requested a Truck, Rescue &
> Battalion Chief. That's a pretty odd combination of units unless
> someone is trapped or the engine was involved in an MVA. CAD has
> updated to show the new units, but still says welfare check for call
> type. Anyone have an idea of what's going on?
>
>
> Thanks,
> Andrew
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30465. Re: Dallas Engine 20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-02 19:38:33 UTC
From: alan witheiler
Topic: 30462 Message: 30465

A signal 27 is used to indicate a dead person

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30466. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Engine 20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-02 19:41:35 UTC
From: cas112882@gmail.com
Topic: 30462 Message: 30466

I think were all pretty much aware of that I think the question was more about why a welfare check required what would be considered a rescue responsem
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "alan witheiler" <apw-home@...>

Date: Thu, 2 Jul 2009 14:38:33
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Engine 20

A signal 27 is used to indicate a dead person

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30467. Re: Dallas Engine 20

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-02 20:01:43 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30462 Message: 30467

Yep, thats it. Still havent heard anything about what actually happened. There was a guess that someone got stuck between the toilet and the tub, but even an engine company should be able to handle that alone if they carry a water shut off key and an axe to smash the toilet.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, cas112882@... wrote:
>
> I think were all pretty much aware of that I think the question was more about why a welfare check required what would be considered a rescue responsem
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: "alan witheiler" <apw-home@...>
>
> Date: Thu, 2 Jul 2009 14:38:33
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Engine 20
>
>
> A signal 27 is used to indicate a dead person
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30468. Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 10:47:10 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30468 Message: 30468

Hey Guys,

There are (were?) three volunteer fire departments that used to be in Tarrant County that are missing in action. Two of them are still listed at RadioReference, and I'm wondering if anyone has a definitive answer regarding their status:

Dido - I found their fire station a few weeks ago, and the place looks abandoned, with one old broke pick-up sitting out front.

North Tarrant County - Heard a rumour that they disbanded some years back. Wouldn't be surprised. They had "issues".

Spillway - Went looking for their station the same day as Dido, and never could find it. It's either been torn down, or else I forgot where it was to begin with.

I'd appreciate any insight anyone might have on these organisations status.

Thanks!

Rob

30469. RE: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 12:05:07 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30468 Message: 30469

I know Spillway and North Tarrant are gone. I do not know about Dido.

There are some maps on my website at the link below. I know when I made the Google Earth one, I was taking a guess at Dido's location.

http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/FireStationLocations.htm

Ben

>Hey Guys,

There are (were?) three volunteer fire departments that used to be in Tarrant County that are missing in action. Two of them are still listed at RadioReference, and I'm wondering if anyone has a definitive answer regarding their status:

Dido - I found their fire station a few weeks ago, and the place looks abandoned, with one old broke pick-up sitting out front.

North Tarrant County - Heard a rumour that they disbanded some years back. Wouldn't be surprised. They had "issues".

Spillway - Went looking for their station the same day as Dido, and never could find it. It's either been torn down, or else I forgot where it was to begin with.

I'd appreciate any insight anyone might have on these organisations status.

Thanks!

Rob<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30470. RE: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 12:18:27 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30468 Message: 30470

Thanks, Ben! I should have known to check your site first!

You were spot-on with both the Dido and Spillway stations. That is indeed the Dido station. I had only been to the Spillway station once before, back in 1991, when one of their vollies was killed. The lot is barren now, totally bulldozed. You can't tell there was ever a structure there, so I thought maybe I had the location wrong.

Rob

On Friday, July 3, 2009 07:05, "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...> said:

> I know Spillway and North Tarrant are gone. I do not know about Dido.
>
> There are some maps on my website at the link below. I know when I made the
> Google Earth one, I was taking a guess at Dido's location.
>
> http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/FireStationLocations.htm

30471. News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 12:57:44 UTC
From: eefudd
Topic: 30471 Message: 30471

http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/crime/stories/DN-radio_03met.ART.State.Edition2.4bf08b8.html

Yes...for many years everyone could talk on the high tech "intercity"

mmm.... don't fix anything that's not broke!!

30472. Re: News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 13:18:06 UTC
From: deltafoxtrotwhiskey333
Topic: 30471 Message: 30472

What I dont get is why they dont just create a state wide PD Channel? One frequency programmed into every PD radio to talk to units in the immediate area. A Houston cop, for example, could switch to that channel during a chase and talk to any other agency whether it stayed down south or made it to Amarillo. They dont need a big ass fancy system to do that. Just one frequency that is set aside for the entire state.

In most of these instances you dont need to talk to someone five miles away, just around the block. If it is necessary to talk to someone from the other agency farther away, just talk to their guy around the corner and he can relay it for you.

But no...we gotta have the multi-million dollar radio system that covers an entire region that no one knows how to operate because new radios have to be installed and will spend about 80% of its time down for repairs in less than 2 years.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "eefudd" <eefudd@...> wrote:
>
> http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/crime/stories/DN-radio_03met.ART.State.Edition2.4bf08b8.html
>
> Yes...for many years everyone could talk on the high tech "intercity"
>
> mmm.... don't fix anything that's not broke!!
>

30473. RE: [dfwscan] News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 13:44:32 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30471 Message: 30473

On Friday, July 3, 2009 07:57, "eefudd" <eefudd@...> said:

> Yes...for many years everyone could talk on the high tech "intercity"

The constables, SO, and DPS still do. They're just to stupid to use it.

From the article:

"When you are in a fast-paced, moving environment, sometimes seconds count," said Mike Simpson, the state's communications interoperability coordinator. "Officers are out there in a dangerous environment." And it's a problem, he said, if they can see each other "but can't talk to each other."

"Instead of relying on a hodgepodge of proprietary equipment that uses different frequency bands, Simpson said, state officials are encouraging law enforcement agencies to refit a digital system known as Project 25 (P25) by 2015 that makes interoperability – or talking to each other on the radio – easier."

How does this idiot still have a job?

Rob

30474. Re: [dfwscan] Re: News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 14:24:22 UTC
From: Rick White
Topic: 30471 Message: 30474

The do already

Texas Law 1 on VHF
UCALL on UHF
8CALL on 800 (Formally NPSPAC1)

The problem is

DCSO/DPS is on VHF
DPD on UHF
Garland/Richardson on 800

for just the chase in question.

The other problem is training. In most cases they get about 5
minutes training on how to use the radio. So most do not even know
what is in their radios. Plus the interop channels are so seldom
used they forget that they are simplex and keep waiting for the tone
so that they can talk.

Rick

At 08:18 AM 7/3/2009, you wrote:
>What I dont get is why they dont just create a state wide PD
>Channel? One frequency programmed into every PD radio to talk to
>units in the immediate area. A Houston cop, for example, could
>switch to that channel during a chase and talk to any other agency
>whether it stayed down south or made it to Amarillo. They dont need
>a big ass fancy system to do that. Just one frequency that is set
>aside for the entire state.
>
>In most of these instances you dont need to talk to someone five
>miles away, just around the block. If it is necessary to talk to
>someone from the other agency farther away, just talk to their guy
>around the corner and he can relay it for you.
>
>
>But no...we gotta have the multi-million dollar radio system that
>covers an entire region that no one knows how to operate because new
>radios have to be installed and will spend about 80% of its time
>down for repairs in less than 2 years.
>
>--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "eefudd" <eefudd@...> wrote:
> >
> >
> http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/crime/stories/DN-radio_03met.
ART.State.Edition2.4bf08b8.html

> >
> > Yes...for many years everyone could talk on the high tech "intercity"
> >
> > mmm.... don't fix anything that's not broke!!
> >
>
>
>
>
>-----------------------------------
>
>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30475. Re: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 15:18:32 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30468 Message: 30475

Don't know how official this site is:
http://www.ticostat.com/TICOResidentialDocuments.action
If you look at the changes for 2009, you will see that Dido and North Tarrant County were deleted.
Some other area VFDs I noticed deleted were:
Ellis Co RPFD No 1 (in Ellis Co) might have just changed to Ellis Co ESD No 3
Mayhill Cooper Creek (in Denton Co)
Willow Park VFD (in Parker Co) think they just took the VFD part out of their name

Found this when looking up Spillway:
fireman128
March 13, 2008
Spillway Fire Department. Closed its doors about 5 years ago, and no longer exists. If you live between The City of Azle and Lake Worth You need to contact the Tarrant County Fire Dispatch center to find out who your fire department will be. the phone number is 817-232-9800

----- Original Message -----
From: <rob.davis@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 7:18 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

> Thanks, Ben! I should have known to check your site first!
>
> You were spot-on with both the Dido and Spillway stations. That is indeed
> the Dido station. I had only been to the Spillway station once before,
> back in 1991, when one of their vollies was killed. The lot is barren
> now, totally bulldozed. You can't tell there was ever a structure there,
> so I thought maybe I had the location wrong.
>
> Rob
>
>
> On Friday, July 3, 2009 07:05, "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...>
> said:
>
>> I know Spillway and North Tarrant are gone. I do not know about Dido.
>>
>> There are some maps on my website at the link below. I know when I made
>> the
>> Google Earth one, I was taking a guess at Dido's location.
>>
>> http://www.bensware.com/firetrucks/FireStationLocations.htm

30476. Re: [dfwscan] Re: News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 15:20:40 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30471 Message: 30476

deltafoxtrotwhiskey333 wrote:
> What I dont get is why they dont just create a state wide PD Channel?

We have had 155.370/154.950 for over 40 years that I know of. Probably longer than that.

Texas (and the whole country for that matter) has interoperability channels out the @$.
<http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=899>
<http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Federal/Non-Federal_Interoperability>

The Problem is lack of training, not reprogramming radios, not putting extra radios in vehicles, and not implementing existing plans, which anybody can download at:
<http://tsiec.region49.org>
<http://www.npstc.org/channelNaming.jsp>

Tom

30477. Re: News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 16:13:39 UTC
From: afm108
Topic: 30471 Message: 30477

YES!!!...how I do remember the old intercity. It was like you could talk to anyone in the world with it. And I agree exactly, it was not broke so no need to mess with it.

Being in Law Enforcement communications for the past 27yrs both as a Communications Officer and now Patrol Lt, I am not impressed very much with today's technology. Yes the idea was nice when first started but we are encountering more problems with our 800 trunk system as time passes.

Stay safe all and Happy 4th to all...
Randy

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "eefudd" <eefudd@...> wrote:
>
> http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/crime/stories/DN-radio_03met.ART.State.Edition2.4bf08b8.html
>
> Yes...for many years everyone could talk on the high tech "intercity"
>
> mmm.... don't fix anything that's not broke!!
>

30478. Re: [dfwscan] Re: News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 16:23:53 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 30471 Message: 30478

The problem is the average Police officer and Firefighter are not interested in all of the bells and whistles - they just want to push a button and talk... The more complicated things become, the more resistance will come from them... The thing I hear the most is - "your talkin over my head" when we talk about the radio system stuff... Those of us in the amateur radio hobby or scanner hobby see things a bit differently...

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Fri, 7/3/09, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:

From: Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: News ?????
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Friday, July 3, 2009, 10:20 AM

deltafoxtrotwhiskey333 wrote:
> What I dont get is why they dont just create a state wide PD Channel?

We have had 155.370/154.950 for over 40 years that I know of. Probably longer than that.

Texas (and the whole country for that matter) has interoperability channels out the @$.
<http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=899>
<http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Federal/Non-Federal_Interoperability>

The Problem is lack of training, not reprogramming radios, not putting extra radios in vehicles, and not implementing existing plans, which anybody can download at:
<http://tsiec.region49.org>
<http://www.npstc.org/channelNaming.jsp>

Tom

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30479. RE: [dfwscan] News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 17:06:12 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 30471 Message: 30479

These stories crack me up. Intercity worked for many, many years even with cities on different bands, they still has an intercity radio. How much could a VHF mobile radio cost? Put one in every car and dispatch position in the State.

Second, Austin's $100 million is so great that the "DEA can talk to the garbage man". This is a crock. All of the radios are not programmed with all of the talk groups. Even with everybody on the same physical system, they still do not talk to each other. Austin PD doesn't even talk directly with Austin FD. Williamson County FD/EMS is now encrypted. Nobody can talk to them without calling Williamson FD Dispatch and asking them go to an InterOp channel. (Williamson Co is on the same system as Austin/Travis County). DPS is the only agency I hear moving around from SO channel to SO channel on the A/TC system, LCRA, and the VHF systems - they have more than one radio.

What is needed is a tactical solution not an infrastructure solution. A guy in a car or truck needs the ability to pick up the mic and push the button to talk to another guy in a car or truck regardless of the agency they work for. These InterOp channels need to function much like CBs. Intercity was like that. If a tornado hit Podunk, Texas anyone from anywhere in the State could go there and communicate on Intercity. As a matter of fact, the only place where InterOp is a problem is in the metropolitan areas. Out in the counties, where everybody is still VHF (more than 90% of the State), these guys can and do talk to each other all the time, mostly on Intercity and even on each other dispatch channels. You would only need to return the InterCity radios to the metro areas and Texas would have full Interoperability. Having all the other channels would be great but are useless if nobody can talk to each other in the first place.

Russell

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of eefudd
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 07:58 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] News ?????

http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/crime/stories/DN-radio_03met.ART.State.Edition2.4bf08b8.html

Yes...for many years everyone could talk on the high tech "intercity"

mmm.... don't fix anything that's not broke!!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30480. Re: [dfwscan] News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 19:12:28 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 30471 Message: 30480

This was the excuse to the pricey system Austin and Travis County
have. PD/FD/SO couldn't talk and people were losing their lives.
Well guess what? They're all on the same system and still can't talk.

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

30481. RE: [dfwscan] News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-03 20:28:10 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 30471 Message: 30481

Let's keep in mind here that the thrust in communications upgrades and interoperability since 9-11 has been on "emergency" communications, not routine communications. Chases are not considered an "emergency" but perhaps they should be. We are much better off today, however, than we were 8 years ago in terms of being able to communicate. Intercity is gone folks. It was great when our area was a hap-hazard mesh of urban sprawl and everyone was on hi-band, but the harsh reality is that our population has exploded to a point where one interoperability channel on a single band is no longer practicable. Competing radio companies have made things more complicated for us. If the decisions on what kind of system to buy were made by those actually using the systems and not budget people with expense accounts sitting in air conditioned offices, interoperability would be a piece of cake. But now we have LTR, Passport LTR, EDACS, EDACS Open Sky, MOTO Trunked and MOTO P25 Trunked, TETRA, conventional VHF, UHF and 800. To help alleviate this problem to a certain extent we now have the VTAC, UTAC and 8TAC channels. They are in most agencies' radios even though most users may not even know how to tune to them. Seldom do you ever hear any traffic on them. The issue I believe is that someone with some stroke for our region needs to take the initiative and lay down some law and designate some of these channels as "high priority traffic" or "chase" channels. Then the word needs to be put out, either through some kind of communications summit, mass e-mail or formal letter. Then there has to be on-going training on how to use these channels when high priority situations arise. The reality is that even when agencies have designated interoperability channels to communicate with other agencies, they either have new personnel in the dispatch center who don't know how to work the equipment, have never been told how to use it, or have the volume on the radio turned down. Irving chased one into Dallas last week, flattened all her tired then I guess it must have been getting off time because they simply turned off there lights, hit the exit and disappeared. Never could raise them on NPSPAC5, 8TAC94D, NLEC5 (866.0125, or whatever it's called this week)! Several media photogs and DSO stuck with it till the end. It's a crying shame when you have to call the agency who initiated the chase on the phone to tell them you cleaned their fish for them!!!

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of eefudd
Sent: Friday, July 03, 2009 7:58 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] News ?????

http://www.dallasne
<http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/crime/stories/DN
-radio_03met.ART.State.Edition2.4bf08b8.html>
ws.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/crime/stories/DN-radio_03met.ART.Sta
te.Edition2.4bf08b8.html

Yes...for many years everyone could talk on the high tech "intercity"

mmm.... don't fix anything that's not broke!!

'---

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30482. Re: [dfwscan] News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 00:55:32 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30471 Message: 30482

On Friday, July 3, 2009 14:12, "John Mayson" <john@...> said:

> This was the excuse to the pricey system Austin and Travis County
> have. PD/FD/SO couldn't talk and people were losing their lives.
> Well guess what? They're all on the same system and still can't talk.

Well, to be fair, "Regional" fire dispatch in SW Dallas County is still on a conventional system, and they can't talk to each other either. Their system sounds like cheap CB radios. Proof that a good engineer can screw up even the simplest system. Just more support for the KISS principle.

Rob

30483. Re: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 01:12:23 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30468 Message: 30483

On Friday, July 3, 2009 10:18, "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...> said:

> Don't know how official this site is:
> http://www.ticostat.com/TICOResidentialDocuments.action

Myles, what a great resource! Thank you for posting that!

I notice that Mid-North VFD is shown as deleted there. But I hear Mid-North getting dispatched almost every day. I wonder if they too just changed their officially listed name.

Bill, you have the right FD. Spillway was indeed there at the end of the dam, just past the Tarrant Water District office. I don't remember much about it, but they had a volunteer killed while responding to a fire back in 1991, and I was there for the funeral. Seems like I remember it being bigger than the empty lot that now sits there.

Rob

30484. Re: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 01:21:43 UTC
From: Greg Higgins
Topic: 30468 Message: 30484

It was only a 2 bay station with a small meeting room. They use to
park the brush truck on the side. The state finally ran them out of
business around 2000.

Greg Higgins

At 08:12 PM 7/3/2009, rob.davis@... wrote:

>On Friday, July 3, 2009 10:18, "Myles Barkman"
><<mailto:kg5ai%40comcast.net>kg5ai@...> said:
>
> > Don't know how official this site is:
> >
> <http://www.ticostat.com/TICOResidentialDocuments.action>http://www.ticostat.com/TICORes
identialDocuments.action
>
>Myles, what a great resource! Thank you for posting that!
>
>I notice that Mid-North VFD is shown as deleted there. But I hear
>Mid-North getting dispatched almost every day. I wonder if they too
>just changed their officially listed name.
>
>Bill, you have the right FD. Spillway was indeed there at the end of
>the dam, just past the Tarrant Water District office. I don't
>remember much about it, but they had a volunteer killed while
>responding to a fire back in 1991, and I was there for the funeral.
>Seems like I remember it being bigger than the empty lot that now sits there.
>
>Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30485. Re: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 03:54:25 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30468 Message: 30485

On Friday, July 3, 2009 20:21, "Greg Higgins" <gs.higgins@...> said:

> It was only a 2 bay station with a small meeting room. They use to
> park the brush truck on the side. The state finally ran them out of
> business around 2000.

Thanks, Greg. Does Eagle Mountain cover that area now? That's a pretty good ride for them.

Spillway was around for a pretty good while. At least 20+ years, to my recollection.

North Tarrant County is now covered by Keller and Fort Worth, right? Is there a master coverage map somewhere online that shows the rural fire districts' territories?

Rob

30486. Re: Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 04:24:25 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 30468 Message: 30486

Rob and the group

Azle FD and Eagle Mountain split Spillway area, Azle
makes run into the county all the time.

Hope that helps

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> On Friday, July 3, 2009 20:21, "Greg Higgins" <gs.higgins@...> said:
>
> > It was only a 2 bay station with a small meeting room. They use to
> > park the brush truck on the side. The state finally ran them out of
> > business around 2000.
>
> Thanks, Greg. Does Eagle Mountain cover that area now? That's a pretty good ride for
them.
>
> Spillway was around for a pretty good while. At least 20+ years, to my recollection.
>
> North Tarrant County is now covered by Keller and Fort Worth, right? Is there a master
coverage map somewhere online that shows the rural fire districts' territories?
>
> Rob
>

30487. RE: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 05:32:57 UTC
From: Jason Day
Topic: 30468 Message: 30487

Hey Rob and everyone,

Eagle Mountain and Azle split the area that Spillway covered. Dido had their contract pulled by the county as it was hard for them to get a crew to respond, so Eagle Mountain took that area. Eagle Mountain would pretty much run all the calls in the area as it was, anytime an EMS call would go out, E21 would go ahead and respond with M21.The area that North Tarrant covered was taken over by Haslet Fire, Roanoke continued and still continues to cover the EMS for the area. The area up there is getting pretty small with the annexing Fort Worth is doing, im sure in the future all of that will be taken by Fort Worth. Hope that sheds some light,

Jason

To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Date: Fri, 3 Jul 2009 22:54:25 -0500
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

On Friday, July 3, 2009 20:21, "Greg Higgins" <gs.higgins@...> said:

> It was only a 2 bay station with a small meeting room. They use to
> park the brush truck on the side. The state finally ran them out of
> business around 2000.

Thanks, Greg. Does Eagle Mountain cover that area now? That's a pretty good ride for them.

Spillway was around for a pretty good while. At least 20+ years, to my recollection.

North Tarrant County is now covered by Keller and Fort Worth, right? Is there a master coverage map somewhere online that shows the rural fire districts' territories?

Rob

Windows Live?: Keep your life in sync.
http://windowslive.com/explore?ocid=TXT_TAGLM_WL_BR_life_in_synch_062009

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30488. RE: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 07:19:04 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30468 Message: 30488

Very helpful, Jason. Thank you very much to everyone who has responded!

I've been around Tarrant County since the late 60's, and to the best of my knowledge, we haven't had that many departments disappear like this in the modern era. I seem to remember that Webb, between Arlington and Mansfield, had a VFD up until the mid to late 70s, when Arlington annexed south of the newly constructed I-20. That's the only other one I can think of though. I suppose the former Watsonville (far SW Arlington, where I live now) probably had one at some point before the early 70s. Does anyone else remember any dearly departed fire departments from the area?

Rob

On Saturday, July 4, 2009 00:32, "Jason Day" <jlday84@...> said:

> Eagle Mountain and Azle split the area that Spillway covered. Dido had their
> contract pulled by the county as it was hard for them to get a crew to respond, so
> Eagle Mountain took that area. Eagle Mountain would pretty much run all the calls
> in the area as it was, anytime an EMS call would go out, E21 would go ahead and
> respond with M21.The area that North Tarrant covered was taken over by Haslet
> Fire, Roanoke continued and still continues to cover the EMS for the area. The
> area up there is getting pretty small with the annexing Fort Worth is doing, im
> sure in the future all of that will be taken by Fort Worth. Hope that sheds some
> light

30489. Re: [dfwscan] News ?????

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 08:01:18 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30471 Message: 30489

On Saturday, July 4, 2009 02:47, cwrong@... said:

> Regional Fire Depts are on the same conventional freqs. So if they cant talk to
> each other there are some serious problems. That system doesnt sound bad.

It sounds horrible. Half of the transmissions on that system are either the dispatcher or the field crew telling the other that their transmission is too noisy to understand, and asking them to repeat. Surprisingly, the dispatchers sound as horrible as the fire trucks. Every time they key the mic, it sounds like they are in an 18-wheeler, running 80 mph with the windows open. The system volume is potted up way too hot, as is the base transmitter itself. I have honestly never heard a conventional system that sounded so bad. Although, the Johnson County fire repeater sounded that way for about a week a few months back. I figure the same service tech must have been sent down there to set it up, but someone came behind him to fix it a week later. Regional has just lived with it that way for a year now though. And instead of doing anything about it, they just complain and live with it. Weird.

Rob

30490. RE: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 14:37:05 UTC
From: Ray Chapa
Topic: 30468 Message: 30490

Has anyone mentioned the Old Smithville Fire Station that was in North Richland Hills? It was on a road right next to a cemetary. Back in the old Green Vally days.

--- On Sat, 7/4/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@armynursecorps.com> wrote:

From: rob.davis@... <rob.davis@armynursecorps.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Missing Fire Departments
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Saturday, July 4, 2009, 2:19 AM

Very helpful, Jason. Thank you very much to everyone who has responded!

I've been around Tarrant County since the late 60's, and to the best of my knowledge, we haven't had that many departments disappear like this in the modern era. I seem to remember that Webb, between Arlington and Mansfield, had a VFD up until the mid to late 70s, when Arlington annexed south of the newly constructed I-20. That's the only other one I can think of though. I suppose the former Watsonville (far SW Arlington, where I live now) probably had one at some point before the early 70s. Does anyone else remember any dearly departed fire departments from the area?

Rob

On Saturday, July 4, 2009 00:32, "Jason Day" <jlday84@hotmail. com> said:

> Eagle Mountain and Azle split the area that Spillway covered. Dido had their
> contract pulled by the county as it was hard for them to get a crew to respond, so
> Eagle Mountain took that area. Eagle Mountain would pretty much run all the calls
> in the area as it was, anytime an EMS call would go out, E21 would go ahead and
> respond with M21.The area that North Tarrant covered was taken over by Haslet
> Fire, Roanoke continued and still continues to cover the EMS for the area. The
> area up there is getting pretty small with the annexing Fort Worth is doing, im
> sure in the future all of that will be taken by Fort Worth. Hope that sheds some
> light

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30491. Fireworks and Simulcast Radio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-04 22:31:58 UTC
From: Tracy Roberts
Topic: 30471 Message: 30491

Anyone know what Radio Stations will be simulcasting for the Fireworks shows around Duncanville and Cedar Hill?

Tracy

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30492. Weekly FCC grants June 28-July 04

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-05 11:07:36 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30492 Message: 30492

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30493. N TC Fire and Dido VFD Frequency Question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-06 05:24:59 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 30493 Message: 30493

Ok, since everyone is on this Fire Dept subject, since Dido VFD and NTC Fire are shut down, are the frequencies also such down even though the FCC still shows them active? I'm reprogramming radios (again), so I can remove these frequencies.

WPGF500, NORTH TARRANT COUNTY FIRE DEPT, 453.050

WPRS357, DIDO VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, 460.5875

RHB

P.S. Hi Andy!!!

30494. Re: Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-06 06:49:32 UTC
From: nx2x50
Topic: 30468 Message: 30494

.
Ray Chapa :
>Has anyone mentioned the Old Smithville Fire Station that was in North Richland Hills?

Smithfield

.
..
...
....
.....
......

30495. [dfwscan] Re: Missing Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-06 11:24:54 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30468 Message: 30495

Seems like Smithfield was out of business by the late 70s, but I do remember it, now that you mention it!

Ray Chapa :
>Has anyone mentioned the Old Smithville Fire Station that was in North Richland
> Hills?

30496. Re: [dfwscan] N TC Fire and Dido VFD Frequency Question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-06 16:48:57 UTC
From: Dan Renois
Topic: 30493 Message: 30496

I do not know about dido but ntcfd repeater is now the tarrantcounty
fire
Marshal's repeater and it is active

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2009, at 0:24, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:

>
>
> Ok, since everyone is on this Fire Dept subject, since Dido VFD and
> NTC Fire are shut down, are the frequencies also such down even
> though the FCC still shows them active? I'm reprogramming radios
> (again), so I can remove these frequencies.
>
> WPGF500, NORTH TARRANT COUNTY FIRE DEPT, 453.050
>
> WPRS357, DIDO VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, 460.5875
>
> RHB
>
> P.S. Hi Andy!!!
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30497. Re: N TC Fire and Dido VFD Frequency Question

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-06 19:51:12 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 30493 Message: 30497

Thanks Dan, that's what I needed to know.

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Dan Renois <danrenois@...> wrote:
>
> I do not know about dido but ntcfd repeater is now the tarrantcounty
> fire
> Marshal's repeater and it is active
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jul 6, 2009, at 0:24, "KC5RHB" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Ok, since everyone is on this Fire Dept subject, since Dido VFD and
> > NTC Fire are shut down, are the frequencies also such down even
> > though the FCC still shows them active? I'm reprogramming radios
> > (again), so I can remove these frequencies.
> >
> > WPGF500, NORTH TARRANT COUNTY FIRE DEPT, 453.050
> >
> > WPRS357, DIDO VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, 460.5875
> >
> > RHB
> >
> > P.S. Hi Andy!!!
> >
> >
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30498. Dallas Fire Call Sign

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-09 15:58:12 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30498 Message: 30498

Just curious, who is designated 885 in Dallas? Im thinking it is the ME but not sure.

Thanks,
Andrew

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30499. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire Call Sign

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-09 15:59:55 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30498 Message: 30499

On-call PIO.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...>

Date: Thu, 9 Jul 2009 10:58:12
To: Scanner DFW<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas Fire Call Sign

Just curious, who is designated 885 in Dallas? Im thinking it is the ME but
not sure.

Thanks,
Andrew

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30500. FEMA Exercise labeled NLE 09

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-09 16:28:33 UTC
From: Officer LeClair
Topic: 30500 Message: 30500

Check the site. This could get interesteing.

http://www.fema.gov/media/fact_sheets/nle09.shtm

30501. house moving

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-09 18:32:13 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30501 Message: 30501

Not sure if this is newsworthy, but it sounds like there's a house being moved in Fort Worth on the west side. Last I heard was around Clover, Montgomery, and Dexter. I haven't looked at a map, but I believe that's over by the musuems.
A couple of FWPD units are on 22288.
Ben

30502. Keller/Watauga SWAT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-09 18:55:01 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30502 Message: 30502

Keller is active on 6544/NE Tarrant TRS, sounds like they're working with Watauga on a SWAT deal, and are asking media helo to back off because they can't hear.

13:54

30503. RE: [dfwscan] Keller/Watauga SWAT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-10 01:40:27 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30502 Message: 30503

10992/FWPS1

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30504. Alert 3 at Alliance

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-10 22:21:06 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30504 Message: 30504

Media folks,

FWFD just put out an Alert 3 for Alliance Airport, but they're holding station 35 units only. FDCH6/1968 I haven't heard what happened.

17:20
Ben

30505. Re: [dfwscan] Alert 3 at Alliance

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-10 22:29:31 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30504 Message: 30505

Twin engine cessna crashed, gear collapsed on landing with fuel leak. No reported injuries.

On Fri, Jul 10, 2009 at 5:21 PM, Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>wrote:

>
>
> Media folks,
>
> FWFD just put out an Alert 3 for Alliance Airport, but they're holding
> station 35 units only. FDCH6/1968 I haven't heard what happened.
>
> 17:20
> Ben
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30506. NE Tarrant System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-10 23:50:50 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30506 Message: 30506

Looks like 854.0125 has been added, but not enabled on the NE Tarrant system. For those without control channel trunk tracking, you might want to program it in too, so you don't miss something once it's enabled.

Ben

30507. Weekly FCC grants July 05-11

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-12 10:24:03 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30507 Message: 30507

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30508. Brett Johnson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-12 19:42:20 UTC
From: nats2sound
Topic: 30508 Message: 30508

For those who may not know, Brett Johnson was taken off life support and died about noon today. He was a competitor, a colleague, and a friend to most who knew him. God rest his soul. See you on the other side Brett.

30509. RE: [dfwscan] Brett Johnson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-12 20:07:49 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30508 Message: 30509

Oh Man! I knew nothing about this. I worked with Brett at the old Channel 4, and he was just a great guy. He'd keep you laughing all day long. Very sorry to hear of his passing. If anyone can let me know the details, I'd appreciate it.

Take care, Brett. See you at the big one.

Rob

On Sunday, July 12, 2009 14:42, "nats2sound" <nats2sound@...> said:

> For those who may not know, Brett Johnson was taken off life support and died
> about noon today. He was a competitor, a colleague, and a friend to most who knew
> him. God rest his soul. See you on the other side Brett.
>
>

30510. RE: [dfwscan] Brett Johnson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-12 22:03:55 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 30508 Message: 30510

Pancreatitis that went septic.

http://www.nbcdfw.com/news/local/Brett-Johnson-1960-2009.html

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2009 3:08 PM
To: dfwscan@...m
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Brett Johnson

Oh Man! I knew nothing about this. I worked with Brett at the old Channel 4, and he was just a great guy. He'd keep you laughing all day long. Very sorry to hear of his passing. If anyone can let me know the details, I'd appreciate it.

Take care, Brett. See you at the big one.

Rob

On Sunday, July 12, 2009 14:42, "nats2sound" <nats2sound@...> said:

> For those who may not know, Brett Johnson was taken off life support and died
> about noon today. He was a competitor, a colleague, and a friend to most who knew
> him. God rest his soul. See you on the other side Brett.
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30511. Hillsboro FD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-14 00:22:36 UTC
From: Jeffrey A. Harwell
Topic: 30511 Message: 30511

Hillsboro had a major fire today in the 400 block of E. Elm Street with multiple Hill County departments responding on mutual aid. I heard all the county departments responding on the county channel (154.295 cl00.0) but never heard anything on the city channel (154.325 c100.0). Just wondering if anyone was aware of a new Hillsboro FD frequency?
Thanks,
Jeff Harwell

30512. Tour de France

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-15 01:29:35 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30512 Message: 30512

Yeah, I know it's a stretch off topic, but today they are not using team radios to shake things up, and I've been watching and wondering what types of radios they normally use and what frequencies, what range, (simplex only I assume)? Any other cycling fans or anyone else seen anything on the radio technology used in the Tour de France? Do fans scan the bike race like they do NASCAR here? Are scanners even legal in France?

Ben
www.BicyclesInc.com

30513. RE: [dfwscan] Tour de France

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-15 01:51:04 UTC
From: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Topic: 30512 Message: 30513

Don't know about scanners in the Tour, but it does appear that the Tour is up-to-date as far as technology goes; see the following news article.

http://www.cnn.com/2009/TECH/07/14/tour.france.chalkbot/index.html?eref=rss_topstories

Billy

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 20:30
To: 'DFWScan'
Subject: [dfwscan] Tour de France

Yeah, I know it's a stretch off topic, but today they are not using team radios to shake things up, and I've been watching and wondering what types of radios they normally use and what frequencies, what range, (simplex only I assume)? Any other cycling fans or anyone else seen anything on the radio technology used in the Tour de France? Do fans scan the bike race like they do NASCAR here? Are scanners even legal in France?

Ben
www.BicyclesInc.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30514. RE: [dfwscan] Tour de France

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-15 02:00:28 UTC
From: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Topic: 30512 Message: 30514

Don't know about scanners in the Tour, but it does appear that the Tour is up-to-date as far as technology goes; see the following news article.

Oops, try <http://www.cnn.com/2009/TECH/07/14/tour.france.chalkbot/index.html?eref=rss_topstories> this link (http://www.cnn.com/2009/TECH/07/14/tour.france.chalkbot/index.html?eref=rss_topstories)

http://www.cnn.com/2009/TECH/07/14/tour.france.chalkbot/index.html?eref=rss_topstories

Billy

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2009 20:30
To: 'DFWScan'
Subject: [dfwscan] Tour de France

Yeah, I know it's a stretch off topic, but today they are not using team radios to shake things up, and I've been watching and wondering what types of radios they normally use and what frequencies, what range, (simplex only I assume)? Any other cycling fans or anyone else seen anything on the radio technology used in the Tour de France? Do fans scan the bike race like they do NASCAR here? Are scanners even legal in France?

Ben
www.BicyclesInc.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30515. RE-Banding- Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-15 18:21:16 UTC
From: barryadair@rocketmail.com
Topic: 30515 Message: 30515

Just heard on Fort Worth South that they are in the process of rebanding I was busy doing something else I should have locked in on it but did not

30516. Re: [dfwscan] RE-Banding- Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-15 18:46:26 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30515 Message: 30516

FWPD has been issuing new radios lately. There are some new talkgroups that officers will have now. I'm sure this is all part of preparing to reband, but I don't think the actual rebanding will happen real soon.

I just heard 22576 active and someone asked if "you on TMS1?". I believe there are TMS1, TMS2, TMS3, and TMS4 talkgroups.

Ben

>
Just heard on Fort Worth South that they are in the process of rebanding I was busy doing something else I should have locked in on it but did not

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30517. Re: [dfwscan] RE-Banding- Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-15 21:08:31 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 30515 Message: 30517

FD radios have not yet been replaced although a lot of them are some variant of the XTS seriies now. Capt. at the FAO says they wont start on the FD radios until fall 09.

  We may not see the actual shift to new freqs unitl late in the year (at the earliest).

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Wed, 7/15/09, groups@... <groups@...> wrote:

From: groups@... <groups@...>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] RE-Banding- Fort Worth
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2009, 1:46 PM

FWPD has been issuing new radios lately. There are some new talkgroups that officers will have now. I'm sure this is all part of preparing to reband, but I don't think the actual rebanding will happen real soon.

I just heard 22576 active and someone asked if "you on TMS1?". I believe there are TMS1, TMS2, TMS3, and TMS4 talkgroups.

Ben

>
Just heard on Fort Worth South that they are in the process of rebanding I was busy doing something else I should have locked in on it but did not

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30518. Hillsboro FD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-15 21:56:47 UTC
From: Jeffrey A. Harwell
Topic: 30511 Message: 30518

You can probably disregard the question about a new frequency for Hillsboro FD - I'm hearing them today on a brush fire call using the normal repeater channel. Perhaps they were operating simplex or simplex Texas State Fire at the incident on Elm Street yesterday.
Jeff Harwell

30519. Unusual traffic on 453.875

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-16 12:55:20 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30519 Message: 30519

Dallas FD channel one is being used by what sounds like a paint crew. Cant make out exactly where they are, obviously it's coming in from somewhere else though. My BCT15 flashes C67.0 when they talk, when a Dallas Fire unit talks it shows DCS131.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30520. Re: [dfwscan] Unusual traffic on 453.875

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-16 13:20:24 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30519 Message: 30520

The VHF/UHF bands have been open in the morning a lot lately.
From Mineral Wells with an indoor antenna (or outside with just a hand held) I have been getting the Fort Hood 380 MHz P25 system and other stuff around Waco and Austin almost every morning since the heat wave started a few weeks ago.

Tom

Andrew Tucker wrote:
> Dallas FD channel one is being used by what sounds like a paint crew. Cant
> make out exactly where they are, obviously it's coming in from somewhere
> else though. My BCT15 flashes C67.0 when they talk, when a Dallas Fire
> unit talks it shows DCS131.
>

30521. DFR unit 669

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-16 16:42:23 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30521 Message: 30521

Dallas FD Cad is showing a unit called 669 assigned to a public service call on Canton St. Anyone know what unit this is?

Thanks,
Andrew

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30522. DFW Airport SWAT incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-18 16:16:02 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30522 Message: 30522

A little while ago there was a SWAT situation at DFW Airport administration on East Airfield. Not sure if it's still going or not, since we can't scan them, but I saw them huddled up by their truck, and the mobile command post was just arriving. 11:15

30523. 5th alarm off abrams

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-18 18:29:55 UTC
From: ke5vxs
Topic: 30523 Message: 30523

Dallas is working there 3rd 5th alarm this week its crazy.

30524. FW: Scanner Noise

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-19 00:58:44 UTC
From: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Topic: 30524 Message: 30524

Saw this on Radio Reference. Sounds like another job for Radi0 Avenger and her trusty sidekick Resistor.

..Billy..

Feed: The RadioReference.com Forums - Texas Radio Discussion Forum
Posted on: Saturday, July 18, 2009 13:24
Author: scanaholic
Subject: Scanner Noise

In posting this, I am reminded of those Russian jamming stations of long ago, a person could hear on any sw radio, jamming American radio transmissions. The sound was like that of 1000 buzz saws all running at once.

Lately, here in S.W. Abilene, we are being plauged with a noise not too different from those old jammers from the '50's, that moves slowly up and down the high band, almost every single day. It keeps me jumping locking out first one channel than another, as it wreaks havoc drifting slowly up and down the band. I sometimes wonder if an enemy country would be capable of jamming emergency frequencies with a satellite transmitter. Nothing would surprise me.

It wasn't on yesterday, allowing us to use the radio. Today, it is back as ear ripping irritating and menacing as ever. I won't babysit or nurse a scanner locking and unlocking channels as this demonic noise rips a path of interference up and down the high band. The radio is turned off! :mad:

View
<http://forums.radioreference.com/texas-radio-discussion-forum/151949-scanner-noise.html>
article...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30525. Re: [dfwscan] FW: Scanner Noise

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-19 01:14:06 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 30524 Message: 30525

Sounds like that thing we tracked down NW of DFW a few years back. Ended up being a transmitter for BNSF that'd died...or was dying.

Any way to email this guy? I'm not on that board/forum.

-Melissa

Billy Mason (E-Lists) wrote:
>
>
> Saw this on Radio Reference. Sounds like another job for Radi0 Avenger
> and her trusty sidekick Resistor.
>
> ..Billy..
>
> Feed: The RadioReference.com Forums - Texas Radio Discussion Forum
> Posted on: Saturday, July 18, 2009 13:24
> Author: scanaholic
> Subject: Scanner Noise
>
> In posting this, I am reminded of those Russian jamming stations of
> long ago, a person could hear on any sw radio, jamming American radio
> transmissions. The sound was like that of 1000 buzz saws all running
> at once.
>
> Lately, here in S.W. Abilene, we are being plauged with a noise not
> too different from those old jammers from the '50's, that moves slowly
> up and down the high band, almost every single day. It keeps me
> jumping locking out first one channel than another, as it wreaks havoc
> drifting slowly up and down the band. I sometimes wonder if an enemy
> country would be capable of jamming emergency frequencies with a
> satellite transmitter. Nothing would surprise me.
>
> It wasn't on yesterday, allowing us to use the radio. Today, it is
> back as ear ripping irritating and menacing as ever. I won't babysit
> or nurse a scanner locking and unlocking channels as this demonic
> noise rips a path of interference up and down the high band. The radio
> is turned off! :mad:
>
> View
> <http://forums.radioreference.com/texas-radio-discussion-forum/151949-scanner-noise.html
>
<http://forums.radioreference.com/texas-radio-discussion-forum/151949-scanner-noise.html>>
> article...
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30526. Weekly FCC grants July 12-18

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-19 10:51:45 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30526 Message: 30526

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30527. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-19 17:50:04 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 1986 Message: 30527

On Sunday, July 19, 2009 12:36, "Mike Brown" <mdbrown7321@...> said:

> Has a time been setup for a get together.

Good timing! I was just about to post.

The general consensus among those who expressed a preference is that a Sunday after 7pm is best. I'm looking at setting it up for next Sunday evening at 7pm, if that works for everyone.

Rob

30528. meetings get togethers and such !!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 02:26:18 UTC
From: deliveryguydfw
Topic: 30528 Message: 30528

Does anyone from this group have regular meetings ? such as a starbucks or Ihop or something like that . I think it would be nice to meet the other guys in the group and talk about scanning and such ....look at others radios and get ideas etc etc .

30529. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 02:46:38 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 1986 Message: 30529

Works for me, Rob. Thanks for taking the time to take the lead on this one! Work has had me swamped.

-Melissa

rob.davis@... wrote:
>
>
> On Sunday, July 19, 2009 12:36, "Mike Brown" <mdbrown7321@...
> <mailto:mdbrown7321%40yahoo.com>> said:
>
> > Has a time been setup for a get together.
>
> Good timing! I was just about to post.
>
> The general consensus among those who expressed a preference is that a
> Sunday after 7pm is best. I'm looking at setting it up for next Sunday
> evening at 7pm, if that works for everyone.
>
> Rob
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30530. All Quiet in Richardson!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 05:27:10 UTC
From: BT
Topic: 30530 Message: 30530

At least that is what the city would have us believe...kind a sucks not being able to keep tabs on our city employees there who are hard at work serving and protecting us good citizens...

Anyone else here have any comments or suggestions about what we can do to get our ears back on these guys?

30531. Re: [dfwscan] All Quiet in Richardson!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 05:34:10 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30530 Message: 30531

Since when can we not scan them?

Jason

From: BT <frank2288222003@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 10:27:10 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] All Quiet in Richardson!

At least that is what the city would have us believe...kind a sucks not being able to keep tabs on our city employees there who are hard at work serving and protecting us good citizens...

Anyone else here have any comments or suggestions about what we can do to get our ears back on these guys?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30532. Re: All Quiet in Richardson!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 05:44:04 UTC
From: BT
Topic: 30530 Message: 30532

Sorry Ya'll...
A little premature on my part...did'nt finish my homework...
Guess for now all I need to do is readjust my freqs due to their rebanding...
Apparantly that IS in the workings for them soon though...supposedly they will be just like DFW and un-scannable...that Will suck!

-- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> Since when can we not scan them?
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____
> From: BT <frank2288222003@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 10:27:10 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] All Quiet in Richardson!
>
>
> At least that is what the city would have us believe...kind a sucks not being able to keep tabs on our city employees there who are hard at work serving and protecting us good citizens...
>
> Anyone else here have any comments or suggestions about what we can do to get our ears back on these guys?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30533. Re: [dfwscan] Re: All Quiet in Richardson!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 05:47:46 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30530 Message: 30533

Oh really? When is this going to happen. I love scanning Richardson.

Jason

From: BT <frank2288222003@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 10:44:04 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: All Quiet in Richardson!

Sorry Ya'll...
A little premature on my part...did'nt finish my homework...
Guess for now all I need to do is readjust my freqs due to their rebanding...
Apparantly that IS in the workings for them soon though...supposedly they will be just like DFW and un-scannable. ..that Will suck!

-- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@ ...> wrote:
>
> Since when can we not scan them?
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> From: BT <frank2288222003@ ...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 10:27:10 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] All Quiet in Richardson!
>
>
> At least that is what the city would have us believe...kind a sucks not being able to keep tabs on our city employees there who are hard at work serving and protecting us good citizens...
>
> Anyone else here have any comments or suggestions about what we can do to get our ears back on these guys?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30534. Multialarm fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 16:31:35 UTC
From: Dan Renois
Topic: 30534 Message: 30534

Getting word of a multialarm fire at an assisted living on Yeager 79G
asking for EMS can anyone confirm?

Sent from my iPhone

30535. Re: [dfwscan] Multialarm fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 16:49:11 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30534 Message: 30535

2 alarm, 3 story. D'oh.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Dan Renois <danrenois@...>

Date: Mon, 20 Jul 2009 11:31:35
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Multialarm fire

Getting word of a multialarm fire at an assisted living on Yeager 79G
asking for EMS can anyone confirm?

Sent from my iPhone

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30536. Re: [dfwscan] Multialarm fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 16:48:49 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30534 Message: 30536

2716 Yeager, 3 alarm assisted living facility. Heavy smoke, evacs in progress. Per IPN.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Dan Renois <danrenois@...>

Date: Mon, 20 Jul 2009 11:31:35
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Multialarm fire

Getting word of a multialarm fire at an assisted living on Yeager 79G
asking for EMS can anyone confirm?

Sent from my iPhone

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30537. RE: [dfwscan] Re: All Quiet in Richardson!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 16:55:54 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30530 Message: 30537

I must've overlooked or not received the original posting on this topic.
What's the story? Has Richardson just dropped down 15 MHz or are they
implementing a new system which cannot be monitored? And if it is a new
system (or has become encrypted), are there any details on what they're
using? Thanks...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Jason Simpkins
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2009 12:48 AM
To: BT; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: All Quiet in Richardson!

Oh really? When is this going to happen. I love scanning Richardson.

Jason

_____
From: BT <frank2288222003@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 10:44:04 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: All Quiet in Richardson!

Sorry Ya'll...
A little premature on my part...did'nt finish my homework...
Guess for now all I need to do is readjust my freqs due to their
rebanding...
Apparantly that IS in the workings for them soon though...supposedly they
will be just like DFW and un-scannable. ..that Will suck!

-- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@ ...> wrote:
>
> Since when can we not scan them?
>
> Jason
>
>
>
>
> _____ _____ _____ __
> From: BT <frank2288222003@ ...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 10:27:10 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] All Quiet in Richardson!

>
>
> At least that is what the city would have us believe...kind a sucks not being able to keep tabs on our city employees there who are hard at work serving and protecting us good citizens...
>
> Anyone else here have any comments or suggestions about what we can do to get our ears back on these guys?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30538. Re: [dfwscan] Multialarm fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 16:54:35 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30534 Message: 30538

IPN has paged it, 2 alarm fire at a 3 sty assisted living apt building.
Evacs underway.

On Mon, Jul 20, 2009 at 11:31 AM, Dan Renois <danrenois@...> wrote:

>
>
> Getting word of a multialarm fire at an assisted living on Yeager 79G
> asking for EMS can anyone confirm?
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30539. Re: [dfwscan] Re: All Quiet in Richardson!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-20 17:36:38 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30530 Message: 30539

They just did the rebanding, they are not digital. A buddy of mine that does radio work for several cities in the area was doing the work & told me they would be completely finished with programming all the radios in Richardson by this week. I asked him if Richardson was going digital and he said there wasnt anything on his company's schedule for it any time soon. So they might be going digital, but havent gone so far as to put a work order in yet. Something like that is planned several months in advance so I dont think it will happen anytime soon (certainly not this year).

On Mon, Jul 20, 2009 at 11:55 AM, commscan <commscan-ftw@...>wrote:

>
>
>
> I must've overlooked or not received the original posting on this topic.
> What's the story? Has Richardson just dropped down 15 MHz or are they
> implementing a new system which cannot be monitored? And if it is a new
> system (or has become encrypted), are there any details on what they're
> using? Thanks...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:
> dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
> Jason Simpkins
> Sent: Monday, July 20, 2009 12:48 AM
> To: BT; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: All Quiet in Richardson!
>
> Oh really? When is this going to happen. I love scanning Richardson.
>
> Jason
>
> _____
> From: BT <frank2288222003@... <frank2288222003%40yahoo.com>>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 10:44:04 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: All Quiet in Richardson!
>
> Sorry Ya'll...
> A little premature on my part...did'nt finish my homework...
> Guess for now all I need to do is readjust my freqs due to their

> rebanding...
> Apparantly that IS in the workings for them soon though...supposedly they
> will be just like DFW and un-scannable. ..that Will suck!
>
> -- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@ ...> wrote:
> >
> > Since when can we not scan them?
> >
> > Jason
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____ _____ _____ __
> > From: BT <frank2288222003@ ...>
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> > Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2009 10:27:10 PM
> > Subject: [dfwscan] All Quiet in Richardson!
> >
> >
> > At least that is what the city would have us believe...kind a sucks not
> being able to keep tabs on our city employees there who are hard at work
> serving and protecting us good citizens...
> >
> > Anyone else here have any comments or suggestions about what we can do to
> get our ears back on these guys?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30540. Minor OT : New AMBER Alert for Texas (Statewide)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-21 04:14:35 UTC
From: Officer LeClair
Topic: 30540 Message: 30540

BULLETIN - EAS ACTIVATION REQUESTED
CHILD ABDUCTION EMERGENCY
TEXAS EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY BROWNSVILLE TEXAS
RELAYED BY NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE BROWNSVILLE TX
1047 PM CDT MON JUL 20 2009

...AMBER ALERT...

THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE IS TRANSMITTED AT THE REQUEST OF THE TEXAS DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY IN AUSTIN TEXAS.

THE PRIMERA POLICE DEPARTMENT IS SEARCHING FOR DIANA IZAGUIRRE...WHITE...FEMALE...14 YEARS OLD...BIRTHDATE DECEMBER 5TH, 1994...5 FEET TALL...120 POUNDS...BROWN EYES...BLACK HAIR...WEARING WHITE SLEEVELESS SHIRT WITH GRAY SHORTS AND HAS TATTOO ON RIGHT ANKLE.

POLICE ARE LOOKING FOR VICTOR GARCIA...WHITE...MALE...27 YEARS OLD...BIRTHDATE FEBRUARY 3RD, 1982...5 FEET 5 INCHES TALL...170 POUNDS...BROWN HAIR...BROWN EYES...WEARING A BLACK HOODED SWEATSHIRT...BLACK PANTS AND TATTOO ON NECK...IN HER ABDUCTION.

THE SUSPECT IS DRIVING A BLACK...UNKNOWN YEAR...MERCURY GRAND MARQUIS WITH UNKNOWN LICENSE PLATE NUMBER OF UNKNOWN NUMBER. THE SUSPECT WAS LAST HEARD FROM IN PRIMERA, TEXAS.

LAS ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS BELIEVE THIS CHILD TO BE IN GRAVE OR IMMEDIATE DANGER.

IF YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION REGARDING THIS ABDUCTION...CALL THE PRIMERA POLICE DEPARTMENT AT 956-544-0860.

NEW MEDIA POINT OF CONTACT IS PRIMERA POLICE DEPARTMENT AT 956-544-0860.

END OF MESSAGE.

30541. Br330t file?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-22 19:11:20 UTC
From: gregg.alemy
Topic: 30541 Message: 30541

does anyone have a br330t file for this area, preferably the tarrant county side?

Thanks

30542. Roanoake rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-22 21:09:16 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30542 Message: 30542

Just heard Hurst FD check enroute to 1600 blk of 377 in Roanoke for a rope rescue. Not sure if it's a drill or the real deal.
16:09
Ben

30543. Re: [dfwscan] Roanoake rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-22 21:18:07 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30542 Message: 30543

Was the real deal, but now everyone is being canceled. Roanoke extricated the patient already. 1687 Hwy 377.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30544. Roanoke Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-23 04:05:29 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30544 Message: 30544

http://www.star-telegram.com/804/story/1501394.html

30545. Re: Br330t file?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-24 04:05:09 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30541 Message: 30545

Greg, what software are you using? Any of the Uniden format *.usd files will work, & will import into BCTool or FreeScan. I'm in Dallas, so some of the smaller Tarrant County departments (the ones not on the trunked systems) are out of range for me. Since I can't verify them, I don't try to keep all of them current in my Tarrant County conventional system file.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "gregg.alemy" <gregg.alemy@...> wrote:
>
> does anyone have a br330t file for this area, preferably the tarrant county side?
>
> Thanks
>

30546. Anyone selling any scanners?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-25 16:47:56 UTC
From: gregg.alemy
Topic: 30546 Message: 30546

I am in the market for a new scanner, does anyone have anything for sale?

Thanks in advance

30547. Parker County Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-25 23:53:17 UTC
From: tajens2001
Topic: 30547 Message: 30547

What fire department covers the area 1/2 mile west of the Tarrant county line on Confederate Park Rd. Are they on the P25 or the old VHF.

Terry

30548. Re: Parker County Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 02:39:45 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 30547 Message: 30548

Hello Terry & the group

Silvercreek VFD covers that area, someone correct me if
I'm wrong. They are using P25 Trunk System, all the FD's
in Parker County are on it. The old VHF frequency is for
dispatch only.

Hope this helps

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "tajens2001" <tajens@...> wrote:
>
> What fire department covers the area 1/2 mile west of the Tarrant county line on Confederate Park Rd. Are they on the P25 or the old VHF.
>
> Terry
>

30549. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Parker County Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 02:51:05 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30547 Message: 30549

While we're talking Parker County, does anyone have a list of the fire department radio call/station number assignments? They no longer tone out the departments by name, now instead using station numbers, so it's become difficult to keep up with who is who.

Rob

30550. Tarrant County Fire Station Numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 03:00:19 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30550 Message: 30550

Since I'm asking for Parker (and Johnson) County fire station assignment numbers, I may as well offer something in return.

This is a list of all the Tarrant County fire department radio/station numbers, as dispatched by Tarrant County Fire Alarm:

Lake Worth 10
Mansfield 11
River Oaks 13
Saginaw 14
Newark 16
White Settlement 18
Everman 19
Hurst 20
Eagle Mountain 21
Forest Hill 23
Colleyville 24
Sansom Park 25
Rendon 26
Haslet 28
Cresson 30
Blue Mound 32
Briar 33
Pelican Bay 34
Edgecliff Village 35
Westworth Village 41
TC Fire Support 45
Azle 52
BenBrook 53
Crowley 54
Kennedale 59
Roanoke 60
Burleson 71
Aledo A34

30551. Re: Parker County Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 03:22:17 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30547 Message: 30551

I'm not sure if this is totally accurate.
It is what I have compiled from listening.

Adell-Whitt 45
Aledo 34
Azle 52
Brock-Dennis 39
Central 55
Cool-Garner 43
Horseshoe Bend 53
Greenwood 41
Hudson Oaks 36
La Junta 51
Millsap 42
Mountain River 57
Peaster 46
Poolville 47
Reno 49
Silver Creek 54
Springtown ?
Tin Top 37
Willow Park 35

Tom

30552. Does anyone have a Pro-160? Need some help...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 03:32:07 UTC
From: gregg.alemy
Topic: 30552 Message: 30552

Does anyone have a pro-160 on here? I am needing some help with it, thanks in advance.

30553. Re: Does anyone have a Pro-160? Need some help...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 04:07:10 UTC
From: Doug
Topic: 30552 Message: 30553

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "gregg.alemy" <gregg.alemy@...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a pro-160 on here? I am needing some help with it, thanks in advance.
>

What type of help do you need?

Doug

30554. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 04:10:35 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 1986 Message: 30554

Did these plans ever gel? I don't recall seeing a final message.

>
>> Has a time been setup for a get together.
>>
>
> Good timing! I was just about to post.
>
> The general consensus among those who expressed a preference is that a Sunday after 7pm is best. I'm looking at setting it up for next Sunday evening at 7pm, if that works for everyone.
>

30555. Re: Tarrant County Fire Station Numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 04:43:11 UTC
From: Michael Stuart
Topic: 30550 Message: 30555

To add to Tom's list.

For Parker County

Parker County Fire Unit IDs

3300 County Fire Marshal's Office/Emergency Management
3400 Aledo (East)
3500 Willow Park (East)
3600 Hudson Oaks (East)
3700 Tin Top (South)
3900 Brock/Dennis (Southwest)
4100 Greenwood (West)
4200 Millsap (Southwest)
4300 Cool/Garner (West)
4500 Adel/Whitt (Northwest)
4600 Peaster (North/Northwest)
4700 Poolville (North)
4800 Springtown (Northeast)
4900 Reno (Northeast)
5100 LaJunta (Northeast)
5300 Horseshoe Bend (South)
5400 Silver Creek (Northeast)
5500 Central Community (North)
5700 Mountain River (Southwest)

For Wise County, if anyone wants it.
1100 Alvord FD
1200 Boyd FD
1300 Bridgeport FD
1400 Chico FD
1500 Decatur FD
1600 Newark FD
1700 Rhome FD
1800 Paradise FD
1900 Crafton FD
2100 Slidell / Greenwood FD
2200 Boonsville FD
2300 Lake Bridgeport FD
2400 Runaway Bay FD
2500 Cottondale FD
2600 East Wise Fire Rescue
2700 Sid Richardson Scout Ranch FD
2800 Salt Creek FD
2900 Sand Flat FD

Hope that helps

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> Since I'm asking for Parker (and Johnson) County fire station assignment numbers, I may as well offer something in return.
>

30556. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 04:48:29 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 1986 Message: 30556

> Did these plans ever gel? I don't recall seeing a final message.

Sorry, guys. I got sidetracked with some personal affairs. I apologise.

Let's confirm this for next Sunday at 6pm. I'll find a central location for us ASAP.

Rob

30557. Re: Tarrant County Fire Station Numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 05:53:40 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30550 Message: 30557

Excellent, Tom and Michael! This is extremely helpful information! If one of our Johnson County friends can get this info for us too, that would be awesome.

Ellis County, Navarro County, and Bosque County use names, and not numbers, so they're not a problem.

Hill County and Hood County have started using numbers almost exclusively though, so I'd like to get theirs too, if anyone has access.

Rob

On Saturday, July 25, 2009 23:43, "Michael Stuart" <aa5st@...> said:

> To add to Tom's list.
>
> For Parker County
>
> Parker County Fire Unit IDs
>
> 3300 County Fire Marshal's Office/Emergency Management
> 3400 Aledo (East)
> 3500 Willow Park (East)
> 3600 Hudson Oaks (East)
> 3700 Tin Top (South)
> 3900 Brock/Dennis (Southwest)
> 4100 Greenwood (West)
> 4200 Millsap (Southwest)
> 4300 Cool/Garner (West)
> 4500 Adel/Whitt (Northwest)
> 4600 Peaster (North/Northwest)
> 4700 Poolville (North)
> 4800 Springtown (Northeast)
> 4900 Reno (Northeast)
> 5100 LaJunta (Northeast)
> 5300 Horseshoe Bend (South)
> 5400 Silver Creek (Northeast)
> 5500 Central Community (North)
> 5700 Mountain River (Southwest)
>
> For Wise County, if anyone wants it.
> 1100 Alvord FD
> 1200 Boyd FD
> 1300 Bridgeport FD
> 1400 Chico FD
> 1500 Decatur FD
> 1600 Newark FD

> 1700 Rhome FD
> 1800 Paradise FD
> 1900 Crafton FD
> 2100 Slidell / Greenwood FD
> 2200 Boonsville FD
> 2300 Lake Bridgeport FD
> 2400 Runaway Bay FD
> 2500 Cottondale FD
> 2600 East Wise Fire Rescue
> 2700 Sid Richardson Scout Ranch FD
> 2800 Salt Creek FD
> 2900 Sand Flat FD
>
> Hope that helps
>
> Michael Stuart - AA5ST
> Azle, Texas - Parker County
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>>
>> Since I'm asking for Parker (and Johnson) County fire station assignment numbers,
>> I may as well offer something in return.
>>
>
>
>

30558. Weekly FCC grants July 19-25

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 10:48:50 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30558 Message: 30558

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30559. RE: [dfwscan] Weekly FCC grants July 19-25

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 11:50:17 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30558 Message: 30559

Note the Dallas LG system is included with rebanded frequencies.

I think we'll see Irving rebanded soon too. I've been hearing lots of radio service chatter on their system.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30560. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Parker County Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 12:40:46 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30547 Message: 30560

Thanks Rob, Tom, and Michael.

I've updated my consolidated list at

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/fireunits2.htm

Ben Saladino, KC5IRJ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30561. Re: Tarrant County Fire Station Numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 13:56:19 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 30550 Message: 30561

Here ya go Rob....and group.....

Station 70 - Bono VFD
Station 72 - Venus VFD
Station 73 - Briaroaks VFD
Station 74 - Godley VFD
Station 75 - Grandview VFD
Station 76 - Rio Vista VFD
Station 77 - Joshua VFD
Station 78 - Keene FD
Station 79 - Alavarado FD
Station 80 - Liberty Chapel VFD
Station 81 - formerly Nolan VFD (they been closed for several yrs)
Station 82 - Lillian VFD
Station 83 - Mid North VFD
Station 84 - Blue Water Oaks VFD

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> Excellent, Tom and Michael! This is extremely helpful information! If one of our Johnson County friends can get this info for us too, that would be awesome.
>
> Ellis County, Navarro County, and Bosque County use names, and not numbers, so they're not a problem.
>
> Hill County and Hood County have started using numbers almost exclusively though, so I'd like to get theirs too, if anyone has access.
>
>
> Rob
>
>
> On Saturday, July 25, 2009 23:43, "Michael Stuart" <aa5st@...> said:
>
> > To add to Tom's list.
> >
> > For Parker County
> >
> > Parker County Fire Unit IDs
> >
> > 3300 County Fire Marshal's Office/Emergency Management
> > 3400 Aledo (East)
> > 3500 Willow Park (East)
> > 3600 Hudson Oaks (East)

> > 3700 Tin Top (South)
> > 3900 Brock/Dennis (Southwest)
> > 4100 Greenwood (West)
> > 4200 Millsap (Southwest)
> > 4300 Cool/Garner (West)
> > 4500 Adel/Whitt (Northwest)
> > 4600 Peaster (North/Northwest)
> > 4700 Poolville (North)
> > 4800 Springtown (Northeast)
> > 4900 Reno (Northeast)
> > 5100 LaJunta (Northeast)
> > 5300 Horseshoe Bend (South)
> > 5400 Silver Creek (Northeast)
> > 5500 Central Community (North)
> > 5700 Mountain River (Southwest)
> >
> > For Wise County, if anyone wants it.
> > 1100 Alvord FD
> > 1200 Boyd FD
> > 1300 Bridgeport FD
> > 1400 Chico FD
> > 1500 Decatur FD
> > 1600 Newark FD
> > 1700 Rhome FD
> > 1800 Paradise FD
> > 1900 Crafton FD
> > 2100 Slidell / Greenwood FD
> > 2200 Boonsville FD
> > 2300 Lake Bridgeport FD
> > 2400 Runaway Bay FD
> > 2500 Cottondale FD
> > 2600 East Wise Fire Rescue
> > 2700 Sid Richardson Scout Ranch FD
> > 2800 Salt Creek FD
> > 2900 Sand Flat FD
> >
> > Hope that helps
> >
> > Michael Stuart - AA5ST
> > Azle, Texas - Parker County
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@" <rob.davis@> wrote:
> >>
> >> Since I'm asking for Parker (and Johnson) County fire station assignment numbers,
> >> I may as well offer something in return.
> >>
> >
> >
> >
>

30562. Interesting article

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 14:21:10 UTC
From: eefudd
Topic: 9143 Message: 30562

http://www.chicagotribune.com/news/local/chi-police-radios-w-zone-22-jul22,0,2159422.story

30563. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Parker County Fire Departments

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 14:52:41 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30547 Message: 30563

If anybody wants Palo Pinto County it it here:
<http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Palo_Pinto_County_%28TX%29>

Tom

30564. Re: Tarrant County Fire Station Numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 15:00:55 UTC
From: afm108
Topic: 30550 Message: 30564

Rob,

I have a connection at Hillsboro FD, I will contact him and see if he has a listing for Hill Co for you.

Stay safe..
Randy

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> wrote:
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@" <rob.davis@> wrote:
> >
> > Hill County and Hood County have started using numbers almost exclusively though, so I'd like to get theirs too, if anyone has access.

30565. Re: Tarrant County Fire Station Numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 18:29:19 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30550 Message: 30565

Thanks, Chris!

Anyone know what became of Nolan's new fire station they opened about 10 years ago? I remember when they first formed in the late 80s, running out of a farm implement shop.

And where is Blue Water Oaks located?

Rob

On Sunday, July 26, 2009 08:56, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@live.com> said:

> Here ya go Rob....and group.....

30566. Re: Tarrant County Fire Station Numbers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-26 19:37:02 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 30550 Message: 30566

Nolan's station is still standing in the same location. (FM 4 and CR 1124)

Not sure what if anything it is being used for. They shut down
do to low call volume. Their never has been a helluva lot of calls
down in that area. Their fire district is now split between
Godley VFD and Bono FD.

Blue Water Oaks VFD is located at 295 Chambers Dr. in a small
community on the SW side of Alvarado Lake called Blue Water Oaks.
They are another VFD that doesn't get a lot of calls. But what
keeps them in business is that being there in BWO their response
time would be much faster than say Alvarado FD. It's almost 12
miles to Alvarado, combine that with the fact that BWO is quite
remote. You'll see what I mean when you pull it up on a map.

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> Thanks, Chris!
>
> Anyone know what became of Nolan's new fire station they opened about 10 years ago? I
remember when they first formed in the late 80s, running out of a farm implement shop.
>
> And where is Blue Water Oaks located?
>
> Rob
>
>
> On Sunday, July 26, 2009 08:56, "Chris Easley" <ceasley76@...> said:
>
> > Here ya go Rob....and group.....
>

30567. Plano PD Disp 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-28 01:29:39 UTC
From: Todd P. Stone
Topic: 30567 Message: 30567

Sounds like Plano has some deal working on Dispatch 2 with a perimeter and some rifles deployed.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30568. What is Plano working?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-28 01:54:46 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30568 Message: 30568

I am listening now. Anyone know where and what it is?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30569. Re: [dfwscan] Alert 3 at Alliance

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-28 13:08:30 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30504 Message: 30569

Just saw this on NBC's website and remembered this email. Thought Id reply
in case anyone hadnt seen the story:

http://www.nbcdfw.com/news/local/Mechanics-Error-May-Have-Caused-Jet-Oops.html

Weird thing though, the original email was sent on July 10th, but the
picture caption says it happened on July 15th. There werent two of these in
one week were there?

On Fri, Jul 10, 2009 at 5:21 PM, Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...>wrote:

>
>
> Media folks,
>
> FWFD just put out an Alert 3 for Alliance Airport, but they're holding
> station 35 units only. FDCH6/1968 I haven't heard what happened.
>
> 17:20
> Ben
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30570. Lockheed F-35 Ops

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-28 16:58:01 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30570 Message: 30570

I saw on NBC 5 yesterday that Lockheed is rolling out (whatever that means) their F-35 today. I'm not sure if they're going to actually fly it, but it's coming out. This is the 5th Generation Stealth Fighter Lightning II according to NBC 5 and there are only two currently made.

Anyways, the ops are on 123.175 now. This is a new frequency to me that I heard Lockheed using a few months back. It might make for some interesting listening.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30571. New Navy Ground Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-28 21:03:05 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30571 Message: 30571

I cannot remember if I mentioned this here or not. I don't recall how many months ago I came across this, but NASFW has been using 121.675 as their Ground VHF frequency lately. It may have been when they had the last airshow (I didn't get to attend) when I first found this frequency. If memory serves me right, it was being used as the Air Boss frequency then. I think I posted a message on the frequency here on the list back then?

I really seldom listen to the VHF side of the Tower and Ground channels so I forgot about this one. I haven't checked to see if the 126.4 VHF Ground frequency that has been/was used for years is still good or not. And Airnav.com doesn't show this new frequency yet; they still show 126.4. 121.675 is a simulcast with 254.325 too

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30572. RE: [dfwscan] New Navy Ground Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-28 21:43:33 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 30571 Message: 30572

Good catch Brian. I have heard commercial airlines calling Ft. Worth Center recently on 126.4 so I guess they changed frequencies because it was conflicting in the area. When I am near the base I have had VHF ground locked out anyway because I get interference and so I just listen to the UHF side. I'll try the new VHF and maybe the interference will go away as well! Thanks!

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2009 4:03 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] New Navy Ground Frequency

I cannot remember if I mentioned this here or not. I don't recall how many months ago I came across this, but NASFW has been using 121.675 as their Ground VHF frequency lately. It may have been when they had the last airshow (I didn't get to attend) when I first found this frequency. If memory serves me right, it was being used as the Air Boss frequency then. I think I posted a message on the frequency here on the list back then?

I really seldom listen to the VHF side of the Tower and Ground channels so I forgot about this one. I haven't checked to see if the 126.4 VHF Ground frequency that has been/was used for years is still good or not. And Airnav.com doesn't show this new frequency yet; they still show 126.4. 121.675 is a simulcast with 254.325 too

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4286 (20090728) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4286 (20090728) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30573. DPD Star Car

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-28 23:52:43 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 30573 Message: 30573

In Dallas PD terms, what is a "Star Car"? As in (unit to dispatcher), "Register me as a Star Car".

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30574. RE: [dfwscan] New Navy Ground Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-29 01:35:11 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30571 Message: 30574

I too remember experiencing some interference on the (probably old now) VHF Ground 126.4 frequency. I'm wondering if the base might have had the same problem and that's why they switched. They didn't change the Tower frequency, just the Ground one :)

Thanks for the info on what you've heard on 126.4 lately Brandon.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Brandon Thetford [mailto:thetford569@...]
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2009 4:44 PM
To: 'commscan'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] New Navy Ground Frequency

Good catch Brian. I have heard commercial airlines calling Ft. Worth Center recently on 126.4 so I guess they changed frequencies because it was conflicting in the area. When I am near the base I have had VHF ground locked out anyway because I get interference and so I just listen to the UHF side. I'll try the new VHF and maybe the interference will go away as well! Thanks!

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2009 4:03 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] New Navy Ground Frequency

I cannot remember if I mentioned this here or not. I don't recall how many months ago I came across this, but NASFW has been using 121.675 as their Ground VHF frequency lately. It may have been when they had the last airshow (I didn't get to attend) when I first found this frequency. If memory serves me right, it was being used as the Air Boss frequency then. I think I posted a message on the frequency here on the list back then?

I really seldom listen to the VHF side of the Tower and Ground channels so I forgot about this one. I haven't checked to see if the 126.4 VHF Ground frequency that has been/was used for years is still good or not. And Airnav.com doesn't show this new frequency yet; they still show 126.4. 121.675 is a simulcast with 254.325 too

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4286 (20090728) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4286 (20090728) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30575. Get Together - Sunday 8/2 - 6pm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-29 23:49:49 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30575 Message: 30575

Okay guys, here's the deal:

Chili's
924 Copeland Road
Arlington, Texas
6pm

It's pretty much at the corner of Interstate 30 and Collins St. It's right next door to the old Ken's Wing House (now closed) that we had the last two get-togethers at. We've got the patio reserved for us. Yes, I know there is a Ranger game that night, but it shouldn't be bad traffic, knock wood.

Bring your appetite. Bring your radios. Bring your laptops. Bring your porn. See you there!

Rob

30576. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together - Sunday 8/2 - 6pm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-30 16:30:34 UTC
From: K Jack
Topic: 30575 Message: 30576

Hey y'all. Looking forward to Sunday night. I just received my BCD396XT today but I have no freaking idea how to use it. Is anyone willing to show up 15 min early to give me a quick rundown?

Thanks,

Ken

--- On Wed, 7/29/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...> wrote:

From: rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Get Together - Sunday 8/2 - 6pm
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2009, 7:49 PM

Okay guys, here's the deal:

Chili's
924 Copeland Road
Arlington, Texas
6pm

It's pretty much at the corner of Interstate 30 and Collins St. It's right next door to the old Ken's Wing House (now closed) that we had the last two get-togethers at. We've got the patio reserved for us. Yes, I know there is a Ranger game that night, but it shouldn't be bad traffic, knock wood.

Bring your appetite. Bring your radios. Bring your laptops. Bring your porn. See you there!

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30577. Anyone have any p96 files?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-30 18:35:02 UTC
From: gregg.alemy
Topic: 30577 Message: 30577

I am looking to see if anyone has any p96 files they would like to share.

Thanks
gregg

30578. Re: [dfwscan] Get Together - Sunday 8/2 - 6pm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-30 19:22:47 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30575 Message: 30578

On Thursday, July 30, 2009 11:30, "K Jack" <kjackvt@...> said:

> Hey y'all. Looking forward to Sunday night. I just received my BCD396XT today but
> I have no freaking idea how to use it. Is anyone willing to show up 15 min
> early to give me a quick rundown?

LOL@15 minutes

I've had mine for fifteen months, and I still haven't figured it out.

30579. OT: Contest Help Needed

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-31 21:27:56 UTC
From: David W. Carroll
Topic: 30579 Message: 30579

Hi fellow list members.

I am hoping you won't mind this off-topic post. I have been a member of this list for 11 years and this is my first OT post. Hopefully you won't mind.

I helped my kids put together a video for a contest being held by the Yogi Bear Jellystone Park Campground in celebration of their 40th anniversay.

The grand prize is $10,000 (us) and we would like to win. (my kids need shoes!)

WE NEED VOTES!

Please visit the following link and vote for our video.

http://jellystonefun.com/videos?id=101

THANKS for your support!

David Carroll

Tech stuff...

We shot the video with the Panasonic HMC-150, put together the basic edit in iMovie (so we could use their scrapbook theme), then we brought it into Final Cut Pro 7 to adjust things, add sound, etc.

The final project came out to 144MB, but the contest required a file no larger than 20MB. Yikes!

The new YouTube converter in Compressor was AMAZING! I was able to get the file down to 19MB and it looked awesome.

Then, the contest folks converted it to flash and made it look like crap!

If you'd like to see the HD version of the clip, it is here: http://vimeo.com/5782354

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30580. REMINDER: Get Together - Sunday, 6pm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-07-31 21:58:25 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30580 Message: 30580

Just a reminder of the DFWSCAN get together this Sunday evening, 2 August, at 6pm.

Chili's
924 E. Copeland Rd
Arlington

Southeast corner of I-30 and Collins St.

The patio is reserved for us.

30581. Need some help with TXDPS P25 channel lineup

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-01 02:38:36 UTC
From: gregg.alemy
Topic: 30581 Message: 30581

can some one help me with programming the txdps p25 system in a pro96, I have the arc96 software

Thanks

30582. REMINDER: Get Together Tonight 8/2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-02 10:51:24 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30582 Message: 30582

Final reminder of the DFWSCAN get together this Sunday evening, 2 August, at 6pm.

Chili's
924 E. Copeland Rd
Arlington

Southeast corner of I-30 and Collins St.

The patio is reserved for us.

30583. Weekly FCC grants July 25-August 01

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-02 14:11:25 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30583 Message: 30583

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30584. Re: FEMA Exercise labeled NLE 09

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-02 20:39:20 UTC
From: Lee
Topic: 30500 Message: 30584

Any word on how this went? Any details, findings, etc?

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Officer LeClair" <moar_hs@...> wrote:
>
> Check the site. This could get interesteing.
>
> http://www.fema.gov/media/fact_sheets/nle09.shtm
>

30585. DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 01:35:53 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 30585 Message: 30585

Some time ago, the following link was posted:

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm

I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their function?

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30586. Re: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 04:44:58 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30585 Message: 30586

While we are on this subject, what is this FUSION I keep hearing on calls? A few weeks ago when someone stole $20K in a robbery on RL Thornton & fled in a taxi there were two or three units all asking to make sure fusion was notified. Ive heard them a few more times but dont recall the specifics of the incident.

On Sun, Aug 2, 2009 at 8:35 PM, Lee Shell <leebshell@...> wrote:

>
>
> Some time ago, the following link was posted:
>
> http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm
>
> I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their
> function?
>
> --
> Lee B Shell
> Dallas
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30587. RE: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 04:47:01 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 30585 Message: 30587

http://tiny.cc/2KXpX

Check out the link above.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Andrew Tucker
Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2009 11:45 PM
To: Lee Shell
Cc: DFW Scanning List
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

While we are on this subject, what is this FUSION I keep hearing on calls? A few weeks ago when someone stole $20K in a robbery on RL Thornton & fled in a taxi there were two or three units all asking to make sure fusion was notified. Ive heard them a few more times but dont recall the specifics of the incident.

On Sun, Aug 2, 2009 at 8:35 PM, Lee Shell <leebshell@... <mailto:leebshell%40yahoo.com> > wrote:

>
>
> Some time ago, the following link was posted:
>
> http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm
>
> I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their
> function?
>
> --
> Lee B Shell
> Dallas
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30588. RE: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 04:51:37 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 30585 Message: 30588

http://tinyurl.com/np8mal ☺ This will work too.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Andrew Tucker
Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2009 11:45 PM
To: Lee Shell
Cc: DFW Scanning List
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

While we are on this subject, what is this FUSION I keep hearing on calls? A few weeks ago when someone stole $20K in a robbery on RL Thornton & fled in a taxi there were two or three units all asking to make sure fusion was notified. Ive heard them a few more times but dont recall the specifics of the incident.

On Sun, Aug 2, 2009 at 8:35 PM, Lee Shell <leebshell@... <mailto:leebshell%40yahoo.com> > wrote:

>
>
> Some time ago, the following link was posted:
>
> http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm
>
> I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their
> function?
>
> --
> Lee B Shell
> Dallas
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30589. Re: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 04:58:09 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30585 Message: 30589

Oh that stupid google thing again? Well here's the deal with google: IT
OFTEN RETURNS VERY OUTDATED INFO THAT CONTRADICTS OTHER INFO AND NONE OF IT
MATCHES WHAT IS BEING HEARD ANYMORE.

Lee is the most unhelpful person on this list.

On Sun, Aug 2, 2009 at 11:47 PM, tvsjr <tvsjr@...> wrote:

> http://tiny.cc/2KXpX
>
>
>
> Check out the link above.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> *From:* dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] *On
> Behalf Of *Andrew Tucker
> *Sent:* Sunday, August 02, 2009 11:45 PM
> *To:* Lee Shell
> *Cc:* DFW Scanning List
> *Subject:* Re: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification
>
>
>
>
>
>
> While we are on this subject, what is this FUSION I keep hearing on calls?
> A
> few weeks ago when someone stole $20K in a robbery on RL Thornton & fled in
> a taxi there were two or three units all asking to make sure fusion was
> notified. Ive heard them a few more times but dont recall the specifics of
> the incident.
>
> On Sun, Aug 2, 2009 at 8:35 PM, Lee Shell <leebshell@...<leebshell%40yahoo.com>>
> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Some time ago, the following link was posted:
> > >
> > > http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm
> > >
> > > I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their

> > function?
> >
> > --
> > Lee B Shell
> > Dallas
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30590. RE: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 05:02:19 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 30585 Message: 30590

Well, that's not very nice. Lee posted the original question - he didn't suggest you Google anything.

And, yes, sometimes Google returns outdated information. Sometimes. In this case, the first return is a FUSION/MOSAIC bulletin. the second is a lengthy Dallas News article specifically regarding the FUSION Center which was written May 2009. Do you consider this outdated?

I thought I was being pretty helpful, personally.

Signed,

Not Lee

From: Andrew Tucker [mailto:dfw333@...]
Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2009 11:58 PM
To: tvsjr
Cc: Lee Shell; DFW Scanning List
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

Oh that stupid google thing again? Well here's the deal with google: IT OFTEN RETURNS VERY OUTDATED INFO THAT CONTRADICTS OTHER INFO AND NONE OF IT MATCHES WHAT IS BEING HEARD ANYMORE.

Lee is the most unhelpful person on this list.

On Sun, Aug 2, 2009 at 11:47 PM, tvsjr <tvsjr@...> wrote:

http://tiny.cc/2KXpX

Check out the link above.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Andrew Tucker
Sent: Sunday, August 02, 2009 11:45 PM
To: Lee Shell
Cc: DFW Scanning List
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

While we are on this subject, what is this FUSION I keep hearing on calls? A few weeks ago when someone stole $20K in a robbery on RL Thornton & fled in a taxi there were two or three units all asking to make sure fusion was notified. Ive heard them a few more times but dont recall the specifics of the incident.

On Sun, Aug 2, 2009 at 8:35 PM, Lee Shell <leebshell@...
<mailto:leebshell%40yahoo.com> > wrote:

>
>
> Some time ago, the following link was posted:
>
> http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm
>
> I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their
> function?
>
> --
> Lee B Shell
> Dallas
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30591. Re: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 05:05:45 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30585 Message: 30591

Oops sorry, whoever posted the google links is the most unhelpful person on this list. Again, sorry Lee.

And when people ask a question they generally expect an answer, not to be told to find out yourself. No wonder so many American Companies are going to hell: Customer service has gone to shit in every aspect of our lives.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30592. Re: DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 05:24:21 UTC
From: BT
Topic: 30585 Message: 30592

ok mr. tucker...its time for you to start keeping your crap to yourself and retire to the lurking mode...you are only repeatedly proving how much of a moron that you apparantly are...!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Oops sorry, whoever posted the google links is the most unhelpful person on
> this list. Again, sorry Lee.
>
> And when people ask a question they generally expect an answer, not to be
> told to find out yourself. No wonder so many American Companies are going to
> hell: Customer service has gone to shit in every aspect of our lives.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30593. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 05:35:42 UTC
From: P L
Topic: 30585 Message: 30593

Ok boys, put your swords away. This is not a pissing contest. One side is saying that someone was lazy and didn't want to do the leg work, the other side was wondering if someone on the list had some knowledge that the rest didn't. Retire the fight, both sides win!!!!

From: BT <frank2288222003@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 3, 2009 12:24:21 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

ok mr. tucker...its time for you to start keeping your crap to yourself and retire to the lurking mode...you are only repeatedly proving how much of a moron that you apparantly are...!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Oops sorry, whoever posted the google links is the most unhelpful person on
> this list. Again, sorry Lee.
>
> And when people ask a question they generally expect an answer, not to be
> told to find out yourself. No wonder so many American Companies are going to
> hell: Customer service has gone to shit in every aspect of our lives.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30594. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 05:43:29 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30585 Message: 30594

Wow, you really have poor customer service!!!!

Terry

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: P L <moar_hs@yahoo.com>

Date: Sun, 2 Aug 2009 22:35:42
To: Scan Crew<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

Ok boys, put your swords away. This is not a pissing contest. One side is saying that someone was lazy and didn't want to do the leg work, the other side was wondering if someone on the list had some knowledge that the rest didn't. Retire the fight, both sides win!!!!

From: BT <frank2288222003@yahoo.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 3, 2009 12:24:21 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

ok mr. tucker...its time for you to start keeping your crap to yourself and retire to the lurking mode...you are only repeatedly proving how much of a moron that you apparantly are...!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Oops sorry, whoever posted the google links is the most unhelpful person on
> this list. Again, sorry Lee.
>
> And when people ask a question they generally expect an answer, not to be
> told to find out yourself. No wonder so many American Companies are going to
> hell: Customer service has gone to shit in every aspect of our lives.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30595. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 05:48:33 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30585 Message: 30595

Im sorry for losing my temper and going on a rant. It just drives me insane when people give me or anyone else the run around. Im the type of person that will make every attempt to personally answer any questions or problems people have, if I dont know it then I go with them to find out. I realize that not everyone has that passion for helping people and sometimes I get pissed off at what I think is laziness whether or not the person was actually genuinly trying to help.

So again, Im sorry Lee, tvsjr, BT and anyone else that I might have offended. Please forgive me.

Thanks
Andrew

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30596. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 05:49:12 UTC
From: producer 5,6,10
Topic: 30585 Message: 30596

You sound like a bunch of democrats fighting over whether to bankrupt America first then raise taxes, or the other way around.

--- On Mon, 8/3/09, terry@... <terry@...> wrote:

From: terry@... <terry@tvssr.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification
To: "P L" <moar_hs@yahoo.com>, dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Monday, August 3, 2009, 12:43 AM

Wow, you really have poor customer service!!!!

Terry

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: P L <moar_hs@...>

Date: Sun, 2 Aug 2009 22:35:42
To: Scan Crew<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

Ok boys, put your swords away. This is not a pissing contest. One side is saying that someone was lazy and didn't want to do the leg work, the other side was wondering if someone on the list had some knowledge that the rest didn't. Retire the fight, both sides win!!!!

_____
From: BT <frank2288222003@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 3, 2009 12:24:21 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

ok mr. tucker...its time for you to start keeping your crap to yourself and retire to the lurking mode...you are only repeatedly proving how much of a moron that you apparantly are...!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Oops sorry, whoever posted the google links is the most unhelpful person on
> this list. Again, sorry Lee.
>
> And when people ask a question they generally expect an answer, not to be
> told to find out yourself. No wonder so many American Companies are going to
> hell: Customer service has gone to shit in every aspect of our lives.
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30597. Re: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 10:45:20 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30585 Message: 30597

Lee, those units are not listed on an early official list I have. However I believe they are deployment. Those are what use to be officers assigned to various patrol divisions but work covert.

Recently the chief decided to move those guys (or most of them) back into uniform. For what I get out of talking to them they are still working more of a special assignment type detail targeting high crime areas etc. At times they still go covert but only when there's one issue to concentrate on.

Once again, that's what I think those numbers are. I monitor so much while working my ears really only perk up for folks like homicide.

Terry

------Original Message------
From: Lee Shell
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: DFW Scanning List
Sent: Aug 2, 2009 20:35
Subject: [dfwscan] DPD Unit Identification

Some time ago, the following link was posted:

http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm

I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their function?

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

30598. RE: DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 12:43:30 UTC
From: nx2x50
Topic: 30585 Message: 30598

.
Lee Shell :
> I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their function?
Communications Service Desk claims "they're training on new MDTs"
..
...
....
.....
......

30599. Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 16:57:17 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 30599 Message: 30599

I just heard Dallas PD North east deployment on the Dallas trunk system say "Lets switch to the new channel" So I quickly hit ID Search on my scanner and they came up on talk group ID # 22656. They are normally on 9072. I have both programed. I'll listen to see which one they use.

Jay Pinkerton
jay@...
KE5FMS

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30600. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 23:26:13 UTC
From: S S
Topic: 30599 Message: 30600

Pardon my ignorance but which Dallas trunked system are you referring to?
I'd like to add it to my list of scanned systems. I just have the
conventional DPD frequencies entered in my PSR-500.

Thanks.

On Mon, Aug 3, 2009 at 11:57 AM, Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:

> I just heard Dallas PD North east deployment on the Dallas trunk system
> say "Lets switch to the new channel" So I quickly hit ID Search on my
> scanner and they came up on talk group ID # 22656. They are normally on
> 9072. I have both programed. I'll listen to see which one they use.
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> jay@...
> KE5FMS
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

--

Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the democrats
believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30601. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 23:47:43 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30599 Message: 30601

Check radio reference for dallas local govt trunked system for data.

Terry
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: S S <ske1eter@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, 3 Aug 2009 18:26:13
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Pardon my ignorance but which Dallas trunked system are you referring to?
I'd like to add it to my list of scanned systems. I just have the
conventional DPD frequencies entered in my PSR-500.

Thanks.

On Mon, Aug 3, 2009 at 11:57 AM, Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@swbell.net> wrote:

> I just heard Dallas PD North east deployment on the Dallas trunk system
> say "Lets switch to the new channel" So I quickly hit ID Search on my
> scanner and they came up on talk group ID # 22656. They are normally on
> 9072. I have both programed. I'll listen to see which one they use.
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> jay@jaypinkerton.net
> KE5FMS
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

--

Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the democrats
believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30602. Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-03 23:50:58 UTC
From: Chris Easley
Topic: 30599 Message: 30602

Jay was referring to this system:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18
<http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>

It's listed on RadioReference as 'Dallas City Local Government'

And it is used for:

".....all of the City of Dallas City Services and miscellaneous
support departments.
The Dallas Police Department also uses this system for detectives"

Chris

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, S S <ske1eter@...> wrote:
>
> Pardon my ignorance but which Dallas trunked system are you referring
to?
> I'd like to add it to my list of scanned systems. I just have the
> conventional DPD frequencies entered in my PSR-500.
>
> Thanks.
>
> On Mon, Aug 3, 2009 at 11:57 AM, Jay Pinkerton jaypink@... wrote:
>
> > I just heard Dallas PD North east deployment on the Dallas trunk
system
> > say "Lets switch to the new channel" So I quickly hit ID Search on
my
> > scanner and they came up on talk group ID # 22656. They are
normally on
> > 9072. I have both programed. I'll listen to see which one they
use.
> >
> > Jay Pinkerton
> > jay@...
> > KE5FMS
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:

> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> --
> Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the democrats
> believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30603. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-04 00:04:47 UTC
From: S S
Topic: 30599 Message: 30603

Thanks for the quick response guys. I appreciate it.

--

Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the democrats
believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30604. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-04 15:39:51 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 30599 Message: 30604

Yes, this is the system. This my favorite to listen too.

Still hearing North East Detectives on Talk group ID # 22656 this
morning. Been on this ID since yesterday. I have not heard them on
9072 since yesterdays post.

Should I send the info to Radio Reference?

Jay

Chris Easley wrote:
>
>
> Jay was referring to this system:
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>>
>
> It's listed on RadioReference as 'Dallas City Local Government'
>
> And it is used for:
>
> "........all of the City of Dallas City Services and miscellaneous
> support departments.
> The Dallas Police Department also uses this system for detectives"
>
> Chris
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, S S
> <ske1eter@...> wrote:
> >
> > Pardon my ignorance but which Dallas trunked system are you referring
> to?
> > I'd like to add it to my list of scanned systems. I just have the
> > conventional DPD frequencies entered in my PSR-500.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > On Mon, Aug 3, 2009 at 11:57 AM, Jay Pinkerton jaypink@... wrote:
> >
> > > I just heard Dallas PD North east deployment on the Dallas trunk
> system
> > > say "Lets switch to the new channel" So I quickly hit ID Search on
> my
> > > scanner and they came up on talk group ID # 22656. They are

> normally on
> > > 9072. I have both programed. I'll listen to see which one they
> use.
> > >
> > > Jay Pinkerton
> > > jay@...
> > > KE5FMS
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------------------
> > >
> > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > --
> > --
> > Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the democrats
> > believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30605. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-05 02:00:57 UTC
From: S S
Topic: 30599 Message: 30605

Once I got the system set up, I, too, heard them on this morning during the
short time that I was able to listen.

On Tue, Aug 4, 2009 at 10:39 AM, Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:

> Yes, this is the system. This my favorite to listen too.
>
> Still hearing North East Detectives on Talk group ID # 22656 this
> morning. Been on this ID since yesterday. I have not heard them on
> 9072 since yesterdays post.
>
> Should I send the info to Radio Reference?
>
> Jay
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

--

Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the democrats
believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30606. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-05 03:46:37 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 30585 Message: 30606

Test

Sent from my iPod

On Aug 2, 2009, at 10:35 PM, P L <moar_hs@...> wrote:

> Ok boys, put your swords away. This is not a pissing contest. One
> side is saying that someone was lazy and didn't want to do the leg
> work, the other side was wondering if someone on the list had some
> knowledge that the rest didn't. Retire the fight, both sides win!!!!
>
> _____
> From: BT <frank2288222003@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, August 3, 2009 12:24:21 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: DPD Unit Identification
>
>
> ok mr. tucker...its time for you to start keeping your crap to
> yourself and retire to the lurking mode...you are only repeatedly
> proving how much of a moron that you apparantly are...!
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
> >
> > Oops sorry, whoever posted the google links is the most unhelpful
> person on
> > this list. Again, sorry Lee.
> >
> > And when people ask a question they generally expect an answer,
> not to be
> > told to find out yourself. No wonder so many American Companies
> are going to
> > hell: Customer service has gone to shit in every aspect of our
> lives.
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30607. I bought a Uniden BC246T and need help!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-05 03:12:27 UTC
From: Ronnie
Topic: 30607 Message: 30607

I bought a Uniden BC246T because my old Bearcat 220XLT quit working. Needless to say, I am a bit overwhelmed! I feel like a lost dog in tall grass just trying to do even basic scanning much less following 800-band trunked stuff.

I live in Flower Mound and am a full-time firefighter/paramedic here in the DFW Metroplex. I want to scan all of the fire departments here in the DFW Metroplex that the BC246 will let me as well as a few FDs around the country when I travel. I'd also like to scan some commercial and military aviation stuff.

Does anyone close to Flower Mound have the technical/technological savvy to program this scanner for me? I am trying to wade through the owners manual but it is very intimidating to say the least!

Any help will be greatly appreciated!

Thanks,

Ronnie

30608. Re: I bought a Uniden BC246T and need help!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-05 03:59:59 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30607 Message: 30608

Hi Ronnie

The only fire departments in the immediate metro area that you would not be able to monitor with your 246 are Grand Prairie, Mansfield, & those in Parker County. All of these are digital; the 246 is an analog scanner. Farther down the road, some of the others may go digital, but that likely will be several years, by the time a new system is designed, put out for bid, equipment purchased & the system built.

As far as the manual goes, try the "Easier to Read" manual for the 246. Here's the link:
http://marksscanners.com/246/246.shtml

While you can certainly program that scanner by hand, I highly recommend using computer software instead.
Did you buy the scanner new? or acquire a gently used unit?
Did it come with the pc interface cable?

If you have the cable, then you'll be able to load programming using software. The cable uses the serial port on your pc. If you have a newer desktop, pr a laptop, that does not have a serial port (also sometimes referred to as a COM port), then you can get an adapter that plugs into a free USB port & converts it to a serial port.
I'm using one of them on my laptop:
http://www.monoprice.com/products/product.asp?c_id=103&cp_id=10311&cs_id=1031104&p_id=2276&seq=1&format=2

I have a 246, and can create you a file for whatever systems/channels you want locally (I have files for all of the local systems already). That would get you started. However, if you check out the DFW Metro area on RadioReference.com with any regularity, you'll see there are always changes/updates being made. Some weeks more than others, but occasionally changes that may affect what matters to you. So, by downloading a program such as FreeScan, you can make those changes as they occur, and download the updates to your scanner.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ronnie" <rjtoc2@...> wrote:
>
> I bought a Uniden BC246T because my old Bearcat 220XLT quit working. Needless to say, I am a bit overwhelmed! I feel like a lost dog in tall grass just trying to do even basic scanning much less following 800-band trunked stuff.
>
> I live in Flower Mound and am a full-time firefighter/paramedic here in the DFW Metroplex. I want to scan all of the fire departments here in the DFW Metroplex that the BC246 will let me as well as a few FDs around the country when I travel. I'd also like to scan some commercial and military aviation stuff.
>
> Does anyone close to Flower Mound have the technical/technological savvy to program this scanner for me? I am trying to wade through the owners manual but it is very intimidating to say the least!
>

> Any help will be greatly appreciated!
>
> Thanks,
>
> Ronnie
>

30609. RE: [dfwscan] Re: I bought a Uniden BC246T and need help!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-05 04:13:55 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30607 Message: 30609

On Tuesday, August 4, 2009 22:59, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> said:

> The only fire departments in the immediate metro area that you would not be able
> to monitor with your 246 are Grand Prairie, Mansfield, & those in Parker County.

Add Cedar Hill, De Soto, and Duncanville to that list. They finally moved fire over to the MPT system. Dispatch voice still simulcasts on 155.925, but no traffic from the units can be heard there. Of course you can still scan the MPT system. You just can't track a specific channel.

Rob

30610. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-05 15:02:56 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 30599 Message: 30610

Well the followed someone just west of Hwy 75. That is Dallas PD Channel 6. So they were on Dallas Conventional Channels 2, 6 and 10 during that deal. It was kind of crazy listening. Good reason to have more than one scanner with you. Anyway, The good news is they caught the suspects.

Jay

Billy Driscoll wrote:
> They were having some problems with it today. They were on Ch 10 in
> the afternoon! It is always good listening on 9072
>
> --- On *Tue, 8/4/09, Jay Pinkerton /<jaypink@...>/* wrote:
>
>
> From: Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...>
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2009, 10:39 AM
>
>
> Yes, this is the system. This my favorite to listen too.
>
> Still hearing North East Detectives on Talk group ID # 22656 this
> morning. Been on this ID since yesterday. I have not heard them on
> 9072 since yesterdays post.
>
> Should I send the info to Radio Reference?
>
> Jay
>
> Chris Easley wrote:
> >
> >
> > Jay was referring to this system:
> > http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>
> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>>
> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>
> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>>>
> >
> > It's listed on RadioReference as 'Dallas City Local Government'

> >
> > And it is used for:
> >
> > "........all of the City of Dallas City Services and miscellaneous
> > support departments.
> > The Dallas Police Department also uses this system for detectives"
> >
> > Chris
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> <http://us.mc813.mail.yahoo.com/mc/compose?to=dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> <mailto:dfwscan% 40yahoogroups. com>, S S
> > <ske1eter@.. .> wrote:
> > >
> > > Pardon my ignorance but which Dallas trunked system are you
> referring
> > to?
> > > I'd like to add it to my list of scanned systems. I just have the
> > > conventional DPD frequencies entered in my PSR-500.
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > On Mon, Aug 3, 2009 at 11:57 AM, Jay Pinkerton jaypink@... wrote:
> > >
> > > > I just heard Dallas PD North east deployment on the Dallas trunk
> > system
> > > > say "Lets switch to the new channel" So I quickly hit ID
> Search on
> > my
> > > > scanner and they came up on talk group ID # 22656. They are
> > normally on
> > > > 9072. I have both programed. I'll listen to see which one they
> > use.
> > > > >
> > > > Jay Pinkerton
> > > > jay@...
> > > > KE5FMS
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > ------------ --------- --------- ------
> > > > >
> > > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > > > http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>
> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>>

> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > ------------ --------- --------- --------- --------- --------- -
> > > Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the
> democrats
> > > believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30611. DPD Fusion Center

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-06 15:52:50 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 30585 Message: 30611

FUSION is the Dallas Police intelligence and analysis unit.

<http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/stories/050909dnmetfusion.4219526.html>

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> While we are on this subject, what is this FUSION I keep hearing on calls? A
> few weeks ago when someone stole $20K in a robbery on RL Thornton & fled in
> a taxi there were two or three units all asking to make sure fusion was
> notified. Ive heard them a few more times but dont recall the specifics of
> the incident.
>
> On Sun, Aug 2, 2009 at 8:35 PM, Lee Shell <leebshell@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Some time ago, the following link was posted:
> >
> > http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm
> >
> > I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their
> > function?
> >
> > --
> > Lee B Shell
> > Dallas
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30612. RE: [dfwscan] DPD Fusion Center

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-07 09:55:22 UTC
From: Russell Stringfield
Topic: 30585 Message: 30612

There are many different FUSION centers. Most every major center has a FUSION center, the regional area governments. most of them have a FUSION center, the State of Texas has it's FUSION center in Austin, and other states have their own FUSION centers as well. Day before yesterday, for example, we received a 'teletype' from the State of Washington's FUSION center.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russell Adams
Sent: Thursday, August 06, 2009 10:53 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] DPD Fusion Center

FUSION is the Dallas Police intelligence and analysis unit.

<http://www.dallasnews.com/sharedcontent/dws/news/localnews/stories/050909dnmetfusion.4219526.html>

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> While we are on this subject, what is this FUSION I keep hearing on calls? A
> few weeks ago when someone stole $20K in a robbery on RL Thornton & fled in
> a taxi there were two or three units all asking to make sure fusion was
> notified. Ive heard them a few more times but dont recall the specifics of
> the incident.
>
> On Sun, Aug 2, 2009 at 8:35 PM, Lee Shell <leebshell@...> wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Some time ago, the following link was posted:
> > >
> > > http://www.bensware.com/scandfw/dallasPDunitnumbers.htm
> > >
> > > I have been hearing "V" (Victor) units for a while now. What is their
> > > function?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Lee B Shell
> > > Dallas
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >

> >
> >
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30613. Alliance Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-08 17:01:07 UTC
From: mccartin99
Topic: 30613 Message: 30613

What's flying out this weekend. Saw what may be a C5, C17?, Low n slow loops Friday Night & Saturday AM, Didn't have scanner hany to hear anything.

30614. FBI helicopter use?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-08 17:23:36 UTC
From: steve_gordon_2000
Topic: 30614 Message: 30614

Does the FBI have or use their own helicopter for surveillance, or does another local agency provide that? Listening to one now.
Steve

30615. Richardson M/A to Ellis County?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 01:00:07 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 30615 Message: 30615

At 12:18 p.m., IPN sent out the following dispatch:
>"DFW| Ellis County (Palmer)| Major Accident| 155.580| FM 878 At
>Ebeznar|FD O/S 2 VEH MVA 1 R/O with entrapment request medevac
>M/A:Richardson| DFW205| 12:18"

Isn't Richardson a bit of a far stretch for Ellis County to get M/A
from? What's a more likely source?

Toby

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30616. Re: [dfwscan] Richardson M/A to Ellis County?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 01:05:23 UTC
From: cas112882@gmail.com
Topic: 30615 Message: 30616

Red Oak
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Toby Zidle <tobyz7@...>

Date: Sat, 08 Aug 2009 20:00:07
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Richardson M/A to Ellis County?

At 12:18 p.m., IPN sent out the following dispatch:
>"DFW| Ellis County (Palmer)| Major Accident| 155.580| FM 878 At
>Ebeznar|FD O/S 2 VEH MVA 1 R/O with entrapment request medevac
>M/A:Richardson| DFW205| 12:18"

Isn't Richardson a bit of a far stretch for Ellis County to get M/A
from? What's a more likely source?

Toby

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30617. FBI helicopter use?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 01:32:55 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 30614 Message: 30617

Listening on what Frequency???

Bill Dunn N1KUG
Cruise Ship Frequencies
http://www.scanmaritime.com
Now feeding USCG Sector Boston via Team Speak
info at http://www.scannewengland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon

----- Original Message -----
From: "steve_gordon_2000" <steve_gordon_2000@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 08, 2009 1:23 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] FBI helicopter use?

> Does the FBI have or use their own helicopter for surveillance, or does
> another local agency provide that? Listening to one now.
> Steve
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------

30618. Re: [dfwscan] Richardson M/A to Ellis County?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 02:21:55 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30618 Message: 30618

> Isn't Richardson a bit of a far stretch for Ellis County to get M/A
> from?

I got a good chuckle out of that one myself. I suspect whoever dispatched it does the same thing I do. I fill out a dispatch ticket as the info is received, then hold it until it is confirmed. If it isn't dispatch worthy, then I type over it later to start a new dispatch ticket. A couple of times, I forgot to change the city and did the same thing, so now I have learned to always just refresh the window to clear the screen before starting a new ticket, in order to prevent this from happening again.

I was just surprised to see someone other than me dispatching Ellis County.

30619. Re: FBI helicopter use?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 04:23:23 UTC
From: steve_gordon_2000
Topic: 30614 Message: 30619

The frequency was 167.6875. I'm sorry. Ended up with DPD Ch. 6 patrol, standing by on Ch. 12, stopping the car.
Steve
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bill" <ecps92@...> wrote:
>
> Listening on what Frequency???
>
>
> Bill Dunn N1KUG
> Cruise Ship Frequencies
> http://www.scanmaritime.com
> Now feeding USCG Sector Boston via Team Speak
> info at http://www.scannewengland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "steve_gordon_2000" <steve_gordon_2000@...>
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, August 08, 2009 1:23 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] FBI helicopter use?
>
>
> > Does the FBI have or use their own helicopter for surveillance, or does
> > another local agency provide that? Listening to one now.
> > Steve
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
>

30620. Weekly FCC grants August 02-08

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 12:27:27 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30620 Message: 30620

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30621. Newbie- Little Elm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 12:52:42 UTC
From: gws1987
Topic: 30621 Message: 30621

Hi All. Newbie here. Looking to scan Little Elm PD/FD. According to the radio reference site, they are on a LTR system. However no talkgroup information is available. Can not seem to find any other info. Can anyone advise on where to find current Little Elm freq info? Thanks!

Geoff

30622. Re: Newbie- Little Elm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 16:48:44 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30621 Message: 30622

Hi Geoff

You're apparently looking at this system:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=3833

That's not Little Elm PD/FD, but instead is a business LTR, owned/operated by Teletouch, that has the tower site in Little Elm.

Little Elm FD is on the Denton County TRS system:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=23

Look at talkgroup 8528, Ch 10 under the county fire talkgroups. There's where you'll find Little Elm's FD.

While Little Elm PD is not specifically listed, they may be dispatched by the county on talkgroup 528, "Small PD Dispatch" (also labeled as "Patrol 2) under the Denton County Sheriff/Constable talkgroups heading. I'll defer to one of the Denton County area members if that's incorrect, as I don't listen to that system all the time. It's pretty scratchy from my home in Dallas.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "gws1987" <gws1987@...> wrote:
>
> Hi All. Newbie here. Looking to scan Little Elm PD/FD. According to the radio reference site, they are on a LTR system. However no talkgroup information is available. Can not seem to find any other info. Can anyone advise on where to find current Little Elm freq info? Thanks!
>
>
> Geoff
>

30623. Re: Newbie- Little Elm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 17:12:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30621 Message: 30623

--- On Sun, 8/9/09, hiegtx <hiegtx@...> wrote:

>While Little Elm PD is not specifically listed, they may be dispatched by >the county on talkgroup 528, "Small PD Dispatch"

620's Little Elm FD/EMS

30624. Re: FBI helicopter use?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 17:26:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30614 Message: 30624

--- On Sat, 8/8/09, steve_gordon_2000 <steve_gordon_2000@...> wrote:

> Does the FBI have or use their own
> helicopter for surveillance, or does another local agency
> provide that?

They probably have their own chopper, but contract out to someone for the use, maintenance, etc. I personally have never seen the FBI aircraft used here in DFW. However, I have seen one of the DEA helicopters used here in our area. One was based at Love Field Signature Air hangar a few years ago, but I had heard that they transferred over to Alliance (can't confirm that). It was a grayish blue Aerospatiale. Had a big FLIR system for the observer in the back seat, and Motorola Spectra (I'm assuming UHF band) radios. A very nice chopper. Talked with one of the agents one morning as we both were getting coffee when I was filling in on WBAP doing traffic. Nice guy.

From my experience in fed monitoring, DEA rules the roost as far as aerial surveillance. Rarely do I hear FBI or BICE/CBP up in our area.

Blaine
http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

30625. Re: FBI helicopter use?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 20:07:57 UTC
From: steve_gordon_2000
Topic: 30614 Message: 30625

Thanks for the info Blaine. By the end of the deal, it kind of sounded like it was the DPD helicopter.
Steve

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:
>
> --- On Sat, 8/8/09, steve_gordon_2000 <steve_gordon_2000@...> wrote:
>
> > Does the FBI have or use their own
> > helicopter for surveillance, or does another local agency
> > provide that?
>
> They probably have their own chopper, but contract out to someone for the use, maintenance, etc. I personally have never seen the FBI aircraft used here in DFW. However, I have seen one of the DEA helicopters used here in our area. One was based at Love Field Signature Air hangar a few years ago, but I had heard that they transferred over to Alliance (can't confirm that). It was a grayish blue Aerospatiale. Had a big FLIR system for the observer in the back seat, and Motorola Spectra (I'm assuming UHF band) radios. A very nice chopper. Talked with one of the agents one morning as we both were getting coffee when I was filling in on WBAP doing traffic. Nice guy.
>
> From my experience in fed monitoring, DEA rules the roost as far as aerial surveillance. Rarely do I hear FBI or BICE/CBP up in our area.
>
>
> Blaine
> http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/
>

30626. RE: Little Elm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 20:26:54 UTC
From: gws1987
Topic: 30626 Message: 30626

Thanks guys for the info!

30627. RE: [dfwscan] Re: FBI helicopter use?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-09 20:50:26 UTC
From: Larry Lorance
Topic: 30614 Message: 30627

I know this is off the subject. However, you can see my collection of model helicopters by going to:

http://www.modelfiretrucks.net/smf/index.php?topic=11350.0

These range is size from 14 - 16 inches and are hand craved from kiln dried Philippine Mahogany Wood.

I just received the US Customs Blackhawk.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30628. FBI helos

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-10 03:42:06 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30628 Message: 30628

Did a little searching on the FAA N-number site.

DOJ has SEVERAL aircraft at Alliance of the helo variety. I concentrated my search on Bell 412EP's and Eurocopter. DOJ has 4 Bell 412EP's, 5 Eurocopter AS350's, and 4 Eurocopter BO105's. DEA has 2 Eurocopter AS350's. The one I saw at the Love Field Signature Air hangar that day was 246RF. Very nice helo.

So to answer the original poster's question, the DOJ has a crapload of aircraft apparently at their disposal at Alliance. And that's just of the "rotor" variety.

30629. Benbrook PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-10 06:35:42 UTC
From: jared4301
Topic: 30629 Message: 30629

Does anybody have any idea what the frequency for Benbrook PD Channel 2?
453.450 is their primary channel, but when there are large incidents like a bank hold-up alarm, or if officers are searching a structure, the dispatcher instructs units to move to Ch. 2. RadioReference lists it as 453.150, but I have never heard anything on that frequency. Any ideas?

Thanks.

30630. Collin Co Sheriff Radio ID's

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-10 17:21:00 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30630 Message: 30630

If anyone has a good CONFIRMED list of Collin County and/or McKinney PD Radio ID's / Unit number calls, please post...

TIA

Blaine Brooks

30631. RE: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-11 03:16:04 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30629 Message: 30631

460.45 PL 151.4 is FD Ch. 2 and 453.150 PL 107.2 is (or at least has been) PD Ch. 2. I haven't paid much attention to Benbrook lately though. I was looking at this FCC link for Benbrook frequencies and noticed one listing for 154.040. I'm not sure what that frequency is for but it looks like it carries voice I believe. I doubt they'd be using 154.04 for PD Ch. 2, but who knows?

(you may have to cut & paste into browser???)

http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/General_Menu_Reports/license_search_out.cfm?service_select=%25&soundex_select=Benbrook&state_select=TX&zip_select=&frn_select=&begin_grant_date=&end_grant_date=&begin_expiration_date=&end_expiration_date=&limit_select=4&sortstring=%2C+lic_name%2C+file_num

The only other thing I can think of is trying is to turn off (or search for a new) the 107.2 PL tone if you are using it and see if you catch them on 453.15 then.

If I remember right, there's a taxi/airport/? service also on 453.15 and maybe Benbrook has gone to a new frequency for Ch. 2...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jared4301
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 1:36 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

Does anybody have any idea what the frequency for Benbrook PD Channel 2? 453.450 is their primary channel, but when there are large incidents like a bank hold-up alarm, or if officers are searching a structure, the dispatcher instructs units to move to Ch. 2. RadioReference lists it as 453.150, but I have never heard anything on that frequency. Any ideas?

Thanks.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30632. Re: Benbrook PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-11 12:02:19 UTC
From: kenfl9nh
Topic: 30629 Message: 30632

I live in Benbrook and I can confirm that 453.150 PL 107.2 is indeed the PD Channel 2 repeater; you just have to be patient as it does not get used often. I have dilgently listened to 154.040 for several years now and have yet to hear any voice traffic on it from Benbrook. The officers carry UHF portables and the cars have both UHF and VHF radios in them. There are only UHF yagi's at the police station, but there is a VHF antenna on the communications tower at the main fire station. I'm guessing in addition to the statewide VHF channels that 154.040 may be in the plan for emergencies if all the UHF repeaters were down.

Ken Fitzgerald

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
> 460.45 PL 151.4 is FD Ch. 2 and 453.150 PL 107.2 is (or at least has been)
> PD Ch. 2. I haven't paid much attention to Benbrook lately though. I was
> looking at this FCC link for Benbrook frequencies and noticed one listing
> for 154.040. I'm not sure what that frequency is for but it looks like it
> carries voice I believe. I doubt they'd be using 154.04 for PD Ch. 2, but
> who knows?
>
> (you may have to cut & paste into browser???)
>
> http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/General_Menu_Reports/license_search_out.cfm?service
> _select=%25&soundex_select=Benbrook&state_select=TX&zip_select=&frn_select=&
> begin_grant_date=&end_grant_date=&begin_expiration_date=&end_expiration_date
> =&limit_select=4&sortstring=%2C+lic_name%2C+file_num
>
> The only other thing I can think of is trying is to turn off (or search for
> a new) the 107.2 PL tone if you are using it and see if you catch them on
> 453.15 then.
>
> If I remember right, there's a taxi/airport/? service also on 453.15 and
> maybe Benbrook has gone to a new frequency for Ch. 2...
>
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> jared4301
> Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 1:36 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

>
> Does anybody have any idea what the frequency for Benbrook PD Channel 2?
> 453.450 is their primary channel, but when there are large incidents like a
> bank hold-up alarm, or if officers are searching a structure, the dispatcher
> instructs units to move to Ch. 2. RadioReference lists it as 453.150, but I
> have never heard anything on that frequency. Any ideas?
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

30633. Re: Benbrook PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-12 18:44:27 UTC
From: KC5RHB
Topic: 30629 Message: 30633

I heard radio traffic on ch 2 today (453.150 107.2) so it is active and the right channel.

David, KC5RHB
www.kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "jared4301" <jaredkoester@...> wrote:
>
> Does anybody have any idea what the frequency for Benbrook PD Channel 2?
> 453.450 is their primary channel, but when there are large incidents like a bank hold-up alarm, or if officers are searching a structure, the dispatcher instructs units to move to Ch. 2. RadioReference lists it as 453.150, but I have never heard anything on that frequency. Any ideas?
>
> Thanks.
>

30634. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-17 04:42:01 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30599 Message: 30634

Still haven't seen the new talkgroup added to RR.

Did someone submit it?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jay Pinkerton" <jaypink@...>
To: "Billy Driscoll" <billyd4@...>; <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2009 10:02 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

> Well the followed someone just west of Hwy 75. That is Dallas PD
> Channel 6. So they were on Dallas Conventional Channels 2, 6 and 10
> during that deal. It was kind of crazy listening. Good reason to have
> more than one scanner with you. Anyway, The good news is they caught
> the suspects.
>
> Jay
>
> Billy Driscoll wrote:
>> They were having some problems with it today. They were on Ch 10 in
>> the afternoon! It is always good listening on 9072
>>
>> --- On *Tue, 8/4/09, Jay Pinkerton /<jaypink@...>/* wrote:
>>
>>
>> From: Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...>
>> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656
>> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>> Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2009, 10:39 AM
>>
>>
>> Yes, this is the system. This my favorite to listen too.
>>
>> Still hearing North East Detectives on Talk group ID # 22656 this
>> morning. Been on this ID since yesterday. I have not heard them on
>> 9072 since yesterdays post.
>>
>> Should I send the info to Radio Reference?
>>
>> Jay
>>
>> Chris Easley wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> > Jay was referring to this system:
>> > http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18

>> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>
>> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
>> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>>
>> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
>> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>
>> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
>> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>>>
>> >
>> > It's listed on RadioReference as 'Dallas City Local Government'
>> >
>> > And it is used for:
>> >
>> > "........all of the City of Dallas City Services and miscellaneous
>> > support departments.
>> > The Dallas Police Department also uses this system for detectives"
>> >
>> > Chris
>> >
>> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
>>
>> <http://us.mc813.mail.yahoo.com/mc/compose?to=dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
>> <mailto:dfwscan% 40yahoogroups. com>, S S
>> > <ske1eter@.. .> wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Pardon my ignorance but which Dallas trunked system are you
>> referring
>> > to?
>> > > I'd like to add it to my list of scanned systems. I just have the
>> > > conventional DPD frequencies entered in my PSR-500.
>> > >
>> > > Thanks.
>> > >
>> > > On Mon, Aug 3, 2009 at 11:57 AM, Jay Pinkerton jaypink@... wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > I just heard Dallas PD North east deployment on the Dallas
>> trunk
>> > system
>> > > > say "Lets switch to the new channel" So I quickly hit ID
>> Search on
>> > my
>> > > > scanner and they came up on talk group ID # 22656. They are
>> > normally on
>> > > > 9072. I have both programed. I'll listen to see which one they
>> > use.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Jay Pinkerton
>> > > > jay@...
>> > > > KE5FMS
>> > > > >
>> > > > >

>> > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > > ------------ --------- --------- ------
>> > > >
>> > > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>> > > > http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
>> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>
>> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
>> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>>
>> > > >
>> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > > --
>> > > > ------------ --------- --------- --------- --------- --------- -
>> > > > Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the
>> democrats
>> > > > believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>

30635. IPN Dispatchers

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-17 04:56:05 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30599 Message: 30635

I know we have a few IPN dispatchers here at DFWSCAN. I'd like to know more about who everyone is and where they are located and monitoring. I'm piecing together a rough "coverage map" of the area.

If you are an IPN dispatcher, please shoot me an e-mail just letting me know. And a few of us hang out on AIM to coordinate coverage when we are actively monitoring. Feel free to contact me there, screen name: DFW048

Thanks!

Rob

30636. Re: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-17 04:58:06 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30629 Message: 30636

I *think* 154.040 was used for public works of some kind. I don't show it confirmed on my list but I did show it now having a tone on it.
But then my info is years old.
The antenna appears to be on the fire station at 525 or 528 Mercedes.

----- Original Message -----
From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
To: "'jared4301'" <jaredkoester@...>; <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 10:16 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

> 460.45 PL 151.4 is FD Ch. 2 and 453.150 PL 107.2 is (or at least has been)
> PD Ch. 2. I haven't paid much attention to Benbrook lately though. I was
> looking at this FCC link for Benbrook frequencies and noticed one listing
> for 154.040. I'm not sure what that frequency is for but it looks like it
> carries voice I believe. I doubt they'd be using 154.04 for PD Ch. 2, but
> who knows?
>
> (you may have to cut & paste into browser???)
>
> http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/General_Menu_Reports/license_search_out.cfm?service
> _select=%25&soundex_select=Benbrook&state_select=TX&zip_select=&frn_select=&
> begin_grant_date=&end_grant_date=&begin_expiration_date=&end_expiration_date
> =&limit_select=4&sortstring=%2C+lic_name%2C+file_num
>
> The only other thing I can think of is trying is to turn off (or search
> for
> a new) the 107.2 PL tone if you are using it and see if you catch them on
> 453.15 then.
>
> If I remember right, there's a taxi/airport/? service also on 453.15 and
> maybe Benbrook has gone to a new frequency for Ch. 2...
>
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of
> jared4301
> Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 1:36 AM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

>
> Does anybody have any idea what the frequency for Benbrook PD Channel 2?
> 453.450 is their primary channel, but when there are large incidents like
> a
> bank hold-up alarm, or if officers are searching a structure, the
> dispatcher
> instructs units to move to Ch. 2. RadioReference lists it as 453.150, but
> I
> have never heard anything on that frequency. Any ideas?
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30637. Re: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-17 05:24:41 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30629 Message: 30637

That's what I get for typing in the dark.
Meant to type "...I did show it NOT having a tone on it."
One letter can make a difference.
Spell checking can't fix everything.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 11:58 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

>I *think* 154.040 was used for public works of some kind. I don't show it
> confirmed on my list but I did show it now having a tone on it.
> But then my info is years old.
> The antenna appears to be on the fire station at 525 or 528 Mercedes.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
> To: "'jared4301'" <jaredkoester@...>; <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 10:16 PM
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD
>
>
>> 460.45 PL 151.4 is FD Ch. 2 and 453.150 PL 107.2 is (or at least has
>> been)
>> PD Ch. 2. I haven't paid much attention to Benbrook lately though. I
>> was
>> looking at this FCC link for Benbrook frequencies and noticed one listing
>> for 154.040. I'm not sure what that frequency is for but it looks like
>> it
>> carries voice I believe. I doubt they'd be using 154.04 for PD Ch. 2,
>> but
>> who knows?
>>
>> (you may have to cut & paste into browser???)
>>
>> http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/General_Menu_Reports/license_search_out.cfm?service
>> _select=%25&soundex_select=Benbrook&state_select=TX&zip_select=&frn_select=&
>> begin_grant_date=&end_grant_date=&begin_expiration_date=&end_expiration_date
>> =&limit_select=4&sortstring=%2C+lic_name%2C+file_num
>>
>> The only other thing I can think of is trying is to turn off (or search
>> for
>> a new) the 107.2 PL tone if you are using it and see if you catch them on
>> 453.15 then.

>>
>> If I remember right, there's a taxi/airport/? service also on 453.15 and
>> maybe Benbrook has gone to a new frequency for Ch. 2...
>>
>>
>> Brian
>> Ft. Worth, TX
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
>> Of
>> jared4301
>> Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 1:36 AM
>> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD
>>
>> Does anybody have any idea what the frequency for Benbrook PD Channel 2?
>> 453.450 is their primary channel, but when there are large incidents like
>> a
>> bank hold-up alarm, or if officers are searching a structure, the
>> dispatcher
>> instructs units to move to Ch. 2. RadioReference lists it as 453.150, but
>> I
>> have never heard anything on that frequency. Any ideas?
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>

>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30638. RE: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-17 05:29:45 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30629 Message: 30638

I "new" what you meant Myles :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Myles Barkman
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2009 12:25 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

That's what I get for typing in the dark.
Meant to type "...I did show it NOT having a tone on it."
One letter can make a difference.
Spell checking can't fix everything.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2009 11:58 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD

>I *think* 154.040 was used for public works of some kind. I don't show it
> confirmed on my list but I did show it now having a tone on it.
> But then my info is years old.
> The antenna appears to be on the fire station at 525 or 528 Mercedes.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "commscan" <commscan-ftw@...>
> To: "'jared4301'" <jaredkoester@...>; <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 10:16 PM
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD
>
>
>> 460.45 PL 151.4 is FD Ch. 2 and 453.150 PL 107.2 is (or at least has
>> been)
>> PD Ch. 2. I haven't paid much attention to Benbrook lately though. I
>> was
>> looking at this FCC link for Benbrook frequencies and noticed one listing
>> for 154.040. I'm not sure what that frequency is for but it looks like
>> it
>> carries voice I believe. I doubt they'd be using 154.04 for PD Ch. 2,
>> but
>> who knows?
>>

>> (you may have to cut & paste into browser???)
>>
>>
http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/General_Menu_Reports/license_search_out.cfm?service
>>
_select=%25&soundex_select=Benbrook&state_select=TX&zip_select=&frn_select=&
>>
begin_grant_date=&end_grant_date=&begin_expiration_date=&end_expiration_date
>> =&limit_select=4&sortstring=%2C+lic_name%2C+file_num
>>
>> The only other thing I can think of is trying is to turn off (or search
>> for
>> a new) the 107.2 PL tone if you are using it and see if you catch them on
>> 453.15 then.
>>
>> If I remember right, there's a taxi/airport/? service also on 453.15 and
>> maybe Benbrook has gone to a new frequency for Ch. 2...
>>
>>
>> Brian
>> Ft. Worth, TX
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
>> Of
>> jared4301
>> Sent: Monday, August 10, 2009 1:36 AM
>> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [dfwscan] Benbrook PD
>>
>> Does anybody have any idea what the frequency for Benbrook PD Channel 2?
>> 453.450 is their primary channel, but when there are large incidents like
>> a
>> bank hold-up alarm, or if officers are searching a structure, the
>> dispatcher
>> instructs units to move to Ch. 2. RadioReference lists it as 453.150, but
>> I
>> have never heard anything on that frequency. Any ideas?
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30639. Weekly FCC grants August 09-15

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-17 18:34:28 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30639 Message: 30639

The FCC goofed again. If there is anything for Saturday, it will be spliced in.

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30640. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-17 19:25:53 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 30599 Message: 30640

I submitted it last week.

I guess 22656 is permanent. I haven't heard them on 9072 since I posted
hearing the change. A couple of weeks at least.

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@...
KE5FMS

Myles Barkman wrote:
>
>
> Still haven't seen the new talkgroup added to RR.
>
> Did someone submit it?
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jay Pinkerton" <jaypink@... <mailto:jaypink%40swbell.net>>
> To: "Billy Driscoll" <billyd4@...
> <mailto:billyd4%40sbcglobal.net>>; <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>>
> Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2009 10:02 AM
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656
>
> > Well the followed someone just west of Hwy 75. That is Dallas PD
> > Channel 6. So they were on Dallas Conventional Channels 2, 6 and 10
> > during that deal. It was kind of crazy listening. Good reason to have
> > more than one scanner with you. Anyway, The good news is they caught
> > the suspects.
> >
> > Jay
> >
> > Billy Driscoll wrote:
> >> They were having some problems with it today. They were on Ch 10 in
> >> the afternoon! It is always good listening on 9072
> >>
> >> --- On *Tue, 8/4/09, Jay Pinkerton /<jaypink@...
> <mailto:jaypink%40swbell.net>>/* wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> From: Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@... <mailto:jaypink%40swbell.net>>
> >> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas NE PD New ID 22656
> >> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> >> Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2009, 10:39 AM
> >>

> >>
> >> Yes, this is the system. This my favorite to listen too.
> >>
> >> Still hearing North East Detectives on Talk group ID # 22656 this
> >> morning. Been on this ID since yesterday. I have not heard them on
> >> 9072 since yesterdays post.
> >>
> >> Should I send the info to Radio Reference?
> >>
> >> Jay
> >>
> >> Chris Easley wrote:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Jay was referring to this system:
> >> > http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
> >> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>>
> >> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
> >> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>>>
> >> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
> >> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>>
> >> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=18
> >> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18>>>>
> >> >
> >> > It's listed on RadioReference as 'Dallas City Local Government'
> >> >
> >> > And it is used for:
> >> >
> >> > "........all of the City of Dallas City Services and miscellaneous
> >> > support departments.
> >> > The Dallas Police Department also uses this system for detectives"
> >> >
> >> > Chris
> >> >
> >> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> >>
> >>
> <http://us.mc813.mail.yahoo.com/mc/compose?to=dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com
> <http://us.mc813.mail.yahoo.com/mc/compose?to=dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>>
> >> <mailto:dfwscan% 40yahoogroups. com>, S S
> >> > <ske1eter@.. .> wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > Pardon my ignorance but which Dallas trunked system are you
> >> referring
> >> > to?
> >> > > > I'd like to add it to my list of scanned systems. I just have the

> >> > > conventional DPD frequencies entered in my PSR-500.
> >> > >
> >> > > Thanks.
> >> > >
> >> > > On Mon, Aug 3, 2009 at 11:57 AM, Jay Pinkerton jaypink@... wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > > I just heard Dallas PD North east deployment on the Dallas
> >> trunk
> >> > system
> >> > > > say "Lets switch to the new channel" So I quickly hit ID
> >> Search on
> >> > my
> >> > > > scanner and they came up on talk group ID # 22656. They are
> >> > normally on
> >> > > > 9072. I have both programed. I'll listen to see which one they
> >> > use.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Jay Pinkerton
> >> > > > jay@...
> >> > > > KE5FMS
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > ------------ --------- --------- ------
> >> > > >
> >> > > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> >> > > > http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
> >> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>>
> >> > <http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
> >> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>>>
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > --
> >> > > > ------------ --------- --------- --------- --------- --------- -
> >> > > > Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the
> >> democrats
> >> > > > believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan
> >> > > >
> >> > > >

> >> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30641. Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-18 02:41:34 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 8152 Message: 30641

Rockwall County 158.865. High speeds. Evading no 28.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30642. Chase

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-18 02:49:06 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 8152 Message: 30642

Suspect in Custody 9:51. He just stopped and gave up. 2101 and 34 just outside of Greenville and Quinlan.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30643. DPD Channel 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-22 03:08:10 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 20725 Message: 30643

Channel 1 just came on announcing that PD channel two is down, all comms will be moved to channel 10 until it is fixed.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30644. Looking for someone in Parker County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-22 21:39:29 UTC
From: gregg.alemy
Topic: 30644 Message: 30644

I was just in parker county today and could not hear a dang thing on my pro-106, I am thinking i May have it programmed wrong, if anyone else has a pro106 and has parker county, can you please email me a copy of the file for me to see if I did something wrong

thanks
gregg

30645. Weekly FCC grants August 16-22

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-23 11:25:53 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30645 Message: 30645

Busy week!

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30646. Collin Co.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-24 03:41:37 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30646 Message: 30646

Besides Plano, are there any other agencies (within the county) that anyone has CONFIRMED RMA 1-3 usage?

Blaine

30647. New Ellis County Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-24 13:10:49 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30647 Message: 30647

I have recently begun hearing Ellis County fire traffic on 155.115. Mostly, it is a transponder-like recorded voice reply to fire tone-outs. For instance, a tone will be sent on the main fire channels (154.265/155.58), and a second later, a recorded voice replies on 155.115 with "Milford has received the page." Then the dispatcher voices the run information on the main channel.

This morning, I am also hearing Ellis County SO dispatch talking to animal control officers on this same frequency.

155.115 is not listed to Ellis County on RR.com. Does anyone know what the deal is and when it started?

Rob

30648. RE: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-24 13:15:29 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 30647 Message: 30648

Rob, not sure what's up, maybe testing??? These just showed up on the FCC list that Glenn post:

PW WQKR458
ELLIS COUNTY E. S. D. NO. 6
[ELLIS COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 6 IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FIRE AND OTHER EMERGENCIES SERVICES FOR A DISTRICT IN ELLIS COUNTY, TEXAS.]
Control Point 1 - 405 WEST FRANKLIN STREET, WAXAHACHIE TX 972-825-3720
(link)
08/21/2009 License Issued
1 - 405 WEST FRANKLIN STREET, WAXAHACHIE (ELLIS) TX (map)
155.4375 FB2 60p 200e 11K2F3E 11K2F9W 7K60FXE 8K30F7W
2 - (ELLIS) TX Countywide
159.2925 MO 40p 80e 11K2F3E 11K2F9W 7K60FXE 8K30F7W
155.4375 MO 40p 80e 11K2F3E 11K2F9W 7K60FXE 8K30F7W
3 - TX Land Mobile Control Station Meeting 20 Rule
159.2925 FX1 25p 50e 11K2F3E 11K2F9W 7K60FXE 8K30F7W

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 8:11 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

I have recently begun hearing Ellis County fire traffic on 155.115. Mostly, it is a transponder-like recorded voice reply to fire tone-outs. For instance, a tone will be sent on the main fire channels (154.265/155.58), and a second later, a recorded voice replies on 155.115 with "Milford has received the page." Then the dispatcher voices the run information on the main channel.

This morning, I am also hearing Ellis County SO dispatch talking to animal control officers on this same frequency.

155.115 is not listed to Ellis County on RR.com. Does anyone know what the deal is and when it started?

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 8.5.392 / Virus Database: 270.13.35/2270 - Release Date: 08/23/09 18:03:00

30649. Collin Co

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-24 13:11:08 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 30649 Message: 30649

Collin SO is supposed to use RMA 1 if their system goes down but Iâ□□ve never heard them on it otherwise. The scarce traffic I have heard on any of the RMA channels from McKinney is usually unidentified.

Mike

----- Original Message -----

From: Blaine Brooks

To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2009 10:41 PM

Subject: [dfwscan] Collin Co.

Besides Plano, are there any other agencies (within the county) that anyone has CONFIRMED RMA 1-3 usage?

Blaine
’–·–’–––

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

30650. RE: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-24 13:32:01 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30647 Message: 30650

On Monday, August 24, 2009 08:15, "Terry" <terry@...> said:

> Rob, not sure what's up, maybe testing??? These just showed up on the FCC list
> that Glenn post:

Ah! So at least it is official, and not ghosting. I stumbled upon this totally by accident, as I have the channel programmed for monitoring Van Zandt County fire.

While we're talking about curious Ellis County traffic, I am also hearing a simulcast of Waxahachie Fire Department's trunk channel on 154.01. It is the same simulcast that is listed and normally heard on 154.385. I can't imagine them simulcasting the same thing on two high-band channels, especially one they don't even show to be licensed for, so I suspect it may be ghosting. Anyone else heard this?

Rob

30651. Re: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-24 13:48:15 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30647 Message: 30651

> 155.115 is not listed to Ellis County on RR.com. Does anyone know what the deal
> is and when it started?
>
> Rob

It doesn't show up on RR's Ellis Co page only because nobody ever submitted it.
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=KNHA824
The license was last updated in 2003. The FCC database is broke right now so I can't see when it was first issued but judging from the callsign I would say early 80's.

Tom

30652. Re: Collin Co

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-24 17:45:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30649 Message: 30652

--- On Mon, 8/24/09, Michael Bennett <michaelbennett@...> wrote:

> Collin SO is supposed to use RMA 1 if their system goes
> down but I've never heard them on it otherwise. The scarce traffic I
> have heard on any of the RMA channels from McKinney is usually
> unidentified.

Thanks for the reply Mike. I am considering moving to this area, and was just wondering if it is even worth to program in any of the RMA for this area or not. Seems pointless if it is not being used. Also, SO is licensed to NPSPAC. Have you heard any traffic on the old or new ones?

I'm looking at the Melissa area, so I'm primarily concerned with SO traffic, or I guess DPS.

30653. Re: [dfwscan] Collin Co

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-24 18:48:45 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 30649 Message: 30653

```
Regional Mutual Aid

On Mon Aug 24 13:10 , Christopher Kown sent:

>what is rma?
>
>--- On Mon, 8/24/09, Michael Bennett <michaelbennett@...> wrote:
>
>From: Michael Bennett <michaelbennett@cableone..net>
>Subject: [dfwscan] Collin Co
>To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>Date: Monday, August 24, 2009, 1:11 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Collin SO is supposed to use RMA 1 if their system goes down but Iâ€™ve never
heard
>
>them on it otherwise. The scarce traffic I have heard on any of the RMA channels
>
>from McKinney is usually unidentified.
>
>
>
>Mike
```

>
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>
>
>
>From: Blaine Brooks
>
>
>
>To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
>
>
>
>Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2009 10:41 PM
>
>
>
>Subject: [dfwscan] Collin Co.
>
>
>
>Besides Plano, are there any other agencies (within the county) that anyone has
>
>CONFIRMED RMA 1-3 usage?
>
>
>
>Blaine
>
>',_.-_',___
>
>
>
>---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone .net
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

30654. Re: Collin Co

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-24 18:47:25 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 30649 Message: 30654

I've heard sporadic traffic on all the channels from time to time. I'd still probably program them in just in case the county system ever goes down. I've heard what I believe to be the Collin County Jr. College, McKinney campus fire academy using some of the channels for training purposes. I usually end up locking them out though because it becomes a pain to listen to after a while.

On Mon Aug 24 12:45 , Blaine Brooks sent:

>--- On Mon, 8/24/09, Michael Bennett michaelbennett@...> wrote:
>
>> Collin SO is supposed to use RMA 1 if their system goes
>> down but I've never heard them on it otherwise. The scarce traffic I
>> have heard on any of the RMA channels from McKinney is usually
>> unidentified.
>
>Thanks for the reply Mike. I am considering moving to this area, and was just wondering if it is even worth to program in any of the RMA for this area or not. Seems pointless if it is not being used. Also, SO is licensed to NPSPAC. Have you heard any traffic on the old or new ones?
>
>I'm looking at the Melissa area, so I'm primarily concerned with SO traffic, or I guess DPS.
>
>
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

30655. Re: Collin Co

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-25 01:32:24 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30649 Message: 30655

--- On Mon, 8/24/09, Michael Bennett <michaelbennett@...> wrote:

>I'd still probably program them in just in case the county system ever >goes down. I've heard what I believe to be the Collin County Jr. >College,McKinney campus fire academy using some of the channels for >training purposes.

Thanks again Mike. One more question - Does McKinney, Collin Co Shf, or the Plano TRS utilize Private Call for any of its public safety units?

30656. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Collin Co

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-25 11:13:06 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 30649 Message: 30656

As far as I know they don't.

----- Original Message -----
From: Blaine Brooks
To: michaelbennett@...
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 8:32 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Collin Co

--- On Mon, 8/24/09, Michael Bennett <michaelbennett@...> wrote:

>I'd still probably program them in just in case the county system ever >goes down. I've heard what I believe to be the Collin County Jr. >College,McKinney campus fire academy using some of the channels for >training purposes.

Thanks again Mike. One more question - Does McKinney, Collin Co Shf, or the Plano TRS utilize Private Call for any of its public safety units?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30657. Fwd: Zeta drug enforcers built international radio system to elude authorities, newly released documents say

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-25 19:03:05 UTC
From: Patrick J. Hennessey
Topic: 30657 Message: 30657

This seems like an article of interest to radio buffs. I'm guessing these Zeta's probably know what spread spectrum is...

Sent from a mobile device.

Begin forwarded message:

> Date: August 25, 2009 1:28:34 PM CDT
> To: Patrick Hennessey <spectre9@...>
> Subject: Zeta drug enforcers built international radio system to
> elude authorities, newly released documents say
>

>
> Sent to you via Google Reader
>
> Zeta drug enforcers built international radio system to elude
> authorities, newly released documents say
>
> For years, we've heard that the Zetas, which began when a team of
> Mexican special forces soliders who began working for the Gulf
> Cartel, had high-tech capabilities. Newly-released plea papers of
> Jose Luis Del Toro Estrada, the Zetas' communication expert (his
> nickname is Tecnico) in Houston show how elaborate their network
> really is. The system, which was centered on Mexico but stretched
> elsewhere into Central America, is designed to elude law
> enforcement, which regularly monitors traffickers' cell phones.
> Apparently, according to the McAllen Monitor's story, no one is
> regularly listening to this sort of radio traffic, or at least
> they're not admitting it publicly.
>
>
>
> We've reported that the Zetas have had a presence in Dallas, which
> is a well-known cocaine distribution center. But there's no
> indication - yet - that these sorts of capabilities stretched up
> this far north of the border. Guess we wouldn't necessarily know if
> it did, since it's designed for stealth.
>
>
>
> Jose Luis Del Toro Estrada Plea Agreement
> --Jason Trahan / Reporter
>

>
> ---
> Sent from a mobile device.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30658. Allen FD Training

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-26 21:02:16 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30658 Message: 30658

For those that like listening to such things, it's sounds as though Allen FD has been doing some very extensive training today, along with surrounding depts. I am assuming that it has been training, as I have yet to see any IPN pages. McKinney has been working with them, along with Plano & Frisco. Search/Command on Ops 4, Sector/Division on Ops 5.

Blaine

30659. FW and Parker county stuff...

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-26 23:50:47 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 30659 Message: 30659

Parker county site 1 is in site trunking today (not connected to the other 3 sites).

FW seems to be getting a lot closer to rebanding, There is a deadline for South COP patrollers to turn in old radios by the end of this month. Some of them are getting XTS2500 radios.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30660. Re: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-27 23:00:56 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30647 Message: 30660

154.010 MHz is the input freq to the 154.385 MHz repeater.

----- Original Message -----
From: <rob.davis@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 8:32 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

> On Monday, August 24, 2009 08:15, "Terry" <terry@...> said:
>
>> Rob, not sure what's up, maybe testing??? These just showed up on the
>> FCC list
>> that Glenn post:
>
> Ah! So at least it is official, and not ghosting. I stumbled upon this
> totally by accident, as I have the channel programmed for monitoring Van
> Zandt County fire.
>
> While we're talking about curious Ellis County traffic, I am also hearing
> a simulcast of Waxahachie Fire Department's trunk channel on 154.01. It
> is the same simulcast that is listed and normally heard on 154.385. I
> can't imagine them simulcasting the same thing on two high-band channels,
> especially one they don't even show to be licensed for, so I suspect it
> may be ghosting. Anyone else heard this?
>
> Rob
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30661. Re: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-27 23:06:07 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30647 Message: 30661

I've had 155.115 listed as an Ellis Co SO (possibly channel 7) for years.
PL was 107.2 Hz and input was 153.800 MHz. Don't know if all that is still
the same.
155.835 was the main SO channel, then there was 159.450, channel 2.
155.115 wasn't used all that much that I remember. Animal control might
have been the most you heard on it back then.
Guess they found a more efficient use of that part of the spectrum now.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Tom ND5Y" <nd5y@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 8:48 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

>> 155.115 is not listed to Ellis County on RR.com. Does anyone know what
>> the deal
>> is and when it started?
>>
>> Rob
>
> It doesn't show up on RR's Ellis Co page only because nobody ever
> submitted it.
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=KNHA824
> The license was last updated in 2003. The FCC database is broke right now
> so I can't see when it was first issued but judging from the callsign I
> would say early 80's.
>
> Tom
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30662. Fs: Pro-106 / this deal for dfwscan members only

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-28 20:22:10 UTC
From: Gregg Alemy
Topic: 30662 Message: 30662

I have a pro-106 digital trunking scanner scanner for sale, only one month old, I have the box, manuals, receipt.

I will throw in the following:
RS 800mhz antenna
Diamond RH77CA antenna
ac and dc adapter
fm transmitter for listening in the car thru your stereo, it is sweet!!

375.00

This is a heck of a deal
either email me back or call me 817-933-0312
Thanks

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30663. RE: [dfwscan] Fwd: Zeta drug enforcers built international radio system to elude authorities, newly released documents say

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-28 23:52:11 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 30657 Message: 30663

Reading the agreement, they installed repeaters and towers extensively throughout Mexico.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Patrick J. Hennessey
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2009 02:03 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Fwd: Zeta drug enforcers built international radio system to elude authorities, newly released documents say

This seems like an article of interest to radio buffs. I'm guessing these Zeta's probably know what spread spectrum is...

Sent from a mobile device.

Begin forwarded message:

> Date: August 25, 2009 1:28:34 PM CDT
> To: Patrick Hennessey <spectre9@... <mailto:spectre9%40gmail.com> >
> Subject: Zeta drug enforcers built international radio system to
> elude authorities, newly released documents say
>

>
> Sent to you via Google Reader
>
> Zeta drug enforcers built international radio system to elude
> authorities, newly released documents say
>
> For years, we've heard that the Zetas, which began when a team of
> Mexican special forces soliders who began working for the Gulf
> Cartel, had high-tech capabilities. Newly-released plea papers of
> Jose Luis Del Toro Estrada, the Zetas' communication expert (his
> nickname is Tecnico) in Houston show how elaborate their network
> really is. The system, which was centered on Mexico but stretched
> elsewhere into Central America, is designed to elude law
> enforcement, which regularly monitors traffickers' cell phones.
> Apparently, according to the McAllen Monitor's story, no one is
> regularly listening to this sort of radio traffic, or at least
> they're not admitting it publicly.
>
>
>
> We've reported that the Zetas have had a presence in Dallas, which

> is a well-known cocaine distribution center. But there's no
> indication - yet - that these sorts of capabilities stretched up
> this far north of the border. Guess we wouldn't necessarily know if
> it did, since it's designed for stealth.
>
>
>
> Jose Luis Del Toro Estrada Plea Agreement
> --Jason Trahan / Reporter
>
>
> ---
> Sent from a mobile device.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30664. Dallas Bank Robbery - In Progress

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-29 14:21:38 UTC
From: K Jack
Topic: 30657 Message: 30664

4 shots fired inside Chase Bank intersection of Lovers and Inwood

DPD Channel 5

9:20am

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30665. Fw: balloon up

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-29 16:22:55 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30665 Message: 30665

See if some of you folks can get into it.
Someone near Waco just got into it.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...>
To: "houstonscan list" <houstonscan@...>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 11:04 AM
Subject: balloon up

> Helium balloon sent up with radios from Wharton airport.
> Crossband repeater on 147.435 MHz uplink, 446.000 MHz downlink.
> Went up at 10:35am. Last heard it was at 23,000'.
> Expect them to get close to 100,000'.
> This is webpage with more info:
> http://www.w5acm.net/b25.html
>
> Don't pay attention to the 146.000 uplink though.
> ---------------------------------
> Myles Barkman | KG5AI | mailto:kg5ai*at*comcast.net | Houston TX
> Freq Zone http://home.comcast.net/~freqzone
> HoustonScan@yahoogroups.com list owner
> IPN-HOU064 | Texas Gulf Coast Search & Rescue

30666. balloon location

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-29 16:26:14 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30666 Message: 30666

http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi?call=w5acm-9

Myles Barkman | KG5AI | mailto:kg5ai*at*comcast.net | Houston TX
Freq Zone http://home.comcast.net/~freqzone
HoustonScan@yahoogroups.com list owner
IPN-HOU064 | Texas Gulf Coast Search & Rescue

30667. Re: [dfwscan] balloon location

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-29 17:37:57 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 30666 Message: 30667

That's a GREAT link, Myles! It does, I find, require manual
refreshing. I wish there were some way to retain previous positions
so one could see something resembling a flight track.

Toby

At 11:26 AM 8/29/2009, Myles Barkman wrote:
>
>
><http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi?call=w5acm-9>http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi
?call=w5acm-9
>----------
>Myles Barkman | KG5AI | mailto:kg5ai*at*comcast.net | Houston TX
>Freq Zone <http://home.comcast.net/~freqzone>http://home.comcast.net/~freqzone
><mailto:HoustonScan%40yahoogroups.com>HoustonScan@yahoogroups.com list owner
>IPN-HOU064 | Texas Gulf Coast Search & Rescue

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30668. Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-29 18:06:50 UTC
From: w_r_adams1
Topic: 30668 Message: 30668

It may be possible to hear the current shuttle mission on the freqencies provided at the following website:

http://www.zarya.info/Frequencies/FrequenciesISS.php
<http://www.zarya.info/Frequencies/FrequenciesISS.php>

Possible listening periods for my location in Mansfield are in this table, generated on the www.heavens-above.com <http://www.heavens-above.com> site.

Date Starts Max. Altitude Ends Duration Time Alt. Az. Time Alt. Az. Time Alt. Az. 29-Aug 1:10:34 10 W 1:12:55 22 NW 1:15:17 10 NNE 0:02:22 29-Aug 7:36:22 10 NNW 7:38:59 28 NE 7:41:32 10 ESE 0:02:33 29-Aug 9:12:15 10 W 9:13:59 15 SW 9:15:43 10 SSW 0:01:44 30-Aug 23:59:41 10 SW 0:02:34 89 NE 0:05:25 10 NE 0:02:51 30-Aug 6:27:42 10 NNE 6:28:23 11 NNE 6:29:04 10 NE 0:00:41 30-Aug 8:00:59 10 NW 8:03:48 61 SW 8:06:45 10 SE 0:02:57 30-Aug 22:50:13 10 S 22:52:23 19 SE 22:54:33 10 E 0:02:10 31-Aug 0:25:13 10 W 0:27:31 21 NW 0:29:48 10 NNE 0:02:17 31-Aug 6:50:55 10 NNW 6:53:33 30 NE 6:56:09 10 ESE 0:02:36 31-Aug 8:26:59 10 W 8:28:31 14 SW 8:30:06 10 SSW 0:01:35 31-Aug 23:14:14 10 SW 23:17:07 82 NW 23:19:58 10 NE 0:02:51 1-Sep 5:42:01 10 NNE 5:42:57 11 NNE 5:43:53 10 NE 0:00:56 1-Sep 7:15:33 10 NW 7:18:20 55 SW 7:21:16 10 SSE 0:02:56 1-Sep 22:04:39 10 S 22:06:54 21 SE 22:09:10 10 ENE 0:02:16 1-Sep 23:39:50 10 W 23:42:03 20 NW 23:44:17 10 NNE 0:02:14 2-Sep 6:05:24 10 NNW 6:08:04 32 NE 6:10:43 10 ESE 0:02:39 2-Sep 7:41:41 10 W 7:43:02 13 SW 7:44:23 10 SSW 0:01:21 2-Sep 22:28:45 10 SW 22:31:37 75 NW 22:34:28 10 NE 0:02:51 3-Sep 4:56:20 10 N 4:57:28 12 NNE 4:58:35 10 NE 0:01:07 3-Sep 6:30:04 10 NW 6:32:49 50 SW 6:35:43 10 SSE 0:02:54 3-Sep 21:19:02 10 S 21:21:22 23 SE 21:23:43 10 ENE 0:02:21 3-Sep 22:54:24 10 W 22:56:33 19 NW 22:58:42 10 NNE 0:02:09 4-Sep 5:19:50 10 NNW 5:22:32 34 NE 5:25:13 10 ESE 0:02:41 4-Sep 6:56:23 10 WSW 6:57:29 12 SW 6:58:34 10 SSW 0:01:05 4-Sep 21:43:12 10 SW 21:46:04 69 NW 21:48:55 10 NE 0:02:51 5-Sep 4:10:38 10 N 4:11:55 12 NNE 4:13:13 10 ENE 0:01:18 5-Sep 5:44:32 10 WNW 5:47:15 46 SW 5:50:07 10 SSE 0:02:52 5-Sep 20:33:22 10 S 20:35:46 24 SE 20:38:12 10 ENE 0:02:26 5-Sep 22:08:54 10 W 22:10:59 18 NW 22:13:03 10 N 0:02:04 6-Sep 4:34:14 10 NNW 4:36:57 37 NE 4:39:40 10 ESE 0:02:43 6-Sep 6:11:08 10 WSW 6:11:52 11 SW 6:12:37 10 SW 0:00:45 6-Sep 20:57:36 10 SW 21:00:27 63 NW 21:03:18 10 NE 0:02:51 7-Sep 3:24:54 10 N 3:26:20 13 NNE 3:27:45 10 ENE 0:01:25 7-Sep 4:58:56 10 WNW 5:01:42 42 SW 5:04:28 10 SSE 0:02:46 7-Sep 19:47:39 10 S 19:50:08 26 SE 19:52:37 10 ENE 0:02:29 7-Sep 21:23:22 10 W 21:25:22 17 NW 21:27:21 10 N 0:01:59

Bill

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30669. Re: [dfwscan] balloon location

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-29 18:43:12 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 30666 Message: 30669

Wonderful!

Toby

At 12:59 PM 8/29/2009, Matt Norwood wrote:
>Try http://aprs.fi
>
>Matt
>
>
>
>On Aug 29, 2009, at 12:37 PM, Toby Zidle <tobyz7@...> wrote:
>
>>That's a GREAT link, Myles! It does, I find, require manual
>>refreshing. I wish there were some way to retain previous positions
>>so one could see something resembling a flight track.
>>
>>Toby
>>
>>
>>At 11:26 AM 8/29/2009, Myles Barkman wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>><http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi?call=w5acm-9>http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi?call=w5acm-9
>>>----------
>>>Myles Barkman | KG5AI | mailto:kg5ai*at*comcast.net | Houston TX
>>>Freq Zone
>>><http://home.comcast.net/~freqzone>http://home.comcast.net/~freqzone
>>><mailto:HoustonScan%40yahoogroups.com>HoustonScan@yahoogroups.com
>>>list owner
>>>IPN-HOU064 | Texas Gulf Coast Search & Rescue

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30670. Johnso Co accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-29 19:44:30 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30670 Message: 30670

Don't have the details, but a 3rd helo is enroute to an accident north of Godley at 14:45.

Ben

30671. Weekly FCC grants August 23-29

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-30 12:58:29 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30671 Message: 30671

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30672. Flower Mound search

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-08-31 00:21:38 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30672 Message: 30672

Don't have enough details to IPN it, but sounds like Flower Mound is searching for a kayak along with Denton, and a DPS helo has been requested.
19:21

30673. INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-01 14:31:23 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 30673 Message: 30673

Interesting new law this Sep 1st. Some teeth for local law enforcement instead of just the FCC for this type of crime. The problem will be, as always, finding the fools. But a nice law to have.

Gary
W5ETA

INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)
Creates a new offense if a person intentionally interrupts, disrupts, impedes, jams, or otherwise interferes with a radio frequency used by a law enforcement agency, fire department, or emergency medical services provider. The offense is a Class A misdemeanor. The offense is a state jail felony if the actor committed the offense with the intent to facilitate the commission of another offense or interfere with the ability of a law enforcement agency, a fire department, or an emergency medical services provider to respond to an emergency.
READ THE BILL:
http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/tlodocs/81R/billtext/html/SB01273F.htm

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30674. Re: [dfwscan] INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-01 14:41:33 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30673 Message: 30674

Gary Moseley wrote:
> Interesting new law this Sep 1st. Some teeth for local law enforcement
> instead of just the FCC for this type of crime. The problem will be, as
> always, finding the fools. But a nice law to have.
>
> Gary
> W5ETA
>
> INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)
> Creates a new offense if a person intentionally interrupts, disrupts,
> impedes, jams, or otherwise interferes with a radio frequency used by a law
> enforcement agency, fire department, or emergency medical services provider.
> The offense is a Class A misdemeanor. The offense is a state jail felony if
> the actor committed the offense with the intent to facilitate the commission
> of another offense or interfere with the ability of a law enforcement
> agency, a fire department, or an emergency medical services provider to
> respond to an emergency.
> READ THE BILL:
> http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/tlodocs/81R/billtext/html/SB01273F.htm

Interesting... I think there is an issue with jurisdiction on this one..
The FCC regulates the airwaves, not the states... Is this just a bill
or is it a law?

--
Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
N5ERS VP/Trustee
Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-110.9 927.875 PL-103.5

30675. Re: [dfwscan] INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-01 14:48:06 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 30673 Message: 30675

State law effective today.

Gary
W5ETA

On Tue, Sep 1, 2009 at 9:41 AM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

>
>
> Gary Moseley wrote:
> > Interesting new law this Sep 1st. Some teeth for local law enforcement
> > instead of just the FCC for this type of crime. The problem will be, as
> > always, finding the fools. But a nice law to have.
> >
> > Gary
> > W5ETA
> >
> > INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)
> > Creates a new offense if a person intentionally interrupts, disrupts,
> > impedes, jams, or otherwise interferes with a radio frequency used by a
> law
> > enforcement agency, fire department, or emergency medical services
> provider.
> > The offense is a Class A misdemeanor. The offense is a state jail felony
> if
> > the actor committed the offense with the intent to facilitate the
> commission
> > of another offense or interfere with the ability of a law enforcement
> > agency, a fire department, or an emergency medical services provider to
> > respond to an emergency.
> > READ THE BILL:
> > http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/tlodocs/81R/billtext/html/SB01273F.htm
>
> Interesting... I think there is an issue with jurisdiction on this one..
> The FCC regulates the airwaves, not the states... Is this just a bill
> or is it a law?
>
> --
> Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
> ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
> N5ERS VP/Trustee
> Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
> Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-110.9 927.875 PL-103.5
>

>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30676. Re: [dfwscan] INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-01 14:52:37 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 30673 Message: 30676

And it is not about regulating the airwaves as much as the intentional interference with public safety, so there is no jurisdictional problems.

This will be a good law to have in the back pocket of law enforcement. Obviously, the occasions that someone is actually charged with this offense will be very small. But for those times that all the stars are aligned perfectly and we (we cause I are one) can actually find someone who is interfering with public safety radio traffic to the point that it meets the criteria...it will be useful.

Gary
W5ETA

On Tue, Sep 1, 2009 at 9:41 AM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

>
>
> Gary Moseley wrote:
> > Interesting new law this Sep 1st. Some teeth for local law enforcement
> > instead of just the FCC for this type of crime. The problem will be, as
> > always, finding the fools. But a nice law to have.
> >
> > Gary
> > W5ETA
> >
> > INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)
> > Creates a new offense if a person intentionally interrupts, disrupts,
> > impedes, jams, or otherwise interferes with a radio frequency used by a
> law
> > enforcement agency, fire department, or emergency medical services
> provider.
> > The offense is a Class A misdemeanor. The offense is a state jail felony
> if
> > the actor committed the offense with the intent to facilitate the
> commission
> > of another offense or interfere with the ability of a law enforcement
> > agency, a fire department, or an emergency medical services provider to
> > respond to an emergency.
> > READ THE BILL:
> > http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/tlodocs/81R/billtext/html/SB01273F.htm
>
> Interesting... I think there is an issue with jurisdiction on this one..
> The FCC regulates the airwaves, not the states... Is this just a bill
> or is it a law?
>

> --
> Jay Urish W5GM WQHV724 --462.700
> ARRL NTX Section Manager, Denton County VEC, Life Member
> N5ERS VP/Trustee
> Home)972-691-0125 Cell)972-965-6229
> Monitoring 444.850 PL-88.5 145.230 PL-110.9 927.875 PL-103.5
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30677. Re: [dfwscan] INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-01 14:58:34 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30673 Message: 30677

Do the Skywarn frequencies fall into this when there is storm spotter activation? From previous messages I would say this is a more important issue.

I'm thinking the root of this law is probably directed towards drug gangs and large-scale criminal groups more than the individual who gets his thrills being a pain.

--- On Tue, 9/1/09, Gary Moseley <gary.moseley@...> wrote:

From: Gary Moseley <gary.moseley@...>

Interesting new law this Sep 1st. Some teeth for local law enforcement instead of just the FCC for this type of crime. The problem will be, as always, finding the fools. But a nice law to have.

INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)
Creates a new offense if a person intentionally interrupts, disrupts, impedes, jams, or otherwise interferes with a radio frequency used by a law enforcement agency, fire department, or emergency medical services provider. The offense is a Class A misdemeanor. The offense is a state jail felony if the actor committed the offense with the intent to facilitate the commission of another offense or interfere with the ability of a law enforcement agency, a fire department, or an emergency medical services provider to respond to an emergency.
READ THE BILL:
http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/tlodocs/81R/billtext/html/SB01273F.htm

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30678. Re: INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-01 17:14:05 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30673 Message: 30678

--- On Tue, 9/1/09, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:

>Do the Skywarn frequencies fall into this when there is storm spotter > >activation?

I would tend to agree with Bill on this one. Guess it's up to the FCC and ham club, but still there have been some real jackasses that have gotten on the SKYWARN nets lately and wreaked some havoc during severe weather, to the point the NWS and storm spotters in the path of tornadoes, hail, winds, etc. were not able to get out critical info. THAT is certainly a VERY SERIOUS issue, and a matter of PUBLIC SAFETY, IMO.

Blaine

30679. OT: (Last time - Promise) - Please Help - Vote One More Time!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-01 20:03:14 UTC
From: David W. Carroll
Topic: 30679 Message: 30679

Hi fellow list members. I promise this will be the last post on this topic.

Refresher: I am trying to help my daughters win the Yogi Bear Jellystone Park 40th Anniversary video contest and we need as many votes as we can get.

There are over 60 videos entered and we need to be in the Top 5 in order to make it to The Finals.

Would you please take a moment and vote again for our entry? The link is:

http://jellystonefun.com/videos?id=101

(Note: Upon voting you will need to confirm via an email they will send you. You can vote with every email address you have.)

The Grand Prize is $10,000 and we only have 1 week left to get out vote total up.

Getting votes has been A LOT harder than I ever imagined. Your help would be very much appreciated

THANKS for your support!

David Carroll

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30680. Re: INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO FREQUENCY (SB 1273)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-01 21:07:17 UTC
From: ke5vxs
Topic: 30673 Message: 30680

I would hope that we can get the frequencies used by Skywarn and the NWS covered.
I know in collin county once a net goes up every jackass starts to key there radio and jam the repeater.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:
>
> --- On Tue, 9/1/09, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
> >Do the Skywarn frequencies fall into this when there is storm spotter > >activation?
>
> I would tend to agree with Bill on this one. Guess it's up to the FCC and ham club, but still there have been some real jackasses that have gotten on the SKYWARN nets lately and wreaked some havoc during severe weather, to the point the NWS and storm spotters in the path of tornadoes, hail, winds, etc. were not able to get out critical info. THAT is certainly a VERY SERIOUS issue, and a matter of PUBLIC SAFETY, IMO.
>
>
> Blaine
>

30681. Dallas PD North East Detectives back on 9072

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-01 21:40:22 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 30681 Message: 30681

This week the Dallas North East Detectives for Channel 2 are back on talk group ID 9072. They were on 22656 last week. I heard them talk a little about it and sounds like they can use either one. One is supposed to be a backup. Not sure which is primary and which is secondary. Will monitor to see which one they stay on. You should program both into your scanner just in case. In their conversation they led me to believe other detective channels would have two talk group ID's but I haven't heard the others. Nor do I listen to those as much as I do North East. Others out there will have to try and catch them. Put your scanner on ID Search late at night and record your findings.

Jay Pinkerton
KE5FMS

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30682. downtown Fort Worth - falling glass

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-03 12:09:33 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30682 Message: 30682

GREEN - FWPD HAS THE 500 TO 700 BLOCKS OF MAIN STREET SHUT DOWN DUE TO FALLING GLASS FROM ONE OF THE BUILDINGS DOWNTOWN. OFFICERS HAVE BEEN GIVEN AN E.T.A OF 0900 AS TO WHEN THE JOB WILL BE COMPLETED.

30683. RE: [dfwscan] downtown Fort Worth - falling glass

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-03 15:53:27 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30682 Message: 30683

GREEN?

On Thursday, September 3, 2009 07:09, "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@bensware.com> said:

> GREEN - FWPD HAS THE 500 TO 700 BLOCKS OF MAIN STREET SHUT DOWN DUE TO
> FALLING GLASS FROM ONE OF THE BUILDINGS DOWNTOWN.

30684. RE: [dfwscan] downtown Fort Worth - falling glass

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-03 16:00:36 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30682 Message: 30684

Green glass? Maybe Heineken bottles are falling off someone's balcony?

Probably has something to do with releasing it to the media and someone forgot to delete it before passing it on.

--- On Thu, 9/3/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...> wrote:

GREEN?

> GREEN - FWPD HAS THE 500 TO 700 BLOCKS OF MAIN STREET SHUT DOWN DUE TO
> FALLING GLASS FROM ONE OF THE BUILDINGS DOWNTOWN.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30685. article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-04 11:52:52 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30685 Message: 30685

It does not give much in the way of details.
Ben

"Arlington will get a more reliable communication system to help the police and fire departments handle emergencies thanks to $500,000 in federal funding, officials said. "

Read entire article at:
http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1586505.html

30686. FW: [Shortwave-SWL-Antenna] Radio Towers Toppled in Washington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-04 17:42:31 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 30686 Message: 30686

From another group.

-----Original Message-----
From: Shortwave-SWL-Antenna@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:Shortwave-SWL-Antenna@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of kugellagers
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 11:39 AM
To: Shortwave-SWL-Antenna@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [Shortwave-SWL-Antenna] Radio Towers Toppled in Washington

Anyone see this article?

http://www.kirotv.com/news/20723839/detail.html

Pretty crazy. Amazing (Too bad) the jerks didn't get killed. The tower fell
on the backhoe.

John
];')

30687. Lewisville and Denton County FD Live Audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-04 20:17:06 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 30687 Message: 30687

Hey guys,

For some time now I've hosted the Denton County Fire Departments live audio feed. As of today I have added a second feed for just the Lewisville Fire Department. Both feeds may be accessed via the Radio Reference website here: http://www.radioreference.com/apps/audio/?ctid=2583

They also work on your iPhone and other mobile devices. If you have the Emergency Radio App for iPhone the Denton County feed is there however the Lewisville one has not been added yet. It should be available with the next update. Enjoy!

Brandon Thetford

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30688. RE: [dfwscan] Lewisville and Denton County FD Live Audio

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-04 21:00:59 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 30687 Message: 30688

The blackberry app that runs the same feeds is called bbscanner

http://www.bbscanner.com/

I have it on mine and it works well.

I also provide a feed for Dallas Fire / Rescue at

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/audio/?feedId=1420

Hopefully people traveling for the Labor Day Weekend will be safe. If you are out of the area and want to monitor Dallas, or as Brandon mentioned the Denton County or Lewisville stuff there are great apps for the BlackBerry and iPhone.

Sprint HTC Touch Pro
Blackberry 8320 PIN 247FA6E9
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Radio Service and Technical Consulting
http://www.capstonewirelessllc.com/Handsetrepair.htm
http://www.twowayshopper.com
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/GMRSDallas
http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/TreoPro

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brandon Thetford
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 3:17 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Lewisville and Denton County FD Live Audio

Hey guys,

For some time now I've hosted the Denton County Fire Departments live audio feed. As of today I have added a second feed for just the Lewisville Fire Department. Both feeds may be accessed via the Radio Reference website here: http://www.radioref
<http://www.radioreference.com/apps/audio/?ctid=2583>
erence.com/apps/audio/?ctid=2583

They also work on your iPhone and other mobile devices. If you have the Emergency Radio App for iPhone the Denton County feed is there however the Lewisville one has not been added yet. It should be available with the next update. Enjoy!

Brandon Thetford

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30689. Re: [dfwscan] FW: [Shortwave-SWL-Antenna] Radio Towers Toppled in Washington

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-05 01:09:51 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 30686 Message: 30689

Too bad they failed to win a Darwin award... Bonehead enviromarxists... They belong in the same place lawyers belong...

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

--- On Fri, 9/4/09, Mike Hutchins <m-hutchins@...> wrote:

From: Mike Hutchins <m-hutchins@...m>
Subject: [dfwscan] FW: [Shortwave-SWL-Antenna] Radio Towers Toppled in Washington
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups. com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Friday, September 4, 2009, 12:42 PM

From another group.

-----Original Message-----
From: Shortwave-SWL-Antenna@yahoogroups.com
[mailto:Shortwave-SWL-Antenna@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of kugellagers
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 11:39 AM
To: Shortwave-SWL-Antenna@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [Shortwave-SWL-Antenna] Radio Towers Toppled in Washington

Anyone see this article?

http://www.kirotv.com/news/20723839/detail.html

Pretty crazy. Amazing (Too bad) the jerks didn't get killed. The tower fell on the backhoe.

John
];')

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30690. Re: Looking for someone in Parker County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-05 02:37:04 UTC
From: scottbomb
Topic: 30644 Message: 30690

I haven't heard the Parker Co. SO in weeks. Don't know what's wrong as I'm picking up the control channels just fine. I think I may need to make a visit to some towers and do a close call search.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "gregg.alemy" <gregg.alemy@...> wrote:
>
> I was just in parker county today and could not hear a dang thing on my pro-106, I am thinking i May have it programmed wrong, if anyone else has a pro106 and has parker county, can you please email me a copy of the file for me to see if I did something wrong
>
> thanks
> gregg
>

30691. RE: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-05 20:12:34 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 30685 Message: 30691

IS there a reliability problem with the current system???

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 06:53 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

It does not give much in the way of details.
Ben

"Arlington will get a more reliable communication system to help the police and fire departments handle emergencies thanks to $500,000 in federal funding, officials said. "

Read entire article at:
http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1586505.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30692. RE: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-05 23:15:43 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 30685 Message: 30692

Sounds like currently their Trunked system towers are linked by fiber optic lines and they are going to replace them with a microwave link system to the main controller. Microwave must be more reliable??? And like our esteemed mayor said, we can't have anything like what happened in New York on 9-11 happen here in Arlington!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russell Adams
Sent: Saturday, September 05, 2009 3:13 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

IS there a reliability problem with the current system???

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com] On Behalf Of
Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 06:53 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Subject: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

It does not give much in the way of details.
Ben

"Arlington will get a more reliable communication system to help the police and fire departments handle emergencies thanks to $500,000 in federal funding, officials said. "

Read entire article at:
http://www.star-
<http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1586505.html>
telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1586505.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30693. Re: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-05 23:29:09 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30685 Message: 30693

Microwave is much less likely to suffer from backhoe fade! And you'd be amazed what leased lines cost - I've seen several places spend some money up-front and actually save money in the long run. A single T1 can run north of a grand a month depending on local loop issues...

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...>

Date: Sat, 5 Sep 2009 18:15:43
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

Sounds like currently their Trunked system towers are linked by fiber optic lines and they are going to replace them with a microwave link system to the main controller. Microwave must be more reliable??? And like our esteemed mayor said, we can't have anything like what happened in New York on 9-11 happen here in Arlington!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Russell Adams
Sent: Saturday, September 05, 2009 3:13 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

IS there a reliability problem with the current system???

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com] On Behalf Of
Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 06:53 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Subject: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

It does not give much in the way of details.
Ben

"Arlington will get a more reliable communication system to help the police and fire departments handle emergencies thanks to $500,000 in federal

funding, officials said. "

Read entire article at:
http://www.star-
<http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1586505.html>
telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1586505.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30694. RE: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-06 01:04:17 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 30685 Message: 30694

I completely understand the cost angle and the desire to bypass facilities that may belong other entities. Tie that with the flexibility to point the dishes where you need to without buying/leasing land, etc. But just say that. Don't say microwave is more reliable than fiber.

Russell

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of tvsjr@...
Sent: Saturday, September 05, 2009 06:29 PM
To: Mark Colborn; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

Microwave is much less likely to suffer from backhoe fade! And you'd be amazed what leased lines cost - I've seen several places spend some money up-front and actually save money in the long run. A single T1 can run north of a grand a month depending on local loop issues...

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...
<mailto:kd5elf%40worldnet.att.net> >

Date: Sat, 5 Sep 2009 18:15:43
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> >
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks to federal funds

Sounds like currently their Trunked system towers are linked by fiber optic lines and they are going to replace them with a microwave link system to the

main controller. Microwave must be more reliable??? And like our esteemed mayor said, we can't have anything like what happened in New York on 9-11 happen here in Arlington!

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
Russell Adams
Sent: Saturday, September 05, 2009 3:13 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system

thanks to federal funds

IS there a reliability problem with the current system???

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
[mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com] On
Behalf Of
Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 06:53 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Subject: [dfwscan] article: Arlington to get new communication system thanks

to federal funds

It does not give much in the way of details.
Ben

"Arlington will get a more reliable communication system to help the police
and fire departments handle emergencies thanks to $500,000 in federal
funding, officials said. "

Read entire article at:
http://www.star-
<http://www.star-telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1586505.html>
telegram.com/arlington_news/story/1586505.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30695. Weekly FCC grants August 30-September 05

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-06 12:04:38 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30695 Message: 30695

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30696. Westworth (Village) PD/Breakthrough Communications

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-06 23:55:08 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30696 Message: 30696

Hello All,

I've been monitoring the TGs used by Westworth PD on the Breakthrough Communications LTR system the last two days and have not logged any traffic. I have been hearing them on 453.325/D343, their TALK1 (in the past). It sounds like it may be their dispatch channel nowadays. Has anyone heard any news or know if they have dropped off of the BC LTR TRS? I'm thinking they may have gone back to their old UHF channels from what I've heard.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30697. Mummified Body in FTW

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-07 00:32:17 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30697 Message: 30697

Did anyone catch the location earlier this morning/afternoon related to the "mummified body" FWPD Crime Scene units were getting Air 1 to fly over and give a bird's eye view of. Air 1 was unable to see the body due to trees, but it was reportedly by a trash pile. I never did hear the details or location and was curious if anyone else caught any info.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30698. Flower Mound work Brushfire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-08 21:15:26 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30698 Message: 30698

FM fire-1
501 out with brush 503 and brush 502 in route.

30699. Re: [dfwscan] Flower Mound work Brushfire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-08 21:18:32 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30698 Message: 30699

Jay Urish wrote:
> FM fire-1
> 501 out with brush 503 and brush 502 in route.
>
>
>

Now they are shutting down 2499...

Must be bigger than I thought.

30700. For Sale: Air Band Scanner Sporty's JD100

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-08 22:37:02 UTC
From: Paul Baumgardner
Topic: 30700 Message: 30700

As new condition.....$50.

Airband Scanner from 118 to 142.975 AM and 220-399.975 AM. 20 memory channels.

Near DFW Airport.

Thank you.

Paul Baumgardner

30701. For Sale: Old TrunkTracker

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-08 22:42:54 UTC
From: Paul Baumgardner
Topic: 30701 Message: 30701

Vintage BC-245XT Analog only---TG ID only---Trunk Tracker--- $25

DFW Airport Area.

Paul Baumgardner

30702. fire at assisted living center on morriss rd in fl mound

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-09 01:47:44 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30702 Message: 30702

They just called another engine. small fire in parking lot. heavy smoke in south hall.

30703. RE: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-09 04:50:54 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30647 Message: 30703

This has been Ellis County SO Ch. 2 and Public Works Ch. 7 since at least the 1990's. I think it may have been licensed with them many moons ago but I haven't seen a license for them on this frequency in a long, long time. The PL is still 107.2 as far as I know...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 8:11 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

I have recently begun hearing Ellis County fire traffic on 155.115. Mostly, it is a transponder-like recorded voice reply to fire tone-outs. For instance, a tone will be sent on the main fire channels (154.265/155.58), and a second later, a recorded voice replies on 155.115 with "Milford has received the page." Then the dispatcher voices the run information on the main channel.

This morning, I am also hearing Ellis County SO dispatch talking to animal control officers on this same frequency.

155.115 is not listed to Ellis County on RR.com. Does anyone know what the deal is and when it started?

Rob

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30704. RE: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-09 04:57:29 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30647 Message: 30704

I know the FCC site use to (and probably still does) have explanations for the various modes and numbers describing voice, data, etc. The old bookmark to this information is dead like Versions 1 & 2 of the online FCC database. Is there a way to tell from the below information if these will be digital frequencies? Thanks...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Terry
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 8:15 AM
To: rob.davis@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] New Ellis County Frequency

Rob, not sure what's up, maybe testing??? These just showed up on the FCC list that Glenn post:

PW WQKR458
ELLIS COUNTY E. S. D. NO. 6
[ELLIS COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 6 IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FIRE AND OTHER EMERGENCIES SERVICES FOR A DISTRICT IN ELLIS COUNTY, TEXAS.]
Control Point 1 - 405 WEST FRANKLIN STREET, WAXAHACHIE TX 972-825-3720 (link)
08/21/2009 License Issued
1 - 405 WEST FRANKLIN STREET, WAXAHACHIE (ELLIS) TX (map)
155.4375 FB2 60p 200e 11K2F3E 11K2F9W 7K60FXE 8K30F7W
2 - (ELLIS) TX Countywide
159.2925 MO 40p 80e 11K2F3E 11K2F9W 7K60FXE 8K30F7W
155.4375 MO 40p 80e 11K2F3E 11K2F9W 7K60FXE 8K30F7W
3 - TX Land Mobile Control Station Meeting 20 Rule
159.2925 FX1 25p 50e 11K2F3E 11K2F9W 7K60FXE 8K30F7W

Terry

nfo/terms/

30705. For Sale: Yaesu VX-170

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-09 14:03:55 UTC
From: Gary Moseley
Topic: 30705 Message: 30705

In like new condition, no scratches or marks, battery and charger included.
I am in the Cleburne area.

$85 firm.

Gary
W5ETA

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30706. RE: [dfwscan] Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-09 19:54:42 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 30668 Message: 30706

The overhead pass (southwest to northeast) last night around 8:15pm was very impressive. The Shuttle was trailing the ISS about a hands length in the sky (have no idea how many miles that would be in space!) but both were very bright and visible for several minutes. Heavens Above lists another pass tonight, but the ISS will be much dimmer.

Mark

-----Original Message-----
From: commscan [mailto:commscan-ftw@...]
Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2009 8:28 PM
To: 'Mark Colborn'
Cc: roto5411@...
Subject: FW: [dfwscan] Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of w_r_adams1
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 1:07 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle

It may be possible to hear the current shuttle mission on the freqencies provided at the following website:

http://www.zarya.info/Frequencies/FrequenciesISS.php
<http://www.zarya.info/Frequencies/FrequenciesISS.php>

Possible listening periods for my location in Mansfield are in this table, generated on the www.heavens-above.com
<http://www.heavens-above.com> site.

Date Starts Max. Altitude Ends Duration Time Alt. Az. Time Alt. Az. Time Alt. Az. 29-Aug 1:10:34 10 W 1:12:55 22 NW 1:15:17 10 NNE 0:02:22 29-Aug 7:36:22 10 NNW 7:38:59 28 NE 7:41:32 10 ESE 0:02:33 29-Aug 9:12:15 10 W 9:13:59 15 SW 9:15:43 10 SSW 0:01:44 30-Aug 23:59:41 10 SW 0:02:34 89 NE 0:05:25 10 NE 0:02:51 30-Aug 6:27:42 10 NNE 6:28:23 11 NNE 6:29:04 10 NE 0:00:41 30-Aug 8:00:59 10 NW 8:03:48 61 SW 8:06:45 10 SE 0:02:57 30-Aug 22:50:13 10 S 22:52:23 19 SE 22:54:33 10 E 0:02:10 31-Aug 0:25:13 10 W 0:27:31 21 NW 0:29:48 10 NNE 0:02:17 31-Aug 6:50:55 10 NNW 6:53:33 30 NE 6:56:09 10 ESE 0:02:36 31-Aug 8:26:59 10 W 8:28:31 14 SW 8:30:06 10 SSW 0:01:35 31-Aug 23:14:14 10 SW 23:17:07 82 NW 23:19:58 10 NE 0:02:51 1-Sep 5:42:01 10 NNE 5:42:57 11 NNE 5:43:53 10 NE 0:00:56 1-Sep 7:15:33 10 NW 7:18:20 55

SW 7:21:16 10 SSE 0:02:56 1-Sep 22:04:39 10 S 22:06:54 21 SE 22:09:10
10 ENE 0:02:16 1-Sep 23:39:50 10 W 23:42:03 20 NW 23:44:17 10 NNE
0:02:14 2-Sep 6:05:24 10 NNW 6:08:04 32 NE 6:10:43 10 ESE 0:02:39
2-Sep 7:41:41 10 W 7:43:02 13 SW 7:44:23 10 SSW 0:01:21 2-Sep 22:28:45
10 SW 22:31:37 75 NW 22:34:28 10 NE 0:02:51 3-Sep 4:56:20 10 N 4:57:28
12 NNE 4:58:35 10 NE 0:01:07 3-Sep 6:30:04 10 NW 6:32:49 50 SW 6:35:43
10 SSE 0:02:54 3-Sep 21:19:02 10 S 21:21:22 23 SE 21:23:43 10 ENE
0:02:21 3-Sep 22:54:24 10 W 22:56:33 19 NW 22:58:42 10 NNE 0:02:09
4-Sep 5:19:50 10 NNW 5:22:32 34 NE 5:25:13 10 ESE 0:02:41 4-Sep 6:56:23
10 WSW 6:57:29 12 SW 6:58:34 10 SSW 0:01:05 4-Sep 21:43:12 10 SW
21:46:04 69 NW 21:48:55 10 NE 0:02:51 5-Sep 4:10:38 10 N 4:11:55 12 NNE
4:13:13 10 ENE 0:01:18 5-Sep 5:44:32 10 WNW 5:47:15 46 SW 5:50:07 10
SSE 0:02:52 5-Sep 20:33:22 10 S 20:35:46 24 SE 20:38:12 10 ENE 0:02:26
5-Sep 22:08:54 10 W 22:10:59 18 NW 22:13:03 10 N 0:02:04 6-Sep 4:34:14
10 NNW 4:36:57 37 NE 4:39:40 10 ESE 0:02:43 6-Sep 6:11:08 10 WSW
6:11:52 11 SW 6:12:37 10 SW 0:00:45 6-Sep 20:57:36 10 SW 21:00:27 63 NW
21:03:18 10 NE 0:02:51 7-Sep 3:24:54 10 N 3:26:20 13 NNE 3:27:45 10 ENE
0:01:25 7-Sep 4:58:56 10 WNW 5:01:42 42 SW 5:04:28 10 SSE 0:02:46
7-Sep 19:47:39 10 S 19:50:08 26 SE 19:52:37 10 ENE 0:02:29 7-Sep
21:23:22 10 W 21:25:22 17 NW 21:27:21 10 N 0:01:59

Bill

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30707. DPD CH 8 460.125 under attack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-09 20:21:16 UTC
From: pwrigg
Topic: 30707 Message: 30707

If anybody has the ability to monitor the input of the DPD CH 8 repeater, 465.125 right now some idiot is re-boradcasting the NOAA weather radio on it.

Maybe someone out there can hear the input and help narrow down where its coming from.

To me sounds like someone with a cross band amateur raido, because when i first stratred hearing it i heard what sounded like button pushes, and the auido has been constant.

Maybe Dallas will be the first to use the new law against interfering with public safety transmissions.

30708. Re: [dfwscan] Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-10 00:38:55 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 30668 Message: 30708

What time and where do I look?

DT

www.CenterfieldAcademy.com

CenterfieldAcademy@...

On Sep 9, 2009, at 12:54, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...>
wrote:

> The overhead pass (southwest to northeast) last night around 8:15pm
> was very
> impressive. The Shuttle was trailing the ISS about a hands length in
> the sky
> (have no idea how many miles that would be in space!) but both were
> very
> bright and visible for several minutes. Heavens Above lists another
> pass
> tonight, but the ISS will be much dimmer.
>
> Mark
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: commscan [mailto:commscan-ftw@...]
> Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2009 8:28 PM
> To: 'Mark Colborn'
> Cc: roto5411@...
> Subject: FW: [dfwscan] Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of
> w_r_adams1
> Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 1:07 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle
>
> It may be possible to hear the current shuttle mission on the
> freqencies
> provided at the following website:
>
> http://www.zarya.info/Frequencies/FrequenciesISS.php

> <http://www.zarya.info/Frequencies/FrequenciesISS.php>
>
> Possible listening periods for my location in Mansfield are in this
> table, generated on the www.heavens-above.com
> <http://www.heavens-above.com> site.
>
> Date Starts Max. Altitude Ends Duration Time Alt. Az. Time Alt.
> Az. Time Alt. Az. 29-Aug 1:10:34 10 W 1:12:55 22 NW 1:15:17 10 NNE
> 0:02:22 29-Aug 7:36:22 10 NNW 7:38:59 28 NE 7:41:32 10 ESE 0:02:33
> 29-Aug 9:12:15 10 W 9:13:59 15 SW 9:15:43 10 SSW 0:01:44 30-Aug
> 23:59:41 10 SW 0:02:34 89 NE 0:05:25 10 NE 0:02:51 30-Aug 6:27:42 10
> NNE 6:28:23 11 NNE 6:29:04 10 NE 0:00:41 30-Aug 8:00:59 10 NW 8:03:48
> 61 SW 8:06:45 10 SE 0:02:57 30-Aug 22:50:13 10 S 22:52:23 19 SE
> 22:54:33 10 E 0:02:10 31-Aug 0:25:13 10 W 0:27:31 21 NW 0:29:48 10 NNE
> 0:02:17 31-Aug 6:50:55 10 NNW 6:53:33 30 NE 6:56:09 10 ESE 0:02:36
> 31-Aug 8:26:59 10 W 8:28:31 14 SW 8:30:06 10 SSW 0:01:35 31-Aug
> 23:14:14 10 SW 23:17:07 82 NW 23:19:58 10 NE 0:02:51 1-Sep 5:42:01 10
> NNE 5:42:57 11 NNE 5:43:53 10 NE 0:00:56 1-Sep 7:15:33 10 NW 7:18:20
> 55
> SW 7:21:16 10 SSE 0:02:56 1-Sep 22:04:39 10 S 22:06:54 21 SE 22:09:10
> 10 ENE 0:02:16 1-Sep 23:39:50 10 W 23:42:03 20 NW 23:44:17 10 NNE
> 0:02:14 2-Sep 6:05:24 10 NNW 6:08:04 32 NE 6:10:43 10 ESE 0:02:39
> 2-Sep 7:41:41 10 W 7:43:02 13 SW 7:44:23 10 SSW 0:01:21 2-Sep 22:28:45
> 10 SW 22:31:37 75 NW 22:34:28 10 NE 0:02:51 3-Sep 4:56:20 10 N 4:57:28
> 12 NNE 4:58:35 10 NE 0:01:07 3-Sep 6:30:04 10 NW 6:32:49 50 SW 6:35:43
> 10 SSE 0:02:54 3-Sep 21:19:02 10 S 21:21:22 23 SE 21:23:43 10 ENE
> 0:02:21 3-Sep 22:54:24 10 W 22:56:33 19 NW 22:58:42 10 NNE 0:02:09
> 4-Sep 5:19:50 10 NNW 5:22:32 34 NE 5:25:13 10 ESE 0:02:41 4-Sep
> 6:56:23
> 10 WSW 6:57:29 12 SW 6:58:34 10 SSW 0:01:05 4-Sep 21:43:12 10 SW
> 21:46:04 69 NW 21:48:55 10 NE 0:02:51 5-Sep 4:10:38 10 N 4:11:55 12
> NNE
> 4:13:13 10 ENE 0:01:18 5-Sep 5:44:32 10 WNW 5:47:15 46 SW 5:50:07 10
> SSE 0:02:52 5-Sep 20:33:22 10 S 20:35:46 24 SE 20:38:12 10 ENE 0:02:26
> 5-Sep 22:08:54 10 W 22:10:59 18 NW 22:13:03 10 N 0:02:04 6-Sep 4:34:14
> 10 NNW 4:36:57 37 NE 4:39:40 10 ESE 0:02:43 6-Sep 6:11:08 10 WSW
> 6:11:52 11 SW 6:12:37 10 SW 0:00:45 6-Sep 20:57:36 10 SW 21:00:27 63
> NW
> 21:03:18 10 NE 0:02:51 7-Sep 3:24:54 10 N 3:26:20 13 NNE 3:27:45 10
> ENE
> 0:01:25 7-Sep 4:58:56 10 WNW 5:01:42 42 SW 5:04:28 10 SSE 0:02:46
> 7-Sep 19:47:39 10 S 19:50:08 26 SE 19:52:37 10 ENE 0:02:29 7-Sep
> 21:23:22 10 W 21:25:22 17 NW 21:27:21 10 N 0:01:59
>
> Bill
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> ------------------------------------
>

> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30709. Re: [dfwscan] Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-10 00:39:17 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 30668 Message: 30709

http://spaceflight.nasa.gov/realdata/sightings/cities/view.cgi?country=United_States®ion=Texas&city=Dallas

You can also check out http://www.heavens-above.com/ which has some good information as well.

Don Teague
donteague.com

DT wrote:
> What time and where do I look?
>
> DT
>
>
> www.CenterfieldAcademy.com
>
> CenterfieldAcademy@...
>
> On Sep 9, 2009, at 12:54, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...>
> wrote:
>
>> The overhead pass (southwest to northeast) last night around 8:15pm
>> was very
>> impressive. The Shuttle was trailing the ISS about a hands length in
>> the sky
>> (have no idea how many miles that would be in space!) but both were
>> very
>> bright and visible for several minutes. Heavens Above lists another
>> pass
>> tonight, but the ISS will be much dimmer.
>>
>> Mark
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: commscan [mailto:commscan-ftw@...]
>> Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2009 8:28 PM
>> To: 'Mark Colborn'
>> Cc: roto5411@...
>> Subject: FW: [dfwscan] Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle
>>
>> Brian
>> Ft. Worth, TX
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On

>> Behalf Of
>> w_r_adams1
>> Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2009 1:07 PM
>> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [dfwscan] Listening opportunities ISS / Shuttle
>>
>> It may be possible to hear the current shuttle mission on the
>> freqencies
>> provided at the following website:
>>
>> http://www.zarya.info/Frequencies/FrequenciesISS.php
>> <http://www.zarya.info/Frequencies/FrequenciesISS.php>
>>
>> Possible listening periods for my location in Mansfield are in this
>> table, generated on the www.heavens-above.com
>> <http://www.heavens-above.com> site.
>>
>> Date Starts Max. Altitude Ends Duration Time Alt. Az. Time Alt.
>> Az. Time Alt. Az. 29-Aug 1:10:34 10 W 1:12:55 22 NW 1:15:17 10 NNE
>> 0:02:22 29-Aug 7:36:22 10 NNW 7:38:59 28 NE 7:41:32 10 ESE 0:02:33
>> 29-Aug 9:12:15 10 W 9:13:59 15 SW 9:15:43 10 SSW 0:01:44 30-Aug
>> 23:59:41 10 SW 0:02:34 89 NE 0:05:25 10 NE 0:02:51 30-Aug 6:27:42 10
>> NNE 6:28:23 11 NNE 6:29:04 10 NE 0:00:41 30-Aug 8:00:59 10 NW 8:03:48
>> 61 SW 8:06:45 10 SE 0:02:57 30-Aug 22:50:13 10 S 22:52:23 19 SE
>> 22:54:33 10 E 0:02:10 31-Aug 0:25:13 10 W 0:27:31 21 NW 0:29:48 10 NNE
>> 0:02:17 31-Aug 6:50:55 10 NNW 6:53:33 30 NE 6:56:09 10 ESE 0:02:36
>> 31-Aug 8:26:59 10 W 8:28:31 14 SW 8:30:06 10 SSW 0:01:35 31-Aug
>> 23:14:14 10 SW 23:17:07 82 NW 23:19:58 10 NE 0:02:51 1-Sep 5:42:01 10
>> NNE 5:42:57 11 NNE 5:43:53 10 NE 0:00:56 1-Sep 7:15:33 10 NW 7:18:20
>> 55
>> SW 7:21:16 10 SSE 0:02:56 1-Sep 22:04:39 10 S 22:06:54 21 SE 22:09:10
>> 10 ENE 0:02:16 1-Sep 23:39:50 10 W 23:42:03 20 NW 23:44:17 10 NNE
>> 0:02:14 2-Sep 6:05:24 10 NNW 6:08:04 32 NE 6:10:43 10 ESE 0:02:39
>> 2-Sep 7:41:41 10 W 7:43:02 13 SW 7:44:23 10 SSW 0:01:21 2-Sep 22:28:45
>> 10 SW 22:31:37 75 NW 22:34:28 10 NE 0:02:51 3-Sep 4:56:20 10 N 4:57:28
>> 12 NNE 4:58:35 10 NE 0:01:07 3-Sep 6:30:04 10 NW 6:32:49 50 SW 6:35:43
>> 10 SSE 0:02:54 3-Sep 21:19:02 10 S 21:21:22 23 SE 21:23:43 10 ENE
>> 0:02:21 3-Sep 22:54:24 10 W 22:56:33 19 NW 22:58:42 10 NNE 0:02:09
>> 4-Sep 5:19:50 10 NNW 5:22:32 34 NE 5:25:13 10 ESE 0:02:41 4-Sep
>> 6:56:23
>> 10 WSW 6:57:29 12 SW 6:58:34 10 SSW 0:01:05 4-Sep 21:43:12 10 SW
>> 21:46:04 69 NW 21:48:55 10 NE 0:02:51 5-Sep 4:10:38 10 N 4:11:55 12
>> NNE
>> 4:13:13 10 ENE 0:01:18 5-Sep 5:44:32 10 WNW 5:47:15 46 SW 5:50:07 10
>> SSE 0:02:52 5-Sep 20:33:22 10 S 20:35:46 24 SE 20:38:12 10 ENE 0:02:26
>> 5-Sep 22:08:54 10 W 22:10:59 18 NW 22:13:03 10 N 0:02:04 6-Sep 4:34:14
>> 10 NNW 4:36:57 37 NE 4:39:40 10 ESE 0:02:43 6-Sep 6:11:08 10 WSW
>> 6:11:52 11 SW 6:12:37 10 SW 0:00:45 6-Sep 20:57:36 10 SW 21:00:27 63
>> NW
>> 21:03:18 10 NE 0:02:51 7-Sep 3:24:54 10 N 3:26:20 13 NNE 3:27:45 10

>> ENE
>> 0:01:25 7-Sep 4:58:56 10 WNW 5:01:42 42 SW 5:04:28 10 SSE 0:02:46
>> 7-Sep 19:47:39 10 S 19:50:08 26 SE 19:52:37 10 ENE 0:02:29 7-Sep
>> 21:23:22 10 W 21:25:22 17 NW 21:27:21 10 N 0:01:59
>>
>> Bill
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>> ------------------------------------
>>
>> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

30710. Fatal wreck on Flower Mound/Argyle border

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-10 03:00:55 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30710 Message: 30710

Engine 502 and 501 on scene of a fatal somewhere. Just turned on the scanner so I didn't catch the location. Did hear on of the BC's advise dispatch of at least one 27, and Argyle assisting.

30711. Re: Fatal wreck on Flower Mound/Argyle border

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-10 03:03:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30710 Message: 30711

--- On Wed, 9/9/09, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:

Just heard on scanner and got IPN page....location is 377 just north of 1171..one DOS...extended extrication...helo being called in...

30712. Re: [dfwscan] Fatal wreck on Flower Mound/Argyle border

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-10 03:03:55 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30710 Message: 30712

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> Engine 502 and 501 on scene of a fatal somewhere. Just turned on the
> scanner so I didn't catch the location. Did hear on of the BC's
> advise dispatch of at least one 27, and Argyle assisting.
>
>

Careflight now wdispatched.. FM407 and 377

30713. Re: [dfwscan] Fatal wreck on Flower Mound/Argyle border

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-10 03:08:54 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30710 Message: 30713

I saw the page before it was edited for the fatality, mentioned something
about a leg amputation.

On Wed, Sep 9, 2009 at 10:03 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

>
>
> Blaine Brooks wrote:
> > Engine 502 and 501 on scene of a fatal somewhere. Just turned on the
> > scanner so I didn't catch the location. Did hear on of the BC's
> > advise dispatch of at least one 27, and Argyle assisting.
> >
> >
>
> Careflight now wdispatched.. FM407 and 377
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30714. Re: Fatal wreck on Flower Mound/Argyle border

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-10 03:16:56 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30710 Message: 30714

--- On Wed, 9/9/09, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:

> mentioned something about a leg amputation.

Yeah, it's a real baddy. BC 502 in talking with Careflite said they are still not sure the extent of the injuries on the person/persons who survived the head-on, but there IS talk of some kind of amputation. I tell ya'..when a wreck does happen out there, it's usually not a good outcome at all. Sad.

30715. HAZMAT drill

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-10 14:20:30 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30715 Message: 30715

There's a big HAZMAT drill going on down at Will Rogers Colesium now. Sounds like the North East Tarrant fire departments and Fort Worth.

NEMUTAD, FDCMD09 (2128), probably many other talkgroups active.

Happy Scanning,
Ben

30716. speaker switching circuit diagram

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-10 19:45:45 UTC
From: subdoc2003
Topic: 30716 Message: 30716

years ago i had a schematic of a circuit that would allow you to input audio into one postion and then put audio into position 2 and both audio at the saME TIME you would have pos 1 radio 1 position 2 radio 2 and position 3 both radios feed into a set of stero headphones and it used a radio shack 2 pole 6 position switch but you only used 3 positions does anyone have a diagram of this switching circuit that they will share with me thanks joe goodwn

30717. Mansfield

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-11 20:44:33 UTC
From: baseball54fb
Topic: 30717 Message: 30717

Has anyone else been having trouble with receiving the transmissions of Mansfield F.D.? I have not heard anything in about 3 - 4 days. Has anything changed?

Thanks in advance, and have a great weekend!

30718. Rebroadcast recorded audio?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-11 22:01:29 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30718 Message: 30718

Can I record some scanner audio such as a fire or something and put it up for download. Any laws on this?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30719. flooding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-12 00:42:43 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30719 Message: 30719

FWPD is working a lot of street flooding on the Southside, mostly along McCart. 2608/S-TLK1 at 19:40

Sounds like there will be lots more from the forecast for the weekend.

30720. RE: [dfwscan] Mansfield

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-12 01:14:00 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30717 Message: 30720

> Has anyone else been having trouble with receiving the transmissions of Mansfield
> F.D.? I have not heard anything in about 3 - 4 days. Has anything changed?

Not that I can tell. I live in Mansfield and still hear them just fine on the FD channels. In fact, I just heard them loud and clear on my mobile scanner from Northeast Arlington about an hour ago, so the signal is not weak. Might check and see if you accidentally locked them out or something.

Rob

30721. RE: [dfwscan] flooding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-12 02:10:11 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30719 Message: 30721

I've been hearing this too. I was listening to TCSO's Supervisor TG and there is a large area of power outages in the same area too. Oncor is reporting power may be restored around 10 PM. I heard this about 2 hours ago so I'm not sure what the scoop is right now...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 7:43 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] flooding

FWPD is working a lot of street flooding on the Southside, mostly along McCart. 2608/S-TLK1 at 19:40

Sounds like there will be lots more from the forecast for the weekend.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30722. RE: [dfwscan] Rebroadcast recorded audio?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-12 05:45:57 UTC
From: Russell Stringfield
Topic: 30718 Message: 30722

It's legal. I am one of the servers for Tarrant County - Benbrook PD at http://www.radioreference.com/apps/audio/?ctid=2742. This might be something you might want to consider.

Russ

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jason Simpkins
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2009 5:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Rebroadcast recorded audio?

Can I record some scanner audio such as a fire or something and put it up for download. Any laws on this?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30723. TXDPS Sitrep for flooding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-12 15:42:29 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30723 Message: 30723

Check out this Situation Report for the ongoing flooding potential in
Central Texas.
ftp://ftp.txdps.state.tx.us/dem/sitrep/Heavy%20Rainfall%20Event%20SITREP%202%20091109.pdf
Resources are committed if needed for rescues.
Bell County, in particular, Salado appears the hardest hit yesterday. The
Main Street bridge over Salado Creek was washed out.
FM 437 in Milam county is flooded at Donahue Creek (between Val Verde and
Rogers).
Parts of I-35 were closed yesterday due to flooding.
Threat moving north into North Central Texas/Southern Oklahoma, especially
north of I-20.
Some flooding already occurring around Altus Oklahoma.
See heavy rains leaving southern Tarrant County after they got hit again
last night.

At least fire potential should go down except for lightning and outflow
winds.

Here in Houston area, not so bad yet but rains are coming and may get a
flash flood watch.

Well, we needed the rain.

Myles Barkman | KG5AI | mailto:kg5ai*at*comcast.net | Houston TX
Freq Zone http://home.comcast.net/~freqzone
HoustonScan@yahoogroups.com list owner
IPN-HOU064 | Texas Gulf Coast Search & Rescue

30724. Baylor Dallas 131.300

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-12 20:43:31 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30724 Message: 30724

I was looking for ASRI (ARINC) licenses and ran across this one I had never seen before for 131.300 in Dallas.
<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2839085>
The street addresses of the transmitter site and control point are at Baylor University Medical Center. Somebody in Dallas needs to see what it is used for.

Tom ND5Y

30725. Hurst FD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-13 08:30:35 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30725 Message: 30725

For the last several days at least, Hurst FD has been operating exclusively off of channel 2, 859.4625. The only transmission I have heard on channel 1 (855.9875) was when dispatch toned out a run there last night by mistake, and an FD unit told them they were on the wrong channel. Anyone know why they moved to channel 2, and if it is permanent? Channel 1 still obviously works fine.

Rob

30726. Weekly FCC grants September 06-12

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-13 11:11:55 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30726 Message: 30726

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30727. Re: Hurst FD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-13 14:49:45 UTC
From: imquazar
Topic: 30725 Message: 30727

First, the qualifiers.. I listen to Hurst PD (3 frequencies) and Hurst FD (2 Frequencies) about 80% of my day when I'm not at work. Although I listen that much, it's usually only passive listening until something happens. :)

That having been said, The other day I was listening, and they were doing some testing. As I could tell, one of the stations was having a problem receiving the tones. They're working on it, but made the decision to run calls on channel 2 for reasons that may or may not be related.

I heard a communication last night or the night before on HFD/1 where Fort Worth fire dispatch was calling one of the medstar units on the Hurst fire frequency. Hurst FD dispatch came on and told them they were on the Hurst frequency.

Since we're on the topic if Hurst, It's amazing the opportunities they have before them with regards to frequency allocation, I don't understand why they don't jump on board with one of the trunk systems. Seems to me from an interop standpoint that it'd be a win/win for them.

Regards,
-Lee

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> For the last several days at least, Hurst FD has been operating exclusively off of channel 2, 859.4625. The only transmission I have heard on channel 1 (855.9875) was when dispatch toned out a run there last night by mistake, and an FD unit told them they were on the wrong channel. Anyone know why they moved to channel 2, and if it is permanent? Channel 1 still obviously works fine.
>
> Rob
>

30728. gunshot wound at TCC NW Campus

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-14 14:23:54 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30728 Message: 30728

I didn't hear the initial call, but Medstar and FWFD are on scene at TCC NW Campus for a shooting. Not sure if it's accidental or what. 09:23

30729. Re: [dfwscan] Mummified Body in FTW

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-14 23:56:38 UTC
From: James Maynurd
Topic: 30697 Message: 30729

I heard someone on another channel request to keep this on the down low and use cellular to discuss it. So, they must still be investigating it.

Jay

On Sun, Sep 6, 2009 at 7:32 PM, commscan <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

>
>
>
>
> Did anyone catch the location earlier this morning/afternoon related to the
> "mummified body" FWPD Crime Scene units were getting Air 1 to fly over and
> give a bird's eye view of. Air 1 was unable to see the body due to trees,
> but it was reportedly by a trash pile. I never did hear the details or
> location and was curious if anyone else caught any info.
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30730. Edgecliff Village House Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-16 21:01:36 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30730 Message: 30730

Never saw a post on this but I ran up on this house fire in Edgecliff, took some pictures if anyone want to see. It was fully engulfed, total loss.

http://www.facebook.com/album.php?aid=2017856&id=1341259326&l=167029a4c0

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

30731. Re: [dfwscan] Edgecliff Village House Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-16 21:39:37 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30730 Message: 30731

"House was a total loss, unknown cause."

Just my guess, but I'd say fire.

Sorry, I just had to...

----- Original Message -----
From: "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 4:01 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Edgecliff Village House Fire

> Never saw a post on this but I ran up on this house fire in Edgecliff,
> took some pictures if anyone want to see. It was fully engulfed, total
> loss.
>
> http://www.facebook.com/album.php?aid=2017856&id=1341259326&l=167029a4c0
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.kc5rhb.net
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30732. Re: Edgecliff Village House Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-16 22:10:59 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30730 Message: 30732

I felt bad for the elderly that lived there. She was ok, thank God. Neighborhood was there helping her. Red Cross showed up quick. It looked like an old house, some brick. When I got there flames were already shooting through the roof. Tarrant County Fire Marshall was on scene.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...> wrote:
>
> "House was a total loss, unknown cause."
>
> Just my guess, but I'd say fire.
>
> Sorry, I just had to...
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...>
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2009 4:01 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Edgecliff Village House Fire
>
>
> > Never saw a post on this but I ran up on this house fire in Edgecliff,
> > took some pictures if anyone want to see. It was fully engulfed, total
> > loss.
> >
> > http://www.facebook.com/album.php?aid=2017856&id=1341259326&l=167029a4c0
> >
> > David, KC5RHB
> > http://www.kc5rhb.net
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>

30733. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Edgecliff Village House Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-16 22:46:25 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30730 Message: 30733

I just woke up half an hour ago, and the first thing I hear on the scanners is Edgecliff releasing Rendon, then Crowley, then Everman and Kennedale, and finally Dalworthington Gardens. That's a lot of apparatus for a 1 story SFD.

I guess nobody ever IPN paged this thing?

Rob

30734. Re: Edgecliff Village House Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-16 23:11:36 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30730 Message: 30734

I could of IPN'd it if I was a IPN dispatcher. I didnt know about the other alarms. When I was there of course Edgecliff, Kennedale, Forest Hill, FW, were there and other trucks that were staging down the street but couldn't see who they were. The one time I didn't carry and of my HT's with me, I wasn't in my truck. I mentioned earlier about the cause of the fire because that house went up quick. But in about 15 min the WHOLE house was fully engulfed and FD went into defensive.

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> I just woke up half an hour ago, and the first thing I hear on the scanners is Edgecliff releasing Rendon, then Crowley, then Everman and Kennedale, and finally Dalworthington Gardens. That's a lot of apparatus for a 1 story SFD.
>
> I guess nobody ever IPN paged this thing?
>
> Rob
>

30735. Unlisted Lockheed Frequency @ NASFW

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-19 13:08:18 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30735 Message: 30735

Hi All,

I've been trying to confirm the user of 159.720, PL 167.9, for a few days now. I haven't put much time into it but this morning I was able to confirm this is definitely being used by Lockheed "Fire Dispatch". The normal Lockheed Fire Dispatch frequency is 149.235/167.9 PL. So I'm guessing this'll be an input frequency. It sounds like it would be too from the radio traffic. Occasionally, I only hear one side of the traffic. Strangely enough, the Fire Dispatcher comes in weaker than the mobiles but still clear enough to have no problems copying.

I've found no listings for Lockheed on 159.72 or 159.7275 on the FCC database.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30736. Weekly FCC grants September 13-19

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 11:18:11 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30736 Message: 30736

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30737. Fort Worth Arlington patch

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 16:56:38 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30737 Message: 30737

Several talkgroups are patched between the Arlington TRS and Fort Worth TRS
FWPD 2384/E-SE1 and 18768 to Arlington G3/59024 - Event Traffic.

There should be plenty of stuff to hear for the Cowboys game.

30738. Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 17:17:01 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 30738 Message: 30738

Late breaking news ..

NASA managers had a change plans. Discovery will land @ NAS this afternoon.

http://www.spaceflightnow.com/shuttle/sts128/status.html for the latest updates.

30739. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 17:25:38 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30738 Message: 30739

Thanks for the heads-up.

A little more info at http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30740. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 19:39:45 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30738 Message: 30740

Ditto on the thanks. I got some pretty decent video from the roof of my house of Columbia piggybacking on NASA 911.

Anyone know when the scheduled departure from NASFW back to Florida is?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 12:26 PM
To: yahoo@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Thanks for the heads-up.

A little more info at http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30741. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 20:57:04 UTC
From: Michael Heskett
Topic: 30738 Message: 30741

Flightaware shows 4:10 PM

http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911/history/20090920/2110Z/KNFW/KBAD

Michael Heskett
WB5QLD

> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of commscan
> Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 2:40 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS
>
>
> Ditto on the thanks. I got some pretty decent video from the roof of
> my
> house of Columbia piggybacking on NASA 911.
>
> Anyone know when the scheduled departure from NASFW back to Florida is?
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>

30742. Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 21:10:55 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30738 Message: 30742

No chance it might swing by Houston this time? I missed the last one as I
was at work and didn't know about it anyway.
I'm home today so of course it won't happen. :(

Wish I could take some pix of it.

Myles
KG5AI
Houston area

----- Original Message -----
From: "Michael Heskett" <mheskett@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 3:57 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

> Flightaware shows 4:10 PM
>
> http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911/history/20090920/2110Z/KNFW/KBAD
>
> Michael Heskett
> WB5QLD
>
>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
>> Behalf Of commscan
>> Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 2:40 PM
>> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS
>>
>>
>> Ditto on the thanks. I got some pretty decent video from the roof of
>> my
>> house of Columbia piggybacking on NASA 911.
>>
>> Anyone know when the scheduled departure from NASFW back to Florida is?
>>
>> Brian
>> Ft. Worth, TX
>>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>

> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30743. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 22:40:43 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 30738 Message: 30743

It left about 4:35 and the pilot was pissed...

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 2:40 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Ditto on the thanks. I got some pretty decent video from the roof of my house of Columbia piggybacking on NASA 911.

Anyone know when the scheduled departure from NASFW back to Florida is?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 12:26 PM
To: yahoo@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Thanks for the heads-up.

A little more info at http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA911

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30744. FS mint 996T scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 23:03:24 UTC
From: Saad Mahaini
Topic: 30668 Message: 30744

Hello to the group,

I'm considering selling one of my 996T scanners to buy the handheld model.
I'm asking $400.

The scanner is absolutely like new. Never mobile, only been used in my ham
radio room. It comes with everything that came with it from the factory,
box, mounting bracket, cables, power supply, ant, documentation etc

Please email me directly if interested.

Thanks, Saad

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30745. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-20 23:20:49 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 30738 Message: 30745

I was definitely out camera'd but I got a couple of good shots.

http://picasaweb.google.com/aace.2001/Shuttle092009#

30746. Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-21 01:44:44 UTC
From: Brian Rodgers
Topic: 30738 Message: 30746

I thought I heard him say that he blew a tire on landing. Did I hear that correctly? Did that have anything to do with the delay?

From: Mike Hutchins <m-hutchins@...>
To: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 5:40:43 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

It left about 4:35 and the pilot was pissed...

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com]On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 2:40 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Ditto on the thanks. I got some pretty decent video from the roof of my house of Columbia piggybacking on NASA 911.

Anyone know when the scheduled departure from NASFW back to Florida is?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 12:26 PM
To: yahoo@donteague. com; dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Thanks for the heads-up.

A little more info at http://flightaware. com/live/ flight/NASA911

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

------------ --------- --------- ------

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2

Yahoo! Groups Links

------------ --------- --------- ------

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30747. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-21 01:50:48 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30738 Message: 30747

Can't say I heard that, but I can believe it seeing all of the smoke.

I have a couple photos of the wheels down.

http://www.bensware.com/photos/aviation/Shuttle09202009/pages/D20_3231_edited-1.html

Mike, sorry I didn't get to answer you sooner, but it looks like you got some great shots. I watched from 820 at Quebec, up on the hill of the northside service road.

Happy Scanning,

Ben

<

I thought I heard him say that he blew a tire on landing. Did I hear that correctly? Did that have anything to do with the delay?<

>Where's a good viewing area now?

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30748. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-21 02:18:34 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 30738 Message: 30748

Someone said they heard that and they spent some time at the end of the runway looking at it but they didn't change anything.

The shuttle was supposed to take off 10 min after the dc9 lead. They got on the runway and were waiting to be cleared for take off but were told they were trying to find a place for them. I guess atc to Shreveport. They called back several times trying to get priority, they said they were out of sequence qith the DC9 and burning fuel and might have to refuel again, requested they call the base commander and asked for the number themselves. When they were given the number they told them 30 sec and they could go, a lifestar was crossing the south end.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Brian Rodgers
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 8:45 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

I thought I heard him say that he blew a tire on landing.? Did I hear that correctly?? Did that have anything to do with the delay?

From: Mike Hutchins <m-hutchins@...>
To: commscan <commscan-ftw@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 5:40:43 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

?
It left about 4:35 and the pilot was pissed...

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com]On Behalf Of commscan
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 2:40 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Ditto on the thanks. I got some pretty decent video from the roof of my house of Columbia piggybacking on NASA 911.

Anyone know when the scheduled departure from NASFW back to Florida is?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf
Of
Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 12:26 PM
To: yahoo@donteague. com; dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Thanks for the heads-up.

A little more info at http://flightaware. com/live/ flight/NASA911

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

------------ --------- --------- ------

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2

Yahoo! Groups Links

------------ --------- --------- ------

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30749. Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-21 02:21:58 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30738 Message: 30749

Don't suppose they keep a spare tire or two in the trunk, eh?

Interesting flight path according to FlightAware. If you look at the track
on the aviation sectional, you can see they flew just west of Redbird and
then hooked it hard right to fly directly over Love Field. Is that a
typical departure route or were they giving folks in Dallas something to
look at?
Don't know what altitude they might have been at.
Did they have the escort plane leading like last time in December?
There was a NASA932 (DC-9) that took off at 4:20pm heading to Barksdale.
He started here at Ellington and flew to Edwards Thursday morning.
Looks like they flew ahead from Edwards, to Amarillo, to Carswell and then
to Barksdale.
Anyone catch comms between the two?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 8:50 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

> Can't say I heard that, but I can believe it seeing all of the smoke.
>
> I have a couple photos of the wheels down.
>
> http://www.bensware.com/photos/aviation/Shuttle09202009/pages/D20_3231_edite
> d-1.html
>
>
>
> Mike, sorry I didn't get to answer you sooner, but it looks like you got
> some great shots. I watched from 820 at Quebec, up on the hill of the
> northside service road.
>
>
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
>
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> <
>

> I thought I heard him say that he blew a tire on landing. Did I hear that
> correctly? Did that have anything to do with the delay?<
>
>
>
>>Where's a good viewing area now?
>
> <
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30750. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-21 02:45:36 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 30738 Message: 30750

Here are a few of my photos from today at NASFW: Scroll down to the very last post on the page...

http://www.fencecheck.com/forums/index.php/topic,689.2490.html

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Myles Barkman
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 9:22 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Don't suppose they keep a spare tire or two in the trunk, eh?

Interesting flight path according to FlightAware. If you look at the track on the aviation sectional, you can see they flew just west of Redbird and then hooked it hard right to fly directly over Love Field. Is that a typical departure route or were they giving folks in Dallas something to look at?
Don't know what altitude they might have been at.
Did they have the escort plane leading like last time in December?
There was a NASA932 (DC-9) that took off at 4:20pm heading to Barksdale.
He started here at Ellington and flew to Edwards Thursday morning.
Looks like they flew ahead from Edwards, to Amarillo, to Carswell and then to Barksdale.
Anyone catch comms between the two?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 8:50 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

> Can't say I heard that, but I can believe it seeing all of the smoke.
>
> I have a couple photos of the wheels down.
>
>
http://www.bensware.com/photos/aviation/Shuttle09202009/pages/D20_3231_edite
> d-1.html
>
>
>
> Mike, sorry I didn't get to answer you sooner, but it looks like you got
> some great shots. I watched from 820 at Quebec, up on the hill of the

> northside service road.
>
>
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
>
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> <
>
> I thought I heard him say that he blew a tire on landing. Did I hear that
> correctly? Did that have anything to do with the delay?<
>
>
>
>>Where's a good viewing area now?
>
> <
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature
database 4441 (20090919) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4441 (20090919) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

30751. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-21 04:21:06 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30738 Message: 30751

I was on the roof of my house filming the two aircraft and I heard some chatter between them on my BCD396T. I know it was either on 123.125 or 235.4 but I couldn't look at the scanner's screen to see which. The DC-9 came in a good 10 minutes before the Boeing aircraft carrying the shuttle. I believe it was NASA 932 that was the support aircraft (DC-9) too.

FlightAware.com seemed to be lagging in showing the trip from Amarillo to NASFW. The arrival time was showing to be 1:54 PM but the actual touchdown was more like 2:15 PM (nothing unusual there). But I do not think FlightAware did not show the Boeing 747 aircraft with the shuttle, NASA 911, on their tracking map until they had already been enroute to NASFW for a while. I believe it was supposed to be a 1 hour 19 minute flight at 1500'. I went up on the roof about 1:40 - 1:45 PM and FlightAware was just showing the dotted lines of the flight path between Amarillo and NASFW finally at that time. I'm not sure what the (dashes/hyphens) dotted lines meant, but when I came down and looked once I was back inside it was a complete, full line showing the path with some underlying dashes and a few that were outside of the solid line (all on the FlightAware tracking map).

Great picture Ben. I'm not sure what a blowout of a multi ton aircraft might look like, but if I'd been told it happened, I'd believe it from the picture you posted :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Myles Barkman
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 9:22 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Don't suppose they keep a spare tire or two in the trunk, eh?

Interesting flight path according to FlightAware. If you look at the track on the aviation sectional, you can see they flew just west of Redbird and then hooked it hard right to fly directly over Love Field. Is that a typical departure route or were they giving folks in Dallas something to look at?
Don't know what altitude they might have been at.
Did they have the escort plane leading like last time in December?
There was a NASA932 (DC-9) that took off at 4:20pm heading to Barksdale.
He started here at Ellington and flew to Edwards Thursday morning.
Looks like they flew ahead from Edwards, to Amarillo, to Carswell and then to Barksdale.

Anyone catch comms between the two?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 8:50 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

> Can't say I heard that, but I can believe it seeing all of the smoke.
>
> I have a couple photos of the wheels down.
>
>
http://www.bensware.com/photos/aviation/Shuttle09202009/pages/D20_3231_edite
> d-1.html
>
>
>
> Mike, sorry I didn't get to answer you sooner, but it looks like you got
> some great shots. I watched from 820 at Quebec, up on the hill of the
> northside service road.
>
>
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
>
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> <
>
> I thought I heard him say that he blew a tire on landing. Did I hear that
> correctly? Did that have anything to do with the delay?<
>
>
>
>>Where's a good viewing area now?
>
> <
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>

> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30752. RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-21 04:29:37 UTC
From: commscan
Topic: 30738 Message: 30752

Great pictures Brandon! Was there a crowd out there for the arrival or was it scarce since it was sort of an unexpected surprise?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brandon Thetford
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 9:46 PM
To: 'Myles Barkman'; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Here are a few of my photos from today at NASFW: Scroll down to the very last post on the page...

http://www.fencecheck.com/forums/index.php/topic,689.2490.html

Brandon

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Myles Barkman
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 9:22 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

Don't suppose they keep a spare tire or two in the trunk, eh?

Interesting flight path according to FlightAware. If you look at the track on the aviation sectional, you can see they flew just west of Redbird and then hooked it hard right to fly directly over Love Field. Is that a typical departure route or were they giving folks in Dallas something to look at?
Don't know what altitude they might have been at.
Did they have the escort plane leading like last time in December?
There was a NASA932 (DC-9) that took off at 4:20pm heading to Barksdale.
He started here at Ellington and flew to Edwards Thursday morning.
Looks like they flew ahead from Edwards, to Amarillo, to Carswell and then to Barksdale.
Anyone catch comms between the two?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2009 8:50 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Shuttle Discovery @ NAS

> Can't say I heard that, but I can believe it seeing all of the smoke.
>
> I have a couple photos of the wheels down.
>
>
http://www.bensware.com/photos/aviation/Shuttle09202009/pages/D20_3231_edite
> d-1.html
>
>
>
> Mike, sorry I didn't get to answer you sooner, but it looks like you got
> some great shots. I watched from 820 at Quebec, up on the hill of the
> northside service road.
>
>
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
>
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> <
>
> I thought I heard him say that he blew a tire on landing. Did I hear that
> correctly? Did that have anything to do with the delay?<
>
>
>
>>Where's a good viewing area now?
>
> <
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature
database 4441 (20090919) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature
database 4441 (20090919) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30753. Good listening

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-22 03:44:38 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 21660 Message: 30753

Some great listening tonight on FBI A-2 167.4375 with this SWAT op.

Blaine

30754. Joshua Barnes

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-22 03:58:05 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30754 Message: 30754

So is it that Joshua Barnes guy they got holed up somewhere?

30755. BeeSat Satellite Help Needed!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-22 21:41:31 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 30755 Message: 30755

Hello Everyone,

· 436.000 mhz

· 1:21 am (7:21 UTC) TONIGHT (Wednesday AM)

· Beesat <http://www.raumfahrttechnik.tu-berlin.de/menue/forschung/projekte/beesat> Online Link

· Every 40 seconds. It will send "DP0BEE" in CW

One of our Flower Mound CERT members, David Ward, KE5FCS, had the pleasure hosting an exchange student from Germany some years back. That student is now grown with children and involved in an exciting space program.

Tonight they will launch their satellite from India. And, here is where we can help. We are located in the area that will have a chance to receive the first transmissions from Beesat!

The program is hoping that we will listen and confirm that the satellite is actually transmitting!

If you can lend an international hand and you have a reception report you can send it to falk@nohka.de or via their skype account – “beesat_team”. More details below…

David and a whole crew of scientists will really be grateful!

Thank you so much for your help!

Don Chambers

K5OAK

----- Original Message -----
From: Falk Nohka <falk@...>
To: David Ward
Cc: Frank Baumann <frank.baumann@...>; sebastian.trowitzsch@...-berlin.de <sebastian.trowitzsch@...-berlin.de>; martin.herfort@ilr.tu-berlin.de <martin.herfort@...-berlin.de>
Sent: Mon Sep 21 20:59:14 2009
Subject: Details for the launch of BeeSat

Hi David!
Today I talked to our two-man team who were in India last week. They had news about the launch, which will occur sooner, than I hoped for after the long delays. :) The launch will definitely be on Wednesday the 23rd of September. That would be THIS Wednesday. :D
BeeSat will be launched as secondary payload on the PSLV-Rocket that will launch an Indian satellite called Oceansat-2. The rocket will be

launched from Satish Dawhan Space Centre in Sriharikota, India at 06:21
UTC (according to last information). The beacon will be switched on at
around 07:00 UTC.
You and your radio amateur club in DFW-area will be the first
(worldwide) to be able to receive the beacon! So I hope you will use
this opportunity to help us verify the transmission or the beacon.
According to our last simulations the Satellite will be within your
reception area at around 07:21 UTC. What I can tell you at this point is that you will have to point
your antennas to west at 07:21 UTC and listen to our beacon on 436.000
MHz. Our beacon will be sent every 40 seconds. It will send "DP0BEE" in CW.
For the launch we've set up a skype account which will be online to
receive any reports of the reception of our beacon. the skype name is
"beesat_team".
I will send you more, as soon as I know anything. Please forward this
eMail to your friend. I put my team-mates in cc. hope you don't mind.

Talk to you later.
Love.

Falk.

TLE set:
ISILaunch01 BEESAT
1 99998U 09999Q 09266.27807917 .00000000 00000-0 00000-0 0 00018
2 99998 098.3002 000.8533 0002378 350.5091 222.5822 14.50491512000005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30756. Anyway to scan 2.4ghz

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-22 22:02:31 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30756 Message: 30756

I want to scan that band but also know that it is digital.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30757. Burleson Structure Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-23 13:32:10 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30757 Message: 30757

Burleson is working a structure fire, I believe its commercial, they are on FIRE OPS 1, they just said school maintenance is on scene, I past Fire Marshal 2 on Alsbury running code so it might be at a one of the Elementary schools schools,fire is on one of the bathrooms and you can hear the fire alarms going off, and I as I type COMMAND sts fire is under control...

30758. Re: Burleson Structure Fire - UPDATE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-23 13:39:29 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30757 Message: 30758

FIRE OUT...mop up stage...fire started in one of the bathrooms..contained in bathroom...never made it to roof...they are in the process of venting smoke out of building...

David, KC5RHB
http://wwww.kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Burleson is working a structure fire, I believe its commercial, they are on FIRE OPS 1, they just said school maintenance is on scene, I past Fire Marshal 2 on Alsbury running code so it might be at a one of the Elementary schools schools,fire is on one of the bathrooms and you can hear the fire alarms going off, and I as I type COMMAND sts fire is under control...
>

30759. Re: Burleson Structure Fire - UPDATE 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-23 13:54:27 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30757 Message: 30759

It was at Taylor Elementary...no injuries...students being allowed to go to gym...

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@...m, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> FIRE OUT...mop up stage...fire started in one of the bathrooms..contained in bathroom...never made it to roof...they are in the process of venting smoke out of building...
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://wwww.kc5rhb.net
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> >
> > Burleson is working a structure fire, I believe its commercial, they are on FIRE OPS 1, they just said school maintenance is on scene, I past Fire Marshal 2 on Alsbury running code so it might be at a one of the Elementary schools schools,fire is on one of the bathrooms and you can hear the fire alarms going off, and I as I type COMMAND sts fire is under control...
> >
>

30760. DESOTO APARTMENT FIRE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 15:47:58 UTC
From: willied53
Topic: 30760 Message: 30760

I was surprised to see no one in the group caught the 2 alarm apartment fire in Desoto yesterday.

30761. Re: DESOTO APARTMENT FIRE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 16:20:11 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30760 Message: 30761

--- On Thu, 9/24/09, willied53 <willied53@...> wrote:

> I was surprised to see no one in the
> group caught the 2 alarm apartment fire in Desoto
> yesterday.

Welcome to the group, I'm assuming "Willie" ...

Personally, I believe the south side of the metro is way
underserved with monitors, as well as dispatchers for IPN,
who can inform others of situations like this. I invite you
to join and keep us informed (there) and on this list.

I know Rob Davis tries to keep his ear on south side happenings
and such for IPN, but he is only one person. The more the merrier.

Blaine

30762. The conversation went like this...]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 16:24:21 UTC
From: Sarge
Topic: 30762 Message: 30762

---

conversation overheard on the VHF Guard (emergency) frequency 121.5 MHz
while flying from Europe to Dubai . It's too good not to pass along..

The conversation went like this...
Iranian Air Defense Radar: 'Unknown aircraft you are in Iranian airspace.
Identify yourself.'
Aircraft: 'This is a United States aircraft. I am in Iraqi airspace.'
Air Defense Radar: 'You are in Iranian airspace. If you do not depart our
airspace we will launch interceptor aircraft!'
Aircraft: 'This is a United States Marine Corps FA-18 fighter. Send 'em up,
I'll wait!'
Air Defense Radar: (no response .... total silence)

God bless our troops.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30763. Re: [dfwscan] The conversation went like this...]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 16:28:21 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30762 Message: 30763

Someone better adjust the rabbit ears... we're catching some bleedover from the "bad jokes" mailing list.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "Sarge" <smd_1@...>

Date: Thu, 24 Sep 2009 11:24:21
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] The conversation went like this...]

---

conversation overheard on the VHF Guard (emergency) frequency 121.5 MHz
while flying from Europe to Dubai . It's too good not to pass along..

The conversation went like this...
Iranian Air Defense Radar: 'Unknown aircraft you are in Iranian airspace.
Identify yourself.'
Aircraft: 'This is a United States aircraft. I am in Iraqi airspace.'
Air Defense Radar: 'You are in Iranian airspace. If you do not depart our
airspace we will launch interceptor aircraft!'
Aircraft: 'This is a United States Marine Corps FA-18 fighter. Send 'em up,
I'll wait!'
Air Defense Radar: (no response .... total silence)

God bless our troops.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30764. confederate park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 18:39:48 UTC
From: dan renois
Topic: 30764 Message: 30764

there is a rollover mva out on fm 1886 that has 2 ejections and 1 dos and
they are flying at least one out by bumble bee

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30765. Re: [dfwscan] confederate park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 19:24:28 UTC
From: dan renois
Topic: 30764 Message: 30765

I requested a little while back to be an IPN dispatcher and they did not approve me, maybe I will try again to see if I am worthy, Between Medstar and Tarrant County Fire Alarm there is large group of stuff i could cover!!!

On Thu, Sep 24, 2009 at 1:48 PM, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:

> You should sign on to IPN as a dispatcher.
>
> On Thu, Sep 24, 2009 at 1:39 PM, dan renois <danrenois@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> there is a rollover mva out on fm 1886 that has 2 ejections and 1 dos and
>> they are flying at least one out by bumble bee
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30766. RE: [dfwscan] Re: DESOTO APARTMENT FIRE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 20:19:20 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30760 Message: 30766

On Thursday, September 24, 2009 11:20, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@yahoo.com> said:

> Personally, I believe the south side of the metro is way
> underserved with monitors, as well as dispatchers for IPN,
> who can inform others of situations like this. I invite you
> to join and keep us informed (there) and on this list.
>
> I know Rob Davis tries to keep his ear on south side happenings
> and such for IPN, but he is only one person. The more the merrier.

Myself and DFW205 both cover the southern Metroplex pretty regularly. The problem is that DeSoto-Duncanville-Cedar Hill finally stopped toning out on their conventional channel, so now all they use is the MPT1337 system, which I haven't even bothered to try and figure out yet.

We pretty much only get incidents in the "Regional" system now if they get mutual aid from a surrounding city, like Lancaster or Dallas. DFW205 caught the DeSoto fire that way. They always get a Rehab unit from Ovilla too, which I will usually catch.

But yeah, once I figure out this MPT system thing, that should add a good dozen pages a week to my coverage.

Rob

30767. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DESOTO APARTMENT FIRE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 20:27:44 UTC
From: dan renois
Topic: 30760 Message: 30767

I am a public safety junkie, I work full time at Medstar in dispatch, and part (yet seems like full time) at Tarraant County Fire Alarm, and when I do not get enough torture from those 2 places I am a Training Officer at the River Oaks Fire Department and have been for 17 years.

On Thu, Sep 24, 2009 at 3:19 PM, rob.davis@... <
rob.davis@...> wrote:

>
>
> On Thursday, September 24, 2009 11:20, "Blaine Brooks" <
> blaineb2001@... <blaineb2001%40yahoo.com>> said:
>
> > Personally, I believe the south side of the metro is way
> > underserved with monitors, as well as dispatchers for IPN,
> > who can inform others of situations like this. I invite you
> > to join and keep us informed (there) and on this list.
> >
> > I know Rob Davis tries to keep his ear on south side happenings
> > and such for IPN, but he is only one person. The more the merrier.
>
> Myself and DFW205 both cover the southern Metroplex pretty regularly. The
> problem is that DeSoto-Duncanville-Cedar Hill finally stopped toning out on
> their conventional channel, so now all they use is the MPT1337 system, which
> I haven't even bothered to try and figure out yet.
>
> We pretty much only get incidents in the "Regional" system now if they get
> mutual aid from a surrounding city, like Lancaster or Dallas. DFW205 caught
> the DeSoto fire that way. They always get a Rehab unit from Ovilla too,
> which I will usually catch.
>
> But yeah, once I figure out this MPT system thing, that should add a good
> dozen pages a week to my coverage.
>
> Rob
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30768. Re: [dfwscan] Re: DESOTO APARTMENT FIRE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 20:54:15 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30760 Message: 30768

On Thursday, September 24, 2009 15:46, "W Ellis" <weejr56@...> said:

> Scanning Tri-Cities' MPT1337 is easy. Just put all the channels in a bank
> and lock out the control channels. Now they change control channels every
> other day, so lock in the previous days and lock out the new ones. I
> monitor them all the time.

Thanks, Bill! Problem is, it takes a computer scanning program to follow a specific talk group, doesn't it? I don't listen to cops, only fire and EMS. If I had to listen to every 27, 28, 29, and barking dog in the Tri-Cities, I'd never listen. I may have to break down and either get a MPT radio, or learn to use Trunker.

Rob

30769. Re: [dfwscan] confederate park

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 21:30:01 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30764 Message: 30769

On Thursday, September 24, 2009 14:24, "dan renois" <danrenois@...> said:

> I requested a little while back to be an IPN dispatcher and they did not
> approve me...

You've got to be kidding me. Did they actually tell you that you were rejected, or did they just not get back to you? Sounds more like your app may have just fallen between the cracks. Or else, they found out about that little "incident" we won't talk about. ;)

(just kidding. I know of no incident)

Rob

30770. Unauthorised User Entertainment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-24 21:46:58 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30770 Message: 30770

Some very young kid (or an adult on helium) has gotten hold of a radio on the Cleburne CSSI LTR system and is playing around, screaming various amusing things.

"We need backup!"

"You're fired because I'm a sergeant!"

"We hate the government!"

"We've got serious damage! We have spiders and tarantulas all over the place!"

The frequency is 462.125, which is CareFlite Ground's Johnson County EMS channel, as well as some oilfield services company. Enjoy it while it lasts!

30771. Re: [dfwscan] The conversation went like this...]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-25 03:44:37 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 30762 Message: 30771

I am pretty hands off around here .. but I would like to keep this
type of threads/jokes in the Tavern.

dfwscan-tavern @ yahoogroups.com

On Thu, Sep 24, 2009 at 11:28 AM, <tvsjr@...> wrote:
> Someone better adjust the rabbit ears... we're catching some bleedover from the "bad
jokes" mailing list.
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: "Sarge" <smd_1@...>
>
> Date: Thu, 24 Sep 2009 11:24:21
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] The conversation went like this...]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
>
>
>
> conversation overheard on the VHF Guard (emergency) frequency 121.5 MHz
> while flying from Europe to Dubai . It's too good not to pass along..
>
>
>
> The conversation went like this...
> Iranian Air Defense Radar: 'Unknown aircraft you are in Iranian airspace.
> Identify yourself.'
> Aircraft: 'This is a United States aircraft. I am in Iraqi airspace.'
> Air Defense Radar: 'You are in Iranian airspace. If you do not depart our
> airspace we will launch interceptor aircraft!'
> Aircraft: 'This is a United States Marine Corps FA-18 fighter. Send 'em up,
> I'll wait!'
> Air Defense Radar: (no response .... total silence)
>
> God bless our troops.
>

>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

30772. RE: [dfwscan] Re: DESOTO APARTMENT FIRE

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-25 03:54:57 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 30760 Message: 30772

You can monitor this system fairly easily. They put a PL tone on all the freqs so as to reduce interference with Dallas. I think it’s 131.8. Plug in all the channels with the PL and then lockout the data channel. I’ve only heard PD and FD traffic and there are only a couple of channels per site, so it’s not too bad. I can hear them all the way up in Allen. If you have the capacity program each site as its own system, makes it easier to identify the agencies.

Russell

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2009 03:19 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: DESOTO APARTMENT FIRE

On Thursday, September 24, 2009 11:20, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...
<mailto:blaineb2001%40yahoo.com> > said:

> Personally, I believe the south side of the metro is way
> underserved with monitors, as well as dispatchers for IPN,
> who can inform others of situations like this. I invite you
> to join and keep us informed (there) and on this list.
>
> I know Rob Davis tries to keep his ear on south side happenings
> and such for IPN, but he is only one person. The more the merrier.

Myself and DFW205 both cover the southern Metroplex pretty regularly. The problem is that DeSoto-Duncanville-Cedar Hill finally stopped toning out on their conventional channel, so now all they use is the MPT1337 system, which I haven't even bothered to try and figure out yet.

We pretty much only get incidents in the "Regional" system now if they get mutual aid from a surrounding city, like Lancaster or Dallas. DFW205 caught the DeSoto fire that way. They always get a Rehab unit from Ovilla too, which I will usually catch.

But yeah, once I figure out this MPT system thing, that should add a good dozen pages a week to my coverage.

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30773. Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 01:59:58 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30773 Message: 30773

Just heard Burleson FD tone out on an EMS call, dispatcher advised that Medstar is at Level 0 and has no "box" to respond to the EMS call, so they are requesting a box from Crowley. Thats the first I've heard no Medstar Units available (for Burleson). When the firefighter keyed up the radio and ask "Medstar has no one available?", you could hear the surprise in his voice.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

30774. Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 02:43:08 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30773 Message: 30774

--- On Fri, 9/25/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@...> wrote:

> Thats the first I've heard no Medstar Units available (for Burleson).

Sounds like a question for Mr Renois...

30775. Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 03:19:24 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30773 Message: 30775

I really didn't have a question, I've just never heard of a Level 0 before. Must be a busy night for Medstar.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:
>
> --- On Fri, 9/25/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> > Thats the first I've heard no Medstar Units available (for Burleson).
>
> Sounds like a question for Mr Renois...
>

30776. Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 04:09:35 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30773 Message: 30776

Not sure if it went through or not.

Level 0 usually means the person is deceased. Medstar probably didnt have coverage due to the posted unit responding/on some other call and didn't have time to fill that post.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> I really didn't have a question, I've just never heard of a Level 0 before. Must be a busy night for Medstar.
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.kc5rhb.net
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@> wrote:
> >
> > --- On Fri, 9/25/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> >
> > > Thats the first I've heard no Medstar Units available (for Burleson).
> >
> > Sounds like a question for Mr Renois...
> >
>

30777. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 04:13:00 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 30773 Message: 30777

Level 0 means there are no ambulances currently available to respond to a call.

Priority 0 means deceased or unsalvageable, from the usual triage systems.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cltx11
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2009 11:10 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Not sure if it went through or not.

Level 0 usually means the person is deceased. Medstar probably didnt have coverage due to the posted unit responding/on some other call and didn't have time to fill that post.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> I really didn't have a question, I've just never heard of a Level 0 before. Must be a busy night for Medstar.
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.kc5rhb.net
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> , Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@> wrote:
> >
> > --- On Fri, 9/25/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> >
> > > Thats the first I've heard no Medstar Units available (for Burleson).
> >
> > Sounds like a question for Mr Renois...
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30778. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 04:12:03 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30773 Message: 30778

Priority 0 is a deceased person. Typically level refers to number of units avaiable. It's not unusual for medstar to hit level 0 (no units clear) for short periods of time.

Terry
------Original Message------
From: cltx11
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sep 25, 2009 23:09
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Not sure if it went through or not.

Level 0 usually means the person is deceased. Medstar probably didnt have coverage due to the posted unit responding/on some other call and didn't have time to fill that post.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> I really didn't have a question, I've just never heard of a Level 0 before. Must be a busy night for Medstar.
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.kc5rhb.net
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@> wrote:
> >
> > --- On Fri, 9/25/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> >
> > > Thats the first I've heard no Medstar Units available (for Burleson).
> >
> > Sounds like a question for Mr Renois...
> >
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

30779. Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 04:19:13 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30773 Message: 30779

Hmmmm, well FD wasn't working a "deceased" call. the dispatcher said, quote "Medstar said they are at a Level 0 at this time and are unable to send a box", and around 21:11 a Medstar supervisor showed up to the call address along with Crowley EMS and FD. Then, I don't know, about 30 min or so later, Medstar finally got some boxes back in the Burleson district, or post.

Anyways, thats the first I ever heard them say Medstar is at a Level 0 and don't have any units to respond to a call.

David, KC5RHB
http://kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "cltx11" <cltx11@...> wrote:
>
> Not sure if it went through or not.
>
> Level 0 usually means the person is deceased. Medstar probably didnt have coverage due to the posted unit responding/on some other call and didn't have time to fill that post.
>
>
>
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> >
> > I really didn't have a question, I've just never heard of a Level 0 before. Must be a busy night for Medstar.
> >
> > David, KC5RHB
> > http://www.kc5rhb.net
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@> wrote:
> > >
> > > --- On Fri, 9/25/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Thats the first I've heard no Medstar Units available (for Burleson).
> > >
> > > Sounds like a question for Mr Renois...
> > >
> > >
> >
>

30780. Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 04:28:32 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30773 Message: 30780

Ok, just saw an email in my inbox, Level 0 means no units available at this time (Thanks Terry), Ok, I'm done with this thread, sorry to have tied things up on here.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Hmmmm, well FD wasn't working a "deceased" call. the dispatcher said, quote "Medstar said they are at a Level 0 at this time and are unable to send a box", and around 21:11 a Medstar supervisor showed up to the call address along with Crowley EMS and FD. Then, I don't know, about 30 min or so later, Medstar finally got some boxes back in the Burleson district, or post.
>
> Anyways, thats the first I ever heard them say Medstar is at a Level 0 and don't have any units to respond to a call.
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://kc5rhb.net
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "cltx11" <cltx11@> wrote:
> >
> > Not sure if it went through or not.
> >
> > Level 0 usually means the person is deceased. Medstar probably didnt have coverage due to the posted unit responding/on some other call and didn't have time to fill that post.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> > >
> > > I really didn't have a question, I've just never heard of a Level 0 before. Must be a busy night for Medstar.
> > >
> > > David, KC5RHB
> > > http://www.kc5rhb.net
> > >
> > > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > --- On Fri, 9/25/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Thats the first I've heard no Medstar Units available (for Burleson).
> > > >
> > > > Sounds like a question for Mr Renois...

> > > >
> > >
> >
>

30781. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 07:09:34 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30773 Message: 30781

"kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> said:

> Ok, just saw an email in my inbox, Level 0 means no units available at this time...

Yeah, the only place that goes Level 0 more than MedStar around here is Arlington. They go that way a couple times a day, often getting a mutual aid ambulance from Kennedale to run into Northeast Arlington on a Priority 1 emergency. It's insane. But the cities won't staff them adequately to keep covered properly. Instead they think, "wow, we don't have enough ambulances. I guess we should hire more firemen!" This only perpetuates the problem instead of fixing it. Morons.

Rob

30782. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 11:44:17 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 30773 Message: 30782

I responded to the original poster only and not the group for the same reason that Dan shouldnt. MedStar has a far reaching eye and employees have been fired for less than posting information on open forums. But I will be glad to discuss it in private.

On Fri, Sep 25, 2009 at 9:43 PM, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...>wrote:

>
>
> --- On Fri, 9/25/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@... <kc5rhb%40yahoo.com>> wrote:
>
> > Thats the first I've heard no Medstar Units available (for Burleson).
>
> Sounds like a question for Mr Renois...
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30783. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Burleson Fire Responding EMS Call / Medstar Level 0

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-26 16:22:22 UTC
From: dan renois
Topic: 30773 Message: 30783

Careflite johnson county used to go level 0 all the time

On Sat, Sep 26, 2009 at 2:09 AM, rob.davis@... <
rob.davis@...> wrote:

>
>
> "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@... <kc5rhb%40yahoo.com>> said:
>
> > Ok, just saw an email in my inbox, Level 0 means no units available at
> this time...
>
> Yeah, the only place that goes Level 0 more than MedStar around here is
> Arlington. They go that way a couple times a day, often getting a mutual aid
> ambulance from Kennedale to run into Northeast Arlington on a Priority 1
> emergency. It's insane. But the cities won't staff them adequately to keep
> covered properly. Instead they think, "wow, we don't have enough ambulances.
> I guess we should hire more firemen!" This only perpetuates the problem
> instead of fixing it. Morons.
>
> Rob
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30784. Fort Worth to replace one of the Communications tower

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-27 02:33:01 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 30784 Message: 30784

On next weeks City Council agenda there is an item that appropriates funds to replace the Rolling Hills tower. Construction to begin in the next 4 months.

The last bit of info on it (some time ago) was a 480' self supporting tower.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30785. Weekly FCC grants September 20-26

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-27 11:54:26 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30785 Message: 30785

Rebanding notes added.

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30786. Lake Cities Fire Department Change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-28 15:25:22 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 30786 Message: 30786

Everyone,

Effective October 1st Lake Cities Fire Department will be moving their dispatch and operations to the Denton County Trunked System. They will be using Channel 14 (Talkgroup 8656) for operations and will be dispatched by the Denton County Sheriff's Office. Their current operations on the Lewisville Trunked System and with Highland Village Dispatch will cease. Highland Village will of course continue to function as it is now with Highland Village FD being the only agency. Just an FYI for those Denton County listeners.

Brandon

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30787. test

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-28 17:23:29 UTC
From: John
Topic: 4141 Message: 30787

Test

30788. Re: [dfwscan] test

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-28 17:41:22 UTC
From: DT
Topic: 4141 Message: 30788

Received.

On Mon, Sep 28, 2009 at 12:23 PM, John <kd5kdf@...> wrote:

>
>
> Test
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30789. City of Denton going to a second transmitter site.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-28 17:56:32 UTC
From: Bob Tickner
Topic: 30789 Message: 30789

On another Denton County note, The City of Denton City Council will consider approval October 6, 2009 for the purchase of a simulcast system for a secound transmitter location on the City of Denton trunking system. I understand this new site will transmit from a location near FM2181(Teasley Lane) in far south Denton near Alton Road. This should help with some dead spots in the southern sections of the city and in south Denton County. You will note it says it is for the Denton Municipal Electric Department. The City of Denton owns it's own Electric Operations, the radio system is under the responsibility of the Electric Department since it has a greater financial flexiblity to invest in the technologies. All the using city departments pay therir share of expense and use.
Consider adoption of an ordinance of the City of Denton, Texas providing for, authorizing, and approving the expenditure of funds for the purchase of a second Analog SMARTNET Simulcast System from Motorola, Inc., which is available from only one source in accordance with the pertinent provisions of Chapter 252 of the Texas local government code exempting such purchases from the requirements of competitive bidding; and providing an effective date (File 4404-Purchase of Motorola Two Site Simulcast System for Denton Municipal Electric in the amount of $2,615,550).

Bob Tickner
Denton,TX
btickner@...

---------- Original Message ----------
From: "Brandon Thetford" <thetford569@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Lake Cities Fire Department Change
Date: Mon, 28 Sep 2009 10:25:22 -0500

Everyone,

Effective October 1st Lake Cities Fire Department will be moving their dispatch and operations to the Denton County Trunked System. They will be using Channel 14 (Talkgroup 8656) for operations and will be dispatched by the Denton County Sheriff's Office. Their current operations on the Lewisville Trunked System and with Highland Village Dispatch will cease. Highland Village will of course continue to function as it is now with Highland Village FD being the only agency. Just an FYI for those Denton County listeners.

Brandon

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30790. Re: Lake Cities Fire Department Change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-28 19:19:24 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30786 Message: 30790

--- On Mon, 9/28/09, Brandon Thetford <thetford569@...> wrote:

> Effective October 1st Lake Cities Fire Department will be
> moving their dispatch and operations to the Denton County Trunked
> System. They will be using Channel 14 (Talkgroup 8656) for operations

Thanks for the info Brandon. Seems like since they went over to Lewisville, things have not been too good. Glad to see they are going back.

30791. Re: [dfwscan] Anyway to scan 2.4ghz

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-28 20:59:50 UTC
From: Patrick J. Hennessey
Topic: 30756 Message: 30791

The digital spectrum means decoding transmissions (heck, even figuring out modulation) is out of reach for anyone without over ten grand for hardware.
There was a recent Circuit Celler article on the subject.

But on the cheap it is easy enough to detect signals and frequencies using the received signal strength indicator (RSSI) built in to TI parts. Combine it with a directional "pringles can" antenna and much fun can be had. Or for simple hobby work purchase a ready made TI ezrf430-2500 kit for $50. I have two and they are fun.

Here's a link to a relevant thread.
http://e2e.ti.com/forums/t/2037.aspx

I think the answer iv given is the best you can hope for unless you want to scan specific technologies. The ones I know can be scanned secondhad (haven't done it myself)

WiFi
ZigBee
SmartPower (ZigBee used in smart meters)

For these three it is possible to actually decode and read messages in certain instances.

Hope this is useful -- let us know if you build something and it works.

Sent from a mobile device.

On Sep 22, 2009, at 5:02 PM, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
wrote:

> I want to scan that band but also know that it is digital.
>
> Jason
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30792. NASA 926

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-29 18:01:45 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 30792 Message: 30792

NASA 926 is up and overflying the DFW area at 50,000 ft. Just heard him talking to I presume Ellington Field on 235.4 (NASA Ops). I can't hear the other end of the conversation so I'm assuming he is talking to Ellington. This is my first logging of the NASA Martin Aircraft so it's kinda cool to hear. Here is the flight aware track for today:
http://flightaware.com/live/flight/NASA926

Brandon

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30793. Unknown Careflite Mission (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-30 08:42:07 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30793 Message: 30793

I wish I had more info but caught the tail end on the Cern Tower, around 3:00am heard 5CF inbound to hospital, Cern told 5CF security will be on the pad waiting, along with hospital crew, and that was it. Then around 3:30 5CF was taking off heading back to Hood County and CF told Cern that they left the patients tactical gear somewhere?, it was hard to hear CF, real loud mic. Just wondering if there was an incident involving an law enforcement officer, or if anyone knew anything?

Ok, everyone stay safe out there,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

30794. RE: [dfwscan] Unknown Careflite Mission (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-30 10:07:07 UTC
From: kc5rhb@yahoo.com
Topic: 30793 Message: 30794

DISREGARD, saw the news footage at 5am, it was a Parker County Deputy shot in the leg during a warrant serve. Heard Deputy is ok and doing well.

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

kc5rhb wrote:
>
> I wish I had more info but caught the tail end on the Cern Tower, around 3:00am heard 5CF inbound to hospital, Cern told 5CF security will be on the pad waiting, along with hospital crew, and that was it. Then around 3:30 5CF was taking off heading back to Hood County and CF told Cern that they left the patients tactical gear somewhere?, it was hard to hear CF, real loud mic. Just wondering if there was an incident involving an law enforcement officer, or if anyone knew anything?
> Ok, everyone stay safe out there,
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.kc5rhb. net
>

30795. RE: [dfwscan] Unknown Careflite Mission (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-09-30 11:53:45 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30793 Message: 30795

Nice catch, David!

On Wednesday, September 30, 2009 05:07, "kc5rhb@yahoo.com" <kc5rhb@...> said:

> DISREGARD, saw the news footage at 5am, it was a Parker County Deputy shot in the
> leg during a warrant serve. Heard Deputy is ok and doing well.

30796. Balch Springs EMS Coverage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-01 15:28:18 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30796 Message: 30796

As of this morning, I am hearing CareFlite dispatch and units on the Balch Springs fire channel, 154.4. Up until now, it has been TLC Ambulance dispatch and units on there. I am assuming the city changed contractors. Anyone know the back story on this?

Rob

30797. RE: [dfwscan] Balch Springs EMS Coverage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-01 16:35:06 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 30796 Message: 30797

CareFlite took over Balch Springs and Hill County as of 0000 this AM.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Thursday, October 01, 2009 10:28 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Balch Springs EMS Coverage

As of this morning, I am hearing CareFlite dispatch and units on the Balch Springs fire channel, 154.4. Up until now, it has been TLC Ambulance dispatch and units on there. I am assuming the city changed contractors. Anyone know the back story on this?

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30798. RE: [dfwscan] Balch Springs EMS Coverage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-01 16:45:24 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30796 Message: 30798

On Thursday, October 1, 2009 11:35, "Bob" <ownerntxsahds@...> said:

> CareFlite took over Balch Springs and Hill County as of 0000 this AM.

HILL COUNTY? Are you sure? Is Whitney EMS shutting down, or what?

Rob

30799. possible plane down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-03 01:28:55 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30799 Message: 30799

A PD helo "101" is enroute to search the north end of a lake in Denton County, where 2 callers, reported low flying aircraft, possibly crashing.

Denton Couny TRS, TG 144/Tac 2

They're going to try and see if FLIR will pickup anything.

20:28 10/2/2009

30800. Re: [dfwscan] possible plane down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-03 01:30:41 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30799 Message: 30800

That's DPS 101...

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...>

Date: Fri, 2 Oct 2009 20:28:55
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] possible plane down

A PD helo "101" is enroute to search the north end of a lake in Denton
County, where 2 callers, reported low flying aircraft, possibly crashing.

Denton Couny TRS, TG 144/Tac 2

They're going to try and see if FLIR will pickup anything.

20:28 10/2/2009

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30801. RE: [dfwscan] possible plane down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-03 01:45:09 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30799 Message: 30801

Nothing found, and no reports of missing aircrafts or ELTs, so they're calling off the search.

20:45

>A PD helo "101" is enroute to search the north end of a lake in Denton County, where 2 callers, reported low flying aircraft, possibly crashing.

Denton Couny TRS, TG 144/Tac 2

They're going to try and see if FLIR will pickup anything.

20:28 10/2/2009<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30802. Possible Bomb Threat -

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-03 03:27:01 UTC
From: imquazar
Topic: 30802 Message: 30802

Walmart Hurst (Precinct Line & Harwood)
One engine and BC unit dispatched so far.

They're being pretty quiet about it so far.

-IQ

30803. Another 'possible' bomb threat

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-03 16:44:13 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 30803 Message: 30803

This time, in Balch Springs. Suspicious back pack found. PD has called for their FD, and asked for assistance from Mesquite's bomb squad.
Missed the initial location dispatch, but it's near Lake June & Hickory Tree. CP apparently on Nairobi. Mesquite using tgid 656.

30804. RE: [dfwscan] Balch Springs EMS Coverage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-04 00:36:28 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 30796 Message: 30804

Bob, it's appears you're correct on Balch Springs but are you sure on Hill Co? I heard the county commissioners killed that at the last minute. Just rumor among the ems community....

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob
Sent: Thursday, October 01, 2009 11:35 AM
To: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Balch Springs EMS Coverage

CareFlite took over Balch Springs and Hill County as of 0000 this AM.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Thursday, October 01, 2009 10:28 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Balch Springs EMS Coverage

As of this morning, I am hearing CareFlite dispatch and units on the Balch Springs fire channel, 154.4. Up until now, it has been TLC Ambulance dispatch and units on there. I am assuming the city changed contractors. Anyone know the back story on this?

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 8.5.409 / Virus Database: 270.13.100/2374 - Release Date: 10/01/09 06:34:00

30805. Weekly FCC grants September 27-October 03

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-04 13:17:24 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30805 Message: 30805

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30806. Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-05 15:30:43 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 30806 Message: 30806

Went to the A&M vs Arkansas game over the weekend at the new Cowboys Stadium. Looked in the newspaper Saturday morning to see which radio station had the broadcast for the game. 105.3 FM. Great! I thought. I can take my scanner and listen to the game, Arlington PD and event staff. As soon as I get there, I notice they are selling event radios that are advertised as "No Delay". Sure enough the 105.3 broadcast came through fine but was delayed by about 30 second. I guess Jerry has to pay for that dang stadium any way he can!! I'm sure they have them for the Cowboys games too. Really made me mad. I scanned around the usual frequencies trying to find the un-delayed broadcast. 150 mhz, 450 mhz, 900 mhz band areas. I tried close call on my scanner. I couldn't find it. Does anyone know what it is? And if it is not scrambled or encrypted? I was so disgusted, I did not even look to see how much the un-delayed radios cost. Not that I would buy one!

I also wonder if this is legal for Jerry to do? Aren't the broadcast radio airways supposed to be free?

I was able to here Arlington PD inside the stadium by the way. About 3 to 4 bars on my BCD396T!

Thanks alot Jerry! One more reason not to go to that over priced POS!

Jay Pinkerton
jay@...
KE5FMS

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30807. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-05 18:13:46 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 30806 Message: 30807

There's a company (I forget the name at the moment) who has gotten a STA from the FCC to use those little radios you saw to broadcast the "home team" radio. I believe they're using frequencies in the TV channel 5 and/or 6 range. I have no idea if it's regular analog radio broadcasts or some sort of privatized encrypted setup they have. I assume the 105.3 was delayed to match their IBOC (hd radio) feed - that delay is approx 8 seconds give or take. Not sure why it would have been a whole 30 seconds.

Out of curiosity, what were they charging for those no delay things? I saw many folks with them there, but was too busy to scope out what they were asking for them. I assume they were "rentals" instead of buying?

-Melissa

Jay Pinkerton wrote:
>
>
> Went to the A&M vs Arkansas game over the weekend at the new Cowboys
> Stadium. Looked in the newspaper Saturday morning to see which radio
> station had the broadcast for the game. 105.3 FM. Great! I thought.
> I can take my scanner and listen to the game, Arlington PD and event
> staff. As soon as I get there, I notice they are selling event radios
> that are advertised as "No Delay". Sure enough the 105.3 broadcast came
> through fine but was delayed by about 30 second. I guess Jerry has to
> pay for that dang stadium any way he can!! I'm sure they have them for
> the Cowboys games too. Really made me mad. I scanned around the usual
> frequencies trying to find the un-delayed broadcast. 150 mhz, 450 mhz,
> 900 mhz band areas. I tried close call on my scanner. I couldn't find
> it. Does anyone know what it is? And if it is not scrambled or
> encrypted? I was so disgusted, I did not even look to see how much the
> un-delayed radios cost. Not that I would buy one!
>
> I also wonder if this is legal for Jerry to do? Aren't the broadcast
> radio airways supposed to be free?
>
> I was able to here Arlington PD inside the stadium by the way. About 3
> to 4 bars on my BCD396T!
>
> Thanks alot Jerry! One more reason not to go to that over priced POS!
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> jay@... <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net>
> KE5FMS
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30808. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-05 20:00:44 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 30806 Message: 30808

I forgot to look how much they were charging! Sorry. I did try some of the old TV bands and did not here anything. I know I tried TV ch 1 - 20. I did not try any higher than that. Maybe it was on the 500 mhz range. I wish I would have tried a little harder but I wanted to watch the game. I wish I would have gone up and quized one of the vendors selling them. I tried close call and it did not work. The device was very small with no antenna. Would lead you to believe it would be on a frequency that could use a small antenna. Maybe down around the am radio band. They could use a small bar antenna inside them?

Next time I go, I'll take my Kenwood HT that goes 100 kHz in to 1300 MHz and does all modes.

Jay

km5r email lists wrote:
> There's a company (I forget the name at the moment) who has gotten a
> STA from the FCC to use those little radios you saw to broadcast the
> "home team" radio. I believe they're using frequencies in the TV
> channel 5 and/or 6 range. I have no idea if it's regular analog radio
> broadcasts or some sort of privatized encrypted setup they have. I
> assume the 105.3 was delayed to match their IBOC (hd radio) feed -
> that delay is approx 8 seconds give or take. Not sure why it would
> have been a whole 30 seconds.
>
> Out of curiosity, what were they charging for those no delay things? I
> saw many folks with them there, but was too busy to scope out what
> they were asking for them. I assume they were "rentals" instead of
> buying?
>
> -Melissa
>
>
> Jay Pinkerton wrote:
>>
>>
>> Went to the A&M vs Arkansas game over the weekend at the new Cowboys
>> Stadium. Looked in the newspaper Saturday morning to see which radio
>> station had the broadcast for the game. 105.3 FM. Great! I thought.
>> I can take my scanner and listen to the game, Arlington PD and event
>> staff. As soon as I get there, I notice they are selling event radios
>> that are advertised as "No Delay". Sure enough the 105.3 broadcast came
>> through fine but was delayed by about 30 second. I guess Jerry has to
>> pay for that dang stadium any way he can!! I'm sure they have them for
>> the Cowboys games too. Really made me mad. I scanned around the usual
>> frequencies trying to find the un-delayed broadcast. 150 mhz, 450 mhz,

>> 900 mhz band areas. I tried close call on my scanner. I couldn't find
>> it. Does anyone know what it is? And if it is not scrambled or
>> encrypted? I was so disgusted, I did not even look to see how much the
>> un-delayed radios cost. Not that I would buy one!
>>
>> I also wonder if this is legal for Jerry to do? Aren't the broadcast
>> radio airways supposed to be free?
>>
>> I was able to here Arlington PD inside the stadium by the way. About 3
>> to 4 bars on my BCD396T!
>>
>> Thanks alot Jerry! One more reason not to go to that over priced POS!
>>
>> Jay Pinkerton
>> jay@... <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net>
>> KE5FMS
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30809. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-05 20:27:57 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30806 Message: 30809

Stuff like this that really makes me glad I hate sports!

If you get you hands on one be sure to look for the FCC ID number. That will tell you frequency etc. It should be on a sticker or molded into the case.

Terry
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@swbell.net>
Date: Mon, 05 Oct 2009 15:00:44
To: km5r email lists<lists@rasmussen.cx>; <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

I forgot to look how much they were charging! Sorry. I did try some of the old TV bands and did not here anything. I know I tried TV ch 1 - 20. I did not try any higher than that. Maybe it was on the 500 mhz range. I wish I would have tried a little harder but I wanted to watch the game. I wish I would have gone up and quized one of the vendors selling them. I tried close call and it did not work. The device was very small with no antenna. Would lead you to believe it would be on a frequency that could use a small antenna. Maybe down around the am radio band. They could use a small bar antenna inside them?

Next time I go, I'll take my Kenwood HT that goes 100 kHz in to 1300 MHz and does all modes.

Jay

km5r email lists wrote:
> There's a company (I forget the name at the moment) who has gotten a
> STA from the FCC to use those little radios you saw to broadcast the
> "home team" radio. I believe they're using frequencies in the TV
> channel 5 and/or 6 range. I have no idea if it's regular analog radio
> broadcasts or some sort of privatized encrypted setup they have. I
> assume the 105.3 was delayed to match their IBOC (hd radio) feed -
> that delay is approx 8 seconds give or take. Not sure why it would
> have been a whole 30 seconds.
>
> Out of curiosity, what were they charging for those no delay things? I
> saw many folks with them there, but was too busy to scope out what
> they were asking for them. I assume they were "rentals" instead of
> buying?
>
> -Melissa
>
>

> Jay Pinkerton wrote:
>>
>>
>> Went to the A&M vs Arkansas game over the weekend at the new Cowboys
>> Stadium. Looked in the newspaper Saturday morning to see which radio
>> station had the broadcast for the game. 105.3 FM. Great! I thought.
>> I can take my scanner and listen to the game, Arlington PD and event
>> staff. As soon as I get there, I notice they are selling event radios
>> that are advertised as "No Delay". Sure enough the 105.3 broadcast came
>> through fine but was delayed by about 30 second. I guess Jerry has to
>> pay for that dang stadium any way he can!! I'm sure they have them for
>> the Cowboys games too. Really made me mad. I scanned around the usual
>> frequencies trying to find the un-delayed broadcast. 150 mhz, 450 mhz,
>> 900 mhz band areas. I tried close call on my scanner. I couldn't find
>> it. Does anyone know what it is? And if it is not scrambled or
>> encrypted? I was so disgusted, I did not even look to see how much the
>> un-delayed radios cost. Not that I would buy one!
>>
>> I also wonder if this is legal for Jerry to do? Aren't the broadcast
>> radio airways supposed to be free?
>>
>> I was able to here Arlington PD inside the stadium by the way. About 3
>> to 4 bars on my BCD396T!
>>
>> Thanks alot Jerry! One more reason not to go to that over priced POS!
>>
>> Jay Pinkerton
>> jay@jaypinkerton.net <mailto:jay%40jaypinkerton.net>
>> KE5FMS
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30810. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-05 21:30:56 UTC
From: Mel's lists
Topic: 30806 Message: 30810

Here's the company:

http://www.livesportsradio.com/

Free dinner to anyone who can find the STA filing to the FCC online anywhere.

30811. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-05 21:42:07 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 30806 Message: 30811

That's it.

But it does now show the Cowboys as a choice in the online store. Has anyone seen these at the Cowboys game?

Jay

Mel's lists wrote:
> Here's the company:
>
> http://www.livesportsradio.com/
>
> Free dinner to anyone who can find the STA filing to the FCC online
> anywhere.
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30812. RE: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 00:21:58 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 30806 Message: 30812

Looks like it is somewhere in the FM radio spectrum, but jumps around...

Reprogramming
For 2009, owners of 2007 and 2008 radios will simply need to hold down the 2 white buttons for 3 seconds and tune the radio to the station that is broadcasting the game. PLEASE NOTE: This allows the radio to work as an FM receiver but will likely NOT work inside the stadium, due to interference. Also, many of the normal radio broadcasts are delayed, which is why LSR provides this service.

If you DO NOT plan on re-programming your old radio, it is a good idea to replace the battery to ensure you never miss any of this year's action. The battery is located under the earpiece and replacements can be purchased on our website, onsite at the venues and at Radio Shack and other electronic supply outlets.

UPGRADE YOUR CURRENT RADIO
If you want to replicate your great 2008 experience, we will re-program your 2008 radio for the 2009 season. As a valued customer we want you to have the same great experience this year as you had last year without having to buy a new radio. You can simply send your radio to LSR with a check for $10 (or credit card information) and we will re-program your radio for 2009. This also includes a new battery (a $5 value), a 2009 lanyard and covers shipping and handling from LSR back to you.

This re-programmed radio will then have a warranty for the entire 2009 season. If you experience any issues with the radio throughout this season, please see our sales staff at any home game for replacement, including all home basketball games this year, which effectively extends the value of the product by four more months into 2010.

This reprogramming process may take up to two weeks, although we will do our best to turn it around as quickly as possible. Simply send your radio and check for $10 to:

Live Sports Radio, reprogramming department
201 W. Big Beaver Rd. Ste 1420
Troy, MI 48084

WHY DO I NEED A NEW RECEIVER
Unfortunately the "no delay" re-broadcast requires a new receiver each year. The FCC issues frequencies for each venue the team plays in and we have to apply for licenses for each venue each year.

This will be similar every season, particularly since the schedule of away games is continually changing. We program the radios at the beginning of each season to include the frequency that is assigned for each stadium. We are unfortunately unable to get around this issue with the FCC.

We apologize for any misunderstanding relative to the fact that this is a seasonal product. We have done our best to communicate this to all of our loyal customers and will continue to do so. We are committed to providing quality products and offering excellent customer

service. If you have thoughts on how we can improve either, please contact us at info@....

Thank you for your continued support.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jay Pinkerton
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 4:42 PM
To: Mel's lists; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

That's it.

But it does now show the Cowboys as a choice in the online store. Has
anyone seen these at the Cowboys game?

Jay

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30813. Transmitter environment and design specs

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 00:28:16 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 30806 Message: 30813

Transmitter environment and design specs

[cid:image001.png@...23B00]<http://gametimeradio.net/home/index.php?view=article&catid=25%3Athe-gametime-radio-system&id=23%3Atransmitter-environment-and-design-specs&format=pdf&option=com_content&Itemid=37>

[cid:image002.png@01CA45F1.FCE23B00]<http://gametimeradio.net/home/index.php?view=article&catid=25%3Athe-gametime-radio-system&id=23%3Atransmitter-environment-and-design-specs&tmpl=component&print=1&layout=default&page=&option=com_content&Itemid=37>

[cid:image003.png@...23B00]<http://gametimeradio.net/home/index.php?option=com_mailto&tmpl=component&link=aHR0cDovL2dhbWV0aW1lcmFkaW8ubmV0L2hvbWUvaW5kZXgucGhwP29wdGlvbj1jb21fY29udGVudCZ2aWV3PWFydGljbGUmaWQ9MjM6dHJhbnNtaXR0ZXItZW52aXJvbm1lbnQtYW5kLWRlc2lnbi1zcGVjcyZjYXRpZD0yNTp0aGUtZ2FtZXRpbWUtcmFkaW8tc3lzdGVtJkl0ZW1pZD0zNw==>

Sunday, 10 August 2008 22:22

Transmitter:

Dimensions, Weight: 8.45" (21.5 cm) W x 8.18" (20.8 cm) D x 1.72" (4.4 cm) H, 3.1 lbs (1.4 kg)
Color: Black with white legends
Rack Mount: One EIA rack space high, 1/2 space wide
1-2 units can be mounted in a single rack space with optional RPK 005 (single) or RPK 006 (double) Rack Mount Kits
Power: Wall mount Class II transformer (TFP 016)
nput: 120 VAC, 60 Hz, 17 W
Output: 24 VAC, 500 mA with 3-pin Molex® plug
Approvals: UL, CSA listed
Temperature Range: Operating:0° C to 40° C (+32° F to +104° F)
Storage:-20° C to 70° C (-4° F to +158° F)
Operating Freqs: 72.1-75.9 MHz* , 10 wideband channels (selectable), 7 non-standard wideband channels (selec-
table) OR 72.025-75.975 MHz, 77 narrowband channels (selectable)
Frequency Accuracy: ±.005% stability, 0-50 ? C
Deviation: Wideband: ± 75 kHz maximum. Narrowband: ± 5 kHz maximum.
Pre-Emphasis: Wideband: 75 ?sec. Narrowband: 300 ?sec
RF Field Strength: Does not exceed 80 mV/m at 3 m
Nominal Range: Up to 1000 ft (304 m) Note: Maximum transmitter range is achieved using the ANT 005 coaxial
antenna
Audio Proc. Functions: Reduced or Normal Compressor Gain
Compression Slope Control
High Pass and Low Pass Filter Frequency control
Frequency Response: 22 - 16000 Hz, +1, -3 dB (adjustable)
Signal-to-Noise Ratio: 74 dB transmitted

http://gametimeradio.net/home/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=23:transmitter-environment-and-design-specs&catid=25:the-gametime-radio-system&Itemid=37

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30814. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 00:43:44 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30806 Message: 30814

Nothing so far on the FCC stuff, but here are a few tidbits:

Here is their domain info:

Domain Name: LIVESPORTSRADIO.COM

Registrant:

Earadio Acquisition LLC
201 West Big Beaver, Suite 1420
Troy, MI 48084
US

This from a Patent and Trademark document:

SN 76-447,345. AUTOSPORTS MARKETING ASSOCIATES,
LTD., GLYNDON, MD. FILED 9-5-2002.

EARADIO

FOR EARPIECE FOR RECEIVING BROADCAST
TRANSMISSIONS (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).
FIRST USE 8-8-2002; IN COMMERCE 8-8-2002.

****

30815. RE: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 00:43:45 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 30806 Message: 30815

It's right in here, see "operating freqs":

Transmitter:

Dimensions, Weight: 8.45" (21.5 cm) W x 8.18" (20.8 cm) D x 1.72" (4.4 cm) H, 3.1 lbs (1.4 kg)
Color: Black with white legends
Rack Mount: One EIA rack space high, 1/2 space wide
1-2 units can be mounted in a single rack space with optional RPK 005 (single) or RPK 006 (double) Rack Mount Kits
Power: Wall mount Class II transformer (TFP 016)
nput: 120 VAC, 60 Hz, 17 W
Output: 24 VAC, 500 mA with 3-pin Molex® plug
Approvals: UL, CSA listed
Temperature Range: Operating:0° C to 40° C (+32° F to +104° F)
Storage:-20° C to 70° C (-4° F to +158° F)
Operating Freqs: 72.1-75.9 MHz⋆ , 10 wideband channels (selectable), 7 non-standard wideband channels (selec-
table) OR 72.025-75.975 MHz, 77 narrowband channels (selectable)
Frequency Accuracy: ±.005% stability, 0-50 ? C
Deviation: Wideband: ± 75 kHz maximum. Narrowband: ± 5 kHz maximum.
Pre-Emphasis: Wideband: 75 ?sec. Narrowband: 300 ?sec
RF Field Strength: Does not exceed 80 mV/m at 3 m
Nominal Range: Up to 1000 ft (304 m) Note: Maximum transmitter range is achieved using the ANT 005 coaxial
antenna
Audio Proc. Functions: Reduced or Normal Compressor Gain
Compression Slope Control
High Pass and Low Pass Filter Frequency control
Frequency Response: 22 - 16000 Hz, +1, -3 dB (adjustable)
Signal-to-Noise Ratio: 74 dB transmitted

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 7:44 PM
To: DFWSCAN
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Nothing so far on the FCC stuff, but here are a few tidbits:

Here is their domain info:

Domain Name: LIVESPORTSRADIO.COM

Registrant:

Earadio Acquisition LLC
201 West Big Beaver, Suite 1420

Troy, MI 48084
US

This from a Patent and Trademark document:

SN 76-447,345. AUTOSPORTS MARKETING ASSOCIATES,
LTD., GLYNDON, MD. FILED 9-5-2002.

EARADIO

FOR EARPIECE FOR RECEIVING BROADCAST
TRANSMISSIONS (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).
FIRST USE 8-8-2002; IN COMMERCE 8-8-2002.

****

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30816. RE: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 01:00:58 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 30806 Message: 30816

No idea...

From: Jonathan Kelley [mailto:jonkelley@...]
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 7:59 PM
To: Mark Saum
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

We program the radios at the beginning of each season to include the frequency that is assigned for each stadium. We are unfortunately unable to get around this issue with the FCC.

Is this a lie? Why can't the system listen for a PL tone or something on a set range (100hz - 500hz) and select whatever they happen to get licensed?
--
Jon

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30817. RE: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 01:01:29 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 30806 Message: 30817

I don't care about the dinner. But you now have the frequencies.

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Boyer [mailto:daweasel@...]
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 7:52 PM
To: Mark Saum
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

And?

I was looking for the FCC info to get the free dinner. See, its right here:

Free dinner to anyone who can find the STA filing to the FCC online
anywhere.

Mark Saum wrote:
> It's right in here, see "operating freqs":
>
>

30818. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 01:52:18 UTC
From: km5r email lists
Topic: 30806 Message: 30818

Frequencies are cool, thank you. I just wanted the actual entry in the
FCC database granting them permission to transmit there :)

Mark Saum wrote:
>
>
> I don't care about the dinner. But you now have the frequencies.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Bill Boyer [mailto:daweasel@...
> <mailto:daweasel%40swbell.net>]
> Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 7:52 PM
> To: Mark Saum
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium
>
> And?
>
> I was looking for the FCC info to get the free dinner. See, its right
> here:
>
> Free dinner to anyone who can find the STA filing to the FCC online
> anywhere.
>
> Mark Saum wrote:
> > It's right in here, see "operating freqs":
> >
>

30819. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 11:34:33 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 30806 Message: 30819

So, their using a Williams Sound PPA 375 Transmitter?

I can find no evidence of any kind of STA issued for the stadium area, but there is a provision for confidentiality for some records...

From: Mark Saum <msaum@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, October 5, 2009 7:43:45 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

It's right in here, see "operating freqs":

Transmitter:

Dimensions, Weight: 8.45" (21.5 cm) W x 8.18" (20.8 cm) D x 1.72" (4.4 cm) H, 3.1 lbs (1.4 kg)
Color: Black with white legends
Rack Mount: One EIA rack space high, 1/2 space wide
1-2 units can be mounted in a single rack space with optional RPK 005 (single) or RPK 006 (double) Rack Mount Kits
Power: Wall mount Class II transformer (TFP 016)
nput: 120 VAC, 60 Hz, 17 W
Output: 24 VAC, 500 mA with 3-pin Molex® plug
Approvals: UL, CSA listed
Temperature Range: Operating:0° C to 40° C (+32° F to +104° F)
Storage:-20° C to 70° C (-4° F to +158° F)
Operating Freqs: 72.1-75.9 MHz* , 10 wideband channels (selectable) , 7 non-standard wideband channels (selec-
table) OR 72.025-75.975 MHz, 77 narrowband channels (selectable)
Frequency Accuracy: ±.005% stability, 0-50 ? C
Deviation: Wideband: ± 75 kHz maximum. Narrowband: ± 5 kHz maximum.
Pre-Emphasis: Wideband: 75 ?sec. Narrowband: 300 ?sec
RF Field Strength: Does not exceed 80 mV/m at 3 m
Nominal Range: Up to 1000 ft (304 m) Note: Maximum transmitter range is achieved using the ANT 005 coaxial
antenna
Audio Proc. Functions: Reduced or Normal Compressor Gain
Compression Slope Control
High Pass and Low Pass Filter Frequency control
Frequency Response: 22 - 16000 Hz, +1, -3 dB (adjustable)
Signal-to-Noise Ratio: 74 dB transmitted

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 7:44 PM
To: DFWSCAN

Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Nothing so far on the FCC stuff, but here are a few tidbits:

Here is their domain info:

Domain Name: LIVESPORTSRADIO. COM

Registrant:

Earadio Acquisition LLC
201 West Big Beaver, Suite 1420
Troy, MI 48084
US

This from a Patent and Trademark document:

SN 76-447,345. AUTOSPORTS MARKETING ASSOCIATES,
LTD., GLYNDON, MD. FILED 9-5-2002.

EARADIO

FOR EARPIECE FOR RECEIVING BROADCAST
TRANSMISSIONS (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).
FIRST USE 8-8-2002; IN COMMERCE 8-8-2002.

********** *****

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30820. Weirdness on KXAS ENG channel

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 13:52:28 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30820 Message: 30820

Somehow, someone, somewhere, for some reason has the "Regional" MPT1327 system traffic cross-repeating onto KXAS Channel 5's ENG frequency, 450.3125. Anyone know what that's all about?

Rob

30821. CareFlite Johnson County Freq

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 13:58:32 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30821 Message: 30821

Does anyone know who the co-users are on 462.125, the frequency utilised by Johnson County CareFlite ground on the Cleburne CSSI LTR system.

There is an oil/gas well service company that has always been on there. But now that school has started again, there is a high school on there using another LTR system for security and admin. It's not on the CSSI system, because it's stronger than CareFlite when they are using the frequency simultaneously.

As annoying as it is to have to listen to, the high school traffic can actually be pretty interesting. Sounds like a tough school. Lots of fights and other drama every day.

Rob

30822. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 14:54:49 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 30806 Message: 30822

500 mA output. Guess that is why close call did not catch it.

I don't see anything about what mode they use. I hope it is not digital.

This system seems to be more prevalent in College football. I've heard much of the profits goes to the university's. So I guess that is how they sell it.

I haven't been to a Cowboys game yet. Does anyone know if they use it at Cowboys games?

My brother says they use it at A&M Home Games. I'll get him to try it out.

Jay

Mark Saum wrote:
>
>
> It's right in here, see "operating freqs":
>
> Transmitter:
>
> Dimensions, Weight: 8.45" (21.5 cm) W x 8.18" (20.8 cm) D x 1.72" (4.4
> cm) H, 3.1 lbs (1.4 kg)
> Color: Black with white legends
> Rack Mount: One EIA rack space high, 1/2 space wide
> 1-2 units can be mounted in a single rack space with optional RPK 005
> (single) or RPK 006
> (double) Rack Mount Kits
> Power: Wall mount Class II transformer (TFP 016)
> nput: 120 VAC, 60 Hz, 17 W
> Output: 24 VAC, 500 mA with 3-pin Molex® plug
> Approvals: UL, CSA listed
> Temperature Range: Operating:0° C to 40° C (+32° F to +104° F)
> Storage:-20° C to 70° C (-4° F to +158° F)
> Operating Freqs: 72.1-75.9 MHz* , 10 wideband channels (selectable), 7
> non-standard wideband channels (selec-
> table) OR 72.025-75.975 MHz, 77 narrowband channels (selectable)
> Frequency Accuracy: ±.005% stability, 0-50 ? C
> Deviation: Wideband: ± 75 kHz maximum. Narrowband: ± 5 kHz maximum.
> Pre-Emphasis: Wideband: 75 ?sec. Narrowband: 300 ?sec
> RF Field Strength: Does not exceed 80 mV/m at 3 m
> Nominal Range: Up to 1000 ft (304 m) Note: Maximum transmitter range
> is achieved using the ANT 005 coaxial
> antenna
> Audio Proc. Functions: Reduced or Normal Compressor Gain

> Compression Slope Control
> High Pass and Low Pass Filter Frequency control
> Frequency Response: 22 - 16000 Hz, +1, -3 dB (adjustable)
> Signal-to-Noise Ratio: 74 dB transmitted
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On
> Behalf Of Bill Boyer
> Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 7:44 PM
> To: DFWSCAN
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium
>
> Nothing so far on the FCC stuff, but here are a few tidbits:
>
> Here is their domain info:
>
> Domain Name: LIVESPORTSRADIO.COM
>
> Registrant:
>
> Earadio Acquisition LLC
> 201 West Big Beaver, Suite 1420
> Troy, MI 48084
> US
>
> This from a Patent and Trademark document:
>
> SN 76-447,345. AUTOSPORTS MARKETING ASSOCIATES,
> LTD., GLYNDON, MD. FILED 9-5-2002.
>
> EARADIO
>
> FOR EARPIECE FOR RECEIVING BROADCAST
> TRANSMISSIONS (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).
> FIRST USE 8-8-2002; IN COMMERCE 8-8-2002.
>
> **********
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30823. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 15:21:38 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 30806 Message: 30823

I know that the signal was delayed this week, as I was able to sync up
the tivo to the radio broadcast at home.

On Tue, Oct 6, 2009 at 9:54 AM, Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
> 500 mA output. Guess that is why close call did not catch it.
>
> I don't see anything about what mode they use. I hope it is not digital.
>
> This system seems to be more prevalent in College football. I've heard
> much of the profits goes to the university's. So I guess that is how
> they sell it.
>
> I haven't been to a Cowboys game yet. Does anyone know if they use it
> at Cowboys games?
>
> My brother says they use it at A&M Home Games. I'll get him to try it out.
>
> Jay

30824. Re: Weirdness on KXAS ENG channel

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 18:06:34 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30820 Message: 30824

--- On Tue, 10/6/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@armynursecorps.com> wrote:

> Somehow, someone, somewhere, for some
> reason has the "Regional" MPT1327 system traffic
> cross-repeating onto KXAS Channel 5's ENG frequency,
> 450.3125. Anyone know what that's all about?

Actually, that's KDFW's frequency - Fox 4....an image maybe?

Blaine

30825. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Weirdness on KXAS ENG channel

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 20:33:10 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30820 Message: 30825

On Tuesday, October 6, 2009 13:06, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...> said:

> Actually, that's KDFW's frequency - Fox 4....an image maybe?

Ooops! My mistake. Memory's not what it used to be.

Could have been an image, I guess. The signal itself was strong, but the Regional signal that it was retransmitting was weak and scratchy. Didn't last but maybe an hour before it stopped.

30826. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Weirdness on KXAS ENG channel

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 20:46:12 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 30820 Message: 30826

I think someone got their hands on a cross band radio.

In the last month I have heard several public safety channels VHF, UHF being interfered with from what sounds like a cross band patch.

DFR 1, DPD 8, and I think one of the DSO channels. The DFR channel I heard several DTMF tomes preceding it, but it sounded pretty good once it was up, I think it was a FD from north of Dallas, as they had a MVA on Dallas North Toll Way at the time.

Now that I have typed this out I am thinking I might be wrong, as I have never seen a cross band radio other then Amateur, and they don't do trunking, and the interference sounded like a trunking system with Voter Recievers (clicking after the transmission has ended.)

_____
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 3:33 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Weirdness on KXAS ENG channel

On Tuesday, October 6, 2009 13:06, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@ <mailto:blaineb2001%40yahoo.com> yahoo.com> said:

> Actually, that's KDFW's frequency - Fox 4....an image maybe?

Ooops! My mistake. Memory's not what it used to be.

Could have been an image, I guess. The signal itself was strong, but the Regional signal that it was retransmitting was weak and scratchy. Didn't last but maybe an hour before it stopped.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30827. Aircraft Down - Wise County

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 20:56:33 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30827 Message: 30827

Wise County (Aurora) | Aircraft Down | 154.445 | Currie Rd IAO Deep Creek Rd | Rhome E17, WCSO, M3 o/s twin engine aircraft down, moderate damage, missing 1 wing, unk souls aboard

Looks to be just outside Aurora city limits to the north of town, near some farm houses. Four patients. Three medevacs on scene. Telecopter 8 was first media in. Scab 4 is just now getting there, and is fixing to do a live cut-in on channel 4. Only patient report I heard was a 65 yom passenger with an open arm fracture and tension pneumo going to JPS by PHI AirMed.

30828. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Weirdness on KXAS ENG channel

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 20:59:06 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30820 Message: 30828

More details about what I heard: It was almost definitely a feed from a scanner, and not an actual transceiver. You could hear all the DTMF bursts before and after each transmission that you'd only hear on a scanner, not a radio within the system. And it was a mixture of all the PD and FD traffic, not just one channel.

On Tuesday, October 6, 2009 15:46, "Patrick - Yahoo" <pwrigg@...> said:

> I think someone got their hands on a cross band radio.
>
> In the last month I have heard several public safety channels VHF, UHF being
> interfered with from what sounds like a cross band patch.
>
> DFR 1, DPD 8, and I think one of the DSO channels. The DFR channel I heard
> several DTMF tomes preceding it, but it sounded pretty good once it was up,
> I think it was a FD from north of Dallas, as they had a MVA on Dallas North
> Toll Way at the time.
>
> Now that I have typed this out I am thinking I might be wrong, as I have
> never seen a cross band radio other then Amateur, and they don't do
> trunking, and the interference sounded like a trunking system with Voter
> Recievers (clicking after the transmission has ended.)

30829. RE: Weirdness on KXAS ENG channel

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-06 21:12:29 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30820 Message: 30829

--- On Tue, 10/6/09, rob.davis@...

> More details about what I
> heard: It was almost definitely a feed from a scanner,

If it did not have a PL of 131.8, well, maybe Timmy is playing again.
Oh wait, no...he sold all of his stuff for a wedding dress!

30831. Windows blown out of hirise

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 03:56:48 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo mail
Topic: 30831 Message: 30831

I am listening to dfr ch 12 and hearing units on 11th floor talking about windows being blown out, securing the office and doors and a few other things. They did mention more glass falling as they check other windows. No location. Just an fyi email.

_____
Sprint HTC Touch Pro
Blackberry 8310 PIN 20B164C8
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Radio Service and Technical Consulting
http://www.twowayshopper.com
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/GMRSDallas
http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/TreoPro

30832. Falling Glass

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 18:23:40 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30832 Message: 30832

Someone had mentioned overhearing activity about falling glass in Dallas -- here is the scoop:

http://www.myfoxdfw.com/dpp/news/HighRise_Shooting_Causes_Dama

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30833. Radio Systems PM Job at City of Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 17:58:17 UTC
From: spectreno9
Topic: 30833 Message: 30833

Folks,

I was browsing the City of Dallas job board and came across a posting for a job working on the City's radio systems. I thought it would be worth posting over here in case any of those looking for work or new work on the list could send over their names, since obviously it would be a nice synergy to have "one of us" improving my city's communications infrastructure.

Regards,
Patrick H. Dallas, TX

---
job posting taken from:
http://agency.governmentjobs.com/dallas/default.cfm?action=viewjob&JobID=124131

Bachelor's degree in computer science, mathematics, engineering, or business fields plus four years experience managing multiple major infrastructure and system projects for large enterprise environment. Experience must include management of technical staff and budgeting through various lifecycle phases. Requires effective oral and written communication skills for promoting customer relations with various City departments and knowledge of mainframe, midrange and PC environments. Must be able to manage multidiscipline, high performance work teams in a matrix project team structure and work in a diverse business environment with changing business requirements. PMP certification or familiarity with structured project management methodology, e.g., PMBOK is preferred. Eight year combination of specified education and experience will qualify.

This position manages the operation of radio network for the City of Dallas. Manages staff in a 24/7 operation to ensure that maintenance and support service level agreements are met. Experience with planning, implementing and supporting trunked radio system, microwave system, and radio data network to include project management. Ensures the security and integrity of information stored on the systems to provide reliable information; completes internal controls audit for applications, quality and database areas, and records management. Analyzes possible solutions to business problems and makes recommendations for the purchase of systems to meet department needs; may involve the review and approval of work done by subordinate analysts. Directs and coordinates system changes such as equipment installation or reconfiguration and implementation of new software to ensure greater system effectiveness. Provides the long-term planning and coordination of monitoring and maintenance of radio communications. Prepares budget and manages expenditures. Develops an accurate radio rate model to chargeback departments. Experience with SNMP management platforms and data analysis, the ability to produce and deliver technical procedures and training, proficiency with word processing, spreadsheet creation and database comprehension, previous customer service and vendor management experience. As a plus, experience implementing or support of 802.11x WiFi network or broadband wireless network.

30834. RE: [dfwscan] Falling Glass

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 20:14:45 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 30832 Message: 30834

Yup that's what I was listening to on DFR 12 last night.

They only mentioned the 11th floor though. Not the 7th floor.

Thanks for the follow up.

_____
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2009 1:24 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Falling Glass

Someone had mentioned overhearing activity about falling glass in Dallas -- here is the scoop:

http://www.myfoxdfw
<http://www.myfoxdfw.com/dpp/news/HighRise_Shooting_Causes_Dama>
.com/dpp/news/HighRise_Shooting_Causes_Dama

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30835. Allen structure fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 20:49:59 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30835 Message: 30835

Not sure if it's a working or training, but Allen fire is working a fire on Channel 2 Command with heavy fire throughout the structure. Sounds like a total loss. Nothing on IPN. And I don't have a location/address as well...

Blaine

30836. Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 20:53:35 UTC
From: emsemtbtx
Topic: 30836 Message: 30836

BULLETIN - EAS ACTIVATION REQUESTED
CHILD ABDUCTION EMERGENCY
TEXAS DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AUSTIN TX
RELAYED BY NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SHREVEPORT LA
134 PM CDT WED OCT 7 2009

THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE IS TRANSMITTED AT THE REQUEST OF THE TEXAS
DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT IN AUSTIN TEXAS.

THE TYLER POLICE DEPARTMENT IS SEARCHING FOR ERICKA FAITH
WHITE...A WHITE FEMALE...13 YEARS OLD...DATE OF BIRTH OCTOBER 26
1996...5 FEET 2 INCHES TALL...105 POUNDS, BLONDE HAIR AND BLUE
EYES. ALSO ANSWERS TO STAR AND STARGAZER. LAST SEEN WEARING A
BLACK HOODED JACKET WITH BLUE JEANS.

POLICE ARE LOOKING FOR BLAKE AUSTIN MILLER...ALSO KNOWN AS
JOKER...A WHITE MALE...18 YEARS OLD...DATE OF BIRTH OCTOBER 22
1990...5 FEET 6 INCHES TALL...140 POUNDS, BROWN HAIR...GREEN
EYES...AND BAD ACNE...IN HER ABDUCTION. MILLER HAS MENTAL ISSUES
AND IS KNOWN TO CARRY KNIVES.

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS BELIEVE THIS CHILD TO BE IN GRAVE OR IMMEDIATE
DANGER.

IF YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION REGARDING THIS ABDUCTION...CALL THE
TYLER POLICE DEPARTMENT.

NEWS MEDIA POINT OF CONTACT IS 9 0 3...5 3 1...10 19.

30837. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 20:59:31 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30836 Message: 30837

Thanks for the info. This is stuff the cities should send via Nixle -- not an alert every time the Pope farts. I got 5 texts from FWEOC the other day -- most of which alerted me to the fact that the storm warnings had lapsed and all was good.

--- On Wed, 10/7/09, emsemtbtx <moar_hs@...> wrote:

BULLETIN - EAS ACTIVATION REQUESTED
CHILD ABDUCTION EMERGENCY...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30838. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 21:01:36 UTC
From: P L
Topic: 30836 Message: 30838

Well, I am just now getting a hold of it, and I am real big into the AMBER Alert program. So I thought I would just pass it along. Thanks Bill.

The information contained in this message and any attachments is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and has the possibility of containing information that is PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, and EXEMPTfrom disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from copying, distributing, or using the information. Please contact the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete the original message from your system.

From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wed, October 7, 2009 3:59:31 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Thanks for the info. This is stuff the cities should send via Nixle -- not an alert every time the Pope farts. I got 5 texts from FWEOC the other day -- most of which alerted me to the fact that the storm warnings had lapsed and all was good.

--- On Wed, 10/7/09, emsemtbtx <moar_hs@yahoo. com> wrote:

BULLETIN - EAS ACTIVATION REQUESTED
CHILD ABDUCTION EMERGENCY...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30839. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 21:07:26 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30836 Message: 30839

I have problems with the Amber Alert programme. Just like this particular alert, many of them fall outside of the criteria it was originally set up for. This girl wasn't violently abducted. She's on the run with her boyfriend. It hurts the credibility of the programme and numbs us to what should be a serious issue.

Rob

30840. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 21:35:28 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 30836 Message: 30840

i received 3 messages about this when it first came out. There is a little confusion on this because the messages?i got said that she was taken from Richardson and Fox4 web site has Richardson also.

"IN GOD WE TRUST"

-----Original Message-----
From: P L <moar_hs@...>
To: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wed, Oct 7, 2009 4:01 pm
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Well, I am just now getting a hold of it, and I am real big into the AMBER Alert program. So I thought I would just pass it along. Thanks Bill.

The information contained in this message and any attachments is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and has the possibility of containing information that is PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, and EXEMPTfrom disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from copying, distributing, or using the information. Please contact the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete the original message from your system.

_____
From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wed, October 7, 2009 3:59:31 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Thanks for the info. This is stuff the cities should send via Nixle -- not an alert every time the Pope farts. I got 5 texts from FWEOC the other day -- most of which alerted me to the fact that the storm warnings had lapsed and all was good.

--- On Wed, 10/7/09, emsemtbtx <moar_hs@yahoo. com> wrote:

BULLETIN - EAS ACTIVATION REQUESTED
CHILD ABDUCTION EMERGENCY...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30841. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 21:53:09 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30836 Message: 30841

True, but she is a minor who has run away and is in the company of an adult.. You might feel differently if she was your kid. I get the impression from the other facts stated she mostly lives on the street and does who knows what.

My gripe is with TXDOT and all their Amber / Silver Alerts on the emergency signs. Traffic slows way down when these signs are on, creating a traffic hazard.

--- On Wed, 10/7/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@armynursecorps..com> wrote:

I have problems with the Amber Alert programme. Just like this particular alert, many of them fall outside of the criteria it was originally set up for. This girl wasn't violently abducted. She's on the run with her boyfriend. It hurts the credibility of the programme and numbs us to what should be a serious issue.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30842. Re: Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 22:20:35 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30836 Message: 30842

--- On Wed, 10/7/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@armynursecorps.com> wrote:

> I have problems with the Amber Alert
> programme. This girl wasn't violently abducted. It hurts the
> credibility of the programme

I tend to agree with you Rob. And I hate driving down the highway and seeing more info about some 90 yr old geezer that's on the loose again. And more often than not, it's sombody's Grandpa that has alzheimer's and has went for a stroll in the neighborhood, and doesn't know where his house is. Violent abduction of kids? YES....Grandpa and Grandma Kettle missing? NO!!!

Blaine

30843. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 22:44:58 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 30836 Message: 30843

Seems any sign will do that. Few weeks ago Lewisville had their
'Western Days' portable road sign lit up NB and SB on 35E. Couldn't
figure out why traffic was (worse than) horrible. Soon as we got past
the sign, traffic started moving again.

On Wed, Oct 7, 2009 at 4:53 PM, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:

> My gripe is with TXDOT and all their Amber / Silver Alerts on the emergency signs.
Traffic slows way down when these signs are on, creating a traffic hazard.

30844. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 22:47:44 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30836 Message: 30844

I dont even bother reading those temporary signs, if it was truly important
it'd be written on a permanent one.

On Wed, Oct 7, 2009 at 5:44 PM, Don Teague <me@...> wrote:

>
>
> Seems any sign will do that. Few weeks ago Lewisville had their
> 'Western Days' portable road sign lit up NB and SB on 35E. Couldn't
> figure out why traffic was (worse than) horrible. Soon as we got past
> the sign, traffic started moving again.
>
> On Wed, Oct 7, 2009 at 4:53 PM, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...<daweasel%40swbell.net>>
> wrote:
>
> > My gripe is with TXDOT and all their Amber / Silver Alerts on the
> emergency signs. Traffic slows way down when these signs are on, creating a
> traffic hazard.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30845. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 22:50:11 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 30836 Message: 30845

I don't read them either ... well, I don't slow down.

I posses the ability to do both. Seems 95% of the US population can't.

On Wed, Oct 7, 2009 at 5:47 PM, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:

> I dont even bother reading those temporary signs, if it was truly important
> it'd be written on a permanent one.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30846. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 22:51:40 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30836 Message: 30846

On Wednesday, October 7, 2009 17:20, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...> said:

> I tend to agree with you Rob. And I hate driving down the highway and seeing more
> info about some 90 yr old geezer that's on the loose again. And more often than
> not, it's sombody's Grandpa that has alzheimer's and has went for a stroll in the
> neighborhood, and doesn't know where his house is. Violent abduction of kids?
> YES....Grandpa and Grandma Kettle missing? NO!!!

I don't really have a problem with those. It's the dishonesty of implying that a situation is something that it really is not by titling it an Amber Alert, when they know it was not a confirmed stranger abduction. They're scamming the system.

30847. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-07 23:52:21 UTC
From: cwrong@aol.com
Topic: 30836 Message: 30847

the?alert has been canceled

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30848. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-08 00:12:28 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30836 Message: 30848

The best one was when they had all the flooding out in far west Texas.
They had highway signs in DFW warning I-20 was closed in Pecos. Freaked
people out that didn't know Pecos was 400 freakin' miles away.

Don Teague wrote:
> Seems any sign will do that. Few weeks ago Lewisville had their
> 'Western Days' portable road sign lit up NB and SB on 35E. Couldn't
> figure out why traffic was (worse than) horrible. Soon as we got past
> the sign, traffic started moving again.
>
> On Wed, Oct 7, 2009 at 4:53 PM, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
>
>> My gripe is with TXDOT and all their Amber / Silver Alerts on the emergency signs.
Traffic slows way down when these signs are on, creating a traffic hazard.

30849. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-08 02:16:23 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 30836 Message: 30849

At 05:50 PM 10/7/2009, Don Teague wrote:
>
>
>I don't read them either ... well, I don't slow down.
>
>I posses the ability to do both. Seems 95% of the US population can't.

I must then be one of the rare few who possess the ability to not
read signs while not slowing down.

What is multi-tasking coming to? :-)

Toby

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30850. Trauma

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-08 04:22:21 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30850 Message: 30850

I know we have quite a few EMS types here on the Listserver. Someone over at EMTcity.com made and posted this video rebuttal to the premiere of the new NBC series "Trauma", and it's absolutely hilarious. You have to check it out. It's going viral nationwide. It's even made it to Johnny Gage from "Emergency!"

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u3_wLWcdLIE&feature=player_embedded#

The names mentioned in the beginning, "Dust, Rid, and Bledsoe", are veteran members of the forum at EMTcity.com, including Dr. Bryan Bledsoe, the author of the Brady paramedic textbook, who is native to Fort Worth.

Enjoy, and pass it on!

Rob

30851. RE: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-08 05:17:31 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 30836 Message: 30851

Not surprised the alert has already been canceled, she probably just simply walked back into her parent(s) house like nothing had happened. Which I believe is what happened in Arlington about two weeks ago about 12-hours after the last alleged teen abduction following her new boyfriend's murder. The problem with this system is systematic of what is eroding the effectiveness and power of police all over our nation: liability and perception of image. Police administrators are more concerned about covering their butts, not looking bad, and limiting their personal and departmental liabilities, than doing what is right and within reason. Because the program exists, it trumps pre-Amber days when the police committed resources to the search and occasionally released a photograph to the media and asked for the public's help. Most cops know that it's an abuse of the system to blow the tone on a teenage runaway who either ran away with her older boyfriend, or was forced to leave. But, they have to cover their collective behinds in case the girl shows up severely assaulted or murdered. The Amber Alert should be reserved for stranger on stranger abductions; period. This would help take the "cry wolf" and angry ex-spouse seeking revenge aspects out of the equation.

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of cwrong@...
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2009 6:52 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

the?alert has been canceled

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30852. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-08 09:22:18 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30836 Message: 30852

I dont think it should have been reserved to stranger-stranger only. It most certainly should apply to ex-spouse seeking revenge types also. Remember a few years back the guy that called his ex-wife and murdered his kids while she listened to them scream over the phone?

On Thu, Oct 8, 2009 at 12:17 AM, Mark Colborn <kd5elf@...>wrote:

>
>
> Not surprised the alert has already been canceled, she probably just simply
> walked back into her parent(s) house like nothing had happened. Which I
> believe is what happened in Arlington about two weeks ago about 12-hours
> after the last alleged teen abduction following her new boyfriend's murder.
> The problem with this system is systematic of what is eroding the
> effectiveness and power of police all over our nation: liability and
> perception of image. Police administrators are more concerned about
> covering
> their butts, not looking bad, and limiting their personal and departmental
> liabilities, than doing what is right and within reason. Because the
> program
> exists, it trumps pre-Amber days when the police committed resources to the
> search and occasionally released a photograph to the media and asked for
> the
> public's help. Most cops know that it's an abuse of the system to blow the
> tone on a teenage runaway who either ran away with her older boyfriend, or
> was forced to leave. But, they have to cover their collective behinds in
> case the girl shows up severely assaulted or murdered. The Amber Alert
> should be reserved for stranger on stranger abductions; period. This would
> help take the "cry wolf" and angry ex-spouse seeking revenge aspects out of
> the equation.
>
> Mark
>
> _____
>
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:
> dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
> cwrong@... <cwrong%40aol.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2009 6:52 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert
>
> the?alert has been canceled
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30853. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-08 12:41:59 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30836 Message: 30853

Y'all really need to get out of the basement more and watch / read the
news. She and he boyfriend were spotted in Fort Worth by an FWPD officer
and detained.

Andrew Tucker wrote:
> I dont think it should have been reserved to stranger-stranger only. It most
> certainly should apply to ex-spouse seeking revenge types also. Remember a
> few years back the guy that called his ex-wife and murdered his kids while
> she listened to them scream over the phone?
>
> On Thu, Oct 8, 2009 at 12:17 AM, Mark Colborn <kd5elf@...>wrote:
>
>
>> Not surprised the alert has already been canceled, she probably just simply
>> walked back into her parent(s) house like nothing had happened. Which I
>> believe is what happened in Arlington about two weeks ago about 12-hours
>> after the last alleged teen abduction following her new boyfriend's murder.
>> The problem with this system is systematic of what is eroding the
>> effectiveness and power of police all over our nation: liability and
>> perception of image. Police administrators are more concerned about
>> covering
>> their butts, not looking bad, and limiting their personal and departmental
>> liabilities, than doing what is right and within reason. Because the
>> program
>> exists, it trumps pre-Amber days when the police committed resources to the
>> search and occasionally released a photograph to the media and asked for
>> the
>> public's help. Most cops know that it's an abuse of the system to blow the
>> tone on a teenage runaway who either ran away with her older boyfriend, or
>> was forced to leave. But, they have to cover their collective behinds in
>> case the girl shows up severely assaulted or murdered. The Amber Alert
>> should be reserved for stranger on stranger abductions; period. This would
>> help take the "cry wolf" and angry ex-spouse seeking revenge aspects out of
>> the equation.
>>

30854. Re: [dfwscan] Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-08 12:46:41 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 30836 Message: 30854

All,

This is a perfect thread to move to dfwscan-tavern.

Thank you.

30855. Re: Trauma

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-08 15:17:37 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30850 Message: 30855

I dont know which was funnier, the trauma bit, or seeing the other "skits" made from that footage, the smoking ban one was great.

And to keep this on a scanning topic, you can hear NOAA weather radio on 162.550 in the Fort Worth area..haha...

Happy Scanning...

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> I know we have quite a few EMS types here on the Listserver. Someone over at EMTcity.com made and posted this video rebuttal to the premiere of the new NBC series "Trauma", and it's absolutely hilarious. You have to check it out. It's going viral nationwide. It's even made it to Johnny Gage from "Emergency!"
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u3_wLWcdLIE&feature=player_embedded#
>
> The names mentioned in the beginning, "Dust, Rid, and Bledsoe", are veteran members of the forum at EMTcity.com, including Dr. Bryan Bledsoe, the author of the Brady paramedic textbook, who is native to Fort Worth.
>
> Enjoy, and pass it on!
>
> Rob
>

30856. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Texas AMBER Alert

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 11:05:38 UTC
From: Russell Stringfield
Topic: 30836 Message: 30856

I've been in Austin in school all week, and I just got back. We're unable to go to Yahoo on the "company" computer or check Yahoo Mail.

There are several criteria that MUST be met to qualify for an Amber Alert, sorry I don't have them all here to post them, but then the information MUST be sent to the Department in Austin for review. Then, and only then is an Amber/Silver/Blue Alert activated.

Russ

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of rob.davis@...
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2009 5:52 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Texas AMBER Alert

On Wednesday, October 7, 2009 17:20, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@yahoo.com <mailto:blaineb2001%40yahoo.com> > said:

> I tend to agree with you Rob. And I hate driving down the highway and seeing more
> info about some 90 yr old geezer that's on the loose again. And more often than
> not, it's sombody's Grandpa that has alzheimer's and has went for a stroll in the
> neighborhood, and doesn't know where his house is. Violent abduction of kids?
> YES....Grandpa and Grandma Kettle missing? NO!!!

I don't really have a problem with those. It's the dishonesty of implying that a situation is something that it really is not by titling it an Amber Alert, when they know it was not a confirmed stranger abduction. They're scamming the system.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30857. FWPS1/TG 10672/Logistics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 13:36:44 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30857 Message: 30857

I think this is the first time I've heard any activity on 10672 on the Fort Worth Public Safety system. I heard "logistics" talking about sand bags. I'm not sure it's drill or realtime. I have 10672 as Tarrant County Health Department. There's not many transmissions, but it might be worth listening in.

I did hear a North Richland Hills mobile command post going to Haltom City for a drill this morning, so maybe they are related.

08:35

Ben

30858. Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 17:49:19 UTC
From: Dragoncooler
Topic: 30858 Message: 30858

Wfaa.com has a live police chase right now involving txdot Dallas and other counties.

Sent from my mobile phone.

30859. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 17:50:27 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 30858 Message: 30859

involving txdot??? really?? how does that work

On Fri, Oct 9, 2009 at 12:49 PM, Dragoncooler <dragoncooler@...>wrote:

>
>
> Wfaa.com has a live police chase right now involving txdot Dallas and
> other counties.
>
> Sent from my mobile phone.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30860. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 17:50:31 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30858 Message: 30860

TxDOT? I didn't know they did pursuits...

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Dragoncooler <dragoncooler@...>
Date: Fri, 9 Oct 2009 12:49:19
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Wfaa.com has a live police chase right now involving txdot Dallas and
other counties.

Sent from my mobile phone.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30861. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 17:52:10 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30858 Message: 30861

They put one of those arrow boards in front of him to tell him to pull over?

On Fri, Oct 9, 2009 at 12:50 PM, <tvsjr@...> wrote:

>
>
> TxDOT? I didn't know they did pursuits...
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dragoncooler <dragoncooler@... <dragoncooler%40gmail.com>>
> Date: Fri, 9 Oct 2009 12:49:19
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now
>
> Wfaa.com has a live police chase right now involving txdot Dallas and
> other counties.
>
> Sent from my mobile phone.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30862. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 17:54:38 UTC
From: Dragoncooler
Topic: 30858 Message: 30862

Sorry tx dps. Anyone got a freq this is on?
Sent from my mobile phone.

On Oct 9, 2009, at 12:52 PM, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:

> They put one of those arrow boards in front of him to tell him to
> pull over?
>
> On Fri, Oct 9, 2009 at 12:50 PM, <tvsjr@...> wrote:
>
> TxDOT? I didn't know they did pursuits...
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dragoncooler <dragoncooler@...>
> Date: Fri, 9 Oct 2009 12:49:19
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now
>
> Wfaa.com has a live police chase right now involving txdot Dallas and
> other counties.
>
> Sent from my mobile phone.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30863. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 17:59:48 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30858 Message: 30863

Cody Sheppard wrote:
> involving txdot??? really?? how does that work
>
> On Fri, Oct 9, 2009 at 12:49 PM, Dragoncooler <dragoncooler@...>wrote:
>
>>
>> Wfaa.com has a live police chase right now involving txdot Dallas and
>> other counties.
>>
>> Sent from my mobile phone.
>>

I'm watching this.. I have no idea why they just dont run this guy off
the road into a muddy field.. With that tire gone, he will be stuck...

30864. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 17:59:53 UTC
From: Dragoncooler
Topic: 30858 Message: 30864

Wow what a character. He's smoking a cigarette and waving to the
helicopter and talking on the phone.

Sent from my mobile phone.

On Oct 9, 2009, at 12:52 PM, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:

> They put one of those arrow boards in front of him to tell him to
> pull over?
>
> On Fri, Oct 9, 2009 at 12:50 PM, <tvsjr@...> wrote:
>
> TxDOT? I didn't know they did pursuits...
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dragoncooler <dragoncooler@...>
> Date: Fri, 9 Oct 2009 12:49:19
> To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now
>
> Wfaa.com has a live police chase right now involving txdot Dallas and
> other counties.
>
> Sent from my mobile phone.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30865. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 18:04:54 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30858 Message: 30865

Jay Urish wrote:
> Cody Sheppard wrote:
>> involving txdot??? really?? how does that work
>>
>> On Fri, Oct 9, 2009 at 12:49 PM, Dragoncooler <dragoncooler@...>wrote:
>>
>>> Wfaa.com has a live police chase right now involving txdot Dallas and
>>> other counties.
>>>
>>> Sent from my mobile phone.
>>>
>
> I'm watching this.. I have no idea why they just dont run this guy off
> the road into a muddy field.. With that tire gone, he will be stuck...
>
>

And thats what they did! A nice muddy ditch stopped that truck cold..
Good job!

30866. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-09 18:05:22 UTC
From: Dragoncooler
Topic: 30858 Message: 30866

Hey bumped him off the road onto a large puddle. Nothing exciting

Sent from my mobile phone.

On Oct 9, 2009, at 12:59 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

> Cody Sheppard wrote:
> > involving txdot??? really?? how does that work
> >
> > On Fri, Oct 9, 2009 at 12:49 PM, Dragoncooler <dragoncooler@...
> >wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Wfaa.com has a live police chase right now involving txdot Dallas
> and
> >> other counties.
> >>
> >> Sent from my mobile phone.
> >>
>
> I'm watching this.. I have no idea why they just dont run this guy off
> the road into a muddy field.. With that tire gone, he will be stuck...
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30867. RE: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-10 00:19:16 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 30858 Message: 30867

In the days before the castration of so many law enforcement agencies, this would've been over before it started! I like the infamous TXDPS methods best. Shoot out a tire or shoot a hole through the engines block :)

This was more like a go cart race than a pursuit today...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jay Urish
Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 1:00 PM
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

I'm watching this.. I have no idea why they just dont run this guy off the road into a muddy field.. With that tire gone, he will be stuck...

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30868. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-10 03:45:22 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30858 Message: 30868

Was watching some of the "chase" on national TV here in Houston during my lunch break and didn't get to see how it turned out until now.
http://cbs11tv.com/video/?id=47315@...

I especially like the comment about him nearly hitting the officer in the street.
"It's not my fault he ran in the middle of the road."
Au contraire, I think that comment is going to come back at him.
He thought he was doing the right thing. Apparently, his record shows he has problems figuring out what the "right thing" is.
At least no one got hurt.

So to keep this on topic, does anyone know if any interop freqs were used? There were a lot of counties/radio systems this went through. Or were the agencies using cell phones?

Myles

----- Original Message -----
From: "Brian" <commscan-ftw@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 7:19 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

> In the days before the castration of so many law enforcement agencies, this
> would've been over before it started! I like the infamous TXDPS methods
> best. Shoot out a tire or shoot a hole through the engines block :)
>
> This was more like a go cart race than a pursuit today...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> Jay Urish
> Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 1:00 PM
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now
>
>
> I'm watching this.. I have no idea why they just dont run this guy off
> the road into a muddy field.. With that tire gone, he will be stuck...

>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30869. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-10 04:42:40 UTC
From: Rusty Worley
Topic: 30858 Message: 30869

I listened to about an hour of the chase; picked it up when they were running through McKinney. I never heard anything on the DPS Statewide system, but I never do (think I don't have my PSR-500 programmed right). From what I heard, Collin County Dispatch was "Command." BTW, the dispatcher lady was doing a super job. She was in constant contact with the two Melissa squads until Dallas Air 1 took over communications. But Air 1 seemed to be still communicating via Collin County Dispatch. Air 1 did say he was in contact with various DPS units along the route. I gave up after they got to Navarro county and the CCSO dispatcher lost radio contact. Could hear the Melissa units and the helo all the way through Collin, Dallas, and Ellis counties, though. When will these turkeys learn that running ain't gonna work (unless you're riding a crotch rocket at night)? Glad nobody got hurt.

From: Myles Barkman <kg5ai@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Brian <commscan-ftw@...>
Sent: Fri, October 9, 2009 10:45:22 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Was watching some of the "chase" on national TV here in Houston during my
lunch break and didn't get to see how it turned out until now.
http://cbs11tv. com/video/ ?id=47315@ ktvt.dayport. com

I especially like the comment about him nearly hitting the officer in the
street.
"It's not my fault he ran in the middle of the road."
Au contraire, I think that comment is going to come back at him.
He thought he was doing the right thing. Apparently, his record shows he
has problems figuring out what the "right thing" is.
At least no one got hurt.

So to keep this on topic, does anyone know if any interop freqs were used?
There were a lot of counties/radio systems this went through. Or were the
agencies using cell phones?

Myles

----- Original Message -----
From: "Brian" <commscan-ftw@ sbcglobal. net>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups .com>
Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 7:19 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

>
> In the days before the castration of so many law enforcement agencies,
> this
> would've been over before it started! I like the infamous TXDPS methods
> best. Shoot out a tire or shoot a hole through the engines block :)
>

> This was more like a go cart race than a pursuit today...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf
> Of
> Jay Urish
> Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 1:00 PM
> Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now
>
>
> I'm watching this.. I have no idea why they just dont run this guy off
> the road into a muddy field.. With that tire gone, he will be stuck...
>
>
>
> ------------ --------- --------- ------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------ --------- --------- ------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioref erence.com/ modules.php? name=RR&mid= 2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30870. Re: [dfwscan] Police chase Dallas live right now

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-10 18:20:32 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30858 Message: 30870

> So to keep this on topic, does anyone know if any interop freqs were used?
> There were a lot of counties/radio systems this went through. Or were the
> agencies using cell phones?

They were already in Dallas before I saw the pursuit. I thought for sure someone else had paged it out by then, so I didn't do it at the time. Then as they headed south, I tuned in Ellis County SO to hear it go through there. ECSO called it out well. About that time, I saw nobody had ever paged it, so I did so with the ECSO frequency. Repaged it when they got deep into Navarro County with a new frequency.

Navarro County SO dispatch tried to get her DPS units on the radio, but said they were all over on the DPS Waco channel instead, which I don't have programmed in. But most everything was clearly called out on the NCSO channel. Looks like DPS didn't get too involved anyhow, once it passed through Corsicana.

I never did try to listen to Inter-City or NSPAC (or whatever it's called now) to see if anything was going on there.

Rob

30871. City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 01:16:14 UTC
From: wgb424896
Topic: 30871 Message: 30871

Just talked to the Irving Fire Chief and also the Public Safety Director they are changing the city EDACS frequencies in the morning to accommodate cell phone providers. They didn't have the new numbers with them. That is short term.

Long term they are going to a digital encrypted system in say about 3 years.

Wally

30872. RE: [dfwscan] City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 01:29:24 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30871 Message: 30872

Thanks for the heads-up Wally.

I've been hearing lots of radio testing the past month or so, and saw the FCC license changes recently. They'll all be 15Mhz lower.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WPJQ645

Don't know why the FD's want to encrypt. That's too bad, and I really hope they don't.

Ben

>Just talked to the Irving Fire Chief and also the Public Safety Director they are changing the city EDACS frequencies in the morning to accommodate cell phone providers. They didn't have the new numbers with them. That is short term.

Long term they are going to a digital encrypted system in say about 3 years.

Wally <

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30873. RE: [dfwscan] City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 02:54:27 UTC
From: Russell Stringfield
Topic: 30871 Message: 30873

05:17 10/10/2009 00542

05:17 10/10/2009 00388 TXDPS19X1

TXT

MSG 165

PD IRVING

DFW AREA AGENCIES

NEXTELL REBANDING DONE BY OUR AGENCY

THE IRVING POLICE DEPARTMENT WILL BE CONDUCTING A RADIO FREQUENCY

CUTOVER FOR NEXTEL REBANDING ON SUNDAY 101109 BEGINING AT 0700.

WE ARE REQUESTING AREA AGENCIES REFRAIN FROM ACCESSING NPSPAC AND

ENSURE YOUR NPSPAC REPEATERS ARE SHUT OFF DURING THIS TIME.

IF ACCESS IS NEEDED CONTACT IPD AT 972 273 1030

WILL SEND A TTY WHEN WORK IS COMPLETED

AUTH/LATKINS IPD COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER

MRI: 51888711 IN: IVX1 542 AT 10OCT2009 05:17:13

OUT: 19X1 388 AT 10OCT2009 05:17:13

Russ

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wgb424896
Sent: Saturday, October 10, 2009 8:16 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Just talked to the Irving Fire Chief and also the Public Safety Director they are changing the city EDACS frequencies in the morning to accommodate cell phone providers. They didn't have the new numbers with them. That is short term.

Long term they are going to a digital encrypted system in say about 3 years.

Wally

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30874. RE: [dfwscan] City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 03:30:34 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30871 Message: 30874

I'm guessing that means Irving will be using the NPSPAC repeaters during the switchover.

I hoping that moving 15Mhz lower and a radio system tune-up, means I'll be able to hear them better :-).

Ben

>05:17 10/10/2009 00542

05:17 10/10/2009 00388 TXDPS19X1

TXT

MSG 165

PD IRVING

DFW AREA AGENCIES

NEXTELL REBANDING DONE BY OUR AGENCY

THE IRVING POLICE DEPARTMENT WILL BE CONDUCTING A RADIO FREQUENCY

CUTOVER FOR NEXTEL REBANDING ON SUNDAY 101109 BEGINING AT 0700.

WE ARE REQUESTING AREA AGENCIES REFRAIN FROM ACCESSING NPSPAC AND

ENSURE YOUR NPSPAC REPEATERS ARE SHUT OFF DURING THIS TIME.

IF ACCESS IS NEEDED CONTACT IPD AT 972 273 1030

WILL SEND A TTY WHEN WORK IS COMPLETED

AUTH/LATKINS IPD COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER

MRI: 51888711 IN: IVX1 542 AT 10OCT2009 05:17:13

OUT: 19X1 388 AT 10OCT2009 05:17:13

Russ<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30875. RE: [dfwscan] City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 12:22:25 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30871 Message: 30875

I woke up a little late. At 07:21 There's a working fire somewhere(sounds like a fairly big one), working on FireGround 2.I imagine they'll hold off changing frequencies, until that clears up.

Ben

>CUTOVER FOR NEXTEL REBANDING ON SUNDAY 101109 BEGINING AT 0700.<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30876. RE: [dfwscan] City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 12:25:52 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30871 Message: 30876

You miight want to go to ID search mode if you're monitoring the switchover. Just heard a decimal TG 1135 with radio site testing traffic. In the past, I've heard "Test, test", and mention of "translation table".

Ben

>I woke up a little late. At 07:21 There's a working fire somewhere(sounds like a fairly big one), working on FireGround 2.I imagine they'll hold off changing frequencies, until that clears up.

Ben

>CUTOVER FOR NEXTEL REBANDING ON SUNDAY 101109 BEGINING AT 0700.<<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30877. Weekly FCC grants October 04-10

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 17:52:55 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30877 Message: 30877

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30878. RE: [dfwscan] City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 16:51:29 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30871 Message: 30878

FYI: LCN 4 and 6 should be the same as before, since they were below 866, or whatever the magic frequency is that's the cut off point for rebanding.

I believe once the rebanding is done, it should look like this. I set up a second site in my Uniden systems for the rebanded frequencies.

Frequency LCN L/O Vol Adj Num Tag

853.53750 1 False 0 NONE

853.08750 2 False 0 NONE

853.58750 3 False 0 NONE

855.46250 4 False 0 NONE

853.63750 5 False 0 NONE

857.21250 6 False 0 NONE

853.73750 7 False 0 NONE

853.83750 8 False 0 NONE

853.58750 9 False 0 NONE

853.91250 10 False 0 NONE

FD dispatchers announced earlier to put the "loaner radios on the trucks", and that their Nextel phones will be used as backups(kind of ironic).

1135 seems to be the main talkgroup for the radio techs. They haven't made the witch yet.

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30879. RE: [dfwscan] City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 19:32:57 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30871 Message: 30879

Oops. I messed up earlier. LCN 9 should be 851.5875, NOT 853.5875. Thanks to Steve who pointed out my mistake.

As of 14:32, they still haven made the switch.

Ben

853.53750 1 False 0 NONE

853.08750 2 False 0 NONE

853.58750 3 False 0 NONE

855.46250 4 False 0 NONE

853.63750 5 False 0 NONE

857.21250 6 False 0 NONE

853.73750 7 False 0 NONE

853.83750 8 False 0 NONE

851.58750 9 False 0 NONE

853.91250 10 False 0 NONE

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30880. Re: City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 20:49:22 UTC
From: Jay
Topic: 30871 Message: 30880

Now hearing comms on the "new" frequencies at 3:46PM.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Oops. I messed up earlier. LCN 9 should be 851.5875, NOT 853.5875. Thanks to
> Steve who pointed out my mistake.
>
> As of 14:32, they still haven made the switch.
>
>
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> 853.53750 1 False 0 NONE
>
> 853.08750 2 False 0 NONE
>
> 853.58750 3 False 0 NONE
>
> 855.46250 4 False 0 NONE
>
> 853.63750 5 False 0 NONE
>
> 857.21250 6 False 0 NONE
>
> 853.73750 7 False 0 NONE
>
> 853.83750 8 False 0 NONE
>
> 851.58750 9 False 0 NONE
>
> 853.91250 10 False 0 NONE
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30881. RE: [dfwscan] Re: City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 20:53:11 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30871 Message: 30881

Is it trunktracking for you yet? I think they're in failsoft so far. When I sit on the control channel, it's not decoding anything yet.

Ben

>Now hearing comms on the "new" frequencies at 3:46PM.<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30882. Re: City of Irving Trunk Frequency change

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 20:59:11 UTC
From: Jayfurd
Topic: 30871 Message: 30882

It is trunktracking, but it's VERY weak compared to normal reception.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Is it trunktracking for you yet? I think they're in failsoft so far. When I
> sit on the control channel, it's not decoding anything yet.
>
>
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> >Now hearing comms on the "new" frequencies at 3:46PM.<
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30883. Another Fort Worth tower upgrade coming - now with art!

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 22:33:20 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30883 Message: 30883

http://tinyurl.com/yjtysh9

Ben

30884. Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-11 23:14:25 UTC
From: Dennis Christensen
Topic: 30806 Message: 30884

They don't need a license. They are apparently doing it under part 15.

Here are the details:

Section 15.237 Operation in the bands 72.0 - 73.0 MHz, 74.6 - 74.8 MHz and 75.2 - 76.0 MHz.
(a) The intentional radiator shall be restricted to use as an auditory assistance device.
(b) Emissions from the intentional radiator shall be confined within a band 200 kHz wide centered on the operating frequency. The 200 kHz band shall lie wholly within the above specified frequency ranges.
(c) The field strength of any emissions within the permitted 200 kHz band shall not exceed 80 millivolts/meter at 3 meters. The field strength of any emissions radiated on any frequency outside of the specified 200 kHz band shall not exceed 1500 microvolts/meter at 3 meters. The emission limits in this paragraph are based on measurement instrumentation employing an average detector. The provisions in Section 15.35 for limiting peak emissions apply.

Dennis

--- On Tue, 10/6/09, Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...> wrote:

From: Paul Opitz <pmanu44@...>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Tuesday, October 6, 2009, 6:34 AM

So, their using a Williams Sound PPA 375 Transmitter?

I can find no evidence of any kind of STA issued for the stadium area, but there is a provision for confidentiality for some records...

---------- --------- --------- --

From: Mark Saum <msaum@fideliscorp. com>

To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups .com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups .com>

Sent: Monday, October 5, 2009 7:43:45 PM

Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

It's right in here, see "operating freqs":

Transmitter:

Dimensions, Weight: 8.45" (21.5 cm) W x 8.18" (20.8 cm) D x 1.72" (4.4 cm) H, 3.1 lbs (1.4 kg)

Color: Black with white legends

Rack Mount: One EIA rack space high, 1/2 space wide

1-2 units can be mounted in a single rack space with optional RPK 005 (single) or RPK 006

(double) Rack Mount Kits

Power: Wall mount Class II transformer (TFP 016)

nput: 120 VAC, 60 Hz, 17 W

Output: 24 VAC, 500 mA with 3-pin Molex® plug

Approvals: UL, CSA listed

Temperature Range: Operating:0° C to 40° C (+32° F to +104° F)

Storage:-20° C to 70° C (-4° F to +158° F)

Operating Freqs: 72.1-75.9 MHz* , 10 wideband channels (selectable) , 7 non-standard wideband channels (selec-

table) OR 72.025-75.975 MHz, 77 narrowband channels (selectable)

Frequency Accuracy: ±.005% stability, 0-50 ? C

Deviation: Wideband: ± 75 kHz maximum. Narrowband: ± 5 kHz maximum.

Pre-Emphasis: Wideband: 75 ?sec. Narrowband: 300 ?sec

RF Field Strength: Does not exceed 80 mV/m at 3 m

Nominal Range: Up to 1000 ft (304 m) Note: Maximum transmitter range is achieved using the ANT 005 coaxial

antenna

Audio Proc. Functions: Reduced or Normal Compressor Gain

Compression Slope Control

High Pass and Low Pass Filter Frequency control

Frequency Response: 22 - 16000 Hz, +1, -3 dB (adjustable)

Signal-to-Noise Ratio: 74 dB transmitted

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@ yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer

Sent: Monday, October 05, 2009 7:44 PM

To: DFWSCAN

Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Delayed Broadcast radio at Cowboys Stadium

Nothing so far on the FCC stuff, but here are a few tidbits:

Here is their domain info:

Domain Name: LIVESPORTSRADIO. COM

Registrant:

Earadio Acquisition LLC

201 West Big Beaver, Suite 1420

Troy, MI 48084

US

This from a Patent and Trademark document:

SN 76-447,345. AUTOSPORTS MARKETING ASSOCIATES,

LTD., GLYNDON, MD. FILED 9-5-2002.

EARADIO

FOR EARPIECE FOR RECEIVING BROADCAST

TRANSMISSIONS (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).

FIRST USE 8-8-2002; IN COMMERCE 8-8-2002.

********** *****

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30885. Ferris

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-12 13:13:00 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30885 Message: 30885

Yesterday, I noticed in the FCC updates that Glen Mitchell shares, that Ferris has a license for 155.325.

http://tinyurl.com/yk9cjpz

RadioReference has them on 154.325.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?ctid=2592

Which is also licensed

http://tinyurl.com/yzal6uz

Can anyone please clarify, correct, or update those? I don't get down that way much, but like to have the surrounding counties preprogrammed in case I do.

Thanks,
Ben

30886. Media frequency

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-12 13:19:01 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30886 Message: 30886

Was wondering for the longest time why I was not receiving any more traffic info on the scanner from the folks at Traffic.com. They jumped to a different frequency!....450.1375 No PL or DCS tone I could detect...yeah, they've probably been on it for a long time, but honestly, I'm hardly ever up at this hour anymore, so it's taken ME a long time to find it. 455.800 (85.4) & 450.6125 as well (PL 67.0). 450.6125 is the old 'BAP repeater on Broadcast Hill. Not sure exactly what 455.800 is being utilized for. Possibly Laura or Micheal with the Dallas Co. stuff.

Blaine

30887. RE: [dfwscan] Ferris

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-12 16:32:38 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30885 Message: 30887

155.325 is the correct frequency for Ferris FD. I have never heard them on 154.325, and only discovered them on 155.325 by total accident. I figured someone just made a typo on RR.com. Works out better this way, because 154.325 is hella crowded already.

Rob

> Yesterday, I noticed in the FCC updates that Glen Mitchell shares, that
> Ferris has a license for 155.325.
>
> http://tinyurl.com/yk9cjpz
>
> RadioReference has them on 154.325.
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?ctid=2592
>
> Which is also licensed
>
> http://tinyurl.com/yzal6uz
>
>
> Can anyone please clarify, correct, or update those? I don't get down that
> way much, but like to have the surrounding counties preprogrammed in case I
> do.

30888. U2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-12 22:45:55 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30888 Message: 30888

Sounds like U2 (ten vehicles) is getting a Police escort from Love Field to the stadium. Arlington G5/59088 WB161@183 17:45

30889. re: air show freq.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-12 23:34:50 UTC
From: megofishn2004
Topic: 30889 Message: 30889

I hope that I have not missed this topic already but was curious if anyone had all the freq. for the airshow next weekend on the 25th,26th?
I was planning on attending the show but wanted to get the scanner updated with all the freq. for the show.

Thanks in advance,

30890. RE: [dfwscan] re: air show freq.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-13 12:26:11 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30889 Message: 30890

http://www.allianceairshow.com/

Below are some frequencies from my FreeScan files. Some of the frequencies may have changed since the last show(or whatever one I scanned these at).

Happy Scanning, and I hope it stops raining soon,

Ben

Display

Frequency

L/O

Tone

Tone LO

Att

Pri

Step

Mod

NAC

AudioType

Alt Tone

Alt Level

Alt Color

Alt Pat

Vol Adj

Num Tag

Rec Out

Comment

F15 Demo Team

376.02500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance AirBoss

120.82500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance Show

122.77500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Airshow Link

461.11250

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Airshow 123.15

123.15000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Airshow 123.4

123.40000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Airshow 122.925

122.92500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

MeachamShow120.9

120.90000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Blue Angels An * means I've heard it locally before.

Display

Frequency

L/O

Tone

Tone LO

Att

Pri

Step

Mod

NAC

AudioType

Alt Tone

Alt Level

Alt Color

Alt Pat

Vol Adj

Num Tag

Rec Out

Comment

BA Air 237.8*

237.80000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 238.15

238.15000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 241.4

241.40000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 250.8

250.80000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 251.6

251.60000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 255.2

255.20000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Maint. 263.35

263.35000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 264.55

264.55000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 265.0

265.00000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 275.35*

275.35000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 284.25*

284.25000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 289.8 AR

289.80000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 299.65

299.65000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Fat Al2 302.1

302.10000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Fat Al1 305.5

305.50000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Boss 307.0

307.00000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 307.7

307.70000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Maint. 346.5*

346.50000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Grnd SupportU

348.60000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 360.4

360.40000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 384.4

384.40000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 391.9

391.90000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Air 395.9

395.90000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Ground F1

170.90000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Tac Alpha

142.00000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Tac Bravo

143.00000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Maint. F10

143.60000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Grnd SupportV

121.90000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA 142.625

142.62500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

NFM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA 142.025

142.02500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

NFM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA GrdA139.8125*

139.81250

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

NFM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA GndB142.6125*

142.61250

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

NFM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA GrdC141.5625

141.56250

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

BA Comm Cart

173.82500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

NFM

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

*2007 Alliance

100.00000

True

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance Airport

Display

Frequency

L/O

Tone

Tone LO

Att

Pri

Step

Mod

NAC

AudioType

Alt Tone

Alt Level

Alt Color

Alt Pat

Vol Adj

Num Tag

Rec Out

Comment

Alliance GroundV

132.65000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance GroundU

306.20000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance Tower V

135.15000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance Tower U

288.15000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

AllianceTwrHelos

120.82500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance ATIS

126.92500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance Ops

452.02500

False

DCS 025

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

Analog Only

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance A/D

118.10000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance Clearan

128.72500

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Alliance AirInc

129.75000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

269.15??

269.15000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

Bell Helo

128.35000

False

None

False

False

False

AUTO

AUTO

0

All

0

0

Off

On

0

NONE

False

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30891. RE: [dfwscan] re: air show freq.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-13 12:35:02 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30889 Message: 30891

Well let's try that again. If the tables are not readable, contact me off list, and I'll send it a different way.

Ben

Display

Frequency

F15 Demo Team

376.025

Alliance AirBoss

120.825

Alliance Show

122.775

Airshow Link

461.113

Airshow 123.15

123.15

Airshow 123.4

123.4

Airshow 122.925

122.925

MeachamShow120.9

120.9

Blue Angels

Display

Frequency

BA Air 237.8*

237.8

BA Air 238.15

238.15

BA Air 241.4

241.4

BA Air 250.8

250.8

BA Air 251.6

251.6

BA Air 255.2

255.2

BA Maint. 263.35

263.35

BA Air 264.55

264.55

BA Air 265.0

265

BA Air 275.35*

275.35

BA Air 284.25*

284.25

BA Air 289.8 AR

289.8

BA Air 299.65

299.65

BA Fat Al2 302.1

302.1

BA Fat Al1 305.5

305.5

BA Boss 307.0

307

BA Air 307.7

307.7

BA Maint. 346.5*

346.5

BA Grnd SupportU

348.6

BA Air 360.4

360.4

BA Air 384.4

384.4

BA Air 391.9

391.9

BA Air 395.9

395.9

BA Ground F1

170.9

BA Tac Alpha

142

BA Tac Bravo

143

BA Maint. F10

143.6

BA Grnd SupportV

121.9

BA 142.625

142.625

BA 142.025

142.025

BA GrdA139.8125*

139.813

BA GndB142.6125*

142.613

BA GrdC141.5625

141.563

BA Comm Cart

173.825

Alliance Airport

Display

Frequency

Alliance GroundV

132.65

Alliance GroundU

306.2

Alliance Tower V

135.15

Alliance Tower U

288.15

AllianceTwrHelos

120.825

Alliance ATIS

126.925

Alliance Ops

452.025

Alliance A/D

118.1

Alliance Clearan

128.725

Alliance AirInc

129.75

269.15??

269.15

Bell Helo

128.35

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30892. Re: Media Frequeny

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-13 16:38:33 UTC
From: Brent Willcox
Topic: 30892 Message: 30892

I have also noticed KRLD's current Dallas traffic guy Michael Sively has been popping up on what was on my list of stuff as

455.6375 CSQ KLUV MARTI

instead of their old frequency

455.6625 CSQ KRLD DALLAS/DENTON CO TRAFFIC MARTI

(I guess CBS took Max Miller and John Driggs out back and shot them, too? Haven't heard either of those guys in forever.)

-b-

30893. Lewisville Mobile Repeater 2

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-13 22:42:32 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30893 Message: 30893

Can anyone tell us about "MR2" - "Mobile Repeater 2". I just heard a couple of fire units trying to use it. They're sticking to regular channel 2 because "MR2" wasn't working.

Thanks,
Ben

30894. Water Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-13 23:55:28 UTC
From: billemtp
Topic: 30894 Message: 30894

Kaufman County, College Mound. 7600 Hiram Road at CR 127. 1 Person swept off of 4-wheeler. 155.520 Sheriff, 155.640 Fire. College Mound requesting Game Warden, Elmo Fire M/A.

Could someone post this to IPN?

30895. RE: [dfwscan] Water Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-14 00:02:22 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30894 Message: 30895

Got it. Thanks!

On Tuesday, October 13, 2009 18:55, "billemtp" <billemtp@yahoo.com> said:

> Kaufman County, College Mound. 7600 Hiram Road at CR 127. 1 Person swept off of
> 4-wheeler. 155.520 Sheriff, 155.640 Fire. College Mound requesting Game Warden,
> Elmo Fire M/A.
>
> Could someone post this to IPN?
>
>

30896. Re: Media Frequeny

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-14 00:18:46 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30892 Message: 30896

--- On Tue, 10/13/09, Brent Willcox <bwillcox@...> wrote:

> I have also noticed KRLD's current Dallas traffic guy Michael Sively
> has been popping up on what was on my list of stuff as

> 455.6375   CSQ   KLUV MARTI

I need to do an updated in depth search during the morning and afternoon hours, and try and get some of the media frequencies. Many of the old ones are still in use (ENG, etc.), but there has been some changes with traffic, etc. ....There's probably some changes with the remote broadcast frequencies too, but honestly, I could care less about those, and they are pretty darn boring unless you're a monitor on the list that just enjoys listening to an open mike, music, and usually screaming people all the time at some party. ENG and traffic is where the action will be if there is something big going on....and usually just the PRIMARY frequencies.

> (I guess CBS took Max Miller and John Driggs out back and
> shot them, > too? Haven't heard either of those guys in forever.)

Well, I do have media friends on Facebook, but I have been out of the loop for so long, I did not even realize that a former boss of mine had died over a year ago. Yeah, I have definitely been out of the loop! Anyway....
There have been several shakeups with WBAP dropping Traffic.com and going back to Metro Networks. It's a strange situation. It ousted Mike Shannon and a few others, that, like him, either were fired, or refused to make the transition. Randy Fuller, Laura Huston, Monty Cook, and Michael Scott basically made the transition back to Metro. Randy provides info morning and afternoon on 450.1375, but the Metro frequency of 450.5875 remains active too. It's an interesting setup to say the least. Laura has always been on the payroll for 'BAP. But Monty, Michael, and Randy are now on Metro's payroll. CH 8 no longer uses Micheal or Laura for TV shots, resorting to using their own chopper shots instead. Although they retain Traffic.com for their traffic info for Alexa. Confused enough already? ;)

As far as (flying) Max , as opposed to Office Max (yes, there are two in that operation)....I have no idea what he is doing now....as well as Driggs. The state of radio is a very sad one in DFW, with many very good reporters and broadcasters out of jobs. Just like the rest of business and jobs in general, everything is in the crapper pretty much across the board.
And those that ARE still in the business fear the closed door and pink slip every day. I absolutely loved my years in it with so many great experiences and friends, but would I go back?. One part of me says "hell yeah", while the other...and probably more intelligent part....would need someone to hold a gun to my head, or give me one hell of a great offer. Not likely in today's climate...where el cheapo and "let's get this college kid for the lowest wage that doesn't know s**t and put them in a supervisor or manager role" mentality rules...

Blaine

30897. Re: [dfwscan] Water Rescue

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-14 00:21:05 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30894 Message: 30897

> Girl found safe.

4-wheeler not so lucky!

30898. Bad wreck in Plano

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-14 03:01:05 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30898 Message: 30898

NB 75 just past Parker. Was driving on the northbound service road right after it happened. SUV completely flipped over wheels up. Didn't look good. Since I new to Plano and don't really know the PD heirarchy, all I know is there was crapload of "CAPS" on scene...according to the radio...I'm assuming these are supervisors....as one flew past me going code on the service road. Freeway is still closed. Thinking this is a crime scene/fatality. Big traffic backup. PD on East Channel. FD ops on 3, some Traffic units on their car/car rerouting traffic through old town. Careflite could not launch because fog has visibility down to about 200 feet. Really bad up here on the northeast side of the Metro.

Blaine

30899. Shooting along WalnutHill and Jupiter.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-14 03:10:18 UTC
From: du1csu
Topic: 30899 Message: 30899

Dallas Police looking for shooter in apartment complex.. 460.375 mhz

30900. PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-14 18:02:59 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30900 Message: 30900

Looking for CONFIRMED Texas PWD frequencies that have been heard around Lake Lavon...also, wildlife officers/patrols in rural Collin Co, and any confirmed marine frequencies that have been heard on the lake (CG aux, boat to boat, etc.) ....

Thanks.

Blaine

30901. Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-14 18:22:45 UTC
From: rwadams007
Topic: 30900 Message: 30901

Blaine,

I've heard these guys on Lake Lavon using the Collin County SO Disp talkgroup. I could tell what unit numbers they were using but for some reason all the Unit Numbers and Code lists have disappeared from the Radio Reference listings and do not appear in the Wiki. Lots of good data lost there.

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:
>
> Looking for CONFIRMED Texas PWD frequencies that have been heard around Lake Lavon...also, wildlife officers/patrols in rural Collin Co, and any confirmed marine frequencies that have been heard on the lake (CG aux, boat to boat, etc.) ....
>
> Thanks.
>
> Blaine
>

30902. Re: [dfwscan] Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-14 19:51:24 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30900 Message: 30902

Russel & the List,

A lot of that stuff was moved off to the county wikis

http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Collin_County_%28TX%29

Ben

>Blaine,

I've heard these guys on Lake Lavon using the Collin County SO Disp talkgroup. I could tell what unit numbers they were using but for some reason all the Unit Numbers and Code lists have disappeared from the Radio Reference listings and do not appear in the Wiki. Lots of good data lost there.

Russell
<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30903. Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-14 22:21:35 UTC
From: rwadams007
Topic: 30900 Message: 30903

Thanks Ben. I wondered where it went. I guess I assumed that that all that would be linked via the Collaboration link on the system page. Thanks Again,

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Russel & the List,
>
> A lot of that stuff was moved off to the county wikis
>
> http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Collin_County_%28TX%29
>
> Ben
>
> >Blaine,
>
> I've heard these guys on Lake Lavon using the Collin County SO Disp talkgroup. I could tell what unit numbers they were using but for some reason all the Unit Numbers and Code lists have disappeared from the Radio Reference listings and do not appear in the Wiki. Lots of good data lost there.
>
> Russell
> <
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30904. Of topic: boy in balloon coverage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-15 19:34:19 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30904 Message: 30904

http://www.thedenverchannel.com/video/18205848/index.html

30905. Re: [dfwscan] PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-16 12:03:04 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 30900 Message: 30905

I've been searching and scanning the corp of engineer frequencies for the past six months trying to find out the COE channel(s) that are being used there. So far I've found nothing.

I hear their units talking on the Collin Co system but I'd still like to find their government frequencies.

Mike

----- Original Message -----
From: Blaine Brooks
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2009 1:02 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] PWD

Looking for CONFIRMED Texas PWD frequencies that have been heard around Lake Lavon...also, wildlife officers/patrols in rural Collin Co, and any confirmed marine frequencies that have been heard on the lake (CG aux, boat to boat, etc.) ....

Thanks.

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30906. Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-16 15:39:58 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30900 Message: 30906

I was told the Corp doesn't talk on the Gov VHF anymore. I know as for Tarrant County, Benbrook Lake, they no longer have VHF antennas on their trucks, just UHF antenna. I know they have Benbrook PD radios in their trucks but don't know what they use to chit-chat on.

Also a lot of the TXPWD VHF frequencies are still used, mostly for state parks. I hear Cleburne and Whitney state park traffic all the time.

Games Wardens mostly work off whatever agency territory they are in. Like hear in Tarrant County, Benbrook Lake, they work off the TCSO TRS system and Benbrook PD channel. One Game Warden truck I saw didn't even have a VHF antenna on it.

So I guess it all depends on where you are at to find out what they are talking on.

Hope that help,

David, KC5RHB
http://kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Bennett" <michaelbennett@...> wrote:
>
> I've been searching and scanning the corp of engineer frequencies for the past six months trying to find out the COE channel(s) that are being used there. So far I've found nothing.
>
> I hear their units talking on the Collin Co system but I'd still like to find their government frequencies.
>
> Mike
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Blaine Brooks
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2009 1:02 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] PWD
>
>
> Looking for CONFIRMED Texas PWD frequencies that have been heard around Lake Lavon...also, wildlife officers/patrols in rural Collin Co, and any confirmed marine frequencies that have been heard on the lake (CG aux, boat to boat, etc.) ....
>
> Thanks.
>
> Blaine
>
>
>
>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30907. Re: [dfwscan] Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-16 17:39:11 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 30900 Message: 30907

They may not be on VHF at Lavon but they still are at most other places. I haven't seen any of the trucks used by the Lavon folks so I'm not sure. I've been thinking about driving by their office one day to see what antenna type(s) they do have. They could be on UHF although 95% of the other offices are still VHF.

----- Original Message -----
From: kc5rhb
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2009 10:39 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: PWD

I was told the Corp doesn't talk on the Gov VHF anymore. I know as for Tarrant County, Benbrook Lake, they no longer have VHF antennas on their trucks, just UHF antenna. I know they have Benbrook PD radios in their trucks but don't know what they use to chit-chat on.

Also a lot of the TXPWD VHF frequencies are still used, mostly for state parks. I hear Cleburne and Whitney state park traffic all the time.

Games Wardens mostly work off whatever agency territory they are in. Like hear in Tarrant County, Benbrook Lake, they work off the TCSO TRS system and Benbrook PD channel. One Game Warden truck I saw didn't even have a VHF antenna on it.

So I guess it all depends on where you are at to find out what they are talking on.

Hope that help,

David, KC5RHB
http://kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Bennett" <michaelbennett@...> wrote:
>
> I've been searching and scanning the corp of engineer frequencies for the past six months trying to find out the COE channel(s) that are being used there. So far I've found nothing.
>
> I hear their units talking on the Collin Co system but I'd still like to find their government frequencies.
>
> Mike
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Blaine Brooks
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2009 1:02 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] PWD
>
>
> Looking for CONFIRMED Texas PWD frequencies that have been heard around Lake

Lavon...also, wildlife officers/patrols in rural Collin Co, and any confirmed marine frequencies that have been heard on the lake (CG aux, boat to boat, etc.) ....
>
> Thanks.
>
> Blaine
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30908. Irving Tac

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-16 22:45:28 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30908 Message: 30908

Something going on in Irving, on the Tac channels. Suspect on the phone.
17:45

30909. Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-17 01:47:06 UTC
From: steve_gordon_2000@yahoo.com
Topic: 30900 Message: 30909

Back 10 and more years ago, when I lived and boated there, they would be on 163.435, if I remember correctly. Also on the Wylie VHF channels back then. I can't confirm where they are currently.

Steve

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:
>
> Looking for CONFIRMED Texas PWD frequencies that have been heard around Lake Lavon...also, wildlife officers/patrols in rural Collin Co, and any confirmed marine frequencies that have been heard on the lake (CG aux, boat to boat, etc.) ....
>
> Thanks.
>
> Blaine
>

30910. Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-17 04:22:40 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30900 Message: 30910

Thanks to all that responded on the PWD stuff around Lake Lavon. Looks like the consensus put them on the Collin Co. system and Wylie exclusively. I think Corp has gone to cell phones, as I have not heard a peep out of them in YEARS on 163.4125 or 163.4375.

As far as Grapevine, Lewisville Lake, and Ray Roberts, I know 151.355 CH 5 PL 110.9 has been used MANY times. I have personally confirmed this. Ray Roberts parks on the lake use a repeater (I think it's on 159.345), but I forgot the PL. CG Auxiliary on Lewisville ("Foxfire") has been on 156.800 & 157.175, with the MSO broadcasts on 157.100.

Blaine

30911. The term "Ham"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-17 21:11:11 UTC
From: Richard Whitenight
Topic: 30911 Message: 30911

Is "Ham" an acronym? If not, where did "Ham" originate?

--
Regards,
Richard Whitenight
Arlington, Texas
"God Bless America and our Men & Women in Uniform"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30912. RE: [dfwscan] Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-17 22:39:02 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 30900 Message: 30912

On Friday, October 16, 2009 23:22, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...> said:

> I think Corp
> has gone to cell phones, as I have not heard a peep out of them in YEARS on
> 163.4125 or 163.4375.

Just a thought... I wonder if it is possible that the Corps of Engineers Rangers may be operating on the GSA FPS UHF channel? It would make sense for them to consolidate dispatching rather than dedicate a dispatcher to the very few, very un-busy Rangers out there. Anyone monitoring FPS enough to know?

Rob

30913. Re: [dfwscan] Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-17 22:46:59 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 30900 Message: 30913

The Lake Texoma Corp Rangers operate a repeater on an Air Force allocated VHF Govt channel in addition to using 163.4375 simplex so they could be almost anywhere.

----- Original Message -----
From: rob.davis@...
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 5:39 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: PWD

On Friday, October 16, 2009 23:22, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...> said:

> I think Corp
> has gone to cell phones, as I have not heard a peep out of them in YEARS on
> 163.4125 or 163.4375.

Just a thought... I wonder if it is possible that the Corps of Engineers Rangers may be operating on the GSA FPS UHF channel? It would make sense for them to consolidate dispatching rather than dedicate a dispatcher to the very few, very un-busy Rangers out there. Anyone monitoring FPS enough to know?

Rob

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30914. Irving FD EDACS Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-17 23:45:16 UTC
From: colinfwheeler
Topic: 30914 Message: 30914

Can someone please tell me if the following new frequencies are correct for the Irving Fire Department?

CH-1 853.5375
CH-2 853.0875
CH-3 853.5875
CH-4 855.4625 (no change)
CH-5 853.6375
CH-6 857.2125 (no change)
CH-7 853.7375
CH-8 853.8375
CH-9 851.5875
CH-10 853.9215

Thanks, Colin

30915. Re: [dfwscan] Irving FD EDACS Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 00:01:34 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 30914 Message: 30915

That matches what was confirmed for RRDB.
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=43

From: colinfwheeler <colinfwheeler@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 6:45:16 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Irving FD EDACS Frequencies

Can someone please tell me if the following new frequencies are correct for the Irving Fire Department?

CH-1 853.5375
CH-2 853.0875
CH-3 853.5875
CH-4 855.4625 (no change)
CH-5 853.6375
CH-6 857.2125 (no change)
CH-7 853.7375
CH-8 853.8375
CH-9 851.5875
CH-10 853.9215

Thanks, Colin

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30916. Re: The term "Ham"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 00:01:44 UTC
From: nd5y
Topic: 30911 Message: 30916

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Etymology_of_ham_radio

Tom

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Richard Whitenight <rwhitenight2004@...> wrote:
>
> Is "Ham" an acronym? If not, where did "Ham" originate?

30917. Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 00:03:28 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30900 Message: 30917

Went by Benbrook Lake today. The Ranger trucks only have a UHF antenna on it. Even when they were on VHF they had a UHF mobile radio to talk to Benbrook PD, now NO VHF radio, only UHF. If someone could post the GSA FPS freqs I can try and monitor them. I know back when they were on VHF the Rangers talked ALL the time. Thats why I had their freq in all my radios because I was out there a lot.

P.S. if they are digital, I have no digital receiver at this time

Thanks,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb.net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> On Friday, October 16, 2009 23:22, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...> said:
>
> > I think Corp
> > has gone to cell phones, as I have not heard a peep out of them in YEARS on
> > 163.4125 or 163.4375.
>
> Just a thought... I wonder if it is possible that the Corps of Engineers Rangers may be operating on the GSA FPS UHF channel? It would make sense for them to consolidate dispatching rather than dedicate a dispatcher to the very few, very un-busy Rangers out there. Anyone monitoring FPS enough to know?
>
> Rob
>

30918. RE: [dfwscan] Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 01:24:01 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30900 Message: 30918

It's been a while since I've monitored them, so I don't know how accurate the info at the link below is. RadioReference has them under Texas area wide

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=1338

Besides visiting the county you are interested in on RadioReference, sometimes you'll find some good stuff at the state level.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?stid=48

Also, sometimes there's lots of good info and links under the collaborate links on the top left section of most database areas.

Happy Scanning,

Ben

>Went by Benbrook Lake today. The Ranger trucks only have a UHF antenna on it. Even when they were on VHF they had a UHF mobile radio to talk to Benbrook PD, now NO VHF radio, only UHF. If someone could post the GSA FPS freqs I can try and monitor them. I know back when they were on VHF the Rangers talked ALL the time. Thats why I had their freq in all my radios because I was out there a lot.

P.S. if they are digital, I have no digital receiver at this time

Thanks,

David, KC5RHB
http://www.kc5rhb. <http://www.kc5rhb.net> net

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com, "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@...> wrote:
>
> On Friday, October 16, 2009 23:22, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...> said:
>
> > I think Corp
> > has gone to cell phones, as I have not heard a peep out of them in YEARS on
> > 163.4125 or 163.4375.
>
> Just a thought... I wonder if it is possible that the Corps of Engineers Rangers may be operating on the GSA FPS UHF channel? It would make sense for them to consolidate dispatching rather than dedicate a dispatcher to the very few, very un-busy Rangers out there. Anyone monitoring FPS enough to know?
>

> Rob
>

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30919. Re: PWD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 01:41:45 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30900 Message: 30919

Cool, thanks Ben. I didn't know they were in RR, plus I don't do any "federal" monitoring but I guess its never too late to start.

Thanks,

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> It's been a while since I've monitored them, so I don't know how accurate
> the info at the link below is. RadioReference has them under Texas area
> wide
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=1338
>
>
>
> Besides visiting the county you are interested in on RadioReference,
> sometimes you'll find some good stuff at the state level.
>
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?stid=48
>
>
>
> Also, sometimes there's lots of good info and links under the collaborate
> links on the top left section of most database areas.
>
>
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> Ben
>
> >Went by Benbrook Lake today. The Ranger trucks only have a UHF antenna on
> it. Even when they were on VHF they had a UHF mobile radio to talk to
> Benbrook PD, now NO VHF radio, only UHF. If someone could post the GSA FPS
> freqs I can try and monitor them. I know back when they were on VHF the
> Rangers talked ALL the time. Thats why I had their freq in all my radios
> because I was out there a lot.
>
> P.S. if they are digital, I have no digital receiver at this time
>
> Thanks,
>
> David, KC5RHB
> http://www.kc5rhb. <http://www.kc5rhb.net> net

>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com,
> "rob.davis@" <rob.davis@> wrote:
> >
> > On Friday, October 16, 2009 23:22, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@> said:
> >
> > > I think Corp
> > > has gone to cell phones, as I have not heard a peep out of them in YEARS
> on
> > > 163.4125 or 163.4375.
> >
> > Just a thought... I wonder if it is possible that the Corps of Engineers
> Rangers may be operating on the GSA FPS UHF channel? It would make sense for
> them to consolidate dispatching rather than dedicate a dispatcher to the
> very few, very un-busy Rangers out there. Anyone monitoring FPS enough to
> know?
> >
> > Rob
> >
>
> <
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30920. What was going on

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 02:59:48 UTC
From: ken.johnstone@verizon.net
Topic: 30920 Message: 30920

Does any one know what was happening in West Dallas Co around noon today. About 12 Dallas Co Sheriff and Irving running code wb on 183.
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

30921. Re: [dfwscan] What was going on

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 03:13:22 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 30920 Message: 30921

It was a chase that ended near the 360 interchange we sent an
ambulance out there the vehicle wrecked out into a wall

Sent from Cody's iPhone

On Oct 17, 2009, at 9:59 PM, ken.johnstone@... wrote:

> Does any one know what was happening in West Dallas Co around noon
> today. About 12 Dallas Co Sheriff and Irving running code wb on 183.
> Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30922. Re: [dfwscan] The term "Ham"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 13:28:57 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30911 Message: 30922

This has long been a debated subject. We may never know.
Wikipedia has an entire entry based just on this topic:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Etymology_of_ham_radio

Myles KG5AI

----- Original Message -----
From: "Richard Whitenight" <rwhitenight2004@...>
Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2009 4:11 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] The term "Ham"

> Is "Ham" an acronym? If not, where did "Ham" originate?
>
> --
> Regards,
> Richard Whitenight
> Arlington, Texas
> "God Bless America and our Men & Women in Uniform"
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30923. Re: [dfwscan] The term "Ham"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 14:38:34 UTC
From: John R. Fulton Jr.
Topic: 30911 Message: 30923

Home
Amateur
Mechanic

was the explanation I always liked.
John Fulton
W5ZZZ

On Oct 17, 2009, at 4:11 PM, Richard Whitenight wrote:

> Is "Ham" an acronym? If not, where did "Ham" originate?
>
> --
> Regards,
> Richard Whitenight
> Arlington, Texas
> "God Bless America and our Men & Women in Uniform"
>

30924. Weekly FCC grants October 11-17

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-18 16:35:28 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30924 Message: 30924

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30925. I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-19 04:57:56 UTC
From: radio_general2000
Topic: 30925 Message: 30925

There are signs along I-35E stating the highway will be shutdown Oct. 25th 7-8am. Does anyone know whats going on and why? I know the president is suppose to be visiting Texas sometime. I dont know if has anything to do with that or not. (I dont know when hes coming and where, I just heard he was coming to Texas on CNN as I was passing by the TV)

30926. new Irving talkgroup

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-19 16:00:25 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 11956 Message: 30926

I'm hearing a new talkgroup, decimal 545. Sounds like rebanding testing, so not sure if it's going to be around once that's complete, or if it's a new channel they just happen to be using.

Ben

30927. Re: [dfwscan] I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-19 22:20:09 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 30925 Message: 30927

Entered Dallas County and I-35E and got nothing for the 25th.
http://www.dot.state.tx.us/travel/upcoming_conditions.htm

Can't find anything here either:
http://www.txdot.gov/news/local_news/dallas_news/

Maybe you can call TXDOT office up there and find out. Someone is
controlling those signs.
Is there some race going on that morning?

----- Original Message -----
From: "radio_general2000" <dragoncooler@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 18, 2009 11:57 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

> There are signs along I-35E stating the highway will be shutdown Oct. 25th
> 7-8am. Does anyone know whats going on and why? I know the president is
> suppose to be visiting Texas sometime. I dont know if has anything to do
> with that or not. (I dont know when hes coming and where, I just heard he
> was coming to Texas on CNN as I was passing by the TV)
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

30928. VHF P25 Trunking Test System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-20 19:01:23 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 30928 Message: 30928

There's a P25 trunking system being tested in the DFW area for the past couple of days.

WACN = 1
SYSID = 7
Implicit Mode (no freq info)

156.7500 morse code id WD2XHY
158.6500
159.2000

WD2XHY is an experimental license to EF Johnson. Their location is in Irving, near the airport. I think this solves some of the mystery systems people have heard in the past with the WACN of 1 and SYSID of 7.

Here's an old link of one system I noticed back in April / May of this year:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/message/30045

30929. Arlington Tac 3 active

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-20 19:11:57 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30929 Message: 30929

Might be worth listening to Arlington Tac 3 now. 14:11

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30930. Re: [dfwscan] I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-20 19:41:27 UTC
From: Jonathan Kelley
Topic: 30925 Message: 30930

I talked to a lady at Dallas TXDOT Dallas and she said they have nothing listed for this date. I've noticed this sign as well during my commute.

She said I could call Denton County Maint. Supervisor Keith Neighbors at 940 387 1324. I called this number and a lady answered, Keith was unavailable. She said they don't have anything listed for the 25th. I've confirmed the blinking signs on 35E. I'm pretty sure it's on the date listed. I asked if her office in particular lights these signs up with the messages, and she said they do directly control that section of signs. She said a lady named Carlet handles the actual signs but she wouldn't be available today but should be the rest of the week.

It's pretty odd they are bringing signs online notifying closures yet have no record that they have done so.
--
Jon

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30931. Re: [dfwscan] I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-20 20:20:38 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30925 Message: 30931

Kinda dumb question, but is it possible the signs have been hacked? Ive seen articles before about signs being made to say "BEWARE ZOMBIES AHEAD" could someone have done that here except used an authentic looking message?

On Tue, Oct 20, 2009 at 2:41 PM, Jonathan Kelley <jonkelley@...>wrote:

>
>
> I talked to a lady at Dallas TXDOT Dallas and she said they have nothing
> listed for this date. I've noticed this sign as well during my commute.
>
> She said I could call Denton County Maint. Supervisor Keith Neighbors at
> 940
> 387 1324. I called this number and a lady answered, Keith was unavailable.
> She said they don't have anything listed for the 25th. I've confirmed the
> blinking signs on 35E. I'm pretty sure it's on the date listed. I asked if
> her office in particular lights these signs up with the messages, and she
> said they do directly control that section of signs. She said a lady named
> Carlet handles the actual signs but she wouldn't be available today but
> should be the rest of the week.
>
> It's pretty odd they are bringing signs online notifying closures yet have
> no record that they have done so.
> --
> Jon
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30932. Re: [dfwscan] I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-20 20:31:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Kelley
Topic: 30925 Message: 30932

Doubtful,

The ZOMBIE ATTACK hackings were those construction signs that are on trailers. They have an access panel that usually has a default password on the inside and a qwerty keyboard. (Let's just say I like to research things and read 2600.)

Anyway the TXDOT signs, I forget the brand but I've done a tad bit of research on their technical operation. They don't have antennas on them so I doubt they are controlled via RF. I believe they have a landline, either Ethernet or telephone based. They do have control panels on the sides but they are probably for circuit testing rather than actual sign message programming.

--
Jon

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30933. Re: [dfwscan] I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-20 21:04:54 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30925 Message: 30933

Most are on fiber - same system that serves the TxDOT cameras.

Considering we're talking about a governmental entity here, I'd strongly consider Hanlon's razor before considering the system had been hacked.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Jonathan Kelley <jonkelley@...>
Date: Tue, 20 Oct 2009 15:31:00
To: Andrew Tucker<dfw333@...>
Cc: radio_general2000<dragoncooler@...>; <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

Doubtful,

The ZOMBIE ATTACK hackings were those construction signs that are on
trailers. They have an access panel that usually has a default password on
the inside and a qwerty keyboard. (Let's just say I like to research things
and read 2600.)

Anyway the TXDOT signs, I forget the brand but I've done a tad bit of
research on their technical operation. They don't have antennas on them so I
doubt they are controlled via RF. I believe they have a landline, either
Ethernet or telephone based. They do have control panels on the sides but
they are probably for circuit testing rather than actual sign message
programming.

--
Jon

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30934. RE: [dfwscan] VHF P25 Trunking Test System

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-20 22:00:20 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30928 Message: 30934

Interesting. I poked around the FCC and found the info below. I didn't realize (or maybe I forgot) they were in Irving.

http://tinyurl.com/yhrs8of

long URL version

https://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/oetcf/els/reports/ViewExhibitReport.cfm?id_file_num=0031-EX-PL-2004
<https://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/oetcf/els/reports/ViewExhibitReport.cfm?id_file_num=0031-EX-PL-2004&application_seq=26593> &application_seq=26593

Ben

>There's a P25 trunking system being tested in the DFW area for the past couple of days.

WACN = 1
SYSID = 7
Implicit Mode (no freq info)

156.7500 morse code id WD2XHY
158.6500
159.2000

WD2XHY is an experimental license to EF Johnson. Their location is in Irving, near the airport. I think this solves some of the mystery systems people have heard in the past with the WACN of 1 and SYSID of 7.

Here's an old link of one system I noticed back in April / May of this year:
http://groups. <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/message/30045> yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/message/30045<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30935. Re: [dfwscan] I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-20 22:11:58 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30925 Message: 30935

Another 2600 reader -- who'd a thunk it.

Anyway, I believe they have a landline to the signs -- probably a leased line and not a dial-up. Still hackable, but more difficult. On the other hand, the new electronic billboards seem to have a microwave link -- hmmmmm.....

I've seen things on the TXDOT traffic website that were not there, so its possible the signs maybe correct and some folks at TXDOT doesn't have it on the the site.

--- On Tue, 10/20/09, Jonathan Kelley <jonkelley@...> wrote:

Doubtful,

The ZOMBIE ATTACK hackings were those construction signs that are on trailers. They have an access panel that usually has a default password on the inside and a qwerty keyboard. (Let's just say I like to research things and read 2600.)

Anyway the TXDOT signs, I forget the brand but I've done a tad bit of research on their technical operation. They don't have antennas on them so I doubt they are controlled via RF. I believe they have a landline, either Ethernet or telephone based. They do have control panels on the sides but they are probably for circuit testing rather than actual sign message programming.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30936. Fw: Azle Ham Radio Class Oct 31

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-21 14:08:17 UTC
From: David J
Topic: 30936 Message: 30936

Please pass on to anyone interested, include in your event calendar, community calendar and announcements:

Any one interested in communications for disaster relief, emergency preparedness, family activity, experimentation, fun....here is an upcoming FREE class.
All Volunteer Organizations Active in Disaters (VOAD) and Dept. of Homeland security partners such as CERT, National Weather Service, various offices of emergency management and so forth have an interest in amateur radio volunteers, not to mention it is a fun technical, fraternal, family, Scouting and Explorer organizations and/or competitive hobby, too.

Oct 31, Nov 7 in Azle
8:30am - 5pm both days.
Bring your own lunch, snacks, note-taking equipment and CAN-DO attitude.

Please RSVP by email to kb5ylg@...

More info below, or at http://www.wc5c.org

----- Forwarded Message ----
From: Kit Marshall <Kit.Marshall@...>
To: Kit Marshall <Kit.Marshall@parkercountytx.com>
Cc: Mari Deiley <Mari.Deiley@...>; David J <kb5ylg@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wed, October 21, 2009 12:47:28 AM
Subject: Ham Radio Class

Greetings one and all!!!

What a busy month we have had! And highly successful…..

This is a reminder that those of you who have wanted to obtain your ham radio license,there is a Ham Radio class on Saturday Oct 31 and Nov 7 from 8:30 – 5:00 at Silver Creek Baptist Church; 151 RUTH LANE, AZLE

Ruth Laneis 2.8 miles south of Azle on FM 730 South.
From FM 730, exit onto Veal Station Road .
Ruth Laneis the first street to the left after you turn.

Lunch is on your own so you can either bring a sack lunch or quickly run and pick something up. Plan to bring snacks as well if you need something in between meals.

David Johnson will be the instructor and it is free of charge. The only fee will be $15 for the license on the second day when you take your test. I plan to attend and become a card carrying HAM RADIO operator.

You will need to bring paper and pen for notes and a CAN-DO attitude!

If you missed the opportunities to sign up, you still have time. I have the following individuals signed up (in addition to me): John Engels, Jeff Harper, David Reeves, and Lisa Webster. Please let me know if you wish to participate.

Have a great week!

Kit Marshall
Community Liaison for Emergency Prep, Grant writer/Coordinator
Emergency Management Dept/Office of County Judge
215 Trinity St
Weatherford, TX 76086
817-598-0969
817-599-6368 (fax)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30937. Blue Angels sched today - Alliance

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-22 06:51:18 UTC
From: Nichols, Gary
Topic: 30937 Message: 30937

...
9:00 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert C-130 Cargo Plane Arrives

9:30 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Arrival Maneuvers and Landing

3:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Practice Session

4:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert, C-130 Practice Session

times are approximate and subject to change
.
..
....
.....
......
....

30938. Frequncies for air show

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-22 16:24:03 UTC
From: jason simpkins
Topic: 30938 Message: 30938

I missed the frequncies for the air show this weekend. My family and I are going.

I forget who posted it.

"Nichols, Gary" <gnichols@...> wrote:

>...
> 9:00 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert C-130 Cargo Plane Arrives
>
> 9:30 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Arrival Maneuvers and Landing
>
> 3:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Practice Session
>
> 4:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert, C-130 Practice Session
>
>times are approximate and subject to change
>.
>..
>....
>.....
>......
>.......
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30939. Re: Blue Angels sched today - Alliance

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-22 17:40:08 UTC
From: dfd_box4
Topic: 30938 Message: 30939

Anyone know the best place to set up at Alliance to watch Blue Angels practice??

Brian

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Nichols, Gary" <gnichols@...> wrote:
>
> ...
> 9:00 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert C-130 Cargo Plane Arrives
>
> 9:30 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Arrival Maneuvers and Landing
>
> 3:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Practice Session
>
> 4:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert, C-130 Practice Session
>
> times are approximate and subject to change
> .
> ..
> ....
> .....
> ......
> ....
>

30940. Re: Blue Angels sched today - Alliance

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 02:07:10 UTC
From: cltx11
Topic: 30938 Message: 30940

A little late but you could have got a fairly good view of them from the Cableas.

I know they were flying right over my apartments all day, I live just south of the airport.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "dfd_box4" <dfd_box4@...> wrote:
>
> Anyone know the best place to set up at Alliance to watch Blue Angels practice??
>
> Brian
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Nichols, Gary" <gnichols@> wrote:
> >
> > ...
> > 9:00 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert C-130 Cargo Plane Arrives
> >
> > 9:30 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Arrival Maneuvers and Landing
> >
> > 3:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Practice Session
> >
> > 4:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert, C-130 Practice Session
> >
> > times are approximate and subject to change
> > .
> > ..
> > ....
> > .....
> > ......
> > ....
> >
>

30941. Can someone repost the frequencies for the airshow

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 10:19:03 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30941 Message: 30941

I was not planning on going and now that I am I would like to be able to listen.

Thanks, Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30942. TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 13:06:41 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 30942 Message: 30942

I've been hearing P25 traffic on Texas Parks & Wildlife's 151.415 the last two days. Has anyone heard anything about them going digital yet?

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30943. Proposed Standard Channel Nomenclature for the Public Safety Interoperability Ch

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 13:45:33 UTC
From: Elmer
Topic: 30943 Message: 30943

";Proposed Standard Channel Nomenclature for the Public Safety Intero
Posted by: "RoyPB@..." RoyPB@...
Thu Oct 22, 2009 8:43 am (PDT)

from: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/carmachicago/

Courtesy of Sandy WB2MBV at the SEFLORIDA list...

APCO International has released a draft copy of it's "Proposed Standard Channel Nomenclature for the Public Safety Interoperability Channels".

http://apcointl. org/new/commcent er911/documents/ APCO-NPSTC- ANS-1-104- 1DraftPRC. pdf

(http://apcointl. org/new/commcent er911/documents/ APCO-NPSTC- ANS-1-104- 1DraftPRC. pdf)

This standardizes the channel names for the interoperability frequencies. The document also
provides a complete list of the frequencies. Chart starts on Page 8.

30944. Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 19:24:52 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30942 Message: 30944

--- On Fri, 10/23/09, Brian <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

> I've been hearing P25 traffic on Texas Parks &
> Wildlife's 151.415 the last two days. Has anyone heard anything about
> them going digital yet?

Brian and group -

There was some dicussion of this recently in the RR forums. A monitor had heard both analog and digital traffic on the common car/car frequency of 151.355 CH 5. The monitor stated in some communications - base was in analog, and mobile in digital, so go figure. Sounds to me like conversion is in the works. But as we all know, government moves slower than pond scum through a culvert, so God knows when we'll see a FULL changeover.

However, I (and I'm sure others) would love to get any NAC's "should" they be captured while listening....:)

Blaine

30945. Re: [dfwscan] Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 19:35:25 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30942 Message: 30945

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> --- On Fri, 10/23/09, Brian <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
>> I've been hearing P25 traffic on Texas Parks &
>> Wildlife's 151.415 the last two days. Has anyone heard anything about
>> them going digital yet?
>
>
> Brian and group -
>
> There was some dicussion of this recently in the RR forums. A monitor had heard both analog and digital traffic on the common car/car frequency of 151.355 CH 5. The monitor stated in some communications - base was in analog, and mobile in digital, so go figure. Sounds to me like conversion is in the works. But as we all know, government moves slower than pond scum through a culvert, so God knows when we'll see a FULL changeover.
>
> However, I (and I'm sure others) would love to get any NAC's "should" they be captured while listening....:)
>
>
> Blaine
>
>
>
>

This should be interesting as my repeater site is at lake grapevine and
the main tenant of the tower is TPWD. The repeater is *ANCIENT*. Its a
motorola micor. I suppose if they are deploying new equipment, I will be
the first to know.

30946. Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 20:04:43 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30942 Message: 30946

--- On Fri, 10/23/09, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

> This should be interesting as my repeater site is at lake
> grapevine and the main tenant of the tower is TPWD. The repeater is
> *ANCIENT*. Its a motorola micor. I suppose if they are deploying new
> equipment, I will be the first to know.

I forgot about that. I've been in that shack too....:) It IS ancient.
That's the 159.270 repeater. Curious - when I was out there, and you were working on the ham and CERT stuff - I heard several transmissions on the PWD radio. How is Austin accessing or the mobile here acccessing? telephone line? How does it work? So when the mobile unit on the lake here transmits, I assume it opens the speakers down in Austin? Right?

Blaine

30947. Re: [dfwscan] Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 20:16:03 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30942 Message: 30947

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> --- On Fri, 10/23/09, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:
>
>> This should be interesting as my repeater site is at lake grapevine
>> and the main tenant of the tower is TPWD. The repeater is
>> *ANCIENT*. Its a motorola micor. I suppose if they are deploying
>> new equipment, I will be the first to know.
>
> I forgot about that. I've been in that shack too....:) It IS ancient.
> That's the 159.270 repeater. Curious - when I was out there, and you
> were working on the ham and CERT stuff - I heard several
> transmissions on the PWD radio. How is Austin accessing or the mobile
> here acccessing? telephone line? How does it work? So when the mobile
> unit on the lake here transmits, I assume it opens the speakers down
> in Austin? Right?
>

This is the greatest mystery ever. There are no phonelines or other
connectivity to that shelter. I can only *assume* that austin has a 800'
tower and can hear the output of that repeater. Its only putting out 75
watts from the duplexer so it must be one hell of a receiver down there.

Jay

30948. Re: [dfwscan] Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 20:37:15 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30942 Message: 30948

Perhaps multiple repeaters to span the distance? They don't have a microwave dish on the tower, do they?

--- On Fri, 10/23/09, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

This is the greatest mystery ever. There are no phonelines or other
connectivity to that shelter. I can only *assume* that austin has a 800'
tower and can hear the output of that repeater. Its only putting out 75
watts from the duplexer so it must be one hell of a receiver down there.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30949. RE: [dfwscan] Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 21:16:58 UTC
From: Russ Stringfield
Topic: 30942 Message: 30949

There was some talk of us taking over the Comm's for TPW.

Russ

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 2:25 PM
To: Brian
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: TP&W Going Digital?

--- On Fri, 10/23/09, Brian <commscan-ftw@...
<mailto:commscan-ftw%40sbcglobal.net> > wrote:

> I've been hearing P25 traffic on Texas Parks &
> Wildlife's 151.415 the last two days. Has anyone heard anything about
> them going digital yet?

Brian and group -

There was some dicussion of this recently in the RR forums. A monitor had heard both analog and digital traffic on the common car/car frequency of 151.355 CH 5. The monitor stated in some communications - base was in analog, and mobile in digital, so go figure. Sounds to me like conversion is in the works. But as we all know, government moves slower than pond scum through a culvert, so God knows when we'll see a FULL changeover.

However, I (and I'm sure others) would love to get any NAC's "should" they be captured while listening....:)

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30950. Re: [dfwscan] Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 21:41:53 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 30942 Message: 30950

Russ Stringfield wrote:
> There was some talk of us taking over the Comm's for TPW.
>
> Russ
>
>
>
>
Who is us?

30951. Re: [dfwscan] Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 23:48:03 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30942 Message: 30951

Whever I hear Austin on the Palo Pinto County repeater they are weak and have a squelch tail just like the mobiles. That tells me they probably have a VoIP link from Austin to control stations at the local TPWD offices. I know there is an office in Haltom City and I think there is one in Garland.

Tom

30952. 419.750 P25 Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-23 23:54:56 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30952 Message: 30952

Today I heard a strong P25 signal on 419.750 in N Fort Worth (Meacham airport area).
It was a repeater and since I only have a BCD396T I could not determine the NAC and did't catch enough to ID anything.
I don't recall this one listed anyplace.

Tom

30953. Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-24 02:06:40 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 30942 Message: 30953

"us" is a DPS dispatcher, or whatever their technical term is, DPS Communication Operator, I don't know, don't call them a dispatcher, they get mad...so watch out!!! LMAO
http://www.txdps.state.tx.us/tle/communications/index.htm

HI ANDY...KMA...LMAO

Later,
D

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:
>
> Russ Stringfield wrote:
> > There was some talk of us taking over the Comm's for TPW.
> >
> > Russ
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Who is us?
>

30954. RE: [dfwscan] 419.750 P25 Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-24 07:06:11 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 30952 Message: 30954

Interesting catch Tom. I have no record of ever hearing anything on this frequency. I thought it might be an input to NASFW or FMC Carswell, but if it is I don't have it still. I wonder if it could be a secondary channel at the US Mint off of Blue Mound Rd/FM 156?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 6:55 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] 419.750 P25 Fort Worth

Today I heard a strong P25 signal on 419.750 in N Fort Worth (Meacham airport area).
It was a repeater and since I only have a BCD396T I could not determine the NAC and did't catch enough to ID anything.
I don't recall this one listed anyplace.

Tom

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30955. RE: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-24 07:12:29 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 30942 Message: 30955

Thanks for the info Blaine, Jay, and Russ. I'm going to duplicate my TP&W frequencies in my BCD996T and make them "P25 Only" (still haven't been able to get an XT yet :)).

I've heard a few transmissions (analog) on the 159.270 repeater in the last few weeks. I think it may be Lufkin coming through but I'm not sure yet. The transmissions weren't very clear.

Thanks again guys...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:blaineb2001@...]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 2:25 PM
To: Brian
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: TP&W Going Digital?

--- On Fri, 10/23/09, Brian <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

> I've been hearing P25 traffic on Texas Parks &
> Wildlife's 151.415 the last two days. Has anyone heard anything about
> them going digital yet?

Brian and group -

There was some dicussion of this recently in the RR forums. A monitor had heard both analog and digital traffic on the common car/car frequency of 151.355 CH 5. The monitor stated in some communications - base was in analog, and mobile in digital, so go figure. Sounds to me like conversion is in the works. But as we all know, government moves slower than pond scum through a culvert, so God knows when we'll see a FULL changeover.

However, I (and I'm sure others) would love to get any NAC's "should" they be captured while listening....:)

Blaine

30956. RE: [dfwscan] Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-24 21:10:15 UTC
From: Russ Stringfield
Topic: 30942 Message: 30956

Us. meaning the Dept of Public Safety.

Russ

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jay Urish
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 4:42 PM
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: TP&W Going Digital?

Russ Stringfield wrote:
> There was some talk of us taking over the Comm's for TPW.
>
> Russ
>
>
>
>
Who is us?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30957. Re: Frequncies for air show

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-25 15:41:24 UTC
From: tangier1401
Topic: 30938 Message: 30957

Some Alliance Airshow Freqs confirmed Saturday:

Airboss - 120.825
BA Comcart - 139.8150 NFM
BA Comcart B - 142.6150 NFM
BA Showbox Diamond - 284.250
BA Diamond - BA Solos - 237.800
BA C-130 Fat Albert - 305.500

Hope this helps...

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, jason simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> I missed the frequncies for the air show this weekend. My family and I are going.
>
> I forget who posted it.
>
> "Nichols, Gary" <gnichols@...> wrote:
>
> >...
> > 9:00 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert C-130 Cargo Plane Arrives
> >
> > 9:30 a.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Arrival Maneuvers and Landing
> >
> > 3:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Jet Team Practice Session
> >
> > 4:00 p.m. U.S. Navy Blue Angels Fat Albert, C-130 Practice Session
> >
> >times are approximate and subject to change
> >.
> >..
> >....
> >.....
> >......
> >.......
> >
> >
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30958. Weekly FCC grants October 18-24

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-25 17:46:13 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30958 Message: 30958

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30959. Air Show

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-26 02:37:52 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 16567 Message: 30959

I never heard the blue angels on the frequencies that I had. But heard them come over the PA just before take off saying smoke on. But I didn't hear them over the radio.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30960. RE: [dfwscan] 419.750 P25 Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-26 13:31:12 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30952 Message: 30960

Hey Tom,

Just heard it in Bedford, and didn't realize it was active, so I missed the conversation, but the NAC is 293. I'll keep an ear on it.

Ben

> Today I heard a strong P25 signal on 419.750 in N Fort Worth (Meacham airport area).
It was a repeater and since I only have a BCD396T I could not determine the NAC and did't catch enough to ID anything.
I don't recall this one listed anyplace.

Tom<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30961. Re: 419.750 P25 Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-27 19:13:38 UTC
From: rwadams007
Topic: 30952 Message: 30961

D/FW FBI used to use 419 MHz links to link their VHF repeaters. Like the Dallas to Fort Worth link. It's been a long time, but that's how it was done ten years ago. Of course, usages change, so it could be something entirely different now. Still a good catch.

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Hey Tom,
>
> Just heard it in Bedford, and didn't realize it was active, so I missed the
> conversation, but the NAC is 293. I'll keep an ear on it.
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> > Today I heard a strong P25 signal on 419.750 in N Fort Worth (Meacham
> airport area).
> It was a repeater and since I only have a BCD396T I could not determine the
> NAC and did't catch enough to ID anything.
> I don't recall this one listed anyplace.
>
> Tom<
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30962. RE: [dfwscan] Re: 419.750 P25 Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-27 21:41:31 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 30952 Message: 30962

After reviewing today's transmissions, this sounds like the tour guides for Printing and Engraving. I can't think of any other federal users in the area that would have a visitor's center. Anyone else?

> D/FW FBI used to use 419 MHz links to link their VHF repeaters. Like the Dallas to Fort Worth link. It's been a long time, but that's how it was done ten years ago. Of course, usages change, so it could be something entirely different now. Still a good catch.

Russell

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Hey Tom,
>
> Just heard it in Bedford, and didn't realize it was active, so I missed the
> conversation, but the NAC is 293. I'll keep an ear on it.
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> > Today I heard a strong P25 signal on 419.750 in N Fort Worth (Meacham
> airport area).
> It was a repeater and since I only have a BCD396T I could not determine the
> NAC and did't catch enough to ID anything.
> I don't recall this one listed anyplace.
>
> Tom<
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/message/30952;_ylc=X3oDMTM0OW9uMGN0BF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzMDk2MQRzZWMDZnRyBHNsawN2dHBjBHN0aW1lAzEyNTY2NzA4MjkEdHBjSWQDMzA5NTI-> Messages in this topic (4)
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJvYnVrdm5rBF9TAzk3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARtc2dJZAMzMDk2MQRzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNycGx5BHN0aW1lAzEyNTY2NzA4Mjk-?act=reply&messageNum=30961> Reply (via web post) |

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/post;_ylc=X3oDMTJjdHUxdnI4BF9TAzk3MzU
5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNudHBjBHN0aW1
lAzEyNTY2NzA4Mjk-> Start a new topic

Messages
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/messages;_ylc=X3oDMTJjNWh2NnRxBF9TAzk
3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNtc2dzBHN
0aW1lAzEyNTY2NzA4Mjk-> | Files
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/files;_ylc=X3oDMTJkdTVqZDR0BF9TAzk3Mz
U5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNmaWxlcwRzdG
ltZQMxMjU2NjcwODI5> | Photos
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/photos;_ylc=X3oDMTJjMms1OGRoBF9TAzk3M
zU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNwaG90BHN0a
W1lAzEyNTY2NzA4Mjk-> | Links
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/links;_ylc=X3oDMTJkdG8wM2VsBF9TAzk3Mz
U5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNsaW5rcwRzdG
ltZQMxMjU2NjcwODI5> | Database
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/database;_ylc=X3oDMTJhNTB2MWw2BF9TAzk
3MzU5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNkYgRzdGl
tZQMxMjU2NjcwODI5> | Polls
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/polls;_ylc=X3oDMTJkaXVidGEyBF9TAzk3Mz
U5NzE0BGdycElkAzExOTIyBGdycHNwSWQDMTcwNTA2MzEwOARzZWMDZnRyBHNsawNwb2xscwRzdG
ltZQMxMjU2NjcwODI5> |

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30963. RE: 419.750 P25 Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-28 02:55:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30952 Message: 30963

--- On Tue, 10/27/09, Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...> wrote:

> After reviewing today's transmissions, this sounds like the tour guides
> for Printing and Engraving. I can't think of any other federal
> users in the area that would have a visitor's center. Anyone else?

Yeah, after Tom initially posted and said it was in North Fort Worth, my first thought was the BPE facility there, but didn't want to jump the gun.

I'm way to far away to hear ops from there now, but I was thinking something in the way of a CH 2 to supplement the 415.875 main channel.

Good work Ben.

Blaine Brooks

30964. My webpage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-28 20:24:39 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30964 Message: 30964

Hi all -

Just wanted to let everyone know that my web page is no longer. I am no longer a customer of Verizon (for right now), and that is who I had it with. Hopefully at some point, I might be back with another page. I hope those that used it, enjoyed the links and such. Unfortunately, before I canceled my account with them, I forgot to back up all the links. I know, I know, stupid stupid...so anyone that perhaps has a big list of those links please send my way, that is if you have them....otherwise, I'm sorta' screwed. Thanks for all your support of the page.

I'm hoping maybe a some point it'll show up on the Wayback Machine with all the links.

Blaine

30965. Re: My webpage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-28 20:28:34 UTC
From: chancelindsey
Topic: 30964 Message: 30965

I don't know what your URL was so I couldn't try. But chances are if you do a site search on it with Google, it will have a cached copy. Also might try the Way Back Machine.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:
>
> Hi all -
>
> Just wanted to let everyone know that my web page is no longer. I am no longer a customer of Verizon (for right now), and that is who I had it with. Hopefully at some point, I might be back with another page. I hope those that used it, enjoyed the links and such. Unfortunately, before I canceled my account with them, I forgot to back up all the links. I know, I know, stupid stupid...so anyone that perhaps has a big list of those links please send my way, that is if you have them....otherwise, I'm sorta' screwed. Thanks for all your support of the page.
>
> I'm hoping maybe a some point it'll show up on the Wayback Machine with all the links.
>
>
> Blaine
>

30966. Re: My webpage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-28 20:32:07 UTC
From: chancelindsey
Topic: 30964 Message: 30966

Actually Blaine, it looks like it is still up.

Isn't this it?

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/id4.html

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "chancelindsey" <chance1@...> wrote:
>
>
> I don't know what your URL was so I couldn't try. But chances are if you do a site search on it with Google, it will have a cached copy. Also might try the Way Back Machine.
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi all -
> >
> > Just wanted to let everyone know that my web page is no longer. I am no longer a customer of Verizon (for right now), and that is who I had it with. Hopefully at some point, I might be back with another page. I hope those that used it, enjoyed the links and such. Unfortunately, before I canceled my account with them, I forgot to back up all the links. I know, I know, stupid stupid...so anyone that perhaps has a big list of those links please send my way, that is if you have them....otherwise, I'm sorta' screwed. Thanks for all your support of the page.
> >
> > I'm hoping maybe a some point it'll show up on the Wayback Machine with all the links.
> >
> >
> > Blaine
> >
>

30967. Web page

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-28 20:42:16 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30967 Message: 30967

Disregard previous messages about my web page. Just came back up. So grab the links in case it disappears again.

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

Blaine

30968. Re: [dfwscan] Web page

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-28 21:00:23 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 30967 Message: 30968

We'll get right on that.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...>
Date: Wed, 28 Oct 2009 13:42:16
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Web page

Disregard previous messages about my web page. Just came back up. So grab the links in case it disappears again.

http://mysite.verizon.net/resrjsf6/

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30969. Re: [dfwscan] RE: 419.750 P25 Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-29 00:01:08 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30952 Message: 30969

Blaine the 419.75 repeater appears to be much stronger than the 415.875 repeater. You might be able to hear it. There is also another repeater that I hear on 416.825 P25 that sounds like building and plant maintenance. I seem to remember somebody on here posting that it was also BEP but I haven't been able to ID it. It is also a lot stronger than 415.875 (at least at Meacham). \

Tom

Blaine Brooks wrote:
> --- On Tue, 10/27/09, Ben Saladino(YG) <groups@...> wrote:
>
>> After reviewing today's transmissions, this sounds like the tour guides
>> for Printing and Engraving. I can't think of any other federal
>> users in the area that would have a visitor's center. Anyone else?
>
> Yeah, after Tom initially posted and said it was in North Fort Worth, my first thought was the BPE facility there, but didn't want to jump the gun.
>
> I'm way to far away to hear ops from there now, but I was thinking something in the way of a CH 2 to supplement the 415.875 main channel.
>
> Good work Ben.
>
>
> Blaine Brooks
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

30970. Arlington Tact

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-29 14:47:14 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30970 Message: 30970

Sounds like another tact callup or incident in Arlington 09:46

30971. Re: [dfwscan] Arlington Tact

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-29 14:56:09 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 30970 Message: 30971

Female suspect shot herself, after shooting husband. Scene secure.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30972. Re:My webpage

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-29 15:38:09 UTC
From: scottbomb@hotmail.com
Topic: 30964 Message: 30972

Did you have a massive list of DFW freqs on there? If so, I saved that and I can host it on my site. Let me know.
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

30973. Re: I-35 Shutdown Oct.25th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-29 16:05:34 UTC
From: justinlentz08
Topic: 30925 Message: 30973

I am hearing desoto trying to set up a patch with some of the EDUCT Fire Departments using the mobile command post for some procession that is supposed to be happening today

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:
>
> Another 2600 reader -- who'd a thunk it.
>
> Anyway, I believe they have a landline to the signs -- probably a leased line and not a dial-up. Still hackable, but more difficult. On the other hand, the new electronic billboards seem to have a microwave link -- hmmmmm.....
>
> I've seen things on the TXDOT traffic website that were not there, so its possible the signs maybe correct and some folks at TXDOT doesn't have it on the the site.
>
> --- On Tue, 10/20/09, Jonathan Kelley <jonkelley@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Doubtful,
>
> The ZOMBIE ATTACK hackings were those construction signs that are on
> trailers. They have an access panel that usually has a default password on
> the inside and a qwerty keyboard. (Let's just say I like to research things
> and read 2600.)
>
> Anyway the TXDOT signs, I forget the brand but I've done a tad bit of
> research on their technical operation. They don't have antennas on them so I
> doubt they are controlled via RF. I believe they have a landline, either
> Ethernet or telephone based. They do have control panels on the sides but
> they are probably for circuit testing rather than actual sign message
> programming.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

30974. WBAP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-29 22:54:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30974 Message: 30974

Was sitting here this afternoon trying to figure out the frequency setup now for WBAP traffic that they are back with Metro, and I have to admit it's goofy. The only repeater still used is 450.6125 PL 67.0. 455.800 is used, as well as 450.1375, but it's a crazy setup...at least from a monitor's standpoint...

At the top & bottom of the hours, the 450.6125 repeater is used. The recorded reports at :20 and :50 are recorded at :10 and :40 past the hour on 450.1375. This frequency is simplex and extremely weak (at least for me). Metro moved to new Irving studios a while back. While I get the impression that this frequency probably blasts away in that area, over here in Plano, it barely breaks squelch. The other frequency is 455.800 PL 85.4....which seems to be the frequency Randy Fuller relays info to the plane, but his signal from base is also EXTREMELY WEAK, barely breaking squelch. I know many moons ago when I was working at Metro both 450.1375 & 455.800 were assigned, but 450.1375 was never used. 455.800 used to be the old WBAP frequency for the Dallas side way back when, but the signal was much more powerful then.

Of course, in case no one knows it by now, there are no more traffic helicopters flying around DFW. It's sad. WBAP, KSCS, and KRLD all fly in one plane. Metro is supposed to get another one (plane), but from what I've heard, they haven't yet...

Blaine

30975. RE: [dfwscan] WBAP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-30 05:57:43 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 30974 Message: 30975

Blaine,

Tuesday afternoon I heard two traffic watch airplanes communicating on 123.025 on the Dallas side. One airplane had a female pilot. Mini-Me Chopper 11 has been out flying around early in the mornings doing traffic stuff. Occasionally I'll hear Scab 4 (also masquerades as Chopper 5) in the afternoons and 8-Ball (Chopper 8) (the only turbine engined news helicopter still flying) but their flying has been cut way back. I miss the good ole' days when you would see seven helicopters over a chase if it occurred during the 5pm or 6pm news shows!!!

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2009 5:55 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] WBAP

Was sitting here this afternoon trying to figure out the frequency setup now for WBAP traffic that they are back with Metro, and I have to admit it's goofy. The only repeater still used is 450.6125 PL 67.0. 455.800 is used, as well as 450.1375, but it's a crazy setup...at least from a monitor's standpoint...

At the top & bottom of the hours, the 450.6125 repeater is used. The recorded reports at :20 and :50 are recorded at :10 and :40 past the hour on 450.1375. This frequency is simplex and extremely weak (at least for me). Metro moved to new Irving studios a while back. While I get the impression that this frequency probably blasts away in that area, over here in Plano, it barely breaks squelch. The other frequency is 455.800 PL 85.4....which seems to be the frequency Randy Fuller relays info to the plane, but his signal from base is also EXTREMELY WEAK, barely breaking squelch. I know many moons ago when I was working at Metro both 450.1375 & 455.800 were assigned, but 450.1375 was never used. 455.800 used to be the old WBAP frequency for the Dallas side way back when, but the signal was much more powerful then.

Of course, in case no one knows it by now, there are no more traffic helicopters flying around DFW. It's sad. WBAP, KSCS, and KRLD all fly in one plane. Metro is supposed to get another one (plane), but from what I've heard, they haven't yet...

'---

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30976. Re: [dfwscan] WBAP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-30 07:39:36 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30974 Message: 30976

So who does KSCS since they claim they're in the "jet copter".

Terry
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 30 Oct 2009 00:57:43
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] WBAP

Blaine,

Tuesday afternoon I heard two traffic watch airplanes communicating on 123.025 on the Dallas side. One airplane had a female pilot. Mini-Me Chopper 11 has been out flying around early in the mornings doing traffic stuff. Occasionally I'll hear Scab 4 (also masquerades as Chopper 5) in the afternoons and 8-Ball (Chopper 8) (the only turbine engined news helicopter still flying) but their flying has been cut way back. I miss the good ole' days when you would see seven helicopters over a chase if it occurred during the 5pm or 6pm news shows!!!

Mark

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2009 5:55 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] WBAP

Was sitting here this afternoon trying to figure out the frequency setup now for WBAP traffic that they are back with Metro, and I have to admit it's goofy. The only repeater still used is 450.6125 PL 67.0. 455.800 is used, as well as 450.1375, but it's a crazy setup...at least from a monitor's standpoint...

At the top & bottom of the hours, the 450.6125 repeater is used. The recorded reports at :20 and :50 are recorded at :10 and :40 past the hour on 450.1375. This frequency is simplex and extremely weak (at least for me). Metro moved to new Irving studios a while back. While I get the impression that this frequency probably blasts away in that area, over here in Plano, it barely breaks squelch. The other frequency is 455.800 PL 85.4....which seems to be the frequency Randy Fuller relays info to the plane, but his signal from base is also EXTREMELY WEAK, barely breaking squelch. I know many moons ago when I was working at Metro both 450.1375 & 455.800 were

assigned, but 450.1375 was never used. 455.800 used to be the old WBAP frequency for the Dallas side way back when, but the signal was much more powerful then.

Of course, in case no one knows it by now, there are no more traffic helicopters flying around DFW. It's sad. WBAP, KSCS, and KRLD all fly in one plane. Metro is supposed to get another one (plane), but from what I've heard, they haven't yet...

'---

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30977. Re: [dfwscan] WBAP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-30 12:34:05 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 30974 Message: 30977

They do the traffic bits when the cleaning lady is running the vacuum nearby.

> So who does KSCS since they claim they're in the "jet copter".
>
>

30978. RS-422

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-30 12:39:07 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 30978 Message: 30978

Anyone know whee I could get a rs232 to ra422 converter locally?

30979. Northbound 75 Sheriff's Dept Convoy

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-30 16:43:32 UTC
From: Patrick H
Topic: 30979 Message: 30979

Escort? Everything is shut down Northbound.

30980. Re: WBAP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-31 03:16:51 UTC
From: BlaineB
Topic: 30974 Message: 30980

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, terry@... wrote:

> So who does KSCS since they claim they're in the "jet copter".

Micheal Scott. But he's in a plane now.

30981. Re: WBAP

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-31 03:29:18 UTC
From: BlaineB
Topic: 30974 Message: 30981

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@...> wrote:

> Tuesday afternoon I heard two traffic watch airplanes communicating > on 123.025 on the Dallas side. One airplane had a female pilot.

Well, now I'm hearing that Metro has three fixed wings up. I had heard they had only one. So go figure....

Glad to know that the old unicom is still being used....when I filled in for Monty or Siegel back in the old days, I used to love chit chatting on there....122.775....of course, the chopper pilots on the Dallas side on 23-oh-2 were a hoot too!...

> Mini-Me Chopper 11 has been out flying around early in the mornings > doing traffic stuff.

Not sure who that gal is. Of course, I've been out of the loop and playing catch up, media wise...I thought I heard her doing some shots in the afternoon too.

> I miss the good ole' days when you would see seven helicopters over > a chase if it occurred during the 5pm or 6pm news shows!!!

I do too, my friend. Can't say I'm pleased at all with the new landscape, but it is what it is, all in the name of budget and salary cutbacks. It's sad. DFW has lost many a good reporter over the last year or so. And as goofy as it sounds, there were many a listener (and driver) that looked forward to seeing the Fox 4 and Zebra ships flying over their heads during morning and afternoon rush hour.

Blaine

30982. TCDPS Wichita Falls Using 155.475

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-31 12:41:36 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 30982 Message: 30982

Hello All,

I'm hearing DPS Wichita Falls (base side only) this morning on 155.475 P25.
I've hardly ever heard DPS use this frequency and never have heard a base
station using it. This may not be anything new, but it is a first for me.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30983. Wylie New Tower

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-31 12:52:06 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 30983 Message: 30983

That back lot of Wylie Pd is all torn up. They said they are getting a new tower?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30984. NCTECH Ham Radio Festival, 11/14/2009, 8:00 am

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-31 13:58:33 UTC
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 30984 Message: 30984

Reminder from: dfwscan Yahoo! Group
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/cal

NCTECH Ham Radio Festival
Saturday November 14, 2009
8:00 am - 1:00 pm
(This event repeats annually on the second Saturday.)
(The next reminder for this event will be sent in 7 days, 1 minute.)
Location: Azle, Texas (near Fort Worth)

Notes:

North Central Texas Emergency Communications Ham-festival
(NCTECH)
Sponsored by Tri-County Amateur Radio Club

ARRL and other speaker forums
VE exam session
Free parking
Coffee shop on site
Swap meet...buy, sell, swap equipment

http://www.wc5c.org for details

frequency: 147.16 MHZ (talk - in)

All Rights Reserved
Copyright ? 2009
Yahoo! Inc.
http://www.yahoo.com

Privacy Policy:
http://privacy.yahoo.com/privacy/us

Terms of Service:
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

30985. RE: [dfwscan] TCDPS Wichita Falls Using 155.475

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-31 14:42:45 UTC
From: Russ Stringfield
Topic: 30982 Message: 30985

My list of DPS frequencies straight out of the manual:

DPS 6 CLE SIMP 155.505000 FM CTCSS 162.2
0

DPS 8 SIMPLEX 155.445000 FM CTCSS 162.2
0

DPS Base 1 155.460000 FM CTCSS 162.2 0

DPS Base A1 155.460000 FM NAC 114 0

DPS Base A2 155.467500 FM NAC 118 0

DPS Base B1 155.445000 FM NAC 123 0

DPS Base B2 155.452500 FM NAC 128 0

DPS CLE 159.090000 FM CTCSS 162.2 0

DPS Mob A1 154.680000 FM CTCSS 162.2 0

DPS Mob A2 154.687500 FM Search 0

DPS Mob B1 154.695000 FM Search 0

DPS Mob B2 154.702500 FM Search 0

DPS R 10 A 159.210000 FM NAC 162
0

DPS R 10 B 159.217500 FM NAC 162
0

DPS R 11 A 159.210000 FM NAC 107
0

DPS R 11 B 159.217500 FM NAC 107
0

DPS R 12 A 159.210000 FM NAC 111
0

DPS R 12 B 159.217500 FM NAC 111
0

DPS R 13 A 159.210000 FM NAC 119
0

```
DPS R 13 B 159.217500 FM NAC 119
0

DPS R 14 A 159.210000 FM NAC 123
0

DPS R 14 B 159.217500 FM NAC 123
0

DPS R 15 A 159.210000 FM NAC 127
0

DPS R 15 B 159.217500 FM NAC 127
0

DPS R 16 A 159.210000 FM NAC 137
0

DPS R 16 B 159.217500 FM NAC 137
0

DPS R 17 A 159.210000 FM NAC 141
0

DPS R 17 B 159.217500 FM NAC 141
0

DPS R 18 A 159.210000 FM NAC 146
0

DPS R 18 B 159.217500 FM NAC 146
0

DPS R 19 A 159.210000 FM NAC 151
0

DPS R 19 B 159.217500 FM NAC 151
0

DPS R 20 155.512500 FM NAC 162
0

DPS R 21 159.097500 FM NAC Search
0

DPS R 9 159.210000 FM CTCSS 162.2
0

DPS R BRAZORIA 154.845000 FM Search
0

DPS R CHNATI 159.037500 FM Search
0
```

DPS R GAIL 155.527500 FM Search
0

DPS R GAIL 158.842500 FM Search
0

DPS R GLASS 158.827500 FM Search
0

DPS R LORAINE 153.867500 FM Search
0

DPS R LORAINE 155.887500 FM Search
0

DPS R MCDONALD 153.732500 FM Search
0

DPS R MCDONALD 153.822500 FM Search
0

DPS R PECOS-GLAS 153.747500 FM Search
0

DPS R PEOCS-CHNA 155.602500 FM Search
0

DPS R RICHMOND 155.535000 FM Search
0

DPS R SIERRA DIA 153.740000 FM Search
0

DPS R SIERRA DIA 159.150000 FM Search
0

DPS R WHEELER 153.860000 FM Search
0

DPS R WHEELER 158.820000 FM Search
0

DPS TROOPER TALK 155.902500 Auto None
0

TX LAW 1 154.950000 FM None
0

TX LAW 2 155.370000 FM None
0

TX LAW 3 155.475000 FM None
0

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brian
Sent: Saturday, October 31, 2009 7:42 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] TCDPS Wichita Falls Using 155.475

Hello All,

I'm hearing DPS Wichita Falls (base side only) this morning on 155.475 P25. I've hardly ever heard DPS use this frequency and never have heard a base station using it. This may not be anything new, but it is a first for me.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30986. Re: [dfwscan] TCDPS Wichita Falls Using 155.475

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-10-31 15:47:15 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30982 Message: 30986

Are you sure it isn't bleeding over from 155.4675?
I get that real bad whenever I go up there and have to lock it out, which sucks because some of the troopers and SO used to talk on 155.475 (TX LAW 3) a lot.

Brian wrote:
>
>
> Hello All,
>
>
>
> I'm hearing DPS Wichita Falls (base side only) this morning on 155.475 P25.
> I've hardly ever heard DPS use this frequency and never have heard a base
> station using it. This may not be anything new, but it is a first for me.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

30987. Weekly FCC grants October 25-31

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-01 18:24:50 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30987 Message: 30987

No notes for IL. There are too many!

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

30988. Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-03 11:09:09 UTC
From: billemtp
Topic: 30988 Message: 30988

Sunnyvale FD on scene of MVA with Fed-Ex truck overturned, possible hazadous cargo and diesel spill. East bound 80 and Lawson. Sunnyvale 154.01. Dallas S.O. 155.025
Anyone awake enough to page this to IPN?

30989. RE: [dfwscan] TCDPS Wichita Falls Using 155.475

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-04 14:37:23 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 30982 Message: 30989

Hmmm, I hadn't thought of that Tom. I know the transmissions were loud & clear with no "off frequency" sound, but who knows with the 7.5 kHz difference in spacing on the P25 frequencies?

Thanks for the reply...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tom ND5Y
Sent: Saturday, October 31, 2009 10:47 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] TCDPS Wichita Falls Using 155.475

Are you sure it isn't bleeding over from 155.4675?
I get that real bad whenever I go up there and have to lock it out, which sucks because some of the troopers and SO used to talk on 155.475 (TX LAW 3) a lot.

Brian wrote:
>
>
> Hello All,
>
>
>
> I'm hearing DPS Wichita Falls (base side only) this morning on 155.475 P25.
> I've hardly ever heard DPS use this frequency and never have heard a base
> station using it. This may not be anything new, but it is a first for me.
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

30990. RE: [dfwscan] TCDPS Wichita Falls Using 155.475

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-04 17:15:38 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 30982 Message: 30990

I bet that's what it is. Some days I get the same thing on 155.475 from work in McKinney and it seems to coincide with those same days that WF is blowing in, which is not a normal occurrence.

Mike

On Wed Nov 4 8:37 , "Brian" sent:

>
>
>Hmmm, I hadn't thought of that Tom. I know the transmissions were loud &
>
>clear with no "off frequency" sound, but who knows with the 7.5 kHz
>
>difference in spacing on the P25 frequencies?
>
>
>
>Thanks for the reply...
>
>
>
>Brian
>
>Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>
>From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>
>Tom ND5Y
>
>Sent: Saturday, October 31, 2009 10:47 AM
>
>To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>
>Subject: Re: [dfwscan] TCDPS Wichita Falls Using 155.475
>
>
>
>Are you sure it isn't bleeding over from 155.4675?
>
>I get that real bad whenever I go up there and have to lock it out, which
>

>sucks because some of the troopers and SO used to talk on 155.475 (TX LAW 3)
>
>a lot.
>
>
>
>Brian wrote:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Hello All,
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> I'm hearing DPS Wichita Falls (base side only) this morning on 155.475
>
>P25.
>
>> I've hardly ever heard DPS use this frequency and never have heard a base
>
>> station using it. This may not be anything new, but it is a first for me.
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Brian
>
>>
>
>
>> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>

>>
>
>>
>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> ------------------------------------
>
>>
>
>> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
>>
>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>
>
>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

30991. Grapevine pending 700mhz TRS application

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-05 02:29:32 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 30991 Message: 30991

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5193167

And the 1st locale to apply for a 700mhz trunked license is...Grapevine!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30992. Re: [dfwscan] Grapevine pending 700mhz TRS application

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-05 02:44:09 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 30991 Message: 30992

That is probably for the whole NE Tarrant system, not just Grapevine.
It has the same 3 site locations and 3000 mobiles.

Tom

Ed C. wrote:
>
> http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/ApplicationSearch/applMain.jsp?applID=5193167
>
> And the 1st locale to apply for a 700mhz trunked license is...Grapevine!

30993. NCTECH Ham Radio Festival, 11/14/2009, 8:00 am

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-07 13:58:20 UTC
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 30984 Message: 30993

Reminder from: dfwscan Yahoo! Group
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/cal

NCTECH Ham Radio Festival
Saturday November 14, 2009
8:00 am - 1:00 pm
(This event repeats annually on the second Saturday.)
Location: Azle, Texas (near Fort Worth)

Notes:

North Central Texas Emergency Communications Ham-festival
(NCTECH)
Sponsored by Tri-County Amateur Radio Club

ARRL and other speaker forums
VE exam session
Free parking
Coffee shop on site
Swap meet...buy, sell, swap equipment

http://www.wc5c.org for details

frequency: 147.16 MHZ (talk - in)

All Rights Reserved
Copyright ? 2009
Yahoo! Inc.
http://www.yahoo.com

Privacy Policy:
http://privacy.yahoo.com/privacy/us

Terms of Service:
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

30994. Analyzed Early/Late

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-08 02:23:59 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 30994 Message: 30994

Lately Ive been hearing 660 advise Engines & trucks running to calls that "CPR is in progress, analyzed early." At first I thought it was part of the program where only an engine was sent to medical calls, but Im hearing this message being told to engines that are not on the trial program. Just a few seconds ago Engine 32 was told they had CPR in progress "analyzed early." Any idea what this means?

30995. Analyzed

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-08 05:14:55 UTC
From: Mark Hammond
Topic: 30995 Message: 30995

more than likely, and I am going on assumption, it means that an AED was on scene. Just a guess though.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30996. Re: [dfwscan] Analyzed

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-08 05:32:04 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 30995 Message: 30996

Talked to SDO at dispatch. This is an operations deal and communications is just saying this as a reminder. His understanding was performing CPR for different lenghts of time before shocking. He didn't have all guidelines.

I'll catch a FF in the field one night and get the skinny but basically a study much like the recent hypertonic saline study. They're trying to get a controlled study where CPR is performed to see what is most effective.

Terry
------Original Message------
From: Mark Hammond
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Nov 7, 2009 23:14
Subject: [dfwscan] Analyzed

more than likely, and I am going on assumption, it means that an AED was on scene. Just a guess though.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

30997. RE: [dfwscan] Analyzed Early/Late

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-08 05:35:39 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 30994 Message: 30997

Funny. I typed "CPR analyzed early" into Google and got all sorts of information, NIH memos, etc. Certainly enough to determine that the terminology is part of ROC PRIMED and figure out what it means.

By the way, ROC PRIMED was halted 11/6/09 by NHLBI as neither protocol resulted in an increase in survivability following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Andrew Tucker
Sent: Saturday, November 07, 2009 8:24 PM
To: Scanner DFW
Subject: [dfwscan] Analyzed Early/Late

Lately Ive been hearing 660 advise Engines & trucks running to calls that "CPR is in progress, analyzed early." At first I thought it was part of the program where only an engine was sent to medical calls, but Im hearing this message being told to engines that are not on the trial program. Just a few seconds ago Engine 32 was told they had CPR in progress "analyzed early." Any idea what this means?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30998. Re: [dfwscan] Analyzed Early/Late

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-08 05:48:57 UTC
From: Toby Zidle
Topic: 30994 Message: 30998

The reference is to a research study conducted by the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium and co-ordinated in Dallas by UT Southwestern Medical Center. DFR is one of the EMS participants in the "PRIMED" study. The study actually stopped enrollment of participants on Friday,so we shouldn't be hearing any more of this.

From https://roc.uwctc.org/tiki/current-studies

ROC PRIMED-stopped

(Prehospital Resuscitation using an IMpedance valve and Early vs Delayed analysis)

ROC PRIMED, a multicenter trial of patients who suffer a cardiac arrest, when the heart abruptly stops outside of the hospital setting, stopped enrollment on November 6, 2009. The trial compared two resuscitation strategies delivered by emergency medical service (EMS) providers to increase blood flow during cardiac arrest. The study's independent monitoring board and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), the lead sponsor of the study, stopped enrollment based on preliminary data suggesting that neither strategy significantly improved survival. One strategy compared different durations of manual cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) by EMS providers before they assessed whether defibrillation was needed, and the other strategy tested the potential benefits and risks of an investigational device to maintain pressure in the chest during CPR.

A Q-and-A document (pdf file) from http://public.nhlbi.nih.gov/newsroom/home/qanda.htm says this (merely a small excerpt from the file):

"One strategy looked at when to analyze the patient's heart rhythm to determine whether defibrillation is needed, methods known as Analyze Early and Analyze Later. Some EMS providers perform cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) for 30-90 seconds before analyzing the heart's rhythm, and, if necessary, defibrillate to restore the heart to its normal rhythm (Analyze Early). Other EMS providers perform CPR for three minutes before analyzing the heart rhythm (Analyze Later) and defibrillate if necessary. There is evidence that the longer CPR duration helps oxygenated blood circulate more, which may prime the heart to receive the most benefit from defibrillation. Other studies suggest that delivering defibrillation sooner might be more helpful. The ROC PRIMED study found both to be equally beneficial, with no improvement or decline in patient survival rates."

DFR is (was) participating in the "Analyze Early" phase of the study. (I'm not sure whether they were also in the "Analyze Late"

phase, but I think the research protocol did not have the same EMS department participating in both phases simultaneously.)

Toby

At 08:23 PM 11/7/2009, Andrew Tucker wrote:
>
>
>Lately Ive been hearing 660 advise Engines & trucks running to calls
>that "CPR is in progress, analyzed early." At first I thought it was
>part of the program where only an engine was sent to medical calls,
>but Im hearing this message being told to engines that are not on the
>trial program. Just a few seconds ago Engine 32 was told they had CPR
>in progress "analyzed early." Any idea what this means?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

30999. Weekly FCC grants November 01-07

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-08 19:17:03 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 30999 Message: 30999

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

31000. Garland Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-09 17:33:05 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 31000 Message: 31000

Hi y'all.

As of 0940 this morning we have thrown the "big switch" on the Garland trunking system (Rowlett Road tower) and have checked each trunking radio (I did Rowlett with no failures). We are now up and running in the cities of Garland, Rowlett and Sachse. You should see a bit higher signal strength and a much quieter noise floor.

Good scanning and BE SAFE, y'all.

--
Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

W H Reynolds, K8DNE, 801
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

31001. Wylie Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-09 23:28:20 UTC
From: chancelindsey
Topic: 31001 Message: 31001

The standoff in Wylie is still going on. I usually stream Sachse, Murphy, and Wylie, but for right now I have just Wylie streaming at http://mysachse.com courtesy our friends at RadioReference.

31002. New Radio Tower in Plano N at 13th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 01:19:51 UTC
From: Tim Johnson
Topic: 31000 Message: 31002

A new self-supporting tower has sprouted up at the intersection of N and 13th.

It's lit, but no antennas or markings yet.

Anyone know who's it is?

Tim

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31003. Re: New Radio Tower in Plano N at 13th

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 01:24:20 UTC
From: Jonathan
Topic: 31000 Message: 31003

It is Plano's. It will be taking over for the downtown site when the P25 system rolls out next year.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tim Johnson" <timjohnson53@...> wrote:
>
> A new self-supporting tower has sprouted up at the intersection of N and
> 13th.
>
> It's lit, but no antennas or markings yet.
>
> Anyone know who's it is?
>
>
>
> Tim
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

31004. Reasonable 2m / Dual-Band Rig

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 01:59:17 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 31004 Message: 31004

Wife just passed her no-code tech test and I'm certain she'll be bugging me about a 2m or dual-band handheld in the near future. Can any hams any suggestions? Don't want to buy your used crap and don't want to start any flame-wars, just want some honest suggestions and places that have reasonable prices.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31005. RE: [dfwscan] Reasonable 2m / Dual-Band Rig

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 02:02:32 UTC
From: tvsjr
Topic: 31004 Message: 31005

The VHF/UHF APX7000 would be a good choice.

Seriously, I like the Icom IC-92AD. It's analog and DSTAR digital. Well built, submersible, decent audio, good speaker mics, real metal case. They aren't the cheapest but they are nice units. They also have an analog-only version for less money.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bill Boyer
Sent: Monday, November 09, 2009 7:59 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Reasonable 2m / Dual-Band Rig

Wife just passed her no-code tech test and I'm certain she'll be bugging me about a 2m or dual-band handheld in the near future. Can any hams any suggestions? Don't want to buy your used crap and don't want to start any flame-wars, just want some honest suggestions and places that have reasonable prices.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31006. Re: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 02:04:47 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31001 Message: 31006

I don't know if it is on the news yet or not but he is 27. Also I recorded about the last 2 or 3 hours of audio. About 45 min when you break it down.

Jason

From: chancelindsey <chance1@lindseyfamily.net>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Mon, November 9, 2009 5:28:20 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

The standoff in Wylie is still going on. I usually stream Sachse, Murphy, and Wylie, but for right now I have just Wylie streaming at http://mysachse. com courtesy our friends at RadioReference.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31007. Re: [dfwscan] Reasonable 2m / Dual-Band Rig

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 06:27:08 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 31004 Message: 31007

Bill Boyer wrote:
> Wife just passed her no-code tech test and I'm certain she'll be
> bugging me about a 2m or dual-band handheld in the near future. Can
> any hams any suggestions? Don't want to buy your used crap and don't
> want to start any flame-wars, just want some honest suggestions and
> places that have reasonable prices.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

HRO (Ham Radio Outlet)

Get an Yaesu FT-60) Best damn bang for the buck there is. There is FREE
(as in beer) software available to program it, and I bet you can get a
5$ program cable off of feebay..

31008. RE: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 10:17:58 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31001 Message: 31008

Does anyone know if Wylie has their own SWAT team or does Collin Co SO provide SWAT ops to smaller agencies like Wylie, Sachse, etc?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of chancelindsey
Sent: Monday, November 09, 2009 5:28 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

The standoff in Wylie is still going on. I usually stream Sachse, Murphy, and Wylie, but for right now I have just Wylie streaming at http://mysachse.com courtesy our friends at RadioReference.

31009. Re: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 10:20:58 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 31001 Message: 31009

I thought WPD had their own but in this case they called in McKinney PD. Collin SO has one also.

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 4:17 AM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

Does anyone know if Wylie has their own SWAT team or does Collin Co SO provide SWAT ops to smaller agencies like Wylie, Sachse, etc?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of chancelindsey
Sent: Monday, November 09, 2009 5:28 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

The standoff in Wylie is still going on. I usually stream Sachse, Murphy, and Wylie, but for right now I have just Wylie streaming at http://mysachse.com courtesy our friends at RadioReference.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31010. Re: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 14:20:08 UTC
From: Rick White
Topic: 31001 Message: 31010

Wylie has their own SWAT team but called McKinney for additional
manpower. From the start to the all clear took 8 hours.

At 04:20 AM 11/10/2009, Michael Bennett wrote:
>I thought WPD had their own but in this case they called in McKinney
>PD. Collin SO has one also.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Brian
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 4:17 AM
> Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff
>
>
>
> Does anyone know if Wylie has their own SWAT team or does Collin Co SO
> provide SWAT ops to smaller agencies like Wylie, Sachse, etc?
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> chancelindsey
> Sent: Monday, November 09, 2009 5:28 PM
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff
>
> The standoff in Wylie is still going on. I usually stream Sachse, Murphy,
> and Wylie, but for right now I have just Wylie streaming at
> http://mysachse.com courtesy our friends at RadioReference.
>
>
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

31011. Re: [dfwscan] Reasonable 2m / Dual-Band Rig

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 15:13:39 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 31004 Message: 31011

Thanks Jay -- that looks to be more in the price range I would expect. Looks like $189 or so is the street price -- just have to find out who offers the lowest shipping, etc...

Haven't read the manual yet -- can you lower the TX power to conserve batteries? That seems to be a feature on other units. Also, what is the programming for? I figured you just set up a few buttons to hit the various repeaters and you were done.

> Wife just passed her no-code tech test and I'm certain she'll be
> bugging me about a 2m or dual-band handheld in the near future. Can
> any hams any suggestions? Don't want to buy your used crap and don't
> want to start any flame-wars, just want some honest suggestions and
> places that have reasonable prices.

HRO (Ham Radio Outlet)

Get an Yaesu FT-60) Best damn bang for the buck there is. There is FREE
(as in beer) software available to program it, and I bet you can get a
5$ program cable off of feebay..

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31012. Re: Reasonable 2m / Dual-Band Rig

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 16:33:36 UTC
From: scottbomb@hotmail.com
Topic: 31004 Message: 31012

I recommend the Icom T7H. Great radio, well-built, only $180 at TX Towers in Plano.
-Scott, n0xmz
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

31013. Burleson PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 19:16:31 UTC
From: rjsumien
Topic: 31013 Message: 31013

Burleson PD is working a situation at a Valero. A hisp. male is shouting vulgarities at people and threatening to kill them. 1st unit on scene is requesting backup units to step it up.

31014. [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-10 23:56:06 UTC
From: K8DNE
Topic: 31014 Message: 31014

Hi there. I am assuming that I am "moderated" as I sent this to the group yesterday morning. No problem. It is old news now. Color me "gone".

Y'all be safe!!!!!

whr

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: Garland Rebanding
Date: Mon, 09 Nov 2009 11:33:05 -0600
From: K8DNE <wh.reynolds@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com

Hi y'all.

As of 0940 this morning we have thrown the "big switch" on the Garland trunking system (Rowlett Road tower) and have checked each trunking radio (I did Rowlett with no failures). We are now up and running in the cities of Garland, Rowlett and Sachse. You should see a bit higher signal strength and a much quieter noise floor.

Good scanning and BE SAFE, y'all.

--
Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.

W H Reynolds, K8DNE, 801
Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer

31015. Re: [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 00:44:25 UTC
From: steve_gordon_2000@yahoo.com
Topic: 31014 Message: 31015

I reprogrammed the Garland system into my BCD396XT, using ProScan, after Bill's original post yesterday and updated the firmware(twice), but I'm only hearing static when the scanner stops on a talkgroup ID. It displays the active control channel frequency briefly, then displays either 862.825 or 864.425 while displaying the talkgroup, but only hearing static during the transmission.

Wondering if it's me or if anyone else successfully reprogrammed Garland into a 396XT yet.

Steve

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, K8DNE <wh.reynolds@...> wrote:
>
> Hi there. I am assuming that I am "moderated" as I sent this to the
> group yesterday morning. No problem. It is old news now. Color me "gone".
>
> Y'all be safe!!!!!
>
>
> whr
>
> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: Garland Rebanding
> Date: Mon, 09 Nov 2009 11:33:05 -0600
> From: K8DNE <wh.reynolds@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>
> Hi y'all.
>
> As of 0940 this morning we have thrown the "big switch" on the Garland
> trunking system (Rowlett Road tower) and have checked each trunking
> radio (I did Rowlett with no failures). We are now up and running in
> the cities of Garland, Rowlett and Sachse. You should see a bit higher
> signal strength and a much quieter noise floor.
>
> Good scanning and BE SAFE, y'all.
>
>
> --
> Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.
>
> W H Reynolds, K8DNE, 801
> Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer
>

31016. Re: [dfwscan] [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 00:46:24 UTC
From: Don Teague
Topic: 31014 Message: 31016

How about I color you gone without a clue.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/message/31000

You are not moderated. Sorry you thought you were, but you are not.

No problem, you're old news now. I'll go help you out the door.

On Tue, Nov 10, 2009 at 5:56 PM, K8DNE <wh.reynolds@...> wrote:
> Hi there. I am assuming that I am "moderated" as I sent this to the
> group yesterday morning. No problem. It is old news now. Color me "gone".
>
> Y'all be safe!!!!!
>
>
> whr
>
> -------- Original Message --------
> Subject: Garland Rebanding
> Date: Mon, 09 Nov 2009 11:33:05 -0600
> From: K8DNE <wh.reynolds@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
>
> Hi y'all.
>
> As of 0940 this morning we have thrown the "big switch" on the Garland
> trunking system (Rowlett Road tower) and have checked each trunking
> radio (I did Rowlett with no failures). We are now up and running in
> the cities of Garland, Rowlett and Sachse. You should see a bit higher
> signal strength and a much quieter noise floor.
>
> Good scanning and BE SAFE, y'all.
>
>
> --
> Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.
>
> W H Reynolds, K8DNE, 801
> Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

31017. Re: [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 00:57:42 UTC
From: chancelindsey
Topic: 31014 Message: 31017

I have sucessfully reprogrammed on a BCT15 and BC246T.

The BCT15 was a lot eaiser because I just needed to add a new site to the system and delete the old one. The BCT246T required creating a whole new system from scratch, since it doesn't support sites.

I can't comment specifcally on the 396XT or Proscan but make sure you have the correct system type and you have entered a custom band plan. If you are not seeing an option for Band Plans then you do not have the system correct. Also if you are not clearly hearing the control channel, then you don't have the system type setup correctly. Instead of Motorola 800 Type 2, it must be Motorola 800 Custom.

The following must be configured either directly on the scanner or somewhere in ProScan.

Band Plan Base Freq (Lower) Base Freq (Upper) Offset Polarity Spacing

1 851.0250MHz 854.0000MHz 440 + 25 kHz
2 851.0125MHz 868.9875MHz 0 + 25 kHz

Hope that gets you going.

-Chance (KC5EOK)

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, steve_gordon_2000@... wrote:
>
> I reprogrammed the Garland system into my BCD396XT, using ProScan, after Bill's original post yesterday and updated the firmware(twice), but I'm only hearing static when the scanner stops on a talkgroup ID. It displays the active control channel frequency briefly, then displays either 862.825 or 864.425 while displaying the talkgroup, but only hearing static during the transmission.
>
> Wondering if it's me or if anyone else successfully reprogrammed Garland into a 396XT yet.
>
> Steve
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, K8DNE <wh.reynolds@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi there. I am assuming that I am "moderated" as I sent this to the
> > group yesterday morning. No problem. It is old news now. Color me "gone".
> >
> > Y'all be safe!!!!!
> >
> >
> > whr
> >
> > -------- Original Message --------

> > Subject: Garland Rebanding
> > Date: Mon, 09 Nov 2009 11:33:05 -0600
> > From: K8DNE <wh.reynolds@>
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Hi y'all.
> >
> > As of 0940 this morning we have thrown the "big switch" on the Garland
> > trunking system (Rowlett Road tower) and have checked each trunking
> > radio (I did Rowlett with no failures). We are now up and running in
> > the cities of Garland, Rowlett and Sachse. You should see a bit higher
> > signal strength and a much quieter noise floor.
> >
> > Good scanning and BE SAFE, y'all.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.
> >
> > W H Reynolds, K8DNE, 801
> > Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer
> >
>

31018. Re: [dfwscan] [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 01:28:45 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31014 Message: 31018

On Tuesday, November 10, 2009 18:46, "Don Teague" <me@...> said:

> How about I color you gone without a clue.
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/message/31000
>
> You are not moderated. Sorry you thought you were, but you are not.

Weird. I am betting that if Bill checks his spam filter, he'll see his post there. I received it yesterday.

Rob

31019. Re: [dfwscan] [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 01:32:56 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31014 Message: 31019

My email says I received it 2 days ago...before it was sent? lol

On Tue, Nov 10, 2009 at 7:28 PM, rob.davis@... <
rob.davis@armynursecorps.com> wrote:

>
>
> On Tuesday, November 10, 2009 18:46, "Don Teague" <me@...<me%40donteague.com>>
> said:
>
> > How about I color you gone without a clue.
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/message/31000
> >
> > You are not moderated. Sorry you thought you were, but you are not.
>
> Weird. I am betting that if Bill checks his spam filter, he'll see his post
> there. I received it yesterday.
>
> Rob
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31020. RE: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 02:07:34 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31001 Message: 31020

Thank you Michael, Rick, and those of you with the off list replies. It sounds like they guy wanted to die the way it ended...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brian
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 4:18 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

Does anyone know if Wylie has their own SWAT team or does Collin Co SO provide SWAT ops to smaller agencies like Wylie, Sachse, etc?

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of chancelindsey
Sent: Monday, November 09, 2009 5:28 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Wylie Standoff

The standoff in Wylie is still going on. I usually stream Sachse, Murphy, and Wylie, but for right now I have just Wylie streaming at http://mysachse.com courtesy our friends at RadioReference.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31021. Re: [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 02:25:49 UTC
From: skeeter_1
Topic: 31014 Message: 31021

Using Win500, I reprogrammed my PSR-500 with the new frequencies along with using the new trunking tables described on Radio Reference. I too do not get anything anymore with the occasional stopping on an ID but do not get any audio. I'm open to suggestions as well.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, steve_gordon_2000@... wrote:
>
> I reprogrammed the Garland system into my BCD396XT, using ProScan, after Bill's original post yesterday and updated the firmware(twice), but I'm only hearing static when the scanner stops on a talkgroup ID. It displays the active control channel frequency briefly, then displays either 862.825 or 864.425 while displaying the talkgroup, but only hearing static during the transmission.
>
> Wondering if it's me or if anyone else successfully reprogrammed Garland into a 396XT yet.
>
> Steve
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, K8DNE <wh.reynolds@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi there. I am assuming that I am "moderated" as I sent this to the
> > group yesterday morning. No problem. It is old news now. Color me "gone".
> >
> > Y'all be safe!!!!!
> >
> >
> > whr
> >
> > -------- Original Message --------
> > Subject: Garland Rebanding
> > Date: Mon, 09 Nov 2009 11:33:05 -0600
> > From: K8DNE <wh.reynolds@>
> > To: dfwscan@...m
> >
> > Hi y'all.
> >
> > As of 0940 this morning we have thrown the "big switch" on the Garland
> > trunking system (Rowlett Road tower) and have checked each trunking
> > radio (I did Rowlett with no failures). We are now up and running in
> > the cities of Garland, Rowlett and Sachse. You should see a bit higher
> > signal strength and a much quieter noise floor.
> >
> > Good scanning and BE SAFE, y'all.
> >
> >
> > --

> > Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.
> >
> > W H Reynolds, K8DNE, 801
> > Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer
> >
>

31022. Medical Helo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 02:31:25 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo mail
Topic: 17281 Message: 31022

Are there any protocols in place for selecting which dept uses which medical helo?

Example, garland has an incident working and the units on scene asked the dispatcher to start careflite. A few minutes later ems1 responded with PHI med 2 16 minute eta out of Mckinney.

Few minutes later they canceled the helo and went by ground.

Sounds like they didn't even call careflite to see if they had a unit with a lower eta.

Just curious.

_____
Sprint Treo Pro
Blackberry 8900 PIN 24A9DE71
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Radio Service and Technical Consulting
http://www.twowayshopper.com
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/GMRSDallas
http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/TreoPro

31023. RE: [dfwscan] Re: [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 02:53:54 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 31014 Message: 31023

Unless I'm missing something the only change appears to be the control channels. I've changed them in 2 of my 996's and have had the same result.

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of skeeter_1
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2009 8:26 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Using Win500, I reprogrammed my PSR-500 with the new frequencies along with using the new trunking tables described on Radio Reference. I too do not get anything anymore with the occasional stopping on an ID but do not get any audio. I'm open to suggestions as well.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, steve_gordon_2000@... wrote:
>
> I reprogrammed the Garland system into my BCD396XT, using ProScan, after Bill's original post yesterday and updated the firmware(twice), but I'm only hearing static when the scanner stops on a talkgroup ID. It displays the active control channel frequency briefly, then displays either 862.825 or 864.425 while displaying the talkgroup, but only hearing static during the transmission.
>
> Wondering if it's me or if anyone else successfully reprogrammed Garland into a 396XT yet.
>
> Steve
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, K8DNE <wh.reynolds@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi there. I am assuming that I am "moderated" as I sent this to the
> > group yesterday morning. No problem. It is old news now. Color me "gone".
> >
> > Y'all be safe!!!!!
> >
> >
> > whr
> >
> > -------- Original Message --------
> > Subject: Garland Rebanding
> > Date: Mon, 09 Nov 2009 11:33:05 -0600
> > From: K8DNE <wh.reynolds@>

> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Hi y'all.
> >
> > As of 0940 this morning we have thrown the "big switch" on the Garland
> > trunking system (Rowlett Road tower) and have checked each trunking
> > radio (I did Rowlett with no failures). We are now up and running in
> > the cities of Garland, Rowlett and Sachse. You should see a bit higher
> > signal strength and a much quieter noise floor.
> >
> > Good scanning and BE SAFE, y'all.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.
> >
> > W H Reynolds, K8DNE, 801
> > Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer
> >
>

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 8.5.425 / Virus Database: 270.14.42/2473 - Release Date: 11/10/09
19:56:00

31024. Re: [dfwscan] Medical Helo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 02:56:59 UTC
From: Ryan Chalk
Topic: 17281 Message: 31024

Well I can say in our department we use whoever is closest. If an eta is too long we will transport by ground to our hospital to stabilize the patient and then they will be flown later.

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 10, 2009, at 20:31, "Patrick - Yahoo mail" <pwrigg@...> wrote:

Are there any protocols in place for selecting which dept uses which medical helo?

Example, garland has an incident working and the units on scene asked the dispatcher to start careflite. A few minutes later ems1 responded with PHI med 2 16 minute eta out of Mckinney.

Few minutes later they canceled the helo and went by ground.

Sounds like they didn't even call careflite to see if they had a unit with a lower eta.

Just curious.

_____
Sprint Treo Pro
Blackberry 8900 PIN 24A9DE71
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Radio Service and Technical Consulting
http://www.twowayshopper.com
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/GMRSDallas
http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/TreoPro

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31025. Re: [dfwscan] Medical Helo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 03:02:39 UTC
From: Ben F.
Topic: 17281 Message: 31025

At N.R.H. it was round robin. We kept a log of which one we used last. From there if the next one wasnt available we went to the next.

On Tue, Nov 10, 2009 at 8:56 PM, Ryan Chalk <hvfirefighter252@...>wrote:

> Well I can say in our department we use whoever is closest. If an eta is
> too long we will transport by ground to our hospital to stabilize the
> patient and then they will be flown later.
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Nov 10, 2009, at 20:31, "Patrick - Yahoo mail" <pwrigg@...>
> wrote:
>
> Are there any protocols in place for selecting which dept uses which
> medical helo?
>
> Example, garland has an incident working and the units on scene asked the
> dispatcher to start careflite. A few minutes later ems1 responded with PHI
> med 2 16 minute eta out of Mckinney.
>
> Few minutes later they canceled the helo and went by ground.
>
> Sounds like they didn't even call careflite to see if they had a unit with
> a lower eta.
>
> Just curious.
>
> _____
> Sprint Treo Pro
> Blackberry 8900 PIN 24A9DE71
> PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile
> Motorola 2-Way Radio Service and Technical Consulting
> http://www.twowayshopper.com
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/GMRSDallas
> http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/TreoPro
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31026. Re: [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 03:39:50 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 31014 Message: 31026

Steve,

I reprogrammed my 396XT yesterday as well, & it's working, no problem. Sounds like you may not have entered the custom band plan required, or maybe it didn't save correctly.

I used FreeScan to program mine (sorry, not using ProScan). However, see these notes on the RadioReference Wiki with the band plan, and a short note on entering that into ProScan:
http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Software_Support_for_Uniden_Rebanding#DMA_Scanners

Steve
--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, steve_gordon_2000@... wrote:
>
> I reprogrammed the Garland system into my BCD396XT, using ProScan, after Bill's original post yesterday and updated the firmware(twice), but I'm only hearing static when the scanner stops on a talkgroup ID. It displays the active control channel frequency briefly, then displays either 862.825 or 864.425 while displaying the talkgroup, but only hearing static during the transmission.
>
> Wondering if it's me or if anyone else successfully reprogrammed Garland into a 396XT yet.
>
> Steve
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, K8DNE <wh.reynolds@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi there. I am assuming that I am "moderated" as I sent this to the
> > group yesterday morning. No problem. It is old news now. Color me "gone".
> >
> > Y'all be safe!!!!!
> >
> >
> > whr
> >
> > -------- Original Message --------
> > Subject: Garland Rebanding
> > Date: Mon, 09 Nov 2009 11:33:05 -0600
> > From: K8DNE <wh.reynolds@>
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Hi y'all.
> >
> > As of 0940 this morning we have thrown the "big switch" on the Garland
> > trunking system (Rowlett Road tower) and have checked each trunking
> > radio (I did Rowlett with no failures). We are now up and running in
> > the cities of Garland, Rowlett and Sachse. You should see a bit higher

> > signal strength and a much quieter noise floor.
> >
> > Good scanning and BE SAFE, y'all.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.
> >
> > W H Reynolds, K8DNE, 801
> > Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer
> >
>

31027. Re: [Fwd: Garland Rebanding]

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 04:33:53 UTC
From: steve_gordon_2000@yahoo.com
Topic: 31014 Message: 31027

Thanks for all the replies. It was the band plan that I didn't have correct. I had imported into Proscan from radioreference, but it came in as a splinter system. I changed it to custom and entered the band plan info. Working good now.
Thanks again,
Steve

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Steve,
>
> I reprogrammed my 396XT yesterday as well, & it's working, no problem. Sounds like you may not have entered the custom band plan required, or maybe it didn't save correctly.
>
> I used FreeScan to program mine (sorry, not using ProScan). However, see these notes on the RadioReference Wiki with the band plan, and a short note on entering that into ProScan:
> http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Software_Support_for_Uniden_Rebanding#DMA_Scanners
>
> Steve
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, steve_gordon_2000@ wrote:
> >
> > I reprogrammed the Garland system into my BCD396XT, using ProScan, after Bill's original post yesterday and updated the firmware(twice), but I'm only hearing static when the scanner stops on a talkgroup ID. It displays the active control channel frequency briefly, then displays either 862.825 or 864.425 while displaying the talkgroup, but only hearing static during the transmission.
> >
> > Wondering if it's me or if anyone else successfully reprogrammed Garland into a 396XT yet.
> >
> > Steve
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, K8DNE <wh.reynolds@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Hi there. I am assuming that I am "moderated" as I sent this to the
> > > group yesterday morning. No problem. It is old news now. Color me "gone".
> > >
> > > Y'all be safe!!!!!
> > >
> > >
> > > whr
> > >
> > > -------- Original Message --------

> > > Subject: Garland Rebanding
> > > Date: Mon, 09 Nov 2009 11:33:05 -0600
> > > From: K8DNE <wh.reynolds@>
> > > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > Hi y'all.
> > >
> > > As of 0940 this morning we have thrown the "big switch" on the Garland
> > > trunking system (Rowlett Road tower) and have checked each trunking
> > > radio (I did Rowlett with no failures). We are now up and running in
> > > the cities of Garland, Rowlett and Sachse. You should see a bit higher
> > > signal strength and a much quieter noise floor.
> > >
> > > Good scanning and BE SAFE, y'all.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Artificial intelligence is no match for natural stupidity.
> > >
> > > W H Reynolds, K8DNE, 801
> > > Rowlett, TX RACES Radio Officer
> > >
> >
>

31028. Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 22:27:40 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 31028 Message: 31028

http://www.qrz.com/db/w9evt

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

31029. RE: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 22:55:56 UTC
From: Peter Kirk
Topic: 31028 Message: 31029

That's what I call a Radio Shack! Nice collection.

Peter

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of John Mayson
Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2009 5:28 PM
To: CenTexComm; Houston Scan; DFW Scan
Subject: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

http://www.qrz.com/db/w9evt <http://www.qrz.com/db/w9evt>

--
John Mayson <john@... <mailto:john%40mayson.us> >
Austin, Texas, USA

31030. Re: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 23:03:21 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31028 Message: 31030

Ok now show the wifes face :) I could only have one. But a whole house full oh man.

Jason

From: Peter Kirk <pckirk@...>
To: John Mayson <john@...>; CenTexComm <centexcomm@yahoogroups.com>; Houston Scan <houstonscan@yahoogroups.com>; DFW Scan <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wed, November 11, 2009 4:55:56 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

That's what I call a Radio Shack! Nice collection.

Peter

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of John Mayson
Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2009 5:28 PM
To: CenTexComm; Houston Scan; DFW Scan
Subject: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

http://www.qrz. com/db/w9evt <http://www.qrz. com/db/w9evt>

--
John Mayson <john@mayson. us <mailto:john% 40mayson. us> >
Austin, Texas, USA

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31031. Re: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 23:08:17 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31028 Message: 31031

On Wednesday, November 11, 2009 17:03, "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@yahoo.com> said:

> Ok now show the wifes face :)

Yeah, that's definitely a man who isn't getting laid!

31032. Re: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 23:23:39 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 31028 Message: 31032

On Wed, Nov 11, 2009 at 5:08 PM, rob.davis@...
<rob.davis@...> wrote:
> On Wednesday, November 11, 2009 17:03, "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@...> said:
>
>> Ok now show the wifes face :)
>
> Yeah, that's definitely a man who isn't getting laid!

My thoughts exactly. :-)

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

31033. Re: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 23:45:22 UTC
From: John R. Fulton Jr.
Topic: 31028 Message: 31033

I'm just a bit stunned that there's no picture of the antenna farm
he's raising.

John Fulton
Fort Worth
W5ZZZ

On Nov 11, 2009, at 4:27 PM, John Mayson wrote:

> http://www.qrz.com/db/w9evt
>
> --
> John Mayson <john@mayson.us>
> Austin, Texas, USA
>
>

31034. Re: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-11 23:59:40 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 31028 Message: 31034

Check out his webpage. More pictures and some of his antennas.
http://www.greengate-wibb.com/?page_id=5

----- Original Message -----
From: "John R. Fulton Jr." <fultonjr@...>
To: "John Mayson" <john@...>
Cc: "CenTexComm" <centexcomm@yahoogroups.com>; "Houston Scan"
<houstonscan@yahoogroups.com>; "DFW Scan" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2009 5:45 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

> I'm just a bit stunned that there's no picture of the antenna farm
> he's raising.
>
> John Fulton
> Fort Worth
> W5ZZZ
>
>
> On Nov 11, 2009, at 4:27 PM, John Mayson wrote:
>
>> http://www.qrz.com/db/w9evt
>>
>> --
>> John Mayson <john@...>
>> Austin, Texas, USA
>>
>>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

31035. Re: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-12 00:05:46 UTC
From: Lee Shell
Topic: 31028 Message: 31035

I enjoyed seeing the vintage equipment. I found my first "shortwave" receiver, purchased in 1959.

--
Lee B Shell
Dallas

_____
From: John Mayson <john@...>
To: CenTexComm <centexcomm@yahoogroups.com>; Houston Scan <houstonscan@yahoogroups.com>; DFW Scan <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wed, November 11, 2009 4:27:40 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

http://www.qrz. com/db/w9evt

--
John Mayson <john@mayson. us>
Austin, Texas, USA

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31036. Re: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-12 00:06:40 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 31028 Message: 31036

On Wed, Nov 11, 2009 at 5:45 PM, John R. Fulton Jr. <fultonjr@...> wrote:
> I'm just a bit stunned that there's no picture of the antenna farm he's
> raising.

You don't see it? :-) http://z.mayson.us/fqmhi

More photos here: http://www.greengate-wibb.com/?page_id=5

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

31037. Re: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-12 06:02:10 UTC
From: Pryce Marshall
Topic: 31028 Message: 31037

Two questions come to mind.

How jumpy do you think those dogs of his are?

Does he make his wife use a radio to talk to him.

That's actually not a half bad of an idea...

Pryce R. Marshall
Bryan, TX
?BlackBerry?

-----Original Message-----
From: "Peter Kirk" <pckirk@...>
Date: Wed, 11 Nov 2009 17:55:56
To: 'John Mayson'<john@...>; 'CenTexComm'<centexcomm@yahoogroups.com>; 'Houston Scan'<houstonscan@yahoogroups.com>; 'DFW Scan'<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

That's what I call a Radio Shack! Nice collection.

Peter

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of John Mayson
Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2009 5:28 PM
To: CenTexComm; Houston Scan; DFW Scan
Subject: [dfwscan] Okay, I'm really jealous of this guy :-)

http://www.qrz.com/db/w9evt <http://www.qrz.com/db/w9evt>

--
John Mayson <john@... <mailto:john%40mayson.us> >
Austin, Texas, USA

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31038. Any one going to the electronics flea market in Azle this Saturday?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-12 14:10:20 UTC
From: kb5ylg
Topic: 31038 Message: 31038

Just wondering if anyone is going, it is for ham radio but will have plenty of scanner and short wave items and all that. Details are at http://www.wc5c.org. I'm taking some of my older test equipment and scanners up there and some of my ham stuff to sell and swap. I'm hoping to sell off enough to get a mobile general coverage hf outfit.

Anyway, it ought to be a great event for scanner enthusiasts as well as cb and ham types. So are you bringing stuff to sell, or will you be in buying mode?

david

31039. Re:Any one going to the electronics flea market in Azle this Saturday?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-13 16:57:59 UTC
From: Gary Persons
Topic: 31038 Message: 31039

I will be there representing the Cowtown Hamfest and I will also have
a table to sell stuff. It is the NCTECH Hamfest.

I will be wearing a pink polo Cowtown Hamfest staff shirt. There will
be several of us there. Stop by and say hello!

BTW: The Cowtown Hamfest is January 15-16, 2010 at the Lockheed
Martin Recreation Association on Bryant Irvin road in Fort Worth.
This is our third year and we are growing every year!

We will have many flea market vendors and several commercial vendors.
Coaxman and Suspender Man are just a couple. All are welcome and
encouraged to attend. Ticket price is $6.00 via the 'net and $8.00 at
the door. We will be giving away door prizes hourly and a grand
prize on Saturday at 1500. If you want a flea market table they are
$20.00 each and included in the price are 2 vendor tickets.

For more information, please go to our web site: http://www.cowtownhamfest.org.

Thanks guys, I'll see ya Tomorrow!

Gary
Cowtown Hamfest Vendor coordinator
W5MTF

31040. Well the deals already got started at NCTECH

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-14 01:54:16 UTC
From: David J
Topic: 31040 Message: 31040

I showed up to help set up, and Austin Amateur Radio supply got ready and opened for business.
I already picked up a dual band HT antenna, a 4:1 Balun, and an ARRL Repeater Guide with thousands of frequencies listed.

I still plan to buy a microphone, and if the price is right a mobile HF rig. I already saw someone else by a radio, too.

I will have a table with the cream-of-the-crop from my junk pile (a few transceivers, antenna switches, test equipment, etc), and I know of several others. It also looks like there are too many door prizes to give out at the end, so they'll probably be giving door prizes all throughout the morning.

Breakfast is 5:30am-7:30am at R&K Cafe, 316 W. Main, Azle. They are open 24 hours, by the way.
NCTECH opens for general admission at 8am.

Talkin is 147.160+ 110.9

Directions: Take Texas 199 west out of Fort Worth to Azle (actually runs northwest).
Take the Main Street exit. Go left across the bridge and onto Main street.
R&K is about 1/2 mile on right.
NCTECH is about 3/4 mile on the right, Azle Community Center.

Goes until noon, then the door prizes.

http://www.wc5c.org

73!

David KB5YLG

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31041. Good link and info

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-15 06:41:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31041 Message: 31041

Stumbled across this page while doing some google searching, etc.
Some excellent info and advice for those that are thinking of making the transition to, or thinking of, purchasing a Motorola radio for the purpose of scanning. Many things to consider.

http://www.k1pgv.com/VUS.html

Blaine

31042. Weekly FCC grants November 08-14

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-15 18:48:42 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 31042 Message: 31042

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

31043. DFW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-16 00:50:11 UTC
From: KevinC
Topic: 9 Message: 31043

Quick question, I'll be visiting the DFW area for Thanksgiving and was wondering if the DFW airport system is worth monitoring. According to RR it's all Provoice, but I figured the locals might have different info. Ok, one more question. Has any Motorola 3600 system other than Garland rebanded? More specifically, systems that would need the custom bandplan.

Kevin

31044. RE: [dfwscan] DFW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-16 01:22:03 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 9 Message: 31044

Hi Kevin,

About the only thing you can monitor on the DFW Airport system is fire/rescue dispatch. You'll only hear the dispatch side, but you get the occasionally Alert 2, fire alarms, and inbound medical calls.

Besides Garland, I think the only other rebanding completions are Richardson, DFW Airport, and Irving, which are all EDACs.

Have a safe trip, and happy scanning,

Ben

> Quick question, I'll be visiting the DFW area for Thanksgiving and was wondering if the DFW airport system is worth monitoring. According to RR it's all Provoice, but I figured the locals might have different info. Ok, one more question. Has any Motorola 3600 system other than Garland rebanded? More specifically, systems that would need the custom bandplan.

Kevin

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31045. Re: [dfwscan] DFW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-16 01:29:40 UTC
From: KevinChessher
Topic: 9 Message: 31045

I meant to "reply to all", anyway, thanks for the info!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...>
To: "KevinC" <kchessher@...>, dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 7:22:03 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] DFW Airport

Hi Kevin,

About the only thing you can monitor on the DFW Airport system is fire/rescue dispatch. You'll only hear the dispatch side, but you get the occasionally Alert 2, fire alarms, and inbound medical calls.

Besides Garland, I think the only other rebanding completions are Richardson, DFW Airport, and Irving, which are all EDACs.

Have a safe trip, and happy scanning,

Ben

> Quick question, I'll be visiting the DFW area for Thanksgiving and was wondering if the DFW airport system is worth monitoring. According to RR it's all Provoice, but I figured the locals might have different info. Ok, one more question. Has any Motorola 3600 system other than Garland rebanded? More specifically, systems that would need the custom bandplan.

Kevin

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31046. Re: [dfwscan] DFW Airport

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-16 03:37:28 UTC
From: KevinChessher
Topic: 9 Message: 31046

Actually, I should have said "I meant to reply to all first time and didn't". What I sent below made no sense to anyone but Ben.

----- Original Message -----
From: "KevinChessher" <kchessher@...>
To: "Ben Saladino(YG)" <groups@...>
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 7:29:40 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] DFW Airport

I meant to "reply to all", anyway, thanks for the info!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben Saladino(YG)" < groups@bensware.com >
To: "KevinC" < kchessher@... >, dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2009 7:22:03 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] DFW Airport

Hi Kevin,

About the only thing you can monitor on the DFW Airport system is fire/rescue dispatch. You'll only hear the dispatch side, but you get the occasionally Alert 2, fire alarms, and inbound medical calls.

Besides Garland, I think the only other rebanding completions are Richardson, DFW Airport, and Irving, which are all EDACs.

Have a safe trip, and happy scanning,

Ben

> Quick question, I'll be visiting the DFW area for Thanksgiving and was wondering if the DFW airport system is worth monitoring. According to RR it's all Provoice, but I figured the locals might have different info. Ok, one more question. Has any Motorola 3600 system other than Garland rebanded? More specifically, systems that would need the custom bandplan.

Kevin

<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31047. TCC PD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-17 02:26:34 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 31047 Message: 31047

Just noticed Tarrant County College PD is now on the Arlington TRS(59504)
too. They had recently switched from 155.085 to the Fort Worth TRS (24688).

Happy Scanning,
Ben

31048. plane down?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-17 14:13:18 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 31048 Message: 31048

I'm not sure if I heard correctly, but it sounded like Engine 34 and 41 in far north Fort Worth might have been working a dual engine plane down about 08:00. I haven't heard much traffic since. They were on FDCH3/1872.

Ben

31049. Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-17 17:37:01 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 31049 Message: 31049

Here is the poop:

http://www.star-telegram.com/local/story/1768805.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31050. Re: [dfwscan] Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-17 17:42:09 UTC
From: kd5tvf@gmail.com
Topic: 31049 Message: 31050

Sounds like carb ice.
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@...>
Date: Tue, 17 Nov 2009 09:37:01
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Here is the poop:

http://www.star-telegram.com/local/story/1768805.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31051. CAP Nets - New Freqs.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-17 22:49:39 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31051 Message: 31051

Over on the Milcom list, a guy down in LaGrange GA (Atlanta Metro) has confirmed activity on some new CAP assigned frequencies. He didn't say whether or not the comms were digital, but they are supposed to be.
The activity he noted was:

139.875 CAP Tac 1
141.575 CAP CC 1

He did have PL's on both of these, but I would "assume" that they would be different in different areas of the country. He copied the use of 173.8 & 127.3.

Looks as though the popular 148.150 repeater is still being heavily used.

So something worth checking out here in N Texas, and adding these frequencies in for possible activity.

Blaine

31052. CAP Nets - New Freqs.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-17 23:45:27 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 31051 Message: 31052

Blaine and All.

CAP has moved to Narrowband and with that move has also implemented DUAL MODE Radios/Repeaters.
ie: They can [Routine] be Analog with PL Tones or [as Determined by Mission] be P25 or P25/Enc

The PL will translate to the NAC [Scientific Calculator in Microsoft]
ie: 127.3 will use $4F9 for a NAC

The new Channels as they have been found are in a well updated Wiki
http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Civil_Air_Patrol

148.1250 and 148.1500 will remain the RPTR channels, however with New inputs.
148.1250 will become the Primary Rptr now and 148.1500 will be Secondary use

A Great MAP with the Repeater Site [No Freq, PL or NAC] is at
http://www.tx424.com/Files/7-%20%20A%20Tx%20COM%20SAREVAL%20Booklet%20-%20Freqs%20for%20FM%20and%20Call%20Signs.pdf

Any Reference to a Repeater with P-## is the Old channel Plan
Reference with R-## or R-##P are the new Channels.

http://www.txwgcap.org/content/staff_support_comms.htm

Many CAP Wings hold Nightly/Weekly/Monthly NET which is usually the best time to catch the channels [Repeaters in-use]

Bill Dunn N1KUG
Cruise Ship Frequencies
http://scanmaritime.com
Now feeding USCG Sector Boston via Team Speak
info at http://www.scannewengland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon

----- Original Message -----
From: "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 5:49 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] CAP Nets - New Freqs.

> Over on the Milcom list, a guy down in LaGrange GA (Atlanta Metro) has
> confirmed activity on some new CAP assigned frequencies. He didn't say
> whether or not the comms were digital, but they are supposed to be.
> The activity he noted was:
>
> 139.875 CAP Tac 1
> 141.575 CAP CC 1

>
> He did have PL's on both of these, but I would "assume" that they would be
> different in different areas of the country. He copied the use of 173.8 &
> 127.3.
>
> Looks as though the popular 148.150 repeater is still being heavily used.
>
> So something worth checking out here in N Texas, and adding these
> frequencies in for possible activity.
>
>
> Blaine
>

31053. RE: [dfwscan] Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-18 09:24:35 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 31049 Message: 31053

Carb Ice on takeoff?????

News report excerpt: "The pilot, who was headed to Arlington, told FAA investigators that he was applying power to his Piper PA-34 Seneca during takeoff when the aircraft failed to climb, Lunsford said. The aircraft dropped after reaching about 200 feet in the air, Lunsford said. The pilot has told investigators that the aircraft's nose trim, which controls it, malfunctioned, Lunsford said."

From the looks of the airplane on the news, it appears that whatever happened, he experienced a wild ride. He is lucky to be alive.

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kd5tvf@...
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 11:42 AM
To: Bill Boyer; dfwscan yahoo group
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Sounds like carb ice.
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@swbell. <mailto:daweasel%40swbell.net> net>
Date: Tue, 17 Nov 2009 09:37:01
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Here is the poop:

http://www.star- <http://www.star-telegram.com/local/story/1768805.html> telegram.com/local/story/1768805.html

–

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31054. Re: [dfwscan] Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-18 09:52:19 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 31049 Message: 31054

Some of that low altitude carb ice. It's the worse kind!

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "Mark Colborn" <kd5elf@worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 18 Nov 2009 03:24:35
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Carb Ice on takeoff?????

News report excerpt: "The pilot, who was headed to Arlington, told FAA investigators that he was applying power to his Piper PA-34 Seneca during takeoff when the aircraft failed to climb, Lunsford said. The aircraft dropped after reaching about 200 feet in the air, Lunsford said. The pilot has told investigators that the aircraft's nose trim, which controls it, malfunctioned, Lunsford said."

From the looks of the airplane on the news, it appears that whatever happened, he experienced a wild ride. He is lucky to be alive.

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kd5tvf@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 11:42 AM
To: Bill Boyer; dfwscan yahoo group
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Sounds like carb ice.
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Boyer <daweasel@swbell. <mailto:daweasel%40swbell.net> net>
Date: Tue, 17 Nov 2009 09:37:01
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Plane Crash -- NW Fort Worth

Here is the poop:

http://www.star- <http://www.star-telegram.com/local/story/1768805.html> telegram.com/local/story/1768805.html

-

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31055. Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 15:08:00 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 21861 Message: 31055

OK, what is the trick to hearing the new Garland system? I downloaded the new system of of RR and nothing. Just monitoring the listed control channels in conventional mode, I'm hearing nothing . No data buzz or anything.

Listed control Channels on RR.
0853.5000
0853.4500
0853.2250
0852.8750

I tried some of the other frequencies in conventional mode and heard nothing on those either.

BCD396T
Firmware: 3.01.00

I'm at Forest Lane and Plano Road. Right on the Dallas - Garland Border.

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@...
KE5FMS

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31056. Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 16:12:37 UTC
From: chancelindsey
Topic: 21861 Message: 31056

Repeat after me:

Custom Band Plan

Custom Band Plan

Custom Band Plan

You must create the Site with 800 Custum. Not Motorola Type II. And you must enter the Custom Band Plan.

Band Plan Base Freq (Lower) Base Freq (Upper) Offset Polarity Spacing

1 851.0250MHz 854.0000MHz 440 + 25 kHz
2 851.0125MHz 868.9875MHz 0 + 25 kHz

Check out:
http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate?sortcol=table;up=#Motorola_800_Custom_Band_Plan_Re

Hope that helps!

Chance (KC5EOK)

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
>
> OK, what is the trick to hearing the new Garland system? I downloaded
> the new system of of RR and nothing. Just monitoring the listed control
> channels in conventional mode, I'm hearing nothing . No data buzz or
> anything.
>
> Listed control Channels on RR.
> 0853.5000
> 0853.4500
> 0853.2250
> 0852.8750
>
> I tried some of the other frequencies in conventional mode and heard
> nothing on those either.
>
> BCD396T
> Firmware: 3.01.00
>
> I'm at Forest Lane and Plano Road. Right on the Dallas - Garland Border.
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> 972-467-0478

> jay@...
> KE5FMS
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

31057. Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 16:45:11 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 21861 Message: 31057

If you're at firmware 3.01.00, you also need to update to 3.02.02.

http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate

You won't be able to create the system via UASD, so either use direct entry or software that supports rebanding. I used FreeScan.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
>
> OK, what is the trick to hearing the new Garland system? I downloaded
> the new system of of RR and nothing. Just monitoring the listed control
> channels in conventional mode, I'm hearing nothing . No data buzz or
> anything.
>
> Listed control Channels on RR.
> 0853.5000
> 0853.4500
> 0853.2250
> 0852.8750
>
> I tried some of the other frequencies in conventional mode and heard
> nothing on those either.
>
> BCD396T
> Firmware: 3.01.00
>
> I'm at Forest Lane and Plano Road. Right on the Dallas - Garland Border.
>
> Jay Pinkerton
> 972-467-0478
> jay@...
> KE5FMS
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

31058. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 17:07:09 UTC
From: Jay Pinkerton
Topic: 21861 Message: 31058

I got it working. Thanks to all who helped.

Could it be a little more difficult and time consuming? I have nothing
better to do...

Any quick and time consuming tips to get my BC246T to scan Garland,
would be great. I'll have to do that one another day. This one took
all morning.

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@...
KE5FMS

hiegtx wrote:
>
>
>
>
> If you're at firmware 3.01.00, you also need to update to 3.02.02.
>
> http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate
> <http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate>
>
> You won't be able to create the system via UASD, so either use direct
> entry or software that supports rebanding. I used FreeScan.
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, Jay
> Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
> >
> > OK, what is the trick to hearing the new Garland system? I downloaded
> > the new system of of RR and nothing. Just monitoring the listed control
> > channels in conventional mode, I'm hearing nothing . No data buzz or
> > anything.
> >
> > Listed control Channels on RR.
> > 0853.5000
> > 0853.4500
> > 0853.2250
> > 0852.8750
> >
> > I tried some of the other frequencies in conventional mode and heard
> > nothing on those either.
> >
> > BCD396T
> > Firmware: 3.01.00

> >
> > I'm at Forest Lane and Plano Road. Right on the Dallas - Garland
> Border.
> >
> > Jay Pinkerton
> > 972-467-0478
> > jay@...
> > KE5FMS
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31059. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 17:15:31 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 21861 Message: 31059

Do you have to program a custom band plan for all rebanded MOTOROLA II systems? I have a 396XT, 996XT, and GRE-PSR600.

On Fri Nov 20 11:07 , Jay Pinkerton sent:

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>??
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I got it working. Thanks to all who helped.
>
>
>
>Could it be a little more difficult and time consuming? I have nothing
>
>better to do...
>
>
>
>Any quick and time consuming tips to get my BC246T to scan Garland,
>
>would be great. I'll have to do that one another day. This one took
>
>all morning.

>
>
>
>Jay Pinkerton
>
>972-467-0478
>
>jay@...
>
>KE5FMS
>
>
>
>hiegtx wrote:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> If you're at firmware 3.01.00, you also need to update to 3.02.02.
>
>>
>
>> http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate
>
>> <http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate>
>
>>
>
>> You won't be able to create the system via UASD, so either use direct
>
>> entry or software that supports rebanding. I used FreeScan.
>
>>
>
>> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, Jay
>
>> Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
>
>> >
>
>> > OK, what is the trick to hearing the new Garland system? I downloaded
>
>> > the new system of of RR and nothing. Just monitoring the listed control
>
>> > channels in conventional mode, I'm hearing nothing . No data buzz or

>
>> > anything.
>
>> >
>
>> > Listed control Channels on RR.
>
>> > 0853.5000
>
>> > 0853.4500
>
>> > 0853.2250
>
>> > 0852.8750
>
>> >
>
>> > I tried some of the other frequencies in conventional mode and heard
>
>> > nothing on those either.
>
>> >
>
>> > BCD396T
>
>> > Firmware: 3.01.00
>
>> >
>
>> > I'm at Forest Lane and Plano Road. Right on the Dallas - Garland
>
>> Border.
>
>> >
>
>> > Jay Pinkerton
>
>> > 972-467-0478
>
>> > jay@...
>
>> > KE5FMS
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>> >

>
>>
>
>>
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

31060. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 17:44:34 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 21861 Message: 31060

I need to update my scanners to and bam rght off the bat I am having trouble. I have a bc796d. I just opened up the uniden software that I would normally use and it keeps saying invalid frequency and I have not even done anything. But everything says 0,000. NOT 0.000. I don't remember commas being there before. Maybe this is something in my settings on my computer. Let me check that now that I think about it.

Jason

From: Michael Bennett <michaelbennett@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Fri, November 20, 2009 11:15:31 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Garland

Do you have to program a custom band plan for all rebanded MOTOROLA II systems? I have a 396XT, 996XT, and GRE-PSR600.

On Fri Nov 20 11:07 , Jay Pinkerton sent:

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I got it working. Thanks to all who helped.
>

>
>
>Could it be a little more difficult and time consuming? I have nothing
>
>better to do...
>
>
>
>Any quick and time consuming tips to get my BC246T to scan Garland,
>
>would be great. I'll have to do that one another day. This one took
>
>all morning.
>
>
>
>Jay Pinkerton
>
>972-467-0478
>
>jay@...
>
>KE5FMS
>
>
>
>hiegtx wrote:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> If you're at firmware 3.01.00, you also need to update to 3.02.02.
>
>>
>
>> http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate
>
>> <http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate>
>
>>
>
>> You won't be able to create the system via UASD, so either use direct
>
>> entry or software that supports rebanding. I used FreeScan.
>

>>
>
>> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, Jay
>
>> Pinkerton <jaypink@...> wrote:
>
>> >
>
>> > OK, what is the trick to hearing the new Garland system? I downloaded
>
>> > the new system of of RR and nothing. Just monitoring the listed control
>
>> > channels in conventional mode, I'm hearing nothing . No data buzz or
>
>> > anything.
>
>> >
>
>> > Listed control Channels on RR.
>
>> > 0853.5000
>
>> > 0853.4500
>
>> > 0853.2250
>
>> > 0852.8750
>
>> >
>
>> > I tried some of the other frequencies in conventional mode and heard
>
>> > nothing on those either.
>
>> >
>
>> > BCD396T
>
>> > Firmware: 3.01.00
>
>> >
>
>> > I'm at Forest Lane and Plano Road. Right on the Dallas - Garland
>
>> Border.
>
>> >
>
>> > Jay Pinkerton
>

>> > 972-467-0478
>
>> > jay@...
>
>> > KE5FMS
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>> >
>
>>
>
>>
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.netMyMail - http://www.cableone.net

---

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31061. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 18:18:09 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 21861 Message: 31061

Anyone want to walk me through programming my 796d for Garland. Frequencies are in but I am not sure how this all works.

Jason

From: Jay Pinkerton <jaypink@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Fri, November 20, 2009 11:07:09 AM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: Garland

I got it working. Thanks to all who helped.

Could it be a little more difficult and time consuming? I have nothing better to do...

Any quick and time consuming tips to get my BC246T to scan Garland, would be great. I'll have to do that one another day. This one took all morning.

Jay Pinkerton
972-467-0478
jay@jaypinkerton. net
KE5FMS

hiegtx wrote:
>
>
>
>
> If you're at firmware 3.01.00, you also need to update to 3.02.02.
>
> http://info. uniden.com/ twiki/bin/ view/UnidenMan4/ BCD396TFirmwareU pdate
> <http://info. uniden.com/ twiki/bin/ view/UnidenMan4/ BCD396TFirmwareU pdate>
>
> You won't be able to create the system via UASD, so either use direct
> entry or software that supports rebanding. I used FreeScan.
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com <mailto:dfwscan% 40yahoogroups. com>, Jay
> Pinkerton <jaypink@... > wrote:
> >
> > OK, what is the trick to hearing the new Garland system? I downloaded
> > the new system of of RR and nothing. Just monitoring the listed control
> > channels in conventional mode, I'm hearing nothing . No data buzz or
> > anything.
> >
> > Listed control Channels on RR.

> > 0853.5000
> > 0853.4500
> > 0853.2250
> > 0852.8750
> >
> > I tried some of the other frequencies in conventional mode and heard
> > nothing on those either.
> >
> > BCD396T
> > Firmware: 3.01.00
> >
> > I'm at Forest Lane and Plano Road. Right on the Dallas - Garland
> Border.
> >
> > Jay Pinkerton
> > 972-467-0478
> > jay@...
> > KE5FMS
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31062. Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 18:37:53 UTC
From: chancelindsey
Topic: 21861 Message: 31062

Yes on the Uniden models, if *ANY* of the frequencies are a NPSPAC channel. And by any I mean all frequencies and not just the control channel frequecies.

If no NPSPAC channels are used, then you can just use the normal Type II system. NPSPAC channels are in the frequency range of 851 - 854.

For the absolute best overview of rebanding see:

http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Rebanding

Chance (KC5EOK)

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Michael Bennett <michaelbennett@...> wrote:
> Do you have to program a custom band plan for all rebanded MOTOROLA II systems? I
> have a 396XT, 996XT, and GRE-PSR600.

31063. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 19:01:58 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 21861 Message: 31063

Perhaps you have your PC set to the European number system. They use , for the decimal point.

I need to update my scanners to and bam rght off the bat I am having trouble. I have a bc796d. I just opened up the uniden software that I would normally use and it keeps saying invalid frequency and I have not even done anything. But everything says 0,000. NOT 0.000. I don't remember commas being there before. Maybe this is something in my settings on my computer. Let me check that now that I think about it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31064. Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 19:08:19 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 21861 Message: 31064

Michael,

The way I understand it, the fourth decimal place is the key. If all of the new frequencies (the ones in the 851-854.xxxx)have a "5" in that fourth slot, then the custom band plan would not be needed. That's why even though the Dallas Local Govt. system rebanded, the custom plan does not have to be entered. See this thread on RadioReference: http://forums.radioreference.com/texas-radio-discussion-forum/157029-dallas-trs-rebanding.html?

For Plano, if/when they reband, a custom plan will be needed. For example, one frequency is 866.1500. Subtracting 15mhz leaves 851.1500. That #4 slot has a zero, so should require the custom bandplan.

Just taking a quick look, and with the disclaimer that one or more systems could use frequencies (additional or otherwise), as part of the rebanding process, that are out of the 'subtract 15mhz' pattern, it looks like Fort Worth (as noted in the link above) would not need the custom plan, nor would Arlington, Grand Prairie, and the NE Tarrant County system.

Collin County, Lewisville, and ETMC (in this area, the Elmo & Waxahachie sites) also may need custom plans.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Michael Bennett <michaelbennett@...> wrote:
>
> Do you have to program a custom band plan for all rebanded MOTOROLA II systems? I
> have a 396XT, 996XT, and GRE-PSR600.
>
> On Fri Nov 20 11:07 , Jay Pinkerton sent:
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Â
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > I got it working. Thanks to all who helped.
> >
> >
> >
> >Could it be a little more difficult and time consuming? I have nothing
> >
> >better to do...
> >
> >
> >
> >Any quick and time consuming tips to get my BC246T to scan Garland,
> >
> >would be great. I'll have to do that one another day. This one took
> >
> >all morning.
> >
> >
> >
> >Jay Pinkerton
> >
> >972-467-0478
> >
> >jay@...
> >
> >KE5FMS
> >
> >
> >
> >hiegtx wrote:
> >
> >>
> >
> >>
> >
> >>
> >
> >>
> >
> >>
> >
> >> If you're at firmware 3.01.00, you also need to update to 3.02.02.
> >
> >>
> >
> >

> >> http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate
> >
> >> <http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate>
> >
> >>
> >
> >> You won't be able to create the system via UASD, so either use direct
> >
> >> entry or software that supports rebanding. I used FreeScan.
> >
> >>
> >
> >> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, Jay
> >
> >> Pinkerton <jaypink@> wrote:
> >
> >> >
> >
> >> > OK, what is the trick to hearing the new Garland system? I downloaded
> >
> >> > the new system of of RR and nothing. Just monitoring the listed control
> >
> >> > channels in conventional mode, I'm hearing nothing . No data buzz or
> >
> >> > anything.
> >
> >> >
> >
> >> > Listed control Channels on RR.
> >
> >> > 0853.5000
> >
> >> > 0853.4500
> >
> >> > 0853.2250
> >
> >> > 0852.8750
> >
> >> >
> >
> >> > I tried some of the other frequencies in conventional mode and heard
> >
> >> > nothing on those either.
> >
> >> >
> >
> >> > BCD396T
> >
> >> > Firmware: 3.01.00
> >

> >> >
> >
> >> > I'm at Forest Lane and Plano Road. Right on the Dallas - Garland
> >
> >> Border.
> >
> >> >
> >
> >> > Jay Pinkerton
> >
> >> > 972-467-0478
> >
> >> > jay@
> >
> >> > KE5FMS
> >
> >> >
> >
> >> >
> >
> >> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >> >
> >
> >>
> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net
>

31065. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 19:19:01 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 21861 Message: 31065

That makes it even easier to figure out. Thanks.

Collin County has actually already programmed all their radios for rebanding. I think they are just waiting on Plano and some of the other agencies to catch up. Since a lot of them are implementing new systems next year it may be a while off.

On Fri Nov 20 13:08 , "hiegtx" sent:

>
>Michael,
>
>
>
>The way I understand it, the fourth decimal place is the key. If all of the new frequencies (the ones in the 851-854.xxxx)have a "5" in that fourth slot, then the custom band plan would not be needed. That's why even though the Dallas Local Govt. system rebanded, the custom plan does not have to be entered. See this thread on RadioReference:
>
>http://forums.radioreference.com/texas-radio-discussion-forum/157029-dallas-trs-rebanding.html?
>
>
>
>For Plano, if/when they reband, a custom plan will be needed. For example, one frequency is 866.1500. Subtracting 15mhz leaves 851.1500. That #4 slot has a zero, so should require the custom bandplan.
>
>
>
>Just taking a quick look, and with the disclaimer that one or more systems could use frequencies (additional or otherwise), as part of the rebanding process, that are out of the 'subtract 15mhz' pattern, it looks like Fort Worth (as noted in the link above) would not need the custom plan, nor would Arlington, Grand Prairie, and the NE Tarrant County system.
>
>
>
>Collin County, Lewisville, and ETMC (in this area, the Elmo & Waxahachie sites) also may need custom plans.
>
>
>
>--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Michael Bennett <michaelbennett@...> wrote:
>
>>

>
>> Do you have to program a custom band plan for all rebanded MOTOROLA II systems? I
>
>> have a 396XT, 996XT, and GRE-PSR600.
>
>>
>
>> On Fri Nov 20 11:07 , Jay Pinkerton sent:
>
>>
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >ÃÂ
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>

>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> > I got it working. Thanks to all who helped.
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >Could it be a little more difficult and time consuming? I have nothing
>
>> >
>
>> >better to do...
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >Any quick and time consuming tips to get my BC246T to scan Garland,
>
>> >
>
>> >would be great. I'll have to do that one another day. This one took
>
>> >
>
>> >all morning.
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >Jay Pinkerton

>
>> >
>
>> >972-467-0478
>
>> >
>
>> >jay@...
>
>> >
>
>> >KE5FMS
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >hiegtx wrote:
>
>> >
>
>> >>
>
>> >
>
>> >>
>
>> >
>
>> >>
>
>> >
>
>> >>
>
>> >
>
>> >> If you're at firmware 3.01.00, you also need to update to 3.02.02.
>
>> >
>
>> >>
>
>> >
>
>> >> http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate
>

>> >
>
>> >> <http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCD396TFirmwareUpdate>
>
>> >
>
>> >>
>
>> >
>
>> >> You won't be able to create the system via UASD, so either use direct
>
>> >
>
>> >> entry or software that supports rebanding. I used FreeScan.
>
>> >
>
>> >>
>
>> >
>
>> >> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, Jay
>
>> >
>
>> >> Pinkerton <jaypink@> wrote:
>
>> >
>
>> >> >
>
>> >
>
>> >> > OK, what is the trick to hearing the new Garland system? I downloaded
>
>> >
>
>> >> > the new system of of RR and nothing. Just monitoring the listed control
>
>> >
>
>> >> > channels in conventional mode, I'm hearing nothing . No data buzz or
>
>> >
>
>> >> > anything.
>
>> >

>
>> >> >
>
>> >
>
>> >> > Listed control Channels on RR.
>
>> >
>
>> >> > 0853.5000
>
>> >
>
>> >> > 0853.4500
>
>> >
>
>> >> > 0853.2250
>
>> >
>
>> >> > 0852.8750
>
>> >
>
>> >> >
>
>> >
>
>> >> > I tried some of the other frequencies in conventional mode and heard
>
>> >
>
>> >> > nothing on those either.
>
>> >
>
>> >> >
>
>> >
>
>> >> > BCD396T
>
>> >
>
>> >> > Firmware: 3.01.00
>
>> >
>

>> >> >
>
>> >
>
>> >> > I'm at Forest Lane and Plano Road. Right on the Dallas - Garland
>
>> >
>
>> >> Border.
>
>> >
>
>> >> >
>
>> >
>
>> >> > Jay Pinkerton
>
>> >
>
>> >> > 972-467-0478
>
>> >
>
>> >> > jay@
>
>> >
>
>> >> > KE5FMS
>
>> >
>
>> >> >
>
>> >
>
>> >> >
>
>> >
>
>> >> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>> >
>
>> >> >
>
>> >
>
>> >>

>
>> >
>
>> >>
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>

>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>> >
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> ---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net
>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

31066. Re: Garland

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-20 20:16:31 UTC
From: chancelindsey
Topic: 21861 Message: 31066

That is an excellent catch. You are absolutely correct. Please ignore my statement earlier about all frequencies between 851 and 854.

The ones that have a 5 in the fourth decmial place are the the original Motorola channels. So they will keep working even on the old BC235.

The ones with a 0 in the fourth decmial place are the "splinter channels" and require the custom band because they use to map to someplace else.

Thank you,

Chance

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:

> The way I understand it, the fourth decimal place is the key. If all of the new frequencies (the ones in the 851-854.xxxx)have a "5" in that fourth slot, then the custom band plan would not be needed.

31067. NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-22 13:46:28 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 31067 Message: 31067

Here's a link to a Fort Worth Star-Telegram article from 11/21/2009 by Yamil Berard.

http://www.star-telegram.com/local/story/1781063.html

Basically it says all of the cities on the NE Tarrant system and Arlington are going in together to try and get grants to by a new radio system.

I imagine this may be related to the 700Mhz frequencies that were recently asked for.

31068. Weekly FCC grants November 15-21

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-22 18:08:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 31068 Message: 31068

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

31069. Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-23 06:18:17 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31067 Message: 31069

You know, for a long time these cities on the 800 trunk system can talk to each other if they really wanted to, its called "patching". and what happened to Tarrant 1, or ACU? Grapevine, Southlake, Arlington, Keller, or even Fort Worth, if their comm center was running the same dispatching system, you could patch Southlake's patrol channel to Fort Worths north patrol channel during a pursuit, I believe thats how it works, or they may not have to have the same dispatch system. Heck, that one year when Dallas PD was chasing that 18 wheeler into Fort Worth, Dallas had no way to talk to Fort Worth units, so Fort Worth patched west patrol to intercity (154.950), so when you keyed up on intercity, you were also keying up on west patrol, I though that was cool. So you know, if they really wanted to, I believe the cities have the capability to talk to each other through patching.

Or is all this about money and getting NEW fancy equipment from the government?

And it said in the article having a system that could talk to Houston? One, why would you want to talk to Houston, and two, you don't need millions of dollars to do it, just have them do RoIP or something, heck amateur radio operators don't have a problem in doing it.

I don't know, after reading that article, sounds like they are trying too hard on communications, to where its actually a simple thing, from the way I see it.

I mean from an amateur radio point of view, I can talk to a county 100 miles away on an HT with RoIP, and now with the D-Star system, you can send data and do a lot more, and its all very simple.

But I know amateur radio and commercial radio think differently and see things differently, I guess.

I just cringed when I see all that money going to a communication system. I'm all for reliable communications for public safety. And if thats what its going to cost to get, so be it I guess. I just hope one day they can get it right and sit on it for more than 10 years.

And don't forget, all this is still going to be ran by computers, which run off electricity. And if you have a major disaster, knocking out power, surging power, frying these computers, where does that leave you. I'm sure they have a backup, for a backup, for a backup, I hope. But you still cant beat direct line of site communications. Yeah it has its positive and negatives, so does everything else.

RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ben Saladino\(YG\)" <groups@...> wrote:
>
> Here's a link to a Fort Worth Star-Telegram article from 11/21/2009 by Yamil
> Berard.
>
> http://www.star-telegram.com/local/story/1781063.html
>

> Basically it says all of the cities on the NE Tarrant system and Arlington
> are going in together to try and get grants to by a new radio system.
>
> I imagine this may be related to the 700Mhz frequencies that were recently
> asked for.
>

31070. Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-23 19:06:34 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31067 Message: 31070

--- On Mon, 11/23/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@...> wrote:

> Or is all this about money and getting NEW fancy equipment
> from the government?

IMO, all fallout from Dubya's terror paranoia and waste of money, all in the name of "Dept. Of Homeland Security"...Much like the rich kid who has way too many toys to play with, and doesn't have a clue how to play or use them, but is constantly whining and crying for "more, more, more"....

Sure, we beefed up security, blah, blah, blah,...but we all know damn good and well that if another Sept. 11th occurs, it will be pure chaos yet again, no matter how many millions we have "invested" in training, equipment, and what not. It's in eveyone's mindset that we are better prepared now, but are we really?. When the crap truly hits the fan, are we going to go into battle with no reservations about our abilities?

> And it said in the article having a system that could talk
> to Houston? One, why would you want to talk to
> Houston?

I found that laughable too. But I guess they were looking at a statewide scale. Otherwise, I could find no reason why Fort Worth FD would need to talk to Houston FD...

> I don't know, after reading that article, sounds like they
> are trying too hard on communications, to where its actually
> a simple thing, from the way I see it.

I see it as the old KISS method too, but no one wants that apparently. Intercity and interagency were a great concept, and still are. VHF is great for coverage. 155.370 was great for point to point. 154.950 is still great for intercity. But everyone loves 800, and wowed by the digital frontier, due in part to the radio dealers. But how difficult is it to have a 155.370 in the console, and when one community needs mutual aid from another, just jump on and say "Plano communications - this is Frisco Fire - we would like to request one of your engines for mutual aid on a brush fire we are fighting on FM 720. Can you respond to help us?".

Blaine

31071. Re: [dfwscan] Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-23 19:16:07 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 31067 Message: 31071

Funny, and here I thought we were supposed to be discussing scanning the DFW area... you know, DFWScan? Not listening to some halfwit's political rants? Maybe I missed the memo.

Perhaps you should write up a proposal and send it to the head of the P25 working group, FCC, local police and fire departments, etc. I'm sure they'll be wowed by your brilliance and myriad qualifications and finally see the light... low-band Micors for everyone!

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...>
Date: Mon, 23 Nov 2009 11:06:34
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>; kc5rhb<kc5rhb@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

--- On Mon, 11/23/09, kc5rhb <kc5rhb@...> wrote:

> Or is all this about money and getting NEW fancy equipment
> from the government?

IMO, all fallout from Dubya's terror paranoia and waste of money, all in the name of "Dept. Of Homeland Security"...Much like the rich kid who has way too many toys to play with, and doesn't have a clue how to play or use them, but is constantly whining and crying for "more, more, more"....

Sure, we beefed up security, blah, blah, blah,...but we all know damn good and well that if another Sept. 11th occurs, it will be pure chaos yet again, no matter how many millions we have "invested" in training, equipment, and what not. It's in eveyone's mindset that we are better prepared now, but are we really?. When the crap truly hits the fan, are we going to go into battle with no reservations about our abilities?

> And it said in the article having a system that could talk
> to Houston?? One, why would you want to talk to
> Houston?

I found that laughable too. But I guess they were looking at a statewide scale. Otherwise, I could find no reason why Fort Worth FD would need to talk to Houston FD...

> I don't know, after reading that article, sounds like they
> are trying too hard on communications, to where its actually
> a simple thing, from the way I see it.

I see it as the old KISS method too, but no one wants that apparently. Intercity and interagency were a great concept, and still are. VHF is great for coverage. 155.370 was great for point to point. 154.950 is still great for intercity. But everyone loves 800, and wowed by the digital frontier, due in part to the radio dealers. But how difficult is it to have a 155.370 in the console, and when one community needs mutual aid from another, just jump on and say "Plano communications - this is Frisco Fire - we would like to request one

of your engines for mutual aid on a brush fire we are fighting on FM 720. Can you respond to help us?".

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31072. Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-23 19:46:03 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31067 Message: 31072

--- On Mon, 11/23/09, tvsjr@... <tvsjr@...> wrote:

> Funny, and here I thought we were
> supposed to be discussing scanning the DFW area... you know,
> DFWScan? Not listening to some halfwit's political rants?
> Maybe I missed the memo.

Communications systems and their buyers (and users) are politically influenced. You and I both know that. We as taxpayers pay for these systems, but are we really getting our money's worth? We ARE discussing scanning and communications, mergers with cities, and what we are hearing and NOT hearing,... many times due to some stupid government higher ups that probably have never been out on a patrol beat, or on the fireground, and never once considered asking the true user of the radio system how THEY feel. Need I give an example of Johnson Co.'s past woes?

Sorry, but there is always the delete button, should this halfwit's opinions on COMMUNICATIONS TOPICS be too much.

> low-band Micors for everyone!

I don't recall anyone hearing 800 mhz from Southern CA in Texas, but many damn sure hear CHP LO BAND quite a bit. Geez, I wonder what covers better and has been rock solid reliable over the years?....hmmmmmm...We can learn a lot from dinosaurs....

31073. Re: [dfwscan] Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-23 20:00:15 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 31067 Message: 31073

And a well-reasoned debate on the necessity of such systems might be proper. Starting off with "zomg boosh is teh evil!" immediately stops any potential for well-reasoned debate.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...>
Date: Mon, 23 Nov 2009 11:46:03
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>; kc5rhb<kc5rhb@...>; <tvsjr@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

--- On Mon, 11/23/09, tvsjr@... <tvsjr@...> wrote:

> Funny, and here I thought we were
> supposed to be discussing scanning the DFW area... you know,
> DFWScan? Not listening to some halfwit's political rants?
> Maybe I missed the memo.

Communications systems and their buyers (and users) are politically influenced. You and I both know that. We as taxpayers pay for these systems, but are we really getting our money's worth? We ARE discussing scanning and communications, mergers with cities, and what we are hearing and NOT hearing,... many times due to some stupid government higher ups that probably have never been out on a patrol beat, or on the fireground, and never once considered asking the true user of the radio system how THEY feel. Need I give an example of Johnson Co.'s past woes?

Sorry, but there is always the delete button, should this halfwit's opinions on COMMUNICATIONS TOPICS be too much.

> low-band Micors for everyone!

I don't recall anyone hearing 800 mhz from Southern CA in Texas, but many damn sure hear CHP LO BAND quite a bit. Geez, I wonder what covers better and has been rock solid reliable over the years?....hmmmmmm...We can learn a lot from dinosaurs....

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31074. RE: [dfwscan] Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 00:29:33 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 31067 Message: 31074

The whole post-9/11 homeland security spending is all smoke and mirrors. It is an excuse to spend money on new toys.

Is that evil? I guess it depends on how you look at tax money being used to buy frivolous crap all over the country. Bush bought Plano millions of dollars in fancy radios. Obama bought Plano several million dollars in wheelchair ramp curb cuts. Which is worse? Personally, I'd like the tax money back in my pocket for both of these boondoggles.

There you have it from someone that voted for the guy, and went to the Republican Texas state convention in 2000.

I think a little thicker skin would help.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of tvsjr@...
Sent: Monday, November 23, 2009 2:00 PM
To: Blaine Brooks; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

And a well-reasoned debate on the necessity of such systems might be proper. Starting off with "zomg boosh is teh evil!" immediately stops any potential for well-reasoned debate.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...<mailto:blaineb2001%40yahoo.com>>
Date: Mon, 23 Nov 2009 11:46:03
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>>;
kc5rhb<kc5rhb@...<mailto:kc5rhb%40yahoo.com>>; <tvsjr@...<mailto:tvsjr%40tvsjr.com>>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

--- On Mon, 11/23/09, tvsjr@...<mailto:tvsjr%40tvsjr.com>
<tvsjr@...<mailto:tvsjr%40tvsjr.com>> wrote:

> Funny, and here I thought we were
> supposed to be discussing scanning the DFW area... you know,
> DFWScan? Not listening to some halfwit's political rants?
> Maybe I missed the memo.

Communications systems and their buyers (and users) are politically influenced. You and I both know that. We as taxpayers pay for these systems, but are we really getting our money's worth? We ARE discussing scanning and communications, mergers with cities, and what we are hearing and NOT hearing,... many times due to some stupid government higher ups that probably have never been out on a patrol beat, or on the fireground, and never once considered asking the true user of the radio system how THEY feel. Need I give an example of Johnson Co.'s past woes?

Sorry, but there is always the delete button, should this halfwit's opinions on COMMUNICATIONS TOPICS be too much.

> low-band Micors for everyone!

I don't recall anyone hearing 800 mhz from Southern CA in Texas, but many damn sure hear CHP LO BAND quite a bit. Geez, I wonder what covers better and has been rock solid reliable over the years?....hmmmmmm...We can learn a lot from dinosaurs....

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31075. Dallas Fire Rescue working a 7 alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 01:45:43 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 31075 Message: 31075

DFR currently working a seven alarm structure fire, 4855 Grammercy Oaks Dr, far North Dallas, near the Bush Turnpike & Dallas North Tollway.

This was reported to be an apartment complex under construction, according to radio traffic as I was driving home from work. While it was still at the 2nd alarm stage (maybe 3rd), 806 advised they were backing out of the building until the power could be cut off.

Just called for the third & fourth rescues in the past ten minutes. Parts of the building are collapsing.

31076. Body in Burleson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 03:37:53 UTC
From: tcabdon1963
Topic: 31076 Message: 31076

Did any one hear any info on the body found in Burleson this afternoon?
I was at work and saw a short piece on channel 5 news.

31077. Broadcast Meteorologist

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 18:24:01 UTC
From: Cami McCraw
Topic: 31077 Message: 31077

Hi everyone,
I am a Broadcast Meteorologist. My on-air radio experience is on WBAP, KSCS, and the old KXOL, plus a couple of very small Christian stations years & years ago.
(When I was on WBAP & KSCS- this was as a DJ - before I was a Broadcast Meteorologist.)
I can upload weather from here to an ftp for automated or semi-automated stations. (This is good for stations who use the National Weather Service office broadcasts for their immediate bulletins - the watches and warnings- but who still also want the local live voice to compliment it. The two work well together.)
If anyone knows of a station (community radio, low-power or otherwise) who is interested in this service, please do contact me off-list, as I need a job.
Thanks everyone!
-Cami McCraw

31078. Re: Dallas Fire Rescue working a 7 alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 19:29:47 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31075 Message: 31078

--- On Mon, 11/23/09, hiegtx <hiegtx@...> wrote:

> DFR currently working a seven alarm
> structure fire, 4855 Grammercy Oaks Dr

FINALLY, heard activity on DFR fireground channel 12 465.6375 PL 173.8.
Yep, all these years I had never heard activity on this channel, because I have always lived out in the 'burbs and never been close enough. Well, this morning I heard command releasing the units from the Gramercy scene, and confirmed the use of the 173.8 PL as well, which I was always curious about with the simplex usage. While I could hear command fine, I could not hear units talking back. So I assume perhaps command was in Air 1 or (since it was a 4 story elevated structure) on an upper level, and the signal carried well (I reside in Plano now) - Parker Rd and 75 area.

While this might be a "whoop de doo" for some, for me, it was a first, and rather exciting. I do believe I'll keep good 'ole CH 12 in the scanner after all...:)

Blaine

31079. Rebanding is a pain

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 19:33:47 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31079 Message: 31079

I can program any scanner. (That was until NOW) My 796D I can't figure out how to do this whole band plan thing with or without software. My bct15 here in the truck won't connect to my laptop for some reason and on top of that uniden wants a resgistration code or something for a major update? I am assuming this cost?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31080. Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 19:36:36 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31079 Message: 31080

--- On Tue, 11/24/09, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:

> I can program any scanner. (That was
> until NOW) My 796D I can't figure out how to do this whole
> band plan thing with or without software.

Jason and list -

Someone please correct me if I'm wrong, but I am pretty sure that the 796 is not re-banding capable or upgradeable...:(

Blaine

31081. Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 19:50:21 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31079 Message: 31081

I can't remember what I have at the house. 796D do or something like that. It is capable. I flashed it but can't figure it out from there.

Jason

From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...>
Sent: Tue, November 24, 2009 1:36:36 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

--- On Tue, 11/24/09, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:

> I can program any scanner. (That was
> until NOW) My 796D I can't figure out how to do this whole
> band plan thing with or without software.

Jason and list -

Someone please correct me if I'm wrong, but I am pretty sure that the 796 is not re-banding capable or upgradeable...:(

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31082. Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 20:15:58 UTC
From: KevinChessher
Topic: 31079 Message: 31082

Meant to send this to the group...

----- Original Message -----
From: "KevinChessher" <kchessher@...>
To: "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2009 2:12:11 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

Try this for the 796D...

http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BC796DFirmwareUpdate

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2009 1:50:21 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

I can't remember what I have at the house. 796D do or something like that. It is capable. I flashed it but can't figure it out from there.

Jason

_____
From: Blaine Brooks < blaineb2001@... >
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com ; Jason Simpkins < outrun2005@... >
Sent: Tue, November 24, 2009 1:36:36 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

--- On Tue, 11/24/09, Jason Simpkins < outrun2005@... > wrote:

> I can program any scanner. (That was
> until NOW) My 796D I can't figure out how to do this whole
> band plan thing with or without software.

Jason and list -

Someone please correct me if I'm wrong, but I am pretty sure that the 796 is not re-banding capable or upgradeable...:(

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31083. Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 20:40:37 UTC
From: KevinChessher
Topic: 31079 Message: 31083

This shouldn't be a major update for the 15, so don't check that box. Here is the info...

http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BCT15FirmwareUpdate

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2009 1:33:47 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

I can program any scanner. (That was until NOW) My 796D I can't figure out how to do this whole band plan thing with or without software. My bct15 here in the truck won't connect to my laptop for some reason and on top of that uniden wants a resgistration code or something for a major update? I am assuming this cost?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31084. RE: [dfwscan] Re: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-24 23:08:20 UTC
From: Russ Stringfield
Topic: 31067 Message: 31084

<deleted political statement>

> And it said in the article having a system that could talk
> to Houston? One, why would you want to talk to
> Houston?

I found that laughable too. But I guess they were looking at a statewide scale. Otherwise, I could find no reason why Fort Worth FD would need to talk to Houston FD...

> I don't know, after reading that article, sounds like they
> are trying too hard on communications, t o where its actually
> a simple thing, from the way I see it.

I see it as the old KISS method too, but no one wants that apparently. Intercity and interagency were a great concept, and still are. VHF is great for coverage. 155.370 was great for point to point. 154.950 is still great for intercity. But everyone loves 800, and wowed by the digital frontier, due in part to the radio dealers. But how difficult is it to have a 155.370 in the console, and when one community needs mutual aid from another, just jump on and say "Plano communications - this is Frisco Fire - we would like to request one of your engines for mutual aid on a brush fire we are fighting on FM 720. Can you respond to help us?".

Blaine

<soapbox>

Ok, I'll agree that it does little good for Parker County to talk to Harris County in the norm; however, as the system expands where do you think that the State of Texas be in the expansion? That's right, right in the middle of it, with the State of Texas, along with the US Government, and the larger metro areas paying the larger percentages which will allow the smaller counties to join the system as well. This will give the State potential statewide trunking coverage for all their units: DPS, TP&W, TXDOT, TABC, etc.

Right now the current system of simplex/duplex/repeater system has a coverage of 96% of the state but does not allow me to talk to my troopers that are deployed to other areas of the state. This means that if I have a trooper in El Paso he can't talk back to Mineral Wells (his home station) in the case that El Paso is "too" busy to talk to him at that time. and that happens quite regularly now. State wide trunking will be great and there is not a system that will allow it except for P25, with its ability to go from one band and back. With statewide trunking we'll be able to talk from Orange to El Paso, from Dalhart to Brownsville, from Weatherford to Houston (in theory); intercity does not allow to have much more than Dallas to Ft

Worth, Ft Worth to Weatherford, Weatherford to Palo Pinto, type of communication with each station acting like a "relay" to the next until the final station to whom the message was intended is reached with a return reply taking the opposite routing. That's why it isn't used any more than it is.

It could be possible for a statewide communication system to be on line today, however only one user at any one time would be possible, just like the ham's UHF link from Houston to El Paso and on into California-I can't remember the name of it sorry (jog my memory Melissa), but that's NOT what we need. (and NO I'm not talking about TCPIP based Echolink.) We need to have the ability to have the ability to have a statewide system that will allow for dozen's to hundred's (or more) simultaneous conversations not just one.

And quite simply (for my money) it can't get here fast enough! And with our (DPS') new communications director it will happen! I don't know when, but it will happen

</soapbox>

Russ

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31085. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Fire Rescue working a 7 alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 00:48:53 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 31075 Message: 31085

Blaine,

You really should cut back on that medical marijuana stuff! Referring to the following statement: "So I assume perhaps command was in Air 1 or (since it was a 4 story elevated structure) on an upper level, and the signal carried well (I reside in Plano now) - Parker Rd and 75 area." Fireground is fireground, no repeaters and mostly 5-watt or less handhelds being used. Range is not too good. Unless you are close to the scene, you won't hear them. Air-One is a Dallas Police Department asset and lends assistance to DFR on major fires and lake rescues. In last night's case Gramercy Command called Air-One twice to check for embers downwind of the fire and any spot fires that they may create. Air-One only communicates with Command on DFR Channel 1, they never go to the fire ground channels as that would add confusion at the scene. At one point Command asked Air-One to take up a Chief to assess the scene from the air but Air-One had to turn them down. Because of weight restrictions in the Bell 206B3, dark night conditions, lack of suitable landing areas and numerous obstructions in the area it just wasn't safe to do so. Remember, DPD provides this service at no cost to DFR and receives no re-imbursement of any department funds. They don't mind at all lending a hand, but would like those really nice, friendly and extremely helpful budget folks (wink, wink!) at City Hall to remember this at budget time!

While this might be a "whoop de doo" for some, for me, it was a first, and rather exciting. I do believe I'll keep good 'ole CH 12 in the scanner after all...:)

Fireground is simplex, and therefore not recorded. When Vincent Davis was killed in a wall collapse during a big six alarm apartment fire at 8th and Plymouth on Feburary 11, 2002, then Chief Abrarra decided fireground comms needed to be recorded. The Radio Shop allocated four talkgroups on the City 800 system and installed 800 Spectras linked to UHF Spectras in all the Battalion Chief Suburbans so the fireground communications could be re-broadcasted and recorded by yet more 800 Spectras installed in dispatch. Well, that worked for about two or three fires then the UHF Spectras (most often tuned to Channel 1) and linked to the 800 Spectras started re-transmitting everything being said on Channel 1 and not the fireground channel in use. Eventually, the Channel 1 comms stopped and now nothing is being re-transmitted on the 800 talkgroups. Apparently, having those fireground comms re-broadcasted and actually being recorded was not that important afterall. Or perhaps it had something to do with vicarious liability, but that is just a guess!!!

Mark

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31086. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Fire Rescue working a 7 alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 03:45:46 UTC
From: Rick White
Topic: 31075 Message: 31086

The reason you could hear command and not the units in the field is
command was set up in the command van. In the command van they use
UHF Spectra A4 35wt at 45wt. On the rest of the scene everybody is
using a hand held at 4wt. It was a power issue and not a height issue.

Rick

At 06:48 PM 11/24/2009, Mark Colborn wrote:
>Blaine,
>
>
>
>You really should cut back on that medical marijuana stuff! Referring to
>the following statement: "So I assume perhaps command was in Air 1 or
>(since it was a 4 story elevated structure) on an upper level, and the
>signal carried well (I reside in Plano now) - Parker Rd and 75 area."
>Fireground is fireground, no repeaters and mostly 5-watt or less handhelds
>being used. Range is not too good. Unless you are close to the scene, you
>won't hear them. Air-One is a Dallas Police Department asset and lends
>assistance to DFR on major fires and lake rescues. In last night's case
>Gramercy Command called Air-One twice to check for embers downwind of the
>fire and any spot fires that they may create. Air-One only communicates with
>Command on DFR Channel 1, they never go to the fire ground channels as that
>would add confusion at the scene. At one point Command asked Air-One to
>take up a Chief to assess the scene from the air but Air-One had to turn
>them down. Because of weight restrictions in the Bell 206B3, dark night
>conditions, lack of suitable landing areas and numerous obstructions in the
>area it just wasn't safe to do so. Remember, DPD provides this service at
>no cost to DFR and receives no re-imbursement of any department funds. They
>don't mind at all lending a hand, but would like those really nice, friendly
>and extremely helpful budget folks (wink, wink!) at City Hall to remember
>this at budget time!
>
>While this might be a "whoop de doo" for some, for me, it was a first, and
>rather exciting. I do believe I'll keep good 'ole CH 12 in the scanner after
>all...:)
>
>
>
>Fireground is simplex, and therefore not recorded. When Vincent Davis was
>killed in a wall collapse during a big six alarm apartment fire at 8th and
>Plymouth on Feburary 11, 2002, then Chief Abrarra decided fireground comms
>needed to be recorded. The Radio Shop allocated four talkgroups on the City
>800 system and installed 800 Spectras linked to UHF Spectras in all the
>Battalion Chief Suburbans so the fireground communications could be

>re-broadcasted and recorded by yet more 800 Spectras installed in dispatch.
>Well, that worked for about two or three fires then the UHF Spectras (most
>often tuned to Channel 1) and linked to the 800 Spectras started
>re-transmitting everything being said on Channel 1 and not the fireground
>channel in use. Eventually, the Channel 1 comms stopped and now nothing is
>being re-transmitted on the 800 talkgroups. Apparently, having those
>fireground comms re-broadcasted and actually being recorded was not that
>important afterall. Or perhaps it had something to do with vicarious
>liability, but that is just a guess!!!
>
>
>
>Mark
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

31087. Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:11:17 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31087 Message: 31087

PD element C210 was just on FD Ch 1 yelling for an ambulance, advised they have an officer down & badly injured. DPD Cad does not show C-210 on any calls at the moment. Anyone have any idea whats going on?

31088. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:12:40 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 31087 Message: 31088

Andrew Tucker wrote:
> PD element C210 was just on FD Ch 1 yelling for an ambulance, advised
> they have an officer down & badly injured. DPD Cad does not show C-210
> on any calls at the moment. Anyone have any idea whats going on?

/me is tuned in..

31089. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:17:08 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31087 Message: 31089

Page just came over IPN, it's at 5909 Birchbrook and is related to an aggravated assault. Rescue 37 is on it.

On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:12 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

>
>
> Andrew Tucker wrote:
> > PD element C210 was just on FD Ch 1 yelling for an ambulance, advised
> > they have an officer down & badly injured. DPD Cad does not show C-210
> > on any calls at the moment. Anyone have any idea whats going on?
>
> /me is tuned in..
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31090. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:19:36 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 31087 Message: 31090

Andrew Tucker wrote:
> Page just came over IPN, it's at 5909 Birchbrook and is related to an
> aggravated assault. Rescue 37 is on it.
>
> On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:12 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...
> <mailto:jay@...>> wrote:
>
>
>

That addy comes up over by lovers and 75. Dispatch is saying over by the galleria? Are there 2 different things working?

I wonder if its a fake officer down call.

31091. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:41:19 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31087 Message: 31091

Have 1 suspect @ 5716 Winton, PD rpts he's been shot.

On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:19 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:
> Andrew Tucker wrote:
>> Page just came over IPN, it's at 5909 Birchbrook and is related to an
>> aggravated assault. Rescue 37 is on it.
>>
>> On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:12 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...
>> <mailto:jay@...>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>
> That addy comes up over by lovers and 75. Dispatch is saying over by the
> galleria? Are there 2 different things working?
>
>
> I wonder if its a fake officer down call.
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

31092. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:43:55 UTC
From: Todd P. Stone
Topic: 31087 Message: 31092

210 and 737 match up to Lover's and 75.... The Galleria would be a CH6 element and 720 or 707 I guess would be first due there.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Jay Urish
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2009 10:20 PM
Cc: dfws >> "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com"
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Andrew Tucker wrote:
> Page just came over IPN, it's at 5909 Birchbrook and is related to an
> aggravated assault. Rescue 37 is on it.
>
> On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:12 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...
> <mailto:jay@...>> wrote:
>
>
>

That addy comes up over by lovers and 75. Dispatch is saying over by the galleria? Are there 2 different things working?

I wonder if its a fake officer down call.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31093. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:45:36 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 31087 Message: 31093

Todd P. Stone wrote:
> 210 and 737 match up to Lover's and 75.... The Galleria would be a CH6
> element and 720 or 707 I guess would be first due there.
>
>

Ok, I am now parked on dpd ch2 NE.

31094. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:49:25 UTC
From: David W. Carroll
Topic: 31087 Message: 31094

So, who is shot? Suspect or officer ?

Sent from my totally cool iPhone!

On Nov 24, 2009, at 10:41 PM, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:

> Have 1 suspect @ 5716 Winton, PD rpts he's been shot.
>
> On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:19 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:
> > Andrew Tucker wrote:
> >> Page just came over IPN, it's at 5909 Birchbrook and is related
> to an
> >> aggravated assault. Rescue 37 is on it.
> >>
> >> On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:12 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...
> >> <mailto:jay@...t>> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > That addy comes up over by lovers and 75. Dispatch is saying over
> by the
> > galleria? Are there 2 different things working?
> >
> >
> > I wonder if its a fake officer down call.
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31095. Fort Worth Incident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:49:43 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 31095 Message: 31095

Anyone got any idea whats going on in South division? I got in on the tail end of the activity -- requesting crime scene and transporting someone to the hospital.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31096. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 04:50:16 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31087 Message: 31096

Suspect is shot for sure, Im not sure what happened to the officer.

On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:49 PM, David W. Carroll <dave@...>wrote:

>
>
> So, who is shot? Suspect or officer ?
>
> Sent from my totally cool iPhone!
>
> On Nov 24, 2009, at 10:41 PM, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...<dfw333%40gmail.com>>
> wrote:
>
> > Have 1 suspect @ 5716 Winton, PD rpts he's been shot.
> >
> > On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:19 PM, Jay Urish <jay@unixwolf.net<jay%40unixwolf.net>>
> wrote:
> > > Andrew Tucker wrote:
> > >> Page just came over IPN, it's at 5909 Birchbrook and is related
> > to an
> > >> aggravated assault. Rescue 37 is on it.
> > >>
> > >> On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:12 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...<jay%40unixwolf.net>
> > >> <mailto:jay@... <jay%40unixwolf.net>>> wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > > That addy comes up over by lovers and 75. Dispatch is saying over
> > by the
> > > galleria? Are there 2 different things working?
> > >
> > >
> > > I wonder if its a fake officer down call.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------------------
> > >
> > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31097. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 08:03:51 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 31087 Message: 31097

Here's the short story:

3 young B/M's rob person at ATM on Greenville. Cops quickly locate them and foot chase ensued. One perp quickly arrested. Cop injured jumping wrough iron fence. His arm was seriously cut.

Soon after that suspect 2 is spotted and foot chse again. Officer fired on suspect (started like a gunbattle but now it's unclear if bad guy was armed). Bad guy hides in backyard but is located and arrested. He has a GSW to the leg.

Suspect 3 is at large but cops know who he is.

Great listening!

Terry

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Andrew Tucker <dfw333@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 24 Nov 2009 22:50:16
To: David W. Carroll<dave@carrollhome.com>
Cc: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com<dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Dallas Officer Down

Suspect is shot for sure, Im not sure what happened to the officer.

On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:49 PM, David W. Carroll <dave@carrollhome.com>wrote:

>
>
> So, who is shot? Suspect or officer ?
>
> Sent from my totally cool iPhone!
>
> On Nov 24, 2009, at 10:41 PM, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@gmail.com<dfw333%40gmail.com>>
> wrote:
>
> > Have 1 suspect @ 5716 Winton, PD rpts he's been shot.
> >
> > On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:19 PM, Jay Urish <jay@unixwolf.net<jay%40unixwolf.net>>
> wrote:
> > > Andrew Tucker wrote:
> > >> Page just came over IPN, it's at 5909 Birchbrook and is related
> > to an
> > >> aggravated assault. Rescue 37 is on it.
> > >>

> > >> On Tue, Nov 24, 2009 at 10:12 PM, Jay Urish <jay@unixwolf.net<jay%40unixwolf.net>
> > >> <mailto:jay@unixwolf.net <jay%40unixwolf.net>>> wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > > That addy comes up over by lovers and 75. Dispatch is saying over
> > by the
> > > galleria? Are there 2 different things working?
> > >
> > >
> > > I wonder if its a fake officer down call.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------------------
> > >
> > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31098. RE: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 08:58:12 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31067 Message: 31098

--- On Tue, 11/24/09, Russ Stringfield <russ.stringfield@...> wrote:

> This means that if I have a trooper in El Paso he can't talk back to
> Mineral Wells (his home station).

I guess my next question would be "Why the heck would you have a DPS Mineral Wells trooper in El Paso?"

31099. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Dallas Fire Rescue working a 7 alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 09:21:28 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31075 Message: 31099

--- On Tue, 11/24/09, Mark Colborn <kd5elf@...> wrote:

> You really should cut back on that medical marijuana
> stuff!

But you're the one who gave it to me...

> Fireground is fireground, no repeaters and mostly 5-watt or
> less handhelds being used. Range is not too good.

Yeah, but if you have an elevated structure (as I stated), the signal WILL carry further if you are monitoring the frequency. The difference between firefighters being on the ground floor, or the 40th floor roof of a high rise.

As Rick stated, power has a lot to do with it too, but even at 4-5 watts, depending on your elevation, the signal will carry. I hear some guy on 146.52 simplex occasionally from the Anna area, here in east Plano, and that's like 25 miles to my north.

Blaine

31100. RE: [dfwscan] RE: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 11:03:05 UTC
From: Russ Stringfield
Topic: 31067 Message: 31100

We have special border operations all the time from Brownsville to El Paso. Troopers go down there for drug/alien smuggling and spend from two to four weeks at a time on patrol looking for drugs (and yes they find them! Some 95% of drug/drug money seizures are never made public.) Other events such as hurricanes pulled almost ½ of the troopers for a couple of weeks to over a month, then there are other ☐events☐ like the bigamy thing a year or so back that ☐pulled☐ troops and therefore taxed communications. You might have heard a few weeks back that Gov. Perry was going to send in the Texas Rangers to help in the border drug war; well the Rangers are a division of DPS and require our radio support as well. (One can☐t become a Ranger unless they☐ve been a regular Trooper first.)

Remember the DPS is a statewide department, not just in a city or a particular county. If it wasn☐t for cell phones we wouldn☐t have contact with our units that become ☐dispersed.☐ SWAT teams, Dive teams can and do transverse the state and need the ability to communicate with locals. This system could/would allow such interoperability.

Russ

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 2:58 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; 'kc5rhb'; Russ Stringfield
Subject: [dfwscan] RE: NE Tarrant and Arlington joining forces?

--- On Tue, 11/24/09, Russ Stringfield <russ.stringfield@charter.net <mailto:russ.stringfield%40charter.net> > wrote:

> This means that if I have a trooper in El Paso he can't talk back to
> Mineral Wells (his home station).

I guess my next question would be "Why the heck would you have a DPS Mineral Wells trooper in El Paso?"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31101. Programing my 796D Trouble

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 11:44:53 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31101 Message: 31101

Ok. Here are the instructions.

I have now flashed it which I had done before. Did it again to make sure. Now I would normally use the software by uniden to program it but I don't see any band plans in there. It says plan 1-whatever on the software but nothing happens. Not sure if I need to update the software. (I might need to) So, I am doing all this using the scanner and not the software now.

1. Select the Trunking Programming mode and the bank you want to change. DID THIS

2. Choose Motorola Type 2 Custom (TYPE2 CUSTOM) or Type 1 Custom (TYPE1 CUSTOM) and press [E]. DID THIS

* For a Type 2 system, choose "SELECT BASE CONF" then press [E]. AFTER DOING THE ABOVE I DO NOT GET THESE OPTIONS AND I AM STUCK ????

* For a Type 1 system, choose "EDIT BASE CONF" then press [E].
3. The scanner displays "SELECT BASE CONF". Choose the Configuration Block Number (1 to 6), and press [E].
4. Use [0]- [9] to enter a new Base (Lower) Frequency, then press [E]. The Upper Frequency is displayed.
5. Use [0]- [9] to enter a new Upper Frequency, then press [E]. The Spacing Frequency is displayed.
6. Use [0]- [9] to enter a new Spacing Frequency, then press [E].
Note: You can only enter within a range of 5 - 100 kHz, and 5 or 12.5kHz multiples.
7. Use [0]- [9] to enter a new Offset Channel, then press [E]. The display changes for selecting the Polarity (PLUS).
Note: If a Base Frequency hasn't been set yet, the setting of Offset will not be accepted.
8. Choose the Polarity (PLUS or MINUS).
9. Press [E] to store the Polarity. The next configuration number is shown.
10. Select the next Configuration Block Number (1 to 6), and press [E]. Then, repeat from step 4.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31102. What 796d software?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 12:10:00 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31102 Message: 31102

I am using version 1.1 of unidens programming software. I am guessing it does not have support for rebanding. What does everyone use for programming the 796d if you have one?

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31103. Re: [dfwscan] Programing my 796D Trouble

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 18:29:04 UTC
From: KevinChessher
Topic: 31101 Message: 31103

Check your firmware version to be sure it's 3.60.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 5:44:53 AM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: [dfwscan] Programing my 796D Trouble

Ok. Here are the instructions.

I have now flashed it which I had done before. Did it again to make sure. Now I would normally use the software by uniden to program it but I don't see any band plans in there. It says plan 1-whatever on the software but nothing happens. Not sure if I need to update the software. (I might need to) So, I am doing all this using the scanner and not the software now.

1. Select the Trunking Programming mode and the bank you want to change. DID THIS

2. Choose Motorola Type 2 Custom (TYPE2 CUSTOM) or Type 1 Custom (TYPE1 CUSTOM) and press [E]. DID THIS

* For a Type 2 system, choose "SELECT BASE CONF" then press [E]. AFTER DOING THE ABOVE I DO NOT GET THESE OPTIONS AND I AM STUCK ????

* For a Type 1 system, choose "EDIT BASE CONF" then press [E].
3. The scanner displays "SELECT BASE CONF". Choose the Configuration Block Number (1 to 6), and press [E].
4. Use [0]- [9] to enter a new Base (Lower) Frequency, then press [E]. The Upper Frequency is displayed.
5. Use [0]- [9] to enter a new Upper Frequency, then press [E]. The Spacing Frequency is displayed.
6. Use [0]- [9] to enter a new Spacing Frequency, then press [E].
Note: You can only enter within a range of 5 - 100 kHz, and 5 or 12.5kHz multiples.
7. Use [0]- [9] to enter a new Offset Channel, then press [E]. The display changes for selecting the Polarity (PLUS).
Note: If a Base Frequency hasn't been set yet, the setting of Offset will not be accepted.
8. Choose the Polarity (PLUS or MINUS).
9. Press [E] to store the Polarity. The next configuration number is shown.
10. Select the next Configuration Block Number (1 to 6), and press [E]. Then, repeat from step 4.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31104. New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 22:51:40 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 31104 Message: 31104

System id 0371 WACN BEE00

Has some interesting stuff in the control channel data - phase II sync...
Only listing one other channel in the short time I have been running Pro96COM.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31105. Re: [dfwscan] New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 23:43:22 UTC
From: KevinChessher
Topic: 31104 Message: 31105

"phase II sync" I believe this tells us that the sites are at version 7.7. Phase II standards aren't set yet, BUT Motorola's interim solution (2-slot TDMA) is supported in 7.7 and is available in the APX series radios. Hopefully no one will implement it ;-(

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 4:51:40 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: [dfwscan] New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

System id 0371 WACN BEE00

Has some interesting stuff in the control channel data - phase II sync...
Only listing one other channel in the short time I have been running Pro96COM.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31106. Re: [dfwscan] New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-25 23:47:05 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 31104 Message: 31106

The APX radios will support Phase 2 at some point, but at this point support for Phase 2 isn't included in the firmware as its still under development. Once it's completed, phase 2 will be a flashport option.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: KevinChessher <kchessher@...>
Date: Wed, 25 Nov 2009 23:43:22
To: <wallcloudt1@...>
Cc: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

"phase II sync" I believe this tells us that the sites are at version 7.7. Phase II standards aren't set yet, BUT Motorola's interim solution (2-slot TDMA) is supported in 7.7 and is available in the APX series radios. Hopefully no one will implement it ;-(

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ed C." <wallcloudt1@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 4:51:40 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: [dfwscan] New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

System id 0371 WACN BEE00

Has some interesting stuff in the control channel data - phase II sync...
Only listing one other channel in the short time I have been running Pro96COM.

Please ignore the attached SPAM - I have no control over it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31107. Re: [dfwscan] Rebanding is a pain

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-27 15:35:42 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 31079 Message: 31107

I have a question about rebanding and these scanner updates. I updated my Uniden BC296D but don't understand what it did. Was it supposed to open up some of the 700 MHz frequencies?

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

31108. Re: Rebanding is a pain

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-27 16:16:27 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 31079 Message: 31108

John,

For the BC296D, and it's stable mate, the BC796D base mobile, the only thing changed by the rebanding update was updating the firmware to allow the entry of the custom bandplan for those 800mhz systems that require it. As has been pointed out previously, not all of them will need that. An example here in the DFW area is the Dallas (city) Local Government system. The new frequencies are such that even a scanner that is not "rebanding friendly" should still track it successfully.

When the 296 & 796 were introduced, I'm nut sure that 700mhz trunking was much more than a distant speck on the horizon, if even that, so Uniden did not include that band. Their firmware may not allow a new frequency range to be unlocked (like the 'extended frequency coverage' in the Pro-96/2096). Even if it did, there's the question of if the receivers were FCC type-accepted for the 700mhz frequencies. I believe that, in order to add them now, new approval would need to be obtained, if the receivers are even capable of that band via a firmware "unlock". And we've seen on Pro-96/-2096, that even though that 700mhz band can be "unlocked", since the scanner was not designed for it, it still will not trunk track it.

Steve

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, John Mayson <john@...> wrote:
>
> I have a question about rebanding and these scanner updates. I
> updated my Uniden BC296D but don't understand what it did. Was it
> supposed to open up some of the 700 MHz frequencies?
>
> --
> John Mayson <john@...>
> Austin, Texas, USA
>

31109. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Rebanding is a pain

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-27 18:34:58 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 31079 Message: 31109

Thanks for the update. Right off the bat I couldn't figure out what the firmware update even did. Now I know. I'm way behind the curve on this rebanding thing. I've gotten back interested in shortwave and don't turn on the scanner much any more.

According to Radio Reference some 700 MHz systems are coming online in Austin. Right now I don't think I'm missing much.

I'm going wait to see how all of this plays out before considering a new scanner.

John

--
John Mayson <john@...>
Austin, Texas, USA

31110. [dfwscan] Mckiney CareFlite

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-27 23:03:54 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo mail
Topic: 31110 Message: 31110

Got an email from a friend about Care Flite in a field near his house, couple of police cars and fire dept.

Anybody got the scoop?

Sprint Treo Pro
Blackberry 8900 PIN 24A9DE71
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Radio Service and Technical Consulting
http://www.twowayshopper.com
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/GMRSDallas
http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/TreoPro

31111. Grand Prairie possibe subjects in house.

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 00:48:27 UTC
From: Mike
Topic: 31111 Message: 31111

B&E with 2 suspects possible still in the house. Waiting on K9.

PD2 South Channel

Haven't got the address yet.

31112. Re: Mckiney CareFlite

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 03:10:48 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31110 Message: 31112

--- On Fri, 11/27/09, Patrick - Yahoo mail <pwrigg@...> wrote:

> Got an email from a friend about Care
> Flite in a field near his house, couple of police cars and
> fire dept.
>
> Anybody got the scoop?

Patrick, I "believe" it was an MVA, but in a residential area, so I didn't pay much attention. Heard location of Deer Run or something like that. I've grown to get fairly good with streets now in McKinney since I live up this way. But since it didn't sound like a major road, I ignored it.

Blaine

31113. Signal fading on radio traffic reports

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 03:50:14 UTC
From: Nichols, Gary
Topic: 31113 Message: 31113

http://www.star-telegram.com/462/story/1793847.html

31114. RE: [dfwscan] Signal fading on radio traffic reports

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 09:07:17 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 31113 Message: 31114

Not surprising at all Gary, and its indicative of the decline in credibility of all facets of the news business. It's easier to spend an entire news broadcast up to the weather covering nothing but Black Friday sales instead of important things going on in the community like say for instance the police shooting that happened last night in Oak Cliff. Then again, criminals are not buying advertising time, stores having Black Friday sales are!

Chip Wagonner did some of his best traffic reporting when he was airborne. Now his morning traffic reports are a joke; reporting from the studio using fuzzy TXDOT cameras where most of the time the accident is well beyond the view of the camera. Most of the time these so-called traffic monitoring experts sitting at a desk in a windowless studio remain completely unaware of a major pile-up until police and fire are in the clearing stages, leaving drivers trapped in traffic snarls. You are better off leaving early, cranking up your Sirius/XM radio and taking your chances! If you are able to catch a report, it's the same ole' freeways that really don't need to be reported on anyway because they are always tied up during rush-hour(s): 635 between Stemmons and Town East; I-35 between downtown Dallas and Denton; I-30 between 635 and downtown; and Highway 75 (all of it)! Tell commuters something they don't already know!

I used to listen to the morning traffic reporters on the scanner all the time. They were witty, funny and informative. I stopped monitoring a number of years ago so I have no idea if there is any traffic even left to listen to.

And speaking of credibility; if your station has a reporter giving traffic reports from the studio, don't try to fool the public into thinking the reporter is in a helicopter by adding a helicopter audio track!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Nichols, Gary
Sent: Friday, November 27, 2009 9:50 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Signal fading on radio traffic reports

http://www.star- <http://www.star-telegram.com/462/story/1793847.html> telegram.com/462/story/1793847.html

'---

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31115. Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 16:23:45 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 31104 Message: 31115

Not sure but I've been hearing a P25 CC out here in East Plano on 854.1875. I am not sure if it's related to the one you are hearing Ed. I can't get a good decode on it as it's just too weak out this way so I have no idea what the System ID/WACN is.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

31116. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 16:49:28 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31104 Message: 31116

Im in Carrollton, I am not receiving anything on that freq. Perhaps it is something further east rather than something out west.

On Sat, Nov 28, 2009 at 10:23 AM, Matthew <mbstone99@...> wrote:

>
>
> Not sure but I've been hearing a P25 CC out here in East Plano on 854.1875.
> I am not sure if it's related to the one you are hearing Ed. I can't get a
> good decode on it as it's just too weak out this way so I have no idea what
> the System ID/WACN is.
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31117. Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 17:22:14 UTC
From: js_scan888
Topic: 31104 Message: 31117

I'm pretty sure it's the town of Prosper. They modified their license from GP (conventional) to YP (trunked) public safety, and added new emission codes 8K10F1D, 8K10F1E (P25 transmissions). This was done in late Sept and other things were granted in October. Callsign WQKC298

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3089365

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Andrew Tucker <dfw333@...> wrote:
>
> Im in Carrollton, I am not receiving anything on that freq. Perhaps it is
> something further east rather than something out west.
>
> On Sat, Nov 28, 2009 at 10:23 AM, Matthew <mbstone99@...> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Not sure but I've been hearing a P25 CC out here in East Plano on 854.1875.
> > > I am not sure if it's related to the one you are hearing Ed. I can't get a
> > > good decode on it as it's just too weak out this way so I have no idea what
> > > the System ID/WACN is.
> > >
> > > Matt
> > > Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> > > http://www.dfwscanning.net/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

31118. Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 17:22:55 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 31104 Message: 31118

Matt,

854.1875 shows as licensed to both:
Prosper
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQKC298
and
Waxahachie
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQJY866

Steve

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@...> wrote:
>
> Not sure but I've been hearing a P25 CC out here in East Plano on 854.1875. I am not sure if it's related to the one you are hearing Ed. I can't get a good decode on it as it's just too weak out this way so I have no idea what the System ID/WACN is.
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>

31119. Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 17:46:09 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 31104 Message: 31119

I am guessing it would be Prosper as I think Waxahachie would be just way too far. I have been hearing this P25 CC off and on for the past couple days. Any idea what they are going to use this trunk for if/when it gets up and running to their liking?

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Matt,
>
> 854.1875 shows as licensed to both:
> Prosper
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQKC298
> and
> Waxahachie
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQJY866
>
>
>
> Steve
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@> wrote:
> >
> > Not sure but I've been hearing a P25 CC out here in East Plano on 854.1875. I am not sure if it's related to the one you are hearing Ed. I can't get a good decode on it as it's just too weak out this way so I have no idea what the System ID/WACN is.
> >
> > Matt
> > Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> > http://www.dfwscanning.net/
> >
>

31120. Re: [dfwscan] Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-28 20:45:29 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 31104 Message: 31120

I've been monitoring those new Prosper channels since they first showed up earlier in the year from work in McKinney and I've never heard anything. The last time I was at work (Wednesday) there was still no activity.

Mike

----- Original Message -----
From: Matthew
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, November 28, 2009 11:46 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

I am guessing it would be Prosper as I think Waxahachie would be just way too far. I have been hearing this P25 CC off and on for the past couple days. Any idea what they are going to use this trunk for if/when it gets up and running to their liking?

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> Matt,
>
> 854.1875 shows as licensed to both:
> Prosper
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQKC298
> and
> Waxahachie
> http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQJY866
>
>
>
> Steve
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@> wrote:
> >
> > Not sure but I've been hearing a P25 CC out here in East Plano on 854.1875. I am not sure if it's related to the one you are hearing Ed. I can't get a good decode on it as it's just too weak out this way so I have no idea what the System ID/WACN is.
> >
> > Matt
> > Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning

> > http://www.dfwscanning.net/
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31121. Weekly FCC grants November 22-28

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-29 18:15:29 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 31121 Message: 31121

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

31122. Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-30 02:11:52 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 31104 Message: 31122

Hmm interesting.. I wonder if they are still in the testing phase of whatever its going to be or maybe another test system like how the Frisco one was?

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Bennett" <michaelbennett@...> wrote:
>
> I've been monitoring those new Prosper channels since they first showed up earlier in the year from work in McKinney and I've never heard anything. The last time I was at work (Wednesday) there was still no activity.
>
> Mike
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Matthew
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, November 28, 2009 11:46 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375
>
>
>
> I am guessing it would be Prosper as I think Waxahachie would be just way too far. I have been hearing this P25 CC off and on for the past couple days. Any idea what they are going to use this trunk for if/when it gets up and running to their liking?
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > Matt,
> >
> > 854.1875 shows as licensed to both:
> > Prosper
> > http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQKC298
> > and
> > Waxahachie
> > http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQJY866
> >
> >

> >
> > Steve
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@> wrote:
> > >
> > > Not sure but I've been hearing a P25 CC out here in East Plano on 854.1875. I am not sure if it's related to the one you are hearing Ed. I can't get a good decode on it as it's just too weak out this way so I have no idea what the System ID/WACN is.
> > >
> > > Matt
> > > Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> > > http://www.dfwscanning.net/
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

31123. Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-11-30 03:05:36 UTC
From: ae5fy
Topic: 31104 Message: 31123

As far as the White Settlement stuff goes, it is at the water tanks on top of the hill in WS. I watched them hang the antenna and was talking to the tech guys and they told me that it was p25 just like Parker County. I am pretty sure he said that it is 800mhz and also has capability to use the internet for transmissions to Houston and other citied that may join the "group".
Anyway, it was cool to talk to the techs and see the equipment. They were very informative.

That visit was was last week.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@...> wrote:
>
> Hmm interesting.. I wonder if they are still in the testing phase of whatever its going to be or maybe another test system like how the Frisco one was?
>
> Matt
> Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> http://www.dfwscanning.net/
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Michael Bennett" <michaelbennett@> wrote:
> >
> > I've been monitoring those new Prosper channels since they first showed up earlier in the year from work in McKinney and I've never heard anything. The last time I was at work (Wednesday) there was still no activity.
> >
> > Mike
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Matthew
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Saturday, November 28, 2009 11:46 AM
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Re: New P25 control channel (white Settlement?) 854.0375
> >
> >
> >
> > I am guessing it would be Prosper as I think Waxahachie would be just way too far. I have been hearing this P25 CC off and on for the past couple days. Any idea what they are going to use this trunk for if/when it gets up and running to their liking?
> >
> > Matt
> > Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> > http://www.dfwscanning.net/
> >
> > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "hiegtx" <hiegtx@> wrote:
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > > Matt,
> > >
> > > 854.1875 shows as licensed to both:
> > > Prosper
> > > http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQKC298
> > > and
> > > Waxahachie
> > > http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?cs=WQJY866
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve
> > >
> > > --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Matthew" <mbstone99@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Not sure but I've been hearing a P25 CC out here in East Plano on 854.1875. I am not sure if it's related to the one you are hearing Ed. I can't get a good decode on it as it's just too weak out this way so I have no idea what the System ID/WACN is.
> > > >
> > > > Matt
> > > > Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
> > > > http://www.dfwscanning.net/
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>

31124. White Settlement TGs on New P25 TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-01 05:27:32 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31124 Message: 31124

Here are the two TGs White Settlement used today. They're all happy about the "new radio system" and they're going way out of the city limits testing their coverage and transmission capabilities. They seem pretty happy. They are going to do some more testing in the morning they said.

24585 a few hits

24577 most traffic

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31125. Plano Narcotics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-02 03:02:26 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31125 Message: 31125

Didn't know this, but apparently Plano Narcotics can go encrypted on their channels. 752 talkgroup tonight is getting a workout. I put the 780 into search mode and realized they were doing this. The GRE blocks it out. Which is good. However, it's interesting that they (Plano) sound like they have the capability.

Blaine

31126. Re: [dfwscan] Plano Narcotics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-02 03:03:33 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 31125 Message: 31126

They've had that ability for decades. One DES-XL channel on the system if memory serves.

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...>
Date: Tue, 1 Dec 2009 19:02:26
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Plano Narcotics

Didn't know this, but apparently Plano Narcotics can go encrypted on their channels. 752 talkgroup tonight is getting a workout. I put the 780 into search mode and realized they were doing this. The GRE blocks it out. Which is good. However, it's interesting that they (Plano) sound like they have the capability.

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31127. Re: Plano Narcotics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-02 03:08:54 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31125 Message: 31127

--- On Tue, 12/1/09, tvsjr@... <tvsjr@...> wrote:

>They've had that ability for decades. One DES-XL channel on the system if >memory serves.

Didn't know that. Thanks for the info. Never personally started monitoring Plano until I moved over here.

Blaine

31128. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Plano Narcotics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-02 03:30:57 UTC
From: Mark Saum
Topic: 31125 Message: 31128

Interesting. I've heard Plano narcs run ops in the clear before, which would seem to be pretty risky.

Just turned on the scanner to hear that there's a car theft in progress, and the owner is outside with a gun. It sounds like some fun.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:09 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; tvsjr@...
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Plano Narcotics

--- On Tue, 12/1/09, tvsjr@...<mailto:tvsjr%40tvsjr.com>
<tvsjr@...<mailto:tvsjr%40tvsjr.com>> wrote:

>They've had that ability for decades. One DES-XL channel on the system if >memory serves.

Didn't know that. Thanks for the info. Never personally started monitoring Plano until I moved over here.

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31129. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Plano Narcotics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-02 03:37:06 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31125 Message: 31129

I hear them all the time during the day. I usually lock it out as I don't really want to listen to it. But didn't know that they could go encrypted. I know that Richardson Swat can but thought Plano didn't have the capability.

Blaine, when did you move to Plano. Didn't you live like in Fort Worth or something?

Jason

From: Mark Saum <msaum@...>
To: "dfwscan@yahoogroups.com" <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tue, December 1, 2009 9:30:57 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Plano Narcotics

Interesting. I've heard Plano narcs run ops in the clear before, which would seem to be pretty risky.

Just turned on the scanner to hear that there's a car theft in progress, and the owner is outside with a gun. It sounds like some fun.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups .com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:09 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups .com; tvsjr@tvsjr. com
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Plano Narcotics

--- On Tue, 12/1/09, tvsjr@tvsjr. com<mailto:tvsjr% 40tvsjr.com> <tvsjr@tvsjr. com<mailto:tvsjr% 40tvsjr.com> > wrote:

>They've had that ability for decades. One DES-XL channel on the system if >memory serves.

Didn't know that. Thanks for the info. Never personally started monitoring Plano until I moved over here.

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

"Auto F5 reload window" options
Default timeout *
Timeout for this page *
Add random value to timeout between 0 and *
Show and hide menu
Ignore everything after these symbols in URL(separate with spaces)
Include the separators in the URL saved
Hide menu when clicking outside the menu
Prolong timeout on mouseclicks or keyboard input
Reload all pages with default timeout, which has no timeout set

*Format examples: hh:mm:ss, h:m:ss, m:sss, s etc. like 1:20:30 oder 500 oder 3:100

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31130. Re: Plano Narcotics

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-02 03:44:41 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31125 Message: 31130

--- On Tue, 12/1/09, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:

> I hear them all the time during the day.

Lately they have been pretty active day and night.

> Blaine, when did you move to Plano. Didn't you live like in
> Fort Worth or something?

I lived in Flower Mound and Lewisville. Moved to Plano a couple months ago, but it may only be temporary. We'll see how it goes...:)

31131. Rescue/EMS/Trauma at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 13:28:15 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 31131 Message: 31131

Sounds like some sort of rescue and/or EMS helo enroute to the new stadium.
07:26. Command being set up at Wal-mart parking lot.
AFD3 53584, Arlington TRS

I didn't hear the initial dispatch, so I'm not sure what the injury is.
Rescue 8 is though.

31132. RE: [dfwscan] Rescue/EMS/Trauma at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 13:32:43 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 31131 Message: 31132

Might be a roof incident/fall, they're talking about someone being seen "in the gutter", and some units mentioned an aerial view.

>Sounds like some sort of rescue and/or EMS helo enroute to the new stadium.
07:26. Command being set up at Wal-mart parking lot.
AFD3 53584, Arlington TRS

I didn't hear the initial dispatch, so I'm not sure what the injury is.
Rescue 8 is though.<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31133. RE: [dfwscan] Rescue/EMS/Trauma at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 13:36:36 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 31131 Message: 31133

Requesting second medic for 2nd patient, as well as rappelling equipment/ladders.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31134. RE: [dfwscan] Rescue/EMS/Trauma at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 14:17:10 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31131 Message: 31134

Sounds like PHI Med 8 just got dispatched out to Cowboy's Stadium too (8:15 AM)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2009 7:37 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Rescue/EMS/Trauma at Cowboys Stadium

Requesting second medic for 2nd patient, as well as rappelling equipment/ladders.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31135. RE: [dfwscan] Rescue/EMS/Trauma at Cowboys Stadium

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 14:57:26 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 31131 Message: 31135

MED 8 landed 8:36am. Chopper 11 streaming live video to CNN HLN.

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brian
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2009 8:17 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Rescue/EMS/Trauma at Cowboys Stadium

Sounds like PHI Med 8 just got dispatched out to Cowboy's Stadium too (8:15 AM)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com] On Behalf Of
Ben Saladino(YG)
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2009 7:37 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups <mailto:dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com> .com
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Rescue/EMS/Trauma at Cowboys Stadium

Requesting second medic for 2nd patient, as well as rappelling equipment/ladders.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioref
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2>
erence.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31136. Jerry World Scene

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 15:22:09 UTC
From: Bill Boyer
Topic: 31136 Message: 31136

http://cbs11tv.com/local/Cowboys.Stadium.Workers.2.1347353.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31137. Re: Jerry World Scene

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 18:49:09 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31136 Message: 31137

--- On Thu, 12/3/09, Bill Boyer <daweasel@...> wrote:

> http://cbs11tv.com/local/Cowboys.Stadium.Workers.2.1347353.html

"Both Daniels and Assistant Fire Chief Don Crowson said that neither of the workers were wearing the proper fall protection."

Sorry. Not much sympathy from me.

31138. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Jerry World Scene

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 20:00:35 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31136 Message: 31138

Well, I know of two workers who will, from this day forward, be known as "Gutterball".

31139. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Jerry World Scene

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 20:26:23 UTC
From: Paul Opitz
Topic: 31136 Message: 31139

I hope they won't be too much of a drain on stadium resources.

From: "rob.davis@..." <rob.davis@armynursecorps.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thu, December 3, 2009 2:00:35 PM
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Jerry World Scene

Well, I know of two workers who will, from this day forward, be known as "Gutterball" .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31140. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Jerry World Scene

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-03 21:41:00 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31136 Message: 31140

On Thursday, December 3, 2009 14:26, "Paul Opitz" <pmanu44@...> said:

> I hope they won't be too much of a drain on stadium resources.

Makes me wonder how those guys got ROPED into that job in the first place.

31141. Grand Prairie Barricaded Subject

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-04 14:16:43 UTC
From: Mike
Topic: 31141 Message: 31141

EX Arlington police officer with weapons threatening to kill himself.
Swat callout.

31142. Stabbing Richardson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-04 18:53:54 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31142 Message: 31142

On the eastside. One victim. Barley conscious. PD1 1553 and fire whatever it is. 12:53

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31143. Plano TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-04 19:43:13 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31143 Message: 31143

With rebanding on this system, curious if anyone "in the know", as in, an actual "user" of the system or radio, knows if the radios have been reprogrammed for the new NPSPAC channels (851-854 mhz), and whether or not we can expect to hear activity on those soon. I hear occasional activity on 866.0125 & 866.5125 from this area....sometimes surveillance related, but it ain't much...

Blaine

31144. Beach@820 carjacking/robberies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-04 20:41:06 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 31144 Message: 31144

Media folks might want to check with FWPD. Sounds like there were multiple robberies and a carjacking out there. I'm hearing some traffic on Tarrant1.
14:41

31145. FWPS TRS Tower upgrade on City Council agenda

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-04 23:19:31 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 31145 Message: 31145

From the link at the end of this message...

RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended that the City Council authorize execution of an agreement with Motorola Inc., (Motorola) using Houston-Galveston Area Contract (HGAC) No. RA 01-08 for equipment and professional services to migrate the Public Safety Radio System to the new replacement communication tower located at the Rolling Hills Water Treatment Plant for the Information Technology Solutions Department at a cost not to exceed $496,000.00.

DISCUSSION:
The 2004 Capital Improvement Program, Proposition Five approved replacing four aging radio communication towers. M&C C-23844 dated October 13, 2009 approved the construction of the first tower at the Rolling Hills Water Treatment Plant. As discussed in M&C C-23844, this M&C is to complete the Rolling Hills communication tower. It includes the purchase of the new antennas, transmission line, miscellaneous communication equipment and professional services required to make the Radio System operational on the Rolling Hills tower. This equipment is compatible with the City's next generation interoperable Radio System supporting the P25 digital standard.

The Public Safety Trunked Radio Communication System is vital to the welfare and safety of Fort Worth Citizens. The infrastructure for the radio system includes towers located throughout the City.

The Texas Interlocal Cooperation Act permits joint participation by local governments, states, state agencies and non-profit corporations to use the Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC) Cooperative Purchasing Program. Purchases made by using H-GAC procedures satisfy otherwise applicable competitive bidding requirements.

ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGE ORDER - An administrative change order or increase may be made by the City Manager for an amount up to $25,000.00 and does not require specific City Council approval as long as sufficient funds have been appropriated.

M/WBE - A waiver of the goal for M/WBE subcontracting requirements was requested by the IT Solutions Department and approved by the M/WBE Office because the purchase of goods or services is from sources where subcontracting or supplier opportunities are negligible.

http://www.fortworthgov.org/council_packet/mc_review.asp?ID=12181&councildate=12/8/2009

31146. Re: Stabbing Richardson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-04 23:32:30 UTC
From: jasoncarr2000
Topic: 31142 Message: 31146

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@...> wrote:
>
> On the eastside. One victim. Barley conscious. PD1 1553 and fire
> whatever it is. 12:53

That was an odd one. I tape all of RPD police and at least RFD dispatch (using the freeware vox "scanner recorder"). Ends up being 3.5 - 4hrs of audio a day. I don't listen to it all, just keep it for 14 days or so in case I have to go back and hear something like this.

The address given on the radio doesn't show up on google maps or my 2008 official COR map. I assume they truncated the name of the street from "X Springs Ct" to "X Court"; either that or it's a brand new street. Either way, it was probably up by Breckenridge park. If anyone knows better, pls let me know.

BTW, Fire dispatch for Richardson is decimal 529.

Full listing here:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=65

31147. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Stabbing Richardson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-05 01:09:29 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31142 Message: 31147

I have the address. It was just on the east side of Murphy road. I still don't even know what happened and the last I knew they didn't even have suspect.

Jason

_____
From: jasoncarr2000 <fratermus+yahoo@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Fri, December 4, 2009 5:32:30 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Stabbing Richardson

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Jason Simpkins <outrun2005@ ...> wrote:
>
> On the eastside. One victim. Barley conscious. PD1 1553 and fire
> whatever it is. 12:53

That was an odd one. I tape all of RPD police and at least RFD dispatch (using the freeware vox "scanner recorder"). Ends up being 3.5 - 4hrs of audio a day. I don't listen to it all, just keep it for 14 days or so in case I have to go back and hear something like this.

The address given on the radio doesn't show up on google maps or my 2008 official COR map. I assume they truncated the name of the street from "X Springs Ct" to "X Court"; either that or it's a brand new street. Either way, it was probably up by Breckenridge park. If anyone knows better, pls let me know.

BTW, Fire dispatch for Richardson is decimal 529.

Full listing here:
http://www.radioref erence.com/ apps/db/? sid=65

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31148. Re: Plano TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-05 16:49:26 UTC
From: Matthew
Topic: 31143 Message: 31148

Blaine,

Back a couple weeks ago I was hearing activity that sounded like it was being repeated on both the old and new NPSPAC channels. From the comms I was hearing it sounded like a fire but I am not sure what city(s) exactly was using those channels.

Matt
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning
http://www.dfwscanning.net/

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:
>
> With rebanding on this system, curious if anyone "in the know", as in, an actual "user" of the system or radio, knows if the radios have been reprogrammed for the new NPSPAC channels (851-854 mhz), and whether or not we can expect to hear activity on those soon. I hear occasional activity on 866.0125 & 866.5125 from this area....sometimes surveillance related, but it ain't much...
>
>
> Blaine
>

31149. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Plano TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-05 18:31:26 UTC
From: Christopher Kown
Topic: 31143 Message: 31149

We have those channels in are radios and we have used them for training. other then that we have never used them for an incident as far as I know.
Chris Kown

Lucas FD 431

KE5VXS

--- On Sat, 12/5/09, Matthew <mbstone99@dfwscanning.net> wrote:

From: Matthew <mbstone99@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Plano TRS
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Saturday, December 5, 2009, 4:49 PM

Blaine,

Back a couple weeks ago I was hearing activity that sounded like it was being repeated on both the old and new NPSPAC channels. From the comms I was hearing it sounded like a fire but I am not sure what city(s) exactly was using those channels.

Matt

Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Scanning

http://www.dfwscann ing.net/

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups .com, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@ ...> wrote:

>

> With rebanding on this system, curious if anyone "in the know", as in, an actual "user" of the system or radio, knows if the radios have been reprogrammed for the new NPSPAC channels (851-854 mhz), and whether or not we can expect to hear activity on those soon. I hear occasional activity on 866.0125 & 866.5125 from this area....sometimes surveillance related, but it ain't much...

>

>

> Blaine

>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31150. Grand Prairie hostage situation

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-05 18:53:21 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 31150 Message: 31150

Goshawk South channel.

31151. RE: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie hostage situation

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-05 19:05:32 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 31150 Message: 31151

Entry made subject is secure.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf Of Mike Hutchins
Sent: Saturday, December 05, 2009 12:53 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups. com
Subject: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie hostage situation

Goshawk South channel.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31152. Problems on FWPS1

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-06 12:07:02 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31152 Message: 31152

You'd really need to be listening to hear what the sounds are on the Fort Worth PS 1 TRS, but if anyone is, are there any ideas on what causes the noise and channel/TG "bleedover" that has been ongoing the last hour at least. I've heard this at various times through the years. On a scanner, you will hear other transmissions from other TGs on the wrong TGs and an annoying, cycling "squawking" type sound.

If anyone's up and listening, just open up your scanner to search the TRS and you'll hear what I'm talking about.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31153. FWPD Officer Involved Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-06 14:43:51 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31153 Message: 31153

FWPD has an officer involved shooting, Homicide, Major Case, and the PIO are all enroute at this time. The traffic is hard to read due to the messed up TRS today. It sounds like the perp is a DOA. Central Talk 1/3408 and Central Patrol/3248 are the primary channels being used with Talk 1 being most used now.

Any additional details would be appreciated. There's at least 1 person being taken to the hospital. The DOA is NOT confirmed by me, but it did sound like this was said after the "shots fired" callout calmed down.

I just heard the perp has multiple gun shot wounds and is being taken to the hospital so he must be alive still.

With the messed up TRS, it's hard to get too many details right now.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31154. Weekly FCC grants November 28-December 05

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-06 19:42:29 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 31154 Message: 31154

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

31155. Re: Plano TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-06 21:38:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31143 Message: 31155

Many thanks to those that responded on the new NPSPAC for Plano TRS on and off list. The channels are definitely in the radios, from many verified and good sources. A good source also says the RMA channels have been taken out, and not used anymore. Whether or not the associated agencies use these new channels is up to them. Perhaps we'll hear some interagency use at some point.

31156. Re: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-06 21:41:52 UTC
From: P L
Topic: 31153 Message: 31156

Any further info on this situation??

The information contained in this message and any attachments is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and has the possibility of containing information that is PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, and EXEMPTfrom disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from copying, distributing, or using the information. Please contact the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete the original message from your system.

From: Brian <commscan-ftw@sbcglobal.net>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, December 6, 2009 8:43:51 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting

FWPD has an officer involved shooting, Homicide, Major Case, and the PIO are all enroute at this time. The traffic is hard to read due to the messed up TRS today. It sounds like the perp is a DOA. Central Talk 1/3408 and Central Patrol/3248 are the primary channels being used with Talk 1 being most used now.

Any additional details would be appreciated. There's at least 1 person being taken to the hospital. The DOA is NOT confirmed by me, but it did sound like this was said after the "shots fired" callout calmed down.

I just heard the perp has multiple gun shot wounds and is being taken to the hospital so he must be alive still.

With the messed up TRS, it's hard to get too many details right now.

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31157. Re: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-06 22:29:49 UTC
From: Cody Sheppard
Topic: 31153 Message: 31157

From the information that we got in dispatch looks like they rolled up on an occupied stolen vehicle and then when they approached the vehicle the people inside ran one was shot the other subdued

On Sun, Dec 6, 2009 at 3:41 PM, P L <moar_hs@...> wrote:

>
>
> Any further info on this situation??
>
> The information contained in this message and any attachments is intended
> only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and
> has the possibility of containing information that is PRIVILEGED,
> CONFIDENTIAL, and EXEMPTfrom disclosure under applicable law. If you are not
> the intended recipient, you are prohibited from copying, distributing, or
> using the information. Please contact the sender immediately by return
> e-mail and delete the original message from your system.
>
> _____
> From: Brian <commscan-ftw@... <commscan-ftw%40sbcglobal.net>>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sun, December 6, 2009 8:43:51 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting
>
> FWPD has an officer involved shooting, Homicide, Major Case, and the PIO
> are
> all enroute at this time. The traffic is hard to read due to the messed up
> TRS today. It sounds like the perp is a DOA. Central Talk 1/3408 and
> Central Patrol/3248 are the primary channels being used with Talk 1 being
> most used now.
>
> Any additional details would be appreciated. There's at least 1 person
> being taken to the hospital. The DOA is NOT confirmed by me, but it did
> sound like this was said after the "shots fired" callout calmed down.
>
> I just heard the perp has multiple gun shot wounds and is being taken to
> the
> hospital so he must be alive still.
>
> With the messed up TRS, it's hard to get too many details right now.
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31158. RE: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-07 00:54:51 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31153 Message: 31158

I was gone after 9:30 this morning but I did set the DVR to record the only 2 news broadcasts in the evening today. WFAA Ch. 8 had a 2 second blip and KTVT Ch. 11 had a decent report and some video. The patient report via MedStar said that perp 1 was shot 3 times in the chest and 2 times in the abdomen. WFAA said the guy was shot 3 times and KTVT had a PD spokesperson saying the officer fired about 6 shots.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Cody Sheppard
Sent: Sunday, December 06, 2009 4:30 PM
To: P L
Cc: Scan Crew
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting

From the information that we got in dispatch looks like they rolled up on an occupied stolen vehicle and then when they approached the vehicle the people inside ran one was shot the other subdued

On Sun, Dec 6, 2009 at 3:41 PM, P L <moar_hs@...> wrote:

>
>
> Any further info on this situation??
>
> The information contained in this message and any attachments is intended
> only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and
> has the possibility of containing information that is PRIVILEGED,
> CONFIDENTIAL, and EXEMPTfrom disclosure under applicable law. If you are
not
> the intended recipient, you are prohibited from copying, distributing, or
> using the information. Please contact the sender immediately by return
> e-mail and delete the original message from your system.
>
> _____
> From: Brian <commscan-ftw@... <commscan-ftw%40sbcglobal.net>>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sun, December 6, 2009 8:43:51 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting
>
> FWPD has an officer involved shooting, Homicide, Major Case, and the PIO
> are
> all enroute at this time. The traffic is hard to read due to the messed up

> TRS today. It sounds like the perp is a DOA. Central Talk 1/3408 and
> Central Patrol/3248 are the primary channels being used with Talk 1 being
> most used now.
>
> Any additional details would be appreciated. There's at least 1 person
> being taken to the hospital. The DOA is NOT confirmed by me, but it did
> sound like this was said after the "shots fired" callout calmed down.
>
> I just heard the perp has multiple gun shot wounds and is being taken to
> the
> hospital so he must be alive still.
>
> With the messed up TRS, it's hard to get too many details right now.
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31159. RE: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-07 01:16:26 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31153 Message: 31159

Correction...there were 2 gun shot wounds to the chest and 1 to the ? My mistake :)

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Brian
Sent: Sunday, December 06, 2009 6:55 PM
To: 'Cody Sheppard'; 'P L'
Cc: 'Scan Crew'
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting

I was gone after 9:30 this morning but I did set the DVR to record the only 2 news broadcasts in the evening today. WFAA Ch. 8 had a 2 second blip and KTVT Ch. 11 had a decent report and some video. The patient report via MedStar said that perp 1 was shot 3 times in the chest and 2 times in the abdomen. WFAA said the guy was shot 3 times and KTVT had a PD spokesperson saying the officer fired about 6 shots.

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Cody Sheppard
Sent: Sunday, December 06, 2009 4:30 PM
To: P L
Cc: Scan Crew
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting

From the information that we got in dispatch looks like they rolled up on an occupied stolen vehicle and then when they approached the vehicle the people inside ran one was shot the other subdued

On Sun, Dec 6, 2009 at 3:41 PM, P L <moar_hs@...> wrote:

>
>
> Any further info on this situation??
>
> The information contained in this message and any attachments is intended
> only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and
> has the possibility of containing information that is PRIVILEGED,
> CONFIDENTIAL, and EXEMPTfrom disclosure under applicable law. If you are not

> the intended recipient, you are prohibited from copying, distributing, or
> using the information. Please contact the sender immediately by return
> e-mail and delete the original message from your system.
>
> _____
> From: Brian <commscan-ftw@... <commscan-ftw%40sbcglobal.net>>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sun, December 6, 2009 8:43:51 AM
> Subject: [dfwscan] FWPD Officer Involved Shooting
>
> FWPD has an officer involved shooting, Homicide, Major Case, and the PIO
> are
> all enroute at this time. The traffic is hard to read due to the messed up
> TRS today. It sounds like the perp is a DOA. Central Talk 1/3408 and
> Central Patrol/3248 are the primary channels being used with Talk 1 being
> most used now.
>
> Any additional details would be appreciated. There's at least 1 person
> being taken to the hospital. The DOA is NOT confirmed by me, but it did
> sound like this was said after the "shots fired" callout calmed down.
>
> I just heard the perp has multiple gun shot wounds and is being taken to
> the
> hospital so he must be alive still.
>
> With the messed up TRS, it's hard to get too many details right now.
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31160. I still can't program my BC796D

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-07 03:38:44 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31160 Message: 31160

I have updated the firmware and still do not get the functions that are in this article. http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BC796DFirmwareUpdate?sortcol=table;up=#Entering_a_Rebanding_Table

I am frustrated.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31161. GM Plant paint booth fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-07 22:23:42 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 31161 Message: 31161

Not sure how big a deal it is, but AFD is at the GM Plant paint booth. Sounds like the automatic sprinklers may have done their job. 16:22 They just held a couple of companies, and are releasing the rest.

31162. Re: [dfwscan] I still can't program my BC796D

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 00:35:11 UTC
From: KevinChessher
Topic: 31160 Message: 31162

So even if you program a completely new system you don't get these choices?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jason Simpkins" <outrun2005@yahoo.com>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 6, 2009 9:38:44 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: [dfwscan] I still can't program my BC796D

I have updated the firmware and still do not get the functions that are in this article. http://info.uniden.com/twiki/bin/view/UnidenMan4/BC796DFirmwareUpdate?sortcol=table;up=#Entering_a_Rebanding_Table

I am frustrated.

Jason

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31163. Saint Nicholas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 01:30:48 UTC
From: Jayfurd
Topic: 31163 Message: 31163

The man in the red suit is currently riding with Lewisville FD doing the annual neighborhood drive-through.

Comms on LFD 6, talkgroup 25744.

31164. Irving school fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 13:35:55 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 31164 Message: 31164

Media Folks,
It's not enough for IPN, but there was a fire at 3700 Pleasant Run at a school(middle, I believe). The sprinklers extinguished the fire. IFD is working to get the sprinklers off and working the squeegees (sp?).

07:35

31165. DRAGNET WHISKEY

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 15:32:27 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 31165 Message: 31165

15:30 Zulu - DRAGNET WHISKEY (Tinker E-3) passing core checks to BIGFOOT on 273.0 - This has been going on for about 20 minutes. DRAGNET WHISKEY is also in contact with Snyder Bomb Plot on 283.725. Last comms with Snyder indicated they were heading East and starting into their orbit track.

Brandon

Flower Mound, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31166. Huge Explosion Rocks American Acryl Plant in Pasadena

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 15:35:36 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31166 Message: 31166

I just saw a report (not the link being sent) reagarding a large explosion at this Pasadena, TX plant. Any Houstonians able to monitor on this list?

http://www.khou.com/internal?st=print
<http://www.khou.com/internal?st=print&id=78865017&path=/news/local>
&id=78865017&path=/news/local

Brian

Ft. Worth, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31167. RE: [dfwscan] DRAGNET WHISKEY

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 15:40:53 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 31165 Message: 31167

Hmmm, so they're using 273.0 now. Did they move from 271.0 do you know?
Since the CAPs have dies down (not as many Presidential trips this direction
nowadays) I haven't paid as much attention...

Brian
Ft. Worth, TX

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Brandon Thetford
Sent: Wednesday, December 09, 2009 9:32 AM
To: milcom@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] DRAGNET WHISKEY

15:30 Zulu - DRAGNET WHISKEY (Tinker E-3) passing core checks to BIGFOOT on
273.0 - This has been going on for about 20 minutes. DRAGNET WHISKEY is
also in contact with Snyder Bomb Plot on 283.725. Last comms with Snyder
indicated they were heading East and starting into their orbit track.

Brandon

Flower Mound, TX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31168. Re: [dfwscan] Huge Explosion Rocks American Acryl Plant in Pasadena

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 15:42:25 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31166 Message: 31168

It was just sent on IPN, not much detail on the fire yet but several roads
are closed including Hwy 146.

On Wed, Dec 9, 2009 at 9:35 AM, Brian <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

>
>
> I just saw a report (not the link being sent) reagarding a large explosion
> at this Pasadena, TX plant. Any Houstonians able to monitor on this list?
>
> http://www.khou.com/internal?st=print
> <http://www.khou.com/internal?st=print&id=78865017&path=/news/local>
> &id=78865017&path=/news/local
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31169. Re: [dfwscan] DRAGNET WHISKEY

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 16:37:08 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 31165 Message: 31169

Well that was a typo...they were on 271.0

Sorry about that!

Brandon

Sent from my iPhone 3GS

On Dec 9, 2009, at 9:40, "Brian" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:

> Hmmm, so they're using 273.0 now. Did they move from 271.0 do you
> know?
> Since the CAPs have dies down (not as many Presidential trips this
> direction
> nowadays) I haven't paid as much attention...
>
> Brian
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On
> Behalf Of
> Brandon Thetford
> Sent: Wednesday, December 09, 2009 9:32 AM
> To: milcom@...; dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [dfwscan] DRAGNET WHISKEY
>
> 15:30 Zulu - DRAGNET WHISKEY (Tinker E-3) passing core checks to
> BIGFOOT on
> 273.0 - This has been going on for about 20 minutes. DRAGNET
> WHISKEY is
> also in contact with Snyder Bomb Plot on 283.725. Last comms with
> Snyder
> indicated they were heading East and starting into their orbit track.
>
>
>
> Brandon
>
> Flower Mound, TX
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

31170. Re: [MilCom] DRAGNET WHISKEY

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 16:37:56 UTC
From: Brandon Thetford
Topic: 31165 Message: 31170

Typo in the first post. Frequency should have been 271.0

Brandon

Sent from my iPhone 3GS

On Dec 9, 2009, at 9:32, "Brandon Thetford"
<thetford569@...> wrote:

> 15:30 Zulu - DRAGNET WHISKEY (Tinker E-3) passing core checks to
> BIGFOOT on
> 273.0 - This has been going on for about 20 minutes. DRAGNET
> WHISKEY is
> also in contact with Snyder Bomb Plot on 283.725. Last comms with
> Snyder
> indicated they were heading East and starting into their orbit track.
>
>
>
> Brandon
>
> Flower Mound, TX
>
> _____
> MilCom mailing list
> Home: http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/milcom
> Help: http://mailman.qth.net/mmfaq.htm
> Post: mailto:MilCom@...
>
> This list hosted by: http://www.qsl.net
> Please help support this email list: http://www.qsl.net/donate.html
>
>

31171. FBI

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 17:22:37 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 8572 Message: 31171

Finally hearing some P25 comms from the FBI here in DFW. 167.2625. NAC of 167.

Blaine

31172. Fort Worth TRS FW Fire group names and ID's (Question)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-09 22:59:57 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31172 Message: 31172

Been getting conflicting information from several different (local)scanner sites and I know channels 1 thru 7 hasn't changed but I really don't know what all has changed after that.

I haven't seen an FD radio in a while so don't know what the actual lineup is.

If any has the "current" group lineup for FWFD that would be great.

Thanks,

D

31173. medstar rollover Burleson accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 00:46:49 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31173 Message: 31173

Medstar unit rolled over while leaving an accisdent with patients inside, no injuries to med crew or additional to patients, I'm taking pictures right now

31174. Grapevine 700 Mhz TRS license issued

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 01:01:05 UTC
From: Ed C.
Topic: 31174 Message: 31174

3 site 5 channels each. Callsign WQLD399

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31175. Re: medstar rollover Burleson accident

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 01:24:27 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31173 Message: 31175

Sorry I type that kind of fast, its a mess out here, accident at 731 and 174 (john jones and whilshire) med supv on scene, all bur fd units on scene and most pd units out here, also crowley med unit is on scene

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Medstar unit rolled over while leaving an accisdent with patients inside, no injuries to med crew or additional to patients, I'm taking pictures right now
>

31176. Pictures of Medstar rolled over, in Burleson MVA

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 01:46:14 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31176 Message: 31176

Accident is almost cleared, all FD units have cleared, all Med units have cleared. Sorry the pictures are kind of crappy, was using a cell phone and it doesn't take good night pictures.

RHB

http://www.facebook.com/album.php?aid=2024162&id=1341259326&l=2f471553b2

31177. Huge in Grapevine

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 02:43:45 UTC
From: David W. Carroll
Topic: 31173 Message: 31177

>

31178. Re: [dfwscan] Huge in Grapevine

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 02:49:29 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 31173 Message: 31178

On 12/11/2009 8:43 PM, David W. Carroll wrote:
>
>>
>
>
??????

31179. Re: [dfwscan] Huge in Grapevine

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 02:49:56 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 31173 Message: 31179

Means this:

DFW| GRAPEVINE| 4 ALARM FIRE| G-FIRE3/G-FIRE2| 1701 DOVE LOOP|U/D:2 STORY APTS, HEAVY FIRE, 1 BURN VICTIM DIFFICULT ACCESS & WATER M/A:SOUTHLAKE M/A:FLOWER MOUND| DFW158| 20:23

------Original Message------
From: Jay Urish
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: David W. Carroll
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Dec 11, 2009 20:49
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Huge in Grapevine

On 12/11/2009 8:43 PM, David W. Carroll wrote:
>
>>
>
>
??????

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

31180. Re: [dfwscan] Huge in Grapevine

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 03:04:22 UTC
From: David W. Carroll
Topic: 31173 Message: 31180

On scene. Sounds like everyone is OK.

Sent from my totally cool iPhone!

On Dec 11, 2009, at 8:49 PM, terry@... wrote:

> Means this:
>
> DFW| GRAPEVINE| 4 ALARM FIRE| G-FIRE3/G-FIRE2| 1701 DOVE LOOP|U/D:2
> STORY APTS, HEAVY FIRE, 1 BURN VICTIM DIFFICULT ACCESS & WATER M/
> A:SOUTHLAKE M/A:FLOWER MOUND| DFW158| 20:23
>
> ------Original Message------
> From: Jay Urish
> Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> To: David W. Carroll
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Dec 11, 2009 20:49
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Huge in Grapevine
>
> On 12/11/2009 8:43 PM, David W. Carroll wrote:
> >
> >>
> >
> >
> ??????
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31181. Re: [dfwscan] Huge in Grapevine

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 03:41:50 UTC
From: David W. Carroll
Topic: 31173 Message: 31181

Sorry for crappy initial post. I was driving.

Sent from my totally cool iPhone!

On Dec 11, 2009, at 8:49 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...> wrote:

> On 12/11/2009 8:43 PM, David W. Carroll wrote:
> >
> >>
> >
> >
> ??????
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31182. Re: [dfwscan] Huge in Grapevine

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 04:33:09 UTC
From: Jay Urish
Topic: 31173 Message: 31182

On 12/11/2009 9:41 PM, David W. Carroll wrote:
> Sorry for crappy initial post. I was driving.
>
> Sent from my totally cool iPhone!
>
>
> On Dec 11, 2009, at 8:49 PM, Jay Urish <jay@...
> <mailto:jay@...>> wrote:
>
>> On 12/11/2009 8:43 PM, David W. Carroll wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> ??????
>>
>>
>>

No biggy.. $h1t happens.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31183. Also technically a police scanner

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 21:07:48 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 31183 Message: 31183

http://www.toothpastefordinner.com/121109/police-scanner.gif

--
John Mayson <john@...>
http://www.linkedin.com/in/jmayson

31184. [dfwscan] Looking for Scanner, BCD396T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-12 21:20:40 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 31183 Message: 31184

Thinking of buying a used scanner between now and sometime after Christmas.

Hoping that maybe perhaps, LOL someone out there will get a brand new toy and have an older scanner for sale.

From the little research I have done I think the BCD396T will do me just fine.

Now if anybody has any additional comments or info they would like to share please feel free to email me on or off list.

What I am looking for,

Hand held,

Good RX on 800

Trunk Traking

Analog / Digital

Signal Strength indicator (probably not going to find many with that option)

I am a former moto 2-way tech so I have been spoiled MTS, XTS radios and their great battery life, great sensitivity, and good audio.

I am going to start to liquidate some of them and just get one good hand held scanner.

So if anybody thinks they will have one up for sale in the near future please let me know, or if there is a better one out there that might fit what I am looking for I would love the advice.

At the last get together I THINK this was the scanner that I got to play with that I really liked. I handled a couple of them but only one stood out in my mind as being a great scanner, unfortunately I didn't take the 10 seconds needed to actually write down what the model number was. OOPS.

Happy scanning all.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31185. Officer Assist

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-13 12:49:55 UTC
From: billemtp
Topic: 31185 Message: 31185

Did anyone hear the officer assist call in Dallas ch. 3 on C F Hawn around 2356 last night? Supposed to be an officer down call. I heard DSO send 3 units and my scanner went dead. DPD showed 9 elements assigned, but no supervisor.

Bill Anderson

31186. Re: [dfwscan] Officer Assist

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-13 18:37:41 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 31185 Message: 31186

BS call. Basic disturbance with no cop involved. DPD got it as an assist and I think the story grew to officer down when DSO got it. It was a herd of police at the ole Neon Cowboy but was a nothing deal.

Terry
------Original Message------
From: billemtp
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Dec 13, 2009 06:49
Subject: [dfwscan] Officer Assist

Did anyone hear the officer assist call in Dallas ch. 3 on C F Hawn around 2356 last night? Supposed to be an officer down call. I heard DSO send 3 units and my scanner went dead. DPD showed 9 elements assigned, but no supervisor.

Bill Anderson

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

31187. Weekly FCC grants December 06-12

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 05:38:12 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 31187 Message: 31187

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

31188. Ellis County DPS Units

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 08:16:04 UTC
From: Dallas Cop 2566
Topic: 31188 Message: 31188

I noticed Ellis County DPS Units using Region One call numbers.

Anybody know if they haved moved Ellis County into Region One (Garland) from Region Six (Waco)?

31189. Re: [dfwscan] Ellis County DPS Units

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 14:02:48 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 31188 Message: 31189

They've mainly rearranged sub-region boundaries within the regions. This has resulted in a big unit renumbering. Ellis Co is still in Region one.

There is a map on the Radio Reference "DPS" link which depicts this.

On Mon Dec 14 2:16 , "Dallas Cop 2566" sent:

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Â
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I noticed Ellis County DPS Units using Region One call numbers.
>
>
>
>Anybody know if they haved moved Ellis County into Region One (Garland) from Region Six (Waco)?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

31190. Dallas HAZMAT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 18:43:01 UTC
From: justinlentz08
Topic: 31190 Message: 31190

Anyone know what the HAZMAT call is on Hampton. I have not seen it on IPN.

31191. RE: [dfwscan] Dallas HAZMAT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 19:09:31 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 31190 Message: 31191

Utility Transformer leaking oil.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of justinlentz08
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 12:43 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas HAZMAT

Anyone know what the HAZMAT call is on Hampton. I have not seen it on IPN.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31192. Re: [dfwscan] Dallas HAZMAT

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 19:10:49 UTC
From: Tim Billingham
Topic: 31190 Message: 31192

Transformer leaking oil Plano Hazmat 5 is enroute about 20 ago
------Original Message------
From: justinlentz08
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Dallas HAZMAT
Sent: Dec 14, 2009 12:43 PM

Anyone know what the HAZMAT call is on Hampton. I have not seen it on IPN.

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent from my BlackBerry®

31193. RE: [dfwscan] Grapevine 700 Mhz TRS license issued

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 19:42:19 UTC
From: Russell Adams
Topic: 31174 Message: 31193

This looks like a P25 Phase II license.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ed C.
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 07:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Grapevine 700 Mhz TRS license issued

3 site 5 channels each. Callsign WQLD399

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31194. Re: Looking for Scanner, BCD396T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 20:17:50 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31183 Message: 31194

--- On Sat, 12/12/09, Patrick - Yahoo <pwrigg@...> wrote:

> What I am looking for,
> Hand held,
> Good RX on 800
> Trunk Traking
> Analog / Digital
> Signal Strength indicator (probably not going to find many
> with that option)

Pat - I highly recommend the PSR500 or 600 for your needs, coupled with the Win500 software from Don Starr, if you can get your hands on one. The 396 is a great radio as well. U Man developed a hell of a radio there, as well as the 996. It boils down to matter of choice, and what you want as far as features, etc. Some want to go all out on frequencies, talkgroups, and what they can monitor. I personally just monitor a certain bit, rather than the whole shebang, so having a massive dynamic list, etc. etc. is not that important to me. I'm really only concerned with what is around me, rather than something 30+ miles away. As far as digital decode and general audio ability, I think the 500 or 600 can't be beat, unless of course, you have the dough for an XTS5000 Astro, which most folks don't have that kind of pocket change, or the most important thing, access to the, ahem..., keys....for 800 systems.

> I am going to start to liquidate some of them

Please pass along what you are trying to liquidate to the list. The sale of those might make up for the cost of a digital scanner. As you know, they ain't cheap...:)

Good luck and happy holidays!

Blaine

31195. Re: [dfwscan] Grapevine 700 Mhz TRS license issued

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 20:18:29 UTC
From: tvsjr@tvsjr.com
Topic: 31174 Message: 31195

I wouldn't get too worked up over it. According to city council minutes, the first of the member cities (Grapevine and Colleyville) have approved about $13K a piece to hire a consultant to hopefully get grants to pay for the $25M system. So they have to get the consultant hired, get the grants, then contract the system, then build it, then test it. Years away. Maybe by then Moto will actually have radios that support P25 Phase II (the APX is upgradable... of course Moto said the same thing about the XTS5000 then screwed everyone over and said it won't, buy APXs when you need phase 2).

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: "Russell Adams" <rwadams@...>
Date: Mon, 14 Dec 2009 13:42:19
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Grapevine 700 Mhz TRS license issued

This looks like a P25 Phase II license.

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ed C.
Sent: Friday, December 11, 2009 07:01 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Grapevine 700 Mhz TRS license issued

3 site 5 channels each. Callsign WQLD399

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31196. Allen FD Training

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 20:31:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 30658 Message: 31196

Allen FD has been doing a crapload of training today for anyone interested in tuning in that kind of stuff. Allen FD Ch 3 talkgroup.

They seem to do this at least once a week. A very professional and prepared department.

Blaine

31197. Richardson FD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 20:45:52 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31197 Message: 31197

Richardson has a Level 1 HAZMAT. RFD Ch 2 primary. Trying to get location.

Blaine

31198. Re: Richardson FD

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 20:54:17 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31197 Message: 31198

--- On Mon, 12/14/09, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:

> Richardson has a Level 1 HAZMAT. RFD
> Ch 2 primary. Trying to get location.

It's a gas leak, broken main..spewing out pretty good. Hazmat 5 and BC 1 on scene. Still haven't been able to get a location for IPN page out. Sounded like Kings Ridge, but can't find that in Mapsco. If anyone hears the locale, please page.

Blaine

31199. RE: [dfwscan] Looking for Scanner, BCD396T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 21:07:45 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 31183 Message: 31199

Another member has mentioned I should also consider the PSR-500

I have only had one GRE scanner in the past and I wasn't impressed, however that was over 5 years ago and I am sure they have changed a lot.

So if it does what I want it to do, and someone is still willing to do 2-way trading for a scanner those are what I am looking for.

PSR-500 / BCD396T

Thanks

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Patrick - Yahoo
Sent: Saturday, December 12, 2009 3:21 PM
To: 'DFW Scan'
Subject: [dfwscan] Looking for Scanner, BCD396T

Thinking of buying a used scanner between now and sometime after Christmas.

Hoping that maybe perhaps, LOL someone out there will get a brand new toy and have an older scanner for sale.

From the little research I have done I think the BCD396T will do me just fine.

Now if anybody has any additional comments or info they would like to share please feel free to email me on or off list.

What I am looking for,

Hand held,

Good RX on 800

Trunk Traking

Analog / Digital

Signal Strength indicator (probably not going to find many with that option)

I am a former moto 2-way tech so I have been spoiled MTS, XTS radios and their great battery life, great sensitivity, and good audio.

I am going to start to liquidate some of them and just get one good hand held scanner.

So if anybody thinks they will have one up for sale in the near future please let me know, or if there is a better one out there that might fit what I am looking for I would love the advice.

At the last get together I THINK this was the scanner that I got to play with that I really liked. I handled a couple of them but only one stood out in my mind as being a great scanner, unfortunately I didn't take the 10 seconds needed to actually write down what the model number was. OOPS.

Happy scanning all.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31200. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Looking for Scanner, BCD396T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-14 20:44:07 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 31183 Message: 31200

I wasn't going to post it but since you asked.

MTS2000 800Mhz firmware upgraded for rebanding model 3

MTS2000 UHF low split 403-470 model 2

MCS200 800Mhz firmware upgraded for rebanding, model 2, set up for remote head but I sold the head and cable while the unit was at Motorola for upgrade.

MTS2000 800Mhz hack model 1

MTS2000 UHF low split hack model 1

CDM1550 UHF high split, 450-512, UHF Conv and LTR.

Was hoping to find someone who wanted to get rid of the scanner and do an even swap for some of the equipment. Naturally we will help each other with programming. :-)

_____

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 2:18 PM
To: 'DFW Scan'; Patrick - Yahoo
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Looking for Scanner, BCD396T

--- On Sat, 12/12/09, Patrick - Yahoo <pwrigg@yahoo.
<mailto:pwrigg%40yahoo.com> com> wrote:

> What I am looking for,
> Hand held,
> Good RX on 800
> Trunk Traking
> Analog / Digital
> Signal Strength indicator (probably not going to find many
> with that option)

Pat - I highly recommend the PSR500 or 600 for your needs, coupled with the Win500 software from Don Starr, if you can get your hands on one. The 396 is a great radio as well. U Man developed a hell of a radio there, as well as the 996. It boils down to matter of choice, and what you want as far as features, etc. Some want to go all out on frequencies, talkgroups, and what they can monitor. I personally just monitor a certain bit, rather than the whole shebang, so having a massive dynamic list, etc. etc. is not that important to me. I'm really only concerned with what is around me, rather than something 30+ miles away. As far as digital decode and general audio

ability, I think the 500 or 600 can't be beat, unless of course, you have the dough for an XTS5000 Astro, which most folks don't have that kind of pocket change, or the most important thing, access to the, ahem..., keys....for 800 systems.

> I am going to start to liquidate some of them

Please pass along what you are trying to liquidate to the list. The sale of those might make up for the cost of a digital scanner. As you know, they ain't cheap...:)

Good luck and happy holidays!

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31201. RE: [dfwscan] Ellis County DPS Units

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-15 13:15:37 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 31188 Message: 31201

You say it's "still in region 1" however it hasn't been in the past. The Dallas/Ellis county line was the breakpoint for Region 1 and 6. Is it now in Region 1 or is it still in region 6?

Terry

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Michael Bennett
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 8:03 AM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; 'Dallas Cop 2566'
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Ellis County DPS Units

They've mainly rearranged sub-region boundaries within the regions. This has
resulted in a big unit renumbering. Ellis Co is still in Region one.

There is a map on the Radio Reference "DPS" link which depicts this.

On Mon Dec 14 2:16 , "Dallas Cop 2566" sent:

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Â
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> I noticed Ellis County DPS Units using Region One call numbers.
>
>
>
>Anybody know if they haved moved Ellis County into Region One (Garland)
from
Region Six (Waco)?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

---

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 9.0.716 / Virus Database: 270.14.95/2547 - Release Date: 12/13/09
13:47:00

31202. Interesting site

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-15 13:42:15 UTC
From: Elmer
Topic: 31202 Message: 31202

Many articles here are common to the DFW area.

http://blog.tcomeng.com/index.php/2008/problems-with-digital-radios-when-background-noise-is-present/

31203. RE: [dfwscan] Ellis County DPS Units

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-15 13:55:08 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 31188 Message: 31203

The new map shows Ellis Co included in Region One. I thought it was before but I guess I was wrong.

Ellis and Navarro Co are now both in Region One.

On Tue Dec 15 7:15 , "Terry" sent:

>You say it's "still in region 1" however it hasn't been in the past. The
>Dallas/Ellis county line was the breakpoint for Region 1 and 6. Is it now
>in Region 1 or is it still in region 6?
>
>Terry
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
[dfwscan@yahoogroups.com','','','')">dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>Michael Bennett
>Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 8:03 AM
>To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; 'Dallas Cop 2566'
>Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Ellis County DPS Units
>
>They've mainly rearranged sub-region boundaries within the regions. This
>has
>resulted in a big unit renumbering. Ellis Co is still in Region one.
>
>There is a map on the Radio Reference "DPS" link which depicts this.
>
>On Mon Dec 14 2:16 , "Dallas Cop 2566" sent:
>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Â
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> I noticed Ellis County DPS Units using Region One call numbers.
>>
>>
>>
>>Anybody know if they haved moved Ellis County into Region One (Garland)
>from
>Region Six (Waco)?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net
>
>------------------------------------
>
>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php\?name=RR&mid=2
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/
>
> Your email settings:
> Individual Email | Traditional
>
> To change settings online go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/join
> (Yahoo! ID required)
>
> To change settings via email:
> dfwscan-digest@yahoogroups.com
> dfwscan-fullfeatured@yahoogroups.com
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> dfwscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
>Version: 9.0.716 / Virus Database: 270.14.95/2547 - Release Date: 12/13/09
>13:47:00
>

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

31204. RE: [dfwscan] Ellis County DPS Units

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-15 14:04:50 UTC
From: Michael Bennett
Topic: 31188 Message: 31204

That being said however I'm not sure besides unit numbers if anything else will change. Wichita Falls is in Region Five however they still have dispatch responsibility for Wise County
which is in Region One. I'm guessing Ellis Co will still be dispatched out of Waco. Every now and
then they switch things up however. A few years agao Greenville was in the Garland area of radio
responsibility but now it's done out of Sulphur Springs.

On Tue Dec 15 7:55 , Michael Bennett sent:

>The new map shows Ellis Co included in Region One. I thought it was before but I guess I was wrong.
>
>Ellis and Navarro Co are now both in Region One.
>
>On Tue Dec 15 7:15 , "Terry" sent:
>
>>You say it's "still in region 1" however it hasn't been in the past. The
>>Dallas/Ellis county line was the breakpoint for Region 1 and 6. Is it now
>>in Region 1 or is it still in region 6?
>>
>>Terry
>>
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
[dfwscan@yahoogroups.com','','','')">dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
>>Michael Bennett
>>Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 8:03 AM
>>To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com; 'Dallas Cop 2566'
>>Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Ellis County DPS Units
>>
>>They've mainly rearranged sub-region boundaries within the regions. This
>>has
>>resulted in a big unit renumbering. Ellis Co is still in Region one.
>>
>>There is a map on the Radio Reference "DPS" link which depicts this.
>>
>>On Mon Dec 14 2:16 , "Dallas Cop 2566" sent:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Â
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I noticed Ellis County DPS Units using Region One call numbers.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Anybody know if they haved moved Ellis County into Region One (Garland)
>>from
>>Region Six (Waco)?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>
>>
>>---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net
>>
>>-----------------------------------
>>
>>For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
>>http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php\?name=RR&mid=2
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>> To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/
>>
>> Your email settings:
>> Individual Email | Traditional
>>
>> To change settings online go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/dfwscan/join
>> (Yahoo! ID required)
>>
>> To change settings via email:
>> dfwscan-digest@yahoogroups.com
>> dfwscan-fullfeatured@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> dfwscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to:
>> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>No virus found in this incoming message.
>>Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
>>Version: 9.0.716 / Virus Database: 270.14.95/2547 - Release Date: 12/13/09
>>13:47:00
>>
>
>
>---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

---- Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

31205. RE: [dfwscan] Re: Looking for Scanner, BCD396T

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-15 21:32:25 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo
Topic: 31183 Message: 31205

Updated List of equipment,

XTS3000 Model 1, UHF 403-470Mhz, MDC, conventional / Trunking, Analog / Digital

Astro Saber Model 3 UHF 403-470Mhz MCD, conventional / Trunking, Analog / Digital

Vertex VX-800 VHF hi band, w/DTMF keypad.

Plus the stuff below in my original email that I sent yesterday.

Again, looking for a PSR-500, BCD396T, BCD396XT

All 2-way radios have the option for leather swivel D carry cases, speaker mics, rapid chargers, standard and stubby antennas, multiple batteries and I will work with you on programming.

Going to keep radios until I am convinced no body wants to do any trading, then I will start to sell the 2-way stuff.

Thanks.

Subject: RE: [dfwscan] Re: Looking for Scanner, BCD396T

I wasn't going to post it but since you asked.

MTS2000 800Mhz firmware upgraded for rebanding model 3

MTS2000 UHF low split 403-470 model 2

MCS200 800Mhz firmware upgraded for rebanding, model 2, set up for remote head but I sold the head and cable while the unit was at Motorola for upgrade.

MTS2000 800Mhz hack model 1

MTS2000 UHF low split hack model 1

CDM1550 UHF high split, 450-512, UHF Conv and LTR.

Was hoping to find someone who wanted to get rid of the scanner and do an even swap for some of the equipment. Naturally we will help each other with programming. :-)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31206. Re: [dfwscan] Ellis County DPS Units

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-16 00:57:01 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 31188 Message: 31206

More info on this at:
http://forums.radioreference.com/texas-radio-discussion-forum/166013-texas-dps-re-numbering.html

Tom

31207. Freqs used at Eagles Canyon Raceway in Slidell

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-16 05:39:37 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 31207 Message: 31207

Hello,

Does anybody know what the frequencies (if radios are used) are at the Eagles Canyon Raceway in Slidell? I am planning on attending some races there in 2010.
I am also looking for the same at the Motor Sports Ranch in Cresson.

Thanks for your help.

Scott

31208. OT: Bell V-22 demo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-16 16:51:19 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 31208 Message: 31208

Hello Scanner/Aviation Buffs,

Just heard on the Bell Helicopter Hurst frequency, that a V22 will be doing flight demos at the Bell plants tomorrow.

Ben

31209. Re: [dfwscan] OT: Bell V-22 demo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-16 17:31:08 UTC
From: Ben F.
Topic: 31208 Message: 31209

What time? what freq?
On Wed, Dec 16, 2009 at 10:51 AM, <groups@...> wrote:

> Hello Scanner/Aviation Buffs,
>
> Just heard on the Bell Helicopter Hurst frequency, that a V22 will be doing
> flight demos at the Bell plants tomorrow.
>
> Ben
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31210. Re: [dfwscan] OT: Bell V-22 demo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-16 18:49:16 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 31208 Message: 31210

I heard that on 123.35, which is the Hurst plant's tower. I don't know what times they will be flying, but I got the impression they would be at more than one facility. My guess, Hurst, Arlington, and maybe Alliance, but I am only guessing.

Ben

>What time? what freq?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31211. Re: [dfwscan] OT: Bell V-22 demo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-16 19:13:09 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 31208 Message: 31211

My dad just called to say he saw it arriving in Hurst at about 13:00. Not sure if they're still flying today.
Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31212. Plano activity

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-17 05:57:40 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31212 Message: 31212

Just curious if anyone knows what was going on around 4 in the afternoon (on 12/16) at Plano Inn and Suites near Parker and 75. About 4 patrol cars were on scene, a couple supervisor SUV's, and a couple unmarked. Didn't have the 800 scanner going at the time. Figured it might be drug related, but just wondering.

Blaine

31213. Mesquite Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-17 13:43:21 UTC
From: Terry
Topic: 31213 Message: 31213

Found a news TG (at least to me) this morning. Typically Mesquite FD will have units go to Fire Dispatch 2 for roll call on a structure fire. Today they used Fire dispatch 3. This is 144 (HEX 0900).

For what it's worth!

Terry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31214. OT: V22

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-17 15:21:47 UTC
From: groups@bensware.com
Topic: 31214 Message: 31214

The V-22 just left the Bell Plant, and was headed to the Arlington plant. I believe they're also going to Alliance/Roanoke. Arlington 128.625, 250.2, Hurst 123.35, can't remember Alliance, but I think it's 135.15.
09:21
Ben

31215. Re: [dfwscan] OT: Bell V-22 demo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-17 15:29:28 UTC
From: NSAWC1
Topic: 31208 Message: 31215

9:25AM Currently flying at the Arlington plant off 360

From: "groups@..." <groups@...>
To: Ben F. <dragoncooler@...>
Cc: DFWScan <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wed, December 16, 2009 12:49:16 PM
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] OT: Bell V-22 demo

I heard that on 123.35, which is the Hurst plant's tower. I don't know what times they will be flying, but I got the impression they would be at more than one facility. My guess, Hurst, Arlington, and maybe Alliance, but I am only guessing.

Ben

>What time? what freq?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31216. Re: [dfwscan] OT: Bell V-22 demo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-17 16:41:18 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31208 Message: 31216

If anyone is seriously interested in the V-22, I have a few pics of them flying and landing at my camp in Iraq a couple years ago. I'd be happy to send them to you.

Rob

31217. Re: [dfwscan] OT: Bell V-22 demo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-17 21:51:27 UTC
From: Cody
Topic: 31208 Message: 31217

I saw it flying over NRH Hurst Border up by Mid Cities and Precinct Line at around 9:30.

Pretty neat.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31218. RE: [dfwscan] OT: Bell V-22 demo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-17 22:30:41 UTC
From: Billy Mason (E-Lists)
Topic: 31208 Message: 31218

Santa and all of his helpers got to see it several times while making his rounds to some of the H-E-B ISD elementary schools today.

31219. 149.2375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-17 23:23:21 UTC
From: Tim Johnson
Topic: 31208 Message: 31219

149.2375 - 'Unit 27 back on channel 1'

Don't see this one on any lists. Any ideas who this is?

Tim

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31220. Re: 149.2375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 00:19:00 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31208 Message: 31220

--- On Thu, 12/17/09, Tim Johnson <timjohnson53@...> wrote:

> 149.2375 - 'Unit 27 back on channel 1'

Lockheed FD at FWNAS. PL 167.9. Some lists will show it as 149.235,
but it is definitely a splinter frequency. Pretty boring to listen to,
unless of course, the plant caught on fire. Then..well...that would be some exciting
listening.

Blaine

31221. Re: [dfwscan] 149.2375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 00:39:02 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 31208 Message: 31221

Lockheed Martin. It used to be 149.235.

Tom

> 149.2375 - 'Unit 27 back on channel 1'
>
>
>
> Don't see this one on any lists. Any ideas who this is?
>
>
>
> Tim

31222. Training or real?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 02:39:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31222 Message: 31222

Not sure if they are training or if it's the real thing...seriously, it's hard to tell...and I've been listened to fire stuff for a long time...:)

McKinney FG1 - sounds like an apt fire on Saxon?

The reason I wonder about real or not is because "usually" FD training is not done on the first primary FG channel but one further up the scale like 3, 4, or 5, etc....at least from my experience.

Blaine

31223. RE: [dfwscan] OT: Bell V-22 demo

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 02:42:04 UTC
From: Cody
Topic: 31208 Message: 31223

They just had an hour long special on cable, the HD Theater Channel about the trials and tribulations of the V-22. A little ironic to say the least.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31224. Re: [dfwscan] Training or real?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 02:49:10 UTC
From: terry@tvssr.com
Topic: 31222 Message: 31224

According to IPN it's real!

Terry
------Original Message------
From: Blaine Brooks
Sender: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Dec 17, 2009 20:39
Subject: [dfwscan] Training or real?

Not sure if they are training or if it's the real thing...seriously, it's hard to tell...and I've been listened to fire stuff for a long time...:)

McKinney FG1 - sounds like an apt fire on Saxon?

The reason I wonder about real or not is because "usually" FD training is not done on the first primary FG channel but one further up the scale like 3, 4, or 5, etc....at least from my experience.

Blaine

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

31225. Re: Training or real?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 02:54:23 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31222 Message: 31225

--- On Thu, 12/17/09, terry@... <terry@...> wrote:

> According to IPN it's real!

Yep, just got the page. Thanks T.

31226. RE: 149.2375

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 03:08:31 UTC
From: Tim Johnson
Topic: 31208 Message: 31226

Blaine, Tom,

Thanks for the info. Never counted on picking up JRB stuff over here east
of Plano.
Guess I'll need to program some JRB freqs. :-)

Tim

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks [mailto:blaineb2001@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2009 6:19 PM
To: 'DFW Scan'; Tim Johnson
Subject: Re: 149.2375

--- On Thu, 12/17/09, Tim Johnson <timjohnson53@gmail.com> wrote:

> 149.2375 - 'Unit 27 back on channel 1'

Lockheed FD at FWNAS. PL 167.9. Some lists will show it as 149.235,
but it is definitely a splinter frequency. Pretty boring to listen to,
unless of course, the plant caught on fire. Then..well...that would be some
exciting listening.

Blaine

31227. Tanker fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 06:45:53 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31227 Message: 31227

Big tanker fire SB DNT ramp to EB PGBT. All traffic shut down on the Tollway and EB George Bush at Midway. Tanker truck apparently ran off the ramp down onto 190. Heavy fire. Dallas Fire assisting. Plano FD ops on CH 3. DFD ops (can hear it spotty) on Fireground 12 (465.6375) from Parker and 75.

It's been a busy night/early morn out here in the burbs!

Blaine

31228. Satcom

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 21:55:27 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31228 Message: 31228

Just wondering if anyone on the list monitors military SATCOM on a regular basis, and if there is anything to listen to (or worthy) to make a future investment in a helical etc. antenna. Personally, I've never heard a peep on any of the fleet broadcast channels, which folks can use for alignment of signals. Guess I just haven't had the right antenna or radio....even at my old house when I had a discone up about 35' in the clear...

Blaine

31229. Great links

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 22:23:46 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31229 Message: 31229

Found these while doing some Google searching. For the milcom/milair monitors on the list...

http://www.globemaster.de/tailcodes.html
http://www.globemaster.de/basemap.html

Blaine

31230. Re: [dfwscan] Satcom

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-18 22:36:54 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 31228 Message: 31230

On Fri, 18 Dec 2009, Blaine Brooks wrote:

> Just wondering if anyone on the list monitors military SATCOM on a regular basis, and if there is anything to listen to (or worthy) to make a future investment in a helical etc. antenna. Personally, I've never heard a peep on any of the fleet broadcast channels, which folks can use for alignment of signals. Guess I just haven't had the right antenna or radio....even at my old house when I had a discone up about 35' in the clear...

I used to pick up the Mir space station when it was in orbit with just an inexpensive whip antenna on my car. Having a scanner in my car was bad enough, but having to explain to people why Russian was blaring out the speaker too some explaining. :-)

John

--
John Mayson <john@...>
http://www.linkedin.com/in/jmayson

31231. Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 01:00:13 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31231 Message: 31231

A couple of days ago I guess Burleson PD was having radio problems (again). I caught the tail end of it but someone, dispatcher/officers were having trouble hearing each other, I don't know. Then you would hear all this "scratchy" noise, almost like an open squelch. Then one of the dispatchers consoles had a stuck mic on OPS 1 and they couldn't get it unstuck so everyone went to OPS 2.

Well I guess DFW Comm came out and worked on things and I guess pumped up the volume on the repeater side.

I know on a lot of the TRS I listen to, the volume on the TRS side is a lot lower then a conventional frequency. And every now and then when listening to a TRS and conventional on the same bank, and a conventional channel has traffic, I always have to turn the volume up a little compared to the TRS side.

NOW, Burleson PD is extremely loud, pretty much matching a conventional frequency. I didn't know that you can actually turn the volume up on the repeater output and not have to mess with the radios.

BUT, the FD side of the Burleson TRS is still the same on volume. So when PD talks, and then when FD talks, I have to turn the volume up to hear FD and back down when PD talks.

So someone needs to go turn the FD volume side of the repeater up.

Just wanted to pass that along for those of you who might listen to Burleson PD and wondering if PD sounds louder than usual.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

31232. Re: [dfwscan] Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 01:06:56 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 31231 Message: 31232

Probably just replaced the mic and/or adjusted the volume at that console.
If they messed with the repeater, the volume increase would be across the
board.

----- Original Message -----
From: "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 7:00 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"

>A couple of days ago I guess Burleson PD was having radio problems (again).
>I caught the tail end of it but someone, dispatcher/officers were having
>trouble hearing each other, I don't know. Then you would hear all this
>"scratchy" noise, almost like an open squelch. Then one of the dispatchers
>consoles had a stuck mic on OPS 1 and they couldn't get it unstuck so
>everyone went to OPS 2.
>
> Well I guess DFW Comm came out and worked on things and I guess pumped up
> the volume on the repeater side.
>
> I know on a lot of the TRS I listen to, the volume on the TRS side is a
> lot lower then a conventional frequency. And every now and then when
> listening to a TRS and conventional on the same bank, and a conventional
> channel has traffic, I always have to turn the volume up a little compared
> to the TRS side.
>
> NOW, Burleson PD is extremely loud, pretty much matching a conventional
> frequency. I didn't know that you can actually turn the volume up on the
> repeater output and not have to mess with the radios.
>
> BUT, the FD side of the Burleson TRS is still the same on volume. So when
> PD talks, and then when FD talks, I have to turn the volume up to hear FD
> and back down when PD talks.
>
> So someone needs to go turn the FD volume side of the repeater up.
>
> Just wanted to pass that along for those of you who might listen to
> Burleson PD and wondering if PD sounds louder than usual.
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
>
>

> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

31233. Re: [dfwscan] Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 01:25:11 UTC
From: KevinChessher
Topic: 31231 Message: 31233

What Myles said X2.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...>
Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 7:06:56 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"

Probably just replaced the mic and/or adjusted the volume at that console.
If they messed with the repeater, the volume increase would be across the
board.

----- Original Message -----
From: "kc5rhb" < kc5rhb@yahoo.com >
To: < dfwscan@yahoogroups.com >
Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 7:00 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"

>A couple of days ago I guess Burleson PD was having radio problems (again).
>I caught the tail end of it but someone, dispatcher/officers were having
>trouble hearing each other, I don't know. Then you would hear all this
>"scratchy" noise, almost like an open squelch. Then one of the dispatchers
>consoles had a stuck mic on OPS 1 and they couldn't get it unstuck so
>everyone went to OPS 2.
>
> Well I guess DFW Comm came out and worked on things and I guess pumped up
> the volume on the repeater side.
>
> I know on a lot of the TRS I listen to, the volume on the TRS side is a
> lot lower then a conventional frequency. And every now and then when
> listening to a TRS and conventional on the same bank, and a conventional
> channel has traffic, I always have to turn the volume up a little compared
> to the TRS side.
>
> NOW, Burleson PD is extremely loud, pretty much matching a conventional
> frequency. I didn't know that you can actually turn the volume up on the
> repeater output and not have to mess with the radios.
>
> BUT, the FD side of the Burleson TRS is still the same on volume. So when
> PD talks, and then when FD talks, I have to turn the volume up to hear FD
> and back down when PD talks.
>
> So someone needs to go turn the FD volume side of the repeater up.
>
> Just wanted to pass that along for those of you who might listen to
> Burleson PD and wondering if PD sounds louder than usual.

>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31234. Re: Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 02:20:56 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31231 Message: 31234

Ok, well its just weird because everyone on the PD side (mobiles, HT"s) are LOUD, not just the dispatcher. Don't know, but I could tell the difference.

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, KevinChessher <kchessher@...> wrote:
>
> What Myles said X2.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@...>
> To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...>
> Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 7:06:56 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
> Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Probably just replaced the mic and/or adjusted the volume at that console.
> If they messed with the repeater, the volume increase would be across the
> board.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "kc5rhb" < kc5rhb@... >
> To: < dfwscan@yahoogroups.com >
> Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 7:00 PM
> Subject: [dfwscan] Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"
>
> >A couple of days ago I guess Burleson PD was having radio problems (again).
> >I caught the tail end of it but someone, dispatcher/officers were having
> >trouble hearing each other, I don't know. Then you would hear all this
> >"scratchy" noise, almost like an open squelch. Then one of the dispatchers
> >consoles had a stuck mic on OPS 1 and they couldn't get it unstuck so
> >everyone went to OPS 2.
> >
> > Well I guess DFW Comm came out and worked on things and I guess pumped up
> > the volume on the repeater side.
> >
> > I know on a lot of the TRS I listen to, the volume on the TRS side is a
> > lot lower then a conventional frequency. And every now and then when
> > listening to a TRS and conventional on the same bank, and a conventional
> > channel has traffic, I always have to turn the volume up a little compared
> > to the TRS side.

> >
> > NOW, Burleson PD is extremely loud, pretty much matching a conventional
> > frequency. I didn't know that you can actually turn the volume up on the
> > repeater output and not have to mess with the radios.
> >
> > BUT, the FD side of the Burleson TRS is still the same on volume. So when
> > PD talks, and then when FD talks, I have to turn the volume up to hear FD
> > and back down when PD talks.
> >
> > So someone needs to go turn the FD volume side of the repeater up.
> >
> > Just wanted to pass that along for those of you who might listen to
> > Burleson PD and wondering if PD sounds louder than usual.
> >
> > Happy Scanning,
> >
> > David, KC5RHB
> >
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

31235. "Pumped Up The Volume" - Richardson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 03:04:06 UTC
From: Tim Johnson
Topic: 31231 Message: 31235

Speaking of system volume. Is it me or is the Richardson system volume very low?

I frequently monitor the Richardson, Plano and Garland TRS systems.

If I set the volume for comfortable listening of Plano and Garland, I can barely hear Richardson.

If I readjust for Richardson, Plano and Garland blows the doors off the car.

Tim

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31236. Re: [dfwscan] "Pumped Up The Volume" - Richardson

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 04:55:42 UTC
From: Jason Simpkins
Topic: 31231 Message: 31236

Oh this is so true. I was over in Richardson recently and my volume was way up in the truck but the volume was low. I was like great my amplified speaker is going out. I was banging on it and trying to figure out what the problem was. But then I finally turned it to Plano about an hour later and it was loud loud. So, yeah Richardson is very low.

Jason

From: Tim Johnson <timjohnson53@...>
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sat, December 19, 2009 9:04:06 PM
Subject: [dfwscan] "Pumped Up The Volume" - Richardson

Speaking of system volume. Is it me or is the Richardson system volume very low?

I frequently monitor the Richardson, Plano and Garland TRS systems.

If I set the volume for comfortable listening of Plano and Garland, I can barely hear Richardson.

If I readjust for Richardson, Plano and Garland blows the doors off the car.

Tim

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31237. Re: Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 05:51:44 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31231 Message: 31237

Well Ill make this last statement. I have the scanner volume set on the PD side on scan, and when FD tones out I can barley hear them, to where before, the FD tone would always be loud, louder then the PD transmissions, now PD is louder. But its weird, PD sounds like its almost like its being simulcast, its that the right saying, then you normal clear transmission. Even when they let off the mic on the mobiles and you will sometimes hear that mic click, now you can really hear that mic click when they let off the key, , almost like everything has been amplified on the repeater side. But I know Im not going crazy. Before DFW comm messed with everything, both PD and FD had the same volume, now PD is loud, oh and also it sounds like there is a more "treble" sound in the transmission. But like I said, its not just the dispatcher, its the mobiles and HT's, and to top it off, they already have this one dispatcher thats loud and and eats the mic, man, shes making the speaker crackle now...lol. Must be a TRS thing, I dont know.

Happy Scanning to all, and to all a good night ;)

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> Ok, well its just weird because everyone on the PD side (mobiles, HT"s) are LOUD, not just the dispatcher. Don't know, but I could tell the difference.
>
> David, KC5RHB
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, KevinChessher <kchessher@> wrote:
> >
> > What Myles said X2.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Myles Barkman" <kg5ai@>
> > To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@>
> > Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 7:06:56 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central
> > Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Probably just replaced the mic and/or adjusted the volume at that console.
> > If they messed with the repeater, the volume increase would be across the
> > board.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "kc5rhb" < kc5rhb@ >
> > To: < dfwscan@yahoogroups.com >

> > Sent: Saturday, December 19, 2009 7:00 PM
> > Subject: [dfwscan] Burleson, Texas TRS has "Pumped Up The Volume"
> >
> > >A couple of days ago I guess Burleson PD was having radio problems (again).
> > >I caught the tail end of it but someone, dispatcher/officers were having
> > >trouble hearing each other, I don't know. Then you would hear all this
> > >"scratchy" noise, almost like an open squelch. Then one of the dispatchers
> > >consoles had a stuck mic on OPS 1 and they couldn't get it unstuck so
> > >everyone went to OPS 2.
> > >
> > > Well I guess DFW Comm came out and worked on things and I guess pumped up
> > > the volume on the repeater side.
> > >
> > > I know on a lot of the TRS I listen to, the volume on the TRS side is a
> > > lot lower then a conventional frequency. And every now and then when
> > > listening to a TRS and conventional on the same bank, and a conventional
> > > channel has traffic, I always have to turn the volume up a little compared
> > > to the TRS side.
> > >
> > > NOW, Burleson PD is extremely loud, pretty much matching a conventional
> > > frequency. I didn't know that you can actually turn the volume up on the
> > > repeater output and not have to mess with the radios.
> > >
> > > BUT, the FD side of the Burleson TRS is still the same on volume. So when
> > > PD talks, and then when FD talks, I have to turn the volume up to hear FD
> > > and back down when PD talks.
> > >
> > > So someone needs to go turn the FD volume side of the repeater up.
> > >
> > > Just wanted to pass that along for those of you who might listen to
> > > Burleson PD and wondering if PD sounds louder than usual.
> > >
> > > Happy Scanning,
> > >
> > > David, KC5RHB
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ------------------------------------
> > >
> > > For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> > > http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
>

31238. Weekly FCC grants December 13-19

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 16:55:46 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 31238 Message: 31238

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

31239. [dfwscan] Grand Prairie 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 19:05:26 UTC
From: Patrick - Yahoo mail
Topic: 31239 Message: 31239

Unknown location 3 story apts fire in attic it sounds like.
Can't page on ipn as I don't know the address

Sprint Treo Pro
Blackberry 8900 PIN 24A9DE71
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Radio Service and Technical Consulting
http://www.twowayshopper.com
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/GMRSDallas
http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/TreoPro

31240. RE: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 19:12:26 UTC
From: Mike Hutchins
Topic: 31239 Message: 31240

I heard Dickey Road no number.

-----Original Message-----
From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com]On Behalf
Of Patrick - Yahoo mail
Sent: Sunday, December 20, 2009 1:05 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Grand Prairie 2nd alarm

Unknown location 3 story apts fire in attic it sounds like.
Can't page on ipn as I don't know the address

_____
Sprint Treo Pro
Blackberry 8900 PIN 24A9DE71
PDA Repair - Blackberry, Treo, Windows Mobile
Motorola 2-Way Radio Service and Technical Consulting
http://www.twowayshopper.com
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/GMRSDallas
http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/TreoPro

For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2

Yahoo! Groups Links

31241. Re: White Settlement TGs on New P25 TRS

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-20 21:29:06 UTC
From: aa5st
Topic: 31124 Message: 31241

Here is some updated talkgroups

24577 - White Settlement Police Dispatch
24580 - White Settlement Fire Dispatch
24583 - White Settlement Public Work/Animal Control

24578 - Radio Testing
24579 - Radio Testing
24581 - Radio Testing
24582 - Radio Testing
24584 - Radio Testing
24585 - Radio Testing
24591 - Radio Testing

Michael Stuart - AA5ST
Azle, Texas - Parker County

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian" <commscan-ftw@...> wrote:
>
> Here are the two TGs White Settlement used today. They're all happy about
> the "new radio system" and they're going way out of the city limits testing
> their coverage and transmission capabilities. They seem pretty happy. They
> are going to do some more testing in the morning they said.
>
>
>
> 24585 a few hits
>
> 24577 most traffic
>
>
>
> Brian
>
> Ft. Worth, TX
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

31242. Ultimate Mobile Shack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-21 23:31:02 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31242 Message: 31242

Looks like the Terrys have some competition!

http://jalopnik.com/5428800/ham-radio-car-sale-photos/gallery/1

31243. RE: [dfwscan] Ultimate Mobile Shack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-22 00:54:24 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31242 Message: 31243

If you thought the inside was entertaining, just check out the outside!

http://jalopnik.com/5429634/radio-active-ham-radio-more-pictures/gallery/1

31244. Re: [dfwscan] Ultimate Mobile Shack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-22 04:35:24 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31242 Message: 31244

--- On Mon, 12/21/09, rob.davis@armynursecorps wrote:

> Looks like the Terrys have some
> competition!
>
> http://jalopnik.com/5428800/ham-radio-car-sale-photos/gallery/1

Two immediate thoughts come to mind...

Where is the freakin' fire extinguisher in all that mess?

That POS car would be sooooo easy to break into, and rip off all
that wonderful radio stuff...:)

Did I say that?....

31245. Re: [dfwscan] Ultimate Mobile Shack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-22 04:39:17 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31242 Message: 31245

On Monday, December 21, 2009 22:35, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...> said:

> That POS car would be sooooo easy to break into, and rip off all
> that wonderful radio stuff...:)

Ha... I commented on another forum that it was a little ironic that he keeps $25k worth of radio gear behind cheap glass, but puts a lock on his gas cap!

31246. Re: [dfwscan] Ultimate Mobile Shack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-22 04:49:23 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 31242 Message: 31246

On Mon, Dec 21, 2009 at 10:39 PM, rob.davis@...
<rob.davis@...> wrote:
> On Monday, December 21, 2009 22:35, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...> said:
>
>> That POS car would be sooooo easy to break into, and rip off all
>> that wonderful radio stuff...:)
>
> Ha... I commented on another forum that it was a little ironic that he keeps $25k worth of radio gear behind cheap glass, but puts a lock on his gas cap!

I'm just picturing him (yes, it has to be a man, no woman would be
that stupid) driving over a speed bump or getting in a crash. All of
the flying radio gear would probably kill him.

John

--
John Mayson <john@...>
http://www.linkedin.com/in/jmayson

31247. Re: [dfwscan] Ultimate Mobile Shack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-22 05:11:27 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31242 Message: 31247

Someone said that the guy just put all that in there as a goof, and doesn't really drive it, and in fact, most of it doesn't work.

I dunno... I prefer to picture some 40 year old guy, living in his mom's basement, and spending all his IT job money on that crap.

Rob

On Monday, December 21, 2009 22:49, "John Mayson" <john@...> said:

> I'm just picturing him (yes, it has to be a man, no woman would be
> that stupid) driving over a speed bump or getting in a crash. All of
> the flying radio gear would probably kill him.
>
> John

31248. Re: [dfwscan] Ultimate Mobile Shack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-22 05:22:35 UTC
From: John Mayson
Topic: 31242 Message: 31248

On Mon, Dec 21, 2009 at 11:11 PM, rob.davis@...
<rob.davis@...> wrote:
> Someone said that the guy just put all that in there as a goof, and doesn't really drive it, and in fact, most of it doesn't work.
>
> I dunno... I prefer to picture some 40 year old guy, living in his mom's basement, and spending all his IT job money on that crap.

That I could see. :-)

--
John Mayson <john@...>
http://www.linkedin.com/in/jmayson

31249. Re: Ultimate Mobile Shack

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-22 08:35:40 UTC
From: letarotor
Topic: 31242 Message: 31249

Its all a question of priorities mixed in with a stong dose of excessive compulsiveness. Like the dude in Oak Cliff earlier tonight that got car jacked on Ann Arbor near the VA Hospital. He was cruising with the fellas in his 1993 Mercury Marquise regaled with 24" rims, blue lights under the undercarriage, and a DVD movie system complete with mulitple monitors for each passenger. 2K in rims and 1K in the DVD system, all in a ride worth about $500.00. Gotta love it!

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, John Mayson <john@...> wrote:
>
> On Mon, Dec 21, 2009 at 11:11 PM, rob.davis@...
> <rob.davis@...> wrote:
> > Someone said that the guy just put all that in there as a goof, and doesn't really drive it, and in fact, most of it doesn't work.
> >
> > I dunno... I prefer to picture some 40 year old guy, living in his mom's basement, and spending all his IT job money on that crap.
>
> That I could see. :-)
>
> --
> John Mayson <john@...>
> http://www.linkedin.com/in/jmayson
>

31250. DMN article about Richardson radio system

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 00:22:03 UTC
From: jasoncarr2000
Topic: 31250 Message: 31250

http://richardsonblog.dallasnews.com/archives/2009/12/richardsons-radio-system-needs.html

31251. Patrol Deployment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 05:16:18 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31251 Message: 31251

Here's a question for the masses -

Why is it DPD Patrol Deployment is so much more active during the week than the weekend? (because you would THINK the latter would have more vice and drug related activity)? These guys yak, yak, yak, yak, on their talkgroups like a gaggle of schoolgirls during the week, yet when it comes to the weekend, barely a peep...

31252. National Interoperabilty Guide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 05:17:44 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31252 Message: 31252

http://www.npstc.org/documents/NIFOG%20v1.2%204-14-2008.pdf

Don't know if this has ever been posted. Towards the end of the year I usually reprogram radios and scanners with updated information, or whatever for the new year and the next storm season. So I thought, hey, Ill add the Interoperability Channels in, you know, just in case or whatever reason.

This guide will give you a headache. I know its National, but man, no wonder everyone is confused. Look at all the channels, and I bet still not every agency can all talk to each other.

Whats wrong with the TX LAW, TX FIRE, and TX MED being like the only frequencies to use nationwide (for example). I know when it comes to ICS you have your structure, Incident Commander, Operations, Planning, Logistics, and Finance, but man thats a lot of frequencies to keep up with.

Well, need to find an empty bank to put all these in. I guess I'm not missing any action come 2012..LOL

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

31253. RE: [dfwscan] Patrol Deployment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 10:16:01 UTC
From: Mark Colborn
Topic: 31251 Message: 31253

Because Vice and Drugs are handled by specialized units, not Deployment Units. There is no Vice and Drugs occurring in Dallas on the weekends, or at night, or those units would be working I'm sure!

From: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:dfwscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Blaine Brooks
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 11:16 PM
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [dfwscan] Patrol Deployment

Here's a question for the masses -

Why is it DPD Patrol Deployment is so much more active during the week than the weekend? (because you would THINK the latter would have more vice and drug

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31254. Re: National Interoperabilty Guide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 16:12:14 UTC
From: w5jck
Topic: 31252 Message: 31254

Typical DHS. The DHS, for all its hype, is only 150 or so folks in a basement office far away from the White House. They are given the responsibility of coordinating 40+ Federal agencies, but no real authority over them in order to enforce the coordination. The "big muscle" agencies like the FBI and CIA have more political clout than the DHS, and can do as they desire. The result is a mess--another Federal Agency with almost no power and headed by a NON-cabinet level leader. I'm not surprised they have done very little to prepare the nation for disasters. About the only thing they have done is to take away FEMA's ability to deal with natural disasters, which occur all the time as opposed to the rare terrorist attack.

Our country's "Chicken Little" views on the extremely rare terrorist attacks has left us with shields down as for as mother nature goes--she can kick our butts any time she wants. Crunch the numbers and Katrina tops all the terrorist attacks on US soil in the past century as far as disruption of lives and economic impact! The 1900 Galveston hurricane trumps all the lives lost to terrorist attacks on US soil in our Nation's history; excluding the Civil War of course.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
>
> http://www.npstc.org/documents/NIFOG%20v1.2%204-14-2008.pdf
>
> Don't know if this has ever been posted. Towards the end of the year I usually reprogram radios and scanners with updated information, or whatever for the new year and the next storm season. So I thought, hey, Ill add the Interoperability Channels in, you know, just in case or whatever reason.
>
> This guide will give you a headache. I know its National, but man, no wonder everyone is confused. Look at all the channels, and I bet still not every agency can all talk to each other.
>
> Whats wrong with the TX LAW, TX FIRE, and TX MED being like the only frequencies to use nationwide (for example). I know when it comes to ICS you have your structure, Incident Commander, Operations, Planning, Logistics, and Finance, but man thats a lot of frequencies to keep up with.
>
> Well, need to find an empty bank to put all these in. I guess I'm not missing any action come 2012..LOL
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> David, KC5RHB
>

31255. RE: Patrol Deployment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 16:56:33 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31251 Message: 31255

--- On Wed, 12/23/09, Mark Colborn <kd5elf@...> wrote:

> Because Vice and Drugs are handled by
> specialized units, not Deployment
> Units.

Well, that sure is interesting because they are following homies through the hood fo sho...and they are definitely doing something...cause they are always referring to "the deal" going down. In fact, they are taking down some folks right now as I type this. Maybe they ain't druggies and pimps, but they is bad mama jammas. Maybe they are concentrating more on the violent crime stuff, cause there is plenty of that. Perhaps we need another description of WHAT EXACTLY PATROL DEPLOYMENT DOES...Personally, I've heard units jump back and forth between 460.400 and the 800 system, or "so it seemed".

> There is no Vice and Drugs occurring in Dallas on
> the weekends, or at
> night, or those units would be working I'm sure!

WTF? That's like saying news ends Friday night at midnight! So you're sayin' there ain't nobody buying drugs in the hood, or looking to get laid along Harry Hines, Royal, or Northwest Hwy. on Saturday night? I find that quite astonishing, and would beg to differ immensely. Cause there is definitely some ho'in goin on....and not just that area of the city....there are also plenty of drugs. Those two things NEVER stop, in any big city.

Blaine

31256. Re: [dfwscan] Re: National Interoperabilty Guide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 17:49:17 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31252 Message: 31256

The civil war was a terrorist act?

On Wed, Dec 23, 2009 at 10:12 AM, w5jck <jack@...> wrote:

>
>
> Typical DHS. The DHS, for all its hype, is only 150 or so folks in a
> basement office far away from the White House. They are given the
> responsibility of coordinating 40+ Federal agencies, but no real authority
> over them in order to enforce the coordination. The "big muscle" agencies
> like the FBI and CIA have more political clout than the DHS, and can do as
> they desire. The result is a mess--another Federal Agency with almost no
> power and headed by a NON-cabinet level leader. I'm not surprised they have
> done very little to prepare the nation for disasters. About the only thing
> they have done is to take away FEMA's ability to deal with natural
> disasters, which occur all the time as opposed to the rare terrorist attack.
>
>
> Our country's "Chicken Little" views on the extremely rare terrorist
> attacks has left us with shields down as for as mother nature goes--she can
> kick our butts any time she wants. Crunch the numbers and Katrina tops all
> the terrorist attacks on US soil in the past century as far as disruption of
> lives and economic impact! The 1900 Galveston hurricane trumps all the lives
> lost to terrorist attacks on US soil in our Nation's history; excluding the
> Civil War of course.
>
> --- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com <dfwscan%40yahoogroups.com>, "kc5rhb"
> <kc5rhb@...> wrote:
> >
> > http://www.npstc.org/documents/NIFOG%20v1.2%204-14-2008.pdf
> >
> > Don't know if this has ever been posted. Towards the end of the year I
> usually reprogram radios and scanners with updated information, or whatever
> for the new year and the next storm season. So I thought, hey, Ill add the
> Interoperability Channels in, you know, just in case or whatever reason.
> >
> > This guide will give you a headache. I know its National, but man, no
> wonder everyone is confused. Look at all the channels, and I bet still not
> every agency can all talk to each other.
> >
> > Whats wrong with the TX LAW, TX FIRE, and TX MED being like the only
> frequencies to use nationwide (for example). I know when it comes to ICS you
> have your structure, Incident Commander, Operations, Planning, Logistics,
> and Finance, but man thats a lot of frequencies to keep up with.
> >

> > Well, need to find an empty bank to put all these in. I guess I'm not
> missing any action come 2012..LOL
> >
> > Happy Scanning,
> >
> > David, KC5RHB
> >
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31257. Phone conversation on DFR Ch 1?

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 18:52:47 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31257 Message: 31257

660 just dispatched something, but it was completely unreadable because of what sounded like a cell phone conversation with some lady talking about deciding to break up with her boyfriend. Just wondering if anyone else heard it.

31258. RE: [dfwscan] RE: Patrol Deployment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 20:57:54 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31251 Message: 31258

Me thinks someone missed the sarcasm.

On Wednesday, December 23, 2009 10:56, "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@...> said:

> WTF? That's like saying news ends Friday night at midnight! So you're sayin' there
> ain't nobody buying drugs in the hood, or looking to get laid along Harry Hines,
> Royal, or Northwest Hwy. on Saturday night? I find that quite astonishing, and
> would beg to differ immensely. Cause there is definitely some ho'in goin on....and
> not just that area of the city....there are also plenty of drugs. Those two things
> NEVER stop, in any big city.

31259. Re: [dfwscan] Re: National Interoperabilty Guide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 21:05:50 UTC
From: rob.davis@armynursecorps.com
Topic: 31252 Message: 31259

On Wednesday, December 23, 2009 11:49, "Andrew Tucker" <dfw333@...> said:

> The civil war was a terrorist act?

Absolutely! And I'll sure be glad when it ends.

Rob

31260. RE: Patrol Deployment

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 21:33:55 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31251 Message: 31260

--- On Wed, 12/23/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@...> wrote:

> Me thinks someone missed the sarcasm.

Yeah I did. Early senility. Hard to recognize it sometimes in a email. Seriously. :)

Blaine

31261. Re: National Interoperabilty Guide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-23 21:37:18 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31252 Message: 31261

--- On Wed, 12/23/09, rob.davis@... <rob.davis@armynursecorps.com> wrote:

> Absolutely! And I'll sure be glad when it ends.

"Over...hell.." <said the backwoods bubba forming a militia tonight,
and burning crosses...>> "oh I wish I was in the land of cotton..."

31262. Re: [dfwscan] National Interoperabilty Guide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-24 01:11:16 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 31252 Message: 31262

The latest version of that manual is 1.3 May 2009.
<http://www.npstc.org/documents/NIFOG_1_3.pdf>

In case anybody doesn't already know, all the Texas and federal
interoperability channels can be found here in tables that are easy to
cut and paste into programming software, etc.

<http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=899>
<http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Common_Public_Safety>
<http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Federal/Non-Federal_Interoperability>

Tom

On 12/22/2009 23:17, kc5rhb wrote:
> http://www.npstc.org/documents/NIFOG%20v1.2%204-14-2008.pdf

31263. Re: National Interoperabilty Guide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-24 04:52:34 UTC
From: kc5rhb
Topic: 31252 Message: 31263

Gee, I hope they didn't add any more frequencies (channels).

"Hey Joe, go to VCALL, I mean UCALL, I mean VUTAC, I mean CALLUVTAC, I mean, IRLEVUCALL, ah hell just call me on the phone"..lol.

Did you notice, on the version I was looking at, there was no mention of any amateur radio frequencies, even the calling frequencies. But they had FRS/GMRS, MURS, and hell, even CB.

Oh well...everyone stay safe out there traveling in the next 24hours. I guess its time to monitor those TXDOT frequencies and see which one are still active.

Happy Scanning,

David, KC5RHB

--- In dfwscan@...m, Tom ND5Y <nd5y@...> wrote:
>
> The latest version of that manual is 1.3 May 2009.
> <http://www.npstc.org/documents/NIFOG_1_3.pdf>
>
> In case anybody doesn't already know, all the Texas and federal
> interoperability channels can be found here in tables that are easy to
> cut and paste into programming software, etc.
>
> <http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=899>
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Common_Public_Safety>
> <http://wiki.radioreference.com/index.php/Federal/Non-Federal_Interoperability>
>
>
> Tom
>
> On 12/22/2009 23:17, kc5rhb wrote:
> > http://www.npstc.org/documents/NIFOG%20v1.2%204-14-2008.pdf
>

31264. I'm Back

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-24 06:02:49 UTC
From: Cliff Pearson
Topic: 4763 Message: 31264

Hey Everyone!

I'm back! Remember me? I'm back from Tyler for a while, where I was in school studying, and living in Dallas with a relative until mid January. I brought my scanner with me and I'm re-acquainting myself with the crime, corruption, and civil chaos that makes Dallas/Ft. Worth such a fun place to live. LOL.

- Cliff

--
CLIFF PEARSON, cpearson@...
Student E-mail: cpearson@...
Facebook: http://www.facebook.com/cliffpearson
** Communications / Sociology **
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT TYLER
--

31265. Winter Weather Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-25 03:33:08 UTC
From: Thomas Hines
Topic: 31265 Message: 31265

Can someone please post the active frequencies for the
Dallas Streets sand tucks, the NTTA snad trucks and
the TXDot sand trucks in the metroplex.

Many thanks.....

T. Hines DFW 410

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31266. Re: Winter Weather Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-25 03:41:32 UTC
From: hiegtx
Topic: 31265 Message: 31266

Dallas Streets operations are on the Dallas City Local Gvt Trunked system:
http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?sid=18

For NTTA, I believe the last time this question came up, somebody posted that they used Nextel, so if true, that would mean they would be unmonitorable.

Not sure about TxDot, haven't tried to catch them in quite a while.

--- In dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, Thomas Hines <cmhteh@...> wrote:
>
> Can someone please post the active frequencies for the
> Dallas Streets sand tucks, the NTTA snad trucks and
> the TXDot sand trucks in the metroplex.
>
> Many thanks.....
>
> T. Hines DFW 410
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

31267. RE: [dfwscan] Winter Weather Frequencies

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-25 03:43:38 UTC
From: Ben Saladino(YG)
Topic: 31265 Message: 31267

I can't say I've verified any of them lately, but here's a start.

TxDOT

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?aid=790

Dallas Co freqs.

http://www.radioreference.com/apps/db/?ctid=2579

Merry Christmas,

Ben

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31268. Re: I'm Back

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-25 17:19:30 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 4763 Message: 31268

--- On Thu, 12/24/09, Cliff Pearson <cpearson@...> wrote:

> Hey Everyone! I'm back! Remember me? I'm back from Tyler for a while,

Greetings Cliff, the motley crew welcomes you aboard....theres some grumpy old sea salts round these parts' laddy...and we're all waving our antennas like swords a swash bucklin'...

31269. Parker structure fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-26 04:40:10 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31269 Message: 31269

Parker has a residential structure fire working on their Ch 1. Haven't paged out to IPN, because I have not heard a location. Mutual aid from Lucas and Fairview so far...

Blaine

31270. Flower Mound structure fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-26 04:48:48 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31270 Message: 31270

Went by the scene of the Christmas morning 2 alarmer house fire in Flower Mound about three hours after it had happened. Everyone had left, fire scene tape was up. Very sad. Huge house on Lavon Dr right off Dixon. Hardly anything left but a brick shell. Street was a mess. Looked like they may have had some problems with flying embers. Would have taken some pics, but didn't think it appropriate at all with people (possibly family members and friends) mulling around, and of course, it being Christmas Day.

Blaine

31271. Re: [dfwscan] Parker structure fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-26 05:02:26 UTC
From: timjohnson53@gmail.com
Topic: 31269 Message: 31271

The fire is in Lucas. Parker, Lucas, Plano and Wylie are also on scene.
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...>
Date: Fri, 25 Dec 2009 20:40:10
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Parker structure fire

Parker has a residential structure fire working on their Ch 1. Haven't paged out to IPN, because I have not heard a location. Mutual aid from Lucas and Fairview so far...

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31272. To all C.H.L. (Concealed Handgun Licensee)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-26 10:23:28 UTC
From: Dallas Cop 2566
Topic: 31272 Message: 31272

I observed a demonstration of this ammunition at a local gun range and was actually impressed with the product and after personally talking with the factory representative about the research and development performed prior to production it made me believe that maybe somebody has put some thought into ammunition for personal defense, not directly aimed at law enforcement.

During the demonstration, they were shooting through heavy outer wear type clothing and it penetrated deeply into the ballistic gel each and every time.

Watch this video, it is interesting. just click on the link below:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uhXSTqV0CT4
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uhXSTqV0CT4>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31273. Careflite

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-26 16:59:37 UTC
From: Tom ND5Y
Topic: 6353 Message: 31273

Careflite has a new license for 460.3875 in Hill County
<http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3156112>

They also got the contract for non-emergency transfers for Palo Pinto General Hospital in Mineral Wells replacing Guardian EMS.
<http://www.mineralwellsindex.com/archivesearch/local_story_335094911.html>

I don't know what they will use for communications here and I never found Guardian either.

Tom

31274. National Interoperabilty Guide

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-26 19:30:48 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 31252 Message: 31274

States always do/will continue to have their own Regional or State Interop
Channels.

The guide was/is the begining of moving all to a common platform for channel
naming, which will help
during the next Big-One or even local event with Agencies from outside of
the area.

Too bad the guide doesn't help with accents :)

Bill Dunn N1KUG
Cruise Ship Frequencies
http://scanmaritime.com
Now feeding USCG Sector Boston via Team Speak
info at http://www.scannewengland.net/index.php?pageid=nesflogon

----- Original Message -----
From: "kc5rhb" <kc5rhb@...>
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2009 12:17 AM
Subject: [dfwscan] National Interoperabilty Guide

> http://www.npstc.org/documents/NIFOG%20v1.2%204-14-2008.pdf
>
> Don't know if this has ever been posted. Towards the end of the year I
> usually reprogram radios and scanners with updated information, or
> whatever for the new year and the next storm season. So I thought, hey,
> Ill add the Interoperability Channels in, you know, just in case or
> whatever reason.
>
> This guide will give you a headache. I know its National, but man, no
> wonder everyone is confused. Look at all the channels, and I bet still
> not every agency can all talk to each other.
>
> Whats wrong with the TX LAW, TX FIRE, and TX MED being like the only
> frequencies to use nationwide (for example). I know when it comes to ICS
> you have your structure, Incident Commander, Operations, Planning,
> Logistics, and Finance, but man thats a lot of frequencies to keep up
> with.
>
> Well, need to find an empty bank to put all these in. I guess I'm not
> missing any action come 2012..LOL
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> David, KC5RHB

>
>

31275. Re: [dfwscan] To all C.H.L. (Concealed Handgun Licensee)

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-27 01:06:39 UTC
From: Guy Teague
Topic: 31272 Message: 31275

thanks for this info. i've placed an order for the .380 and .38 +p

/guy (73 de kg5vt)

On Sat, Dec 26, 2009 at 4:23 AM, Dallas Cop 2566 <DallasPD2566@...>wrote:

>
> I observed a demonstration of this ammunition at a local gun range and
> was actually impressed with the product and after personally talking
> with the factory representative about the research and development
> performed prior to production it made me believe that maybe somebody has
> put some thought into ammunition for personal defense, not directly
> aimed at law enforcement.
>
>
> During the demonstration, they were shooting through heavy outer wear
> type clothing and it penetrated deeply into the ballistic gel each and
> every time.
>
>
> Watch this video, it is interesting. just click on the link below:
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uhXSTqV0CT4
> <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uhXSTqV0CT4>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31276. Re: [dfwscan] Parker structure fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-27 06:03:06 UTC
From: Christopher Kown
Topic: 31269 Message: 31276

1 stry sfd chiminy fire extention to the attick. Lucas E-3 first on and got a great stop on it. saved the house.

Chris Kown
Lucas FD 431
KE5VXS

--- On Sat, 12/26/09, timjohnson53@... <timjohnson53@gmail.com> wrote:

From: timjohnson53@... <timjohnson53@...>
Subject: Re: [dfwscan] Parker structure fire
To: "Blaine Brooks" <blaineb2001@yahoo.com>, dfwscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: Saturday, December 26, 2009, 5:02 AM

The fire is in Lucas. Parker, Lucas, Plano and Wylie are also on scene.
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----
From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@ yahoo.com>
Date: Fri, 25 Dec 2009 20:40:10
To: <dfwscan@yahoogroups .com>
Subject: [dfwscan] Parker structure fire

Parker has a residential structure fire working on their Ch 1. Haven't paged out to IPN, because I have not heard a location. Mutual aid from Lucas and Fairview so far...

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31277. Re: Parker structure fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-27 06:10:07 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31269 Message: 31277

--- On Sun, 12/27/09, Christopher Kown <ke5vxs@...> wrote:

> Lucas E-3 first on and got a great stop on it.
> The fire is in Lucas.

Why was I hearing all of the activity on the Parker Fire CH 1 talk group, and not a peep on any of the Lucas talk groups? Do Parker and Lucas now share the same channels/talk groups? because it certainly sounded like Parker was in command while on scene...

31278. Re: Parker structure fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-27 06:19:10 UTC
From: Christopher Kown
Topic: 31269 Message: 31278

We are automatic Mutual Aid for Structure Fires. we patch the channels to ensure everyone can communicate.

Chris Kown
Lucas FD 431
KE5VXS

--- On Sun, 12/27/09, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...> wrote:

From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...>
Subject: Re: Parker structure fire
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Christopher Kown" <ke5vxs@...>
Date: Sunday, December 27, 2009, 6:10 AM

--- On Sun, 12/27/09, Christopher Kown <ke5vxs@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Lucas E-3 first on and got a great stop on it.
> The fire is in Lucas.

Why was I hearing all of the activity on the Parker Fire CH 1 talk group, and not a peep on any of the Lucas talk groups? Do Parker and Lucas now share the same channels/talk groups? because it certainly sounded like Parker was in command while on scene...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31279. Re: Parker structure fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-27 06:54:57 UTC
From: Blaine Brooks
Topic: 31269 Message: 31279

--- On Sun, 12/27/09, Christopher Kown <ke5vxs@...> wrote:

> we patch the channels to ensure everyone can communicate.

Thanks for the info Chris. Didn't know that y'all did that.

Blaine

31280. Re: [dfwscan] Re: Parker structure fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-27 07:14:40 UTC
From: Christopher Kown
Topic: 31269 Message: 31280

Any time, its good to know someone is listening to are channel. I cant page most of are calls cause im responding or at the station when they go out.

Chris Kown
KE5VXS

--- On Sun, 12/27/09, Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Blaine Brooks <blaineb2001@...>
Subject: [dfwscan] Re: Parker structure fire
To: dfwscan@yahoogroups.com, "Christopher Kown" <ke5vxs@...>
Date: Sunday, December 27, 2009, 6:54 AM

--- On Sun, 12/27/09, Christopher Kown <ke5vxs@yahoo. com> wrote:

> we patch the channels to ensure everyone can communicate.

Thanks for the info Chris. Didn't know that y'all did that.

Blaine

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31281. Weekly FCC grants December 20-26

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-27 11:18:03 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell
Topic: 31281 Message: 31281

http://gmitch86.home.comcast.net/quick.htm

--
Glenn Mitchell

31282. Dallas 700mhz License

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-27 19:39:31 UTC
From: Josh Kaufman/ KE5FGC
Topic: 31282 Message: 31282

Looks like Dallas was just issued a License for 700mhz. I wonder if they are going to be part of the Harris County Cyborg or run their own system?

http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=3155739

-Josh

--
Josh Kaufman
KE5FGC
281-435-1064
DC: 135*841*3042
shortfilmguy@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31283. MVA in Dallas

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-29 05:27:04 UTC
From: Andrew Tucker
Topic: 31283 Message: 31283

Rescue 30 is transporting to Parkland a 50's female who was struck by a car & dragged 50 or so feet. Reporting degloving injury to leg, femur fracture & massive blood loss. Calling a level 1 trauma alert. No address due to DFR's CAD being down as usual.

31284. snowrad---watch it as it gets closer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-29 14:38:37 UTC
From: dan renois
Topic: 31284 Message: 31284

this is wsi's regional snowrad,,,,, composite winter doppler radar if
you want to watch the winter weather come in

http://www.skywarn-texas.org/NexradRadar.html

31285. Re: [dfwscan] snowrad---watch it as it gets closer

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-29 19:49:01 UTC
From: Myles Barkman
Topic: 31284 Message: 31285

Ahh, looks like a good day to be off from work. I'm near Houston so all I'm about to deal with is rain.
http://www.intellicast.com/Storm/Severe/Metro.aspx?region=dfw&animate=true

Abilene, Mineral Wells and Granbury reporting light snow and mist.

> this is wsi's regional snowrad,,,,, composite winter doppler radar if
> you want to watch the winter weather come in
>
> http://www.skywarn-texas.org/NexradRadar.html

31286. Help with Pro-162 and Garland Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-30 06:11:02 UTC
From: nz2pl8
Topic: 31286 Message: 31286

Hey, been on here for a while as a lurker. Have a Pro-95 which was great for Garland until 11/01. Did a little research and found the Pro-162 could be used for a rebanded system, so I got one off ebay pretty cheap. I downloaded ARC160 (free trial, I'm cheap) and put in to bank 0 the new control channels, put in the talkgroups, uploaded, updated the custom 800MHz CH PLAN with 851.0250 (lo) 854.0000 (hi) 440, 25Khz step for plan1 and 851.0125(l) 868.9875(h) 0, 25khz step for plan 2. I then did a scan and hear what I think is only some of the traffic. I do see it stop on both the 0 and 5 channels, but I'm not hearing everything. It will be silent for a few minutes then I will hear things like 'in the area', or something along the lines of what you would expect to hear as a response, but I don't ever hear the first part. Or I can hear a dispatch of Fire but never hear the response from the company.
It also seems to want to delay on the control channel, in comparing it to the pro-95 for Plano, the 95 would scan until it hit traffic, the 162 seems to scan then pause at the control channel (866.6500 now) for 2 or 3 secs then scan again. Not bad until you have them side by side and see the 95 picking up channels the 162 isn't or picking them up late. I tried swapping antennas with the same result. Anyone out there using a 162, is this normal, and/or have garland working better than spotty with it? I thought I had everything set up correctly, but new to the 162 so I may have missed a few dozen things. Thanks.

31287. Re: [dfwscan] Help with Pro-162 and Garland Rebanding

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-30 14:44:34 UTC
From: S S
Topic: 31286 Message: 31287

Here's a Radio Reference link that mentions the reband custom tables.
http://tinyurl.com/yfqvule

I can't help anymore than that as I'm not that versed in this stuff. ;D

On Wed, Dec 30, 2009 at 12:11 AM, nz2pl8 <pittssteel@...> wrote:

> Hey, been on here for a while as a lurker. Have a Pro-95 which was great
> for Garland until 11/01. Did a little research and found the Pro-162 could
> be used for a rebanded system, so I got one off ebay pretty cheap. I
> downloaded ARC160 (free trial, I'm cheap) and put in to bank 0 the new
> control channels, put in the talkgroups, uploaded, updated the custom 800MHz
> CH PLAN with 851.0250 (lo) 854.0000 (hi) 440, 25Khz step for plan1 and
> 851.0125(l) 868.9875(h) 0, 25khz step for plan 2. I then did a scan and
> hear what I think is only some of the traffic. I do see it stop on both the
> 0 and 5 channels, but I'm not hearing everything. It will be silent for a
> few minutes then I will hear things like
> 'in the area', or something along the lines of what you would expect to
> hear as a response, but I don't ever hear the first part. Or I can hear a
> dispatch of Fire but never hear the response from the company.
> It also seems to want to delay on the control channel, in comparing it to
> the pro-95 for Plano, the 95 would scan until it hit traffic, the 162 seems
> to scan then pause at the control channel (866.6500 now) for 2 or 3 secs
> then scan again. Not bad until you have them side by side and see the 95
> picking up channels the 162 isn't or picking them up late. I tried swapping
> antennas with the same result. Anyone out there using a 162, is this
> normal, and/or have garland working better than spotty with it? I thought I
> had everything set up correctly, but new to the 162 so I may have missed a
> few dozen things. Thanks.
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> For the latest information on DFW Radio Communications see:
> http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR&mid=2
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

--

Republicans believe everyday is the Fourth of July, but the democrats

believe every day is April 15th. -- Ronald Reagan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

31288. Plano Structure Fire

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-30 19:33:17 UTC
From: ke5vxs
Topic: 31288 Message: 31288

Good Cooker, still working.

Plano | 1 Alarm Fire | PLF-5 | 7800 Blk Ludwig Castle Way | FD O/S 2 STRY SFD pitched comp roof over brick, heavy smoke from the attic | DFW200

Plano | 1 Alarm Fire | PLF-5 | 7800 Blk Ludwig Castle Way | U/D: CMD adv fire now through the roof. structure reported to be evacuated | DFW200

Plano | 1 Alarm Fire | PLF-5 | 7800 Blk Ludwig Castle Way | U/D: CMD adv Defensive fire. all units out of the structure. setting up aerial operations | DFW200

31289. Northeast Tarrant TRS - Update Validation

Yahoo group: dfwscan (2008 — 2009)
Date: 2009-12-31 01:49:11 UTC
From: imquazar
Topic: 31289 Message: 31289

Can someone tell me if this is valid?

News Update Posted on 2004-01-07 03:03:24

859.9375 is now being used as a voice channel on the system according to trunker.

It's an old update, but it's showing up on the RR page, yet that frequency isn't one of the listed frequencies, so ???

Just an observation.

Thanks,
-IQ